

GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND CONVERGENCE FOR THE CR Q -CURVATURE FLOW IN A CLOSED STRICTLY PSEUDOCONVEX CR 3-MANIFOLD

*SHU-CHENG CHANG¹, *TING-JUNG KUO², AND TAKANARI SAOTOME³

ABSTRACT. In this note, we affirm the partial answer to the long open Conjecture which states that any closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold admits a contact form θ with the vanishing CR Q -curvature. More precisely, we deform the contact form according to an CR analogue of Q -curvature flow in a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ of the vanishing first Chern class $c_1(T_{1,0}M)$. Suppose that M is embeddable and the CR Paneitz operator P_0 is non-negative with kernel consisting of the CR pluriharmonic functions. We show that the solution of CR Q -curvature flow exists for all time and has smoothly asymptotic convergence on $M \times [0, \infty)$. As a consequence, we are able to affirm the Conjecture in a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of the vanishing first Chern class and vanishing torsion.

1. Introduction

A strictly pseudoconvex CR $(2n + 1)$ -manifold is called pseudo-Einstein if the pseudohermitian Ricci curvature tensor is function-proportional to its Levi metric for $n \geq 2$ (This is trivial for $n = 1$). In general, this is equivalent to saying that the following quantity is vanishing ([L1], [H])

$$(1.1) \quad W_\alpha \doteq (R_{,\alpha} - inA_{\alpha\beta},^\beta) = 0.$$

Then the pseudo-Einstein condition can be replaced by (1.1) for all $n \geq 1$. From this, one can define ([H], [FH], [CCC]) the CR Q -curvature for $n = 1$ by

$$(1.2) \quad Q := -\frac{4}{3}\text{Re}[(R_{,1} - iA_{11,\bar{1}})_{\bar{1}}] = -\frac{2}{3}(W_{1\bar{1}} + W_{\bar{1}1}).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32V20; Secondary 53C44.

Key words and phrases. Subelliptic, Embedable, Bochner Formulae, CR Q -curvature flow, CR Paneitz operator, First Chern Class.

*Research supported in part by the MOST of Taiwan.

J. Lee ([L1]) showed an obstruction to the existence of a pseudo-Einstein contact form θ , which is the vanishing of first Chern class $c_1(T_{1,0}M)$ for a closed strictly pseudoconvex $(2n + 1)$ -manifold (M, J, θ) . Moreover, there is an invariant contact form ([FH]) if and only if it is pseudo-Einstein ([L1]) for all $n \geq 1$.

Conjecture 1.1. ([L1]) *Any closed strictly pseudoconvex CR $(2n + 1)$ -manifold of the vanishing first Chern class $c_1(T_{1,0}M)$ admits a global pseudo-Einstein structure.*

Observe that the CR Q -curvature is vanishing when it is pseudo-Einstein. However, it is unknown whether there is any obstruction to the existence of a contact form θ of vanishing CR Q -curvature ([FH], [CCC]). Denote a strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold by $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ with its contact class (or equivalently its underlying contact bundle) indicated. For a real-valued function λ in M^3 , we consider a conformal transformation

$$\theta = e^{2\lambda}\theta_0.$$

Under this conformal change, it is known that we have the following transformation laws for the CR Q -curvature:

$$(1.3) \quad Q = e^{-4\lambda}(Q_0 + 2P_0\lambda),$$

here Q_0 and P_0 denote the CR Q -curvature and CR Paneitz operator (see 1.1 for the definition) with respect to (M, J, θ_0) .

Now, we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2. ([FH]) *Let (M, J, θ_0) be a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold. There exists a contact form θ in the conformal contact class $[\theta_0]$ with the vanishing CR Q -curvature.*

For a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold, we decompose

$$Q_0 = (Q_0)_{\ker} \oplus Q_0^\perp$$

with respect to P_0 , where Q_0^\perp denotes the component of Q_0 which is perpendicular to $\ker P_0$ and $(Q_0)_{\ker}$ denotes the component of Q_0 in $\ker P_0$. From (1.3), we observe that if $Q = 0$ for $\theta = e^{2\lambda}\theta_0$, then

$$0 = Q_0 + 2P_0\lambda = (Q_0)_{\ker} \oplus (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda) \in (\ker P_0) \oplus (\ker P_0)^\perp.$$

Hence the kernel part of the background CR Q -curvature must be vanishing :

$$(1.4) \quad (Q_0)_{\ker} = 0.$$

To deal with the perpendicular part, our method is to investigating the CR Q -curvature flow which is a fourth-order flow in a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold $(M, J, [\theta_0])$:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = -(Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda) + r \\ \theta(\cdot, t) = e^{2\lambda(\cdot, t)}\theta_0 \\ \lambda(\cdot, 0) = \lambda_0(\cdot) \text{ and } \int_M e^{4\lambda_0} d\mu_0 = \int_M d\mu_0 \end{cases},$$

where $r = \frac{\int_M (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda) d\mu}{\int_M d\mu}$ and $d\mu = \theta \wedge d\theta$ is the volume form with respect to θ .

It is easy to see that the volume $V(\theta) = \int_M d\mu$ is invariant under the flow (1.5). Define the functional \mathcal{E} on a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ by

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{E}(\theta) = \int_M P_0\lambda \cdot \lambda d\mu_0 + \int_M Q_0\lambda d\mu_0, \theta \in [\theta_0].$$

It can be proved that the flow (1.5) is the (volume normalized) negative gradient flow of $\mathcal{E}(\theta)$. That is (see Lemma 5.2)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(\theta) = - \int_M (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda)^2 d\mu_0.$$

In this paper, by applying the CR Q -curvature flow (1.5) to the functional $\mathcal{E}(\theta)$, we affirm the partial answer to Conjecture 1.2 in a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ of the vanishing first Chern class $c_1(T_{1,0}M)$. Here we remark that the vanishing of $c_1(T_{1,0}M)$ is required to ensure that the background assumption (1.4) holds for the flow (1.5) as in Theorem 3.2. Therefore the limit contact form $\theta_\infty = e^{2\lambda_\infty}\theta_0$ has the vanishing CR Q_∞ -curvature, namely, $Q_\infty = 0$.

Concerning global existence and a priori estimates for the solution of (1.5), we introduced the following notions.

Definition 1.1. ([L1]) *Let (M, J, θ) be a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold.*

We define

$$(P_1\varphi)\theta^1 = (\varphi_{\bar{1}1} + iA_{11}\varphi^1)\theta^1, \varphi \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$$

which is an operator that characterizes CR-pluriharmonic functions. Here $P_1\varphi = \varphi_{\bar{1}1} + iA_{11}\varphi^1$. The CR Paneitz operator P with respect to θ is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad P\varphi = 2(\delta_b((P_1\varphi)\theta^1) + \bar{\delta}_b((\bar{P}_1\varphi)\theta^1)),$$

where δ_b is the divergence operator that takes $(1,0)$ -forms to functions by $\delta_b(\sigma_1\theta^1) = \sigma_1^1$, and similarly, $\bar{\delta}_b(\sigma_{\bar{1}}\theta^{\bar{1}}) = \sigma_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}}$.

The CR Paneitz operator P is self-adjoint, that is, $\langle P\varphi, \psi \rangle_{J,\theta} = \langle \varphi, P\psi \rangle_{J,\theta}$ for all smooth functions φ and ψ . For the details about these operators, the reader can make reference to [GL], [L1], [GG]. On a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold (M, J, θ) , we call the CR Paneitz operator P with respect to (J, θ) "nonnegative" if

$$(1.8) \quad \int_M P\varphi \cdot \varphi \, d\mu \geq 0,$$

for all real C^∞ smooth functions φ , and "essentially positive" if there exists a positive constant $\Upsilon > 0$ such that

$$(1.9) \quad \int_M P\varphi \cdot \varphi \, d\mu \geq \Upsilon \int_M \varphi^2 \, d\mu,$$

for all real C^∞ smooth functions $\varphi \perp \ker P$ (i.e. perpendicular to the kernel of P in the L^2 -norm with respect to the volume form $d\mu$).

Remark 1.1. *Let (M, J, θ) be a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold.*

(1) *The positivity of P is a CR invariant in the sense that it is independent of the choice of the contact form θ in a fixed contact class $[\theta_0]$.*

(2) *If (M, J, θ) is Sasakian (i.e., vanishing pseudohermitian torsion). Then the corresponding CR Paneitz operator is essentially positive ([CCC]). Moreover, we have*

$$(1.10) \quad \ker P_1 = \ker P_0.$$

In general for non-embeddable CR 3-manifolds, we only have

$$\ker P_1 \subsetneq \ker P_0.$$

Now we are ready to state our main results for the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ be a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$. Suppose that the CR Paneitz operator P_0 is essentially positive and its kernel is consisting of the CR pluriharmonic functions. Then the solution of (1.5) exists on $M \times [0, \infty)$ and converges smoothly to $\lambda_\infty \equiv \lambda(\cdot, \infty)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the contact form $\theta_\infty = e^{2\lambda_\infty}\theta_0$ has the vanishing CR Q -curvature with*

$$(1.11) \quad Q_\infty = 0.$$

Remark 1.2. *In the paper of [Br1], S. Brendle considered the Q -curvature flow on a closed Riemannian manifold with weakly positive Paneitz operator and its kernel consisting of constant functions that corresponds to our assumption (1.10). However for the CR Paneitz operator P , the space of $\ker P$ is infinite dimensional ([GL], [CTW]).*

Note that when the pseudohermitian torsion is vanishing, then M is embeddable and the CR Paneitz operator P_0 is nonnegative ([Le], [CCC]). Then we are able to affirm the Conjecture 1.2 in a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$ and vanishing torsion.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ be a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$ and vanishing torsion. Then the solution of (1.5) exists on $M \times [0, \infty)$ and converges smoothly to $\lambda_\infty \equiv \lambda(\cdot, \infty)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the contact form $\theta_\infty = e^{2\lambda_\infty}\theta_0$ has the vanishing CR Q_∞ -curvature.*

For the application, we recall the CR Yamabe constant.

Definition 1.2. *The CR Yamabe constant is defined by*

$$\sigma(M, J) = \inf_{\varphi \neq 0} \frac{E_\theta(\varphi)}{\left(\int_M \varphi^4 d\mu\right)^{1/2}},$$

where

$$E_\theta(\varphi) = \int_M |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{4} \int_M W \varphi^2 d\mu.$$

Note that $E_{\widehat{\theta}}(\varphi) = E_\theta(u\varphi)$ for $\widehat{\theta} = u^2\theta$. This implies that $\sigma(M, J)$ is a CR invariant.

In [CCY], they showed that under the condition of nonnegativity of P_0 , the embeddability of M (or equivalently the closedness of the range of \square_b) follows from

the positivity of the Tanaka-Webster curvature. It is known that if $\sigma(M, J) > 0$ then there always exists a contact form $\hat{\theta}$ in $[\theta]$ with the positive Tanaka-Webster curvature. So we have the following application.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ be a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$ and the positive CR Yamabe constant. Suppose that the CR Paneitz operator P_0 is nonnegative with kernel consisting of the CR pluriharmonic functions. Then the solution of (1.5) exists on $M \times [0, \infty)$ and converges smoothly to $\lambda_\infty \equiv \lambda(\cdot, \infty)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the contact form $\theta_\infty = e^{2\lambda_\infty}\theta_0$ has the vanishing CR Q_∞ -curvature.*

We briefly describe the methods used in our proofs. In section 2, we will briefly describe the basic notions of a pseudohermitian 3-manifold. In section 3, we derive the key Bochner-type formulae in a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of the vanishing first Chern class $c_1(T_{1,0}M)$. In Section 4, we discuss about an analytic property of the CR Paneitz operator P and prove the subelliptic property (4.1) of P_0 on $(\ker P_0)^\perp$. In section 5, we show the CR Q -curvature flow (1.5) is a negative gradient flow of \mathcal{E} . Furthermore, we derive the uniformly $S^{4k,2}$ -norm estimate for the solution of (1.5) under the subelliptic property of P_0 . In section 6, we prove the long time existence and asymptotic convergence of the solution of (1.5) on $M \times [0, \infty)$ and then prove the main results.

2. Preliminary

We introduce some basic materials in a pseudohermitian 3-manifold (see [L1], [L2] for more details). Let M be a closed 3-manifold with an oriented contact structure ξ . There always exists a global contact form θ with $\xi = \ker \theta$, obtained by patching together local ones with a partition of unity. The Reeb vector field of θ is the unique vector field T such that $\theta(T) = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}_T\theta = 0$ or $d\theta(T, \cdot) = 0$. A CR structure compatible with ξ is a smooth endomorphism $J : \xi \rightarrow \xi$ such that $J^2 = -Id$. The CR structure J can extend to $\mathbb{C} \otimes \xi$ and decomposes $\mathbb{C} \otimes \xi$ into the direct sum of $T_{1,0}$ and $T_{0,1}$ which are eigenspaces of J with respect to i and $-i$, respectively. A CR structure is called integrable, if the condition $[T_{1,0}, T_{1,0}] \subset T_{1,0}$ is

satisfied. A pseudohermitian structure compatible with ξ is a integrable CR structure J compatible with ξ together with a global contact form θ .

Let $\{T, Z_1, Z_{\bar{1}}\}$ be a frame of $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where Z_1 is any local frame of $T_{1,0}$, $Z_{\bar{1}} = \overline{Z_1} \in T_{0,1}$. Then $\{\theta, \theta^1, \theta^{\bar{1}}\}$, the coframe dual to $\{T, Z_1, Z_{\bar{1}}\}$, satisfies

$$d\theta = ih_{1\bar{1}}\theta^1 \wedge \theta^{\bar{1}}$$

for some nonzero real function $h_{1\bar{1}}$. If $h_{1\bar{1}}$ is positive, we call (M, J, θ) a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold, and we can choose a Z_1 such that $h_{1\bar{1}} = 1$; hence, throughout this paper, we assume $h_{1\bar{1}} = 1$.

The pseudohermitian connection of (J, θ) is the connection ∇ on $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (and extended to tensors) given in terms of a local frame $Z_1 \in T_{1,0}$ by

$$\nabla Z_1 = \theta_1^1 \otimes Z_1, \quad \nabla Z_{\bar{1}} = \theta_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}} \otimes Z_{\bar{1}}, \quad \nabla T = 0,$$

where θ_1^1 is the 1-form uniquely determined by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^1 &= \theta^1 \wedge \theta_1^1 + \theta \wedge \tau^1 \\ (2.1) \quad \tau^1 &\equiv 0 \pmod{\theta^{\bar{1}}} \\ 0 &= \theta_1^1 + \theta_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where τ^1 is the pseudohermitian torsion. Put $\tau^1 = A_{1\bar{1}}^1 \theta^{\bar{1}}$. The structure equation for the pseudohermitian connection is

$$(2.2) \quad d\theta_1^1 = R\theta^1 \wedge \theta^{\bar{1}} + 2i\text{Im}(A_{1\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}}\theta^1 \wedge \theta),$$

where R is the Tanaka-Webster curvature.

We will denote components of covariant derivatives with indices preceded by comma; thus write $A_{1\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}}\theta^1 \wedge \theta$. The indices $\{0, 1, \bar{1}\}$ indicate derivatives with respect to $\{T, Z_1, Z_{\bar{1}}\}$. For derivatives of a scalar function, we will often omit the comma, for instance, $\varphi_1 = Z_1\varphi$, $\varphi_{1\bar{1}} = Z_{\bar{1}}Z_1\varphi - \theta_{\bar{1}}^1(Z_{\bar{1}})Z_1\varphi$, $\varphi_0 = T\varphi$ for a (smooth) function.

For a real-valued function φ , the subgradient ∇_b is defined by $\nabla_b\varphi \in \xi \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1}$ and $-2d\theta(iZ, \nabla_b\varphi) = d\varphi(Z)$ for all vector fields Z tangent to contact plane. Locally $\nabla_b\varphi = \varphi_{\bar{1}}Z_1 + \varphi_1Z_{\bar{1}}$.

We can use the connection to define the sub-Hessian $\nabla_b^2 = (\nabla^H)^2$ as the complex linear map

$$(\nabla^H)^2\varphi : T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1} \rightarrow T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1}$$

and

$$(\nabla^H)^2\varphi(Z) = \nabla_Z \nabla_b \varphi.$$

The sub-Laplacian Δ_b defined as the trace of the subhessian. That is

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_b \varphi = Tr((\nabla^H)^2\varphi) = (\varphi_{1\bar{1}} + \varphi_{\bar{1}1}).$$

The Levi form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J,\theta}$ is the Hermitian form on $T_{1,0}$ defined by

$$\langle Z, W \rangle_{J,\theta} = -i \langle d\theta, Z \wedge \bar{W} \rangle.$$

We can extend $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J,\theta}$ to $T_{0,1}$ by defining $\langle \bar{Z}, \bar{W} \rangle_{J,\theta} = \overline{\langle Z, W \rangle_{J,\theta}}$ for all $Z, W \in T_{1,0}$. The Levi form induces naturally a Hermitian form on the dual bundle of $T_{1,0}$, and hence on all the induced tensor bundles. Integrating the hermitian form (when acting on sections) over M with respect to the volume form $d\mu = \theta \wedge d\theta$, we get an inner product on the space of sections of each tensor bundle. More precisely, we denote Levi form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J,\theta}$ by

$$\langle V, U \rangle_{J,\theta} = 2d\theta(V, JU) = v_1 u_{\bar{1}} + v_{\bar{1}} u_1,$$

for $V = v_1 Z_{\bar{1}} + v_{\bar{1}} Z_1, U = u_1 Z_{\bar{1}} + u_{\bar{1}} Z_1$ in ξ and

$$(V, U)_{J,\theta} = \int_M \langle V, U \rangle_{J,\theta} \theta \wedge d\theta.$$

For a vector $X \in \xi$, we define $|X|^2 \equiv \langle X, X \rangle_{J,\theta}$. It follows that $|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 = 2\varphi_1 \varphi_{\bar{1}}$ for a real valued smooth function φ . Also the square modulus of the sub-Hessian $\nabla_b^2 \varphi$ of φ reads $|\nabla_b^2 \varphi|^2 = 2(\varphi_{11} \varphi_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} + \varphi_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} \varphi_{11})$.

To consider smoothness for functions on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds, we recall below what the Folland-Stein space $S^{k,p}$ is. Let D denote a differential operator acting on functions. We say D has weight m , denoted $w(D) = m$, if m is the smallest integer such that D can be locally expressed as a polynomial of degree m in vector

fields tangent to the contact bundle ξ . We define the Folland-Stein space $S^{k,p}$ of functions on M by

$$S^{k,p} = \{\varphi \in L^p \mid D\varphi \in L^p \text{ whenever } w(D) \leq k\}.$$

We define the L^p -norm of $\nabla_b\varphi, \nabla_b^2\varphi, \dots$ to be $(\int |\nabla_b\varphi|^p \theta \wedge d\theta)^{1/p}, (\int |\nabla_b^2\varphi|^p \theta \wedge d\theta)^{1/p}, \dots$, respectively, as usual. So it is natural to define the $S^{k,p}$ -norm $\|\varphi\|_{S^{k,p}}$ of $\varphi \in S^{k,p}$ as follows:

$$\|\varphi\|_{S^{k,p}} \equiv \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \|\nabla_b^j \varphi\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The function space $S^{k,p}$ with the above norm is a Banach space for $k \geq 0, 1 < p < \infty$. There are also embedding theorems of Sobolev type. For instance, $S^{2,2} \subset S^{1,4}$ (for $\dim M = 3$). We refer the reader to, for instance, [FS2] and [Fo] for more discussions on these spaces.

3. Bochner-Type Formulae for the CR Q -Curvature

In this section, let (M, J, θ) be a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$. We first recall J. J. Kohn's Hodge theory for the $\bar{\partial}_b$ complex ([K3]). Give $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(M)$, a smooth $(0,1)$ -form on M with

$$\bar{\partial}_b \eta = 0,$$

then there are a smooth complex-valued function $\varphi = u + iv \in C_c^\infty(M)$ and a smooth $(0,1)$ -form $\gamma \in \Omega^{0,1}(M)$ for $\gamma = \gamma_{\bar{1}}\theta^{\bar{1}}$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad (\eta - \bar{\partial}_b \varphi) = \gamma \in \ker(\square_b),$$

where $\square_b = 2(\bar{\partial}_b \bar{\partial}_b^* + \bar{\partial}_b^* \bar{\partial}_b)$ is the Kohn-Rossi Laplacian. If we assume $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$ and then there is a pure imaginary 1-form $\sigma = \sigma_{\bar{1}}\theta^{\bar{1}} - \sigma_1\theta^1 + i\sigma_0\theta$ with

$$(3.2) \quad d\theta_1^1 = d\sigma$$

for the pure imaginary Webster connection form θ_1^1 .

Lemma 3.1. ([L1]) *Let (M, J, θ) be a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$. Then there is a pure imaginary 1-form*

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\bar{1}}\theta^{\bar{1}} - \sigma_1\theta^1 + i\sigma_0\theta$$

with $d\theta_1^1 = d\sigma$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} R = \sigma_{\bar{1},1} + \sigma_{1,\bar{1}} - \sigma_0 \\ A_{11,1} = \sigma_{1,0} + i\sigma_{0,1} - A_{11}\sigma^1 \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *If (M, J, θ) is a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$. Then there are $u \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty(M)$ and $\gamma = \gamma_{\bar{1}}\theta^{\bar{1}} \in \Omega^{0,1}(M)$ such that*

$$(3.4) \quad W_1 = 2P_1u + i(A_{11}\gamma_{\bar{1}} - \gamma_{1,0})$$

and

$$\gamma_{\bar{1},1} = 0.$$

Proof. By choosing

$$\eta = \sigma_{\bar{1}}\theta^{\bar{1}},$$

as in (3.1), where σ is chosen from Lemma 3.1, there exists

$$\varphi = u + iv \in C_{\mathbb{C}}^\infty(M)$$

and

$$\gamma = \gamma_{\bar{1}}\theta^{\bar{1}} \in \Omega^{0,1}(M) \cap \ker(\square_b)$$

such that

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma_{\bar{1}} = \varphi_{\bar{1}} + \gamma_{\bar{1}}.$$

Note that

$$(3.6) \quad \square_b\gamma = 0 \implies \bar{\partial}_b\gamma = 0 = \bar{\partial}_b^*\gamma \implies \gamma_{\bar{1},1} = 0$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_1 = (\bar{\varphi})_1 + \gamma_1.$$

Here $\gamma_1 = \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{1}}$. From the first equality in (3.3),

$$(3.8) \quad R = \sigma_{\bar{1},1} + \sigma_{1,\bar{1}} - \sigma_0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1,\bar{1}\bar{1}} &= (\bar{\varphi})_{,1\bar{1}\bar{1}} + \gamma_{1,\bar{1}\bar{1}} \\
&= (\bar{\varphi})_{,1\bar{1}\bar{1}} \\
&= (\bar{\varphi})_{,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} + i(\bar{\varphi})_{,0\bar{1}} \\
&= (\bar{\varphi})_{,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} + i [(\bar{\varphi})_{,10} + A_{1\bar{1}}(\bar{\varphi})_{,\bar{1}}].
\end{aligned}$$

The first equality due to (3.7) and second equality due to (3.6). But from (3.6) and (3.5)

$$\sigma_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} = \varphi_{,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} + \gamma_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} = \varphi_{,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}}.$$

These and (3.3), (3.8) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1 &= (R_{,1} - iA_{1\bar{1},\bar{1}}) \\
&= \sigma_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} + \sigma_{1,\bar{1}\bar{1}} - i\sigma_{1,0} + iA_{1\bar{1}}\sigma_{\bar{1}} \\
&= \varphi_{,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} + (\bar{\varphi})_{,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} + iA_{1\bar{1}}(\bar{\varphi})_{,\bar{1}} - i\gamma_{1,0} + iA_{1\bar{1}}(\varphi_{\bar{1}} + \gamma_{\bar{1}}) \\
&= 2(u_{,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} + iA_{1\bar{1}}u_{\bar{1}}) + i(A_{1\bar{1}}\gamma_{\bar{1}} - \gamma_{1,0}) \\
&= 2P_1u + i(A_{1\bar{1}}\gamma_{\bar{1}} - \gamma_{1,0}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Next we come out with the following key Bochner-type formula.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M, J, θ) be a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$. Then the following equality holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & \int_M (R - \frac{1}{2}Tor)(\gamma, \gamma) d\mu + \int_M |\gamma_{1,1}|^2 d\mu \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_M Tor'(\gamma, \gamma) d\mu + \frac{1}{4} \int_M (3Q + 2Pu)ud\mu \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $Tor(\gamma, \gamma) := i(A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}\gamma_1\gamma_1 - A_{1\bar{1}}\gamma_{\bar{1}}\gamma_{\bar{1}})$, $Tor'(\gamma, \gamma) := i(A_{\bar{1}\bar{1},1}\gamma_1 - A_{1\bar{1},\bar{1}}\gamma_{\bar{1}})$.

Proof. From the equality (3.4)

$$W_1 = 2P_1u + in(A_{1\bar{1}}\gamma_{\bar{1}} - \gamma_{1,0}),$$

we are able to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(R_{,1} - iA_{1\bar{1},\bar{1}})\gamma_{\bar{1}} &= W_1\gamma_{\bar{1}} \\
&= 2(u_{,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} + iA_{1\bar{1}}u_{\bar{1}})\gamma_{\bar{1}} + i(A_{1\bar{1}}\gamma_{\bar{1}} - \gamma_{1,0})\gamma_{\bar{1}} \\
&= 2(u_{,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} + iA_{1\bar{1}}u_{\bar{1}})\gamma_{\bar{1}} + iA_{1\bar{1}}\gamma_{\bar{1}}\gamma_{\bar{1}} - (\gamma_{1,\bar{1}\bar{1}} - \gamma_{1,\bar{1}\bar{1}} - R\gamma_1)\gamma_{\bar{1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the integration over M of both sides and its conjugation, we have, by the fact that $\gamma_{1,\bar{1}} = 0$,

$$(3.10) \quad \int_M \left(R - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tor} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tor}' \right) (\gamma, \gamma) d\mu + \int_M |\gamma_{1,1}|^2 d\mu - \int_M \text{Tor} (d_b u, \gamma) d\mu = 0.$$

Here $\text{Tor} (d_b u, \gamma) = i(A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}\beta} u_1 \gamma_1 - A_{11} u_{\bar{1}} \gamma_{\bar{1}})$. On the other hand, it follows from the equality (3.4) that

$$(3.11) \quad (R_{,1} - iA_{11,\bar{1}}) u_{\bar{1}} = W_1 u_{\bar{1}} = [2P_1 u + i(A_{11} \gamma_{\bar{1}} - \gamma_{1,0})] u_{\bar{1}}.$$

By the fact that $\gamma_{1,\bar{1}} = 0$ again, we see that

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M \gamma_{1,0} u_{\bar{1}} d\mu &= - \int_M \gamma_1 u_{\bar{1}0} d\mu \\ &= - \int_M \gamma_1 (u_{0\bar{1}} - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} u_1) d\mu \\ &= \int_M A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} u_1 \gamma_1 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{3}{2} \int_M Q u d\mu + \int_M (P u) u d\mu \\ &= i \int_M [(A_{11} u_{\bar{1}} \gamma_{\bar{1}} - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} u_1 \gamma_1) - \text{conj}] d\mu \\ &= -2 \int_M \text{Tor} (d_b u, \gamma) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{3}{4} \int_M Q u d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_M (P u) u d\mu = - \int_M \text{Tor} (d_b u, \gamma) d\mu.$$

Thus by (3.10)

$$(3.14) \quad \int_M \left(R - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tor} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tor}' \right) (\gamma, \gamma) d\mu + \int_M |\gamma_{1,1}|^2 d\mu + \frac{3}{4} \int_M Q u d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_M (P u) u d\mu = 0.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *Let (M, J, θ) be a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold of $c_1(T_{1,0}M) = 0$ and the CR Paneitz operator P with kernel consisting of the CR pluriharmonic functions. Then*

$$(3.15) \quad Q_{\ker} = 0.$$

Here $Q = Q_{\ker} + Q^\perp$. Q^\perp is in $(\ker P)^\perp$ which is perpendicular to the kernel of self-adjoint Paneitz operator P in the L^2 norm with respect to the volume form $d\mu = \theta \wedge d\theta$.

Proof. Note that it follows from ([L1]) that a real-valued smooth function u is said to be CR-pluriharmonic if, for any point $x \in M$, there is a real-valued smooth function v such that

$$(3.16) \quad \bar{\partial}_b(u + iv) = 0.$$

Then the equality (3.4) still holds if we replace u by $(u + CQ_{\ker})$. It follows from the Bochner-type formula (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M (R - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tor}) (\gamma, \gamma) d\mu - \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{Tor}' (\gamma, \gamma) d\mu \\ & + \int_M |\gamma_{1,1}|^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_M (Pu) u d\mu + \frac{3}{4} \int_M Q u d\mu + \frac{3}{4} C \int_M (Q_{\ker})^2 d\mu \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, if $\int_M (Q_{\ker})^2 d\mu$ is not zero, this will lead to a contradiction by choosing the constant $C \ll -1$ or $C \gg 1$. Then we are done. \square

4. Subelliptic Property for the CR Paneitz Operator

Suppose that (M^{2n+1}, θ, J) is a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR $(2n+1)$ -manifold. In [Bm], Boutet de Monvel proved that M can be embedded in \mathbb{C}^N for some N if $n \geq 2$. In the case of $\dim M = 3$, D. Burns ([Bu]) showed that if the range of $\bar{\partial}_b$ is closed in $L^2(M)$, then the Boutet de Monvel's construction works, and M can be embedded.

Conversely, using a microlocalization method, J. J. Kohn proved that if M^{2n+1} is a boundary of a bounded pseudo-convex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ then the range of $\bar{\partial}_b$ is closed in $L^2(M)$ ([K1], [K2]). In particular, any closed pseudohermitian 3-manifold with vanishing torsion has a tangential CR operator $\bar{\partial}_b$ which has a closed range in $L^2(M)$ (Theorem 2.1 of [Le]).

Definition 4.1. We call the **subelliptic** property of CR Paneitz operator P on the orthogonal complement of $\ker P$ in the sense that the following estimate holds

$$(4.1) \quad \|\lambda\|_{S^{k+4,2}}^2 \leq C_k (\|P\lambda\|_{S^{k,2}}^2 + \|\lambda\|_{L^2}^2)$$

for some constant C_k independent of $\lambda \in (\ker P)^\perp$.

Next, we recall that if the range of $\bar{\partial}_b$ is closed in $L^2(M)$, we have a subelliptic estimate for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on the orthogonal complement of $\ker \square_b$ ([K1], [K2]).

Proposition 4.1. *Let (M, J, θ) be a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold. If the range of $\bar{\partial}_b$ is closed in $L^2(M)$, then the subelliptic property of CR Paneitz operator P holds on the orthogonal complement of $\ker P$.*

Proof. Since the Kohn Laplacian (acting on functions) satisfies $\square_b = -\Delta + iT$, we have

$$\Delta_b^2 + T^2 = \bar{\square}_b \square_b - i[\Delta_b, T] = \square_b \bar{\square}_b + i[\Delta_b, T].$$

Moreover, since it holds that $i[\Delta_b, T]\lambda = 2i(A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}\lambda^{\bar{1}})^{\bar{1}} + 2i(A_{11}\lambda^1)^1$, the CR Paneitz operator can be written ([GL]) by

$$P\lambda = \bar{\square}_b \square_b \lambda - 2S\lambda = \square_b \bar{\square}_b \lambda - 2\bar{S}\lambda,$$

where $S\lambda = 2i(A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}\lambda^{\bar{1}})^{\bar{1}}$.

We observe that the kernel of P_1 is just the space of all CR pluriharmonic functions (see definition 1.1). Let $w = u + iv \in \ker \square_b$, $u, v \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 3.1. of [L1],

$$P_1 u = P_1 v = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u, v \in \ker P.$$

Therefore if $\lambda \in (\ker P)^\perp \cap C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$, then it holds that

$$(\lambda, w)_{L^2} = (\lambda, u)_{L^2} + i(\lambda, v)_{L^2} = 0.$$

Since the CR Paneitz operator is a real operator, i.e. $\overline{P\lambda} = P\bar{\lambda}$, we have $\ker P = \{\lambda + i\eta \mid \lambda, \eta \in \ker P \cap C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})\}$. This concludes that

$$(\ker P)^\perp \subset (\ker \square_b)^\perp.$$

Let $\lambda \in (\ker P)^\perp \cap C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\lambda \in (\ker \square_b)^\perp \cap (\ker \bar{\square}_b)^\perp$$

so that by the closedness of the range of \square_b , there exists $\lambda' \in (\ker \bar{\square}_b)^\perp \subset C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C})$ such that $\lambda = \bar{\square}_b \lambda'$. Since $\ker \bar{\square}_b \subset \ker P$, if $w \in \ker \bar{\square}_b$, then we have

$$(\square_b \lambda, w)_{L^2} = (\lambda', \bar{\square}_b \square_b w)_{L^2} = (\lambda', 2S w)_{L^2}.$$

In particular

$$(4.2) \quad \square_b \lambda - 2S\lambda' \in (\ker \bar{\square}_b)^\perp.$$

Moreover, since $\lambda' \in (\ker \bar{\square}_b)^\perp$,

$$\|S\lambda'\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\lambda'\|_{S^{2,2}} \leq C'\|\lambda\|_{L^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\square_b\lambda - 2S\lambda'\|_{S^{2,2}}^2 &\leq 2(\|\square_b\lambda\|_{S^{2,2}}^2 + 4\|S\lambda'\|_{S^{2,2}}^2) \\ &\leq C'(\|\square_b\lambda\|_{S^{2,2}}^2 + \|\lambda\|_{S^{2,2}}^2) \\ (4.3) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq C''\|\square_b\lambda\|_{S^{2,2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\|\square_b\lambda\|_{S^{2,2}}^2 \leq C\|\square_b\lambda - 2S\lambda'\|_{S^{2,2}}^2.$$

It follow from (4.2) and the subelliptic estimates for $\square_b, \bar{\square}_b$ on their orthogonal complement of the kernel, for $\lambda \in (\ker P)^\perp$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda\|_{S^{4,2}} &\leq C_1\|\square_b\lambda\|_{S^{2,2}} \leq C_2\|\square_b\lambda - 2S\lambda'\|_{S^{2,2}} \\ &\leq C_3\|\bar{\square}_b(\square_b\lambda - 2S\lambda')\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C_4(\|\bar{\square}_b\square_b\lambda\|_{L^2} + \|\bar{\square}_bS\lambda'\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C_5(\|\bar{\square}_b\square_b\lambda\|_{L^2} + \|\lambda\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bar{\square}_b\square_b$ has the subellipticity on $(\ker P)^\perp$. Since $P = \bar{\square}_b\square_b - 2S$ and S is a lower order operator, we obtain the subelliptic property (4.1) for P on $(\ker P)^\perp$. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 4.1 and results of J. J. Kohn ([K1], [K2]), one obtains

Corollary 4.1. *Let (M, θ, J) be a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold. Then the subelliptic property (4.1) of CR Paneitz operator P holds on the orthogonal complement of $\ker P$.*

5. A Priori Uniformly Estimates

Let T be the maximal time for a solution of the flow (1.5) on $M \times [0, T)$. We will derive the uniformly $S^{4k,2}$ -norm estimate for λ under the flow (1.5) for all $t \geq 0$. It then follows that we have the long-time existence and asymptotic convergence for solutions of (1.5) on $M \times [0, \infty)$ as in section 5.

First we recall a pseudohermitian Moser inequality. Let $\|\cdot\|_p$ denote the L^p -norm with respect to the volume form $d\mu_0$.

Lemma 5.1. ([CCC]) *Let (M, J, θ_0) be a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold. Then there exist constants C , \varkappa , and ν such that for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, there holds*

$$(5.1) \quad \int_M e^\varphi d\mu_0 \leq C \exp \left(\varkappa \left\| \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_b \varphi \right\|_4^4 + \nu \|\varphi\|_4^4 \right).$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ be a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold. Let λ be a solution of the flow (1.5) on $M \times [0, T)$. Then there exists a positive constant $\beta = \beta(Q_0, \theta_0)$ such that*

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{E}(\theta) = \int_M P_0 \lambda \cdot \lambda d\mu_0 + \int_M Q_0 \lambda d\mu_0 \leq \beta^2,$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. It follows from (??) and (1.3) that

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\theta) \\ &= 2 \int_M P_0 \lambda \cdot \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} d\mu_0 + \int_M Q_0 \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} d\mu_0 \\ &= -2 \int_M (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0 \lambda - r(t)) P_0 \lambda d\mu_0 - \int_M Q_0 (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0 \lambda - r(t)) d\mu_0 \\ &= -2 \int_M (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0 \lambda) P_0 \lambda d\mu_0 - \int_M Q_0 (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0 \lambda) d\mu_0 \\ &= -2 \int_M (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0 \lambda) P_0 \lambda d\mu_0 - \int_M Q_0^\perp (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0 \lambda) d\mu_0 \\ &= - \int_M (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0 \lambda)^2 d\mu_0. \end{aligned}$$

□

We also observe that

Lemma 5.3. *Let $f : [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 smooth function satisfying*

$$f' \leq -L_1 f + L_2$$

for some positive constants $L_1, L_2 > 0$. Then

$$f(t) \leq f(0)e^{-L_1 t} + \frac{L_2}{L_1}$$

for $t \in [0, T)$.

We will often use the above lemma (whose proof is left to the reader) to obtain the higher order estimates. Now we write $\lambda = \lambda_{\ker} + \lambda^\perp$. $Q_0 = (Q_0)_{\ker} + Q_0^\perp$ with respect to P_0 . Comparing both sides of the following formula:

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_{\ker}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \lambda^\perp}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = -(Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda) + r(t).$$

we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{\partial \lambda^\perp}{\partial t} = -(Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda^\perp)$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{\partial \lambda_{\ker}}{\partial t} = r(t).$$

From now on, C or C_j denotes a generic constant which may vary from line to line.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ be a closed strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold. Suppose that the CR Paneitz operator P_0 has the subelliptic property (4.1) on the orthogonal complement of $\ker P_0$ and essentially positive. Then under the flow (1.5), there exists a positive constant $C(k, \Upsilon, \theta_0, \beta) > 0$ such that*

$$\|\lambda\|_{S^{4k,2}} \leq C(k, \Upsilon, \theta_0, \beta)$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. From (5.5), we have

$$(5.6) \quad \lambda_{\ker}(t, p) - \lambda_{\ker}(0, p) = \int_0^t r dt.$$

Since $(P_0$ being self-adjoint)

$$\int_M P_0 \lambda^\perp \cdot \lambda_{\ker} d\mu_0 = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M Q_0 \lambda_{\ker} d\mu_0 \\ &= \int_M Q_0 [\lambda_{\ker}(0, p) + \int_0^t r dt] d\mu_0 \\ &= \int_M Q_0 \lambda_{\ker}(0, p) d\mu_0 \\ &\geq -C. \end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M P_0 \lambda \cdot \lambda d\mu_0 + \int_M Q_0 \lambda d\mu_0 \\
&= \int_M P_0 \lambda^\perp \cdot (\lambda_{\ker} + \lambda^\perp) d\mu_0 + \int_M Q_0 (\lambda_{\ker} + \lambda^\perp) d\mu_0 \\
&\geq \int_M P_0 \lambda^\perp \cdot \lambda^\perp d\mu_0 + \int_M Q_0 \lambda^\perp d\mu_0 - C.
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Lemma 5.2 that

$$\int_M P_0 \lambda^\perp \cdot \lambda^\perp d\mu_0 + \int_M Q_0 \lambda^\perp d\mu_0 \leq (\beta^2 + C)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Since the CR Paneitz operator P_0 is essentially positive in the sense that

$$\int_M P_0 \lambda^\perp \cdot \lambda^\perp d\mu_0 \geq \Upsilon \int_M (\lambda^\perp)^2 d\mu_0.$$

All these imply that there exists a positive constant $C(\Upsilon, Q_0, \theta_0) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta^2 + C) &\geq \int_M P_0 \lambda^\perp \cdot \lambda^\perp d\mu_0 + \int_M Q_0 \lambda^\perp d\mu_0 \\
&\geq \frac{\Upsilon}{2} \int_M (\lambda^\perp)^2 d\mu_0 - C(\Upsilon, Q_0^\perp, \theta_0)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. So there exists a positive constant $C(\Upsilon, Q_0^\perp, \theta_0, \beta)$ such that

$$(5.7) \quad \int_M (\lambda^\perp)^2 d\mu_0 \leq C(\Upsilon, Q_0^\perp, \theta_0, \beta)$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Next we compute, for all positive integers k ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |P_0^k \lambda^\perp|^2 d\mu_0 \\
(5.8) \quad &= 2 \int_M (P_0^k \lambda^\perp) \left(P_0^k \frac{\partial \lambda^\perp}{\partial t} \right) d\mu_0 \\
&= -2 \int_M (P_0^k \lambda^\perp) P_0^k (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0 \lambda^\perp) d\mu_0 \\
&= -2 \int_M (P_0^k \lambda^\perp) (P_0^k Q_0^\perp) d\mu - 4 \int_M (P_0^k \lambda^\perp) (P_0^{k+1} \lambda^\perp) d\mu_0.
\end{aligned}$$

By essential positivity of P_0 , we obtain

$$(5.9) \quad \int_M (P_0^k \lambda^\perp) (P_0^{k+1} \lambda^\perp) d\mu_0 = \int_M (P_0^k \lambda^\perp) (P_0 P_0^k \lambda^\perp) d\mu_0 \geq \Upsilon \int_M (P_0^k \lambda^\perp)^2 d\mu_0.$$

Therefore there exists a constant $C = C(k, Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon)$ such that

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |P_0^k \lambda^\perp|^2 d\mu_0 \leq -3\Upsilon \int_M |P_0^k \lambda^\perp|^2 d\mu_0 + C(k, Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon).$$

By applying Lemma 5.3 to the O.D.E. $f'(t) \leq -3\Upsilon f(t) + C(k)$ from (5.10), we obtain

$$\int_M |P_0^k \lambda^\perp|^2 d\mu_0 \leq C(k, Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon).$$

By the subelliptic property of P_0 and (5.7), we obtain

$$\|\lambda^\perp - \overline{\lambda^\perp}\|_{S^{4k,2}} \leq C(k, Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon)$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

We note that

$$\lambda - \overline{\lambda} = (\lambda^\perp - \overline{\lambda^\perp}) + [\lambda_{\ker}(0, p) - \overline{\lambda_{\ker}(0, p)}],$$

and hence

$$(5.11) \quad \|\lambda - \overline{\lambda}\|_{S^{4k,2}} \leq C(\lambda_{\ker}(0, p), k) + \|\lambda^\perp - \overline{\lambda^\perp}\|_{S^{4k,2}} \leq C(k, Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Recall that the average \bar{f} of a function f is defined by $\bar{f} = \frac{\int_M f d\mu_0}{\int_M d\mu_0}$. In particular, there holds

$$\|\lambda - \overline{\lambda}\|_{S^{4,2}} \leq C(Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon).$$

Therefore by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have $S^{4,2} \subset S^{1,8}$ and

$$(5.12) \quad \|\lambda - \overline{\lambda}\|_{S^{1,8}} \leq C(Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon).$$

Now using Lemma 5.1 (pseudohermitian Moser inequality), we get

$$\int_M e^{4(\lambda - \overline{\lambda})} d\mu_0 \leq C \exp(C\|\lambda - \overline{\lambda}\|_{S^{1,4}}) \leq C(Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon).$$

Together with $\int_M e^{4\lambda} d\mu_0$ being invariant under the flow, we conclude that

$$(5.13) \quad C \geq \overline{\lambda} \geq -C(Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon).$$

Here the upper bound is obtained by observing that $\int \lambda d\mu_0 \leq \int e^{4\lambda} d\mu_0$.

We finally obtain

$$(5.14) \quad \|\lambda\|_{S^{4k,2}} \leq C(Q_0^\perp, \Upsilon)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. □

6. Long-Time Existence and Asymptotic Convergence

In the previous section, we obtain an a priori $S^{4k,2}$ uniformly estimate (i.e., independent of the time) of the solution of (1.5) on $M \times [0, \infty)$ if the CR Paneitz operator P_0 has the subelliptic property on the orthogonal complement of $\ker P_0$ and essentially positive. Therefore we are able to prove the long time existence and asymptotic convergence of solutions of (1.5).

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ be a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold. Suppose that the CR Paneitz operator P_0 is essentially positive and has the subelliptic property on the orthogonal complement of $\ker P_0$. Then the solution of (1.5) exists on $M \times [0, \infty)$ and converges smoothly to $\lambda_\infty \equiv \lambda(\cdot, \infty)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the contact form $\theta_\infty = e^{2\lambda_\infty} \theta_0$ has the CR Q -curvature with*

$$(6.1) \quad Q_\infty = e^{-4\lambda_\infty} (Q_0)_{\ker}.$$

In additional if $(Q_0)_{\ker} = 0$, then

$$Q_\infty = 0.$$

Proof. Let $(M, J, [\theta_0])$ be a closed embeddable strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold. Since P_0 is nonnegative and has the subelliptic property on $(\ker P_0)^\perp$. It follows that there exists a unique C^∞ smooth solution λ^\perp of (5.4) for a short time. On the other hand, we can apply the contraction mapping principle to show the short time existence of a unique C^∞ smooth solution λ_{\ker} to (5.5). We refer to the first named author's previous paper ([CCC]) for some details. The long time solution then follows from Corollary 4.1, the Sobolev embedding theorem for $S^{k,2}$, and the standard argument for extending the solution at the maximal time T .

Starting from (5.3), we compute

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{E}(\theta) &= -4 \int_M (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda) P_0 \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} d\mu_0 \\ &= 4 \int_M (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda) P_0 (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda) d\mu_0 \\ &\geq 4\Upsilon \int_M (Q_0^\perp + 2P_0\lambda)^2 d\mu_0 \end{aligned}$$

by essential positivity of P_0 . Therefore $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(\theta)$ is nondecreasing, and hence

$$(6.3) \quad \int_M e^{4\lambda}[Q - e^{-4\lambda}(Q_0)_{\ker}]^2 d\mu \ (\geq 0) \text{ is nonincreasing.}$$

By (5.14), we can find a sequence of times t_j such that $\lambda_j \equiv \lambda(\cdot, t_j)$ converges to λ_∞ in C^∞ topology as $t_j \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, integrating (5.3) gives

$$(6.4) \quad \mathcal{E}(\lambda_\infty) - \mathcal{E}(\lambda_0) = - \int_0^\infty \int_M e^{4\lambda}[Q - e^{-4\lambda}(Q_0)_{\ker}]^2 d\mu dt.$$

In view of (6.3), (6.4), we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad 0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M e^{4\lambda}[Q - e^{-4\lambda}(Q_0)_{\ker}]^2 d\mu = \int_M e^{4\lambda_\infty}[Q_\infty - e^{-4\lambda_\infty}(Q_0)_{\ker}]^2 d\mu_\infty$$

where Q_∞ denotes the Q -curvature with respect to (J, θ_∞) , $\theta_\infty = e^{2\lambda_\infty}\theta_0$, and $d\mu_\infty = e^{4\lambda_\infty}d\mu_0$. It follows that

$$Q_\infty = e^{-4\lambda_\infty}(Q_0)_{\ker}.$$

That is

$$2P_0\lambda_\infty^\perp + Q_0^\perp = 0.$$

In the following, we are going to prove the smooth convergence for all time. First we want to prove that λ converges to λ_∞ in L^2 . Write $\lambda_\infty = \lambda_\infty^\perp + (\lambda_\infty)_{\ker}$. Observe that ($\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the L^2 norm with respect to the volume form $d\mu_0$)

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\lambda_\infty^\perp - \lambda^\perp\|_2 &\leq \|\lambda_\infty^\perp - \lambda^\perp\|_{S^{4,2}} \\ &\leq C\|2P_0(\lambda_\infty^\perp - \lambda^\perp)\|_2 \\ &= C\|2P_0\lambda^\perp + Q_0^\perp\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

by the subelliptic property of P_0 on $(\ker P_0)^\perp$ and $0 = 2P_0\lambda_\infty^\perp + Q_0^\perp$. We compute

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{E}(\lambda_\infty^\perp) - \mathcal{E}(\lambda^\perp)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{E}(\lambda^\perp + s(\lambda_\infty^\perp - \lambda^\perp)) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \int_M [2P_0(\lambda^\perp + s(\lambda_\infty^\perp - \lambda^\perp)) + Q_0^\perp] \cdot (\lambda_\infty^\perp - \lambda^\perp) d\mu_0 ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|2P_0(\lambda^\perp + s(\lambda_\infty^\perp - \lambda^\perp)) + Q_0^\perp\|_2 \|\lambda_\infty^\perp - \lambda^\perp\|_2 ds \\ &\leq C_1 \|2P_0\lambda^\perp + Q_0^\perp\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

by the Cauchy inequality and (6.6). Let ϑ be a number between 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$. It follows from (6.7) that

$$(6.8) \quad |\mathcal{E}(\lambda_\infty^\perp) - \mathcal{E}(\lambda^\perp)|^{1-\vartheta} \leq C_2 \|2P_0\lambda^\perp + Q_0^\perp\|_2^{2(1-\vartheta)} \leq C_2 \|2P_0\lambda^\perp + Q_0^\perp\|_2$$

for t large by noting that $2(1-\vartheta) > 1$ and $\|2P_0\lambda^\perp + Q_0^\perp\|_2$ tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by (6.5). Next we compute

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{E}(\lambda^\perp) - \mathcal{E}(\lambda_\infty^\perp))^\vartheta \\ &= -\vartheta(\mathcal{E}(\lambda^\perp) - \mathcal{E}(\lambda_\infty^\perp))^{\vartheta-1} \frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{E}(\lambda^\perp) - \mathcal{E}(\lambda_\infty^\perp)) \\ &= +\vartheta(\mathcal{E}(\lambda^\perp) - \mathcal{E}(\lambda_\infty^\perp))^{\vartheta-1} \|2P_0\lambda^\perp + Q_0^\perp\|_2 \|\dot{\lambda}^\perp\|_2 \\ &\geq \vartheta C_2^{-1} \|\dot{\lambda}^\perp\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

by (5.3), (6.8), and noting that $\dot{\lambda}^\perp = -(2P_0\lambda^\perp + Q_0^\perp)$ (see (5.4)) and hence the left side is nonnegative. We learned the above trick of raising the power to ϑ from [S]. Integrating (6.9) with respect to t gives

$$(6.10) \quad \int_0^\infty \|\dot{\lambda}^\perp\|_2 dt < +\infty.$$

Observing that $\frac{d}{dt}(\lambda^\perp - \lambda_\infty^\perp) = \dot{\lambda}^\perp$ and $-\frac{d}{dt}\|\lambda^\perp - \lambda_\infty^\perp\|_2^2 \leq C\|\dot{\lambda}^\perp\|_2$, we can then deduce

$$(6.11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\lambda^\perp - \lambda_\infty^\perp\|_2^2 = 0$$

by (6.10). On the other hand, we have estimate $|r(t)| \leq C\|2P_0\lambda^\perp + Q_0^\perp\|_2 = C\|\dot{\lambda}^\perp\|_2$. It follows from (6.10) that

$$(6.12) \quad \int_0^\infty |r(t)| dt < +\infty.$$

So in view of (5.6) and (6.12), λ_{\ker} converges to $(\lambda_\infty)_{\ker} = (\lambda_{\ker})_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (not just a sequence of times). Since $\lambda_{\ker} - (\lambda_\infty)_{\ker} = -\int_t^\infty r(t) dt$ is a function of time only, we also have

$$(6.13) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\lambda_{\ker} - (\lambda_\infty)_{\ker}\|_{S^{k,2}} = 0$$

for any nonnegative integer k . With λ^\perp replaced by $\lambda^\perp - \lambda_\infty^\perp$ in the argument to deduce (5.10), we obtain

$$(6.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_M (P_0^k(\lambda^\perp - \lambda_\infty^\perp))^2 d\mu_0 \leq -4\Upsilon \int_M (P_0^k(\lambda^\perp - \lambda_\infty^\perp))^2 d\mu_0 + C(k) \|\lambda^\perp - \lambda_\infty^\perp\|_2.$$

By Lemma 5.3 and (6.11), we get

$$(6.15) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M (P_0^k(\lambda^\perp - \lambda_\infty^\perp))^2 d\mu_0 = 0.$$

Hence by the subelliptic property of P_0^k , we conclude from (6.15) and (6.11) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\lambda^\perp - \lambda_\infty^\perp\|_{S^{4k,2}} = 0.$$

Together with (6.13), we have proved that λ converges to λ_∞ smoothly as $t \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof of Main Theorem 1.1 : It follows easily from Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 3.2.

REFERENCES

- [A] T. Aubin, *Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [B] T. Branson, Sharp Inequalities, the Functional Determinant, and the Complementary Series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347 (1995), 3671-3742.
- [Bm] L. Boutet and De Monvel, Integration des equations de Cauchy-Riemann induites formelles, *Seminaire Goulaouic-Lions-Schwartz*, Exposé IX, 1974-1975.
- [Br1] S. Brendle, Global Existence and Convergence for a Higher-Order Flow in Conformal Geometry, *Ann. of Math.*, Vol. 158 (2003), 323-343.
- [Br2] S. Brendle, Convergence of the Q -curvature Flow on S^4 , *Advances in Mathematics*, 205 (2006), 1-32.
- [Bu] D. Burns, Global Behavior of Some Tangential Cauchy-Riemann Equations, *Partial Differential Equations and Geometry (Proc. Conf., Park City, Utah, 1977)*, Dekker, New York, 1979, 51-56.
- [CC] J. Cao and S.- C. Chang, Pseudo-Einstein and Q -flat Metrics with Eigenvalue Estimates on CR-hypersurfaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. Journal*, 56, No.6, (2007), 2839-2857..
- [CCh] S.- C. Chang and J.- H. Cheng, The Harnack Estimate for the Yamabe Flow on CR Manifolds of Dimension 3, *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*, 21 (2002), 111-121.
- [CCC] S.- C. Chang and J.- H. Cheng and H.-L. Chiu, A Fourth Order Curvature Flow on a CR 3-Manifold, *Indiana Univ. Math. Journal*, 56, No.4, (2007), 1793-1825.

- [CCY] S. Chanillo and H.-L. Chiu, and P. Yang, Embeddability for Three-dimensional Cauchy-Riemann Manifolds and CR Yamabe Invariants, arxiv1007.5020v3, Duke Math. Journal.
- [CH] S.- S. Chern and R. Hamilton, On Riemannian Metrics Adapted to Three-dimensional Contact Manifolds, Lecture Notes in Math., 1111, 279-305, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [Chi] H.-L. Chiu, The Sharp Lower Bound for the First Positive Eigenvalue of a Sub-Laplacian on a Three-Dimensional Pseudo-hermitian Manifold, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry **30** (2006), 81-96.
- [CL] W. S. Cohn and G. Lu, Best Constants for Moser-Trudinger Inequalities on the Heisenberg Group, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 50 (2001), 1567-1591.
- [CLe] J.- H. Cheng and J. M. Lee, The Burns-Epstein Invariant and Deformation of CR Structures, Duke Math. J., 60 (1990), 221-254.
- [CS] S.- C. Chen and M.- C. Shaw, *Partial Differential Equations in Several Complex Variables*, Studies in Advan. Math., 19, AMS/IP, 2001.
- [CSa] S.-C. Chang and T. Saotome, The Q -curvature flow in a closed CR 3-manifold, Proceedings of the 15th International Workshop on Differential Geometry and the 4th KNUGRG-OCAMI Differential Geometry Workshop [Volume 15], 57–69, Natl. Inst. Math. Sci. (NIMS), Taejŏn, 2011.
- [CTW] S.- C. Chang, Jingzhu Tie and C.-T. Wu, Subgradient Estimate and Liouville-type Theorems for the CR Heat Equation on Heisenberg groups \mathbf{H}^n , Asian J. Math., Vol. 14, No. 1 (2010), 041–072.
- [CW] S.- C. Chang and C.- T. Wu, The Fourth-Order Q -Curvature Flow on Closed 3-Manifolds, Nagoya Math. J., Vol. 185 (2007), 1-15.
- [DT] S.Dragomir, G.Tomassini, *Differential Geometry and Analysis on CR Manifolds*. Progress in mathematics 246, Birkhäuser, 2006.
- [FH] C. Fefferman and K. Hirachi, Ambient Metric Construction of Q -Curvature in Conformal and CR Geometries, Math. Res. Lett., 10, No. 5-6 (2003), 819-831.
- [Fo] G. B. Folland, Subelliptic Estimates and Function Spaces on Nilpotent Lie Groups, Arkiv for Mat. 13 (1975), 161-207.
- [FK] G.B.Folland, J.J.Kohn, *The Neumann Problem for the Cauchy-Riemann Complex*. Ann. of Math. Studies, No 75, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1972.
- [FS1] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Princeton U. Press, 1980.
- [FS2] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}_b$ Complex and Analysis on the Heisenberg Group, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 27 (1974), 429-522.
- [GG] A. R. Gover and C. R. Graham, CR Invariant Powers of the Sub-Laplacian, preprint.

- [GL] C. R. Graham and J. M. Lee, Smooth Solutions of Degenerate Laplacians on Strictly Pseudoconvex Domains, *Duke Math. J.*, 57 (1988), 697-720.
- [H] K. Hirachi, Scalar Pseudo-hermitian Invariants and the Szegő Kernel on 3-dimensional CR Manifolds, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.* 143, pp. 67-76, Dekker, 1992.
- [JL] D. Jerison and J. M. Lee, The Yamabe Problem on CR Manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* 25 (1987), 167-197.
- [K1] J. J. Kohn, Estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on Compact Pseudoconvex CR Manifolds, *Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math.*, 43 (1985), 207-217.
- [K2] J. J. Kohn, The Range of the Tangential Cauchy-Riemann Operator, *Duke. Math. J.*, 53 (1986) 525-545.
- [K3] J.J. Kohn, Boundaries of Complex Manifolds, *Proc. Conf. on Complex Analysis*, Minneapolis, 1964, Springer-Verlag, 81-94 (1965).
- [L1] J. M. Lee, Pseudo-Einstein Structure on CR Manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* 110 (1988), 157-178.
- [L2] J. M. Lee, The Fefferman Metric and Pseudohermitian Invariants, *Trans. A.M.S.* 296 (1986), 411-429.
- [Le] L. Lempert, On Three-Dimensional Cauchy-Riemann Manifolds. *J. of Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5 (1992), 923-969.
- [MS] A. Malchiodi and M. Struwe, Q -curvature flow on S^4 , *J. Differential Geom.* 73 (2006), no. 1, 1-44.
- [P] S. Paneitz, A Quartic Conformally Covariant Differential Operator for Arbitrary Pseudo-Riemannian Manifolds, preprint, 1983.
- [S] L. Simon, Asymptotics for a Class of Nonlinear Evolution Equations, with Applications to Geometric Problems, *Ann. of Math.*, 118 (1983), 525-571.
- [SC] Sánchez-Calle A., Fundamental Solutions and Geometry of the Sum of Squares of Vector Fields, *Invent. Math.*, 78 (1984), 143-160.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN
E-mail address: scchang@math.ntu.edu.tw

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 11677, TAIWAN
E-mail address: tjkuo1215@ntnu.edu.tw

³NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN, R.O.C.
E-mail address: tksaotome@gmail.com