

GLOBAL BIFURCATION TECHNIQUES FOR YAMABE TYPE EQUATIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

ALEJANDRO BETANCOURT DE LA PARRA, JURGEN JULIO-BATALLA, AND JIMMY PETEAN

ABSTRACT. We consider a closed Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$ and study positive solutions of the equation $-\Delta_g u + \lambda u = \lambda u^q$, with $\lambda > 0$, $q > 1$. If M supports a proper isoparametric function with focal varieties M_1, M_2 of dimension $d_1 \geq d_2$ we show that for any $q < \frac{n-d_2+2}{n-d_2-2}$ the number of positive solutions of the equation $-\Delta_g u + \lambda u = \lambda u^q$ tends to ∞ as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$. We apply this result to prove multiplicity results for positive solutions of critical and supercritical equations. In particular we prove multiplicity results for the Yamabe equation on Riemannian manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

On a closed Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$ we consider the following *Yamabe type equation*

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta_g u + \lambda u = \lambda u^q,$$

with $\lambda > 0$ and $q > 1$. Let $p_n = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. Equation (1.1) is said to be critical if $q = p_n$, subcritical if $q < p_n$ and supercritical if $q > p_n$. Note that equation (1.1) is equivalent to the equation $-\Delta_g u + \lambda u = \mu u^q$, for any positive constant μ . We pick to normalize the equation in this way so that $u = 1$ is a solution for any λ . It is also very common to write the equation as $-\Delta_g u + \lambda u = u^q$, in this case the constant solution is $u = \lambda^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$. The equation is very well-known and has been extensively studied in the last decades, both on Euclidean space (see for instance [3, 10, 33]) and on Riemannian manifolds [21, 24, 29]. The critical case appears in Riemannian geometry when trying to solve the problem of finding metrics of constant scalar curvature in a given conformal class of metrics; what is known as the Yamabe problem. Namely, if a metric h conformal to g is expressed as $h = u^{p_n-1}g$ for a positive function u on M , then the scalar curvature of h , s_h , is equal to a constant $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ if and only if u solves the Yamabe equation:

$$(1.2) \quad -a_n \Delta_g u + s_g u = \lambda u^{p_n},$$

where $a_n = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}$. A fundamental result obtained in several steps by H. Yamabe [45], N. Trudinger [43], T. Aubin [2] and R. Schoen [40] states that there is always at least one positive solution of the Yamabe equation, for any closed Riemannian manifold. Since the Yamabe equation is conformally invariant, in order to understand the space of solutions we can therefore assume that s_g is constant (and positive. In the non-positive case (1.2) only has the constant solution), and (1.2) is of the form (1.1) with $q = p_n$ and $\lambda = \frac{s_g}{a_n}$.

In this article we will assume that there is an isoparametric function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and look for solutions of equation (1.1) of the form $v = \varphi \circ f$, where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We will call functions v of this form *f-invariant*. Recall that a function $f : M \rightarrow [t_0, t_1]$ is called isoparametric if $|\nabla f|^2 = b(f)$, $\Delta f = a(f)$ for some smooth functions a, b . Isoparametric functions on general Riemannian manifolds

The authors were supported by grant 220074 of Fondo Sectorial de Investigación para la Educación SEP-CONACYT.

were considered in [44], following the classical work by E. Cartan [13], B. Segre [42], T. Levi-Civita [25] in the case of space forms. It is known from the general theory of isoparametric functions that the only zeros of the function $b : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ are t_0 and t_1 . Moreover $M_1 = f^{-1}(t_0)$ and $M_2 = f^{-1}(t_1)$ are smooth submanifolds and are called the *focal submanifolds* of f . We denote by d_i the dimension of M_i . If $d_1, d_2 \leq n - 2$ we call f a *proper* isoparametric function, as in [19]. We will assume that f is proper. The most familiar case of isoparametric functions comes from cohomogeneity one isometric actions. Assume that G acts isometrically on (M, g) with regular orbits of codimension one and that the orbit space is an interval. If f is a smooth function which is G -invariant and its only critical points are the two singular orbits, then f is isoparametric, and a function is f -invariant if and only if it is G -invariant. Note that in this situation the singular orbits have codimension at least 2, and therefore the isoparametric function f is proper. But there are proper isoparametric functions in much more general situations than the one of cohomogeneity one isometric actions. For instance in [38] C. Qian and Z. Tang proved that given a Morse-Bott function f on a closed manifold M (with appropriate conditions on its critical set) there is a Riemannian metric g on M so that f is isoparametric for (M, g) . In [23] this situation was considered when (M, g) is the round sphere (\mathbb{S}^n, g_0^n) and q is subcritical, and multiplicity results were obtained in this case for f -invariant solutions of equation (1.1).

We consider the space $C_f^{2,\alpha}$ of $C^{2,\alpha}$ functions on M which are f -invariant and we consider equation (1.1) as an operator equation on $(u, \lambda) \in C_f^{2,\alpha} \times (0, \infty)$. We study solutions bifurcating from the family of trivial solutions $\lambda \mapsto (1, \lambda)$. One can see (see [23]) that there are infinite eigenvalues of $-\Delta_g$ which have non-trivial eigenfunctions in $C_f^{2,\alpha}$. Using the well-known theory of local bifurcation for simple eigenvalues [15] we can easily see that:

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ_k , $k \geq 1$, be the positive eigenvalues of $-\Delta_g|_{C_f^{2,\alpha}}$. For any $q > 1$ let $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(q) = \frac{\mu_k}{q-1}$. There are branches $t \mapsto (u(t), \lambda(t))$, $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, of f -invariant solutions of (1.1) so that $\lambda(0) = \lambda_k$, $u(0) = 1$ and $u(t) \neq 1$ if $t \neq 0$.*

Next we study the global behavior of the local branches appearing at the bifurcation points $(1, \lambda_k)$. We will apply the global bifurcation theorem of P. Rabinowitz [39]. To do so we will need to impose conditions on q . Recall that d_i is the dimension of the focal submanifold M_i of the proper isoparametric function and let $d = \min\{d_1, d_2\} \leq n - 2$. Then we let $p_f = \frac{n-d+2}{n-d-2}$, $p_f = \infty$ in case $d = n - 2$. Note that if $d > 0$ then $p_f > p_n$. For the next results we will ask that $q < p_f$. If $d > 0$ the results apply then to supercritical equations.

An interesting question that was raised for instance in [8, 9, 28] is to find conditions under which for λ small the only solution of (1.1) is the trivial solution $u = 1$. In fact, in the subcritical case J. R. Licois and L. Veron proved in [28] that there exists some positive $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(M, g, q)$ for which the equation (1.1) admits only the constant solution for all $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$. We will prove a similar result, restricting to f -invariant solutions but allowing q to be supercritical:

Theorem 1.2. *If $q < p_f$ there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and u is a positive f -invariant solution of (1.1) then $u = 1$.*

Theorem 1.1 says that at each bifurcation point $(1, \lambda_k)$ appears a branch B_k of nontrivial solutions. Explicitly, we let B_k be the connected component containing the nontrivial solutions appearing close to $(1, \lambda_k)$, in the space of nontrivial solutions of (1.1). Theorem 1.2 says in particular that if $(u, \lambda) \in B_k$ then $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, \infty)$. This will allow us to apply the global bifurcation theorem to prove:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a proper isoparametric function. For any $q \in (1, p_f)$ let $\lambda_k(q) = \frac{\mu_k}{q-1}$, as in Theorem (1.1). Then*

for any positive integer k and for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1}]$ equation (1.1) has at least k different positive f -invariant solutions.

The theorem is proved by showing that the branches B_k are disjoint to each other and for any $\lambda > \lambda_k$ there exists a solution $(u, \lambda) \in B_k$. We will prove in Section 4 (Corollary 4.6) that for any fixed $\lambda > 0$ only a finite number of the branches cut the “vertical” line $C_f^{2,\alpha} \times \{\lambda\}$. This implies that for any $K > 0$ there exists k_0 such that if $k \geq k_0$ the branch $B_k \subset C_f^{2,\alpha} \times [K, \infty)$.

Consider the isometric $O(n)$ -action on the curvature one metric on the sphere, (\mathbb{S}^n, g_0) , fixing an axis. A linear function invariant by the action gives a proper isoparametric function. In this case $d = 0$ (the fixed points of the action are two points) and Theorem 1.3 applies to the subcritical case $q < p_n$. In this case the Theorem 1.3 was proved by Q. Jin, YY. Li and H. Xu in [24] (note that in this case the invariant functions are precisely the radial functions, with respect to the invariant axis). In this case Theorem 1.2 was proved by M-F. Bidaut-Veron and L. Veron in [8], and the constant λ_0 is explicit: $\lambda_0 = \frac{n}{q-1}$. In [23] the authors considered the case of any isoparametric function on the sphere, but again only for the subcritical case.

We will now consider some applications of Theorem 1.3. The simplest situation to apply Theorem 1.3, beyond the case of radial functions mentioned above, is to consider an isoparametric function f on (\mathbb{S}^3, g_0) invariant by the natural isometric cohomogeneity one action of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Both singular orbits have dimension 1, so f is proper and $p_f = \infty$. Moreover the values of λ_k in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 are easily seen to be $\lambda_k = \frac{\mu_{2k}}{q-1}$, where μ_k are the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g_0}$ (it is well-known that $\mu_k = k(k+2)$). Therefore Theorem 1.3 says

Corollary 1.4. *For any $q > 1$ the equation (1.1) on (\mathbb{S}^3, g_0) has at least k positive different torus invariant solutions if $\lambda \in \left(\frac{4k(k+1)}{q-1}, \frac{4(k+1)(k+2)}{q-1} \right]$.*

Note that as $q \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain solutions with λ very close to 0.

In (\mathbb{S}^4, g_0^4) one could consider an isoparametric function f invariant by the isometric cohomogeneity one action of $O(3) \times O(2)$. In this case the singular orbits have dimension 1 and 2, respectively. Then f is proper and $p_f = 5$ (note that $p_4 = 3$). One can easily see also that the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g_0}$ restricted to $O(3) \times O(2)$ -invariant functions are μ_{2k} , where $\mu_k = k(k+3)$ are the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g_0}$. Therefore we obtain:

Corollary 1.5. *For any $q \in (1, 5)$ the equation (1.1) on (\mathbb{S}^4, g_0) has at least k positive different $O(3) \times O(2)$ -invariant solutions if $\lambda \in \left(\frac{2k(2k+3)}{q-1}, \frac{2(k+1)(2k+5)}{q-1} \right]$.*

Similar applications can be obtained given any isoparametric functions on a sphere. To apply Theorem 1.3 one always needs to compute the dimension of the focal submanifolds (which is usually simple) and the eigenvalues of the restricted Laplacian. We will show how to do these computations explicitly in Section 7.

Let us now consider some more general spaces. For instance one has isoparametric functions invariant by cohomogeneity one actions on the complex projective spaces (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) , where g_{FS} is the Fubini-Study metric. The simplest is the action by $U(n)$ for which the singular orbits are a point and \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} . Theorem 1.3 then gives solutions only in the subcritical case. But one can consider other cohomogeneity one actions. For instance in the case of \mathbf{CP}^2 there is a natural cohomogeneity one action by $SO(3)$ (obtained by considering the inclusion $SO(3) \subset U(3)$). See for instance the discussion in [47, Page 238], or in [5, Section 3]) which has singular orbits of dimension 2: the real points $\mathbf{RP}^2 \subset \mathbf{CP}^2$ and $\{[z_0, z_1, z_2] \in \mathbf{CP}^2 : z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 0\} = \mathbb{S}^2$. Then an invariant

isoparametric function f is proper and $p_f = \infty$. It is well known that the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g_{FS}}$ are $\mu_k = 4k(k+2)$. One can see that the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{\mathbf{CP}^2}$ restricted to $SO(3)$ -invariant functions are $\mu_{2k} = 16k(k+1)$: we will show how to prove this and similar eigenvalues computations in Section 7. Therefore Theorem 1.3 says

Corollary 1.6. *For any $q > 1$ the equation (1.1) on (\mathbf{CP}^2, g_{FS}) has at least k positive different $SO(3)$ -invariant solutions if $\lambda \in \left(\frac{16k(k+1)}{q-1}, \frac{16(k+1)(k+2)}{q-1} \right]$.*

The example generalizes to higher dimensional complex projective space (see Corollary 7.1 in section 7).

There is also an action on (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) by $U(k) \times U(l)$ where $k+l = n+1$ and $k \geq l \geq 2$. We will see in section 7 that for an invariant isoparametric function f one has $p_f = \frac{2n-2l+4}{2n-2l} > p_{2n}$ and that the f -invariant eigenvalues are the same as the eigenvalues of the full Laplacian, then applying Theorem 1.3 we obtain:

Corollary 1.7. *For any $q \in (1, \frac{2n-2l+4}{2n-2l})$ the equation (1.1) on (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) has at least k positive different $U(k) \times U(l)$ -invariant solutions if $\lambda \in \left(\frac{4k(k+2)}{q-1}, \frac{4(k+1)(k+3)}{q-1} \right]$.*

We consider now the quaternionic projective spaces \mathbf{HP}^n . It also has a canonical metric analogous to the Fubini-Study metric for the complex projective space. We denote this metric by h_{FS} . It is a homogeneous Einstein metric with positive scalar curvature. With the canonical metrics the usual Hopf fibration

$$\mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} \rightarrow \mathbf{HP}^n$$

is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. $\mathbf{HP}^1 = \mathbb{S}^4$, so we will consider now the case $n \geq 2$. The simplest cohomogeneity one action on \mathbf{HP}^n is the action by $Sp(n)$ which has singular orbits a point and \mathbf{HP}^{n-1} . Then an invariant isoparametric function f is proper and $p_f = p_{4n}$, and Theorem 1.3 applies to subcritical equations. But more generally there is also the cohomogeneity one action by $Sp(k) \times Sp(l)$, with $k+l = n+1$, $k, l \geq 2$; for this action the singular orbits are \mathbf{HP}^{k-1} and \mathbf{HP}^{l-1} . Then if $k \geq l$ we have that an invariant isoparametric function f is proper and $p_f = \frac{4n-4l+6}{4n-4l+2} > p_{4n}$. In these two cases the f -invariant eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{h_{FS}}$ are $\lambda_{2k} = 2k(2k+4n+2)$, which are the same as the eigenvalues of the full Laplacian. There is also a cohomogeneity one action by $U(n+1)$. For an isoparametric function f invariant by this $U(n+1)$ -action one can see that the f -invariant eigenvalues are $\lambda_{4k} = 4k(4k+4n+2)$ and $p_f = \frac{n+1}{n-1} > p_{4n}$. The details of these calculations will be given in section 7. All the previous examples come from cohomogeneity one actions but there are also non-homogeneous examples. We will discuss these examples in section 7, but we do not include them here. Note that in the case of the actions of $Sp(k) \times Sp(l)$ and $U(n+1)$ Theorem 1.3 applies to supercritical equations, but for the sake of simplicity we will consider in the next corollary only the subcritical case $q < p_{4n}$.

Let

$$\alpha_k^q = \frac{4k(4k+4n+2)}{q-1}.$$

It follows from the previous discussion and Theorem 1.3 that as λ crosses α_k^q one has: two new solutions which are $Sp(n)$ -invariant, two new solutions which are $Sp(k) \times Sp(l)$ -invariant (for each l , $2 \leq l \leq [(n+1)/2]$, and $k+l = n+1$) and one new solution which is $U(n+1)$ -invariant. Therefore we have:

Corollary 1.8. *Let $q \in (1, p_{4n})$ for any $\lambda \in (\alpha_k^q, \alpha_{k+1}^q]$ equation (1.1) on (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS}) has at least $(2[(n+1)/2] + 1)k$ different solutions.*

Finally we will apply the previous examples to obtain multiplicity results for the Yamabe equation on homogeneous metrics on spheres. Many results on multiplicity of solutions of the Yamabe equation have been obtained in recent years. The first nontrivial space of solutions was studied by R. Schoen in [41] (see also the article by O. Kobayashi [27]): the Riemannian product of (\mathbb{S}^n, g_0) with a circle of radius $T > 0$. Schoen pointed out that all solutions depend only on the \mathbb{S}^1 -variable and therefore the Yamabe equation reduces to an ordinary differential equation, and by studying this ODE showed that the number of solutions grows at $T \rightarrow \infty$. ODE techniques were also used in [37] to consider the case when \mathbb{S}^1 is replaced by (\mathbb{S}^m, g_0) with $m \geq 2$, proving similar multiplicity results looking for solution which only depend on (\mathbb{S}^m, g_0) and are radial. Other multiplicity results were obtained using bifurcation theory. To apply bifurcation theory one considers a one dimensional family of constant scalar curvature Riemannian metrics (on a closed manifold) and look for the elements in the family where new nearby solutions of the Yamabe equation appear (these elements are called the *bifurcation points* of the family). The general frame to apply local bifurcation theory to the Yamabe equation was studied in [16]: as a consequence the authors proved for instance that in the family Riemannian products of constant positive scalar curvature were one of the factors is multiplied by $t > 0$ there exist infinite bifurcation points. See also [6] for related results. Global bifurcation theory (which considers the global structure of the space of solutions appearing at the bifurcation points) was only applied in the case of a Riemannian product with a sphere (see [23, 24]). Theorem 1.3 allows us to obtain multiplicity results in much more general situations. As an example we will focus on the family of homogeneous metrics on spheres. This case was considered by R. Bettiol and P. Piccione in [7] where the authors show the existence of infinite such homogeneous metrics which are bifurcation points (in an appropriate setting) for the Yamabe equation (see also [35] for a related discussion). We will give a brief discussion about homogeneous metrics on spheres in the appendix at the end of the article, summarizing the description given for instance in [7, 46]. There are three families of homogeneous metrics on spheres. In the three families the homogeneous metrics appear as the metrics in the total space of a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. One family is obtained by considering the isometric \mathbb{S}^1 -action on (\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, g_0) . The quotient is (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) . Multiplying by $x > 0$ the metric in the direction of the orbits one obtains a one-dimensional family of homogeneous metrics g_x on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} , with a fibration

$$(\mathbb{S}^1, x\sigma^2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, g_x) \rightarrow (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS})$$

with totally geodesic fibers (here σ^2 is the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^1). The scalar curvature of g_x is

$$s_{g_x} = 4n^2 + 4n - 2nx.$$

(see [7] or the discussion in the appendix). It was shown in [7] that this family is locally rigid, i.e. there are no other solutions of the Yamabe equations close to the elements of the family.

The second family (and the most interesting one from the point of view of bifurcation for solutions of the Yamabe equation) is obtained by considering the Hopf fibration

$$(\mathbb{S}^3, \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i (\sigma^i)^2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^{4n+3}, g_x) \rightarrow (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS}),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, $x_i > 0$. The metric on the fiber \mathbb{S}^3 is any homogeneous metric, and there is a three parameters family of them (details can be found in the appendix). The scalar curvature of g_x is

$$s_{g_x} = \frac{2}{x_1 x_2 x_3} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - (x_2 - x_3)^2 - (x_3 - x_1)^2 - (x_1 - x_2)^2) - 4n(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + 16n^2 + 32n.$$

Therefore the Yamabe equation on (\mathbb{S}^{4n+3}, g_x) can be written as:

$$-\Delta_{g_x} u + \frac{s_{g_x}}{a_{4n+3}} u = \frac{s_{g_x}}{a_{4n+3}} u^{p_{4n+3}},$$

which is equation (1.1) with $\lambda = \frac{s_{g_x}}{a_{4n+3}}$. We consider solutions of the form $u : \mathbf{HP}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Since the projection $\pi : (\mathbb{S}^{4n+3}, g_x) \rightarrow (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS})$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers it follows that $\pi \circ \Delta_{g_x} = \Delta_{h_{FS}} \circ \pi$ and therefore u is a solution of equation (1.1) if and only if

$$-\Delta_{h_{FS}} u + \frac{s_{g_x}}{a_{4n+3}} u = \frac{s_{g_x}}{a_{4n+3}} u^{p_{4n+3}}$$

Then one can apply Theorem 1.3, or more explicitly Corollary 1.8, to produce solutions of the Yamabe equation in terms of s_{g_x} . We see that as s_{g_x} goes to infinity the number of solutions provided by Corollary 1.8 goes to infinity. Explicitly we obtain:

Corollary 1.9. *If $s_{g_x} \in (a_{4n+3} \alpha_k^{p_{4n+3}}, a_{4n+3} \alpha_{k+1}^{p_{4n+3}}]$ then the Yamabe equation on (\mathbb{S}^{4n+3}, g_x) has at least $2((n+1)/2 + 1)k$ different solutions.*

In [7] the authors consider the particular case when x_1, x_2, x_3 are equal and therefore the metric on \mathbb{S}^3 is a multiple of the round metric g_0 . In [7, Proposition 8.2] the authors proved that there are infinitely many bifurcation points, and close to the points there are homogeneous metrics for which the Yamabe equation has at least 3 different solutions. In [35] the authors prove that all the solutions appearing in these bifurcation points are actually functions of \mathbf{HP}^n , as in the previous Corollary.

The third family of homogeneous metrics on spheres appears only in \mathbb{S}^{15} . One has a Riemannian fibration $\mathbb{S}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{15} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^8$ and can apply the same ideas by looking at isoparametric functions on \mathbb{S}^8 .

Questions: The ultimate goal would be to understand all solutions of the Yamabe equation on homogeneous metrics on spheres. The first question would be if the space of solutions $\{(g, u) : g \text{ is a homogeneous metric on } \mathbb{S}^n \text{ and } u \text{ is a positive solution of the Yamabe equation on } (\mathbb{S}^n, g)\}$ is connected: i.e. do all solutions belong to the connected component of the homogeneous metrics? Another interesting first step would be to understand the structure of the space of solutions bifurcating from a bifurcation point. The results in this article construct solutions which are f -invariant with respect to some isoparametric function f . Are all bifurcating solutions of this form?

The article is organized as follows. In section 2 we will write explicitly the ordinary differential equation given by the restriction of the Yamabe equation to functions invariant by some isoparametric function. In section 3 we will discuss the bifurcation points for the resulting ODE, proving Theorem 1.1. In section 4 we prove some technical lemmas that will be used in the following sections. These results show that under the condition $q < p_f$ the ODE behaves like a subcritical equation. In section 5 we will prove Theorem 1.2 and in section 6 we will prove Theorem 1.3. In section 7 we will describe how to compute the eigenvalues of the restricted Laplacian needed for the applications. Finally in the appendix we will give a brief description the family of homogeneous metrics on spheres and in particular give formulas for the scalar curvature of such metrics.

2. YAMABE-TYPE EQUATIONS FOR f -INVARIANT FUNCTIONS

Let (M^n, g) be a closed connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n . Recall that a function $f : M \rightarrow [t_0, t_1]$ is called *isoparametric* if $|\nabla f|^2 = b(f)$, $\Delta f = a(f)$ for some smooth functions a, b . Isoparametric functions on general Riemannian manifolds were first considered by Q.-M. Wang in [44], following the classical theory of Cartan, Segre and others in the case of space forms.

From the general theory of isoparametric functions we know that the only zeros of the function $b : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are t_0 and t_1 , which means that the only critical values of f are its minimum and its maximum (see [44]). It is also proved in [44] that $M_1 = f^{-1}(t_0)$ and $M_2 = f^{-1}(t_1)$ are smooth submanifolds; they are called the *focal submanifolds* of f . We let d_i be the dimension of

M_i . There are examples, like the function x_1^2 on the round n -sphere, of isoparametric functions such that $d_1 = n - 1$ (see [19]). But we will consider the generic case when $d_1, d_2 \leq n - 2$. In this case the isoparametric function f is called *proper* and all the level sets $M_t = f^{-1}(t)$ are connected (as proved in [19, Proposition 2.1]). It is also known that the level sets M_t are tubes around any of the focal submanifolds.

Next we consider geodesics which are transversal to the level sets of f :

Definition 2.1. A geodesic $\gamma : [l_1, l_2] \rightarrow M$ is called an f -segment if $f(\gamma(l))$ is an increasing function of l and $\gamma'(l) = \nabla f / \sqrt{b}$ wherever $\nabla f \neq 0$.

Note that f -segments are parametrized by arc-length. It is also easy to see that the integral curves of ∇f (parametrized by arc-length) are f -segments, and that f -segments realize the distance between the level sets M_s, M_t (see [44, Lemma 1]). If $\gamma : [0, s] \rightarrow M$ is an f -segment then $s = \text{length}(\gamma) = d(M_{f(\gamma(0))}, M_{f(\gamma(s))})$, and $(f \circ \gamma)'(t) = \sqrt{b(f(\gamma(t)))}$. By reparametrizing γ by $l = (f \circ \gamma)^{-1}(s)$ for $s \in [f(\gamma(0)), f(\gamma(s))]$ it is easy to obtain the formula for $d_g(M_c, M_d)$ for any $t_0 \leq c < d \leq t_1$:

$$d_g(M_c, M_d) = \int_c^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{b(t)}} dt,$$

Let $t^* = d_g(M_1, M_2)$ and $\mathbf{d} : M \rightarrow [0, t^*]$, $\mathbf{d}(x) = d_g(M_1, x)$.

We will consider functions which are constant on the level sets of f :

Definition 2.2. A function $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called f -invariant if $u(x) = \phi(\mathbf{d}(x))$ for some function $\phi : [0, t^*] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

An f -invariant function u is determined by the corresponding function ϕ . Let us recall the most familiar case of radial functions on Euclidean space. Namely we consider $F : B(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a radial function and write $F(x) = \alpha(\|x\|)$ for some $\alpha : [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then it is well-known that if F is C^1 then $\alpha'(0) = 0$. And one can write $\alpha(r) = F(r, 0, \dots, 0)$ to easily see that if F is of class $C^{2,\alpha}$ then also $\alpha \in C^{2,\alpha}$. The reciprocal is also well known: if $\alpha \in C^{2,\alpha}[0, \varepsilon]$ and $\alpha'(0) = 0$ then $F \in C^{2,\alpha}(B(0, \varepsilon))$. We have also the expression for the Laplacian ΔF :

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta F(x) = \alpha''(\|x\|) + \frac{n-1}{\|x\|} \alpha'(\|x\|)$$

if $x \neq 0$, and taking the limit

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta F(0) = n\alpha''(0).$$

We will consider the same identification for a general isoparametric function f . We will denote by $\mathcal{B} = \{\phi \in C^{2,\alpha}([0, t^*]) : \phi'(0) = 0 = \phi'(t^*)\}$.

Lemma 2.3. If we denote by $C_f^{2,\alpha}(M)$ the set of $C^{2,\alpha}$ functions on M which are f -invariant, then the application $\phi \mapsto u(x) = \phi(\mathbf{d}(x))$ identifies \mathcal{B} with $C_f^{2,\alpha}(M)$.

Proof. Let $\phi : [0, t^*] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $u(x) = \phi(\mathbf{d}(x))$. Note that away from the focal submanifolds \mathbf{d} is smooth and has no critical points. Therefore it is clear that the function $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(f^{-1}(t_0, t_1))$ if and only if $\phi \in C^{2,\alpha}(0, t^*)$. We only have to deal with the case $x \in M_1$ or $x \in M_2$. Both cases are equivalent so let us consider for instance $x \in M_1$. We choose normal coordinates around x in M_1 and then normal coordinates for the normal bundle of M_1 . Namely, around x we put coordinates of the form (z, y) where $(z_1, \dots, z_{d_1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n-d_1}$ and $\|y\| = \mathbf{d}(z, y)$. Therefore in these coordinates $u(z, y) = u(y) = \phi(\|y\|)$.

The lemma is then reduced to the familiar case of radial functions on Euclidean space mentioned above. \square

Now we obtain the expression of the Yamabe-type equations of (M, g) for f -invariant functions. For this one needs to express Δu in terms of ϕ .

If for x is not in the focal submanifolds, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u(x)) &= \Delta(\phi(\mathbf{d}(x))) \\ &= \phi''(\mathbf{d}(x)) (|\nabla \mathbf{d}(x)|^2) + \phi'(\mathbf{d}(x)) (\Delta(\mathbf{d}(x))).\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 &= 1 \quad \text{and,} \\ \Delta \mathbf{d}(x) &= \Delta \left(\int_{t_0}^{f(x)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{b(t)}} \right) = \frac{-b'}{2b\sqrt{b}}(f(x)) |\nabla f|^2(x) + \frac{\Delta f(x)}{\sqrt{b}(f(x))} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}}(-b' + 2a)(f(x)) = h(\mathbf{d}(x)),\end{aligned}$$

where we denote by $h(t)$ the mean curvature of the hypersurface $\mathbf{d}^{-1}(t)$ (see for instance [19, page 165]).

The function h is obviously smooth in $(0, t^*)$. It is also well-known the asymptotic behaviour of the mean curvature close to focal submanifolds. Since each $\mathbf{d}^{-1}(t)$ is a tube over either M_1 or M_2 a coordinate system centered in M_1 or M_2 appropriate for this study are Fermi coordinates (also used in the previous lemma), which are the generalization of normal coordinates that arises when the center of normal neighborhood is replaced by a submanifold.

For instance in [20] the authors used these coordinates to compute the power series expansion formula for the shape operator of $\mathbf{d}^{-1}(t)$ with respect to the distance to M_1 .

Then explicitly Corollary 2.2 in [20] says that,

$$h(t) = \frac{\text{codim}(M_1) - 1}{t} + t(\text{trace}(A) + \text{trace}(B)) + o(t^2),$$

where A, B are matrices independent of t (if we consider the expansion close to M_2 instead of M_1 we obtain a similar formula, only changing the sign). In particular we have the following asymptotic behaviour of h close to the focal varieties:

Lemma 2.4.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t h(t) = n - d_1 - 1 \quad , \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow t^*} (t - t^*) h(t) = n - d_2 - 1.$$

Summarizing we see that if x is not in the focal submanifolds then

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta u(x) = \phi''(\mathbf{d}(x)) + h(\mathbf{d}(x)) \phi'(\mathbf{d}(x))$$

And taking the limit we see that if $x \in M_1$

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta u(x) = (n - d_1) \phi''(0)$$

and if $x \in M_2$

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta u(x) = (n - d_2) \phi''(t^*)$$

We have proved

Lemma 2.5. *Let $u \in C_f^{2,\alpha}(M)$, $u(x) = \phi(\mathbf{d}(x))$, with $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$. Then u is a solution of equation (1.1) if and only if the function ϕ satisfies*

$$(2.6) \quad -(\phi'' + h\phi') + \lambda\phi = \lambda\phi^q.$$

on $[0, t^*]$. For $t \in (0, t^*)$ $h(t)$ is the mean curvature of $\mathbf{d}^{-1}(t)$.

3. BIFURCATION POINTS

In this section we will use local bifurcation theory (as can be found for instance in [1, 34]) to prove Theorem 1.1. We fix a proper isoparametric function f on the closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) . As in the previous section we denote by t^* the distance between the two focal submanifolds. It follows from the previous section that positive f -invariant solutions of 1.1 are given by positive solutions of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'' + h\phi' + \lambda(\phi^q - \phi) &= 0, \\ \phi'(0) = 0 = \phi'(t^*) &. \end{aligned}$$

For all positive constant λ the function $\phi \equiv 1$ is a *trivial* solution of the equation. We will prove Theorem 1.1 by studying bifurcation from this path of trivial solutions $\lambda \mapsto (1, \lambda)$.

Proof. (Theorem 1.1) We define $S : \mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow C^{0,\alpha}([0, t^*])$ by

$$S(\phi, \lambda) = \phi'' + h\phi' + \lambda(\phi^q - \phi).$$

Then we are trying to solve the operator equation $S(u, \lambda) = 0$.

It is easy to compute that

$$D_\phi S_{(\phi, \lambda)}(u) = u'' + hu' + \lambda(q\phi^{q-1}u - u),$$

and in particular

$$D_\phi S_{(1, \lambda)}(u) = u'' + hu' + \lambda(q - 1)u.$$

Bifurcating branches will appear at the values of λ for which the kernel of linear operator $D_\phi S_{(1, \lambda)}$ is nontrivial. Note that since the asymptotic behavior of h in 0 is $\frac{n-d_1-1}{t}$ the following initial value problem has a unique solution

$$\begin{aligned} u'' + hu' + \lambda(q - 1)u &= 0, \\ u(0) &= 1, \\ u'(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The equation is of course the eigenvalue equation for the Laplacian restricted to f -invariant functions. One can see (for instance in [23, Proposition 3.2]) the existence of infinitely many eigenvalues of the positive Laplacian operator in the set of f -invariant functions. We call them μ_k , $k \geq 1$. This means that $\lambda = \lambda_k = \frac{\mu_k}{q-1}$ the solutions u_k of the above initial value problem (with $\lambda = \lambda_k$) satisfy that $u'_k(t^*) = 0$. Therefore $\ker D_\phi S_{(1, \lambda_k(q-1))} \neq 0$, and it has dimension one.

We can normalize u_k so that $\int_M u_k^2 = 1$. Since the operator $L = D_\phi S_{(1, \lambda_k(q-1))}$ is self-adjoint we have

$$\text{Range}(L) = \{u \in C^{0,\alpha}(0, t^*) / \int_M uu_k = 0\}.$$

We have that $D_{\phi, \lambda}^2 S_{(1, \lambda_k(q-1))}[u_k] = (q-1)u_k \notin \text{Range}(L)$.

Therefore from the well known theory of local bifurcation for simple eigenvalues (see for instance [1, Theorem 2.8], [34, Theorem 3.2.2] or the original article by M. G. Crandall and P. H. Rabinowitz [15]) we can see that for all $k \geq 1$ $(1, \lambda_k)$ is a bifurcation point and moreover all nontrivial solutions

in a neighborhood of $(1, \lambda_k)$ are given a branch $t \mapsto (u(t), \lambda(t))$, $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, such that $\lambda(0) = \lambda_k$, $u(0) \equiv 1$, and $u(t) \neq 1$ if $t \neq 0$. This proves Theorem 1.1. \square

Later we will need the following result about the number of zeros n_k of the functions u_k :

Lemma 3.1. *The sequence n_k is strictly increasing.*

Proof. Let u_k, u_{k+1} be solutions (resp.) of

$$\begin{aligned} u_k'' + hu_k' + \lambda_k(q-1)u_k &= 0, \\ u_{k+1}'' + hu_{k+1}' + \lambda_{k+1}(q-1)u_{k+1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we have set $u_k(0) = 1 = u_{k+1}(0)$. In particular these solutions are non-trivial and therefore if for any $t \in (0, t^*)$, $u_k(t) = 0$ then $u_k'(t) \neq 0$.

Let $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n_k} < t^*$, be the points such that $u_k(t_i) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n_k$.

Since

$$\frac{u_{k+1}'(0)}{u_{k+1}(0)} = 0 = \frac{u_k'(0)}{u_k(0)},$$

and $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$, if u_{k+1} does not have zeros in $(0, t_1)$ then by Sturm's comparison theorem (and since the functions u_k and u_{k+1} are linearly independent in any open interval)

$$\frac{u_{k+1}'}{u_{k+1}} < \frac{u_k'}{u_k} \quad \text{in } (0, t_1).$$

Therefore there must be at least one value $s \in (0, t_1)$ such that $u_{k+1}(s) = 0$. We can also apply the same argument to show that u_{k+1} must have another zero in (t_{n_k}, t^*) . Also by standard Sturm's comparison we can see that the function u_{k+1} has at least one zero in the interval (t_i, t_{i+1}) , for each $i = 1, \dots, n_k - 1$. Therefore u_{k+1} has at least $n_k + 1$ zeroes in $(0, t^*)$, proving the lemma. \square

4. AUXILIARY RESULTS

We assume that we have a proper isoparametric function f on a closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) . The dimension of the focal submanifolds are $d_1, d_2 \leq n - 2$: we call $d = \min\{d_1, d_2\} \leq n - 2$. And we let $p_f = \frac{n-d+2}{n-d-2}$, $p_f = \infty$ in case $d = n - 2$. We consider equation (2.6) with $q < p_f$. The main goal of this section is to prove the next proposition which we will need in the following sections.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $q \in (1, p_f)$ and fix positive numbers $\varepsilon < \lambda^*$. The set $C = \{\phi \in \mathcal{B} : \phi \text{ is positive and solves equation (2.6) with } \lambda \in [\varepsilon, \lambda^*]\}$ is compact in \mathcal{B} .*

We first consider the case when λ is fixed:

Lemma 4.2. *Consider equation (2.6) with $q \in (1, p_f)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_0 > 0$ fixed. If ϕ_α is the solution of the initial value problem with $\phi'(0) = 0$, $\phi(0) = \alpha$, then there exists $A = A(\lambda_0) > 0$ such that if $\alpha \geq A$ then there exists $t \in (0, t^*)$ such that $\phi_\alpha(t) = 0$. Similarly, if φ_α is the solution of (2.6) with $\varphi'(t^*) = 0$, $\varphi(t^*) = \alpha$, then there exists $B > 0$ such that if $\alpha \geq B$ then there exists $t \in (0, t^*)$ such that $\varphi_\alpha(t) = 0$.*

Proof. We consider the first statement, the proof of the second statement is similar. Equation (2.6) can be written as

$$(4.1) \quad \phi''(r) + \frac{H(r)}{r}\phi'(r) + \lambda\phi^q(r) - \lambda\phi(r) = 0.$$

where $H(r) = rh(r)$ and $H(0) = n - d_1 - 1$ by Lemma (2.4). If $d_1 = n - 2$ then $H(0) = 1$. If $d_1 < n - 2$ then

$$\frac{H(0) + 1}{2} = \frac{n - d_1}{2} \leq \frac{n - \mathbf{d}}{2} = \frac{p_f + 1}{p_f - 1} < \frac{q + 1}{q - 1}.$$

Then we can apply [17, Theorem 3.1] which says that under the previous conditions on $H(0)$ and q there exists $A > 0$ such that if $\alpha \geq A$ then the solution ϕ_α has a zero. □

Corollary 4.3. *Fix $\lambda = \lambda_0 > 0$ in equation (2.6). There exists $A > 0$ such that if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ is a positive solution of equation (2.6) then $\phi \leq A$.*

Proof. It follows from the previous lemma that we can find $C > 0$ such that any positive solution in \mathcal{B} is bounded by C in 0 and in t^* . But then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that the solution must be bounded by A in $[0, t^* - \epsilon]$ and in $[\epsilon, t^*]$. □

Corollary 4.4. *Fix $\lambda = \lambda_0 > 0$ in equation (2.6). The set of $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ such that ϕ is a positive solution of equation (2.6) is compact.*

Proof. Let $\phi_i \in \mathcal{B}$ be sequence of positive solutions of equation (2.6). Then it follows from the lemma that we can take a subsequence so that the sequences $\phi_i(0)$, $\phi_i(t^*)$ are convergent. Let $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi_i(0) = \mathbf{a}$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi_i(t^*) = \mathbf{b}$. But then we consider the solutions ϕ^1 , ϕ^2 of equation (2.6) with $\phi^1(0) = \mathbf{a}$, $\phi^{1'}(0) = 0$, $\phi^2(t^*) = \mathbf{b}$, $\phi^{2'}(t^*) = 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ small, ϕ_i converges in $[0, t^* - \epsilon]$ to ϕ^1 and ϕ_i converges in $[\epsilon, t^*]$ to ϕ^2 . Then $\phi^1 = \phi^2$ and give a function in \mathcal{B} which is a positive solution of equation (2.6). And (for the subsequence) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi_i = \phi^1 = \phi^2$. □

Remark 4.5. *If $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ is a non-trivial positive solution of equation (2.6), then $\#\{t : \phi(t) = 1\} < \infty$, and there is an open neighborhood U of $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for any $\varphi \in U$, $\#\{t : \varphi(t) = 1\} = \#\{t : \phi(t) = 1\}$. Also if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ is a non-trivial positive solution of equation (2.6) close to the trivial solution then $\#\{t : \varphi(t) = 1\}$ is equal to the number of zeroes of the linearized equation at the trivial solution (which is finite).*

Corollary 4.6. *Fix $\lambda = \lambda_0 > 0$ in equation (2.6). There exists $k_0 > 0$ such that if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ is a positive solution of equation (2.6) then $\#\{t : \phi(t) = 1\} \leq k_0$.*

Proof. Any sequence of positive solutions $\phi_i \in \mathcal{B}$ of equation (2.6) must have a convergent subsequence. Then by the remark $\#\{t : \phi_i(t) = 1\}$ is bounded (independently of i). □

Now as in the proposition we will fix positive numbers $\epsilon < \lambda^*$ and consider the equation (2.6) with $\lambda \in [\epsilon, \lambda^*]$.

Lemma 4.7. *For any $0 < \epsilon < \lambda^*$ there exists $A > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in [\epsilon, \lambda^*]$ and ϕ_α is the solution of equation (2.6) with $\phi'_\alpha(0) = 0$, $\phi_\alpha(0) = \alpha > A$ then ϕ_α has a zero in $(0, t^*)$. Similarly, there exists $B > 0$ such that if φ_α is the solution of equation (2.6) with $\varphi'_\alpha(t^*) = 0$, $\varphi_\alpha(t^*) = \alpha > B$, then φ_α has a zero in $(0, t^*)$.*

Proof. We will prove the first statement, the proof of the second statement is similar. For each $\lambda \in [\epsilon, \lambda^*]$ let $A_\lambda = \inf\{A : \phi_\alpha$ has a zero in $(0, t^*)$ for every $\alpha > A\}$. It follows from the previous lemma that $A_\lambda < \infty$ for any $\lambda \in [\epsilon, \lambda^*]$. Assume that there exists a sequence $\lambda_i \in [\epsilon, \lambda^*]$ such that $A_{\lambda_i} \rightarrow \infty$. We can assume that $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_0 \in [\epsilon, \lambda^*]$. Then we have a solution ϕ_i of equation (2.6) with $\lambda = \lambda_i$, such that $\phi_i(0) = \alpha_i^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \rightarrow \infty$, and ϕ_i is positive in $[0, t^*)$. Then we argue as in [17, Theorem 3.1] (see also [22, Proposition 3.8]): We let

$$w_i(t) = \alpha_i^{\frac{2}{1-q}} \phi_i \left(\frac{t}{\alpha_i \sqrt{\lambda_i}} \right).$$

Then w_i solves

$$w_i''(t) + \frac{H\left(\frac{t}{\alpha_i\sqrt{\lambda_i}}\right)}{t}w_i'(t) + w_i^q(t) - \frac{w_i(t)}{\alpha_i^2} = 0,$$

where $H(r) = rh(r)$, $w_i(0) = 1$ and $w_i'(0) = 0$. Note that w_i is defined in $[0, \alpha_i\sqrt{\lambda_i}t^*)$, and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i\sqrt{\lambda_i}t^* = \infty$.

Then one can see that for any fixed $K > 0$ w_i converges uniformly on $[0, K]$ to the solution w of

$$w'' + \frac{H(0)}{t}w' + w^q = 0,$$

with $w(0) = 1$, $w'(0) = 0$. The proof is the same as in [17, Lemma 3.2]), where the proof is detailed in the case $\lambda_i = \lambda > 0$, instead of $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda$ as in our case (but this does not affect the proof of the statement given in [17]).

It is proved in [22, Proposition 3.9] that by picking K large we can assume that w has any number of zeroes in $[0, K]$ and by the uniform convergence it follows that w_i must have a zero in $[0, K]$ and therefore ϕ_i has in zero in $(0, \frac{K}{\alpha_i\sqrt{\lambda_i}})$. This is a contradiction, and therefore $A = \sup_{\lambda \in [\varepsilon, \lambda^*]} A_\lambda < \infty$, proving the lemma. \square

We can now prove Proposition 4.1:

Proof. Let $\phi_j \in \mathcal{B}$ be a sequence of solutions of equation(2.6) with $\lambda = \lambda_j \in [\varepsilon, \lambda^*]$. ϕ_j is determined by $\alpha_j = \phi_j(0)$ and by $\beta_j = \phi_j(t^*)$. From the previous lemma we know that we can take a subsequence and assume that $(\lambda_j, \alpha_j, \beta_j) \rightarrow (\lambda_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0)$, where $\alpha_0, \beta_0 > 0$. Let ϕ^1 be the solution of equation (2.6) with $\lambda = \lambda_0$ such that $\phi^1(0) = \alpha_0$ and $\phi^{1'}(0) = 0$. Let ϕ^2 be the solution of equation (2.6) with $\lambda = \lambda_0$ such that $\phi^2(t^*) = \beta_0$ and $\phi^{2'}(t^*) = 0$. Then for any $\delta > 0$ ϕ_j converges on $[0, t^* - \delta]$ to ϕ^1 and on $[\varepsilon, t^*]$ to ϕ^2 . It follows that on $(0, t^*)$ $\phi^1 = \phi^2$ and therefore they define a function $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ which is positive, solves equation (2.6), and verifies $\phi(0) = \alpha_0$, $\phi(t^*) = \beta_0$. And $\phi_i \rightarrow \phi$. \square

5. f -INVARIANT SOLUTIONS OF EQUATION (1.1) FOR λ CLOSE TO ZERO

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.2: i.e. we will show that all non-negative f -invariant solutions of 1.1 are constant for λ close to zero. In order to get this result we will give an apriori estimate for f -invariant solutions of the equation. First consider the equivalent equation:

$$(5.1) \quad -\Delta_g w = w^q - \lambda w.$$

Note that u is a solution of equation 5.1 if and only if $\lambda^{\frac{-1}{q-1}}u$ is a solution of equation 1.1. Also, as in section 2, $u \in C_f^{2,\alpha}(M)$, $u(x) = \phi(\mathbf{d}(x))$, with $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ is a solution of equation (5.1) if and only if the function ϕ satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad -(\phi'' + h\phi') + \lambda\phi = \phi^q.$$

on $[0, t^*]$.

Similar problems have been considered before, for instance in [28]. We denote λ_1 the first non-zero eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$. We will make use of the following result from [28, Theorem 2.2]

Theorem 5.1 ([28]). *Assume $0 < \lambda$ and $q > 1$. If w is solution of (5.1) which satisfies*

$$q\|w\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \leq \lambda + \lambda_1,$$

then $w = \lambda^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$.

Similarly, if u is solution of (1.1) which satisfies

$$q\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \leq \frac{\lambda + \lambda_1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{(q-1)^2}}},$$

then $u = 1$.

We first find an appropriate bound for positive f -invariant solutions:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $q \in (1, p_f)$. There exist constants $\varepsilon, c > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in (0, \varepsilon]$ any positive f -invariant solution w of (5.1) satisfies*

$$w \leq c\lambda^{\frac{1}{q-1}}.$$

If u is a positive solution of equation (1.1) then $u \leq c$.

Proof. We follow a similar treatment of [28, Theorem 2.3]. Suppose that the lemma is not true. Then we have a sequence of positive numbers $\lambda_m \rightarrow 0$, a sequence of positive numbers $c_m \rightarrow +\infty$, and a sequence $p_m \in M$, such that there exists a positive solution w_m of (5.1) which satisfies that

$$(5.3) \quad \max_M w_m = w_m(p_m) = c_m \lambda_m^{\frac{1}{q-1}}.$$

By taking a subsequence we can assume that $w_m(p_m) \rightarrow \mathbf{a} \in [0, \infty]$.

Note that if $\mathbf{a} = 0$ then the solutions w_m satisfies the conditions on Theorem 5.1 and therefore we would have $w_m = \lambda_m^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ for m large. This would say that $c_m = 1$, which is a contradiction (we were assuming that $c_m \rightarrow \infty$).

Assume now that $\mathbf{a} \in (0, \infty)$. Let $v_m = \frac{w_m}{w_m(p_m)}$. Note that v_m solves the equation

$$\Delta_g v_m - \lambda_m v_m + w_m(p_m)^{q-1} v_m^q = 0,$$

with $\|v_m\|_{L^\infty} = 1$. Recall that $\lambda_m \rightarrow 0$ and $w_m(p_m) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Therefore, from the theory of elliptic operators we get that the sequence v_m converges to a function v which is a non-negative solution of

$$\Delta_g v + \mathbf{a}^{q-1} v^q = 0$$

on M . Since M is closed, v is equal to zero, but this is a contradiction since $\|v_m\|_{L^\infty} = 1$.

Therefore we can assume that $w_m(p_m) \rightarrow \infty$. In this case we will need to use our hypothesis that the functions w_m are f -invariant and $q < p_f$. Then w_m is determined by a function $\phi_m \in \mathcal{B}$ which solves equation (5.2). The function ϕ_m is determined by $\alpha_m = \phi_m(0)$ and by $\beta_m = \phi_m(t^*)$. If the sequences α_m and β_m are bounded then ϕ_m would be uniformly bounded, which is not the case. We can therefore assume for instance that $\alpha_m \rightarrow \infty$.

We call $H(t) = th(t)$. Then

$$\phi_m''(t) + \frac{H(t)}{t} \phi_m'(t) + \phi_m^q(t) - \lambda_m \phi_m(t) = 0.$$

We let $\delta_m = \alpha_m^{\frac{2}{q-1}}$ and

$$\varphi_m(t) := \delta_m^{\frac{2}{1-q}} \phi_m\left(\frac{t}{\delta_m}\right).$$

Then φ_m solves

$$\varphi_m''(t) + \frac{H(\frac{t}{\delta_m})}{t} \varphi_m'(t) + \varphi_m^q(t) - \frac{\lambda_m}{\delta_m^2} \varphi_m(t) = 0,$$

and satisfies $\varphi_m(0) = 1$ and $\varphi_m'(0) = 0$.

Since $\delta_m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_m \rightarrow 0$ we can argue as in the proof of Lemma 4.7, or [17, Lemma 3.2], to prove that the sequence φ_m converges uniformly on any compact interval $[0, K]$ to the solution w of

$$w'' + \frac{H(0)}{t} w' + w^q = 0,$$

with $w(0) = 1$, $w'(0) = 0$. We recall that it is proved in [22, Proposition 3.9] that by picking K large we can assume that w has any number of zeroes in $[0, K]$ and by the uniform convergence it follows that for m large enough φ_m must have a zero in $[0, K]$. This implies that ϕ_m has a zero in $(0, \frac{K}{\delta_m})$. This contradicts our assumption that the solution $w_m(x) = \phi_m(\mathbf{d}(x))$ was positive, finishing the proof of the lemma. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2:

Proof. By the previous lemma there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \varepsilon]$ then any positive f -invariant solution w of 5.1 satisfies

$$w \leq c\lambda^{\frac{1}{q-1}},$$

for some positive constant c independent of λ . There exists $\lambda_0 \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ then $q(c\lambda^{\frac{1}{q-1}})^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \leq \lambda + \lambda_1$. Then it follows from Theorem 5.1 that if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and w is a solution of equation (1.1) then it must be constant. \square

6. GLOBAL BIFURCATION

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.3. We have seen in Section 2 that f -invariant solutions of equation (1.1) are given by positive solutions of equation (2.6).

Let $D = \{(\phi, \lambda) \in (\mathcal{B} - \{1\}) \times (0, \infty) : \phi \in \mathcal{B} \text{ is a positive nontrivial solution of (2.6)}\}$. Let \overline{D} be the closure of D in \mathcal{B} and D_k the connected component of \overline{D} containing the bifurcation point $(1, \lambda_k)$ (as in Section 3).

It follows from Theorem 1.2 that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $k \geq 1$, D_k is contained in $\{\mathcal{B} \times [\varepsilon, \infty)\}$.

Now we shall see that each D_k is not compact, using the global bifurcation theorem of P. Rabinowitz (see [34, Theorem 3.4.1], [1, Theorem 4.8] or [39]).

It follows from Rabinowitz's theorem that either

a) D_k is not compact in $O = \{(\phi, \lambda) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R}^+ / \phi > 0\}$

or

b) D_k contains a point $(1, \lambda_j)$ for $j \neq k$.

For each $k \geq 1$, we let

$$Z_k := \{\phi \in \mathcal{B} / \phi - 1 \text{ has exactly } n_k \text{ simple zeros in } (0, t^*)\},$$

where we recall from Section 3 that n_k is the number of zeroes of the solution of the linearized equation at $(1, \lambda_k)$.

Each Z_k is an open set in \mathcal{B} . Note also that if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ is a nontrivial solution of (2.6) then the zeros of $\phi - 1$ are simple (since it solves a second order ordinary differential equation for which the constant function 1 is a solution).

Recall from Section 3 (the proof of Theorem 1.1) that the points in D near to $(1, \lambda_k)$ can be parametrized by a curve $s \mapsto (v_k(s), \mu_k(s))$, $|s| < \varepsilon_k$, where $\mu_k(0) = \lambda_k$. The map v_k is of the form $v_k(s) = 1 + su_k + sQ(s)$ and $Q(0) = 0$, where, as in Section 3, $u_k \in Z_k$ is an eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ associated to $\lambda_k(q-1)$ and therefore it has exactly n_k zeroes (see again [34, Theorem 3.2.2], for instance).

Therefore, $v_k(s) \in Z_k$ for s sufficiently small, $s \neq 0$. Then it follows that $D_k - \{(1, \lambda_k)\} \subseteq Z_k$. And in particular it follows that $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. This says that alternative (b) in the global Theorem of Rabinowitz does not happen and therefore D_k is not compact, for any $k \geq 1$.

If there exists a constant $\lambda_0 > \lambda_k$ such that for any $(\phi, \lambda) \in D_k$ we have $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$. Then D_k would be a closed set of $\{(\phi, \lambda) \in \mathcal{B} \times [\varepsilon, \lambda_0] : \phi \text{ is a positive solution of (2.6)}\}$. Then it follows from Proposition 4.1 that D_k is compact. Therefore such λ_0 does not exist and since D_k is connected it follows that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_k$ there exists $(\phi, \lambda) \in D_k$. Then for $\lambda \in [\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1})$ and for each $k \leq i$ there exists $(\phi, \lambda) \in D_k$ and this proves Theorem 1.3.

7. EIGENVALUES OF RESTRICTED LAPLACIANS

Assume we have an isoparametric function f on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) . In order to apply Theorem 1.3 we need to understand the eigenvalues of Δ_g restricted to f -invariant functions and the dimension of the focal submanifolds. While the dimension of the focal submanifolds is usually simple to understand, to compute the eigenvalues of the restricted Laplacian might be lengthy. In this section we will first show how to do these calculations in some particular cases.

The situation we will consider is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers $\pi : (M_1, g_1) \rightarrow (M_2, g_2)$. In this situation the corresponding Laplacians commute: for any function $f : M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta_{g_2}(f) \circ \pi = \Delta_{g_1}(f \circ \pi)$. And it is easy to check that f is isoparametric for (M_1, g_1) if and only if $f \circ \pi$ is isoparametric for (M_2, g_2) . Actually $\|\nabla f\|^2 = a \circ f$ and $\Delta f = b \circ f$ if and only if $\|\nabla(f \circ \pi)\|^2 = a \circ (f \circ \pi)$ and $\Delta(f \circ \pi) = b \circ (f \circ \pi)$. Then it follows easily that $h = \alpha \circ f$ is an eigenfunction of Δ_{g_2} with eigenvalue λ if and only if $h \circ \pi$ is an eigenfunction of Δ_{g_1} with eigenvalue λ . So it is equivalent to study f -invariant eigenfunctions or $(f \circ \pi)$ -invariant eigenfunctions.

The other fact we will use is that the problem is easy to solve in the case of the round sphere. In general if f is an isoparametric function then one can consider the family of isoparametric functions of the form $\alpha \circ f$, where α is a monotone function. These isoparametric functions are in certain sense equivalent: they have the same level sets and the spaces of f -invariant functions and $(\alpha \circ f)$ -invariant functions are the same. In the case of the round sphere, (\mathbb{S}^n, g_0) , there is a canonical way to pick a representative of these families of *equivalent* isoparametric functions. Namely, in any such family H. F. Münzner ([31, 32]) proved that there is a *Cartan-Münzner polynomial*. This is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial F (in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) of degree k which solves the Cartan-Münzner equations:

$$\|\nabla F(x)\|^2 = k^2 \|x\|^{2k-2}$$

$$\Delta F(x) = \frac{1}{2}ck^2 \|x\|^{k-2},$$

for some integer c . But then one can easily see by studying the resulting linear ordinary differential equation that the F -invariant eigenvalues are exactly $\mu_i = \lambda_{ki}$, $i \geq 1$, where $\lambda_j = j(n+j-1)$ are the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)}$ (see [23, Lemma 3.4]).

Let us now consider the case of the complex projective spaces with the Fubini-Study metric (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) . Recall that the positive eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g_{FS}}$ are $2i(2i + 2n)$, $i \geq 1$ (see for instance [4]). There is a Riemannian submersion (the Hopf fibration) $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \rightarrow (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS})$, obtained by considering the canonical diagonal \mathbb{S}^1 -action on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . It has totally geodesic fibers (which are circles, the orbits of the \mathbb{S}^1 -action) so we can apply the previous ideas. An isoparametric function f on (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) lifts to an isoparametric function $\bar{f} : (\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, g_0) \rightarrow [t_0, t_1]$. And we can look for the corresponding Cartan-Münzner polynomial.

We will consider the three simplest examples of isoparametric functions on (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) . These are given by cohomogeneity one actions.

1) Let us consider first the action of $U(n) \subset SO(2n)$. This action lifts to a cohomogeneity one action on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} which commutes with the diagonal \mathbb{S}^1 -action (the action on $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}$ is given by $A.(x_1, x_2, y_1, \dots, y_{2n}) = (x, Ay)$). We consider on \mathbf{R}^{2n+2} the homogeneous harmonic polynomial $F(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2$. It is invariant by the action of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times O(2n)$ and therefore projects to an isoparametric function f on (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) invariant by the $U(n)$ -action. F is a Cartan-Münzner polynomial of degree 2. Then it follows that the f -invariant eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g_{FS}}$ are $\lambda_{2i} = 2i(2i + 2n)$. Note that these are actually the eigenvalues of the full Laplacian $-\Delta_{g_{FS}}$. Also note that the action of $U(n)$ on (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) has a fixed point, so $p_f = p_{2n}$.

2) Let us now consider the action of $U(k) \times U(l) \subset U(n+1)$ on (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) , where we ask $n \geq 3$, $k+l = n+1$ and $k \geq l \geq 2$. Similarly to the previous case we can easily lift the action to $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}$, commuting with the diagonal \mathbb{S}^1 -action. The action looks like $(A, B).(x, y) = (Ax, By)$. Again $F(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2$ is an invariant Cartan-Münzner polynomial of degree 2 which projects to an isoparametric function f on (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) . It follows that the f -invariant eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g_{FS}}$ are $\lambda_{2i} = 2i(2i + 2n)$. But now note that the critical orbits are \mathbf{CP}^{k-1} and \mathbf{CP}^{l-1} . Therefore $p_f = \frac{2n-2l+4}{2n-2l} > p_{2n}$.

3) There is a cohomogeneity one isometric action of $SO(n+1) \subset U(n+1)$ in (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) given by considering the natural action on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} (in the introduction we considered the case $n=2$). This action can obviously be lifted to $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}$. The corresponding isoparametric polynomial on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} is given by $F(x, y) = (\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2)^2 + 4\langle x, y \rangle^2$, which is clearly invariant under the action of $SO(n+1)$. It follows that the f -invariant eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g_{FS}}$ are $\lambda_{4i} = 4i(4i + 2n)$. The singular orbits for this action are \mathbf{RP}^n and the Grassmanian of oriented two-planes $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}(2, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, which have dimensions n and $2n-2$, respectively. Hence, $d = n$ and $p_f = \frac{n+2}{n-2} > p_{2n}$. Then applying Theorem 1.3 we obtain:

Corollary 7.1. *Let $q \in (1, \frac{n+2}{n-2})$. Equation (1.1) on (\mathbf{CP}^n, g_{FS}) has at least k positive different $SO(n+1)$ -invariant solutions if $\lambda \in \left(\frac{4k(4k+2n)}{q-1}, \frac{4(k+1)(4(k+1)+2n)}{q-1} \right]$.*

Next we turn to the quaternionic projective space. The quaternionic projective space, \mathbf{HP}^n , can be endowed with a metric analogous to the Fubini-Study metric for the complex projective space. We denote this metric by h_{FS} . It is an Einstein metric with positive scalar curvature. It is also well-known that the positive eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{h_{FS}}$ are $2i(2i + 4n + 2)$, $i \geq 1$ (see for instance [4]). There is a Hopf fibration

$$(\mathbb{S}^3, g_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^{4n+3}, g_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS}),$$

which is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers, so we can apply again the same ideas. We will consider first the isoparametric functions on (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS}) which come from cohomogeneity one isometric actions. We have:

- 1) the action of $Sp(n)$.
- 2) the action of $Sp(k) \times Sp(l)$, where $k+l = n+1$, $k, l \geq 2$

3) the action of $U(n+1) \subset Sp(n)$.

But there are also isoparametric functions which are not homogeneous, i. e. they are not invariant by any cohomogeneity one action on (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS}) . We will discuss the simplest known example:

4) The first inhomogeneous example was found by H. Ozeki and M. Takeuchi in [36]. In what follows, $\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \sum u_i \bar{v}_i$ denotes the inner product of two vectors with quaternionic entries. We will also denote $u = (u_0, u_1)$, $v = (v_0, v_1)$ where $u_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{H}^n$. We then define $F_0 : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F_0(u, v) := 4(|\Im \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}|^2) + (\|u_1\|^2 - \|v_1\|^2 + (u_0 \bar{v}_0 + v_0 \bar{u}_0))^2,$$

where $\Im q$ denotes the imaginary part of the quaternion q . The polynomial $F(u, v) := n^4 - 2F_0(u, v)$ gives a non-homogeneous isoparametric function on (\mathbb{S}^{4n+3}, g_0) which commutes with the diagonal action of $Sp(1) \subset O(4)$ and therefore induces an isoparametric function on (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS}) .

We now do the computations in these four cases. The first two are simple:

1) The action of $Sp(n)$ on lifts to an isometric action on \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} which commutes with the diagonal action of $Sp(1)$ ($Sp(1) \subset SO(4)$ acts diagonally on $\mathbb{R}^{4n+4} = (\mathbb{R}^4)^{n+1}$). The polynomial $F(x_1, \dots, x_4, y_1, \dots, y_{4n}) = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2$ is a Cartan-Münzner polynomial on \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} , invariant by the action of $Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$. It projects to an isoparametric function f on (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS}) invariant by the $Sp(n)$ -action. The focal varieties of f are a point and \mathbf{HP}^{n-1} , so $p_f = p_{4n}$, and since F has degree 2, the f -invariant eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{h_{FS}}$ are $\lambda_{2i} = 2i(2i + 4n + 2)$, which are the same as the eigenvalues of the full Laplacian.

2) Again the action of $Sp(k) \times Sp(l)$ lifts to an isometric action on \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} which commutes with the diagonal action of $Sp(1)$. We assume without loss of generality that $k \geq l \geq 2$. The polynomial $F(x_1, \dots, x_4, y_1, \dots, y_{4n}) = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2$ is a Cartan-Münzner polynomial on \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} , invariant by the action of $Sp(1) \times Sp(k) \times Sp(l)$. It projects to an isoparametric function f on (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS}) which is invariant by the $Sp(k) \times Sp(l)$ -action. The focal varieties of f are \mathbf{HP}^{k-1} and \mathbf{HP}^{l-1} . Therefore the f -invariant eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{h_{FS}}$ are $\lambda_{2i} = 2i(2i + 4n + 2)$, which are the same as the eigenvalues of the full Laplacian, and $p_f = \frac{4n-4l+6}{4n-4l+2} > p_{4n}$.

The other examples are more complicated. The corresponding Cartan-Münzner polynomials will have degree 4. In the case (4) we have given it explicitly, and the corresponding isoparametric function on the quaternionic space is the projection of the polynomial. In case (3) we must find a Cartan-Münzner polynomial on \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} which projects to a $U(n+1)$ -invariant isoparametric function (on (\mathbf{HP}^n, h_{FS})). They will be examples of what are called FKM-polynomials. We give a brief description for completeness, details can be found for instance in [14].

In [18] a class of isoparametric functions on the sphere was described in terms of representations of Clifford algebras. More precisely, the authors produced isoparametric polynomials of degree 4 that solve the Cartan-Münzner equations, which are expressed in terms of Clifford systems in the following fashion. The first step in their construction is to fix a representation of the real Clifford algebra $\rho : \mathcal{Cl}(V) \rightarrow O(l)$, where V is a finite dimensional vector space spanned by an orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_{m-1} . The image of this orthonormal basis under the representation provides a set of matrices $E_1, \dots, E_{m-1} \in O(l)$.

A Clifford system is a set of symmetric matrices P_0, \dots, P_m such that

$$P_i P_j + P_j P_i = 2\delta_{ij} I_{2l} \text{ for every } 1 \leq i, j \leq m.$$

Clifford systems are in one to one correspondence with representations of Clifford algebras. Indeed, given a representation of $\rho : \mathcal{Cl}(V) \rightarrow O(l)$ as above we obtain a Clifford system by taking

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_l & 0 \\ 0 & -I_l \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_l \\ I_l & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad P_{1+k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_k \\ -E_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$. Conversely, a Clifford system determines a representation of $\mathcal{Cl}(V)$ by looking at the $+1$ eigenspaces of the matrices P_i (note that since $P_i^2 = I_{2l}$ the only eigenvalues can be ± 1). We skip the details of this construction since we will not be needing them. The interested reader can consult [18].

The main result in [18] is given by

Theorem 7.2 (Theorem 4.1 in [18]). *Let P_0, \dots, P_m be a Clifford system in \mathbb{R}^{2l} and set $m_1 = m$, $m_2 = l - m_1 - 1$. Assume that $m_2 > 0$. The polynomial $F : \mathbb{R}^{2l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by*

$$F(x) := \|x\|^4 - 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \langle P_i x, x \rangle^2 \right).$$

solves the Cartan-Münzner equations (and therefore gives an isoparametric function on (\mathbb{S}^{2l-1}, g_0) .

We recall some of the most important properties of these polynomials (which we will henceforth refer to as FKM polynomials). The first one is that the polynomial F above does not depend directly on the Clifford system P_0, \dots, P_m but rather on the Clifford sphere determined by it. This sphere is just the unit sphere in the vector space $E \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(2l, \mathbb{R})$ spanned by P_0, \dots, P_m , where the metric being used is the standard Frobenius inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle := \frac{1}{2l} \operatorname{tr}(AB^T).$$

Hence, two Clifford systems with the same Clifford sphere determine the same FKM polynomial. Henceforth we denote the Clifford sphere corresponding to a Clifford system by $\Sigma(P_0, \dots, P_m)$.

Another important property that the FKM polynomials have is that they are invariant under the action of the Clifford sphere, that is,

$$F(Qx) = F(x)$$

for any $Q \in \Sigma(P_0, \dots, P_m)$. Finally, we point out that given a Clifford system, the vector fields $x \mapsto P_i P_j x$ define Killing fields on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{2l-1} . Furthermore, the integral curves of these vector fields lie on the level sets of the corresponding FKM polynomial. These Killing fields are easily shown to form a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(2l)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(m+1)$. Hence, this shows that the level sets of F are invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Spin}(m+1)$.

We consider the Hopf fibration $S^3 \rightarrow S^{4n+3} \rightarrow \mathbf{HP}^n$ and will be interested in determining the FKM polynomials which project to isoparametric functions on the quaternion projective spaces. In particular we are interested in finding such a polynomial that projects to an isoparametric function invariant by the action of $U(m+1)$ on \mathbf{HP}^n . We will take set $l = 2n+2$ for an arbitrary $n \geq 1$. We are interested in FKM polynomials that are invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Spin}(3) = Sp(1)$, where we consider this action as right multiplication on \mathbb{H}^{n+1} by unit the quaternions. We fix $m = 2$ above, and look at representations of $\mathcal{Cl}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C} \rightarrow O(2n+2)$. It is clear that such a representation must be (up to equivalence) a direct sum of the irreducible representation

$$i \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Clifford system associated to this irreducible representation is obtained as outlined above and is given by

$$A_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By adding up this irreducible Clifford system $n + 1$ times we obtain the block diagonal matrices $P_0 := \text{diag}(A_0, \dots, A_0)$, $P_1 := \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_1)$, $P_2 := \text{diag}(A_2, \dots, A_2)$ which define a Clifford system on $\mathfrak{gl}(4n + 4, \mathbb{R})$. It is possible to write the FKM polynomial corresponding to this Clifford system in different ways using the the identifications $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$. For the latter case (i.e the real interpretation) it is easy to see that $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be written as

$$(7.1) \quad F(x) = \|x\|^4 - 2 \left((\|X\|^2 - \|Y\|^2)^2 + 4\langle X, Y \rangle^2 + 4\langle X^\perp, Y \rangle^2 \right),$$

where $x = (x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, \dots)$ represents a vector in \mathbb{R}^{4n+4} , $X = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$, $Y = (y_0, y_1, \dots)$ are vectors on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} , and $X^\perp = (-x_1, x_0, \dots)$. The last vector X^\perp can be obtained by the inclusion $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and multiplying by i . We have:

Proposition 7.3. *The FKM polynomial (7.1) considered as a polynomial $F : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant under right multiplication by the unit quaternions $Sp(1)$. This polynomial is also invariant under the action of the unitary group $U(n + 1) \subseteq Sp(n + 1)$ and both actions commute.*

Proof. We begin by describing the action of $U(n + 1)$. The invariance of F becomes obvious if we introduce the complex coordinates $z = (x_0 + x_1i, x_2 + x_3i, \dots)$ and $w = (y_0 + y_1i, y_2 + y_3i, \dots)$. It is easy to see that

$$F(x) = F(z, w) = \|(z, w)\|^4 - 2 \left((\|z\|^2 - \|w\|^2)^2 + 4|\langle z, w \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}|^2 \right),$$

where, $\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \sum u_i \bar{v}_i$ is the standard Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . This shows that $F(Az, Aw) = F(z, w)$ for $A \in U(n + 1)$.

To see that $Sp(1)$ acts by right multiplication consider the matrices

$$X_i := A_1 A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_j := A_1 A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_k := A_2 A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These matrices correspond to right multiplication by i, j , and k , respectively under the standard identification $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ and they span a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. Furthermore, using Taylor expansions it is straightforward to see that the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(tX_i) &= \cos(t)I_4 + \sin(t)X_i \\ \exp(tX_j) &= \cos(t)I_4 + \sin(t)X_j \\ \exp(tX_k) &= \cos(t)I_4 + \sin(t)X_k. \end{aligned}$$

These identities remain valid if we replace each A_i above with the block diagonal matrix P_i . Furthermore, the matrices on the right hand sides can be factored as products of two elements on the Clifford sphere $\Sigma(P_0, P_1, P_2)$, which readily shows the $Sp(1)$ invariance. \square

We come back now to the computations.

3) As proved in the previous theorem the polynomial

$$F(x) = \|x\|^4 - 2 \left((\|X\|^2 - \|Y\|^2)^2 + 4\langle X, Y \rangle^2 + 4\langle X^\perp, Y \rangle^2 \right),$$

on \mathbb{R}^{4n+4} is invariant under the action of $Sp(1)$ and therefore projects to an isoparametric function f on \mathbf{HP}^n which is invariant under $U(n+1)$. Since F has degree 4, the f -invariant eigenvalues are $\lambda_{4i} = 4i(4i + 4n + 2)$. The singular orbits for the $U(n+1)$ action are \mathbf{CP}^n and the homogeneous space $U(n+1)/U(n-1) \times SU(2)$. These have dimensions $2n$ and $4n - 3$, respectively. Thus, $p_f = \frac{n+1}{n-1} > p_{4n}$.

4) Finally, we compute the results for the Ozeki-Takeuchi polynomial defined above. Recall that this is a polynomial $F : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that determines non-homogeneous isoparametric hypersurfaces with four distinct principal curvatures on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4(2n+1)+3}$. It is well known that the multiplicities of the principal curvatures of the level sets of an isoparametric function (denoted by m_i) further satisfy that $m_1 = m_3$ and $m_2 = m_4$. In the case of the Ozeki-Takeuchi polynomial we have that $m_1 = 3$ and $m_2 = 4n$. Finally, there exist two focal submanifolds which have codimensions $m_1 + 1$ and $m_2 + 1$, respectively. The OT-polynomial is readily seen to be invariant under right multiplication by $Sp(1)$, so it defines a non-homogeneous isoparametric function f on \mathbf{HP}^{2n+1} . In light of the above, the focal submanifolds of this function have dimensions $8n$ and $4n+3$, respectively (and hence $d = 4n + 3$). Putting everything together we get that the f -invariant eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{h_{FS}}$ are $\lambda_{4i} = 4i(4i + 4n + 2)$ and $p_f = \frac{4n+3}{4n-1} > p_{4(2n+1)}$.

8. APPENDIX: HOMOGENEOUS METRICS ON SPHERES

We briefly recall some of the properties of homogeneous metrics on spheres and their quotients. These were first studied in [26, 46], where the authors find various examples of non-trivial Einstein metrics of this type. These homogeneous metrics can be understood in two different ways. The first one is by looking at groups that act transitively on spheres; homogeneous metrics correspond to decompositions of the isotropy representation into irreducible subrepresentations. The other interpretation is to consider the various Hopf fibrations. Homogeneous metrics arise from viewing spheres of particular dimensions as sphere bundles over certain bases and rescaling the round metric along directions tangent to the Hopf fibers. In what follows we review the algebraic interpretation first and then describe the corresponding Hopf fibration.

Groups that act transitively on spheres have been classified in [30, 11, 12]. In the cases where the isotropy group acts irreducibly, the resulting homogeneous metrics turn out to be multiples of the round metric, and have already been discussed. The only homogeneous metrics that do not have constant sectional curvature correspond to those where the isotropy representation splits into further irreducible subrepresentations. We will focus on those cases in this section. The homogeneous metrics with reducible isotropy turn out to be invariant under $U(n+1)$, $Sp(n+1)$, or $Spin(9)$ (although their isometry group may be strictly larger).

Consider a compact Lie group G acting on a sphere of dimension N with isotropy H so that $\mathbb{S}^N = G/H$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G has an $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{p},$$

where \mathfrak{h} is the Lie algebra of H and \mathfrak{p} is a complement of \mathfrak{h} . The isotropy group H then acts on \mathfrak{p} by the restriction of the adjoint representation $\text{Ad} : H \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{p})$; this corresponds to the isotropy representation under the identification $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_{[gH]}G/H$ for $g \in G$ (where we identify vectors in \mathfrak{p} with the Killing fields they generate). If we fix a background bi-invariant metric Q on \mathfrak{g} , we can further assume that both summands above are Q -orthogonal. It is easy to see that G -invariant metrics on \mathbb{S}^N can be identified with $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant inner products on \mathfrak{p} . The homogeneous metrics on \mathbb{S}^N arise from further decomposing \mathfrak{p} into irreducible subrepresentations. We proceed to study these subrepresentations for the groups mentioned above.

8.1. $U(n+1)$ -invariant metrics

The group $G = U(n+1)$ acts transitively on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} with isotropy $H = U(n)$. The isotropy representation then splits into two irreducible subrepresentations on $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1$, where $\dim \mathfrak{p}_0 = 1$ and $\dim \mathfrak{p}_1 = 2n$. Up to rescaling, any $U(n+1)$ -invariant metric is of the form

$$g_x = x \sigma^2 \oplus Q|_{\mathfrak{p}_1},$$

where σ is the standard one-form on $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $x > 0$. We furthermore take an orthogonal basis X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n of \mathfrak{p} where $X \in \mathfrak{p}_0$, $\|X\|_{g_x}^2 = x$, and (Y_α) is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^n$. The corresponding sectional curvatures are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} K(X, Y_\alpha) &= K(X, iY_\alpha) = x \\ K(Y_\alpha, Y_\beta) &= K(Y_\alpha, iY_\beta) = 1 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq \beta \\ K(Y_\alpha, Y_{\alpha i}) &= 4 - 3x. \end{aligned}$$

The scalar curvature of g_x is

$$s_{g_x} = 4n^2 + 4n - 2nx.$$

These metrics correspond to rescaling the metric by a factor x in the direction tangent to the fiber in the complex Hopf fibration

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^n.$$

Remark 8.1. *The metrics g_t in [7] are obtained by setting $x = t^2$. These metrics exhibit geometric collapse as $t \rightarrow 0$, that is, they converge with bounded curvature in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense to a lower dimensional manifold.*

8.2. $Sp(n+1)$ -invariant metrics

The group $G = Sp(n+1)$ acts transitively on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} with isotropy $H = Sp(n)$. The resulting isotropy representation can be further decomposed into two summands $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1$ where $\dim \mathfrak{p}_0 = 3$, $\dim \mathfrak{p}_1 = 4n$, and such that H acts trivially on \mathfrak{p}_0 while H acts irreducibly on \mathfrak{p}_1 . Thus, we have that $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cong \mathbb{H}^n$ as vector spaces. This splitting of \mathfrak{p} corresponds naturally to the decomposition of $T_{[gH]}G/H$ into subspaces that are tangent or normal to the Hopf fiber on the corresponding Hopf fibration. This time the fibers have dimension three, so a G -invariant metric g_x on \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} depends on the parameters $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and is given by

$$g_x = x_1(\sigma^1)^2 \oplus x_2(\sigma^2)^2 \oplus x_3(\sigma^3)^2 \oplus Q|_{\mathfrak{p}_1},$$

where σ^i denotes the standard orthonormal coframe on $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ and $x_i > 0$. It is worth pointing out that if $Q_0 := \sum x_i(\sigma^i)^2$ is a multiple of the Euclidean metric (i.e. $x_1 = x_2 = x_3$) then g_x actually has isometry group $Sp(n+1) \times Sp(1)$. The one parameter family of metrics h_t in [7] are of the this type and are obtained by taking $x_i = t^2$. Equivalently, this metric can be thought of as multiplying along the Hopf fiber by t^2 on the fibration

$$\mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} \rightarrow \mathbf{HP}^n.$$

Analogously, if $x_2 = x_3$ the resulting metric g_x is $Sp(n+1) \times U(1)$ -invariant.

In order to describe the curvature of these homogeneous metrics we take an orthogonal basis X_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$ of \mathfrak{p}_0 such that $\|X_i\|_{g_x}^2 = x_i$, and an orthonormal basis Y_α , $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$, of \mathfrak{p}_1 . We adopt the notation in [46] and set $Y_{\alpha 1} = iY_\alpha$, $Y_{\alpha 2} = jY_\alpha$, and $Y_{\alpha 3} = kY_\alpha$. Also note that $\bar{g}(X_i, Y_\alpha) = 0$ since the summands in the splitting $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1$ are orthogonal. A standard computation shows that the sectional curvatures (assuming i, j, k is a cyclic permutation of $1, 2, 3$) of $(\mathbb{S}^{4n+3}, \bar{g})$ are given by

$$K(X_i, X_j) = \frac{(-3x_k^2 + 2x_i x_k + 2x_j x_k + (x_j - x_i)^2)}{x_1 x_2 x_3}$$

$$K(X_i, Y_\alpha) = x_i$$

$$K(Y_\alpha, Y_\beta) = K(Y_\alpha, Y_{\beta i}) = 1 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta$$

$$K(Y_\alpha, Y_{\alpha i}) = 4 - 3x_i.$$

From this it is easy to see that the scalar curvature of \bar{g} is given by

$$s_{g_x} = \frac{2}{x_1 x_2 x_3} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - (x_2 - x_3)^2 - (x_3 - x_1)^2 - (x_1 - x_2)^2) - 4n(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + 16n^2 + 32n.$$

As we said before, setting $x_i = t^2$ yields the metric \mathfrak{h}_t . Its scalar curvature is

$$s_{\mathfrak{h}_t} = \frac{6}{t^2} - 12nt^2 + 16n^2 + 32n.$$

Remark 8.2. *Note that some sectional curvatures blow up to infinity as $t \rightarrow 0$. This contrasts with the $U(n+1)$ invariant metrics above which exhibit geometric collapse as $t \rightarrow 0$, that is, contracting the metric along the Hopf fiber collapses \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} to \mathbf{CP}^n with bounded curvature.*

8.3. $Spin(9)$ -invariant metrics

Finally, the group $Spin(9)$ acts transitively on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{15} with isotropy $Spin(7)$. We get an orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(9)$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(7)$. The isotropy representation splits into two irreducible subrepresentations on $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2$, where $\dim \mathfrak{p}_1 = 7$ and $\dim \mathfrak{p}_2 = 8$. Up to rescaling, $Spin(9)$ -invariant metrics on \mathbb{S}^{15} are of the form

$$g_x = x Q|_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \oplus Q|_{\mathfrak{p}_2}.$$

As before, we set take an orthogonal basis X_i of \mathfrak{p}_1 with $\|X_i\|_{\bar{g}}^2 = x$ and Y_α an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{p}_2 , the sectional curvatures of \bar{g} are given by

$$K(X_i, X_j) = \frac{1}{x},$$

$$K(Y_\alpha, Y_\beta) = x,$$

$$K(X_i, Y_\alpha) = 4 - 3x.$$

The scalar curvature is then given by

$$s_{g_x} = \frac{42}{x} - 56x + 224.$$

The corresponding Hopf fibration for these metrics is $\mathbb{S}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{15} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^8$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, *Nonlinear Analysis and Semilinear Elliptic Problems*, Cambridge Studies in advanced mathematics 104, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [2] T. Aubin, *Equations différentielles non-linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **55** (1976), 269-296.
- [3] V. Benci, G. Cerami, *The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 114 (1991), 79-93.
- [4] Besse, A., *Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 93, 1978.
- [5] R. Bettiol, A. M. Krishnan, *Four-Dimensional cohomogeneity one Ricci flow and nonnegative sectional curvature*, to appear in Comm. Anal. Geom.
- [6] R. Bettiol, P. Piccione, *Multiplicity of solutions to the Yamabe problem on collapsing Riemannian submersions*, Pacific J. Math. 266 (2013), 1-21.
- [7] R. Bettiol, P. Piccione, *Bifurcation and local rigidity of homogeneous solutions to the Yamabe problem on spheres*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 47 (2013), 789-807.
- [8] M-F. Bidaut-Veron, L. Veron, *Nonlinear elliptic equations on compact manifolds and asymptotics of Emden equations*, Invent. math. 106 (1991), 489-539.
- [9] H. Brezis, Y. Y. Li, *Some nonlinear elliptic equations have only constant solutions*, J. Partial Differential Equations 19 (2006), 208-217.
- [10] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Applied Math. 36 (1983), 437-477.
- [11] A. Borel, *Some remarks about Lie groups transitive on spheres and tori*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55, (1949). 580-587.
- [12] A. Borel, *Le plan projectif des octaves et les sphères comme espaces homogènes.*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 230 (1950), 1378-1380.
- [13] E. Cartan, *Familles de surfaces isoperimétriques dans les espaces à courbure constante*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. 17 (1938), 177-191.
- [14] T. Cecil, P. Ryan, *Geometry of Hypersurfaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2015.
- [15] M. G. Crandall, P. H. Rabinowitz, *Bifurcation from simple eigenvalues*, J. Funct. Anal. 8 (1971), 321-340.
- [16] L. L. de Lima, P. Piccione, M. Zedda, *On bifurcation of solutions of the Yamabe problem on product manifolds*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 29 (2012), 261-277.
- [17] J. C. Fernandez, J. Petean, *Low energy nodal solutions to the Yamabe equation*, arXiv:1807.06114.
- [18] D. Ferus, H. Karcher, H. F. Münzner, *Cliffordalgebren und neue isoparametrische Hyperflächen*, Math. Z. 177 (1981), no. 4, 479-502.
- [19] J. Ge, Z. Tang, *Isoparametric functions and exotic spheres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 683 (2013), 161-180.
- [20] J. Ge, Z. Tang *Geometry of isoparametric hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, Asian J. Math. Vol. 18 (2014), 117-126.
- [21] B. Gidas, J. Spruck, *Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Applied. Math. 34 (1981), 525-598.
- [22] A. Haraux, F. B. Weisslern, *Non-uniqueness for a semilinear initial-value problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 31 (1982), 167-189.
- [23] G. Henry, J. Petean, *Isoparametric hypersurfaces and metrics of constant scalar curvature*, Asian J. Math. 18 (2014), 53-67.
- [24] Q. Jin, Y. Y. Li, H. Xu, *Symmetry and asymmetry: the method of moving spheres*, Advances in Differential Equations 13 (2008), 601-640.
- [25] T. Levi-Civita, *Famiglie di superficie isoparametriche nell'ordinario spazio euclideo*, Atti. Accad. naz. Lincei. Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 26 (1937), 355-362.
- [26] G. Jensen, *Einstein metrics on principal fibre bundles*, J. Differential Geometry 8 (1973), 599-614.
- [27] O. Kobayashi, *Scalar curvature of a metric of unit volume*, Math. Ann. 279 (1987), 253-265.
- [28] J.R. Licois, L. Veron, *A class of nonlinear conservative elliptic equations in cylinders*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Ser. IV 26 (1998), 249-283.
- [29] A. M. Micheletti, A. Pistoia, *The role of the scalar curvature in a nonlinear elliptic problem on Riemannian manifolds*, Calc. Var. 34 (2009), 233-265.
- [30] D. Montgomery, H. Samelson, *Transformation groups of spheres*, Ann. of Math. (2) 44, (1943). 454-470.
- [31] H. F. Münzner, *Isoparametrische Hyperflächen in sphären I*, Math. Ann. 251 (1980), 57-71.
- [32] H. F. Münzner, *Isoparametrische Hyperflächen in sphären II*, Math. Ann. 256 (1981), 215-232.

- [33] W.-M. Ni, J. Wei, *On the location and profile of spike-layer solutions to singular perturbed semilinear Dirichlet problems*, Comm. Pure Applied Math. 48 (1995), 731-768.
- [34] L. Nirenberg, *Topics in nonlinear functional analysis*, New York University Lecture Notes, New York, 1974.
- [35] N. Otoba, J. Petean, *Bifurcation for the constant scalar curvature equation and harmonic Riemannian submersions*, arXiv:1611.06709v2.
- [36] H. Ozeki, M. Takeuchi, *On some types of isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres. I*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 27 (1975), no. 4, 515-559.
- [37] J. Petean, *Metrics of constant scalar curvature conformal to Riemannian products*, Proceedings Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), 2897-2905.
- [38] C. Qian, Z. Tang, *Isoparametric functions on exotic spheres*, Adv. Math. 272 (2015), 611-629.
- [39] P. Rabinowitz, *Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, J. Funct. Anal. 7 (1971), 487-513.
- [40] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geometry 20 (1984), 479-495.
- [41] R. Schoen, *Variational theory for the total scalar curvature functional for Riemannian metrics and related topics*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1365, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 120-154, 1989.
- [42] B. Segre, *Famiglie di ipersuperficie isoparametriche negli spazi euclidei ad un qualunque numero di demensioni*, Atti. Acad. naz. Lincei. Rend. CI. Sci.Fis. Mat. Natur. 27 (1938), 203-208.
- [43] N. Trudinger, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 22 (1968), 265-274.
- [44] Q.-M. Wang, *Isoparametric functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Ann. 277 (1987), 639-646.
- [45] H. Yamabe, *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Osaka Math. J. 12 (1960), 21-37.
- [46] W. Ziller, *Homogeneous Einstein metrics on spheres and projective spaces*, Math. Ann. 259, (1982), no. 3, 351-358.
- [47] W. Ziller, *On the geometry of cohomogeneity one manifolds with positive curvature*, Riemannian topology and geometric structures on manifolds, 233-262, Progr. Math. 271, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston MA, 2009.

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, CIMAT, CALLE JALISCO S/N, 36023 GUANAJUATO, GUANAJUATO, MÉXICO

E-mail address: alejandro.betancourt@cimat.mx

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, CIMAT, CALLE JALISCO S/N, 36023 GUANAJUATO, GUANAJUATO, MÉXICO

E-mail address: jurgen.julio@cimat.mx

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, CIMAT, CALLE JALISCO S/N, 36023 GUANAJUATO, GUANAJUATO, MÉXICO

E-mail address: jimmy@cimat.mx