

Doubly transitive lines II: Almost simple symmetries

Joseph W. Iverson* Dustin G. Mixon†

Abstract

We study lines through the origin of finite-dimensional complex vector spaces that enjoy a doubly transitive automorphism group. This paper, the second in a series, classifies those lines that exhibit almost simple symmetries. To perform this classification, we introduce a general recipe involving Schur covers to recover doubly transitive lines from their automorphism group.

1 Introduction

Given a sequence \mathcal{L} of n lines through the origin of \mathbb{C}^d , consider the **automorphism group** $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ of permutations of \mathcal{L} that can be realized by applying a unitary operator. If this group acts doubly transitively on $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_j\}_{j \in [n]}$, that is, for every $i, j, k, l \in [n]$ with $i \neq j$ and $k \neq l$, there exists $Q \in \text{U}(d)$ such that $Q\ell_i = \ell_k$ and $Q\ell_j = \ell_l$, then we say \mathcal{L} is **doubly transitive**. By virtue of their high degree of symmetry, under mild conditions, doubly transitive lines are necessarily optimizers of a fundamental problem in discrete geometry, specifically, packing lines $\{\ell_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ through the origin of \mathbb{C}^d so as to maximize the minimum chordal distance:

$$\min_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sqrt{1 - \text{tr}(\Pi_i \Pi_j)},$$

where Π_j denotes orthogonal projection onto ℓ_j . The optimal line packings that are most frequently studied in the literature are spanned by **equiangular tight frames (ETFs)**, which are sequences of unit vectors $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ in \mathbb{C}^d with the property that there exist constants A, μ such that

$$\sum_{j \in [n]} \varphi_j \varphi_j^* = AI, \quad |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle| = \mu \quad \text{for every } i, j \in [n], i \neq j.$$

See [15] for a survey. Indeed, ETFs span lines that maximize the minimum chordal distance by achieving equality in the Welch bound [46] (also known as the simplex bound [9]). In general, optimal line packings find applications in compressed sensing [4], multiple description coding [42], digital fingerprinting [36], and quantum state tomography [38].

Throughout this paper, we refer to the **span** of a sequence of lines as the smallest subspace containing those lines, and we say a sequence of lines is **linearly dependent** if one of the lines is contained in the span of the others. Observe that a sequence of n lines is linearly dependent if and only if it spans a space of dimension $d < n$. The following makes explicit when doubly transitive lines correspond to optimal line packings:

Proposition 1.1 (Lemma 2.1 in [25]). *Given $n > d$ doubly transitive lines with span \mathbb{C}^d , select unit-norm representatives $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$. Then Φ is an equiangular tight frame.*

*Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA

†Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH

Our study of doubly transitive lines is not without precedent. A theorem of Burnside [5] provides a strong connection between simple groups and doubly transitive permutation groups. With this connection in mind, G. Higman first investigated doubly transitive lines in *real* spaces as a means of studying Conway's sporadic simple group Co_3 [43]. In doing so, G. Higman discovered real ETFs in their combinatorial form as *regular two-graphs*. Our investigation also draws inspiration from the book *Regular Figures* [13], in which L. Fejes Tóth observes the apparently ubiquitous phenomenon that optimal configurations tend to be highly symmetric. Proposition 1.1 reveals that something special happens in the setting of line packing: symmetry implies optimality. Given the simplicity and beauty of this phenomenon, as well as the previous success of G. Higman, we are motivated to find all sequences of doubly transitive lines.

This paper is the second in a series that studies doubly transitive lines. In the previous installment [25], we focused on developing the theory of *Higman pairs* and *roux*. The former is a special type of Gelfand pair (G, H) in which, as a consequence of part (b) the Higman Pair Theorem [25], each of the irreducible constituents of the permutation representation of G on the cosets of H determines a sequence doubly transitive lines. In fact, every sequence of linearly dependent doubly transitive lines is determined by such a Gelfand pair (by part (a) of the Higman Pair Theorem, proved in this installment of the series). Meanwhile, *roux* provide a convenient combinatorial generalization of doubly transitive lines, much like how G. Higman's two-graphs generalize doubly transitive lines in real spaces.

Both Higman pairs and *roux* play a pivotal role in the present installment, where we classify the doubly transitive lines that exhibit almost simple symmetries. To accomplish this, we provide a general recipe involving Schur coverings to find all complex line sequences whose automorphism group contains a given doubly transitive group. As mentioned previously, a theorem of Burnside [5] gives that every finite doubly transitive group is either almost simple or affine. In the time since, these groups have been classified as a consequence of the classification of finite simple groups [7]. Since the Schur covers of simple groups were already determined in order to perform this classification, our general recipe is most readily applied to the almost simple doubly transitive groups. For this reason, we save the affine case for future work.

Throughout, we abuse notation by letting $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ denote both a sequence in \mathbb{C}^d and the $d \times n$ matrix whose j th column is φ_j . Its **Gram matrix** is $\Phi^* \Phi = [\langle \varphi_j, \varphi_i \rangle]_{i, j \in [n]}$. If the vectors in an ETF all happen to reside in $\mathbb{R}^d \subset \mathbb{C}^d$, then we say the ETF is **real**. We say $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ and $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ in \mathbb{C}^d are **equivalent** if there exists a unitary $Q \in U(d)$ and phases $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ such that $Q\varphi_j = \omega_j\psi_j$ for every $j \in [n]$.

Theorem 1.2 (Main result). *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n \geq 2d$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group S . Then unit-norm representatives of \mathcal{L} form an equiangular tight frame Φ . Furthermore, if S is almost simple, then there exists $G \trianglelefteq S \leq \text{Aut}(G)$ such that either:*

(A) Φ is equivalent to a real equiangular tight frame, and (G, d, n) satisfy one of the following:

- (i) $G = S_n$, $d = 1$, $n \notin \{3, 4\}$.
- (ii) $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $d = (q + 1)/2$, $n = q + 1$.
- (iii) $G = \text{PSU}(3, q)$, q odd, $d = q^2 - q + 1$, $n = q^3 + 1$.
- (iv) $G = {}^2G_2(q)$, $q = 3^{2e+1}$, $d = q^2 - q + 1$, $n = q^3 + 1$.
- (v) $G = \text{Sp}(2m, 2)$, $m \geq 3$, $d = (2^{2m-1} - 3 \cdot 2^{m-1} + 1)/3$, $n = 2^{2m-1} - 2^{m-1}$.
- (vi) $G = \text{Sp}(2m, 2)$, $m \geq 3$, $d = (2^{2m-1} + 3 \cdot 2^{m-1} + 1)/3$, $n = 2^{2m-1} + 2^{m-1}$.
- (vii) $G = \text{HS}$ (the Higman-Sims group), $d = 22$, $n = 176$.

(viii) $G = \text{Co}_3$ (the third Conway group), $d = 23$, $n = 276$.

(B) Φ is not equivalent to a real equiangular tight frame, and (G, d, n) satisfy one of the following:

(ix) $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $d = (q + 1)/2$, $n = q + 1 > 4$.

(x) $G = \text{PSU}(3, q)$, $d = q^2 - q + 1$, $n = q^3 + 1$.

Conversely, there exist sequences of lines satisfying each of (i)–(x).

The real ETFs of type (A) in Theorem 1.2 correspond to doubly transitive two-graphs, which were classified by Taylor [44]; see Proposition 2.6 (infra). In case (A)(i), there exist real ETFs of $n = 3$ or 4 vectors in dimension $d = 1$, however their automorphism groups S_3 and S_4 are not almost simple. Finally, the hypothesis $n \geq 2d$ in Theorem 1.2 is imposed only to distinguish $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times n}$ from its **Naimark complement** $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-d) \times n}$ having Gram matrix $\Psi^* \Psi = \frac{n}{n-d}(I - \frac{d}{n} \Phi^* \Phi)$. The complements Φ and Ψ are ETFs at precisely the same time, and the lines they span exhibit identical automorphism groups. Hence, each of the line sequences described in Theorem 1.2 pairs with another sequence of n doubly transitive lines spanning a space of dimension $n - d$.

We note that the techniques in this installment are quite different from the previous installment [25]. Indeed, [25] used ideas from algebraic combinatorics to describe roux in the language of association schemes, which in turn enabled the proof of part (b) of the Higman Pair Theorem. By contrast, the present installment applies ideas from finite group theory to prove part (a) of the same theorem and then (partially) classify doubly transitive lines. This is an artifact of our approach, which recovers doubly transitive lines from their automorphism group. Our main result (Theorem 1.2) is striking from the broader perspective of frame theory, as it is generally difficult to obtain nontrivial non-existence results for equiangular tight frames in complex spaces [15].

In the next section, we verify that the doubly transitive two-graphs classified by Taylor [44] correspond to the doubly transitive lines in complex space whose unit-norm representatives are equivalent to real ETFs. Section 3 then reviews the results from the previous installment [25] that we use repeatedly in this installment. We prove part (a) of the Higman Pair Theorem in Section 4, and our proof suggests a program for classifying doubly transitive lines. Section 5 builds up the machinery needed to accomplish such a classification, and then Section 6 performs this classification in the almost simple case, ultimately proving Theorem 1.2.

2 Doubly transitive real lines and two-graphs

Taylor [44] has completely classified doubly transitive two-graphs, which are known to be equivalent to doubly transitive lines in \mathbb{R}^d . In contrast, this series concerns doubly transitive lines in \mathbb{C}^d . Every line $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ embeds into a unique line $\ell' \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ through the inclusion $\mathbb{R}^d \subset \mathbb{C}^d$: if $\ell = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\varphi\}$, then $\ell' = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\varphi\}$. In this section, we show that this embedding preserves automorphism groups. Consequently, Taylor's results apply for a special class of lines in the complex setting.

Definition 2.1. A sequence \mathcal{L} of lines in \mathbb{C}^d is called **real** if it has unit-norm representatives $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ such that $\langle \varphi_j, \varphi_i \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $i, j \in [n]$.

Given any sequence \mathcal{L} of linearly dependent equiangular lines, choose unit norm representatives $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ satisfying $|\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle| = \mu \neq 0$ for every $i \neq j \in [n]$, and consider $\mathcal{S} = \mu^{-1}(\Phi^* \Phi - I)$. We call $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ a **signature matrix** since it satisfies all of the following:

(S1) $\mathcal{S}_{ii} = 0$ for every $i \in [n]$,

(S2) $|\mathcal{S}_{ij}| = 1$ whenever $i \neq j \in [n]$, and

(S3) $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}$.

For any choice of unimodular scalars $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in [n]}$, the matrix $D = \text{diag}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ determines another signature matrix $\mathcal{S}' = D^{-1}\mathcal{S}D$. In terms of \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{S}' corresponds to the different choice of unit-norm representatives $\{\omega_j\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$. We say that \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are **switching equivalent**, and write $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{S}'$. The **normalized signature matrix** of \mathcal{L} is the unique $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \sim \mathcal{S}$ such that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{1j} = \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{j1} = 1$ for every $j > 1$. Conversely, any signature matrix \mathcal{S} gives rise to a unique unitary equivalence class of linearly dependent equiangular lines. Indeed, the least eigenvalue λ of \mathcal{S} is strictly negative since $\text{tr } \mathcal{S} = 0$, and so $-\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{S} + I \geq 0$ can be factored as $\Phi^*\Phi$ for some unit vectors Φ , which span linearly dependent equiangular lines having \mathcal{S} as a signature matrix.

A sequence of linearly dependent equiangular lines is real if and only if its normalized signature matrix is real-valued. As such, Definition 2.1 is consistent with the terminology in [25].

Proposition 2.2. *A sequence \mathcal{L} of lines in \mathbb{C}^d is real if and only if there is a unitary $U \in \text{U}(d)$ and a choice of unit-norm representatives $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ for \mathcal{L} such that $U\varphi_j \in \mathbb{R}^d \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ for every $j \in [n]$.*

Proof. The reverse implication is clear. For the forward implication, suppose that \mathcal{L} is a sequence of real lines in \mathbb{C}^d , and let $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ be a choice of unit-norm representatives for \mathcal{L} such that $\Phi^*\Phi$ is real-valued. Since $\Phi^*\Phi$ is positive semidefinite with rank at most d , there exists $\Psi = \{\psi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $\Psi^*\Psi = \Phi^*\Phi$. It is well known that vectors in \mathbb{C}^d are determined up to unitary equivalence by their Gram matrices, so there exists a unitary $U \in \text{U}(d)$ such that $U\varphi_j = \psi_j$ for every $j \in [n]$. \square

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ be a sequence of lines in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\mathcal{L}' = \{\ell'_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ be its embedding in \mathbb{C}^d . We define $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L} = \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}'$ and

$$\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L} = \{\sigma \in S_n : \text{there exists } Q \in \text{O}(d) \text{ such that } Q\ell_j = \ell_{\sigma(j)} \text{ for every } j \in [n]\},$$

where $\text{O}(d) \leq \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the orthogonal group.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{\kappa_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ be sequences of lines in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\mathcal{L}' = \{\ell'_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ and $\mathcal{K}' = \{\kappa'_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ be their embeddings in \mathbb{C}^d . Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{K} are orthogonally equivalent: there exists $Q \in \text{O}(d)$ with $Q\ell_j = \kappa_j$ for every $j \in [n]$.

(b) \mathcal{L}' and \mathcal{K}' are unitarily equivalent: there exists $U \in \text{U}(d)$ with $U\ell'_j = \kappa'_j$ for every $j \in [n]$.

In particular, $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L} = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Choose unit-norm representatives $\varphi_j \in \ell_j \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\psi_j \in \kappa_j \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ for every $j \in [n]$. Then \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{K} are orthogonally equivalent if and only if there exists $Q \in \text{O}(d)$ and scalars $a_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $Q\varphi_j = a_j\psi_j$ for every $j \in [n]$. It is well known that vector sequences in \mathbb{R}^d are determined up to orthogonal equivalence by their Gram matrices. Hence, (a) holds if and only if there exist scalars $a_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that

$$\langle \varphi_j, \varphi_i \rangle = a_i a_j \langle \psi_j, \psi_i \rangle \quad \text{for every } i, j \in [n]. \quad (1)$$

Similarly, (b) holds if and only if there are scalars $c_j \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\langle \varphi_j, \varphi_i \rangle = \bar{c}_i c_j \langle \psi_j, \psi_i \rangle \quad \text{for every } i, j \in [n]. \quad (2)$$

It is now obvious that (a) implies (b). For the converse, assume (b) holds and choose scalars $c_j \in \mathbb{T}$ to satisfy (2). Define \mathcal{G} to be the graph on vertex set $[n]$ with an edge joining $i \neq j$ whenever $\langle \varphi_j, \varphi_i \rangle \neq 0$. (This is the *frame graph* of [1].) Equivalently, $i \neq j$ are adjacency when $\langle \psi_j, \psi_i \rangle \neq 0$.

Choose representatives $i_1, \dots, i_r \in [n]$ for each of the connected components of \mathcal{G} , and define new scalars $\{a_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ by setting $a_j = \overline{c_{i_k}} c_j$ whenever there is a path from j to i_k . Then $\overline{a_i} a_j = \overline{c_i} c_j$ whenever $i, j \in [n]$ lie in the same connected component of \mathcal{G} . For every $i, j \in [n]$, (2) gives $\langle \varphi_j, \varphi_i \rangle = \overline{a_i} a_j \langle \psi_j, \psi_i \rangle$, both sides of the equation being 0 when there is no path from i to j .

It remains to show that $a_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ for every $j \in [n]$. Given adjacent vertices $i, j \in [n]$, we can divide the relation $\langle \varphi_j, \varphi_i \rangle = \overline{a_i} a_j \langle \psi_j, \psi_i \rangle$ to deduce that $\overline{a_i} a_j \in \mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{T} = \{\pm 1\}$. If one of a_i, a_j belongs to $\{\pm 1\}$, the other does as well. Since $a_{i_k} = \overline{c_{i_k}} c_{i_k} = 1$ for every $k \in [r]$, we quickly see that $a_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ for every $j \in [n]$. This proves (a).

Finally, we conclude that $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L} = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}$ by taking any $\sigma \in S_n$ and setting $\mathcal{K} = \{\ell_{\sigma(j)}\}_{j \in [n]}$. Then $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}$ if and only if (a) holds, while $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}$ if and only if (b) holds. \square

Definition 2.5. A **two-graph** is a pair (Ω, \mathcal{T}) where Ω is a finite set of vertices and \mathcal{T} is a collection of 3-subsets of Ω , such that every 4-subset of Ω contains an even number of 3-subsets from \mathcal{T} . The **automorphism group** of (Ω, \mathcal{T}) consists of all permutations of Ω that preserve \mathcal{T} .

Proposition 2.6. *Given a sequence \mathcal{L} of n real, linearly dependent, equiangular lines, choose unit-norm representatives $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ and put*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}} = \left\{ \{i, j, k\} \subset [n] : i \neq j \neq k \neq i \text{ and } \mu^{-3} \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle \langle \varphi_j, \varphi_k \rangle \langle \varphi_k, \varphi_i \rangle = -1 \right\},$$

where $\mu = |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle|$ for $i \neq j$. Then $([n], \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}})$ is a two-graph whose automorphism group equals $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$. Moreover, the mapping $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}}$ induces a bijection between unitary equivalence classes of real, linearly dependent, equiangular lines and two-graphs on vertex sets $[n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this correspondence, doubly transitive real lines map to doubly transitive two-graphs.

Proof. Notice that for any $i, j, k \in [n]$, the triple product $\mu^{-3} \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle \langle \varphi_j, \varphi_k \rangle \langle \varphi_k, \varphi_i \rangle$ is independent of the choice of unit-norm representatives for \mathcal{L} , and is also preserved (up to complex conjugation) by reordering (i, j, k) or applying a global unitary. Hence $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is an invariant of the unitary equivalence class of \mathcal{L} .

By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, the embedding $\mathbb{R}^d \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ induces a bijection between linearly dependent equiangular line sequences in \mathbb{R}^d up to orthogonal equivalence, and linearly dependent equiangular real line sequences in \mathbb{C}^d up to unitary equivalence. Moreover, this bijection preserves the automorphism group. On the other hand, it is well known (cf. [40]) that triple products give a one-to-one correspondence between two-graphs and linearly dependent equiangular sequences of lines in \mathbb{R}^d , up to orthogonal equivalence. In addition, the latter correspondence preserves automorphism groups (cf. [6]). The proof is completed by composing these bijections. \square

With each two-graph $(\mathcal{T}, [n])$ we associate a normalized signature matrix $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, defined as follows. Set $\mathcal{S}_{ii} = 0$ for every $i \in [n]$, and $\mathcal{S}_{i1} = \mathcal{S}_{1i} = 1$ for every $i \neq 1$. When $i \neq j$ are both different from 1, put $\mathcal{S}_{ij} = -1$ if $\{1, i, j\} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{ij} = 1$ otherwise. Then \mathcal{S} is the normalized signature matrix of a sequence \mathcal{L} of linearly dependent, real, equiangular lines such that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}}$. The two-graph $(\mathcal{T}, [n])$ is called **regular** if \mathcal{S} has exactly two eigenvalues $\lambda_1 > 0 > \lambda_2$. In that case, \mathcal{L} spans a space of dimension $d = \frac{n\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$, while $n - d = \frac{-n\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$. Every doubly transitive two-graph is regular, as an easy consequence of Theorem 2.2 in [43].

In light of Proposition 2.6, doubly transitive real lines are linearly independent or else they follow Taylor's classification of doubly transitive two-graphs [44]. We summarize the classification

of doubly transitive real lines in Proposition 2.7 below. The list of automorphism groups is taken from [44, Theorem 1], while existence and uniqueness are provided by [44, Theorem 2]. For each of the cases (i)–(viii) below, a corresponding two-graph is described in [43, Section 6]. There one also finds eigenvalues for a normalized signature matrix. We used those eigenvalues to compute the dimensions d below. Finally, we remark that [44, Theorem 1] lists additional symmetry groups of affine type (B). However, each of those groups is contained in an affine symplectic group, and [44, Section 3] establishes that the corresponding two-graphs are instances of case (viii) below.

Proposition 2.7. *If \mathcal{L} is a sequence of $n \geq 2d > 2$ real lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group S , then one of the following holds:*

(A) *There is a nonabelian simple group G such that $G \trianglelefteq S \leq \text{Aut}(G)$, where (G, d, n) is one of the following:*

- (i) $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $d = (q + 1)/2$, $n = q + 1$.
- (ii) $G = \text{PSU}(3, q)$, q odd, $d = q^2 - q + 1$, $n = q^3 + 1$.
- (iii) $G = {}^2G_2(q)$, $q = 3^{2e+1}$, $d = q^2 - q + 1$, $n = q^3 + 1$.
- (iv) $G = \text{Sp}(2m, 2)$, $m \geq 3$, $d = (2^{2m-1} - 3 \cdot 2^{m-1} + 1)/3$, $n = 2^{2m-1} - 2^{m-1}$.
- (v) $G = \text{Sp}(2m, 2)$, $m \geq 3$, $d = (2^{2m-1} + 3 \cdot 2^{m-1} + 1)/3$, $n = 2^{2m-1} + 2^{m-1}$.
- (vi) $G = \text{HS}$ (the Higman–Sims group), $d = 22$, $n = 176$.
- (vii) $G = \text{Co}_3$ (the third Conway group), $d = 23$, $n = 276$.

(B) *There is a normal elementary abelian subgroup of S that acts regularly on \mathcal{L} . Furthermore, S has a subgroup G , where*

(viii) $G = \mathbb{F}_2^{2m} \rtimes \text{Sp}(2m, 2)$, $m \neq 1$, $d = 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1)$, $n = 2^{2m}$.

Conversely, for each (G, n) of (i)–(viii) there exists a unique unitary equivalence class of $n \geq 2d' > 2$ real lines spanning $\mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whose automorphism group contains G . For such lines, $d' = d$.

3 Review of Higman pairs and roux

In \mathbb{R}^d , every sequence of real doubly transitive lines can be viewed as a two-graph. Similarly in the complex case, we will show that doubly transitive lines derive from a combinatorial object known as a **roux**. We introduced roux and the related notion of Higman pairs in the first paper of this series [25]. In this section, we recall some necessary results from [25] and provide a brief summary of association schemes, roux, and Higman pairs.

3.1 Association schemes

An **association scheme** is a sequence $\{A_i\}_{i \in [k]}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ such that

- (A1) $A_1 = I$,
- (A2) $\sum_{i \in [k]} A_i = J$ (the matrix of all ones), and
- (A3) $\mathcal{A} := \text{span}\{A_i\}_{i \in [k]}$ is a $*$ -algebra under matrix multiplication.

We refer to \mathcal{A} as the **adjacency algebra** of $\{A_i\}_{i \in [k]}$. Any matrix $M \in \mathcal{A}$ has a unique expansion $M = \sum_{i \in [k]} c_i A_i$, and M is said to **carry the scheme** when the coefficients c_i are distinct. (Then the “level sets” of M carve out the adjacency matrices $\{A_i\}_{i \in [k]}$.) An association scheme is said to be **commutative** when its adjacency algebra is commutative. In that case, the spectral theorem provides \mathcal{A} with an alternative basis of orthogonal projections $\{E_j\}_{j \in [k]}$. These are called the **primitive idempotents** of \mathcal{A} , since every other projection in \mathcal{A} can be expressed as a sum of primitive idempotents. Whether or not the scheme is commutative, every orthogonal projection $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{A}$ can be factored as $\mathcal{G} = \Phi^* \Phi$ where $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ is a sequence of equal-norm vectors $\varphi_j \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $d = \text{rank } \mathcal{G}$. By collecting the lines spanned by these vectors and eliminating duplicates as necessary, we obtain a sequence $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_j\}_{j \in [m]}$ of lines spanning \mathbb{C}^d having representatives Φ with Gram matrix \mathcal{G} . (Notice that each line may be represented several times in Φ and in \mathcal{G} .) The resulting lines have been studied in [11, 24, 23, 22].

A large class of examples of association schemes arise from permutation groups. Let G be a finite group acting transitively on a set X (from the left). Then G also acts on $X \times X$ through the diagonal action $g \cdot (x, y) = (g \cdot x, g \cdot y)$. Collect the orbits $\{R_i\}_{i \in [k]}$ of G on $X \times X$, and let $\{A_i\}_{i \in [k]}$ be the corresponding indicator matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{X \times X}$, i.e. $[A_i]_{x,y} = 1$ if $(x, y) \in R_i$ and 0 otherwise. By reindexing if necessary we may assume that $A_1 = I$. Then $\{A_i\}_{i \in [k]}$ is an association scheme, called a **Schurian** scheme. The corresponding adjacency algebra \mathcal{A} consists of all G -stable matrices, that is, matrices $M \in \mathbb{C}^{X \times X}$ satisfying $M_{g \cdot x, g \cdot y} = M_{x,y}$ for every $g \in G$ and $x, y \in X$. Any vector sequence $\Phi = \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in X}$ with $\Phi^* \Phi \in \mathcal{A}$ is called a **homogeneous frame**, since it inherits symmetries corresponding to the action of G on X (see [22]). For an alternative description of the scheme, we may assume without loss of generality that $X = G/H$, where $H \leq G$ is the stabilizer of a point in X . Then the double cosets $\{Ha_iH\}_{i \in [k]}$ of H in G can be indexed in such a way that $[A_i]_{xH, yH} = 1$ if $y^{-1}x \in Ha_iH$ and 0 otherwise. We refer to (G, H) as a **Gelfand pair** when its Schurian scheme is commutative.

3.2 Roux and roux lines

Given a finite abelian group Γ of order r , we denote $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ for its group algebra over \mathbb{C} , and $[\cdot]: \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{rn \times rn}$ for the injective $*$ -algebra homomorphism that replaces each element of Γ with its $r \times r$ Cayley representation, extended linearly to $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$.

Definition 3.1. A **roux** for an abelian group Γ is an $n \times n$ matrix B with entries in $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ such that the following hold:

- (R1) $B_{ii} = 0$ for every $i \in [n]$.
- (R2) $B_{ij} \in \Gamma$ for every $i, j \in [n]$, $i \neq j$.
- (R3) $B_{ji} = (B_{ij})^{-1}$ for every $i, j \in [n]$, $i \neq j$.
- (R4) The matrices $\{gI\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ and $\{gB\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ span an algebra $\mathcal{A}(B)$.

Every roux $B \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]^{n \times n}$ determines an association scheme (called the **roux scheme**) with adjacency matrices $\{[gI]\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ and $\{[gB]\}_{g \in \Gamma}$.

Proposition 3.2 (Lemma 3.3 in [25]). *Suppose $B \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]^{n \times n}$ satisfies (R1)–(R3). Then B is a roux for Γ if and only if*

$$B^2 = (n-1)I + \sum_{g \in \Gamma} c_g gB$$

for some complex numbers $\{c_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$, called the **roux parameters** for B . In this case, we necessarily have that $\{c_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ are nonnegative integers that sum to $n - 2$, with $c_{g^{-1}} = c_g$ for every $g \in \Gamma$.

Proposition 3.3 (Theorem 3.8 in [25]). *Given an $n \times n$ roux B for Γ with parameters $\{c_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$, the primitive idempotents for the corresponding roux scheme are scalar multiples of*

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha^\epsilon := \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \alpha(g)[gI] + \mu_\alpha^\epsilon \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \alpha(g)[gB], \quad (\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}, \epsilon \in \{+, -\}),$$

where μ_α^ϵ is defined in terms of the Fourier transform $\hat{c}_\alpha := \sum_{h \in \Gamma} c_h \overline{\alpha(h)}$ as follows:

$$\mu_\alpha^\epsilon = \frac{\hat{c}_\alpha + \epsilon \sqrt{(\hat{c}_\alpha)^2 + 4(n-1)}}{2(n-1)}.$$

The rank of $\mathcal{G}_\alpha^\epsilon$ is

$$d_\alpha^\epsilon = \frac{n}{1 + (n-1)(\mu_\alpha^\epsilon)^2}.$$

Furthermore, if $\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}$, $\mu > 0$, and

$$\mathcal{G} := \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \alpha(g)[gI] + \mu \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \alpha(g)[gB]$$

satisfies $\mathcal{G}^2 = c\mathcal{G}$ for some $c > 0$, then $\mu = \mu_\alpha^\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, and a scalar multiple of $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_\alpha^\epsilon$ is a primitive idempotent for the roux scheme of B .

Given a finite abelian group Γ , we write $\hat{\Gamma}$ for the Pontryagin dual group of characters $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. Every $\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}$ extends by linearity to a $*$ -algebra homomorphism $\bar{\alpha}: \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which in turn extends to a $*$ -algebra homomorphism $\hat{\alpha}: \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ through entrywise application of $\bar{\alpha}$.

Proposition 3.4 (Theorem 4.1 in [25]). *Suppose $B \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]^{n \times n}$ satisfies (R1)–(R3). Then B is a roux if and only if for every $\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}$, $\hat{\alpha}(B)$ is the signature matrix of an equiangular tight frame.*

Any sequence of lines arising from an application of Proposition 3.4 are called **roux lines**. We will see that every sequence of doubly transitive lines is roux. As explained in [25], every abelian DRACKN can be viewed as an instance of a roux, and the resulting roux lines coincide with the construction of ETFs from abelian DRACKNs in [17, 10].

Proposition 3.5 (Lemma 4.2 in [25]). *For each $\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}$, the signature matrix $\hat{\alpha}(B)$ from Proposition 3.4 and the Gram matrix $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha^{-1}}^+$ from Proposition 3.3 describe the same lines (each line implicated by the former is represented $|\Gamma|$ times in the latter).*

One can easily determine whether or not roux lines are real with the following result.

Proposition 3.6 (Real roux lines detector, Corollary 4.8 in [25]). *Let B be a roux for Γ and pick $\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}$. Then $\hat{\alpha}(B)$ is a signature matrix of real lines if and only if $\alpha(g)$ is real for every $g \in \Gamma$ such that $c_g \neq 0$.*

Finally, there are several trivial ways to deform roux, one of which is described below.

Proposition 3.7 (Lemma 3.5(a) in [25]). *Let $B \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]^{n \times n}$ be a roux with parameters $\{c_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$. Given any diagonal matrix $D \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]^{n \times n}$ with $D_{ii} \in \Gamma$ for every $i \in [n]$, then DBD^{-1} is a roux with parameters $\{c_g\}_{g \in [n]}$.*

The roux B and DBD^{-1} in Proposition 3.7 are called **switching equivalent**. Given any such B and D and any $\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}$, it is easy to see that the signature matrices $\hat{\alpha}(B)$ and $\hat{\alpha}(DBD^{-1})$ are switching equivalent. Consequently, switching equivalent roux create the same roux lines.

3.3 Schurian roux schemes and Higman pairs

Definition 3.8. Given a finite group G and a proper subgroup $H \leq G$, let $K = N_G(H)$ be the normalizer of H in G . We say (G, H) is a **Higman pair** if there exists a **key** $b \in G \setminus K$ such that

- (H1) G acts doubly transitively on G/K ,
- (H2) K/H is abelian,
- (H3) $HbH = Hb^{-1}H$,
- (H4) $aba^{-1} \in HbH$ for every $a \in K$, and
- (H5) $a \in K$ satisfies $ab \in HbH$ only if $a \in H$.

The relationship between roux and Higman pairs is given below and depicted in Figure 1.

Proposition 3.9 (Theorem 3.1 in [25]). *Let G be a finite group, and pick $H \leq G$. The Schurian scheme of (G, H) is isomorphic to a roux scheme if and only if (G, H) is a Higman pair.*

Proposition 3.10 (Roux from Higman pairs, Lemma 3.7 in [25]). *Given a Higman pair (G, H) , denote $K = N_G(H)$ and $n = [G : K]$, and select any key $b \in G \setminus K$. Choose left coset representatives $\{x_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ for K in G and choose coset representatives $\{a_g\}_{g \in K/H}$ for H in K . Define $B \in \mathbb{C}[K/H]^{n \times n}$ entrywise as follows: Given $i \neq j$, let B_{ij} be the unique $g \in K/H$ for which $x_i^{-1}x_j \in Ha_gbH$, and set $B_{ii} = 0$. Then B is a roux for K/H with roux parameters $\{c_g\}_{g \in K/H}$ given by*

$$c_g = \frac{n-1}{|H|} \cdot |bHb^{-1} \cap Ha_gbH|. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, the roux scheme generated by B is isomorphic to the Schurian scheme of (G, H) .

We emphasize that a Higman pair (G, H) does not, in general, carry a unique key, and distinct keys may create distinct roux through Proposition 3.10. However, for any choice of key the resulting roux scheme is isomorphic to the Schurian scheme of (G, H) . Since roux lines correspond with primitive idempotents in the roux scheme, their ranks do not depend on the choice of key. In particular, the ranks of the primitive idempotents for the Schurian scheme of (G, H) can be computed using (3) and Proposition 3.3.

4 Radicalization and the Higman Pair Theorem

As mentioned in the introduction, every sequence of linearly dependent doubly transitive lines is determined by a Higman pair. This fact will enable us to classify doubly transitive lines that exhibit almost simple symmetries. The following result makes this pivotal correspondence explicit.

Theorem 4.1 (Higman Pair Theorem, Theorem 2.3 in [25]).

- (a) *Assume $n \geq 3$. Given $n > d$ doubly transitive lines that span \mathbb{C}^d , there exists r such that one may select r equal-norm representatives from each of the n lines that together carry the association scheme of a Higman pair (G, H) with $r = [N_G(H) : H]$ and $n = [G : N_G(H)]$. Moreover, their Gram matrix is a primitive idempotent for this scheme.*




Figure 1: (left) The relationship between roux and Higman pairs, as described by Proposition 3.9. (right) The dual relationships for lines, as given by Theorem 4.1.

(b) *Every Higman pair (G, H) is a Gelfand pair. Every primitive idempotent of its association scheme is the Gram matrix of $r = [N_G(H) : H]$ equal-norm representatives from each of $n = [G : N_G(H)]$ doubly transitive lines that span \mathbb{C}^d with $d < n$, and the phase of each entry is an r th root of unity. Moreover, the automorphism group of the lines contains the doubly transitive action of G on $G/N_G(H)$.*

The proof of Theorem 4.1(b) appeared in [25], but the proof of Theorem 4.1(a) was saved for the current installment. Our proof technique relies on the following notion from homological algebra.

Definition 4.2. Let G be a finite group. A **2-cocycle** is a function $f: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $f(x, y)f(xy, z) = f(y, z)f(x, yz)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$. A **2-coboundary** is a function $f: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ for which there exists $t: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $f(x, y) = t(x)t(y)t(xy)^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in G$. The **Schur multiplier** of G is the abelian group $M(G)$ of 2-cocycles under pointwise multiplication, modulo the subgroup of 2-coboundaries. A **Schur cover** of G is a group G^* with a subgroup A such that:

- (i) the center of G^* and the commutator subgroup of G^* both contain A ,
- (ii) $A \cong M(G)$, and
- (iii) there is an epimorphism $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$ (a **Schur covering**) with kernel A .

The Schur multiplier of a finite group is finite, and every finite group has a (necessarily finite) Schur cover. We refer to [28, 29] for background.

A **projective unitary representation** of a finite group G is a mapping $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(d)$ such that $\rho(1) = I$ and such that there exists $f: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $\rho(x)\rho(y) = f(x, y)\rho(xy)$ for every $x, y \in G$. If $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(d)$ is a homomorphism (i.e. $f \equiv 1$), then we call ρ an (honest) **unitary representation**. The following result explains how Schur covers help convert projective unitary representations into honest unitary representations. We provide a proof in the appendix.

Proposition 4.3. *Let G be a finite group with a projective unitary representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(d)$. Given any Schur covering $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$, there exists a unitary representation $\rho^*: G^* \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(d)$ and phases $\{\omega_{x^*}\}_{x^* \in G^*}$ such that $\rho^*(x^*) = \omega_{x^*}\rho(\pi(x^*))$ for every $x^* \in G^*$.*

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a doubly transitive group of automorphisms of a sequence $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ of $n > d$ lines spanning \mathbb{C}^d . For each $\sigma \in G$, choose a unitary $\rho(\sigma) \in \mathbb{U}(d)$ such that $\rho(1) = I$ and $\rho(\sigma)\ell_i = \ell_{\sigma(i)}$ for every $i \in [n]$. Then $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(d)$ is a projective unitary representation.*

Proof. Choose unit-norm representatives $\Phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ for \mathcal{L} . Then Φ is an equiangular tight frame, by Proposition 1.1. Since $n > d$, the common value of $|\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle|$ for $i \neq j$ is not zero. The desired result now follows immediately from [8, Lemma 6.5]. \square

Given a positive integer r' , we write $C_{r'} \leq \mathbb{T}$ for the group of r' th roots of unity under multiplication. When $r = 2r'$, each element of $C_{r'}$ can be obtained by squaring an appropriate element of C_r . In this sense, C_r consists of radicals of $C_{r'}$. This fact motivates the following terminology, which will play a crucial role in our proofs of the Higman Pair Theorem and the main result.

Definition 4.5. Given a group G^* , a subgroup $G_0^* \leq G^*$, and a linear character $\alpha \in \widehat{G_0^*}$, put $r' = |\text{im } \alpha|$, $r = 2r'$, and define $\tilde{\alpha}: G_0^* \times C_r \rightarrow C_r$ by $\tilde{\alpha}(x, z) = \alpha(x)z$. (Here, $C_r \leq \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the group of r th roots of unity under multiplication.) We say $(G^* \times C_r, \ker \tilde{\alpha})$ is the **radicalization** of (G^*, G_0^*, α) .

Theorem 4.6 (Lines from permutations). *Let $G \leq S_n$ be a doubly transitive permutation group with $n \geq 3$, and let $G_0 \leq G$ be the stabilizer of $1 \in [n]$. Choose any Schur covering $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$, and put $G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0)$. If G is a group of automorphisms of a sequence \mathcal{L} of $n > d$ lines spanning \mathbb{C}^d , then there is a linear character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that:*

- (a) *the radicalization (\tilde{G}^*, H) of (G^*, G_0^*, α) is a Higman pair, and*
- (b) *the Schurian scheme of (\tilde{G}^*, H) has a primitive idempotent that is the Gram matrix of $r = [N_{\tilde{G}^*}(H) : H]$ equal-norm representatives from each of the $n = [\tilde{G}^* : N_{\tilde{G}^*}(H)]$ lines in \mathcal{L} , and furthermore, this Gram matrix carries the scheme.*

Our proof of Theorem 4.6 uses the following well-known characterizations of doubly transitive actions. For background on permutation groups, we refer the reader to [12].

Proposition 4.7. *Let G be a finite group acting transitively on a set X , and let $G_0 \leq G$ be the stabilizer of some point $x_0 \in X$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *G acts doubly transitively on X .*
- (b) *G_0 acts transitively on $X \setminus \{x_0\}$.*
- (c) *For any $\sigma \in G \setminus G_0$, $G = G_0 \cup G_0\sigma G_0$.*
- (d) *For any epimorphism $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$, G^* acts doubly transitively on G^*/G_0^* , where $G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0)$.*

When these conditions hold, $G_0 \leq G$ is a maximal subgroup.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Denote $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_j\}_{j \in [n]}$. Since G consists of automorphisms of \mathcal{L} , we can choose unitaries $\{\rho(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in G}$ such that $\rho(1) = I$ and $\rho(\sigma)\ell_i = \ell_{\sigma(i)}$ for every $i \in [n]$. Then $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(d)$ is a projective unitary representation by Lemma 4.4. Applying Proposition 4.3, we lift ρ to an honest unitary representation $\rho^*: G^* \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(d)$ of the Schur cover. Then $\rho^*(x)\ell_i = \ell_{\pi(x)(i)}$ for every $x \in G^*$ and $i \in [n]$.

Fix a unit vector $\varphi \in \ell_1$. Since G_0^* holds ℓ_1 invariant, every $\xi \in G_0^*$ produces a unimodular constant $\alpha(\xi)$ such that $\rho^*(\xi)\varphi = \alpha(\xi)\varphi$. Moreover, $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a linear character since ρ^* is a

homomorphism. Put $r' = |\text{im } \alpha|$ and $r = 2r'$, so that α maps G_0^* onto $C_{r'} \leq C_r \leq \mathbb{T}$. We would like to extend ρ^* in such a way that its image includes all of $C_r \cdot I$. To that end, we define extension groups $\tilde{G}^* = G^* \times C_r$ and $\tilde{G}_0^* = G_0^* \times C_r$, and let $\tilde{\rho}^*: \tilde{G}^* \rightarrow \text{U}(d)$ be the unitary representation given by $\tilde{\rho}^*(x, z) = z \rho^*(x)$. Then \tilde{G}^* permutes \mathcal{L} according to the rule $\tilde{\rho}^*(x, z)\ell_i = \ell_{\pi(x)(i)}$, and in particular

$$\tilde{G}_0^* = \{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{G}^* : \tilde{\rho}^*(\tilde{x})\ell_1 = \ell_1\}. \quad (4)$$

As before, this implies that $\rho^*(x, z)\varphi = \tilde{\alpha}(\xi, z)\varphi$ for every $(\xi, z) \in \tilde{G}_0^*$, where $\tilde{\alpha}: \tilde{G}_0^* \rightarrow C_r$ is the character $\tilde{\alpha}(\xi, z) = z \cdot \alpha(\xi)$. Let $H \leq \tilde{G}_0^*$ be the group that stabilizes not only ℓ_1 , but also φ :

$$H = \{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{G}^* : \tilde{\rho}^*(\tilde{x})\varphi = \varphi\} = \ker \tilde{\alpha} = \{(\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1}) \in \tilde{G}_0^* : \xi \in G_0^*\}.$$

Then (\tilde{G}^*, H) is the radicalization of (G^*, G_0^*, α) . The notation established thus far is partially summarized in Figure 2.

To prove (\tilde{G}^*, H) is a Higman pair, first observe that $H = \ker \tilde{\alpha} \trianglelefteq \tilde{G}_0^*$, and so $N_{\tilde{G}^*}(H) \geq \tilde{G}_0^*$. By Proposition 4.7 and (4), \tilde{G}^* acts doubly transitively on $\tilde{G}^*/\tilde{G}_0^*$, and $\tilde{G}_0^* \leq \tilde{G}^*$ is a maximal subgroup. We claim that H is not normal in \tilde{G}^* , and therefore $\tilde{G}_0^* = N_{\tilde{G}^*}(H)$. Notice that G_0^* is not normal in G^* since it has $n \geq 3$ left cosets but only two double cosets. Choose $\xi \in G_0^*$ and $x \in G^*$ such that $x\xi x^{-1} \notin G_0^*$. Then $(\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1}) \in H$, but $(x, 1)(\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1})(x, 1)^{-1} = (x\xi x^{-1}, \alpha(\xi)^{-1}) \notin H$. This proves the claim, and (H1) follows. We have (H2) since $\tilde{G}_0^*/\ker \tilde{\alpha} \cong C_r$.

We now obtain a candidate key for (\tilde{G}^*, H) . For each $i \in [n]$, choose $x_i \in G^*$ such that $\pi(x_i)(1) = i$. Then $\{x_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a transversal for G^*/G_0^* , and $\Phi := \{\rho^*(x_i)\varphi\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an ETF by Proposition 1.1. Every $x \in G^*$ can be written uniquely in the form $x = x_i\xi$ for some $i \in [n]$ and $\xi \in G_0^*$, and so

$$|\langle \rho^*(x)\varphi, \varphi \rangle| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in G_0^* \\ \mu, & \text{if } x \notin G_0^* \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $\mu = \sqrt{\frac{n-d}{d(n-1)}} \notin \{0, 1\}$ by the Welch bound [46]. We claim that $\mu^{-1}\langle \rho^*(x)\varphi, \varphi \rangle \in C_r$ whenever $x \notin G_0^*$. Choose such an x . Then $\pi(x) \neq 1$. Since G acts doubly transitively, there exists $y \in G^*$ such that $\pi(y)[\pi(x)(1)] = 1$ and $\pi(y)(1) = \pi(x)(1)$. We have $yx, x^{-1}y \in G_0^*$, and so

$$\langle \rho^*(x)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \rho^*(yx)\varphi, \rho^*(xx^{-1}y)\varphi \rangle = \langle \alpha(yx)\varphi, \rho^*(x)\alpha(x^{-1}y)\varphi \rangle = \alpha(yxy^{-1}x)\langle \varphi, \rho^*(x)\varphi \rangle. \quad (6)$$

Write $\langle \rho^*(x)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = z\mu$. Then (6) says that $z\mu = \alpha(yxy^{-1}x)z^{-1}\mu$, so that $z^2 = \alpha(yxy^{-1}x) \in C_{r'}$. Therefore, $z \in C_r$, as desired. In particular, there exists $b = (x, z^{-1}) \in \tilde{G}^* \setminus \tilde{G}_0^*$ such that $\langle \tilde{\rho}^*(b)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \mu$. Fix such b for the remainder of the proof.

Next we demonstrate the crucial identity

$$\text{for any } \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{G}^*, \quad H\tilde{x}H = H\tilde{y}H \iff \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(\tilde{x})\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(\tilde{y})\varphi, \varphi \rangle. \quad (7)$$

If $\tilde{x} = a\tilde{y}a'$ for $a, a' \in H$, then

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}^*(\tilde{x})\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(\tilde{y})\tilde{\rho}^*(a')\varphi, \tilde{\rho}^*(a^{-1})\varphi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(\tilde{y})\varphi, \varphi \rangle.$$

Conversely, suppose $\tilde{x} = (x, z)$ and $\tilde{y} = (y, w)$ satisfy $\langle \tilde{\rho}^*(\tilde{x})\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(\tilde{y})\varphi, \varphi \rangle$, that is,

$$z\langle \rho^*(x)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = w\langle \rho^*(y)\varphi, \varphi \rangle.$$

By (5), either $x, y \in G_0^*$ or $x, y \notin G_0^*$. In the former case, we have $z\alpha(x) = w\alpha(y)$, so that $\tilde{\alpha}(y^{-1}x, w^{-1}z) = 1$ and $(x, z) = (y, w)(y^{-1}x, w^{-1}z) \in H(y, w)H$. On the other hand, if $x, y \notin G_0^*$ then there exist $\xi, \eta \in G_0^*$ such that $x = \xi y \eta$ by Proposition 4.7. In that case,

$$w\langle \rho^*(y)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = z\langle \rho^*(x)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = z\langle \rho^*(y)\alpha(\eta)\varphi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1}\varphi \rangle = z\alpha(\eta)\alpha(\xi)\langle \rho^*(y)\varphi, \varphi \rangle$$

and $z = \alpha(\xi)^{-1}w\alpha(\eta)^{-1}$, so that $(x, z) = (\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1})(y, w)(\eta, \alpha(\eta)^{-1}) \in H(y, w)H$. This proves (7).

The remaining Higman pair axioms follow quickly from (7). Since $\langle \tilde{\rho}^*(b)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\langle \tilde{\rho}^*(b^{-1})\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \tilde{\rho}^*(b)\varphi \rangle = \mu$, and so $b^{-1} \in HbH$. This is (H3). For every $a \in \tilde{G}_0^*$,

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}^*(aba^{-1})\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(b)\tilde{\rho}^*(a^{-1})\varphi, \tilde{\rho}^*(a^{-1})\varphi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(b)\tilde{\alpha}(a^{-1})\varphi, \tilde{\alpha}(a^{-1})\varphi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(b)\varphi, \varphi \rangle,$$

so $aba^{-1} \in HbH$. This is (H4). Finally,

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}^*(ab)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(b)\varphi, \tilde{\rho}^*(a^{-1})\varphi \rangle = \tilde{\alpha}(a)\langle \tilde{\rho}^*(b)\varphi, \varphi \rangle,$$

so $ab \in HbH$ only if $a \in \ker \tilde{\alpha} = H$. This is (H5), and the proof of (a) is complete.

To prove (b), put $\tilde{\Phi} = \{\tilde{\rho}^*(x_i, z)\varphi\}_{i \in [n], z \in C_r} = \{z \cdot \rho^*(x_i)\varphi\}_{i \in [n], z \in C_r}$. As $\text{span}\{\rho^*(x_i)\varphi\} = \rho^*(x_i)\ell_1 = \ell_i$, $\tilde{\Phi}$ consists of r representatives from each line in \mathcal{L} . Since $\Phi = \{\rho^*(x_i)\varphi\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an ETF, Proposition 3.20 in [22] implies that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a tight frame. In particular, its Gram matrix $\mathcal{G} := \tilde{\Phi}^*\tilde{\Phi}$ is a scalar multiple of an orthogonal projection. Notice that $\{(x_i, z)\}_{i \in [n], z \in C_r}$ is a transversal for \tilde{G}^*/H , since $|\tilde{G}^*/H| = |\tilde{G}^*/\tilde{G}_0^*| \cdot |\tilde{G}_0^*/H| = nr$ and

$$(x_i^{-1}x_j, z^{-1}w) \in H \iff x_i^{-1}x_j \in G_0^* \text{ and } \alpha(x_i^{-1}x_j) = w^{-1}z \iff i = j \text{ and } z = w.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.2 in [22] that \mathcal{G} lies in the adjacency algebra of (\tilde{G}^*, H) . Moreover, (7) implies that two entries

$$\mathcal{G}_{(i,z), (j,w)} = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(x_i^{-1}x_j, z^{-1}w)\varphi, \varphi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_{(i',z'), (j',w')} = \langle \tilde{\rho}^*(x_{i'}^{-1}x_{j'}, (z')^{-1}w')\varphi, \varphi \rangle$$

of \mathcal{G} are equal if and only if $(x_{i'}, z')^{-1}(x_{j'}, w') \in H(x_i, z)^{-1}(x_j, w)H$. Therefore, \mathcal{G} carries the Schurian scheme of (\tilde{G}^*, H) .

It remains only to prove that a scalar multiple of \mathcal{G} is a *primitive* idempotent for (\tilde{G}^*, H) . To that end, let $B \in \mathbb{C}[C_r]^{n \times n}$ be the roux given by Proposition 3.10 for the Higman pair (\tilde{G}^*, H) . Specifically, choose $\{\tilde{x}_i\}_{i \in [n]} := \{(x_i, 1)\}_{i \in [n]}$ as a transversal for $\tilde{G}^*/\tilde{G}_0^*$, and $\{\tilde{a}_z\}_{z \in C_r} := \{(1, z)\}_{z \in C_r}$ as a transversal for \tilde{G}_0^*/H . For $i \neq j$, (7) implies that $\tilde{x}_i^{-1}\tilde{x}_j \in H\tilde{a}_z bH$ if and only if $\langle \rho^*(x_i^{-1}x_j)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = z\mu$. By Proposition 3.10,

$$B_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mu^{-1}\langle \rho^*(x_i^{-1}x_j)\varphi, \varphi \rangle, & \text{if } i \neq j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $\beta \in \hat{C}_r$ is given by $\beta(z) = z^{-1}$, then comparison of the entries shows that

$$\mathcal{G} = \sum_{z \in C_r} \beta(z)[zI] + \mu \sum_{z \in C_r} \beta(z)[zB].$$

Then Proposition 3.3 implies that a scalar multiple of \mathcal{G} is a primitive idempotent for (\tilde{G}^*, H) . \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1(a). Immediate from Theorem 4.6. \square

The language of the following corollary intentionally mirrors that of Theorem 4.3 in [25], which gave a parallel characterization of roux lines.

Corollary 4.8. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of linearly dependent complex lines. Then \mathcal{L} is doubly transitive if and only if all of the following occur simultaneously:*

- (a) \mathcal{L} is equiangular,
- (b) there exist unit-norm representatives $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ of \mathcal{L} whose signature matrix is comprised of r th roots of unity for some r , and
- (c) the Gram matrix \mathcal{G} of $\{z\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n], z \in C_r}$ carries a Schurian association scheme.

In this case, \mathcal{G} carries the Schurian association scheme of a Higman pair, and a scalar multiple of \mathcal{G} is a primitive idempotent for that scheme.

Proof. If \mathcal{L} is doubly transitive, then (a)–(c) were verified in the proof of Theorem 4.6. Conversely, if (a)–(c) are satisfied, then Theorem 4.3 in [25] gives that there is a roux having \mathcal{L} as roux lines and the Schurian association scheme carried by \mathcal{G} as its roux scheme, with a scalar multiple of \mathcal{G} as a primitive idempotent. By Proposition 3.9, \mathcal{G} carries the Schurian association scheme of a Higman pair. Then Theorem 4.1 implies that \mathcal{G} is the Gram matrix of r unit-norm representatives from a sequence of doubly transitive lines, namely \mathcal{L} . \square

5 Roux from radicalization

The previous section leveraged Theorem 4.6 to prove part (a) of the Higman Pair Theorem, and in the next section, we will again apply Theorem 4.6 to classify sequences of doubly transitive lines that exhibit almost simple symmetries. In particular, for every almost simple doubly transitive permutation group, we will determine whether that group is a subgroup of the automorphism group of some sequence of lines. By Theorem 4.6, it suffices to find a linear character for which a certain radicalization is a Higman pair. In the event that such a character exists, we will need to dig further to determine the dimension of the lines' span and whether the lines are real. The purpose of this section is to build up the machinery needed to answer such questions.

Remark 5.1. Throughout this section, the results we present will assume the following setting without mention. Let G be a doubly transitive permutation group on $n \geq 3$ points, and let $G_0 \leq G$ be the stabilizer of some point. Given a Schur covering $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$, put $G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0)$. Fix a linear character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow C_{r'}$ and denote $r = 2r' = 2|\text{im } \alpha|$, $\tilde{G}^* = G^* \times C_r$, and $\tilde{G}_0^* \leq \tilde{G}^*$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}: \tilde{G}_0^* \rightarrow C_r$ be the epimorphism given by $\tilde{\alpha}(x, z) = z\alpha(x)$, and put

$$H = \ker \tilde{\alpha} = \{(\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1}) : \xi \in G_0^*\}.$$

In other words, (\tilde{G}^*, H) is the radicalization of (G^*, G_0^*, α) . This notation is summarized in Figure 2.

Lemma 5.2. \tilde{G}^* acts doubly transitively on $\tilde{G}^*/\tilde{G}_0^*$. Furthermore, $N_{\tilde{G}^*}(H) = \tilde{G}_0^*$.

Proof. The epimorphism $\pi': \tilde{G}^* \rightarrow G$ given by $\pi'(x, z) = \pi(x)$ satisfies $(\pi')^{-1}(G_0) = \tilde{G}_0^*$. By Proposition 4.7, \tilde{G}^* acts doubly transitively on $\tilde{G}^*/\tilde{G}_0^*$, and $\tilde{G}_0^* \leq \tilde{G}^*$ is a maximal subgroup. Since $H = \ker \tilde{\alpha} \trianglelefteq \tilde{G}_0^*$ we have $N_{\tilde{G}^*}(H) \geq \tilde{G}_0^*$. To complete the proof, it suffices to demonstrate that H is not a normal subgroup of \tilde{G}^* . To that end, observe that G^* acts doubly transitively on G^*/G_0^* ,

	Group	Stabilizer	Character	Kernel
Radicalization	$\tilde{G}^* = G^* \times C_r$	$\tilde{G}_0^* = G_0^* \times C_r$	$\tilde{\alpha}: \tilde{G}_0^* \rightarrow C_r$	$H = \ker \tilde{\alpha}$
	\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow extends	
Schur cover	G^*	$G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0)$	$\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow C_{r'}$	
	$\pi \downarrow$	\downarrow		
Permutation group	G	G_0		

Figure 2: Overview of notation in the proof of Theorem 4.6 and in Section 5. Here, $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$ is a Schur covering, $r = 2r'$, and (\tilde{G}^*, H) is the radicalization of (G^*, G_0^*, α) .

so G_0^* has only two double cosets in G^* while $|G^*/G_0^*| = n \geq 3$. Consequently, G_0^* is not a normal subgroup of G^* . Take any $x \in G^*$ and $\xi \in G_0^*$ such that $x\xi x^{-1} \notin G_0^*$. Then $(\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1}) \in H$, but $(x, 1)(\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1})(x, 1)^{-1} = (x\xi x^{-1}, \alpha(\xi)^{-1}) \notin H$. \square

Lemma 5.3 (Key finder). *Assume that (\tilde{G}^*, H) is a Higman pair. Given $x \in G^* \setminus G$, there exist $\xi, \eta \in G_0^*$ such that $x^{-1} = \xi x \eta$. Pick either of the $z \in C_r$ such that $z^2 = \alpha(\xi \eta)$. Then (x, z) is a key for (\tilde{G}^*, H) .*

Proof. First observe that $x \notin G_0^*$, so $(x, z) \notin \tilde{G}_0^* = N_{\tilde{G}^*}(H)$. To prove (H3) for b , notice that $z^{-1} = z \alpha(\xi \eta)^{-1}$, so that

$$(x, z)^{-1} = (\xi x \eta, z \alpha(\xi \eta)^{-1}) = (\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1})(x, z)(\eta, \alpha(\eta)^{-1}) \in H(x, z)H. \quad (8)$$

For (H4), take any $(\zeta, w) \in \tilde{G}_0^*$ and compute

$$(\zeta, w)(x, z)(\zeta, w)^{-1} = (\zeta x \zeta^{-1}, z) = (\zeta, \alpha(\zeta)^{-1})(x, z)(\zeta^{-1}, \alpha(\zeta)) \in H(x, z)H. \quad (9)$$

It remains to prove (H5) for b . To that end, assume $(\zeta, w) \in \tilde{G}_0^*$ satisfies $(\zeta, w)(x, z) \in H(x, z)H$. Then there exist $\xi', \eta' \in G_0^*$ such that

$$(\zeta, w)(x, z) = (\xi', \alpha(\xi')^{-1})(x, z)(\eta', \alpha(\eta')^{-1}).$$

In other words, $\zeta x = \xi' x \eta'$ and $w = \alpha(\xi' \eta')^{-1}$. Since (\tilde{G}^*, H) is a Higman pair by assumption, we may select a key (x_0, z_0) . As $x, x_0 \notin G_0^*$, Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 4.7 provide $\xi'', \eta'' \in G_0^*$ such that $x = \xi'' x_0 \eta''$. Substituting this relation into $\zeta x = \xi' x \eta'$, we obtain $\zeta \xi'' x_0 \eta'' = \xi' \xi'' x_0 \eta'' \eta'$. Recalling that $w = \alpha(\xi' \eta')^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\zeta \xi'', w \alpha(\xi'')^{-1})(x_0, z_0) &= (\xi' \xi'' x_0 \eta'' \eta' (\eta'')^{-1}, \alpha(\xi' \eta')^{-1} \alpha(\xi'')^{-1} z_0) \\ &= (\xi' \xi'', \alpha(\xi' \xi'')^{-1})(x_0, z_0)(\eta'' \eta' (\eta'')^{-1}, \alpha(\eta')^{-1}) \in H(x_0, z_0)H. \end{aligned}$$

Since (x_0, z_0) is a key, (H5) implies that $(\zeta \xi'', w \alpha(\xi'')^{-1}) \in H$. In other words, $\alpha(\zeta \xi'')^{-1} = w \alpha(\xi'')^{-1}$, so that $(\zeta, w) = (\zeta, \alpha(\zeta)^{-1}) \in H$. Therefore (H5) holds for b . \square

Theorem 5.4 (Higman pair detector). *The following are equivalent for any choice of $x \in G^* \setminus G_0^*$:*

- (a) *The radicalization of (G^*, G_0^*, α) is a Higman pair.*
- (b) *Every $\xi \in G_0^*$ for which $x\xi x^{-1} \in G_0^*$ satisfies $\alpha(x\xi x^{-1}) = \alpha(\xi)$.*

We emphasize that the Higman pair detector works equally well for any choice of $x \in G^* \setminus G_0^*$. As we will see, that flexibility makes this a powerful tool.

Theorem 5.4 implies that the radicalization of (G^*, G_0^*, α) is a Higman pair only if $\ker \alpha$ is **strongly closed** in G_0^* with respect to G^* . That is, for any $x \in G^*$, $x(\ker \alpha)x^{-1} \cap G_0^* \leq \ker \alpha$. Related conditions for doubly transitive two-graphs appear in Theorem 6.1 of [43], Theorem 6.1 of [6], and Remark 7.6 of [39].

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Assume (a) holds. By Lemma 5.3, there exists $z \in C_r$ such that $b = (x, z)$ is a key for the Higman pair (\tilde{G}^*, H) . Choose $\xi \in G_0^*$ such that $x\xi x^{-1} = \eta \in G_0^*$, as in (b). Then

$$(\xi\eta^{-1}, 1)(x, z) = (\xi x \xi^{-1} x, z) = (\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1})(x, z)(\xi^{-1}, \alpha(\xi)) \in H(x, z)H.$$

By (H5), $(\xi\eta^{-1}, 1) \in H$. Consequently, $\alpha(\xi\eta^{-1}) = 1$ and $\alpha(x\xi x^{-1}) = \alpha(\eta) = \alpha(\xi)$.

Conversely, suppose (b) holds. By Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 4.7, there exist $\eta, \zeta \in G_0^*$ such that $x^{-1} = \eta x \zeta$. Let $z \in C_r$ be such that $z^2 = \alpha(\eta\zeta)$. We claim that (\tilde{G}^*, H) is a Higman pair with key $b = (x, z)$. Lemma 5.2 supplies (H1), while (H2) holds by the first isomorphism theorem $\tilde{G}_0^*/H \cong C_r$. Calculations similar to those in (8) and (9) verify (H3) and (H4).

To prove (H5), suppose $(\zeta', w) \in \tilde{G}_0^*$ satisfies $(\zeta', w)(x, z) \in H(x, z)H$. Then there exist $\xi', \eta' \in G_0^*$ such that

$$(\zeta'x, wz) = (\xi', \alpha(\xi')^{-1})(x, z)(\eta', \alpha(\eta')^{-1}).$$

That is, $\zeta'x = \xi'x\eta'$ and $w = \alpha(\xi'\eta')^{-1}$. We can rewrite the former relation as $x\eta'x^{-1} = (\xi')^{-1}\zeta' \in G_0^*$. Then (b) gives $\alpha(\eta') = \alpha((\xi')^{-1}\zeta')$. Therefore $\alpha(\zeta')^{-1} = \alpha(\xi'\eta')^{-1} = w$, and $(\zeta', w) \in H$. \square

Lemma 5.5 (Roux parameters for radicalization). *Assume (\tilde{G}^*, H) is a Higman pair, and let (x, z) be any key. Then the roux for $C_r \cong \tilde{G}_0^*/H$ constructed in Proposition 3.10 has parameters $\{c_w\}_{w \in C_r}$ given by*

$$c_w = \frac{n-1}{|G_0^*|} \cdot \left| \left\{ \zeta \in G_0^* : \exists \xi, \eta \in G_0^* \text{ s.t. } x\zeta x^{-1} = \xi x \eta \text{ and } \alpha(\xi\eta\zeta^{-1})z^{-1} = w \right\} \right|.$$

Proof. It follows from (3). Specifically, we choose $\{a_w\}_{w \in C_r} := \{(1, w)\}_{w \in C_r}$ as a transversal for C_r in \tilde{G}_0^* . Then

$$Ha_w b H = \{(\xi, \alpha(\xi)^{-1})(1, w)(x, z)(\eta, \alpha(\eta)^{-1}) : \xi, \eta \in G_0^*\} = \{(\xi x \eta, \alpha(\xi\eta)^{-1} w z) : \xi, \eta \in G_0^*\},$$

while

$$b H b^{-1} = \{(x\zeta x^{-1}, \alpha(\zeta)^{-1}) : \zeta \in G_0^*\}.$$

Consequently,

$$|b H b^{-1} \cap Ha_w b H| = \left| \left\{ \zeta \in G_0^* : \exists \xi, \eta \in G_0^* \text{ s.t. } x\zeta x^{-1} = \xi x \eta \text{ and } \alpha(\xi\eta)^{-1} w z = \alpha(\zeta)^{-1} \right\} \right|.$$

The formula for c_w now follows from (3) since $|H| = |G_0^*|$. \square

Lemma 5.6. *If $\alpha = 1$, then all roux lines coming from the Higman pair (\tilde{G}^*, H) span a space of dimension 1 or $n-1$.*

Proof. We have $r' = 1$ and $r = 2$. Notice that (\tilde{G}^*, H) is a Higman pair by Theorem 5.4. Given any $x \in G^* \setminus G_0^*$, Lemma 5.3 implies that $b = (x, 1)$ is a key. There are just two roux parameters $\{c_1, c_{-1}\}$, and $c_{-1} = 0$ by Lemma 5.5. The sum of the roux parameters is therefore $c_1 = n-2$, by Proposition 3.2. In particular, the Fourier transform of $\{c_w\}_{w \in C_2}$ is given by $\hat{c}_\beta \equiv n-2$, $\beta \in \widehat{C}_2$.

In the notation of Proposition 3.3, we have $\mu_\beta^\epsilon \equiv \frac{n-2+\epsilon n}{2n-2}$. That is, $\mu_\beta^+ \equiv 1$ and $\mu_\beta^- \equiv -1/(n-1)$. Therefore, $d_\beta^+ \equiv 1$ and $d_\beta^- \equiv n-1$. By Proposition 3.3, any sequence of n roux lines coming from the Higman pair (\widetilde{G}^*, H) spans a space of dimension 1 or $n-1$. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Assume α is real-valued and (\widetilde{G}^*, H) is a Higman pair. If $b = (x, z)$ is any key for (\widetilde{G}^*, H) and $z \in \{\pm 1\}$, then all roux lines coming from (\widetilde{G}^*, H) are real.*

Proof. Notice that $r' = 2$ and $r = 4$. Since $z \in \{\pm 1\}$, the roux parameters $\{c_w\}_{w \in C_4}$ satisfy $c_i = c_{-i} = 0$ by Lemma 5.5. For any $\beta \in \widehat{C}_4$, $\beta(1) = 1$ and $\beta(-1) \in \{\pm 1\}$. In particular, both are real. By Proposition 3.6, the roux lines corresponding to any $\beta \in \widehat{C}_4$ are real. \square

Combining Lemmas 5.3 and 5.7, we immediately obtain the following.

Lemma 5.8. *Assume α is real-valued and (\widetilde{G}^*, H) is a Higman pair. If there exists $x \in G^* \setminus G_0^*$ with $x = x^{-1}$, then all roux lines coming from (\widetilde{G}^*, H) are real.*

6 Partial classification of doubly transitive lines

Having developed the necessary machinery, we now put our tools to use in order to partially classify doubly transitive lines, as summarized in Theorem 1.2. As mentioned in the introduction, a theorem of Burnside [5] gives two possibilities for a doubly transitive permutation group S according to its **socle** $\text{soc } S$, which for a doubly transitive group is its unique minimal normal subgroup. Either:

- (A) $\text{soc } S = G$ is a nonabelian simple group, and $G \trianglelefteq S \leq \text{Aut}(G)$, or
- (B) $\text{soc } S$ is a regular elementary abelian subgroup that may be identified with \mathbb{F}_p^m in such a way that $S = \mathbb{F}_p^m \rtimes G_0 \leq \text{AGL}(m, p)$, where $G_0 \leq \text{GL}(m, p)$ is the stabilizer of $0 \in \mathbb{F}_p^m$.

Groups of type (A) are called **almost simple**, while those of type (B) are said to have **affine type**. The latter are characterized by having a normal elementary abelian subgroup. (For further details, see [12, Chapter 4].) In this section we determine all sequences of doubly transitive lines whose automorphism groups are almost simple. We leave lines with affine symmetries for future study.

6.1 Overview of classification strategy

Doubly transitive permutation groups have been classified as a consequence of the classification of finite simple groups. The following list is taken from [27].

Proposition 6.1 ([27]). *If S is a doubly transitive permutation group of a set of size n , then one of the following cases occurs:*

- (A) S has a normal subgroup G and $S \leq \text{Aut}(G)$, where G and n are
 - (1) *Alternating.*
 - (i) A_n , $n \geq 5$.
 - (2) *Lie type.*
 - (ii) $\text{PSL}(m, q)$, $m \geq 2$, $n = (q^m - 1)/(q - 1)$, $(m, q) \neq (2, 2), (2, 3)$.
 - (iii) $\text{PSU}(3, q)$, $n = q^3 + 1$, $q > 2$.
 - (iv) $\text{Sz}(q)$, $n = q^2 + 1$, $q = 2^{2m+1} > 2$.
 - (v) ${}^2G_2(q)$, $n = q^3 + 1$, $q = 3^{2m+1}$.

(3) *Other.*

(vi) $\text{Sp}(2m, 2)$, $m \geq 3$, $n = 2^{2m-1} \pm 2^{m-1}$.

(vii) $\text{PSL}(2, 11)$, $n = 11$.

(viii) A_7 , $n = 15$.

(ix) M_n , $n \in \{11, 12, 22, 23, 24\}$.

(x) M_{11} , $n = 12$.

(xi) HS (*the Higman–Sims group*), $n = 176$.

(xii) Co_3 (*the third Conway group*), $n = 276$.

(B) S is of affine type.

To prove our main result, we will consider each group G above in turn, and find all instances of doubly transitive lines admitting G as a group of automorphisms.

We can quickly cross some groups off our list with the help of the following lemma, which extends Theorem II.6 in [31]. Recall that a permutation group $G \leq S_n$ is **triply transitive** if for any ordered triple of distinct indices (i, j, k) in $[n]$ and any other such ordered triple (i', j', k') there exists $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma(l) = l'$ for every $l \in \{i, j, k\}$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n > d$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and automorphism group S . If S is triply transitive, then $d \in \{1, n-1\}$, \mathcal{L} is real, and $S = S_n$.*

Proof. If $n = 2$ then $d = 1$ and the result is trivial. Hence we can assume $n \geq 3$. Then S is doubly transitive, and \mathcal{L} is equiangular by Proposition 1.1. Choose unit-norm representatives $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ for $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_j\}_{j \in [n]}$, and put $\mu = |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle|$ for $i \neq j$. By choosing new representatives for ℓ_2, \dots, ℓ_n if necessary, we may assume that $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_j \rangle = \mu$ whenever $2 \leq j \leq n$. Given any pair of 3-subsets $\{i, j, k\}, \{i', j', k'\} \subset [n]$ there exists a unitary $U \in \text{U}(d)$ and constants $\omega_l \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $U\varphi_l = \omega_l\varphi_{l'}$ for $l \in \{i, j, k\}$. Consequently,

$$\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle \langle \varphi_j, \varphi_k \rangle \langle \varphi_k, \varphi_i \rangle = \langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_{j'} \rangle \langle \varphi_{j'}, \varphi_{k'} \rangle \langle \varphi_{k'}, \varphi_{i'} \rangle =: C. \quad (10)$$

Taking $k = 1$ shows that $\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle = C/\mu^2$ whenever $2 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, and $C = \overline{C}$ since we can switch i and j . Consequently \mathcal{L} is real. In the notation of Proposition 2.6, we either have $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}} = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}} = \{\text{all 3-subsets of } [n]\}$, by (10). In either case, the two-graph $([n], \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}})$ has automorphism group S_n . By Proposition 2.6, $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L} = S_n$, and some choice of unit-norm representatives for \mathcal{L} has signature matrix $J - I$ or $I - J$. In the former case $d = 1$, and in the latter case $d = n - 1$. \square

For the remaining groups on our list, we apply the following broad strategy. Let $G \leq S_n$ be a doubly transitive group from Proposition 6.1. The first step is to obtain a Schur covering $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$. (This is the most difficult part in practice. Thankfully, the multipliers of all the finite simple groups were computed as part of their classification, and a covering group can be found.) Let $G_0 \leq G$ be the stabilizer of a point, and put $G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0)$. If there exists a sequence \mathcal{L} of $n > d$ lines spanning \mathbb{C}^d with $G \leq \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$, then Theorem 4.6 explains how to recover \mathcal{L} from the radicalization (\tilde{G}^*, H) of (G^*, G_0^*, α) , for some choice of linear character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. In particular, (\tilde{G}^*, H) must be a Higman pair. Our plan is to iterate through all linear characters $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, find those for which (\tilde{G}^*, H) is a Higman pair, and describe the resulting lines.

In particular, for any choice of linear character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, Theorem 5.4 provides a simple test to determine whether or not the radicalization (\tilde{G}^*, H) of (G^*, G_0^*, α) is a Higman pair. When this test is affirmative, a sequence \mathcal{L} of doubly transitive lines satisfying $G \leq \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ exists by

Theorem 4.1. It then remains to determine, for every such \mathcal{L} , whether or not \mathcal{L} is real, and what is the dimension of its span.

In many cases, Lemma 5.8 provides a fast judgment that all lines coming from (\tilde{G}^*, H) are real. In that case, our job is simple. All real doubly transitive lines derive from Taylor's classification of doubly transitive two-graphs, hence they appear in Proposition 2.7.

For the remaining cases, we dig deeper. First we apply Lemma 5.3 to find a key for (\tilde{G}^*, H) . Then we obtain roux parameters using Lemma 5.5, and compute ranks of the primitive idempotents in the roux scheme with Proposition 3.3. Finally, with the roux parameters in hand, Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 team up to give a final determination of which primitive idempotents correspond to real lines, and which do not.

6.2 Linear groups

We first consider $\mathrm{PSL}(m, q)$ in its doubly transitive action on the 1-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^m .

Theorem 6.3. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n \geq 2d > 2$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group containing $\mathrm{PSL}(m, q)$ as in Proposition 6.1(ii). Then either*

- (a) \mathcal{L} is real, $m = 2$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $d = (q + 1)/2$, $n = q + 1$, or
- (b) \mathcal{L} is not real, $m = 2$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $d = (q + 1)/2$, $n = q + 1 > 4$.

Conversely, there exist sequences of lines satisfying each of (a) and (b). In case (b), \mathcal{L} may be obtained from an $n \times n$ roux for C_4 having parameters $c_1 = c_{-1} = 0$ and $c_i = c_{-i} = (q - 1)/2$.

In general, the Schur cover of $\mathrm{PSL}(m, q)$ is $\mathrm{SL}(m, q)$, with a few exceptions, which we address with the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.4 (Computer-assisted result). *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n = 10 \geq 2d > 2$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and automorphism group $S \geq \mathrm{PSL}(2, 9)$ as in Proposition 6.1(ii). Then \mathcal{L} is real and $d = 5$. Conversely, there exists a sequence of $n = 10$ real lines with span \mathbb{C}^5 and automorphism group $S \geq \mathrm{PSL}(2, 9)$.*

Computer-assisted proof. We used GAP [16] to find a Schur covering $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$ of $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, 9)$. Denote $G_0 \leq G$ for the stabilizer of a point, and $G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0)$. For each nontrivial linear character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ we applied Theorem 5.4 to determine if the radicalization of (G^*, G_0^*, α) was a Higman pair (the trivial character being handled by Lemma 5.6). Exactly one choice of α led to a Higman pair in this way. For that choice of α , we found a key using Lemma 5.3 and then computed the roux parameters using Proposition 3.10. An application of Proposition 3.6 showed that the resulting lines were real. Finally, Proposition 3.3 gave the parameters of the resulting ETFs. (Our code is available online [26].) By Theorem 4.6, this procedure captures every sequence \mathcal{L} of $n = 10 > d$ lines spanning \mathbb{C}^d for which $\mathrm{Aut} \mathcal{L}$ contains $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 9)$ in its doubly transitive action on 1-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_9^2 . \square

Lemma 6.5 (Computer-assisted result). *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n = q + 1 > d$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group $S \geq \mathrm{PSL}(m, q)$ as in Proposition 6.1(ii), with*

$$(m, q) \in \{(2, 4), (3, 2), (4, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4)\}.$$

Then $d \in \{1, n - 1\}$, \mathcal{L} is real, and $S = S_n$.

Computer-assisted proof. The action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 4) \cong A_5$ on $n = 5$ points is triply transitive, so this case was eliminated by Lemma 6.2. For every other choice of (m, q) above, we proceeded as in the proof of Lemma 6.4. In every such case, there were no nontrivial characters $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ for which the radicalization of (G^*, G_0^*, α) was a Higman pair. (Our code is available online [26].) \square

While the following result may be known, we were not able to locate a reference. Hence, we supply our own proof.

Proposition 6.6. *Consider the action of $\mathrm{SL}(m, q)$ on the one-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^m , where matrices act on column vectors from the left. Then the stabilizer of the line spanned by $[1, 0, \dots, 0]^\top \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ is*

$$G_0^* = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} (\det M)^{-1} & u^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} : u \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}, M \in \mathrm{GL}(m-1, q) \right\}.$$

Furthermore, if $(m, q) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2)\}$ then the linear characters $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the characters $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ through the relation

$$\alpha \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & u^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \right) = \alpha'(a).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that G_0^* is the stabilizer of the line spanned by $[1, 0, \dots, 0]^\top \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$. For the other statement, consider the epimorphism $\varphi: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ given by $\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & u^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \right) = a = (\det M)^{-1}$, with

$$\ker \varphi = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} : u \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}, M \in \mathrm{SL}(m-1, q) \right\}.$$

Every $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ produces a character $\alpha = \alpha' \circ \varphi$ of G_0^* , and the mapping $\alpha' \mapsto \alpha$ is injective since φ is surjective. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that the commutator subgroup $[G_0^*, G_0^*]$ contains (hence equals) $\ker \varphi$; in that case the kernel of every character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ contains $[G_0^*, G_0^*] \geq \ker \varphi$, and so α factors through φ .

We may assume that $m \geq 2$. To begin, take any $M, N \in \mathrm{SL}(m-1, q)$ and observe that $\xi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix}$ and $\eta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0^\top \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix}$ have commutator $\xi\eta\xi^{-1}\eta^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0^\top \\ 0 & MNMN^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$. Since $(m, q) \neq (3, 2)$, the group $\mathrm{SL}(m-1, q)$ is perfect (cf. Ch. XIII of [33]). Consequently, $[G_0^*, G_0^*]$ contains every matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix}$ with $M \in \mathrm{SL}(m-1, q)$.

Since $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^\top \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix}$, it remains only to show that $[G_0^*, G_0^*]$ contains every matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^\top \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$ with $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$. To that end, we claim there exists $M \in \mathrm{GL}(m-1, q)$ such that $\det M = a^{-1}$ is not an eigenvalue of M^{-1} . Assume this is true for the moment. Then $M^{-1} - a^{-1}I$ is invertible. Given $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$, we can find $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$ such that

$$u^\top = av^\top(M^{-1} - a^{-1}I) = av^\top M^{-1} - v^\top.$$

Then $\xi = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix}$ and $\eta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & v^\top \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$ have commutator

$$\xi\eta\xi^{-1}\eta^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -v^\top + av^\top M^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^\top \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

as desired.

To prove the claim, we find an invertible matrix $N = M^{-1}$ not having $(\det N)^{-1} = \det M$ as an eigenvalue. If $m - 1 = 1$, then $q > 3$ by assumption, and so we can choose $N = b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \setminus \{\pm 1\}$.

If $m - 1 = 2$, we take $N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Finally, if $m - 1 \geq 3$, we take N to have entries $N_{ij} = 1$ if $j \geq i$ or $(i, j) = (m - 1, 1)$, and $N_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. In other words, N has all 1's on and above the diagonal, and all 0's below the diagonal, except for a 1 in the bottom-left corner. For example, $N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ when $m - 1 = 3$. A cofactor expansion along the bottom row shows that $\det N = 1$

(when the first column and bottom row are deleted, the remaining matrix has a repeated column). Meanwhile, the columns of $N - I$ are linearly independent, and so 1 is not an eigenvalue of N . \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n = q + 1 > d$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group $S \geq \text{PSL}(m, q)$ as in Proposition 6.1(ii), with $m > 2$. Then $d \in \{1, n - 1\}$, \mathcal{L} is real, and $S = S_n$.*

Proof. We may assume that (m, q) is not among the cases handled by Lemma 6.5. Then the quotient map $\pi: \text{SL}(m, q) \rightarrow \text{PSL}(m, q)$ is a Schur covering, by Theorem 7.1.1 of [29]. As in Proposition 6.6, the pre-image of a point stabilizer $G_0 \leq \text{PSL}(m, q)$ is

$$G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} (\det M)^{-1} & u^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} : u \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}, M \in \text{GL}(m-1, q) \right\}.$$

Choose any nontrivial linear character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. We will apply Theorem 5.4 to show that the radicalization of $(\text{SL}(m, q), G_0^*, \alpha)$ is not a Higman pair.

By Proposition 6.6 there is a nontrivial character $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ such that $\alpha \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & u^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \right) = \alpha'(a)$ for every $\begin{bmatrix} a & u^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \in G_0^*$. Choose any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ for which $\alpha'(a) \neq 1$, and any $N \in \text{GL}(m-2, q)$ such that $\det N = a^{-1}$. Put

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0^\top \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SL}(m-1, q), \quad \xi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1-a)\delta_1^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \in G_0^*, \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0^\top \\ \delta_1 & I \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SL}(m, q) \setminus G_0^*,$$

where $\delta_1 = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^\top \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$. Then a straightforward calculation shows that

$$x\xi x^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0^\top \\ \delta_1 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1-a)\delta_1^\top \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0^\top \\ -\delta_1 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & (1-a)\delta_1^\top \\ 0 & (1-a)\delta_1^\top \delta_1 + M \end{bmatrix} \in G_0^*,$$

and yet $\alpha(x\xi x^{-1}) = \alpha'(a) \neq 1 = \alpha(\xi)$. By Theorem 5.4, the radicalization of $(\text{SL}(m, q), G_0^*, \alpha)$ is not a Higman pair. The desired result now follows from Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 5.6. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.3. We may assume that $m = 2$ and $q \notin \{4, 9\}$, since all other cases were handled above. Then the quotient map $\pi: \text{SL}(2, q) \rightarrow \text{PSL}(2, q)$ is a Schur covering, by Theorem 7.1.1 of [29]. According to Proposition 6.6, the pre-image of a point stabilizer $G_0 \leq \text{PSL}(2, q)$ is

$$G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, b \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\},$$

and the linear characters $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the characters $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ through the relation $\alpha \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \alpha'(a)$.

Choose any $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, and let $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the corresponding character of G_0^* . We claim that the radicalization of $(\mathrm{SL}(2, q), G_0^*, \alpha)$ is a Higman pair if and only if α' is real valued. To see this, put $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, q) \setminus G_0^*$ and observe that for any $\xi = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in G_0^*$, $x\xi x^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore, $x\xi x^{-1} \in G_0^*$ if and only if $b = 0$, in which case $\alpha(x\xi x^{-1}) = \alpha'(a^{-1}) = \overline{\alpha'(a)}$. Since $\alpha(\xi) = \alpha'(a)$, the claim follows from Theorem 5.4.

If q is even, the only real-valued character of \mathbb{F}_q^\times is trivial. By Lemma 5.6 and Theorem 4.6, we conclude that no sequence of $n = q + 1 \geq 2d > 2$ lines spanning \mathbb{C}^d has automorphism group containing $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ when q is even.

For the remainder of the proof we assume that q is odd. Let $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ be the unique non-trivial real-valued character. Explicitly, $\alpha'(a) = 1$ if a is a quadratic residue and -1 otherwise. Let $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the linear character corresponding to α' . We begin by finding a key for the radicalization of $(\mathrm{SL}(2, q), G_0^*, \alpha)$ via Lemma 5.3. Let $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ as above. Then $\xi = I$ and $\eta = -I$ satisfy $\xi x \eta = -x = x^{-1}$ and

$$\alpha(\xi \eta) = \alpha'(-1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ -1, & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Put $z = 1$ when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $z = i$ when $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then (x, z) is a key for the radicalization of $(\mathrm{SL}(2, q), G_0^*, \alpha)$, by Lemma 5.3.

Next, we compute the roux parameters for the radicalization of $(\mathrm{SL}(2, q), G_0^*, \alpha)$ using Lemma 5.5. Fix $\zeta = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in G_0^*$, and recall that $x\zeta x^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}$. For any $\xi = \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ 0 & e^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\eta = \begin{bmatrix} g & h \\ 0 & g^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ in G_0^* , we obtain

$$\xi x \eta = \begin{bmatrix} -fg & eg^{-1} - fh \\ -e^{-1}g & -e^{-1}h \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $e, g \neq 0$, the bottom left corner shows that $x\zeta x^{-1} = \xi x \eta$ only if $b = e^{-1}g \neq 0$, and moreover

$$\alpha(\xi \eta \zeta^{-1}) = \alpha'(e)\alpha'(g)\alpha'(a^{-1}) = \alpha'(e^{-1})\alpha'(g^{-1})\alpha'(a) = \alpha'(ab).$$

(Recall that α' is real valued, so it is ambivalent to inverses.) Conversely, if $b \neq 0$ then we can choose $e = 1$, $g = b$, $f = -a^{-1}b^{-1}$, $h = -a$ to find $\xi, \eta \in G_0^*$ satisfying $\xi x \eta = x\zeta x^{-1}$ and $\alpha(\xi \eta \zeta^{-1}) = \alpha'(ab)$. For each $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, there are $(q-1)/2$ choices of $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $\alpha'(ab) = 1$, another $(q-1)/2$ choices of $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $\alpha'(ab) = -1$, and no choices of $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $\alpha'(ab) \in \{\pm i\}$. Considering that the value of z depends on $q \pmod{4}$, Lemma 5.5 gives us

$$c_1 = c_{-1} = \frac{q-1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_i = c_{-i} = 0, \quad \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad (11)$$

while

$$c_i = c_{-i} = \frac{q-1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 = c_{-1} = 0, \quad \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \quad (12)$$

Let $\beta \in \widehat{C}_4$ be the identity character $\beta(w) = w$, which generates \widehat{C}_4 . It remains to compute the Fourier transforms $\hat{c}_{\beta^k} = \sum_{w \in C_4} c_w \beta^{-k}(w)$ and then obtain the ranks of the corresponding idempotents.

CASE: $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. From (11) we obtain $\hat{c}_{\beta^k} = q - 1$ when k is even, and 0 when k is odd. For even k , Proposition 3.3 gives primitive idempotents of ranks $d_{\beta^k}^+ = 1$ and $d_{\beta^k}^- = n - 1$. For odd k , we instead obtain $d_{\beta^k}^+ = d_{\beta^k}^- = (q + 1)/2$. Moreover, we have $\beta^k(w) \in \{\pm 1\}$ whenever $c_w \neq 0$, and so the resulting lines are real by Propositions 3.5 and 3.6.

CASE: $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Here, (12) gives $\hat{c}_{\beta^k} = i^k(q - 1) = \pm(q - 1)$ when k is even, and 0 when k is odd. As above, we obtain $\{d_{\beta^k}^+, d_{\beta^k}^-\} = \{1, n - 1\}$ when k is even, while $d_{\beta^k}^+ = d_{\beta^k}^- = (q + 1)/2$ when k is odd. For odd k , we have $\beta^k(i) = \pm i \notin \{\pm 1\}$. Since $c_i \neq 0$, Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 imply that the lines corresponding to odd k are not real. \square

6.3 Unitary groups

Take $SU(3, q) \leq GL(3, q^2)$ to stabilize the Hermitian form $(u, v) = u_1 v_3^q + u_2 v_2^q + u_3 v_1^q$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$. We next consider the doubly transitive action of $PSU(3, q)$ on isotropic lines in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$, that is, on the lines spanned by $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ with $(u, u) = 0$. There are $n = q^3 + 1$ such lines. Throughout, matrices act on column vectors from the left.

Theorem 6.8. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n \geq 2d > 2$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group containing $PSU(3, q)$ as in Proposition 6.1(iii). Then \mathcal{L} are roux lines for a roux $B \in \mathbb{C}[C_{r'}]^{n \times n}$ where $r' \neq 1$ divides $q + 1$, and B has parameters $\{c_w\}_{w \in C_{r'}}$ given by*

$$c_w = \begin{cases} \frac{q+1}{r'}(q^2 - 1) + q - q^2, & \text{if } w = 1; \\ \frac{q+1}{r'}(q^2 - 1), & \text{if } w \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Moreover, either

- (a) \mathcal{L} is real, q odd, $d = q^2 - q + 1$, $n = q^3 + 1$, or
- (b) \mathcal{L} is not real, $d = q^2 - q + 1$, $n = q^3 + 1$.

Conversely, there exist sequences of lines satisfying each of (a) and (b), and for each $r' \neq 1$ dividing $q + 1$ there exists a roux $B \in \mathbb{C}[C_{r'}]^{n \times n}$ with parameters (13).

Note that q may be even or odd in Theorem 6.8(b). When $r' = q + 1$, the existence of a roux with parameters (13) implies that of a cyclic abelian $(q^3 + 1, q + 1, q^2 - 1)$ -DRACKN, by Theorem 5.2 in [25]. For $q > 2$, abelian DRACKNS with these parameters were discovered only recently [14]. When $q > 2$ is even and $q - 1$ is not a prime power, equiangular tight frames with the parameters (d, n) of Theorem 6.8(b) were also unknown before [14].

The following is surely known. We include a proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 6.9. *The quotient mapping $\pi: SU(3, q) \rightarrow PSU(3, q)$ is a Schur covering.*

Proof. The Schur multiplier of $PSU(3, q) = SU(3, q)/Z(SU(3, q))$ is isomorphic to $Z(SU(3, q))$, by Theorem 3 in [18]. When $q > 2$, $SU(3, q)$ is perfect (cf. Theorem 11.22 in [20]), and in particular $Z(SU(3, q)) \leq [SU(3, q), SU(3, q)]$. $SU(3, 2)$ also holds its center in its commutator subgroup: if a

is a generator for $\mathbb{F}_{2^2}^\times$ then aI is the commutator of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & a \\ 1 & 0 & a^2 \\ a^2 & a & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. \square

Our proof of Theorem 6.8 requires detailed knowledge about $G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0)$, where $G_0 \leq \text{PSU}(3, q)$ is the stabilizer of a point. In particular, we must understand all of its linear characters, as well as their interactions with the double coset structure of G_0^* in $\text{SU}(3, q)$. This information is provided below.

Proposition 6.10. *Consider the action of $\text{SU}(3, q)$ on isotropic lines in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$. The stabilizer of the line spanned by $[1, 0, 0]^\top \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ is $G_0^* = K \times N$, where*

$$K = \{\eta_e : e \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times\}, \quad N = \{\xi_{a,b} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, a^{q+1} + b + b^q = 0\},$$

with η_e and $\xi_{a,b}$ given by

$$\eta_e = \begin{bmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{q-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-q} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi_{a,b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & -a^q \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (e \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times, a^{q+1} + b + b^q = 0).$$

Furthermore, if $q > 2$ then the linear characters $\alpha : G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the characters $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times}$ through the relation $\alpha(\eta_e \xi_{a,b}) = \alpha'(e)$.

We were not able to locate a reference for Proposition 6.10, so we supply our own.

Proof. Notice that $Z(\text{SU}(3, q)) = \{\eta_e : e \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, e^{q+1} = e^3 = 1\}$. O’Nan [37] establishes that $G_0^* \leq \text{SU}(3, q)$ is the stabilizer of the line spanned by $[1, 0, 0]^\top$, and that $G_0^* = K \times N$. The latter are subgroups, and the group operations for K , N , and G_0^* follow the basic identities

$$\eta_e \eta_h = \eta_{eh}, \quad \xi_{a,b} \xi_{f,g} = \xi_{a+f, b+g-a^q}, \quad \xi_{a,b}^{-1} = \xi_{-a, b^q}, \quad \eta_e \xi_{a,b} \eta_e^{-1} = \xi_{e^{2-q}a, e^{q+1}b}. \quad (14)$$

Identifying $G_0^*/N \cong K$ with $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$, we obtain the epimorphism $\varphi : G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$, $\varphi(\eta_e \xi_{a,b}) = e$. Consequently, every $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times}$ defines a character $\alpha = \alpha' \circ \varphi$ of G_0^* , and the mapping $\alpha' \mapsto \alpha$ is injective. It remains to show that every linear character of G_0^* factors through φ , or equivalently, that $[G_0^*, G_0^*]$ contains $\ker \varphi = N$.

To begin, we consider the \mathbb{F}_q -linear subspace $V \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of all b for which $b + b^q = 0$. The \mathbb{F}_q -linear transformation $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ given by $\varphi(a) = a - a^q$ maps into V , with one-dimensional kernel $\mathbb{F}_q \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Consequently, φ maps \mathbb{F}_{q^2} onto V . Similarly, the mapping $a \mapsto a + a^q$ is a surjection of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} onto \mathbb{F}_q .

Given $b \in V$, choose $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $a - a^q = b$. Then find $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $f + f^q = -a^{q+1}$, and $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfying $g + g^q = -1$. A simple computation shows that $[\xi_{a,f}, \xi_{-1,g}] = \xi_{0, a-a^q} = \xi_{0,b}$. Therefore, $[G_0^*, G_0^*]$ contains the group $\{\xi_{0,b} : b \in V\}$.

Finally, let $\xi_{a,b} \in N$ be arbitrary. Notice that $\lambda^{2-q} - 1 \neq 0$ since $q^2 - 1 > 2q - 1$ by the assumption $q > 2$. Put $f = a(\lambda^{2-q} - 1)^{-1}$, and find $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $g + g^q = -f^{q+1}$. Then one computes $[\eta_\lambda, \xi_{f,g}] = \xi_{f(\lambda^{2-q-1}), h} = \xi_{a,h}$ for some appropriate choice of $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, which necessarily satisfies

$$a^{q+1} + h + h^q = 0 = a^{q+1} + b + b^q.$$

Hence $b - h \in V$, and $[G_0^*, G_0^*]$ contains $\xi_{a,h} \xi_{0,b-h} = \xi_{a,b}$. □

Lemma 6.11. *Adopt the notation of Proposition 6.10, and put $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $\zeta \in G_0^*$ be arbitrary. If $\zeta \in K$, then $x\zeta x^{-1} \in K \leq G_0^*$. If $\zeta \notin K$, then $x\zeta x^{-1} \in G_0^* x G_0^*$.*

Furthermore, assume $q > 2$. Suppose $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times}$ satisfies $\text{im } \alpha' \leq C_{q+1}$, and let α be the corresponding linear character of G_0^* . If $\zeta = \eta_e \xi_{a,b} \notin K$, then every decomposition $x\zeta x^{-1} = \xi x \eta$ with $\xi, \eta \in G_0^*$ satisfies $\alpha(\xi \eta \zeta^{-1}) = \alpha'(b)$.

Proof. First we compute

$$x\eta_e x^{-1} = \eta_{e^{-q}}, \quad x\xi_{a,b} x^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a^q & 1 & 0 \\ b & -a & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (e \neq 0, a^{q+1} + b + b^q = 0). \quad (15)$$

Therefore $xKx^{-1} = K$, and $x(\eta_e \xi_{a,b})x^{-1} = (x\eta_e x^{-1})(x\xi_{a,b} x^{-1}) \in G_0^*$ if and only if $a = b = 0$. Since $G = \text{SU}(3, q)$ acts doubly transitively on G/G_0^* , there are just two double cosets of G_0^* in G . When $\zeta \notin K$ we have $x\zeta x^{-1} \notin G_0^*$, and so $x\zeta x^{-1} \in G_0^* x G_0^*$.

Now assume $q > 2$, and let $\alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ be such that $\text{im } \alpha' \leq C_{q+1}$. Suppose $\zeta = \eta_e \xi_{a,b} \notin K$, and $x\zeta x^{-1} = (\eta_h \xi_{f,g})x(\eta_k \xi_{l,m})$ for some $\eta_h \xi_{f,g}, \eta_k \xi_{l,m} \in G_0^*$. Using (14) and (15), we rewrite

$$\eta_{h^{-q}k^{-1}} \zeta = x^{-1} \xi_{k^{2q-1}f, k^{q+1}g} x \xi_{l,m} x.$$

The left side of this equation is

$$\eta_{h^q k^{-1}} \zeta = \eta_{h^q k^{-1} e} \xi_{a,b} = \begin{bmatrix} h^q k^{-1} e & h^q k^{-1} a & h^q k^{-1} e b \\ 0 & h^{1-q} k^{1-q} e^{q-1} & -h^{1-q} k^{1-q} e^{q-1} a^q \\ 0 & 0 & h^{-1} k^q e^{-q} \end{bmatrix},$$

while the right side takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & * \\ 1 & * & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & 1 \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the top-right entries, we see that $b = h^{-q} k e^{-1}$. We have $\alpha'(h^{-q}) = \alpha'(h)$ since $\text{im } \alpha' \leq C_{q+1}$, and therefore

$$\alpha(\eta_h \xi_{f,g} \eta_k \xi_{l,m} \zeta^{-1}) = \alpha'(h k e^{-1}) = \alpha'(h^{-q} k e^{-1}) = \alpha'(b). \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 6.8. Recall that $q > 2$. Throughout, we adopt the notation of Proposition 6.10. Then $G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0)$, where $\pi: \text{SU}(3, q) \rightarrow \text{PSU}(3, q)$ is a Schur covering and $G_0 \leq \text{PSU}(3, q)$ is the stabilizer of a point.

To begin, we find all characters $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ for which the radicalization of $(\text{SU}(3, q), G_0^*, \alpha)$ is a Higman pair. Fix a linear character $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times}$, and let α be the corresponding character of G_0^* . Put

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{Given } \xi \in G_0^*, \text{ we have } x\xi x^{-1} \in G_0^* \text{ if and only if } \xi = \eta_e \text{ for } e \neq 0, \text{ in which case}$$

$x\eta_e x^{-1} = \eta_{e^{-q}}$. By Theorem 5.4, the radicalization (\widetilde{G}^*, H) of $(\text{SU}(3, q), G_0^*, \alpha)$ is a Higman pair if and only if $\alpha'(e) = \alpha'(e)^{-q}$ for every $e \neq 0$, if and only if $\text{im } \alpha' \leq C_{q+1}$.

For the remainder of the proof, we assume that $\text{im } \alpha' \leq C_{q+1}$. In particular, for a fixed generator $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$, there exists $l \in \{1, \dots, q+1\}$ such that $\alpha'(\lambda^j) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi j l i}{q+1}\right)$ for every j . We denote $r' = |\text{im } \alpha'| = \frac{q+1}{(l, q+1)}$ and $r = 2r'$.

Our next task is to compute roux parameters. Put $\epsilon = (-1)^{q^l}$. Since $x = x^{-1}$, Lemma 5.3 implies that (x, ϵ) is a key for (\tilde{G}^*, H) . For any $w \in C_r$, Lemmas 5.5 and 6.11 combine to show that the roux $B \in \mathbb{C}[C_r]^{n \times n}$ determined by this key has parameters

$$c_w = \frac{q^3}{|G_0^*|} \cdot |\{\eta_e \xi_{a,b} \in G_0^* : b \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha'(b) = \epsilon w\}| = \frac{q^3(q^2-1)}{|G_0^*|} \cdot \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \\ \alpha'(b) = \epsilon w}} N_b, \quad (16)$$

where

$$N_b = |\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} : a^{q+1} + b + b^q = 0\}| \quad (b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}).$$

Fix $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and put $\psi(b) = -b - b^q$. Then $\psi(b) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ since $\psi(b)^q = \psi(b)$. Moreover, $0 = \psi(b) = -b(1 + b^{q-1})$ if and only if $b = 0$ or $b^{q-1} = -1$. The transformation $a \mapsto a^{q+1}$ is an epimorphism $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, with kernel of size $q+1$. Consequently,

$$N_b = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } b = 0 \text{ or } b^{q-1} = -1; \\ q+1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

The equation $b^{q-1} = -1$ has $q-1$ solutions, all of which satisfy $\alpha'(b) = \epsilon$, as we now detail with separate cases for q even and odd. If q is even, the solution set coincides with the kernel of $a \mapsto a^{q-1}$, namely $b = \lambda^{m(q+1)}$ for $0 \leq m \leq q-2$. In particular, for even q we have $b^{q-1} = -1$ only if $\alpha'(b) = 1 = \epsilon$. When q is odd, the solutions to $b^{q-1} = -1$ have the form $b = \lambda^{\frac{q+1}{2}a}$ where $a^{q-1} = 1$, that is, $b = \lambda^{(2m+1)\frac{q+1}{2}}$ for $0 \leq m \leq q-2$. Consequently, for odd q we have $b^{q-1} = -1$ only if $\alpha'(b) = (-1)^l = \epsilon$. Whether q is even or odd, the fact that $b^{q-1} = -1$ has $q-1$ solutions implies

$$|G_0^*| = (q^2-1) \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} N_b = q^3(q^2-1).$$

All together, there are $\frac{q^2-1}{r'}$ choices of $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ with $\alpha'(b) = \epsilon$. Of these, exactly $q-1$ satisfy $N_b = 1$ since $b^{q-1} = -1$, while the rest satisfy $N_b = q+1$, by (17). From (16), we conclude that

$$c_1 = (q-1) \cdot 1 + \left[\frac{q^2-1}{r'} - (q-1) \right] (q+1) = \frac{q+1}{r'}(q^2-1) + q - q^2.$$

Next, observe that $\epsilon \in \text{im } \alpha'$ by the above paragraph, and so $\epsilon w \in C_{r'}$ if and only if $w \in C_{r'}$. For $w \in C_{r'} \setminus \{1\}$, there are $\frac{q^2-1}{r'}$ choices of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ with $\alpha'(b) = \epsilon w$, and they all satisfy $N_b = q+1$ since $b^{q-1} \neq -1$. By (16), $c_w = \frac{q+1}{r'}(q^2-1)$ whenever $w \in C_{r'} \setminus \{1\}$. Finally, we have $c_w = 0$ whenever $w \notin C_{r'}$, by (16). Overall,

$$c_w = \begin{cases} \frac{q+1}{r'}(q^2-1) + q - q^2, & \text{if } w = 1; \\ \frac{q+1}{r'}(q^2-1), & \text{if } w \in C_{r'} \setminus \{1\}; \\ 0, & \text{if } w \notin C_{r'}. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

At this point, we observe that the parameters $\{c_w\}_{w \in C_r}$ have supporting set equal to $C_{r'}$, and so B is switching equivalent to a roux $\overline{B} \in \mathbb{C}[C_{r'}]^{n \times n}$ with parameters $\{c_w\}_{w \in C_{r'}}$, by Lemmas 4.5 and 3.5(a) in [25]. Since B and \overline{B} are switching equivalent, they create the same roux lines.

Next we obtain the ranks of the primitive idempotents for the roux scheme of \overline{B} with the help of Proposition 3.3. Let $\beta: C_{r'} \rightarrow C_{r'}$ be the identity character $\beta(w) = w$, so that $\widehat{C_{r'}} = \{\beta^k : 1 \leq k \leq r'\}$. For any $k \in \{1, \dots, r'\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_{\beta^k} &= \sum_{w \in C_{r'}} c_w w^{-k} = \sum_{w \in C_{r'} \setminus \{1\}} \frac{q+1}{r'} (q^2-1) w^{-k} + c_1 = \sum_{w \in C_{r'}} \frac{q+1}{r'} (q^2-1) w^{-k} - \frac{q+1}{r'} (q^2-1) + c_1 \\ &= \frac{q+1}{r'} (q^2-1) \sum_{w \in C_{r'}} w^{-k} + q - q^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $k = r'$, this gives

$$\hat{c}_{\beta^{r'}} = (q+1)(q^2-1) + q - q^2 = q^3 - 1 = n - 2.$$

In Proposition 3.3 we obtain $\mu_{\beta^{r'}}^+ = 1$ and $\mu_{\beta^{r'}}^- = (1-n)^{-1}$, so that $d_{\beta^{r'}}^+ = 1$ and $d_{\beta^{r'}}^- = n-1$.

Now suppose $k \neq r'$. Then $\hat{c}_{\beta^k} = q - q^2$. In Proposition 3.3 we have $\mu_{\beta^k}^+ = q^{-2}$ and $\mu_{\beta^k}^- = -q^{-1}$, so that $d_{\beta^k}^+ = q(q^2 - q + 1)$ and $d_{\beta^k}^- = q^2 - q + 1$.

Finally, we determine when these lines are real. By Proposition 3.6 and (18), $\hat{\beta}^k(\overline{B})$ is the signature matrix of real lines if and only if β^k is real valued. For $k \neq r'$, this happens if and only if r' is even and $k = r'/2$. Since r' divides $q+1$, this is possible if and only if q is odd. \square

6.4 Suzuki groups

We now consider the doubly transitive action of a Suzuki group $\text{Sz}(q)$, where $q = 2^{2m+1} > 2$ as in Proposition 6.1(iv). Our primary reference is §XI.3 in [21]. Fix the following notation for the duration of this subsection. Set $t = 2^{m+1}$. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, put

$$\xi_{a,b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & a^t & 1 & 0 \\ a^{2+t} + ab + b^t & a^{t+1} + b & a & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \eta_e = \begin{bmatrix} e^{1+2^m} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2^m} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-2^m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-1-2^m} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also define

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By definition,

$$\text{Sz}(q) = \langle \xi_{a,b}, \eta_e, x : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q, e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rangle \leq \text{GL}(4, q).$$

It has a doubly transitive permutation representation with point stabilizer

$$G_0 = \langle \xi_{a,b}, \eta_e : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q, e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rangle.$$

Theorem 6.12. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n > d$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group $S \geq \text{Sz}(q)$ as in Proposition 6.1(iv). Then $d \in \{1, n-1\}$, \mathcal{L} is real, and $S = S_n$.*

By [2], the Schur multiplier of $\text{Sz}(q)$ is trivial with a single exception, addressed below.

Lemma 6.13 (Computer-assisted result). *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n > d$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group $S \geq \text{Sz}(8)$ as in Proposition 6.1(iv). Then $d \in \{1, n-1\}$, \mathcal{L} is real, and $S = S_n$.*

Computer-assisted proof. The Schur multiplier of $G = \text{Sz}(8)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, by Theorem 2 in [2]. We used the AtlasRep [49] package in GAP [16] to obtain a Schur covering $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$. Then we proceeded as in the proof of Lemma 6.4. No nontrivial character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ produced a Higman pair through radicalization. Consequently, any sequence of lines whose automorphism group contains $\text{Sz}(8)$ spans a space of dimension $d \in \{1, n-1\}$, where any such sequence is real with automorphism group S_n . (Our code is available online [26].) \square

We supply our own proof for the following proposition, which we could not locate elsewhere.

Proposition 6.14. *The point stabilizer $G_0 \leq \text{Sz}(q)$ is a semidirect product $G_0 = N \rtimes K$, where*

$$N = \{\xi_{a,b} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}, \quad K = \{\eta_e : e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}.$$

Furthermore, the linear characters $\alpha: G_0^ \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the characters $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ through the relation $\alpha(\xi_{a,b}\eta_e) = \alpha'(e)$.*

Proof. In [21, Lemma XI.3.1] it is shown that $G_0 = N \rtimes K$. Moreover, the group operation for G_0 satisfies the basic identities

$$\xi_{a,b}\xi_{f,g} = \xi_{a+f,b+g+a^t f}, \quad \eta_e\eta_h = \eta_{eh}, \quad \eta_e^{-1}\xi_{a,b}\eta_e = \xi_{ea,et+1b} \quad (a, b, f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q, e, h \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times).$$

Consequently, there is a well-defined epimorphism $\varphi: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ given by $\varphi(\xi_{a,b}\eta_e) = e$. Each $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ determines a linear character $\alpha = \alpha' \circ \varphi: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, and the mapping $\alpha' \mapsto \alpha$ is injective. It remains to prove that $[G_0, G_0]$ contains $\ker \varphi = N$, so that every linear character $\alpha: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ factors through φ .

Since $m > 0$ we have $2^{m+1} + 1 < 2^{2m+1} - 1 = q - 1$, and so there exists $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $e^{t+1} \neq 1$. Fix such an e . Given any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, define

$$f = (e - 1)^{-1}a, \quad g = (e^{t+1} - 1)^{-1}[b - (1 - e^t)f^{t+1}].$$

Then

$$\eta_e^{-1}\xi_{f,g}\eta_e\xi_{a,b}^{-1} = \xi_{ef,e^{t+1}g}\xi_{-f,-g+f^{t+1}} = \xi_{(e-1)^{-1}f,(e^{t+1}-1)g+(1-e^t)f^{t+1}} = \xi_{a,b},$$

so that $\xi_{a,b} \in [G_0, G_0]$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.12. We can assume $q > 8$. Then the Schur multiplier is trivial by [2, Theorem 1], and so $G^* = G$ is its own Schur cover. Let $\alpha: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be any nontrivial linear character of G_0 , and let $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ be the corresponding character in Proposition 6.14. Choose any $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $\alpha'(e) \neq 1$. Since $\mathbb{F}_q^\times \cong C_{q-1}$ and q is even, there exists $h \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ with $h^2 = e$. Then $x\eta_hx^{-1} = \eta_{h^{-1}} \in G_0$, and yet $\alpha'(h) \neq \alpha'(h^{-1})$. By Theorem 5.4, the radicalization of (G, G_0, α) is not a Higman pair. From Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 5.6 we conclude that any sequence of lines admitting $\text{Sz}(q)$ as a group of automorphisms spans a space of dimension $d \in \{1, n-1\}$, and so it is real with full automorphism group S_n . \square

6.5 Ree groups

Next we find all line sequences whose automorphism group contains the Ree group ${}^2G_2(q)$, where $q = 3^{2m+1}$. Our primary reference is [3, Section 3], which compiles information about Ree groups taken from [21, 30, 34, 45, 48]. We use the following notation in this subsection. Set $t = 3^m$. Given $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, put $\xi_{a,b,c} = \xi_{a,0,0}\xi_{0,b,0}\xi_{0,0,c}$, where

$$\xi_{a,0,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a^t & 0 & 0 & -a^{3t+1} & -a^{3t+2} & a^{4t+2} \\ 0 & 1 & a & a^{t+1} & -a^{2t+1} & 0 & -a^{3t+2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a^t & -a^{2t} & 0 & a^{3t+1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & a^t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -a & a^{t+1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -a^t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\xi_{0,b,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -b^t & 0 & -b & 0 & -b^{t+1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b^t & 0 & -b^{2t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & b^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & b^t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi_{0,0,c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -c^t & 0 & -c & -c^{2t} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -c^t & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & c^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -c^t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, put

$$\eta_e = \text{diag}(e^t, e^{1-t}, e^{2t-1}, 1, e^{1-2t}, e^{t-1}, e^{-t}).$$

Finally, define

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By definition,

$${}^2G_2(q) = \langle \xi_{a,b,c}, \eta_e, x : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q, e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rangle \leq \text{GL}(7, q).$$

It acts doubly transitively on $n = q^3 + 1$ points with point stabilizer

$$G_0 = \langle \xi_{a,b,c}, \eta_e : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q, e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rangle = N \rtimes K,$$

where

$$N = \{ \xi_{a,b,c} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q \}, \quad K = \{ \eta_e : e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \}.$$

Multiplication in G_0 follows the basic identities

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{a,b,c}\xi_{f,g,h} &= \xi_{a+f, b+g-a^f3^t, c+h-fb+a^f3^{t+1}-a^2f3^t}, & \xi_{a,b,c}^{-1} &= \xi_{-a, -b-a^{3t+1}, -c-ab+a^{3t+2}}, \\ \eta_e^{-1}\xi_{a,b,c}\eta_e &= \xi_{e^{3t-2}a, e^{1-3t}b, e^{-1}c}, & \eta_e\eta_k &= \eta_{ek}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.15. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n > d$ lines with $\text{span } \mathbb{C}^d$ and doubly transitive automorphism group $S \geq {}^2G_2(q)$ as in Proposition 6.1(v). Then \mathcal{L} is real, hence described by Proposition 2.7(iii).*

Our technique for proving Theorem 6.15 requires $q > 3$. We dispose of the case $q = 3$ by computer, as below.

Lemma 6.16 (Computer-assisted result). *Assume $q = 3$. Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n > d$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group $S \geq {}^2G_2(3)$ as in Proposition 6.1(v). Then \mathcal{L} is real.*

Computer-assisted proof. We proceeded as in the proof of Lemma 6.4, using GAP to compute a Schur cover G^* . For every linear character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, we checked to see if the radicalization of (G^*, G_0^*, α) was a Higman pair. If it was, we computed roux parameters. Then we applied the real roux lines detector of Propositions 3.5 and 3.6. In every case, we found that the resulting lines were real. (Our code is available online [26].) \square

Proposition 6.17. *Assume $q > 3$. Then the linear characters $\alpha: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ of the point stabilizer $G_0 \leq {}^2G_2(q)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the characters $\alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ through the relation $\alpha(\xi_{a,b,c}\eta_e) = \alpha'(e)$.*

We provide a proof since we could not locate a reference.

Proof. The quotient map of G onto $G/N \cong K \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ gives an epimorphism $\varphi: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, $\varphi(\xi_{a,b,c}\eta_e) = e$. Therefore every $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ produces a linear character $\alpha = \alpha' \circ \varphi: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, and the mapping $\alpha' \mapsto \alpha$ is injective. It suffices to prove that $[G_0, G_0]$ contains $\ker \varphi = N$, so that every linear character $\alpha: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ factors through φ .

Since $q = 3^{2m+1} > 3$, a simple counting argument shows there exists $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $1 \notin \{e, e^{3t-2}, e^{3t-1}\}$. Fix such an e . Given $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, put

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (e^{3t-2} - 1)^{-1}a, & g &= (e^{1-3t} - 1)^{-1}[b - (e^{3t-2} - 1)f^{3t+1}], \\ h &= (e^{-1} - 1)^{-1}[c - (e^{1-3t} - 1)fg - (1 - e^{3t-2} + e^{6t-4})f^{3t+2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Then a direct calculation shows that

$$\eta_e^{-1}\xi_{f,g,h}\eta_e\xi_{f,g,h}^{-1} = \xi_{(e^{3t-2}-1)f, (e^{1-3t}-1)g + (e^{3t-2}-1)f^{3t+1}, (e^{-1}-1)h + (e^{1-3t}-1)fg + (1-e^{3t-2}+e^{6t-4})f^{3t+2}},$$

which equals $\xi_{a,b,c}$ by construction. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.15. We may assume that $q > 3$. The Schur multiplier of $G = {}^2G_2(q)$ is trivial, by [2, Theorem 1]. Hence $G^* = G$ is its own Schur cover. Choose any linear character $\alpha: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, and let $\alpha' \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q}$ be the corresponding character from Proposition 6.17. Given any $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, we have $x\eta_e x^{-1} = \eta_{e^{-1}} \in G_0$. By Theorem 5.4, the radicalization (\widetilde{G}^*, H) of (G, G_0, α) is a Higman pair only if $\alpha'(e) = \alpha'(e)^{-1}$ for every $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$, only if α is real valued. In that case, Lemma 5.8 shows that the resulting doubly transitive lines are real. We conclude from Theorem 4.6 that every sequence of linearly dependent lines \mathcal{L} satisfying ${}^2G_2(q) \leq \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ is real. \square

6.6 Symplectic groups

Next we consider the doubly transitive actions of symplectic groups $\text{Sp}(2m, 2)$ summarized below. For details, see [12, Section 7.7], [20, Chapters 12–14], [32, Chapter 2]. Fix $m \geq 3$. We consider \mathbb{F}_2^{2m} to consist of column vectors $u = \begin{bmatrix} u_{(1)} \\ u_{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$ with $u_{(i)} \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $\text{Sp}(2m, 2) \leq \text{GL}(2m, 2)$

is the group of all invertible operators that stabilize the symplectic form $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathbb{F}_2^{2m} \times \mathbb{F}_2^{2m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ given by

$$(u, v) = u_{(1)}^\top v_{(2)} + u_{(2)}^\top v_{(1)}.$$

Put $\delta_1 = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^\top \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$. For each $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$ we define a quadratic form $Q^\epsilon: \mathbb{F}_2^{2m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ given by

$$Q^+(u) = u_{(1)}^\top u_{(2)}, \quad Q^-(u) = u_{(1)}^\top u_{(2)} + (u_{(1)} + u_{(2)})^\top \delta_1.$$

Thus $Q^\epsilon(u + v) + Q^\epsilon(u) + Q^\epsilon(v) = (u, v)$ for every $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$. We take the orthogonal group $O^\epsilon(2m, 2) \leq \text{Sp}(2m, 2)$ to be the group of all linear operators stabilizing Q^ϵ . Then $\text{Sp}(2m, 2)$ acts doubly transitively on $n = 2^{m-1}(2^m + \epsilon)$ points, and $O^\epsilon(2m, 2)$ is the stabilizer of a point.

Theorem 6.18. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n > d$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group $S \geq \text{Sp}(2m, 2)$ as in Proposition 6.1(vi). Then \mathcal{L} is real, hence described by Proposition 2.7(iv, v).*

The Schur multiplier of $\text{Sp}(2m, 2)$ is trivial for $m \geq 4$. We dispose of the case $m = 3$ by computer.

Lemma 6.19 (Computer-assisted result). *Assume $m = 3$. Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n > d$ lines with span \mathbb{C}^d and doubly transitive automorphism group $S \geq \text{Sp}(6, 2)$ as in Proposition 6.1(vi). Then \mathcal{L} is real.*

Computer-assisted proof. We used GAP [16] to verify that the Schur multiplier of $G = \text{Sp}(6, 2)$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 . (Note that in some places it is falsely reported as being trivial.) Using the AtlasRep package [49], we obtained a double cover $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$, which we checked was a Schur covering. Then we proceeded as in the proof of Lemma 6.4, computing the cases $\epsilon = +$ and $\epsilon = -$ separately. For each linear character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, we checked to see if the radicalization (\tilde{G}^*, H) of (G^*, G_0^*, α) was a Higman pair. When it was, we computed roux parameters. In every such case, the real roux lines detector of Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 verified that all lines coming from (\tilde{G}^*, H) were real. (Our code is available online [26].) \square

Proof of Theorem 6.18. We may assume that $q \geq 4$. Then the Schur multiplier of $G = \text{Sp}(2m, 2)$ is trivial [41], so that $G^* = G$ is its own Schur cover. Fix $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$, and let $G_0 = O^\epsilon(2m, 2)$. By [20, Proposition 14.23], $[G_0, G_0] \leq G_0$ is a subgroup of index 2. Hence G_0 has only real-valued linear characters.

Put $w = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ when $\epsilon = +$, and $w = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_1 \end{bmatrix}$ when $\epsilon = -$. Define the transvection $\tau: \mathbb{F}_2^{2m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$ by $\tau(u) = u + (u, w)w$. Then $\tau \in \text{Sp}(2m, 2)$ satisfies $\tau^2 = 1$, but one easily checks that $\tau \notin G_0$. If $\alpha: G_0 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is any linear character for which the radicalization (\tilde{G}, H) of (G, G_0, α) is a Higman pair, then Lemma 5.8 implies that all lines coming from (\tilde{G}, H) are real. By Theorem 4.6, every sequence of linearly dependent lines \mathcal{L} such that $\text{Sp}(2m, 2) \leq \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ is real. \square

6.7 Proof of the main result

Lemma 6.20 (Computer-assisted result). *Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n > d$ lines spanning \mathbb{C}^d , and assume that $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ contains one of the groups from cases (vii), (viii), (xi), (xii) of Proposition 6.1. Then \mathcal{L} is real, hence described by Proposition 2.7.*

Computer-assisted proof. For each group G with point stabilizer $G_0 \leq G$, we found a Schur covering $\pi: G^* \rightarrow G$ and put $G_0^* = \pi^{-1}(G_0)$. Then we used the Higman pair detector (Theorem 5.4) to find

every linear character $\alpha: G_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ which the radicalization of (G^*, G_0^*, α) was a Higman pair. In every case, we were able to apply Lemma 5.6 or Lemma 5.8 to deduce that the corresponding lines were not real. It follows by Theorem 4.6 that \mathcal{L} is real whenever $G \leq \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$.

Specifically, for case (vii) the quotient mapping $\pi: \text{SL}(2, 11) \rightarrow \text{PSL}(2, 11)$ is a Schur covering by [29, Theorem 7.1.1]. We found that G_0^* has no nontrivial linear characters at all.

For (viii), GAP [16] provided a Schur covering of A_7 . No nontrivial linear character produced a Higman pair through radicalization.

In case (xi), the Schur multiplier of the Higman–Sims group G is \mathbb{Z}_2 [35]. We obtained a double cover G^* of G through the AtlasRep package [49] of GAP, and verified that it was a Schur cover of G . There were two doubly transitive representations of G to consider. For each representation, only real-valued characters of G_0^* produced Higman pairs through radicalization, and we were able to locate an involution $x \in G^* \setminus G_0^*$.

Finally, for (xii) the Schur multiplier of $G = \text{Co}_3$ is trivial [19], and so $G^* = G$ is its own Schur cover. Here, G_0 has only real-valued characters to begin with. Once again, we were able to locate an involution $x \in G \setminus G_0$.

For all cases, our code is available online [26]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let \mathcal{L} be a sequence of $n \geq 2d$ lines that span \mathbb{C}^d , and assume that $S = \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ is doubly transitive. Choose unit norm representatives Φ for \mathcal{L} . Then Φ is an equiangular tight frame for \mathbb{C}^d , by Proposition 1.1. Moreover, Φ is equivalent to a real equiangular tight frame if and only if \mathcal{L} is real, by Proposition 2.2.

If S contains a nonabelian simple group G such that $G \trianglelefteq S \leq \text{Aut}(G)$, then G must satisfy one of the cases (i)–(xii) of Proposition 6.1. We have settled what happens in each instance except (i), (ix), and (x). In each of those cases, G is triply transitive: for (i), see [47, Theorem 9.7]; for (ix), see Theorems XII.1.3 and XII.1.4 in [21]; and for (x) consult [21, Theorem XII.1.9]. By Lemma 6.2, each of these cases satisfies (i) in Theorem 1.2. □

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by NSF DMS 1321779, NSF DMS 1829955, ARO W911NF-16-1-0008, AFOSR F4FGA06060J007, AFOSR FA9550-18-1-0107, AFOSR Young Investigator Research Program award F4FGA06088J001, an AFRL Summer Faculty Fellowship Program award, and the Simons Institute of the Theory of Computing. The authors thank Matt Fickus and John Jasper for many stimulating conversations. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the United States Air Force, Army, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government.

A Appendix

Here we give a proof for Proposition 4.3, whose precise statement we were unable to locate elsewhere in the literature. Our argument follows that of [28, Lemma 3.1], while keeping careful track of scalars to ensure that the resulting representation is unitary.

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Fix a section $\tau: G^* \rightarrow G$, that is, $\pi(\tau(x)) = x$ for every $x \in G$. Let $A = \ker \pi \leq Z(G^*)$. Notice that $\tau(x)\tau(y)\tau(xy)^{-1} \in \ker \pi = A$ for every $x, y \in G$. Given any linear character $\alpha: A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, we can therefore define a map $\text{Tra}(\alpha): G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\text{Tra}(\alpha)(x, y) = \alpha(\tau(x)\tau(y)\tau(xy)^{-1}) \quad (x, y \in G).$$

Using the fact that $\ker \pi \leq Z(G^*)$, one can easily show that $\text{Tra}(\alpha)$ is a 2-cocycle.

On the other hand, let $f: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the function such that $\rho(x)\rho(y) = f(x, y)\rho(xy)$ for every $x, y \in G$. Comparing $[\rho(x)\rho(y)]\rho(z) = \rho(x)[\rho(y)\rho(z)]$, one quickly sees that f is also a 2-cocycle. By [29, Theorem 2.1.4(ii)], there is a character $\alpha: A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ for which $\text{Tra}(\alpha)$ and f differ only by a 2-coboundary. In other words, there is a function $t': G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that

$$\frac{f(x, y)}{\text{Tra}(\alpha)(x, y)} = \frac{t'(x)t'(y)}{t'(xy)} \quad (x, y \in G). \quad (19)$$

Given $x \in G$, put $t(x) = t'(x)/|t'(x)| \in \mathbb{T}$. By (19), we see first that $|t'(x)||t'(y)| = |t'(xy)|$ for every $x, y \in G$, and second that

$$\frac{f(x, y)}{t(x)t(y)} = \frac{\text{Tra}(\alpha)(x, y)}{t(xy)} \quad (x, y \in G). \quad (20)$$

Since τ is a section for π and $A = \ker \pi$, every $x^* \in G^*$ has a unique representation $x^* = \xi\tau(x)$ with $\xi \in A$ and $x = \pi(x^*) \in G$. For such x^* , define $\rho^*(x^*) = \frac{\alpha(\xi)}{t(x)}\rho(x) = \omega_{x^*} \cdot \rho(\pi(x^*))$, where $\omega_{x^*} = \alpha(\xi)/t(x) \in \mathbb{T}$. Then $\rho^*(x^*) \in U(d)$. We will show that $\rho^*: G^* \rightarrow U(d)$ is a unitary representation. Indeed, given $x^* = \xi\tau(x), y^* = \eta\tau(y) \in G^*$ with $\xi, \eta \in A$ and $x, y \in G$, we use the fact that $A \leq Z(G^*)$ to obtain

$$\rho^*(x^*y^*) = \rho^*(\xi\eta\tau(x)\tau(y)\tau(xy)^{-1}\tau(xy)).$$

Since $\tau(x)\tau(y)\tau(xy)^{-1} \in \ker \pi = A$, we have (by definition)

$$\rho^*(x^*y^*) = \frac{\alpha(\xi\eta\tau(x)\tau(y)\tau(xy)^{-1})}{t(xy)}\rho(xy) = \alpha(\xi)\alpha(\eta)\frac{\text{Tra}(\alpha)(x, y)}{t(xy)}\rho(xy).$$

Then (20) produces

$$\rho^*(x^*y^*) = \alpha(\xi)\alpha(\eta)\frac{f(x, y)}{t(x)t(y)}\rho(xy) = \frac{\alpha(\xi)}{t(x)}\frac{\alpha(\eta)}{t(y)}\rho(x)\rho(y) = \rho^*(x^*)\rho^*(y^*).$$

Hence, ρ^* is a unitary representation. □

References

- [1] F. Abdollahi and H. Najafi. Frame graph. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 66(6):1229–1243, 2018.
- [2] J. L. Alperin and D. Gorenstein. The multipliers of certain simple groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 17:515–519, 1966.
- [3] H. Bäärnhielm. Recognising the small Ree groups in their natural representations. *J. Algebra*, 416:139–166, 2014.
- [4] A. S. Bandeira, M. Fickus, D. G. Mixon, and P. Wong. The road to deterministic matrices with the restricted isometry property. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 19(6):1123–1149, 2013.
- [5] W. Burnside. *Theory of groups of finite order*. Cambridge University Press, 1897.
- [6] P. J. Cameron. Cohomological aspects of two-graphs. *Math. Z.*, 157(2):101–119, 1977.

- [7] P. J. Cameron. Finite permutation groups and finite simple groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 13(1):1–22, 1981.
- [8] T.-Y. Chien and S. Waldron. The projective symmetry group of a finite frame. *New Zealand J. Math.*, 48:55–81, 2018.
- [9] J. H. Conway, R. H. Hardin, and N. J. A. Sloane. Packing lines, planes, etc.: packings in Grassmannian spaces. *Experiment. Math.*, 5(2):139–159, 1996.
- [10] G. Coutinho, C. Godsil, H. Shirazi, and H. Zhan. Equiangular lines and covers of the complete graph. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 488:264–283, 2016.
- [11] P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel. Spherical codes and designs. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 6(3):363–388, 1977.
- [12] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer. *Permutation groups*. Springer–Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [13] L. Fejes Tóth. *Regular figures*. The Macmillan Co., New York, 1964.
- [14] M. Fickus, J. Jasper, D. G. Mixon, J. D. Peterson, and C. E. Watson. Polyphase equiangular tight frames and abelian generalized quadrangles. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* To appear.
- [15] M. Fickus and D. G. Mixon. Tables of the existence of equiangular tight frames. arXiv:1504.00253.
- [16] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.9.3*, 2018.
- [17] C. D. Godsil and A. D. Hensel. Distance regular covers of the complete graph. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 56(2):205–238, 1992.
- [18] R. L. Griess, Jr. Schur multipliers of finite simple groups of Lie type. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 183:355–421, 1973.
- [19] R. L. Griess, Jr. Schur multipliers of some sporadic simple groups. *J. Algebra*, 32(3):445–466, 1974.
- [20] L. C. Grove. *Classical groups and geometric algebra*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [21] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn. *Finite groups III*. Springer–Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1982.
- [22] J. W. Iverson, J. Jasper, and D. G. Mixon. Optimal line packings from finite group actions. arXiv:1709.03558.
- [23] J. W. Iverson, J. Jasper, and D. G. Mixon. Optimal projective packings from association schemes. In *Wavelets and Sparsity VII*, volume 10394, page 103940P, 2017.
- [24] J. W. Iverson, J. Jasper, and D. G. Mixon. Optimal line packings from nonabelian groups. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 2019. To appear.
- [25] J. W. Iverson and D. G. Mixon. Doubly transitive lines I: Higman pairs and roux. arXiv:1806.09037.
- [26] J. W. Iverson and D. G. Mixon. <https://github.com/jwiverson/2-tran-II>, 2019.

- [27] W. M. Kantor. Homogeneous designs and geometric lattices. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 38(1):66–74, 1985.
- [28] G. Karpilovsky. *Projective representations of finite groups*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1985.
- [29] G. Karpilovsky. *The Schur multiplier*. Clarendon Press, New York, 1987.
- [30] G. Kemper, F. Lübeck, and K. Magaard. Matrix generators for the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$. *Comm. Algebra*, 29(1):407–413, 2001.
- [31] E. J. King. 2- and 3-covariant equiangular tight frames. arXiv:1901.10612.
- [32] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck. *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, volume 129 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [33] S. Lang. *Algebra*. Springer–Verlag, New York, revised third edition, 2002.
- [34] V. M. Levchuk and Y. N. Nuzhin. The structure of Ree groups. *Algebra i Logika*, 24(1):26–41, 122, 1985.
- [35] J. McKay and D. Wales. The multiplier of the Higman–Sims simple group. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 3:283–285, 1971.
- [36] D. G. Mixon, C. J. Quinn, N. Kiyavash, and M. Fickus. Fingerprinting with equiangular tight frames. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 59(3):1855–1865, 2013.
- [37] M. E. O’Nan. Automorphisms of unitary block designs. *J. Algebra*, 20:495–511, 1972.
- [38] J. M. Renes, R. Blume-Kohout, A. J. Scott, and C. M. Caves. Symmetric informationally complete quantum measurements. *J. Math. Phys.*, 45(6):2171–2180, 2004.
- [39] J. J. Seidel. A survey of two-graphs. In *Colloquio Internazionale sulle Teorie Combinatorie (Rome, 1973)*, Tomo I, pages 481–511. Atti dei Convegni Lincei, No. 17. Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rome, 1976.
- [40] J. J. Seidel. *Geometry and combinatorics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1991.
- [41] R. Steinberg. Générateurs, relations et revêtements de groupes algébriques. In *Colloq. Théorie des Groupes Algébriques (Bruxelles, 1962)*, pages 113–127. Librairie Universitaire, Louvain; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1962.
- [42] T. Strohmer and R. W. Heath, Jr. Grassmannian frames with applications to coding and communication. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 14(3):257–275, 2003.
- [43] D. E. Taylor. Regular 2-graphs. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 35(2):257–274, 1977.
- [44] D. E. Taylor. Two-graphs and doubly transitive groups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 61(1):113–122, 1992.
- [45] H. N. Ward. On Ree’s series of simple groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 121:62–89, 1966.
- [46] L. Welch. Lower bounds on the maximum cross correlation of signals. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 20(3):397–399, 1974.

- [47] H. Wielandt. *Finite permutation groups*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1964.
- [48] R. A. Wilson. *The finite simple groups*. Springer-Verlag, London, 2009.
- [49] R. A. Wilson, P. Walsh, J. Tripp, I. Suleiman, R. A. Parker, S. P. Norton, S. Nickerson, S. Linton, J. Bray, and R. Abbott. Atlas of finite group representations. <http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3>.