CHERN-RICCI CURVATURES, HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE AND HERMITIAN METRICS

HAOJIE CHEN, LINGLING CHEN, XIAOLAN NIE

ABSTRACT. We present some formulae related to the Chern-Ricci curvatures and scalar curvatures of special Hermitian metrics. We prove that a compact locally conformal Kähler manifold with constant nonpositive holomorphic sectional curvature is Kähler. We also give examples of complete non-Kähler metrics with pointwise negative constant but not globally constant holomorphic sectional curvature, and complete non-Kähler metric with zero holomorphic sectional curvature and nonvanishing curvature tensor.

1. INTRODUCTION

This note mainly concerns Hermitian manifolds with constant or pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature. For a general Hermitian manifold (M, ω) , the (Chern) holomorphic sectional curvature H is defined by

$$H(X) = R(X, \overline{X}, X, \overline{X}) / |X|^4,$$

where R is the curvature tensor of the Chern connection and $X \in T_p^{1,0}(M)([20] [42])$. The holomorphic sectional curvature plays a fundamental role in complex geometry. Complete Kähler manifolds with constant holomorphic sectional curvature are called complex space forms [42]. They are natural analogue of complete Riemannian manifolds with constant sectional curvature. It is known ([14], [18]) that a simply connected complex space form is holomorphically isometric to the complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^n , the complex hyperbolic space \mathbb{B}^n or \mathbb{C}^n .

In [2], Balas-Gauduchon prove that a compact Hermitian surface with constant nonpositive holomorphic sectional curvature must be Kähler. In higher dimension, it is known that there are examples of compact non-Kähler manifolds with H = 0 (e.g. the Iwasawa manifold, see [1]). A natural question is: if (M, ω) is an *n*-dimensional compact Hermitian manifold with constant (or pointwise constant) negative holomorphic sectional curvature and n > 3, is (M, ω) still Kähler?

The method of Balas-Gauduchon depends heavily on n = 2. When $n \ge 3$, we restrict ourselves to locally conformal Kähler manifolds. There are rich examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds, including the elliptic surfaces, diagonal Hopf manifolds and flat principal circle bundles over a compact Sasakian manifold [6]. We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let (M, ω) be a compact locally conformal Kähler manifold with constant nonpositive holomorphic sectional curvature. Then (M, ω) is Kähler. In particular, the universal cover of (M, ω) is the complex hyperbolic space \mathbb{B}^n or \mathbb{C}^n .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on a relation between the first and second Chern-Ricci curvatures for locally conformal Kähler metrics. In [24], Liu-Yang systematically study

Supported in part by NSFC (Grant No. 11801516) and ZJNSF (Grant No. LY19A010017)

a variety of Ricci curvatures on a Hermitian manifold. Among other results, they derive explicit relations between all kinds of Ricci curvatures on general Hermitian manifolds. In the locally conformal Kähler case, we can get a simpler formula (see Proposition 3.2). Then we are able to reduce the theorem to the conformally Kähler case. Actually we prove the following result under the more general pointwise constant condition.

Theorem 1.2. Let (M, ω) be a compact locally conformal Kähler manifold with pointwise nonpositive constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Then (M, ω) is globally conformal Kähler.

We remark that Vaisman [33] has proved that a locally conformal Kähler metric with pointwise constant (Chern) sectional curvature is either globally conformal Kähler or has vanishing first Chern class. The constancy of sectional curvature is of course stronger than the constancy of holomorphic sectional curvature. For example, the sectional curvatures of \mathbb{CP}^n and \mathbb{B}^n $(n \geq 2)$ are not pointwise constant.

An important class of locally conformal Kähler manifolds is called Vaisman manifolds, whose Lee form is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. It is shown [27] that a Vaisman metric on a compact manifold must be Gauduchon. Then we obtain

Corollary 1.3. A compact Vaisman manifold with pointwise nonpositive constant holomorphic sectional curvature is Kähler.

Considering the constancy of holomorphic sectional curvature, a natural question is: does the pointwise constancy of H imply the global constancy?

When ω is Kähler and $n \geq 2$, it is always true by the Schur's Lemma, as ω is Kähler-Einstein and H is constant multiple of the scalar curvature (see Proposition 3.4). If ω is non-Kähler, we construct counterexamples showing that the Schur type result does not hold in general (example 3.8).

Proposition 1.4. There exist non-Kähler, conformally flat metrics on $\mathbb{C}^n (n \ge 2)$ with pointwise negative constant (or pointwise positive constant) but not globally constant holomorphic sectional curvature. In the negative case, the metric is complete.

Remark 1.5. If the holomorphic sectional curvature is defined using the Levi-Civita connection, it is Gray-Vanheche [13] who first discovered that the Schur type result does not hold in non-Kähler setting. Since the Levi-Civita connection coincides with the Chern connection if and only if the metric is Kähler, our result are obviously different from theirs. We refer to [13] [28] [29] and the references therein for more results and development in that direction. Also see [22] for some recent results on almost Kähler 4-manifolds with constant nonnegative (Chern) holomorphic sectional curvature.

Using the conformal change technique, we also show the following (see example 3.9).

Proposition 1.6. There exist complete non-Kähler, conformal Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}^n (n \ge 2)$ with zero holomorphic sectional curvature but nonvanishing curvature tensor.

To the authors' knowledge, all the previously known examples of non-Kähler manifolds with H = 0 have vanishing curvature (the quotient of complex Lie groups, see [3]). Our example implies that the holomorphic sectional curvature does not necessarily determine the curvature tensor of a Hermitian metric. Also, it shows that the compactness condition in Theorem 1.1 can not be replaced by completeness in the H = 0 case. It would be an interesting question to study whether there exist similar examples as in Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 1.6 on compact manifolds. We also discuss another notion of special Hermitian metrics, which is called the k-Gauduchon metric. It is introduced by Fu-Wang-Wu in [8] as a generalization of the Gauduchon metric. A k-Gauduchon metric is a Hermitian metric satisfying

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^k \wedge w^{n-k-1} = 0$$

In particular, a pluriclosed metric (i.e. $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$) is 1-Gauduchon, while an Astheno-Kähler metric (i.e. $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-2} = 0$) is (n-2)-Gauduchon. We have the following characterization.

Proposition 1.7. Let (M, ω) be an n-dimensional Hermitian manifold $(n \ge 3)$ and k be an integer such that $1 \le k \le n-1$. Then the following are equivalent:

(1)
$$\omega$$
 is k-Gauduchon;

(2)
$$s - \hat{s} = \frac{k-1}{n-2} |\partial^* \omega|^2 + \frac{n-1-k}{n-2} |\partial \omega|^2.$$

Remark 1.8. Another characterization in terms of scalar curvatures of the Bismut connection (also called the Strominger connection [41]) is obtained in [9]. A direct corollary is that if (M, ω) is k-Gauduchon, then $s \geq \hat{s}$.

We mention that recently there have been breakthroughs on negative or positive holomorphic sectional curvatures on Kähler manifolds. A conjecture of Yau on negative holomorphic sectional curvature and ampleness of the canonical bundle has been confirmed [32] [36](see also [5], [15], [16], [17], [35], [37], [40] e.t.c. for some earlier work and further generalizations). Also, another conjecture of Yau which says a compact Kähler manifold with positive holomorphic sectional curvature must be projective and rationally connected is proved by Yang [39] (see [25] for related work). A compact Hermitian manifold with negative holomorphic sectional curvature is Kobayashi hyperbolic [12]. Its canonical bundle is also conjectured to be ample (see [21] [40] for some recent progress).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we give some background of Hermitian geometry. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and give the examples mentioned above (see examples 3.8, 3.9, 3.10). Finally, we study some properties of the k-Gauduchon metric and prove Proposition 1.7 (see Proposition 4.5).

Acknowlegements. The first-named author and the third-named author would like to thank Professors Jixiang Fu and Xiaokui Yang for helpful discussions. They are also grateful to Professors Jiaping Wang and Fangyang Zheng for their encouragement and helpful suggestions.

2. TORSION ONE FORM AND RICCI CURVATURES

In this section, we give some background materials in Hermitian geometry. We will present some formulae related to the Chern connection. The readers are referred to [4], [23], [24], [34], [38] and [42] for more details. We remark that we do not make use of any good coordinates in our discussion. We will use Einstein summation notation throughout the paper.

2.1. Operators on Hermitian manifolds.

Let (M, g) be a 2*n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Write $g = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$, where $(x^1, x^2, ..., x^{2n})$ is a local coordinate on M. Denote (g^{ij}) the inverse matrix of $(g_{ij}), 1 \leq i, j \leq i$

2*n*. Then *g* induces an inner product \langle , \rangle on the cotangent bundle T^*M by $\langle dx^i, dx^j \rangle = g^{ij}$. Let $\Lambda^k T^*M$, $1 \leq k \leq 2n$ be the bundle of real *k*-forms. The inner product induced by *g* on $\Lambda^k T^*M$ is:

$$\langle \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k, \beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_k \rangle = \det(\langle \alpha_i, \beta_j \rangle), \quad \alpha_i, \beta_j \in T^*M.$$
(2.1)

Equivalently,

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{k!} g^{i_1 j_1} \dots g^{i_k j_k} \varphi_{i_1 \dots i_k} \psi_{j_1 \dots j_k}, \qquad (2.2)$$

for

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{k!} \varphi_{i_1 \dots i_k} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}, \qquad \psi = \frac{1}{k!} \psi_{j_1 \dots j_k} dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k},$$

where $\varphi_{i_1...i_k}$ is skew symmetric in $i_1, ..., i_k$ and $\psi_{j_1...j_k}$ is skew symmetric in $j_1, ..., j_k$. For $X \in TM$ and $\varphi \in \Lambda^k T^*M$, define the contraction (or interior product) $\iota_X \varphi \in \Lambda^{k-1} T^*M$ by

$$\iota_X \varphi(X_1, ..., X_{k-1}) := \varphi(X, X_1, ..., X_{k-1})$$

We have

$$\iota_X(\alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \alpha_i(X) \alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_{i-1} \wedge \alpha_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_k.$$

Denote $\widetilde{X} = g(X, \cdot) \in T^*M$ the metric dual of X, then we have

$$\langle \iota_X \varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \tilde{X} \wedge \psi \rangle \tag{2.3}$$

for $\varphi \in \wedge^{k+1}T^*M$ and $\psi \in \wedge^k T^*M$. Indeed, if $\varphi = \alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_{k+1}$, $\psi = \beta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \beta_k$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in T^*M$, from (2.1) we get

$$\langle \varphi, \widetilde{X} \wedge \psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i-1} \langle \alpha_i, \widetilde{X} \rangle \langle \alpha_1 \wedge ... \widehat{\alpha_i} \wedge ... \wedge \alpha_{k+1}, \beta_1 \wedge ... \wedge \beta_k \rangle$$

$$= \langle \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i-1} \alpha_i(X) \alpha_1 \wedge ... \widehat{\alpha_i} \wedge ... \alpha_{k+1}, \beta_1 \wedge ... \beta_k \rangle$$

$$= \langle \iota_X \varphi, \psi \rangle.$$

The general case follows by linear expansion.

Now assume that (M, J) is a complex manifold. If the Riemannian metric g satisfies g(X, Y) = g(JX, JY) for all $X, Y \in TM$, then g is called a Hermitian metric. Let $TM^{\mathbb{C}} = TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the complexified tangent bundle. Denote h the \mathbb{C} -linear extension of g to $TM^{\mathbb{C}}$. The fundamental (1, 1) form associated to h is given by $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$. Locally,

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1}h_{i\bar{j}}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j,$$

where $(z^1, z^2, ..., z^n)$ is a local holomorphic coordinate and $h_{i\bar{j}} = h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j}\right)$. We also refer to (M, ω) as a Hermitian manifold.

Let $\Omega^{p,q}M$ be the space of (p,q) form on M, $1 \leq p,q \leq n$. Extend the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\Lambda^k T^*M$ to $\Omega^{p,q}M$ in the following way:

$$\begin{split} \langle b\varphi_1 + c\varphi_2, \psi \rangle &= b \langle \varphi_1, \psi \rangle + c \langle \varphi_2, \psi \rangle, \\ \langle \varphi, b\psi_1 + c\psi_2 \rangle &= \bar{b} \langle \varphi, \psi_1 \rangle + \bar{c} \langle \varphi, \psi_2 \rangle, \end{split}$$

for $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi_i, \psi_i \in \Lambda^k T^* M$. By this extension, for example,

$$\langle dz^i, dz^j \rangle = h^{i\overline{j}},$$

where $(h^{i\bar{j}})$ is the (transposed) inverse matrix of $(h_{i\bar{j}})$ (see [24] for more details about the relation between $g_{i\bar{j}}$ and $h_{i\bar{j}}$)). Also $\overline{\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle} = \langle \psi, \varphi \rangle$. Write (p,q) forms φ and ψ in local coordinates:

$$\begin{split} \varphi &= \frac{1}{p!q!} \varphi_{i_1 \dots i_p \overline{l_1} \dots \overline{l_q}} dz^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{i_p} \wedge d\bar{z}^{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^{l_q} \\ \psi &= \frac{1}{p!q!} \psi_{j_1 \dots j_p \overline{k_1} \dots \overline{k_q}} dz^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{j_p} \wedge d\bar{z}^{k_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^{k_q}, \end{split}$$

where $\varphi_{i_1...i_p\overline{l_1}...\overline{l_q}}$ is skew symmetric in $i_1, ..., i_p$ and skew symmetric in $l_1, ..., l_q$ (similarly for $\psi_{j_1...j_p\overline{k_1}...\overline{k_q}}$). Then

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{p!q!} h^{i_1 \bar{j_1}} \dots h^{i_p \bar{j_p}} h^{k_1 \bar{l_1}} \dots h^{k_q \bar{l_q}} \varphi_{i_1 \dots i_p \bar{l_1} \dots \bar{l_q}} \overline{\psi_{j_1 \dots j_p \bar{k_1} \dots \bar{k_q}}}.$$
 (2.4)

This extends the formula (2.2).

Let dv be the volume form of g, the total inner product is defined to be

$$(\varphi,\psi) = \int_M \langle \varphi,\psi\rangle dv.$$

Denote $|\varphi|^2 = \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle$. The total norm is

$$||\varphi||^2 = \int_M |\varphi|^2 dv.$$

The Hodge * operator is the unique operator determined by g satisfying the following:

$$*: \Lambda^k T^* M \to \Lambda^{2n-k} T^* M, \qquad \varphi \wedge *\psi = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle dv$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in \Lambda^k T^* M$. It is extended \mathbb{C} -linearly to complex forms and satisfies:

$$*: \Omega^{p,q}M \to \ \Omega^{n-q,n-p}M, \quad \varphi \wedge *\overline{\psi} = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle dv$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in \Omega^{p,q} M$ and $dv = \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$. Also, we have

$$\overline{*\varphi} = \overline{*\varphi}, \quad \ \ *\varphi = (-1)^{p+q}\varphi, \quad \ \ \langle *\varphi, *\psi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle.$$

The formal adjoint operators $\partial^*, \bar{\partial}^*$ are given by

$$(\partial \varphi, \psi) = (\varphi, \partial^* \psi), \qquad (\bar{\partial} \varphi, \psi) = (\varphi, \bar{\partial}^* \psi).$$

It is well known that

 $\partial^* = - * \bar{\partial} *, \quad \bar{\partial}^* = - * \partial *$

on compact Hermitan manifolds. Write $\iota_j \varphi = \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}} \varphi$ and $\iota_{\bar{j}} \varphi = \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j}} \varphi$ for convenience. It follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, \ dz^i \wedge \psi \rangle &= \langle h^{ji} \iota_j \varphi, \psi \rangle, \\ \langle \varphi, \ d\bar{z}^i \wedge \psi \rangle &= \langle h^{i\bar{j}} \iota_{\bar{j}} \varphi, \ \psi \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The Lefschetz operator $L: \Omega^{p,q}M \to \Omega^{p+1,q+1}M$ and its adjoint $\Lambda: \Omega^{p+1,q+1}M \to \Omega^{p,q}M$ are defined by

$$L\varphi = \omega \wedge \varphi, \quad \langle L\varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \Lambda \psi \rangle.$$

From (2.5), in local coordinates, we get

$$\Lambda = \sqrt{-1}h^{i\bar{j}}\iota_i\iota_{\bar{j}}.\tag{2.6}$$

For $\varphi \in \Omega^{p,q}M$ with p+q=k, direct computation gives (see also [34])

$$\Lambda(\omega \wedge \varphi) = (n-k)\varphi + \omega \wedge (\Lambda\varphi)$$

that is,

$$[L,\Lambda]\varphi = (k-n)\varphi. \tag{2.7}$$

Applying this equality repeatedly, we have

$$L^{r}, \Lambda]\varphi = [L^{r-s}, \Lambda]L^{s}\varphi + s(k-n+s-1)L^{r-1}\varphi.$$

In particular, for s = r,

$$[L^r, \Lambda]\varphi = r(k - n + r - 1)L^{r-1}\varphi.$$
(2.8)

Definition 2.1. A (p,q) form φ is called primitive if $\Lambda \varphi = 0$.

For a primitive $\varphi \in \Omega^{p,q}M$ with p + q = k, we have

$$\Lambda(\omega \wedge \varphi) = (n-k)\varphi, \qquad |\varphi \wedge \omega|^2 = (n-k)|\varphi|^2, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\Lambda L^r \varphi = r(n-k-r+1)L^{r-1}\varphi.$$
(2.10)

2.2. Torsion 1-form.

Let ∇ be the Chern connection of a Hermitian manifold (M, J, h). It is the unique connection such that $\nabla J = 0$, $\nabla h = 0$ and the torsion tensor

$$T(X,Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,Y], \qquad X,Y \in TM.$$

has vanishing (1, 1) part. Using local coordinates, the Christoffel symbols Γ_{ij}^k and the torsion T of ∇ are given by (see e.g. [31])

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = h^{k\bar{l}} \partial_i h_{j\bar{l}}, \quad T_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k - \Gamma_{ji}^k = h^{k\bar{l}} T_{ij\bar{l}}.$$

As $\omega = \sqrt{-1}h_{i\bar{j}}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$, then

$$\partial \omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} T_{ij\bar{k}} dz^i \wedge dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k.$$
(2.11)

The torsion 1-form of the Chern connection is a (1,0)-form defined by $\tau = \tau_i dz^i$ with $\tau_i = T_{ik}^k = h^{k\bar{l}} T_{ik\bar{l}}$. Using (2.6) and (2.11), direct computation gives

$$\tau = \Lambda \partial \omega = \sum_{k=1}^{n} T_{ik}^{k} dz^{i}.$$
(2.12)

The following result is well known on Hermitian manifolds.

Lemma 2.2. Let (M, ω) be an n-dimensional Hermitian manifold. Then

$$\partial \omega^{n-1} = \tau \wedge \omega^{n-1}. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. Define $\alpha_0 = \partial \omega - \frac{1}{n-1}L(\Lambda \partial \omega)$. Then $\Lambda \alpha_0 = 0$ by (2.9). This is equivalent to $L^{n-2}\alpha_0 = 0$ (see [34]), i.e.

$$(\partial \omega - \frac{1}{n-1}L\Lambda \partial \omega) \wedge \omega^{n-2} = 0.$$

Then

$$\partial \omega^{n-1} = (n-1)\partial \omega \wedge \omega^{n-2}$$
$$= L(\Lambda \partial \omega) \wedge \omega^{n-2}$$
$$= \tau \wedge \omega^{n-1},$$

where the last equality follows from (2.12).

The following lemma will be used frequently. It may be obtained by Bochner formula on Hermitian manifolds (see e.g. [11], [24]). We provide a naive proof here.

Lemma 2.3. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold and $\tau = T_{ik}^k dz^i$ be the torsion 1-form of the Chern connection. Then

$$\tau = \Lambda \partial \omega = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}^* \omega \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. Let φ be any (1,0) form. As $*\omega = \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$, by (2.13),

$$\begin{split} \langle \varphi, \bar{\partial}^* \omega \rangle \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = & \varphi \wedge \overline{\tau} \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ = & \langle \varphi \wedge \overline{\tau}, \omega \rangle \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ = & \langle \varphi, \sqrt{-1} \tau \rangle \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \end{split}$$

Then we see $\tau = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}^*\omega$. The first equality in (2.14) follows from (2.12).

Recall that a Hermitian metric is called balanced if $d\omega^{n-1} = 0$, namely, $\tau = 0$. We can easily get the following fact (also see [1]).

Corollary 2.4. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold. If the torsion 1-form τ is holomorphic, then (M, ω) is balanced.

Proof. By (2.14), $\bar{\partial}\tau = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega = 0$, then we have $||\bar{\partial}^*\omega||^2 = (\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega,\omega) = 0$. So $\tau = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}^*\omega = 0$ and ω is balanced.

2.3. Curvatures on Hermitian manifolds.

Let $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ be the components of the curvature tensor of the Chern connection ∇ , then

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -h_{p\bar{l}}\partial_{\bar{j}}\Gamma^p_{ik}.$$

Also, the following commutative relations hold (see e.g. [26], [30]):.

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} - R_{k\bar{j}i\bar{l}} = -\nabla_{\bar{j}}T_{ik\bar{l}} \tag{2.15}$$

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} - R_{i\bar{l}k\bar{j}} = -\nabla_i T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}k} \tag{2.16}$$

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} - R_{k\bar{l}i\bar{j}} = -\nabla_{\bar{j}}T_{ik\bar{l}} - \nabla_k T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}i}.$$
(2.17)

The *i*-th Chern-Ricci form $\rho^{(i)} = \sqrt{-1}\rho^{(i)}_{i\bar{j}}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$, $1 \le i \le 4$ are defined by

$$\begin{split} \rho_{i\bar{j}}^{(1)} &= h^{k\bar{l}} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}, \quad \rho_{i\bar{j}}^{(2)} = h^{k\bar{l}} R_{k\bar{l}i\bar{j}}, \\ \rho_{i\bar{j}}^{(3)} &= h^{k\bar{l}} R_{i\bar{l}k\bar{j}}, \quad \rho_{i\bar{j}}^{(4)} = h^{k\bar{l}} R_{k\bar{j}i\bar{l}}. \end{split}$$

The two scalar curvatures are

$$s = h^{i\bar{j}} h^{k\bar{l}} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}, \quad \hat{s} = h^{i\bar{l}} h^{k\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}},$$

where s is the usual Chern scalar curvature and \hat{s} is a Riemannian type scalar curvature. Both s and \hat{s} are real.

Proposition 2.5 ([11]). Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold, then

$$s - \hat{s} = \langle \partial \partial^* \omega, \omega \rangle = d^* \tau + |\tau|^2.$$
(2.18)

Proof. By (2.14),

$$\partial \partial^* \omega = -\sqrt{-1} \partial_i T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}}^{\ \bar{l}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j.$$
(2.19)

Then from (2.16) we have

$$s - \hat{s} = -h^{i\bar{j}}\partial_i T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}} = \langle \partial \partial^* \omega, \omega \rangle.$$
(2.20)

As $\tau = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}^*\omega$, the last equality of (2.18) follows from the lemma below.

Lemma 2.6. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold and ϕ be a (1,0) form on M. Then

$$\partial^* \phi = \langle \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\phi, \omega \rangle + \langle \phi, \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}^*\omega \rangle.$$
(2.21)

Proof. For any (1,0) form φ , we have

$$\varphi \wedge *\overline{\phi} = \langle \sqrt{-1}\varphi \wedge \overline{\phi}, \omega \rangle \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \sqrt{-1}\varphi \wedge \overline{\phi} \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

Then we see $*\phi = -\sqrt{-1}\phi \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$. By (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$\partial^* \phi = * \,\bar{\partial} (\sqrt{-1}\phi \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!})$$
$$= * \left(\sqrt{-1}(\bar{\partial}\phi - \phi \wedge \overline{\tau}) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right)$$
$$= \langle \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\phi, \omega \rangle + \langle \phi, \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}^*\omega \rangle.$$

To compare the Ricci curvatures, we introduce some notion first. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, define local (2,0) forms $\xi^i = \frac{1}{2}T^i_{jk}dz^j \wedge dz^k$ as in [38] (see more details there). The column vector of the torsion 2-forms (ξ^i) is denoted by ξ . Denote

$${}^t\xi \wedge h\bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{4}h_{i\bar{l}}T^i_{jk}T^{\bar{l}}_{\bar{r}\bar{s}}dz^j \wedge dz^k \wedge d\bar{z}^r \wedge d\bar{z}^s$$

Then ${}^t\xi \wedge h\bar{\xi}$ is independent of the local coordinates. It is a global nonnegative (2, 2) form defined on M and vanishes if and only if T = 0 (see [38]). By (2.6), we have

$$\Lambda({}^{t}\xi \wedge h\bar{\xi}) = \sqrt{-1}h^{i\bar{j}}h^{p\bar{q}}T_{ik\bar{q}}T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}p}dz^{k} \wedge d\bar{z}^{l}$$

$$\tag{2.22}$$

The following relation is established in Theorem 4.1 in [24]. We give a direct proof here.

Proposition 2.7 ([24]). Let (M,h) be a compact n-dimensional Hermitian manifold and the (2,2) form ${}^t\xi \wedge h\bar{\xi}$ is defined as above. Then

$$\rho^{(1)} - \rho^{(2)} = \Lambda(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega) + \partial\partial^*\omega + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega - \Lambda({}^t\xi \wedge h\bar{\xi})$$
(2.23)

Proof. From (2.17), we have

$$\rho_{i\bar{j}}^{(1)} - \rho_{i\bar{j}}^{(2)} = h^{k\bar{l}} (\nabla_k T_{\bar{l}\bar{j}i} - \nabla_{\bar{j}} T_{ik\bar{l}}).$$
(2.24)

Recall that $\bar{\partial}^* \omega = \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{-1} T_{ik}^k dz^i$, so

$$\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega = -\sqrt{-1}\sum_{k=1}^n \nabla_{\bar{j}}T_{ik}^{\ k}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j \tag{2.25}$$

Then (2.23) follows from (2.24) and the next lemma.

Lemma 2.8. Let (M,h) be a compact Hermitian manifold and $\omega = \sqrt{-1}h_{i\bar{j}}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$. Then

$$\sqrt{-1}h^{i\bar{j}}\nabla_i T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}k}dz^k \wedge d\bar{z}^l = \Lambda(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega) + \partial\partial^*\omega - \Lambda({}^t\xi \wedge h\bar{\xi})$$
(2.26)

Proof. Direct computation gives that

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega) &= \sqrt{-1}h^{i\bar{j}}\iota_{i}\iota_{\bar{j}}(\frac{1}{4}(\partial_{p}T_{\bar{q}\bar{l}k} - \partial_{k}T_{\bar{q}\bar{l}p})dz^{p} \wedge dz^{k} \wedge d\bar{z}^{q} \wedge d\bar{z}^{l}) \\ &= \sqrt{-1}h^{i\bar{j}}(\partial_{i}T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}k} - \partial_{k}T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}i})dz^{k} \wedge d\bar{z}^{l} \\ &= \sqrt{-1}h^{i\bar{j}}(\nabla_{i}T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}k} + \nabla_{k}T_{\bar{l}\bar{j}i} + h^{r\bar{s}}T_{ik\bar{s}}T_{\bar{j}\bar{l}r})dz^{k} \wedge d\bar{z}^{l}. \end{split}$$

Then (2.26) follows from (2.19) and (2.22).

3. LCK metrics and holomorphic sectional curvature

In this section, we will discuss locally conformal Kähler (LCK for short) manifolds and constant (or pointwise constant) holomorphic sectional curvature. Then we prove Theorem 1.1 and give examples of non-Kähler manifolds with constant or pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Let (M, ω) be an *n*-dimensional Hermitian manifold and $n \geq 2$. A Hermitian metric (M, ω) is called locally conformal Kähler if

$$d\omega=\theta\wedge\omega$$

and θ is closed. This is equivalent to that ω is locally conformal to a Kähler metric. The real 1-form θ is called the Lee form of the Hermitian metric. If ω is locally conformal Kähler, by (2.9) and (2.14), we have

$$\partial \omega = \frac{1}{n-1} \tau \wedge \omega. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that (3.1) is also valid on any compact Hermitian surfaces. We have the following simple observation.

Proposition 3.1. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian surface. If the second Chern-Ricci curvature $\rho^{(2)}$ is closed, then (M, ω) is a Kähler surface. In particular, if $\rho^{(1)} = \rho^{(2)}$, then ω is Kähler.

Proof. By Lemme 1.12 in [11], we have

$$\rho^{(1)} - \rho^{(2)} = \partial \partial^* \omega - \partial^* \partial \omega.$$

As $\rho^{(1)}$ is closed, if in addition $\rho^{(2)}$ is closed, then

$$\|\partial^* \partial \omega\|^2 = (\partial \partial^* \partial \omega, \partial \omega) = 0.$$

It follows that $(\partial^* \partial \omega, \omega) = ||\partial \omega||^2 = 0$, i.e. ω is Kähler.

For LCK manifolds or surfaces, we have the following relations between $\rho^{(1)}$ and $\rho^{(2)}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let (M, ω) be a compact locally conformal Kähler manifold. Then

$$\rho^{(1)} - \rho^{(2)} = \frac{1}{n-1} ((\hat{s} - s)\omega + n\partial\partial^*\omega).$$
(3.2)

If (M, ω) is a compact Hermitian surface, then

$$\rho^{(1)} - \rho^{(2)} = (\hat{s} - s)\omega + \partial\partial^*\omega + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega.$$
(3.3)

Proof. If ω is locally conformal Kähler or n = 2, then

$$\partial \omega = \frac{1}{n-1}\tau \wedge \omega$$

In local coordinates,

$$\partial \omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} T_{ij\bar{k}} dz^i \wedge dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k,$$
$$\tau \wedge \omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} (h_{j\bar{k}} T_{il}^{\ l} - h_{i\bar{k}} T_{j\bar{l}}^{\ l}) dz^i \wedge dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k.$$

Then we have

$$(n-1)T_{ij\bar{k}} = h_{j\bar{k}}T_{il}^{\ l} - h_{i\bar{k}}T_{jl}^{\ l}.$$
(3.4)

By (2.24),

$$\rho_{i\bar{j}}^{(1)} - \rho_{i\bar{j}}^{(2)} = h^{k\bar{l}} (\nabla_k T_{\bar{l}\bar{j}i} - \nabla_{\bar{j}} T_{ik\bar{l}}).$$
(3.5)

By (3.4), we get

$$\sqrt{-1}h^{k\bar{l}}\nabla_k T_{\bar{l}\bar{j}i}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j
= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n-1}h^{k\bar{l}}\nabla_k (h_{i\bar{j}}T_{\bar{l}\bar{s}}^{\ \bar{s}} - h_{i\bar{l}}T_{\bar{j}\bar{s}}^{\ \bar{s}})dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j
= \frac{1}{n-1}(\partial\partial^*\omega - (s-\hat{s})\omega).$$
(3.6)

For a locally conformal Kähler metric, since $d(\tau + \bar{\tau}) = 0$, we have

$$\partial \partial^* \omega - \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \omega = 0.$$

Combining (3.5), (3.6) and $\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \omega = -\sqrt{-1} \nabla_{\bar{j}} T_{ik}^{\ k} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$, we get (3.2) and (3.3).

Remark 3.3. The relations between all kinds of Ricci curvatures on general Hermitian manifolds are obtained in Liu-Yang [24]. We get the simpler formula (3.2) due to the locally conformal Kähler condition in our case .

Now we consider Hermitian metrics with constant holomorphic sectional curvature. For a Hermitian manifold (M, ω) , the holomorphic sectional curvature is defined by

$$H(X) = R(X, \bar{X}, X, \bar{X})/|X|^4,$$

where $X \in T_p^{1,0}(M)$ and R is the curvature tensor of the Chern connection. It is well known that when ω is Kähler, H dominates the whole curvature tensor R. In particular, H = c if and only if

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \frac{1}{2}c(h_{i\bar{j}}h_{k\bar{l}} + h_{i\bar{l}}h_{k\bar{j}}).$$
(3.7)

In this case, (M, ω) is isometric to a quotient of simply-connected complex space forms (see [42]). However, if ω is not Kähler, (3.7) does not hold any more. Let K be the symmetric part of the curvature tensor R with components

$$K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \frac{1}{4} (R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} + R_{k\bar{j}i\bar{l}} + R_{i\bar{l}k\bar{j}} + R_{k\bar{l}i\bar{j}}).$$

In [1], Balas proved the following proposition. For reader's convenience, we give a proof here.

Proposition 3.4 ([1]). For a Hermitian manifold (M, ω) , the holomorphic sectional curvature H = c at a point if and only if

$$K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \frac{1}{2}c(h_{i\bar{j}}h_{k\bar{l}} + h_{i\bar{l}}h_{k\bar{j}}).$$
(3.8)

Proof. For $p \in M$, let $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \in T_p^{1,0}M$. Then H = c at p if and only if

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}X^i\bar{X}^jX^k\bar{X}^l = c|X|^4.$$

We rewrite the above equality to get

$$K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}X^{i}\bar{X}^{j}X^{k}\bar{X}^{l} = c(h_{i\bar{j}}X^{i}\bar{X}^{j})^{2} = \frac{1}{2}c(h_{i\bar{j}}h_{k\bar{l}} + h_{i\bar{l}}h_{k\bar{j}})X^{i}\bar{X}^{j}X^{k}\bar{X}^{l}.$$

Note that both $K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ and $h_{i\bar{j}}h_{k\bar{l}} + h_{i\bar{l}}h_{k\bar{j}}$ are symmetric in *i*, *k* and also symmetric in *j* and *l*. Thus the above equality holds for any $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$ if and only if (3.8) is satisfied. \Box

Now we prove the following result (Theorem 1.2).

Theorem 3.5. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold. If ω is locally conformal Kähler with pointwise nonpositive constant holomorphic sectional curvature, then (M, ω) is globally conformal Kähler.

Proof. Let H = c, where c is a nonpositive smooth function on M. Contracting (3.8) with ω to get (also see [1])

$$\rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)} + 2Re\rho^{(3)} = 2(n+1)c\omega \tag{3.9}$$

$$s + \hat{s} = n(n+1)c.$$
 (3.10)

As ω is locally conformal Kähler, then $\partial \partial^* \omega = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \omega$. From (2.16) and (2.19), we have

$$\rho^{(1)} = \rho^{(3)} + \partial \partial^* \omega. \tag{3.11}$$

By Proposition 3.2, (3.9) and (3.10), we get

$$\rho^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4(n-1)} [((n+1)(n-2)c + 2\hat{s})\omega - (n-2)\partial\partial^*\omega].$$
(3.12)

Also, from (3.11), $\rho^{(3)}$ is a real closed (1, 1) form. Take differential to (3.12) to get

$$d((n+1)(n-2)c+2\hat{s})\omega) = 0.$$
(3.13)

Let $\varphi = (n+1)(n-2)c + 2\hat{s}$. We will show that φ is either everywhere nonzero or $\varphi \equiv 0$ (see also [33]).

(1) If φ is everywhere nonzero, then ω is conformally Kähler and we are done.

(2) Assume that $\varphi(p) = 0$ for some $p \in M$. As ω is locally conformal Kähler, we have

$$d\varphi + \varphi\theta = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

and $d\theta = 0$. Then $\theta = du$ in a neighborhood of p for some real function u. By (3.14), we have $e^u d\varphi + \varphi de^u = 0$. Then $e^u \varphi$ is constant near p and $\varphi \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of p. This implies that the set of points where φ equals zero is open and closed. Thus $\varphi \equiv 0$ on M.

We only need to discuss the case $\varphi \equiv 0$ to finish the proof.

(a) If $c \equiv 0$, then $s = \hat{s} = 0$ by (3.10). This implies that ω is balanced, which means the Lee form $\theta = 0$. As ω is also locally conformal Kähler, we get $d\omega = 0$ and ω is Kähler.

(b) If $c \le 0$ and c < 0 at least at one point on *M*. As $\hat{s} = -\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n-2)c$, by (3.10),

$$s = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(3n-2)c \le 0 \le \hat{s}$$

It follows that

$$\int_{M} \hat{s} dv > 0 > \int_{M} s dv$$

which is a contradiction by Proposition 2.18.

Thus either ω is Kähler or φ is everywhere nonzero. Both imply that ω is globally conformal Kähler.

By the uniqueness of Gauduchon metric in each conformal class of a Hermitian metric, we also get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold. If ω is locally conformal Kähler and Gauduchon with pointwise nonpositive constant holomorphic sectional curvature, then (M, ω) is Kähler.

In particular, any compact Vaisman manifold with pointwise nonpositive constant holomorphic sectional curvature is Kähler (Corollary 1.3) as it is Gauduchon ([27]).

Next we consider holomorphic sectional curvature of globally conformal Kähler metric. Assume $\tilde{\omega} = e^f \omega$, where f is a real smooth function. Denote \tilde{R} , $\tilde{\rho}^{(i)}$, \tilde{s} and \tilde{s} the curvature tensor, Chern-Ricci curvatures and scalar curvatures of $\tilde{\omega}$. Direct computation gives that

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = e^f (R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} - \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} f h_{k\bar{l}}).$$

$$(3.15)$$

Then we obtain

$$\widetilde{\rho}^{(1)} = \rho^{(1)} - n\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f,$$

$$\widetilde{\rho}^{(2)} = \rho^{(2)} - \operatorname{tr}_{\omega}(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f)\omega,$$

$$\widetilde{\rho}^{(3)} = \rho^{(3)} - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f.$$
(3.16)

It follows that

$$\widetilde{s} - \widetilde{\hat{s}} = e^{-f}(s - \hat{s}) - (n - 1)\operatorname{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f).$$
(3.17)

We call $\tilde{\omega} = e^f \omega$ a (globally) conformal Kähler metric if ω is Kähler. We prove the following result.

Proposition 3.7. Let $(M, \tilde{\omega})$ be a compact conformal Kähler manifold. If the holomorphic sectional curvature is nonpositive constant, then $(M, \tilde{\omega})$ is Kähler.

Proof. Denote $\widetilde{H} = c$ the holomorphic sectional curvature of $\widetilde{\omega}$, where c is a nonpositive constant. The same argument as in Theorem 3.5 gives

$$d((n+1)(n-2)c+2\tilde{s})\tilde{\omega}) = 0,$$

$$\tilde{s} + \tilde{s} = n(n+1)c.$$

As $\widetilde{\omega} = e^f \omega$ with $d\omega = 0$, we have

$$((n+1)(n-2)c+2\hat{s})e^f = A \tag{3.18}$$

for some constant A. Then

$$\widetilde{s} - \widetilde{\tilde{s}} = n(n+1)c - 2\widetilde{\tilde{s}}$$
$$= 2(n-1)(n+1)c - Ae^{-f}.$$

Putting into (3.17), we get

$$-(n-1)\Delta f = 2(n-1)(n+1)ce^f - A, \qquad (3.19)$$

where $\Delta f = \operatorname{tr}_{\omega} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f$. Let dv be the volume form of ω , then

$$\int_{M} \Delta f dv = \int_{M} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = 0.$$

If c = 0, then by (3.19), we see A = 0 and f is a constant. If c < 0, first we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta}f = e^{-f}\Delta f = -\Delta e^{-f} + |\partial f|^2_{\tilde{\omega}}$$
(3.20)

where $\widetilde{\Delta}f = \operatorname{tr}_{\widetilde{\omega}}\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}f$. From (3.19), we get

$$2(n-1)(n+1)c - Ae^{-f} = (n-1)(\Delta e^{-f} - |\partial f|^2_{\tilde{\omega}})$$
(3.21)

Integrate both sides of (3.19) with respect to the Kähler metric ω , we get

$$A vol(M) = 2(n-1)(n+1)c \int_M e^f dv.$$

As c < 0, we see A < 0. Then integrate both sides of (3.21) with respect to ω to get

$$A\int_{M} e^{-f} dv \ge 2(n-1)(n+1)c \ vol(M)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the above equations, we have

$$(vol(M))^2 \leq \int_M e^f dv \int_M e^{-f} dv \leq (vol(M))^2.$$

The equality holds if and only if f is a constant. Therefore in either case, we derive that ω is Kähler.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from Theorem 3.5, Proposition 3.7 and the classical result for complex space forms [14] [18].

We use Proposition 3.4 and the conformal trick to construct complete non-Kähler metrics on \mathbb{C}^n with pointwise constant but not globally constant holomorphic sectional curvature. We also give an example of complete non-Kähler metrics on \mathbb{C}^n with zero holomorphic sectional curvature and nonvanishing curvature tensor. This gives the proof of Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 1.6.

Example 3.8. Let $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{-1} dz^i \wedge d\overline{z}^i$ be the flat Euclidean metric on \mathbb{C}^n with curvature R = 0. Let $f = c|z|^2 = c \sum_{i=1}^{n} |z_i|^2$, where c is a nonzero real number and $\tilde{\omega} = e^f \omega$. Then $\partial_i \partial_{\overline{j}} f = c \delta_{ij}$ and by (3.15), $\tilde{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{l}} = -ce^f \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$. The symmetric curvature tensor \widetilde{K} is

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{K}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{l}} &= \frac{1}{4} (\widetilde{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{l}} + \widetilde{R}_{k\overline{j}i\overline{l}} + \widetilde{R}_{i\overline{l}k\overline{j}} + \widetilde{R}_{k\overline{l}i\overline{j}}) \\ &= -\frac{ce^f}{2} (\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{kj}) \\ &= -\frac{ce^{-f}}{2} (\widetilde{h}_{i\overline{j}}\widetilde{h}_{k\overline{l}} + \widetilde{h}_{i\overline{l}}\widetilde{h}_{k\overline{j}}). \end{split}$$

By Proposition 3.4, the holomorphic sectional curvature $\tilde{H} = -ce^{-f}$ is pointwise constant but not globally constant. When c > 0, we see that $\tilde{\omega} = e^{c|z|^2} \omega$ is complete.

Example 3.9. Let $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial \bar{\partial} \log(1+|z|^2)$ be the restriction of the Fubini-Study metric on \mathbb{C}^n . Then

$$h_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{(1+|z|^2)\delta_{ij} - \bar{z}_i z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^2}.$$

Also, the holomorphic sectional curvature of ω is constant 2 ([42]), so we have

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = h_{i\bar{j}}h_{k\bar{l}} + h_{i\bar{l}}h_{k\bar{j}}.$$

Let $f = 2\log(1+|z|^2)$ and $\tilde{\omega} = e^f \omega$. As $\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} f = 2h_{i\bar{j}}$, we have

$$\widetilde{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{l}} = e^f(h_{i\overline{j}}h_{k\overline{l}} + h_{i\overline{l}}h_{k\overline{j}} - 2h_{i\overline{j}}h_{k\overline{l}}) = e^f(h_{i\overline{l}}h_{k\overline{j}} - h_{i\overline{j}}h_{k\overline{l}}).$$

The symmetric curvature tensor is

$$\tilde{K}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{R}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} + \tilde{R}_{k\bar{j}i\bar{l}} + \tilde{R}_{i\bar{l}k\bar{j}} + \tilde{R}_{k\bar{l}i\bar{j}}) = 0.$$

So the holomorphic sectional curvature of $\tilde{\omega}$ is zero, but the curvature is nowhere vanishing. Also, $\tilde{\omega} = \sqrt{-1}((1+|z|^2)\delta_{ij} - \bar{z}_i z_j)dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$ is complete on \mathbb{C}^n .

Example 3.10. let $\omega = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log(1-|z|^2)$ be the Bergman metric on the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n . Then

$$h_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{(1-|z|^2)\delta_{ij} + \bar{z}_i z_j}{(1-|z|^2)^2}.$$

Also, the holomorphic sectional curvature of ω is constant -2. Then we have

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -(h_{i\bar{j}}h_{k\bar{l}} + h_{i\bar{l}}h_{k\bar{j}}).$$

Let $f = 2\log(1 - |z|^2)$ and $\tilde{\omega} = e^f \omega$. Similarly,

$$\widetilde{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{l}} = e^f(-h_{i\overline{j}}h_{k\overline{l}} - h_{i\overline{l}}h_{k\overline{j}} + 2h_{i\overline{j}}h_{k\overline{l}}) = e^f(h_{i\overline{j}}h_{k\overline{l}} - h_{i\overline{l}}h_{k\overline{j}}).$$

The symmetric curvature tensor $K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = 0$. So the holomorphic sectional curvature of $\tilde{\omega}$ is zero, but the curvature is nonzero everywhere. As $\tilde{h}_{i\bar{j}} = (1 - |z|^2)\delta_{ij} + \bar{z}_i z_j$, $\tilde{\omega}$ is not complete. The completion is $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}$.

4. K-GAUDUCHON METRICS

In this section, we study the k-Gauduchon metrics on complex manifolds.

Recall that a Gauduchon metric (or called standard metric) is a Hermitian metric satisfying $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} = 0$. On a compact complex manifold, there exist a unique Gauduchon metric in the conformal class of any Hermitian metric [11]. For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, Fu-Wang-Wu consider the following equation in [8]

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^k \wedge \omega^{n-k-1} = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

A Hermitian metric is called k-Gauduchon if (4.1) is satisfied. We use the torsion 1-form and operators on Hermitian manifolds to study k-Gauduchon metrics. First, direct computation gives

$$\partial \overline{\partial} \omega^k \wedge \omega^{n-1-k} = \frac{k}{n-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \omega^{n-1} - k(n-k-1) \partial \omega \wedge \overline{\partial} \omega \wedge \omega^{n-3}.$$
(4.2)

Lemma 4.1. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold. Then

$$*(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1}) = (n-1)!(\hat{s} - s + |\partial^*\omega|^2).$$

So ω is Gauduchon if and only if $s - \hat{s} = |\partial^* \omega|^2$.

Proof. As $*\omega = \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$, we have

$$*\partial\overline{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = (n-1)!\partial^*\overline{\partial}^*\omega = (n-1)!\sqrt{-1}\partial^*\tau.$$

Then it follows from (2.18).

We will assume $n \ge 3$ in the following discussion.

Lemma 4.2. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold. Then

$$*(\sqrt{-1}\partial\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}) = |\partial^*\omega|^2 - |\partial\omega|^2, \tag{4.3}$$

or equivalently,

$$\Lambda^3(\sqrt{-1}\partial\omega\wedge\bar\partial\omega) = 6(|\partial^*\omega|^2 - |\partial\omega|^2).$$

Proof. First, as $\Lambda \partial \omega = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}^* \omega$ and $\Lambda L \bar{\partial}^* \omega = (n-1)\bar{\partial}^* \omega$, we see the (2,1) form

$$\partial \omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n-1} L \bar{\partial}^* \omega$$

is primitive. By Proposition 6.29 in [34], for a primitive $\alpha \in \Omega^{p,q}$ with p + q = k,

$$*\alpha = (-1)^p (\sqrt{-1})^{k^2} \frac{L^{n-k} \alpha}{(n-k)!}.$$
(4.4)

 So

$$*\partial\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\omega \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-3}}{(n-3)!} - \frac{n-2}{(n-1)!}\bar{\partial}^*\omega \wedge \omega^{n-2} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n-1} * L\bar{\partial}^*\omega \tag{4.5}$$

By $*L = \Lambda *$ and (4.4),

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n-1} * L\bar{\partial}^*\omega = \frac{1}{n-1}\Lambda(\bar{\partial}^*\omega \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!})$$
$$= \bar{\partial}^*\omega \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-1)!},$$

where we use (2.10) in the last equality. Therefore,

$$*\partial\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\omega \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-3}}{(n-3)!} - \bar{\partial}^*\omega \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}.$$
(4.6)

Thus with $*\bar{\partial}^*\omega = \frac{\partial \omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial\omega|^2 dv &= -\sqrt{-1}\partial\omega\wedge\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\frac{\omega^{n-3}}{(n-3)!} - \partial\omega\wedge\partial^*\omega\wedge\frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= -\sqrt{-1}\partial\omega\wedge\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\frac{\omega^{n-3}}{(n-3)!} + |\partial^*\omega|^2 dv. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$*(\sqrt{-1}\partial\omega\wedge\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\frac{\omega^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}) = |\partial^*\omega|^2 - |\partial\omega|^2.$$
(4.7)

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold and k be an integer such that $1 \le k \le n-1$. Then

$$* \left(\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\omega^k \wedge \omega^{n-k-1}\right)$$
$$= k(n-2)! \left(\frac{k-1}{n-2}|\partial^*\omega|^2 + \frac{n-k-1}{n-2}|\partial\omega|^2 - s + \hat{s}\right)$$
(4.8)

Proof. It is a combination of (4.2), Proposition 2.18, and Lemma 4.2.

Remark 4.4. An integral version of the above two lemmas is given by Proposition 5.1 [24]. We are curious about the pointwise equality and do the above calculation. Similar formulas are also obtained in [9] and [19].

Consequently, we have the following characterization.

Proposition 4.5. Let (M, ω) be a Hermitian manifold and k be an integer such that $1 \le k \le n-1$. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) ω is k-th Gauduchon;

(2)
$$\Lambda^{k+1}(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^k) = 0;$$

(3)
$$s - \hat{s} = \frac{k-1}{n-2} |\partial^* \omega|^2 + \frac{n-1-k}{n-2} |\partial \omega|^2.$$

Proof. As $*\omega^k = \frac{k!\omega^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$ (see e.g., [42]), we have

$$\frac{1}{(k+1)!}\Lambda^{k+1}(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^k) = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^k \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!}.$$
(4.9)

Then it follows from Lemma 4.3.

Corollary 4.6. If (M, ω) is k-Gauduchon, then $s \ge \hat{s}$. In particular, if (M, ω) is pluriclosed or Astheno-Kähler, then $s \ge \hat{s}$.

Corollary 4.7. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold and k be an integer such that $1 \le k \le n-1$. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) ω is k-Gauduchon for all k, $1 \le k \le n-1$;

(2)
$$|\partial \omega|^2 = |\partial^* \omega|^2 = \langle \partial \partial^* \omega, \omega \rangle.$$

This follows from Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.5.

Remark 4.8. Note that

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\omega^k \wedge \omega^{n-k-1} = k(\partial\overline{\partial}\omega \wedge \omega^{n-2} + (k-1)\partial\omega \wedge \overline{\partial}\omega \wedge \omega^{n-3})$$

So for $1 \leq p, q \leq n-1$, if ω is *p*-Gauduchon and *q*-Gauduchon for $p \neq q$, then ω is *k*-Gauduchon for all *k*.

Using Proposition 4.5, we are able to obtain the following result which gives a slight generalization of Proposition 3.8 in [19].

Proposition 4.9. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold and k be an integer such that $1 \le k \le n-2$. If ω is locally conformal Kähler satisfying

$$\int_{M} \Lambda^{k+1} (\sqrt{-1}\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{k}) dv = 0, \qquad (4.10)$$

then (M, ω) is Kähler.

Proof. By (4.2) and (4.9) (see also equality (5.1) in [24]), for $1 \le k \le n-2$,

$$\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_M \Lambda^{k+1} (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^k) dv$$
$$= -\frac{k}{(n-k-2)!} \int_M \sqrt{-1}\partial\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \omega^{n-3}$$
$$= \frac{(n-3)!k}{(n-k-2)!} (\|\partial\omega\|^2 - \|\partial^*\omega\|^2).$$

If (4.10) is satisfied, then

$$\|\partial \omega\|^2 = \|\partial^* \omega\|^2 = \|\tau\|^2.$$

As ω is locally conformal Kähler, then by (2.9) and (3.1),

$$\|\partial\omega\|^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \|\tau\|^2.$$

So $\tau = 0$ for $n \ge 3$ and ω is Kähler.

References

- Balas, A. Compact Hermitian manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Math. Z. 189 (1985), no. 2, 193-210.
- [2] Balas, A, Gauduchon, P. Any Hermitian metric of constant nonpositive (Hermitian) holomorphic sectional curvature on a compact complex surface is Kähler. Math. Z. 190 (1985), no. 1, 39-43.
- [3] Boothby, W. Hermitian manifolds with zero curvature, Michigan Math. J. 5 (1958), no. 2, 229-233.
- [4] Demailly, J.-P. Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry, book available at the author's webpage.
- [5] Diverio, S., Trapani, S. Quasi-negative holomorphic sectional curvature and positivity of the canonical bundle. arXiv:1606.01381(2016).

- [6] Dragomir, S., Ornea, L. Locally Conformal Kähler Geometry, Progress in Math. 155, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, 1998.
- [7] Fino, F., Ugarte, L. On generalized Gauduchon metrics. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 56 (2013), no. 3, 733-753.
- [8] Fu, J., Wang, Z., Wu, D. Semilinear equations, the γ_k function, and generalized Gauduchon metrics. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 (2013), no. 2, 659-680.
- [9] Fu, J., Zhou, X. Scalar curvatures in almost Hermitian geometry and some applications, arXiv:1901.10130, 2019.
- [10] Gauduchon, P.Fibrés hermitiens à endomorphisme de Ricci non-négatif. Bull. Soc. math. France, 105, 113-140 (1977).
- [11] Gauduchon, P. La 1-forme de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte. (French) [Torsion 1-forms of compact Hermitian manifolds]. Math. Ann. 267 (1984), no. 4, 495-518. Bull. Soc. Math. France 105 (1977), no. 2, 113-140.
- [12] Grauert, H, Reckziegel, H. Hermitesche Metriken und normale Familien holomorpher Abbildungen.Math. Z. 89 1965 108-125.
- [13] Gray, A., Vanhecke, L. Almost Hermitian manifolds with constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Časopis Pěst. Mat., 104(2):170-179, 1979.
- [14] Hawley, N.S. Constant holomorphic curvature, Canad. Math. J. 5 (1953), 53-56.
- [15] Heier, G., Lu, S., Wong, B. On the canonical line bundle and negative holomorphic sectional curvature, Math. Res. Lett., 17 (2010), no.6, 1101-1110.
- [16] Heier, G., Lu, S.; Wong, B. Kähler manifolds of semi-negative holomorphic sectional curvature. J. Differential Geom., 104(3), 419-441, 2016.
- [17] Heier, G., Lu, S.; Wong, B., Zheng, F. Reduction of manifolds with semi-negative holomorphic sectional curvature, arXiv:1705.00605, (2017).
- [18] Igusa, J. On the structure of a certain class of Kähler manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954), 669-678.
- [19] Ivanov, S., Papadopoulos, G. Vanishing theorems on (l | k) -strong Kähler manifolds with torsion. Adv. Math. 237 (2013), 147-164.MR3028575.
- [20] Kobayashi, S., Nomizu, K. Foundations of Differential Geometry. Vol. II. Interscience Publishers John Wiley-Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1969.
- [21] Lee, M.-C. Compact hermitian manifolds with quasi-negative curvature, arXiv:1810.07325 (2018).
- [22] Lejmi, M., Upmeier, M. Closed almost Kähler 4-manifolds of constant non negative Hermitian holomorphic sectional curvature are Kähler. arXiv:1709.05210 (2017).
- [23] Liu, K., Yang, X. Geometry of Hermitian manifolds. Internat. J. Math. 23 (2012), no. 6, 1250055, 40 pp.
- [24] Liu, K., Yang, X. Ricci curvatures on Hermitian manifolds. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369 (2017), no. 7, 5157-5196.
- [25] Ni, L., Zheng, F. Positivity and Kodaira embedding theorem, arXiv:1804.09696 (2018).
- [26] Nie, X. Regularity of a complex Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds. Comm. Anal. Geom. 22 (2014), no. 5, 833-856.
- [27] Pedersen, H., Poon, Y.S., Swann, A. The Einstein-Weyl equations in complex and quaternionic geometry, Differential Geom. Appl. 3 (1993), 309-321.
- [28] Sato, T., Sekigawa, K. Hermitian surfaces of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, Math.Z. 205 (1990), 659-668.
- [29] Sekigawa, K., Koda, T. Compact Hermitian surfaces of pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Glasgow Math. Journal, Volume 37, Issue 3 September 1995, pp. 343-349.
- [30] Sherman, M., Weinkove, B. Local Calabi and curvature estimates for the Chern-Ricci flow. New York J. Math. 19 (2013), 565-582.
- [31] Tosatti, V., Weinkove, B. On the evolution of a Hermitian metric by its Chern-Ricci form, J. Differential Geom. 99 (2015), no.1, 125-163.
- [32] Tosatti, V., Yang, X. An extension of a theorem of Wu-Yau. J. Differential Geom. 107 (2017), no. 3, 573-579.
- [33] Vaisman, I. On locally and globally conformal Kähler manifolds. Tran.AMS. Volume 262, Number 2, December 1980. 533-542.
- [34] Voisin, C. Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry. I. Translated from the French original by Leila Schneps. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 76. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. x+322 pp. ISBN: 0-521-80260-1.

- [35] Wong, P.-M., Wu, D., Yau, S.-T. Picard number, holomorphic sectional curvature, and ampleness. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), no. 2, 621-626.
- [36] Wu, D., Yau, S.-T. Negative holomorphic curvature and positive canonical bundle, Invent. Math. 204 (2016), no. 2, 595-604.
- [37] Wu, D., Yau, S.-T. A remark on our paper "Negative holomorphic curvature and positive canonical bundle". Comm. Anal. Geom. 24 (2016), no. 4, 901-912.
- [38] Yang, B., Zheng, F. On curvature tensors of Hermitian manifolds. Comm. Anal. Geom. 26 (2018), no. 5, 1195-1222.
- [39] Yang, X. RC-positivity, rational connectedness and Yau's conjecture. Camb. J. Math. 6 (2018), 183-212.
- [40] Yang, X., Zheng, F. On real bisectional curvature for Hermitian manifolds. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 371 (2019), no. 4, 2703-2718.
- [41] Zhao, Q., Zheng, F. Strominger connection and pluriclosed metrics, arXiv:1904.06604, 2019.
- [42] Zheng, F. Complex Differential Geometry. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 18. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Boston, MA, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, JINHUA ZHEJIANG, 321004, CHINA *E-mail address*: chj@zjnu.edu.cn, chenll0716@126.com, nie@zjnu.edu.cn