

WEINSTEIN HOMOTOPIES

SAUVIK MUKHERJEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we discuss a problem mentioned by Eliashberg in his paper [3]. He has asked if two completed Weinstein structures $(\hat{X}, \lambda_0, \phi_0)$ and $(\hat{X}, \lambda_1, \phi_1)$ on the same symplectic manifold (\hat{X}, ω) can be homotoped through Weinstein structures. We discuss this problem and prove a weak partial result by assuming some additional conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we discuss a problem mentioned by Eliashberg in his paper [3]. He has asked if two completed Weinstein structures $(\hat{X}, \lambda_0, \phi_0)$ and $(\hat{X}, \lambda_1, \phi_1)$ on the same symplectic manifold (\hat{X}, ω) can be homotoped through Weinstein structures. We discuss this problem and prove a weak partial result by assuming some additional conditions.

We begin with the basic definitions. Let (X, ω) be a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic domain with boundary with an exact symplectic form ω and primitive form λ i.e, $d\lambda = \omega$.

A Liouville form is a choice of a primitive form λ such that $\lambda|_{\partial X}$ is a contact form on ∂X and the orientation on ∂X by the form $\lambda \wedge d\lambda|_{\partial X}^{n-1}$ coincides with its orientation as the boundary of (X, ω) . The ω -dual vector field Z of λ is called the Liouville vector field. Z satisfies $L_Z\omega = \omega$ and hence its flow is conformally symplectically expanding.

Every Liouville domain X can be completed in the following way. Set

$$\hat{X} = X \cup (\partial X \times [0, \infty))$$

and extend λ on \hat{X} as $e^s(\lambda|_{\partial X})$ on the attached end. Given a Liouville domain $\mathcal{L} = (X, \omega, \lambda)$ consider the compact set

$$\text{Core}(\mathcal{L}) = \cap_{t>0} Z^{-t}(X)$$

It is called Core or the Skeleton of the Liouville domain.

Key words and phrases. Weinstein structures, Liouville homotopies.

Let λ_0 and λ_1 be two Liouville forms on a fixed symplectic manifold (X, ω) , moreover let Z_0 and Z_1 be the respective Liouville vector fields. Then obviously $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + dh$ for some $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $Z_1 = Z_0 + Z_h$ where Z_h is the hamiltonian vector field for h .

A Liouville cobordism (W, ω, Z) is a cobordism W with an exact symplectic form ω such that the Liouville vector field Z points inward along $\partial_- W$ and outward along $\partial_+ W$.

Remark 1.1. *On the infinite end of \hat{X} , the Liouville vector field is given by ∂_s irrespective of the choice of the Liouville form λ on X .*

Now we shall define the Weinstein structures. For this we need to recall few notions. A complete vector field is a vector field whose flow exists for all forward and backward time.

Let ϕ be a Morse function. A vector field X is called gradient-like for ϕ if it satisfies

$$X.\phi \geq \delta(|X|^2 + |d\phi|^2)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and $|X|$ is with respect to some Riemannian metric and $|d\phi|$ is with respect to its dual metric.

Definition 1.2. ([7]) *A Weinstein manifold (X, ω, Z, ϕ) is a symplectic manifold (X, ω) with a complete Liouville vector field Z which is gradient like with respect to the exhausting Morse function ϕ . A Weinstein cobordism (W, ω, Z, ϕ) is a Liouville cobordism (W, ω, Z) whose Liouville vector field Z is gradient-like with respect to a Morse function ϕ which is constant on the boundary. A Weinstein cobordism with $\partial_- W = \Phi$ (empty) is called a Weinstein domain.*

In [3] Eliashberg has asked the following question.

Problem: Let $(\hat{X}, \lambda_0, \phi_0)$ and $(\hat{X}, \lambda_1, \phi_1)$ be two completed Weinstein structures on the same symplectic manifold (\hat{X}, ω) . Are they homotopic as Weinstein structures ?

Obviously if Z_0 and Z_1 are the respective Liouville vector fields then $Z_1 = Z_0 + Z_h$ for h satisfying $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + dh$ and hence

$$Z_t = Z_0 + Z_{th} = Z_0 + tZ_h, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

gives a homotopy of Liouville vector fields. However (X, ω, Z_t) may not be a Liouville homotopy. We refer the reader [7] for a precise definition of Liouville homotopy.

On Weinstein cobordisms a similar result has been proved in [7] although the Weinstein structures need to be flexible. We refer the reader to [7] for a precise definition of flexible Weinstein structures.

Theorem 1.3. ([7]) *Let $(W, \omega_0, \lambda_0, \phi_0)$ and $(W, \omega_1, \lambda_1, \phi_1)$ be two flexible Weinstein structures on the same cobordism W with dimension $2n > 4$ which coincide on $Op(\partial_- W)$. Let η_t be a homotopy rel $Op(\partial_- W)$ of non-degenerate two forms on W connecting ω_0 and ω_1 . Then there exists a homotopy of flexible Weinstein structures connecting the given ones.*

Let us now return to the question asked by Eliashberg. We assume that all the zeros of Z_t are non-degenerate for all $t \in [0, 1]$. So the zeros of Z_t executes curves $\gamma_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ (say). We consider $\tilde{X} = \hat{X} \times [0, 1]$ and define vector field $Z(x, t) = Z_t(x)$ on \tilde{X} . The curves γ_i 's define curves Γ_i 's on \tilde{X} as follows

$$\Gamma_i(t) = (\gamma_i(t), t)$$

Consider two tubular neighborhoods of Γ_i as $\Gamma_i \subset N'_i \subset N''_i$. Let $\Psi_i : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be cutoff functions such that $\Psi_i = 1$ on N'_i and $\Psi_i = 0$ outside N''_i . Define \tilde{Z} on \tilde{X} by canonically removing the zeros of Z as follows. Define \tilde{Z} close to Γ_i as

$$\tilde{Z}(x, t) = \Psi_i(x, t)\partial_t + (1 - \Psi_i(x, t))Z(x, t)$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the foliation defined by \tilde{Z} . Then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a regular foliation.

Definition 1.4. *We call the homotopy of the Liouville vector field Z_t uniformly open if it satisfies*

- (1) *All the zeros of Z_t are non-degenerate for all $t \in [0, 1]$*
- (2) *The foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ is uniformly open*

Please see 3.1 below for the definition of Uniformly open foliation. Now we state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(\hat{X}, \lambda_0, \phi_0)$ and $(\hat{X}, \lambda_1, \phi_1)$ be two completed Weinstein structures on the same symplectic manifold (\hat{X}, ω) and let the homotopy of the Liouville vector field Z_t is*

uniformly open (1.4), moreover Z_0 and Z_1 do not have a common zero. Then $(\hat{X}, \lambda_0, \phi_0)$ and $(\hat{X}, \lambda_1, \phi_1)$ can be joined by a homotopy of Weinstein structures for which the underlying symplectic structure ω remains fixed.

Remark 1.6. In 1.3 the underlying symplectic structure is not fixed.

2. h -PRINCIPLE

This section does not have any new result, we just recall some facts from the theory of h -principle which we shall need in our proof.

Let $X \rightarrow M$ be any fiber bundle and let $X^{(r)}$ be the space of r -jets of jermes of sections of $X \rightarrow M$ and $j^r f : M \rightarrow X^{(r)}$ be the r -jet extension map of the section $f : M \rightarrow X$. If $X = M \times N$ then $X^{(r)}$ is denoted as $J^r(M, N)$. A section $F : M \rightarrow X^{(r)}$ is called holonomic if there exists a section $f : M \rightarrow X$ such that $F = j^r f$. In the following we use the notation $Op(A)$ to denote a small open neighborhood of $A \subset M$ which is unspecified.

Let \mathcal{R} be a subset of $X^{(r)}$. Then \mathcal{R} is called a differential relation of order r . \mathcal{R} is said to satisfy h -principle if any section $F : M \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \subset X^{(r)}$ can be homotoped to a holonomic section $\tilde{F} : M \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \subset X^{(r)}$ through sections whose images are contained in \mathcal{R} . Put differently, if the space of sections of $X^{(r)}$ landing into \mathcal{R} is denoted by $Sec\mathcal{R}$ and the space of holonomic sections of $X^{(r)}$ landing into \mathcal{R} is denoted by $Hol\mathcal{R}$ then \mathcal{R} satisfies h -principle if the inclusion map $Hol\mathcal{R} \hookrightarrow Sec\mathcal{R}$ induces an epimorphism at 0-th homotopy group π_0 . \mathcal{R} satisfies parametric h -principle if $\pi_k(Sec\mathcal{R}, Hol\mathcal{R}) = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Let $p : X \rightarrow M$ be a fiber bundle and by $Diff_M X$ we denote the fiber preserving diffeomorphisms $h_X : X \rightarrow X$, i.e., $h_X \in Diff_M X$ if and only if there exists diffeomorphism $h_M : M \rightarrow M$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h_X} & X \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ M & \xrightarrow{h_M} & M \end{array}$$

Let $\pi : Diff_M X \rightarrow Diff M$ be the projection $h_X \mapsto h_M$. We call a fiber bundle $p : X \rightarrow M$ natural if there exists a homomorphism $j : Diff M \rightarrow Diff_M X$ such that $\pi \circ j = id$. For a natural fiber bundle $p : X \rightarrow M$ the associated jet bundle $X^{(r)} \rightarrow M$ is also natural. The

lift is given by

$$j^r : DiffM \rightarrow Diff_M X^{(r)}, h \mapsto h_*$$

where $h_*(s) = J_{j(h) \circ \bar{s}}^r(h(m))$, $s \in X^{(r)}$, $m = p^r(s) \in M$ and \bar{s} is a local section near m which represents the r -jet s . Observe $(h^{-1})_* = (h_*)^{-1}$ and hence define $h^* = h_*^{-1}$.

For a natural fiber bundle $X \rightarrow M$, a differential relation $\mathcal{R} \subset X^{(r)}$ is called *DiffM*-invariant if the action $s \mapsto h_*s$, $h \in DiffM$, leaves \mathcal{R} invariant.

Theorem 2.1. ([4]) *If a relation \mathcal{R} is open and DiffM-invariant on an open manifold M then it satisfies parametric h -principle.*

3. BERTELSON'S UNIFORMLY OPEN FOLIATIONS

In this section we recall some result from [1] and [2].

Definition 3.1. ([1]) *A foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) is called uniformly open if there exists a function $f : M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

- (1) *f is proper,*
- (2) *f has no leafwise local maxima,*
- (3) *f is \mathcal{F} -generic.*

Remark 3.2. *Observe that if $\dim \mathcal{F} = 1$ then (M, \mathcal{F}) can not be uniformly open as on a one dimensional manifold, a critical point will be either a local maximum or minimum.*

So let us explain the notion \mathcal{F} -generic. In order to do so we need to define the singularity set $\Sigma^{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)}(f)$ for a map $f : M \rightarrow W$. $\Sigma^{i_1}(f)$ is the set

$$\{p \in M : \dim(\ker(df)_p) = i_1\}$$

It was proved by Thom [6] that for most maps $\Sigma^{i_1}(f)$ is a submanifold of M . So we can restrict f to $\Sigma^{i_1}(f)$ and construct $\Sigma^{(i_1, i_2)}(f)$ and so on. In [6] it has been proved that there exists $\Sigma^{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} \subset J^k(M, W)$ such that $(j^k f)^{-1} \Sigma^{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} = \Sigma^{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}(f)$.

Let us set $W = \mathbb{R}$ as this is the only situation we need. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold with a leaf F . Define the restriction map

$$r_F : J^k(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow J^k(F, \mathbb{R}) : j^k f(x) \mapsto j^k(f|_F)(x)$$

Define foliated analogue of the singularity set as

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)} := \cup_{\{F \text{ leaf of } \mathcal{F}\}} r_F^{-1} \Sigma^{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)}$$

Definition 3.3. ([1]) A smooth real valued function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called \mathcal{F} -generic if the first jet $j^1 f \pitchfork \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^{(n)}$ and the second jet $j^2 f \pitchfork \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^{(i_1, i_2)}$ for all (i_1, i_2) .

Definition 3.4. ([1]) An isotopy of the manifold M is a family ψ_t , $t \in [0, 1]$ of diffeomorphisms of M such that the map $\psi : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M : (x, t) \mapsto \psi_t(x)$ is smooth and $\psi_0 = id_M$. Consider a foliation \mathcal{F} on M . A foliated isotopy of (M, \mathcal{F}) is an isotopy ψ_t of M that preserves the foliation \mathcal{F} , that is, $(\psi_t)_*(T\mathcal{F}) = T\mathcal{F}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. A relation \mathcal{R} is called foliated invariant on (M, \mathcal{F}) if the action by foliated isotopies leaves \mathcal{R} invariant.

Theorem 3.5. ([1]) On an uniformly open foliated manifold, any open, foliated invariant differential relation satisfies the parametric h -principle.

In [2] Bertelson has constructed counter examples that without the uniformly open condition 3.5 fails.

4. MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove 1.5. Let us first set some notations. First of all we have the Liouville vector fields Z_0 and $Z_1 = Z_0 + Z_h$ and let $Z_t = Z_0 + tZ_1$ be the homotopy of uniformly open Liouville vector field. So we have

$$(1) \quad Z_0 \cdot \phi_0 \geq \delta(|Z_0|^2 + |d\phi_0|^2)$$

$$(2) \quad Z_0 \cdot \phi_1 + Z_h \cdot \phi_1 \geq \delta'(|Z_0 + Z_h|^2 + |d\phi_1|^2)$$

With equality occurs in the above inequalities at the zeros of Z_0 and $Z_0 + Z_h$. Define

$$\phi_t = (1 - t)\phi_0 + t\phi_1$$

Then observe that

$$Z_0 \cdot d\phi_t = (1 - t)Z_0 \cdot d\phi_0 + tZ_0 \cdot d\phi_1$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_0.d\phi_t + Z_{th}.d\phi_1 &= (1-t)Z_0.d\phi_0 + t[Z_0.d\phi_1 + Z_h.d\phi_1] \\
&\geq (1-t)\delta(|Z_0|^2 + |d\phi_0|^2) + t\delta'(|Z_0 + Z_h|^2 + |d\phi_1|^2) \\
&\geq \min(\delta, \delta')[(1-t)|Z_0|^2 + t|Z_0 + Z_h|^2 + (1-t)|d\phi_0|^2 + t|d\phi_1|^2]
\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\frac{(Z_0.d\phi_t + Z_{th}.d\phi_1)}{|Z_0 + tZ_h|^2 + |d\phi_t + d\phi_1|^2} \geq \min(\delta, \delta') \left[\frac{(1-t)|Z_0|^2 + t|Z_0 + Z_h|^2}{(1-t)^2|Z_0|^2 + t^2|Z_0 + Z_h|^2 + 2t(1-t)|Z_0||Z_0 + Z_h| + |d\phi_t + d\phi_1|^2} + \frac{(1-t)|d\phi_0|^2 + t|d\phi_1|^2}{(1-t)^2|Z_0|^2 + t^2|Z_0 + Z_h|^2 + 2t(1-t)|Z_0||Z_0 + Z_h| + |d\phi_t + d\phi_1|^2} \right]$$

Recall that (according to 1.1) on the infinite end of \hat{X} the Liouville vector fields Z_0 and $Z_0 + Z_h$ are equal to ∂_s . Moreover since Z_0 and Z_1 do not have a common zero $|d\phi_t + d\phi_1| > 0$ and since Γ_i 's are compact $|d\phi_t + d\phi_1|$ is bounded below.

So the right hand side of the above inequality is bounded and hence the right hand side is equal to $\tilde{\delta}$ (say). So we get

$$(Z_0.d\phi_t + Z_{th}.d\phi_1) \geq \tilde{\delta}[|Z_0 + tZ_h|^2 + |d\phi_t + d\phi_1|^2]$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $Z_0 \pitchfork Z_h$ otherwise we can use a relative version of h -principle.

We replace t by a new parameter $t' = f(t)$ where $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is such that $f = 0$ on $[0, \epsilon]$ and $f = 1$ on $[1 - \epsilon, 1]$. We can replace the parameter in the above inequality.

Define one forms $\alpha_{t'}$ and $\eta_{t'}$ as follows. First $\alpha_{t'}$, $\alpha_{t'}(Z_0) = d\phi_{t'}(Z_0)$, $Z_h \in \ker(\alpha_{t'})$, for $t \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$, $t' = f(t)$ and $\alpha_0 = d\phi_0$, $\alpha_1 = d\phi_1$. Similarly $\eta_{t'}(Z_{t'h}) = \eta_{t'}(t'Z_h) = d\phi_1(t'Z_h)$, $Z_0 \in \ker\eta_{t'}$ for $t \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$, $t' = f(t)$ and $\eta_0 = d\phi_1 = \eta_1$. So we have

$$(\alpha_{t'} + \eta_{t'})(Z_0 + t'Z_h) = (\alpha_{t'}(Z_0) + \eta_{t'}(Z_{t'h})) \geq \tilde{\delta}[|Z_0 + t'Z_h|^2 + |\alpha_{t'} + \eta_{t'}|^2]$$

Now extending on \tilde{X} and regularizing $Z_{t'} = Z_0 + t'Z_h$ we get \tilde{Z} as in 1. We extend $\alpha_{t'}$ and $\eta_{t'}$ to \tilde{X} as $\alpha'(x, t') = \alpha_{t'}(x)$ and $\eta'(x, t') = \eta_{t'}(x)$. Adjusting α' and η' near Γ_i 's (1) to $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ so that

$$(\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\eta})(\tilde{Z}) > \tilde{\delta}[|\tilde{Z}|^2 + |\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\eta}|^2]$$

Now we come to the h -principle part. Consider $M = \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ and the trivial bundle $P \times P : M \times \mathbb{R}^2 = \tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ where $P : \tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is the projection on the first

factor. Observe that

$$(M \times \mathbb{R}^2)^{(1)} = (\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R})^{(1)} \times (\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R})^{(1)}$$

Note that this does not happen in case of higher order jet extensions as there will be mixed derivatives.

Observe that the section space $\Gamma(\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R}) = C^\infty(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R})$. There is a natural affine fibration $L : (\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R})^{(1)} \rightarrow T^*(\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R})$ given by $L(j^1 f(x)) = df_x$ where $f \in C^\infty(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R})$. Define the relation $\mathcal{R} \subset (M \times \mathbb{R}^2)^{(1)}$ as

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(j^1 f_0, j^1 f_1) \in (M \times \mathbb{R}^2)^{(1)} : L(j^1 f_i)(\tilde{Z}) > \tilde{\delta}[|\tilde{Z}|^2 + |L(j^1 f_i)|^2] \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \text{ for some } \tilde{\delta} > 0\}$$

Obviously $(\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\eta}) \in \text{Sec}\mathcal{R}$. Next we shall show that \mathcal{R} is open and invariant under $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -foliated isotopy. This will conclude the proof of 1.5 in view of 3.5. Only thing one needs to do is the following. Let (f_0, f_1) is a resulting solution. Choose either f_0 or f_1 say f_0 . Then define f_t as

$$f_t(x) = f_0(x, t)$$

Now we have to re-introduce the singularities. Let g_t be a family of Morse functions defined near Γ_i with index same as the index of Z along Γ_i . Let β be a cutoff function such that $\beta = 1$ on a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}'_i \supset N''_i$ and $\beta = 0$ outside $\mathcal{N}'_i \supset \mathcal{N}_i$. Let $\beta_t(x) = \beta(x, t)$. Observe

$$Z_t(\beta_t g_t + (1 - \beta_t) f_t) = [\beta_t Z_t(g_t) + (1 - \beta_t) Z_t(f_t)] + g_t Z_t(\beta_t) - f_t Z_t(\beta_t)$$

Observe that $Z_t(\beta_t)$ has compact support and g_t is of the form

$$a + x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2 - x_{k+1}^2 + \dots + x_{2n}^2$$

So if we take a large enough then $(g_t Z_t(\beta_t) - f_t Z_t(\beta_t)) > 0$ and obviously compactly supported. So we get the desired result.

Lemma 4.1. *The relation \mathcal{R} is open and invariant under the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -foliated isotopies.*

Proof. Openness of \mathcal{R} follows directly from the definition of \mathcal{R} .

For second part we see $\psi_s^*(df)(\tilde{Z}) = df(d\psi_s(\tilde{Z})) \geq cdf(\tilde{Z})$, where c is a positive real number. Positive as $\psi_0 = id$ and $M \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is connected. So

$$\psi_s^*(df)(\tilde{Z}) \geq cdf(\tilde{Z}) > c\tilde{\delta}[|\tilde{Z}|^2 + |df|^2]$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Bertelson, Mélanie. A h -principle for open relations invariant under foliated isotopies. *J. Symplectic Geom.* 1(2), 369425 (2002).
- [2] Bertelson, Mélanie. Foliations associated to regular Poisson structures. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 3 (2001), no. 3, 441–456. (Reviewer: Edith Padrón)
- [3] Eliashberg, Yakov. Weinstein manifolds revisited. arXiv.
- [4] Gromov, M. Partial Differential relations.
- [5] Eliashberg, Y.; Mishachev, N. Introduction to the h -principle. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 48. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. xviii+206 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-3227-1 (Reviewer: John B. Etnyre)
- [6] R. Thom, Les singularités des application différentiables, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 6 (1955-1956) 43-87.
- [7] Cieliebak, Kai; Eliashberg, Yakov. *From Stein to Weinstein and back*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 59, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012, Symplectic geometry of affine complex manifolds. MR 3012475 1,4,19,20,24,25