

On Action of $PSL(n + 1, \mathbf{C})$ on Space of L_k^p -maps on \mathbf{P}^n

Gang Liu
Department of Math, UCLA

October, 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we prove properness of the action of the reparametrization group $PSL(n + 1, \mathbf{C})$ on the space of v -stable L_k^p -maps on \mathbf{P}^n as well as related results. They extend our earlier work on the proper action of the reparametrization groups on the space of weakly stable nodal L_k^p -maps.

1 Introduction

In [Liu 2013, Liu 2015] we have introduced the notion of weak-stable nodal L_k^p -maps as a natural generalization of stable J -holomorphic maps in Gromov-Witten theory introduced by Kontsevich in [Kontsevich 1995]. The purpose of this paper is to generalize part of the results on proper action of the reparametrization groups on the space of stable or weakly stable L_k^p -maps [Liu 2013, Liu 2015] to the case with domain \mathbf{P}^n acting on by $PSL(n + 1, \mathbf{C})$. Further generalizations to other higher dimensional but smooth domains will be treated in a companion of this paper.

The main difficulty for such a generalization lies on the well-known fact: unlike 1-dimensional case, higher dimensional biholomorphic maps are not conformal in general. Thus even though a version of higher dimensional energy function of the L_k^p -maps, the key quantity used for the 1-dimensional case [Liu 2015], can be defined, it is only invariant with respect to the conformal automorphisms of the domains but not respect to the natural action of the reparametrization group of biholomorphic automorphisms.

In this paper, instead of using energy function, the volume function $v(f)$ is used to define the notion of v -stability for L_k^p -maps and to prove part of the corresponding results in [Liu 2015] described as follows.

Let M and N be compact Riemannian manifolds of class C^∞ with $\dim(M) \leq \dim(N)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}(M, N)$ be the mapping space of L_k^p -maps. Throughout the paper, we always assume that $m_0 = [k - \frac{m}{p}] \geq 1$ where $m = \dim(M)$ so that each L_k^p -map is at least of class C^1 by Sobolev embedding theorem.

When the domain M is \mathbf{P}^n , the group $G = PSL(n+1, \mathbf{C})$ operates on the space $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbf{P}^n, N)$ as the group of reparametrizations.

Recall in the case that X is a locally compact topological space such as a finite dimensional manifold and G is a Lie group or a locally compact topological group, a (continuous) group action $\Phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is said to be proper if the map $\Phi \times id_X : G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is a proper map: the inverse image $(\Phi \times id_X)^{-1}(K)$ of any compact subset $K \subset X \times X$ is compact.

In our infinite dimensional case, $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbf{P}^n, N)$ is not locally compact, the above definition is too weak to be useful.

In [Liu 2013, Liu 2015], we have introduced the following stronger definition.

Definition 1.1 *A group action $\Phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is said to be proper if for any compact subset $K \subset X \times X$, there is a neighborhood U of K in $X \times X$ such that the image $\pi_G((\Phi \times id_X)^{-1}(U))$ of the projection to G of the inverse image $(\Phi \times id_X)^{-1}(U)$ is pre-compact in G .*

It was proved in [Liu 2013, Liu 2015] that in the case X is a locally compact topological space acting by a locally compact group G , the definition here is equivalent to the usual one.

Now we define the notion of v -stability [Liu 2013].

Definition 1.2 *A L_k^p -map $f : M \rightarrow N$ with $\dim(M) = m$ is said to be v -stable if its volume $v(f) > 0$. Here $v(f) = v_m(f)$ if $v_m(f) > 0$ and $v(f) = v_{m-1}(f)$ if $v_m(f) = 0$, defined by using the two volume functions v_{m-1} and v_m of dimension $m-1$ and m respectively.*

The notion of v_{m-1}/v_m -stability is defined similarly.

Remark 1.1 *In the case that $\dim(M) = 2$, $f : M \rightarrow N$ is v -stable if and only if f is not a constant map, and hence weakly stable by the definition in [Liu 2015]. In this sense v -stability is the natural generalization of the notion of weak stability in [Liu 2015] to the higher dimensional case with smooth domains. The theorems below show that v -stable maps have the similar properties as weakly stable maps.*

Let $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*(\mathbf{P}^n, N)$ be the space of v -stable L_k^p -maps on \mathbf{P}^n .

Theorem 1.1 *The action of $G = PSL(n+1, \mathbf{C})$ on the space $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*(\mathbf{P}^n, N)$ is proper.*

Corollary 1.1 *For any v -stable L_k^p -map f , its stabilizer Γ_f is always a compact subgroup of G .*

Proposition 1.1 *The action of $G = PSL(n+1, \mathbf{C})$ on the space $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*(\mathbf{P}^n, N)$ is G -Hausdorff. Therefore, the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*(\mathbf{P}^n, N)/G$ of unparametrized v -stable L_k^p -maps is Hausdorff.*

Corollary 1.2 *Given any f in $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*$, the G -orbit $G \cdot f$ is closed in $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*$.*

Definition 1.3 *A L_k^p -map f in $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*$ is said to be stable if its stabilizer Γ_f is a finite group.*

Theorem 1.2 *Any v_{2n} -stable L_k^p -map on \mathbf{P}^n is stable.*

Definition 1.4 *A L_k^p -map $f : \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow N$ is said to be (one of) the standard S^1 -invariant map if $f = \bar{f} \circ \pi$ where $\pi : \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n/S^1$ is the quotient map of the standard S^1 -actions on \mathbf{P}^n and $\bar{f} : \mathbf{P}^n/S^1 \rightarrow N$ is the induced map.*

Theorem 1.3 *Any v -stable L_k^p -map on \mathbf{P}^n is stable if it is not (one of) the standard S^1 -invariant map (up to a conjugation).*

Corollary 1.3 *For a v -stable L_k^p -map, if the identity component Γ_f^0 of the isotropy group is nontrivial, it is isomorphic to S^1 . This can happen only when f is one of the standard S^1 -invariant map (up to a conjugation).*

After defining the two volume functions in Sec. 2, the theorems stated in this section are proved in Sec. 3.

2 Definitions of the Volume Functions

In this section we give the definitions $v_m(f)$ and $v_{m-1}(f)$ of the volume functions.

The definition for $v_m(f)$ is standard that we recall now. For Riemannian manifolds M and N and a C^1 map $f : M \rightarrow N$ with $\dim(M) = m$, the

m -dimensional volume function $v_m(f) := \text{vol}_m(f) = \int_M v_m(df) d\nu_M$, where $d\nu_M = |d\tilde{\nu}_M|$ is the volume density and $d\tilde{\nu}_M$ is the volume form determined by the metric of M . Here $d\tilde{\nu}_M$ is defined upto a sign and $v_m(df) =: \text{vol}_m(df)$ is a non-negative function defined on M as follows. For any $x \in M$, let (e_1, \dots, e_m) be a orthonormal frame of $T_x M$. Then $v_m(df)(x)$ is defined to be the m -dimensional volume of the parallelepiped spanned by $df(e_1), \dots, df(e_m)$ in $T_{f(x)} N$ measured by the metric $g_{f(x)}$ on $T_{f(x)} N$. Note that $v(df)(x)$ is independent of the choices of the orthonormal frames of $T_x M$ since $|df(e_1) \wedge \dots \wedge df(e_m)| = |\det(a_{i,j}) df(e'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge df(e'_m)|$ where $e_i = \sum_j a_{i,j} e'_j$.

In the case that f is a immersion at x , hence a local embedding on a small neighborhood U of x with the m -dimensional image \tilde{U} , consider the restriction map $f : U \rightarrow \tilde{U} \subset N$ and let $d\tilde{\nu}_{m,\tilde{U}}$ be the m -dimensional volume form (defined upto a sign) with respect to the induced metric. On U , define $\tilde{v}_m(df) = f^*(d\tilde{\nu}_{m,\tilde{U}})$. Then $v_m(df) = |\tilde{v}_m(df)/d\tilde{\nu}_{m,U}|$.

This implies the next lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $u : M_1 \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a C^1 -map. Then in a small neighborhood of any $x \in M_1$ where $f \circ u$ is a immersion, $\tilde{v}_m(d(f \circ u)) = u^*[\tilde{v}_m(d(f))]$.*

Corollary 2.1 *Let R_1 be a finite region in M_1 in the above lemma then $v_m(f \circ u|_{R_1}) = v_m(f|_{u(R_1)})$.*

Proof:

Let M^* be the open subset of M such that at any point $x \in M^*$ $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a immersion and $M_1^* = u^{-1}(M^*)$ be the corresponding open set in M_1 . Clearly $v_m(f|_{M^*}) = v_m(f)$ and $v_m(f \circ u^{-1}|_{M_1^*}) = v_m(f \circ u^{-1})$ by the definition. Hence we may assume that R_1 is lying inside M_1^* and $u(R_1)$ is lying inside M^* .

By using a partition of unit, we only need to consider the local case where we may assume that everything involved is oriented and orientation preserving. Then $v_m(df) d\nu_M = \tilde{v}_m(df)$.

By the lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} v(f \circ u|_{R_1}) &= \int_{R_1} \tilde{v}_m(d(f \circ u)) = \int_{R_1} u^*(\tilde{v}_m(df)) \\ &= \int_{u(R_1)} (u^{-1})^*[u^*(\tilde{v}_m(df))] = \int_{u(R_1)} \tilde{v}_m(df) = v(f|_{u(R_1)}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.1 A L_k^p -map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be v_m -stable if $v_m(f) > 0$.

The $(m - 1)$ -volume function $v_{m-1}(f)$ is only defined for $f : M \rightarrow N$ that is not v_m -stable. For such an f , $v_m(df)(x) = 0$ or equivalently $rk(df_x) < m$ for any $x \in M$.

In this situation, let $M_{m-1}^*(f)$ be the open subset of M consisting of points x where $rk(df_x) = m - 1$. If $M_{m-1}^*(f)$ is empty, define $v_{m-1}(f) = 0$. Otherwise $v_{m-1}(f)$ is defined as follows.

For any point $x \in M_{m-1}^*(f)$, by definition the kernel $K_x = \ker(df_x)$ is 1-dimensional subspace of the tangent space T_xM . The collection K of K_x with $x \in M_{m-1}^*(f)$ is an 1-dimensional distribution on $M_{m-1}^*(f)$ and hence is integrable. Thus we get an 1-dimensional foliation on $M_{m-1}^*(f)$. Locally near a given point $x_0 \in M_{m-1}^*(f)$ by choosing a local C^0 -section of K of unit length, we get an ordinary differential equation so the foliation near x_0 can be “represented” by the integral curves of the differential equation. The differential equation here is canonically defined up to a choice of a local orientation for K , hence is globally defined on the double covering of $M_{m-1}^*(f)$ even K is not orientable. Let $S(x_0)$ be a local slice at x_0 transversal to the foliation with $S(x_0) \simeq B^{m-1}$ of a small $(m - 1)$ -dimensional open ball, and $U_\epsilon(S(x_0)) \simeq S(x_0) \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ be the collection of integral curves with initial values at $t = 0$ lying on $S(x_0)$ and t varying in the sufficiently small interval $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Each such neighborhood $U_\epsilon(S(x_0))$ will be called a K -neighborhood of x_0 . Then $M_{m-1}^*(f)$ can be covered by countably many such open K -neighborhoods $U_{\epsilon_i}(S(x_i))$.

Now fix such a $U_\epsilon(S(x_0))$ and consider the restriction map $f : U_\epsilon(S(x_0)) \rightarrow N$. Since f is constant along any leaf of the foliation, the image of $U_\epsilon(S(x_0))$ is the same as that for $f : S(x_0) \rightarrow N$, which is a local embedding when $S(x_0)$ is sufficiently small. Let $\tilde{S}(x_0)$ be the image of $S(x_0) \simeq B^{m-1}$ as a $(m - 1)$ -dimensional submanifold in N . Note that for any other local transversal slice $S(x'_0)$ in $U_\epsilon(S(x_0))$, the integral curves induce an identification $\gamma_{x'_0, x_0} : S(x_0) \rightarrow S(x'_0)$ of class at least C^1 such that $f|_{S(x'_0)} \circ \gamma_{x'_0, x_0} = f|_{S(x_0)}$. Thus the images $\tilde{S}(x_0)$ and $\tilde{S}(x'_0)$ are the same.

For any point $x \in S(x_0)$, let $d\tilde{\nu}_{m-1, \tilde{S}(x_0)}$ be the $(m - 1)$ -dimensional volume form (defined up to a sign) with respect to the induced metric.

Definition 2.2 On $S(x_0)$, define $\tilde{v}_{m-1}(df_{S(x_0)}) = f|_{S(x_0)}^*(d\tilde{\nu}_{m-1, \tilde{S}(x_0)})$
and $v_{m-1}(df|_{S(x_0)}) = |\tilde{v}_{m-1}(df|_{S(x_0)})/d\tilde{\nu}_{m-1, S(x_0)}|$.

Then the next lemma follows from the definitions above.

Lemma 2.2 Let $u : M_1 \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism and $f : M \rightarrow N$ as above. Consider a small transversal slice $S(y_0)$ in $(M_1^*)_{m-1}(f \circ u)$. Let $S(x_0) = u(S(y_0))$ be the corresponding transversal slice in $M_{m-1}^*(f)$. Then $\tilde{v}_{m-1}(d(f \circ u|_{S(y_0)})) = u^*[\tilde{v}_{m-1}(d(f|_{S(x_0)}))]$.

For any two small local slice $S(x_0)$ and $S(x'_0)$ in $U_\epsilon(S(x_0))$, $\tilde{v}_{m-1}(d(f|_{S(x_0)})) = \gamma_{x'_0, x_0}^*[\tilde{v}_{m-1}(d(f|_{S(x'_0)}))]$.

Definition 2.3 The local $(m-1)$ -volume of f over $U_\epsilon(S(x_0))$ is defined to be $v_{m-1}(f|_{U_\epsilon(S(x_0))}) = \int_{S(x_0)} v_{m-1}(d(f|_{S(x_0)})) d\nu_{S(x_0)}$, where $d\nu_{S(x_0)}$ is the volume density on $S(x_0)$.

Then by above lemma, we have

Corollary 2.2 The local $(m-1)$ -volume $v_{m-1}(f|_{U_\epsilon(S(x_0))})$ is well-defined independent of the choice of the local transversal slice. Let $u : M_1 \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism and $f : M \rightarrow N$ as above lemma. Consider a small K -neighborhood $U(S(y_0))$ in $(M_1^*)_{m-1}(f \circ u)$. Let $U(S(x_0)) = u(U(S(y_0)))$ be the corresponding K -neighborhood $U(S(y_0))$ in $M_{m-1}^*(f)$. Then $v_{m-1}(f \circ u|_{U(S(y_0))}) = v_{m-1}(f|_{U(S(x_0))})$.

The proof of the corollary is essentially the same as the proof of the Corollary 2.1. We leave it to the readers.

To define the global $(m-1)$ -volume $v_{m-1}(f)$, we define $v_{m-1}(f|_{\cup_{i=1}^l U(S(x_i))})$ for the finite union of K -sets $\cup_{i=1}^l U(S(x_i))$ first. The image $\cup_{i=1}^l \tilde{S}(x_i)$ of $\cup_{i=1}^l U(S(x_i))$ under f is an immersed $(m-1)$ dimensional submanifold with self-intersections. To define $v_{m-1}(f|_{\cup_{i=1}^l U(S(x_i))})$, we construct partitions of unit on subsets of the image $\cup_{i=1}^l \tilde{S}(x_i)$ first.

Let β_i be a smooth bump-off function supported in $U(S(x_i))$ and $\beta_{S,i}$ be the restriction of β_i to $S(x_i)$. Then $\beta_{S,i}$ can be considered as a function define on the image $\tilde{S}(x_i)$. Consider the open subset $S'(x_i)$ of $S(x_i)$ consisting of points x where $\beta_i(x) > 0$. Then on the finite union $\cup_{i=1}^l \tilde{S}'(x_i)$ of the images of $S'(x_i)$, the nonzero bump-off functions $\beta_{S,i}, i = 1, \dots, l$ define a partition

of unit in the usual manner. Denote the bump-off function of the partition of unit on $\tilde{S}'(x_i) \simeq S'(x_i)$ by α_i .

For each choice of β above, define

$$\begin{aligned} v_{m-1,\beta}(f|_{\cup_{i=1}^l U(S(x_i))}) &= \sum_{i=1}^l \left| \int_{S'(x_i)} f^*(\alpha_i d\tilde{\nu}_{\tilde{S}'(x_i)}) \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \left| \int_{S'(x_i)} f^*(\alpha_i) \cdot \tilde{v}_{m-1}(df|_{S'(x_i)}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.4 *The $(m-1)$ -volume functions are defined by:*

(1) $v_{m-1}(f|_{\cup_{i=1}^l U(S(x_i))}) = \sup_{\beta} v_{m-1,\beta}(f|_{\cup_{i=1}^l U(S(x_i))})$ over all bump-off functions β ; (2) $v_{m-1}(f)$ is equal to the supremum of $v_{m-1}(f|_{\cup_{i=1}^l U(S(x_i))})$ over all finite union of K -subsets $\cup_{i=1}^l U(S(x_i))$ in M_{m-1}^* .

For the proofs in next section only the properties for the local volume v_{m-1} stated in above lemma are used. The definition above for the global $(m-1)$ -volume is to ensure that if $v_{m-1}(f) > 0$, so is $v_{m-1}(f|_{U(S(x_0))}) > 0$ for some K -set $U(S(x_0))$ in M_{m-1}^* .

The functions $v_i(f)$ for all $0 < i \leq m = \dim(M)$ can be defined using similar ideas. However for the proofs in this paper only above two functions are useful.

3 Proof of the Main Theorems

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1, Proposition 1.1, Corollary 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

We make a reduction first.

Lemma 3.1 *A group action $\Phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is proper if and only if for any point $p \in X \times X$ there is a neighborhood U of p in $X \times X$ such that the closure of $\pi_G((\Phi \times id_X)^{-1}(U))$ is compact in G .*

The proof of the lemma is elementary and is given in [Liu 2015].

By the above lemma, the properness of the action of G on $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*(\mathbf{P}^n, N)$ can be derived from the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *The action of $G = PSL(n + 1, \mathbf{C})$ on $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*(\mathbf{P}^n, N)$ has the following property: for any f_1 and f_2 there exist the open neighborhoods $U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$ and $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$ containing f_1 and f_2 and compact subsets K_1 and K_2 in G accordingly such that for any h_1 in $U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$ (h_2 in $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$) and g_1 in $G \setminus K_1$ (g_2 in $G \setminus K_2$), $g_1 \cdot h_1$ is not in $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$ ($g_2 \cdot h_2$ is not in $U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$).*

Proof:

We start with some elementary linear algebra. For any $g \in SL(n + 1, \mathbf{C})$, we have a decomposition in $SL(n + 1, \mathbf{C})$, $g = h \cdot u$ with $u \in SU(n + 1)$ and h being self-adjoint and positive. Indeed $h = (g \cdot g^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in SL(n + 1, \mathbf{C})$ and $u = (g \cdot g^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot g \in SU(n + 1)$. Consider the decomposition $h = w^* \cdot \text{diag}(r_1, r_2 \cdots, r_{n+1}) \cdot w$. Then $g = w^* \cdot \text{diag}(r_1, r_2 \cdots, r_{n+1}) \cdot wu$. Here $r_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n + 1$. Rename w^* as u and wu as v . Denote $\text{diag}(r_1, r_2 \cdots, r_{n+1})$ by $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ for short. Then we have the decomposition $g = u \cdot \Delta(\mathbf{r}) \cdot v$ in $SL(n + 1)$ with u and v in $SU(n + 1)$. This decomposition is not unique for a non generic g , but we only need the existence of the decomposition.

We always assume that \mathbf{r} is ordered as $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \cdots \leq r_{n+1}$. Then as an element in $PSL(n + 1)$, we may assume that $r_{n+1} = 1$ and $\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = \text{diag}(r_1, r_2 \cdots, r_n, 1)$. Denote the smallest element r_1 by a and $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ by $\Delta(a)$.

Assume that the Theorem 2.1 (a) is not true. Then for any neighbourhoods $U_{\epsilon_i}(f_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and any nested sequences of compact sets $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_l \cdots$ in G , there are sequences $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in G and $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in $U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$ such that (a) g_k is not in $K_{i(k)}$; (b) $h_k \circ g_k$ is in $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$.

Here $U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$, $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$ and K_k , $k = 1, \cdots$, will be decided below. Note that we allow $f_1 = f_2$ but the choice of $U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$ and $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$ below are different.

Let D_{n+1} be the collection of all non-singular diagonal matrices with $n + 1$ positive entries. Choose $\tilde{K}_k \subset SU(n + 1) \times D_{n+1} \times SU(n + 1)$ to be $\{(u, \Delta(\mathbf{r}), v) \in SU(n + 1) \times D_{n+1} \times SU(n + 1) \mid \frac{1}{n} \leq r_i \leq 1, i = 1, \cdots, k + 1\}$, where $\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = \text{diag}(r_1, \cdots, r_{n+1})$. Denote the corresponding compact set in $PSL(n + 1)$ by K_n obtained by sending (u, \mathbf{r}, v) to $u \cdot \Delta(\mathbf{r}) \cdot v$.

First fix $U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$ without any restrictions.

Now we need to deal with the two case: (I) $v_m(f_2) > 0$ and (II) $v_m(f_2) = 0$ but $v_{m-1}(f_2) > 0$. Here and below $m = 2n$.

For case (I) we may assume that $v_m(f_1) > 0$ as well. Indeed if in this case $v_m(f_1) = 0$ but $v_{m-1}(f_1) > 0$, by replacing g_k by g_k^{-1} and switching the roles of f_1 and f_2 , it is reduced to one of the sub-cases of case (II). Though it is

possible to give an unified proof for both cases together, in the following we give proofs for each of the cases.

- Proof for case (I).

In this case, we may assume that $v_m(h) > 0$ for all the L_k^p -maps h involved so that only the function v_m is used.

Now we choose ϵ_2 for $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$ as follows. Since f_2 is v_m -stable, its volume $v_m(f_2) = \delta_2 > 0$. Then there is a point $x_0 \in \mathbf{CP}^n$ such that $v_m(df_2)(x_0) > 0$. Hence there are positive constants γ and ρ small enough such that for any x in the ball $B(x_0; \rho)$ of radius ρ centered at x_0 , $v_m(df_2)(x) > \gamma$. Then there is an $\tilde{\epsilon}_2 > 0$ such that for any h with $\|h - f_2\|_{C^1} < \tilde{\epsilon}_2$, the same is true.

Now $\|h - f_2\|_{C^1} \leq C_2 \cdot \|h - f_2\|_{k,p}$ by our assumption. Hence we choose ϵ_2 by the requirement that $\epsilon_2 < \tilde{\epsilon}_2/C_2$ so that for any $h \in U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$, $\|h - f_2\|_{C^1} < \tilde{\epsilon}_2$.

With this choice of ϵ_2 , for any $h \in U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$, and any point $x \in B(x_0; \rho)$, $v_m(dh)(x) > \gamma$.

In these notations, the condition (a) above implies that for g_k in G with $g_k = u_k \cdot D(a_k) \cdot v_k$, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = 0$.

After taking subsequence, we may assume that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k = u$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_k = v$ in $SU(n+1)$

Note that when considered as automorphisms on \mathbf{CP}^n , the convergence here are with respect to C^∞ -topology on the corresponding mapping space.

Let $\mathbf{CP}^n = \mathbf{C}^n \cup \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$. Now we have the following two cases: (A) $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \cap v(B(x_0; \rho)) = \varphi$ and (B) $\mathbf{CP}^{n-1} \cap v(B(x_0; \rho)) \neq \varphi$. Since v is an isometry, it is easy to see that in both cases, there exists an $x_1 \in B(x_0; \rho)$ and a positive number $\rho_1 \ll \rho$ such that $v(B(x_1; \rho_1)) \cap \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} = \varphi$. We may assume that $\text{dist}(v(B(x_1; \rho_1)), \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) > \delta > 0$. Then for $i > i_0$ large enough, $\text{dist}(v_i(B(x_1; \rho_1)), \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) > \delta$ as well. Hence there is a large R such that for $i > i_0$ large enough, $v_i(B(x_1; \rho_1))$ is lying inside $D_R^n =: D(R_1) \times \cdots \times D(R_n) \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ with $R_1 = R_2 = \cdots = R_n = R$ of the n -fold product of the open disks centered at origin in \mathbf{C} with radius R .

Note that in term of the coordinate of $\mathbf{C}^n \subset \mathbf{CP}^n$, the action of $\Delta(a_i)$ is given by $\Delta(a_i)(z) = (a_i \cdot z_1, r_{i,2} \cdot z_2, \cdots, r_{i,n} \cdot z_n)$ with $a_i \leq r_{i,2} \leq \cdots \leq r_{i,n} \leq 1$.

Hence for any fixed $R > 0$ and any given $\epsilon > 0$, our assumption that $a_i \mapsto 0$ implies that there is a fixed $i_0(\epsilon) \gg 0$ such that when $i > i_0(\epsilon)$, $\Delta(a_i)(v_i(B(x_1; \rho_1))) \subset a_i \cdot D(R_1) \times r_{i,2} \cdot D(R_2) \times \cdots \times r_{i,n} \cdot D(R_n) \subset D(\epsilon) \times D(R_2) \times \cdots \times D(R_n)$ with $R_k = R$ for $k = 1, \cdots, n$.

Hence the $\text{vol}(\Delta(a_i)(v_i(B(x_1; \rho_1)))) \leq C_3 \epsilon^2 R^{2n-2}$. Here the volumes are

computed with respect to the Fubini-Study metric which is uniformly equivalent to the flat metric on D_R^n for fixed R . Applying this to $g_i = u_i \circ \Delta(a_i) \circ v_i$, since u_i preserves the Fubini-Study metric, we conclude that for i large enough, $\text{vol}(g_i(B(x_1; \rho_1))) < C_4 \epsilon^2$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} v_m((h_i \circ g_i)|_{B(x_1; \rho_1)}) &= v_m(h_i|_{g_i(B(x_1; \rho_1))}) \\ &\leq \|h_i\|_{C^1}^{2n} \text{vol}(g_i(B(x_1; \rho_1))) \leq C_4 \cdot \|h_i\|_{C^1}^{2n} \epsilon^2 \\ &\leq C_4 \|h_i\|_{k,p}^{2n} \epsilon^2 \leq C_4 (\|f_1\|_{k,p} + \|h_i - f_1\|_{k,p})^{2n} \epsilon^2 \\ &\leq C_4 (\|f_1\|_{k,p} + \epsilon_1)^{2n} \epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $\epsilon \mapsto 0$, we conclude that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_m((h_i \circ g_i)|_{B(x_1; \rho_1)}) = 0$.

Now $h_i \circ g_i \in U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$. Recall that for any $h \in U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$, $v_m(h|_{B(x_1; \rho_1)}) > C \cdot \gamma \cdot \rho_1^{2n}$, which is a fixed positive constant. This is a contradiction. \square

- Proof for case (II).

The proof for this case is a modification of the proof above for case (I). We still argue by contradiction. The choices for ϵ_1 and K_k are the same as above.

Now we choose ϵ_2 for $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$ as follows. Recall in this case, we may assume that $v_m(f_2) = 0$ but $v_{m-1}(f_2) > 0$. Assume that $v_{m-1}(f_2) = \delta_2 > 0$. Then there is a point $x_0 \in \mathbf{CP}^n$ such that $v_{m-1}(df_2|_U)(x_0) > 0$ for some small open K -subset U . Hence there are positive constants γ and ρ small enough such that (1) there is a $(m-1)$ -dimensional geodesic ball $B_{m-1}(x_0; \rho)$ of radius ρ centered at x_0 and (2) for any x in $B_{m-1}(x_0; \rho)$, the $v_{m-1}(df_2|_{B_{m-1}(x_0; \rho)})(x) > \gamma$. Then there is an $\tilde{\epsilon}_2 > 0$ such that for any h with $\|h - f_2\|_{C^1} < \tilde{\epsilon}_2$, the same is true: $v_{m-1}(dh|_{B_{m-1}(x_0; \rho)})(x) > \gamma$.

As before, since $\|h - f_2\|_{C^1} \leq C_2 \cdot \|h - f_2\|_{k,p}$ by choosing ϵ_2 such that $\epsilon_2 < \tilde{\epsilon}_2/C_2$, we have that for any $h \in U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$, $\|h - f_2\|_{C^1} < \tilde{\epsilon}_2$.

With this choice of ϵ_2 , for any $h \in U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$, and any point $x \in B_{m-1}(x_0; \rho)$, $v_{m-1}(dh|_{B_{m-1}(x_0; \rho)})(x) > \gamma$.

Let $\mathbf{CP}^n = \mathbf{C}^n \cup \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$. Since $m-1 = 2n-1 > \dim(\mathbf{CP}^{n-1})$, as before, we may assume that there exists an $x_1 \in B_{m-1}(x_0; \rho)$ and a positive number $\rho_1 \ll \rho$ such that $v(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)) \cap \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} = \varnothing$ where $B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)$ is the $((m-1)$ -dimensional) ball of radius ρ_1 centered at x_1 inside $B_{m-1}(x_0; \rho)$. Then

$dist(v(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)), \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) > \delta > 0$ and $dist(v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)), \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}) > \delta$ for i large enough.

Hence there is a large R such that for $i > i_0$ large enough, $v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho))$ is lying inside $D_R^n =: D(R_1) \times \cdots \times D(R_n) \subset \mathbf{C}^n \simeq \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ of the n -fold product of the open disks centered at origin in \mathbf{C} with radius $R_i = R$.

Now the key point is to show that $vol_{m-1}[\Delta(a_i)(v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)))]$ tends to zero as i goes to infinity. By projecting to one of the $(2n-1)$ -dimensional coordinate planes, the tangent planes of $v(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))$ and $v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))$ at x_1 can be realized a graph of a linear function. Hence for ρ_1 small enough, $v(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))$ and $v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))$ can be realized a graph of a function as well over the coordinate plane with the dimension $2n-1 = m-1$. Hence at least one of the first two coordinate lines in $\mathbf{R}^{2n} \simeq \mathbf{C}^n$ has to be included in the $(2n-1)$ -dimensional coordinate plane.

Now recall that in term of the coordinate of $\mathbf{C}^n \subset \mathbf{CP}^n$, the action of $\Delta(a_i)$ is given by $\Delta(a_i)(z) = (a_i \cdot z_1, r_{i,2} \cdot z_2, \cdots, r_{i,n} \cdot z_n)$ with $a_i \leq r_{i,2} \leq \cdots \leq r_{i,n} \leq 1$. By renaming the coordinate line transversal to the $(2n-1)$ -dimensional coordinate plane as the last coordinate line of \mathbf{R}^{2n} , we may assume that the $(2n-1)$ -dimensional coordinate plane are given by the coordinates (x_1, \cdots, x_{2n-1}) . The action $\Delta(a_i)$ then has the form $\Delta(a_i)(x_1, \cdots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) = (a_i \cdot x_1, r_{i,2} \cdot x_2, \cdots, r_{i,K-1} \cdot x_{K-1}, r_{i,K} \cdot x_K, \cdots, r_{i,2n} \cdot x_{2n})$ with the property that (1) $a_i \leq r_{i,1} \leq \cdots \leq r_{i,2n-1} \leq 1$; (2) there is a $K \geq 2$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{i,j} = 0$ for $j < K$ and $r_{i,j}$ is bounded below from zero for $K \leq j \leq 2n-1$; (3) $r_{i,2n} \leq 1$. Then $\Delta(a_i)$ is decomposed as $\Delta(a_i) = \Delta^{<K}(a_i) \circ \Delta^{\geq K}(a_i)$. Here the action of $\Delta^{<K}(a_i)$ is the same as that $\Delta(a_i)$ on the first $(K-1)$ variables but the identity on the rest of variables; and $\Delta^{\geq K}(a_i)$ is just another way around.

Now using the family of $(2n - (K-1))$ -dimensional planes parallel to the coordinate plane of the last $(2n - (K-1))$ coordinates of \mathbf{R}^{2n} to slice $v(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))$ and $v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))$, they are realized as families of $(2n - K)$ -dimensional balls (generically) of bounded volumes over the $(K-1)$ -dimensional finite ellipsoids E and E_i in the coordinate plane of the first $(K-1)$ coordinates. Denote these families by $\pi : v(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)) \rightarrow E$ and $\pi_i : v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)) \rightarrow E_i$. Let M be an up bound of $(2n - K)$ -dimensional volume of the balls (fibers) in the family π . Since $v_i \mapsto v$ in C^∞ -topology, we may assume that M is also an up bound of the $(2n - K)$ -dimensional volumes of the fibers of the family π_i for i large enough. Then by Fubini's theorem, $vol_{m-1}(v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))) \leq M \cdot vol_{K-1}(E_i)$. Since $\Delta^{\geq K}(a_i)$ acts as

identity in the first $K - 1$ variables with a dilation factor less than 1 on the rest of variables, we still have

$$\text{vol}_{m-1}(\Delta^{\geq K}(a_i)(v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)))) \leq M \cdot \text{vol}_{K-1}(E_i).$$

Now applying $\Delta^{<K}(a_i)$ by noting that $\Delta^{<K}(a_i)$ only acts on the first $K - 1$ variables leaving the rest unchanged,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{vol}_{m-1}(\Delta(a_i)(v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)))) \\ &= \text{vol}_{m-1}(\Delta^{<K}(a_i) \circ \Delta^{\geq K}(a_i)(v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)))) \\ &\leq M \cdot \text{vol}_{K-1}(\Delta^{<K}(a_i)(E_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Now E and hence E_i are lying in a finite ball of a large radius R in the coordinate plane of the first $K - 1$ variables.

By the definition, $\text{vol}_{K-1}(\Delta^{<K}(a_i)(E_i)) \leq r_{i,K-1} \text{vol}_{K-1}(E_i) \leq C \cdot r_{i,K-1} R^{K-1}$ that goes to zero as i goes to infinity. Hence $\text{vol}_{m-1}(\Delta(a_i)(v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)))) \mapsto 0$ as $i \mapsto \infty$. Note that in above the volume is computed in Euclidean metric. Since $v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))$ and $\Delta(a_i)(v_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)))$ are lying in a bounded region $D_R^n \subset \mathbf{C}^n$, the same conclusion is true with respect to the Fubini-Study metric. Then as before, we conclude from above that $\text{vol}_{m-1}(g_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))) \mapsto 0$ as $i \mapsto \infty$.

The rest of the proof is the same as the one for case I by replacing $B(x_1; \rho_1)$ there by $B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)$ and m -volumes there by the corresponding $(m - 1)$ -volumes.

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{m-1}((h_i \circ g_i)|_{B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)}) = v_{m-1}(h_i|_{g_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))}) \\ &\leq \|h_i\|_{C^1}^{2n-1} \text{vol}_{m-1}(g_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))) \\ &\leq C(\|f_1\|_{k,p} + \|h_i - f_1\|_{k,p})^{2n-1} \text{vol}_{m-1}(g_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))) \\ &\leq C(\|f_1\|_{k,p} + \epsilon_1)^{2n-1} \text{vol}_{m-1}(g_i(B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1))). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_{m-1}((h_i \circ g_i)|_{B_{m-1}(x_1; \rho_1)}) = 0$.

Now $h_i \circ g_i \in U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$. Recall that for any $h \in U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$, $v_{m-1}(h|_{B(x_1; \rho_1)}) > C \cdot \gamma \cdot \rho_1^{2n-1}$, which is a fixed positive constant. This is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 3.1 *Corollary 1.1 holds.*

Proof:

We need to show that Γ_f is compact if f is v -stable.

By taking $f = f_1 = f_2$ with f being v -stable, the above theorem implies that there is a compact subset $K \subset G$ such that Γ_f is contained in K . It is well-known that the action map $\Phi : G \times \mathcal{M}_{k,p}(M, N) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k,p}(M, N)$ is continuous (for a proof, see [Liu 2017] for instance) so that Γ_f is closed. \square

Corollary 3.2 *The Corollary 1.2 holds.*

Proof:

We need to prove that the G -orbit of f in $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*$ is closed.

Rename f as f_1 . If the corollary is not true, there exist $g_i \in G$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*$ such that $f_2 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_1 \circ g_i$, but f_2 is not in $G \cdot f_1$. Therefore for any $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$, when i is large enough, $f_1 \circ g_i$ is in $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$. On the other hand, the Theorem 3.1 with the same notation implies that for all such i , g_i is in the compact set K_1 . Therefore, we may assume that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i = g$ in K_1 . Consequently, $f_2 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_1 \circ g_i = f_1 \circ g$. That is $f_2 \in G \cdot f_1$ which is a contradiction. \square

We restate the Propostion 1.1 below.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $G = PSL(n+1, \mathbf{C})$. Then the space $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}^*(\mathbf{P}^n, N)$ of v -stable L_k^p -maps on \mathbf{P}^n is G -Hausdorff in the sense that for any two diffent G -orbits Gf_1 and Gf_2 , there exit G -neighborhoods GU_1 and GU_2 such that $GU_1 \cap GU_2 = \varphi$. Therefore, the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbf{P}^n, N)/G$ of unparametrized v -stable L_k^p -maps is Hausdroff.*

Proof:

By Theorem 3.1, for any g not in the compact set K_1 and $h \in U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$, $h \circ g$ is not in $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$. By our assumption, we may assume that $U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$ and $U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$ have no intersection.

- Claim: when $\epsilon_i, i = 1, 2$ are small enough, $(G \cdot U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)) \cap U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$ is empty.

Proof:

If this is not true, there are $h_i \in U_{\delta_i}(f_1)$ and $g_i \in K_1$ such that $h_i \circ g_i$ is in $U_{\delta_i}(f_2)$ with $\delta_i \mapsto 0$. The compactness of K_1 implies that after taking a subsequence, we have that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i = g \in K_1$. Since $\delta_i \mapsto 0$, we have that $f_1 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_i$ and $f_2 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_i \circ g_i = f_1 \circ g$. Hence, f_1 and f_2 are in the same orbit which contradicts to our assumption. Note that in the last identity above, we have used the fact that the action map $\Psi : G \times \mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbf{P}^n, N) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbf{P}^n, N)$ is continuous. □

Of course the same proof also implies that $(G \cdot U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)) \cap U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)$ is also empty for sufficiently small $\epsilon_i, i = 1, 2$.

If $h \in (G \cdot U_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)) \cap (G \cdot U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2))$, then there are $h_i \in U_{\epsilon_i}(f_i)$ and $g_i \in G, i = 1, 2$ such that $h = h_1 \circ g_1 = h_2 \circ g_2$. Hence $h_2 = h_1 \circ g_1 \circ g_2^{-1}$ and $(GU_{\epsilon_1}(f_1)) \cap U_{\epsilon_2}(f_2)$ is not empty. This contradicts to the above claim. □

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3

We need show that (i) any v_{2n} -stable L_k^p -map f with the domain \mathbf{CP}^n is stable; (ii) if $v_{2n}(f) = 0$ but $v(f) > 0$, then f is either a stable map or one of S^1 -invariant maps (up to an conjugation).

Proof:

Since in both cases $v(f) > 0$, Γ_f is compact. If the identity component Γ_f^0 is nontrivial, let $\tilde{\Gamma}_f^0$ be the lifting of Γ_f^0 in $SL(n+1, \mathbf{C})$. Since maximal compact and connected subgroup $SU(n+1)$ has only one orbit inside $SL(n+1, \mathbf{C})$ under conjugations, we may assume that $\tilde{\Gamma}_f^0$ is contained in $SU(n+1)$ so that Γ_f^0 is contained in $SU(n+1)/\mathbf{Z}_2^{n+1}$. Thus up to a conjugation, we may assume that $\tilde{\Gamma}_f^0$ contains a subgroup S^1 inside the maximal torus $T^{n+1} \subset SU(n+1)$ such that the induced action of $S^1 \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_f^0$ on \mathbf{P}^n given by $e^\theta \cdot (z_0 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n) = (e^{m_0\theta} z_0 : e^{m_1\theta} z_1 : \cdots : e^{m_n\theta} z_n)$ is nontrivial. It has the form $e^\theta \cdot (z_1, \cdots, z_n) = (e^{m_1\theta} z_1, e^{m_2\theta} z_2, \cdots, e^{m_n\theta} z_n)$ with some of $m_i \neq 0$, with respect to the coordinate $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ of \mathbf{C}^n for a proper choice of the decomposition $\mathbf{CP}^n = \mathbf{C}^n \cup \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$. The S^1 -action here is the so called (one of) standard S^1 -action in (ii) above.

If $\dim(L(\Gamma_f^0)) \geq 2$, let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be two linear independent elements in

$L(\Gamma_f^0) = L(\tilde{\Gamma}_f^0)$ with the corresponding 1-parameter subgroups $S_{\xi_1}^1$ and $S_{\xi_2}^1$ both $\simeq S^1$, each acting on \mathbf{P}^n in the form above up to a conjugation. Since both actions come from/extend to the corresponding C^* -actions, if the two actions of $S_{\xi_1}^1$ and $S_{\xi_2}^1$ are identical on some open set of \mathbf{P}^n , so are the corresponding C^* -actions. Since the C^* -actions are algebraic, the two actions of $S_{\xi_1}^1$ and $S_{\xi_2}^1$ are the same if they agree on an open set.

Now consider the map from $L(\Gamma_f^0)$ to the set of vector fields $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{P}^n)$ given by sending ξ to the vector field X_ξ that generates the action of S_ξ^1 . The special form of the S^1 -action above implies that the map is injective. Let $L(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be the linear span of ξ_1 and ξ_2 . Then above argument implies that the "plane" field $L(X_{\xi_1}, X_{\xi_2})$ is 2-dimensional generically in the sense that the set of points x where $\dim[L(X_{\xi_1}, X_{\xi_2})(x)] < 2$ has no interior point. Now by definition $L(X_{\xi_1}, X_{\xi_2})(x) \subset L(\Gamma_f^0)$ is contained in $\ker(df_x)$. Hence at any generic point x , the $rk(df_x) < m - 1$. Hence the same is true for all point $x \in \mathbf{P}^n$ by the lower semi-continuity of the function $x \rightarrow rk(df_x)$, which contradicts to $v_{m-1}(f) > 0$. Hence $\dim L(\Gamma_f^0) = 1$ and $\Gamma_f^0 \simeq S^1$.

We have proved that if $v(f) > 0$ and Γ_f^0 is nontrivial, then f is one of the standard S^1 -invariant map up to a conjugation. This proves (ii).

To prove (i), still assume the identity component Γ_f^0 is nontrivial, hence with the S^1 -action above. Assume that $m_1 \neq 0$. Since f is v_{2n} -stable, df_v is injective at some point x_0 so that f_v is a local embedding on a small ϵ -polydisk $D_\epsilon^n(x_0) =: D_\epsilon(x_{0,1}) \times \cdots \times D_\epsilon(x_{0,n}) \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ where $D_\epsilon(x_{0,j})$ is the open disk in \mathbf{C} centered at $x_{0,j}$ with radius ϵ .

By taking a smaller ϵ -polydisk, we may assume that the origin $0 \in \mathbf{C}$, which is the fixed point of the nontrivial action $\phi_i(\theta, z) = e^{m_i \theta} z$ is not contained in $D_\epsilon(x_{0,i})$.

Then for $\phi \neq id \in S^1$ but sufficiently close to id , the image $\phi(D_{\epsilon/2}(x_{0,i}))$ is different from $D_{\epsilon/2}(x_{0,i})$ but still inside $D_\epsilon(x_{0,i})$ if ϕ_i is nontrivial, where ϕ_i is the i -th component of ϕ so that the image $\phi_i(D_{\epsilon/2}^n(x_0))$ is different from $D_{\epsilon/2}^n(x_0)$. Since f is an embedding on $D_\epsilon^n(x_0)$, the images $f(D_{\epsilon/2}^n(x_0))$ and $f(\phi(D_{\epsilon/2}^n(x_0))) = f \circ \phi(D_{\epsilon/2}^n(x_0))$ then are different. Hence on $D_{\epsilon/2}^n(x_0)$, $f \circ \phi \neq f$ already so that ϕ is not lying inside the isotropy group of f . This is a contradiction.

□

References

- [Kontsevich 1995] Kontsevich, M: *Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions Preprint* (1995), arXiv:hep-th.9405035.
- [Liu 2017] Liu, G: *Higher-degree smoothness of perturbations I*, arXiv:1710.01885 [math.SG].
- [Liu 2015] Liu, G: *On Stability of Nodal L_k^p -Maps I*, arXiv: math.1509.07187[math.SG], 2015; Pure and Appl. Math. Quarterly, to appear.
- [Liu 2013] Liu, G: *On the Action of Reparametrization Group on the Space of L_k^p -maps I, Preprint*(2013). arXiv:1312.4222v3 [math.SG].