New differential operator and non-collapsed RCD spaces

Shouhei Honda *

September 26, 2019

Dedicated to Professor Kenji Fukaya on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday.

Abstract

We show characterizations of non-collapsed compact $\mathrm{RCD}(K,N)$ spaces, which in particular confirm a conjecture of De Philippis-Gigli on the implication from the weakly non-collapsed condition to the non-collapsed one in the compact case. The key idea is to give the explicit formula of the Laplacian associated to the pull-back Riemannian metric by embedding in L^2 via the heat kernel. This seems the first application of geometric flow to the study of RCD spaces.

Contents

1	Introduction		1
	1.1	Main results	1
	1.2	Key idea; deformation of Riemannian metric via heat kernel	3
2	RCI	O(K,N) spaces	4
	2.1	Definition	4
	2.2	Heat kernel	6
	2.3	Infinitesimal structure	7
	2.4	Second order differential structure and Riemannian metric	8
3	Lap	lacian on (X, g_t, \mathfrak{m})	11
4	Characterization of noncollapsed RCD spaces		13
	4.1	Proof of Theorem 1.2	13
	4.2	Witten Laplacian on RCD spaces	14

1 Introduction

1.1 Main results

De Philippis-Gigli introduced in [DePhG18] two special classes of RCD(K, N) spaces. One of them is the notion of weakly non-collapsed spaces and the other one is that of non-collapsed spaces. Our main result states that these are essentially same in the compact case.

After the fundamental works of Lott-Villani [LV09] and Sturm [St06], Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré [AGS14b] (when $N=\infty$), Gigli [G13] and Erbar-Kuwada-Sturm [EKS15] (when $N<\infty$) introduce the notion of RCD(K,N) spaces for metric measure spaces (X,d,m),

^{*}Tohoku University, shonda@m.tohoku.ac.jp, shouhei.honda.e4@tohoku.ac.jp.

which means a synthetic notion of "Ric $\geq K$ and dim $\leq N$ with Riemannian structure". Typical examples are measured Gromov-Hausdorff limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds with Ricci bounds from below and dimension bounds from above, so-called *Ricci limit spaces*. The RCD theory gives the striking framework to treat Ricci limit spaces by a synthetic way.

Cheeger-Colding established the fundamental structure theory of Ricci limit spaces [CC97, CC00a, CC00b]. Thanks to recent quick developments on the study of RCD(K, N) spaces, the most part of the theory of Ricci limit spaces, including Colding-Naber's result [CN12], are covered by the RCD theory (see for instance [BS18] by Bruè-Semola). In particular whenever $N < \infty$, the essential dimension, denoted by $\dim_{d,\mathfrak{m}}(X)$, of any RCD(K, N) space (K, K, K) makes sense (c.f. Theorem 2.4).

On the other hand in a special class of Ricci limit spaces, so-called *non-collapsed Ricci limit spaces*, finer properties are obtained by Cheeger-Colding. For instance, the Bishop inequality with the rigidity and the almost Reifenberg flatness are justified in this setting. They are not covered by general Ricci limits/RCD theories.

The properties of non-collapsed RCD(K, N) spaces introduced in [DePhG18] cover finer results on non-collapsed Ricci limit spaces as explained above. It is worth pointing out that any convex body is not a non-collapsed Ricci limit space, but it is a non-collapsed RCD(K, N) space.

Let us give the definitions;

- an RCD(K, N) space $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ is non-collapsed if $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{H}^N$, where \mathcal{H}^N denotes the N-dimensional Hausdorff measure;
- an RCD(K, N) space (X, d, m) is weakly non-collapsed if $m \ll \mathcal{H}^N$.

The second definition is equivalent to that $\dim_{d,\mathfrak{m}}(X) = N$, which is proved in [DePhG18]. Note that some structure results on weakly non-collapsed RCD(K,N) spaces are obtained in [DePhG18] and that Kitabeppu [K17] provides a similar notion (which is a priori stronger than the weakly non-collapsed condition, but is a priori weaker than the non-collapsed one) and prove similar structure results.

De Philippis-Gigli conjectured that these notions are essentially same. More precisely;

Conjecture 1.1. If (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is a weakly non-collapsed RCD(K, N) space, then $\mathfrak{m} = a\mathcal{H}^N$ for some $a \in (0, \infty)$.

For the conjecture the only known development is due to Kapovitch-Ketterer [KK19] and Han [Han19]. Kapovitch-Ketterer proved that Conjecture 1.1 is true under assuming bounded sectional curvature from above in the sense of Alexandrov (that is, the metric structure is CAT). Han proved that this conjecture is true for smooth Riemannian manifolds with (not necessary smooth) weighted measures.

We are now in a position to introduce a main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.2 (Characterization of non-collapsed RCD spaces). Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be a compact RCD(K, N) space with $n := \dim_{d,\mathfrak{m}}(X)$. Then the following two conditions (1), (2) are equivalent.

- 1. The following two conditions hold;
 - (a) For all eigenfunction f on X of $-\Delta$ we have

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_f) \quad in \ L^2(X, \mathfrak{m}).$$
 (1.1)

(b) There exists C > 0 such that

$$\mathfrak{m}(B_r(x)) \ge Cr^n \quad \forall x \in X, \ \forall r \in (0,1). \tag{1.2}$$

2. (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is an RCD(K, n) space with

$$\mathfrak{m} = \frac{\mathfrak{m}(X)}{\mathcal{H}^n(X)} \mathcal{H}^n. \tag{1.3}$$

It is easy to understand that this theorem gives a contribution to Conjecture 1.1. More precisely combining a result of Han [Han18] (c.f. Theorem 2.8) with the Bishop-Gromov inequality yields that all compact weakly non-collapsed RCD(K, N) spaces satisfy (1) in the theorem as n = N. Therefore

Corollary 1.3. Conjecture 1.1 is true in the compact case.

We will also establish other characterization of non-collapsed RCD spaces. See subsection 4.2. Next let us explain how to achieve these results. Roughly speaking it is to take canonical deformations g_t of the Riemannian metric g via the heat kernel.

1.2 Key idea; deformation of Riemannian metric via heat kernel

In order to prove main results the key idea is to use the pull-back Riemannian metrics $g_t := \Phi_t^* g_{L^2}$ by embeddings $\Phi_t : X \to L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$ via the heat kernel p instead of using the original Riemannian metric g of $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$. The definition of Φ_t is

$$\Phi_t(x)(y) := p(x, y, t). \tag{1.4}$$

This map is introduced and studied by Bérard-Besson-Gallot [BBG94] for closed manifolds. They proved that for closed manifolds (M^n, g) , as $t \to 0^+$

$$\omega_n t^{(n+2)/2} g_t = c_n g - \frac{c_n}{3} \left(\operatorname{Ric}_g - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Scal}_g g \right) t + O(t^2), \tag{1.5}$$

where Ric_g and $Scal_g$ denote the Ricci and the scalar curvatures respectively, and

$$\omega_n := \mathcal{L}^n(B_1(0_n)), \quad c_n := \frac{\omega_n}{(4\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{x_1}(e^{-|x|^2/4})|^2 d\mathcal{L}^n(x).$$
 (1.6)

Recently the map Φ_t is also studied for compact RCD(K, N) spaces by Ambrosio-Portegies-Tewodrose and the author [AHTP18]. In particular g_t is also well-defined in this setting (c.f. Theorem 2.9).

Let us introduce the following new differential operator

$$\Delta^t f := \langle \operatorname{Hess}_f, g_t \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \nabla_x \Delta_x p(x, x, 2t), \nabla f \rangle. \tag{1.7}$$

This plays a role of the Laplacian associated to g_t , in fact, we will prove

$$\int_{X} \langle g_t, d\psi \otimes df \rangle d\mathfrak{m} = -\int_{X} \psi \Delta^t f d\mathfrak{m}, \qquad (1.8)$$

which is new even for closed manifolds. See Theorem 3.4 for the precise statement. Then under assuming (1.2), after nomalization, taking the limit $t \to 0^+$ in (1.8) with convergence results given in [AHTP18] yields the *metric* integration by parts formula

$$\int_{X} \langle \nabla \psi, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^{n} = -\int_{X} \psi \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_{f}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n}. \tag{1.9}$$

This allows us to prove (1.3) by letting $\psi \equiv 1$ if in addition (1.1) holds because we see that $\frac{d\mathcal{H}^n}{d\mathfrak{m}}$ is $L^2(X,\mathfrak{m})$ -orthogonal to $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_f)$ for all f, in particular, $\frac{d\mathcal{H}^n}{d\mathfrak{m}}$ is $L^2(X,\mathfrak{m})$ -orthogonal to any nontrivial eigenfunction. This implies that $\frac{d\mathcal{H}^n}{d\mathfrak{m}}$ must be a constant function.

Finally let us give few comments. It is well-known that in the smooth setting, there are many geometric flows (e.g. Ricci flow) which are useful to understand the original space. However for singular spaces there are not so many (e.g. [BK17], [GM14], [KL17] and [KL18]). In general RCD spaces have very wild singularities (e.g. the singular set may be dense). This paper shows us that such flow approaches are also useful even in the RCD setting. Geometric applications of the main results can be found in [HM19, KM19]. Moreover although we discuss only in the compact case, the author believes that the techniques provided will be available even in the noncompact case.

The paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2 we give a quick introduction on RCD spaces and prove technical results. In Section 3 we establish (1.8). In the final section, Section 4, we prove main results stated in subsection 1.1 and related results. It is worth pointing out that subsection 4.2 is written from the point of view of metric geometry.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the referees for careful readings and valuable suggestions. He acknowledges supports of the Grantin-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 16K17585 and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) of 18H01118.

2 RCD(K, N) spaces

A triple (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is a metric measure space if (X, d) is a complete separable metric space and \mathfrak{m} is a Borel measure on X with supp $\mathfrak{m} = X$.

2.1 Definition

Throughout this paper the parameters $K \in \mathbb{R}$ (lower bound on Ricci curvature) and $N \in [1, \infty)$ (upper bound on dimension) will be kept fixed. Instead of giving the original definition of RCD(K, N) spaces, we introduce an equivalent version for short. See [EKS15], [AMS15], [CM16] and [AGS14a] for the proof of the equivalence and the detail.

Let $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a metric measure space. The Cheeger energy $\mathsf{Ch} : L^2(X, \mathfrak{m}) \to [0, +\infty]$ is a convex and $L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$ -lower semicontinuous functional defined as follows:

$$\mathsf{Ch}(f) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_X (\mathsf{Lip} f_n)^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} : \ f_n \in \mathsf{Lip}_b(X, \mathsf{d}) \cap L^2(X, \mathfrak{m}), \ \|f_n - f\|_{L^2} \to 0 \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\operatorname{Lip}_b(X,\mathsf{d})$ denotes the space of all bounded Lipschitz functions and $\operatorname{Lip} f$ is the slope.

The Sobolev space $H^{1,2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m})$ then coincides with $\{f\in L^2(X,\mathfrak{m}): \mathsf{Ch}(f)<+\infty\}$. When endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{H^{1,2}}:=(\|f\|_{L^2(X,\mathfrak{m})}^2+2\mathsf{Ch}(f))^{1/2}$, this space is Banach, reflexive if (X,d) is doubling (see [ACDM15, Cor.7.5]), and separable Hilbert if Ch is a quadratic form (see [AGS14b, Prop.4.10]). According to the terminology introduced in [G15a], we say that $(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m})$ is infinitesimally Hilbertian if Ch is a quadratic form.

Let us assume that (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is infinitesimally Hilbertian. Then for all $f_i \in H^{1,2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$,

$$\langle \nabla f_1, \nabla f_2 \rangle := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{|\nabla (f_1 + \epsilon f_2)|^2 - |\nabla f_1|^2}{2\epsilon} \in L^1(X, \mathfrak{m})$$
 (2.2)

is well-defined, where $|\nabla f| \in L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$ denotes the minimal relaxed slope of $f \in H^{1,2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ (see [G15a, Sect. 3 and 4]).

We can now define a densely defined operator $\Delta: D(\Delta) \to L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$ whose domain consists of all functions $f \in H^{1,2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ satisfying

$$\int_{X} \psi \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = -\int_{X} \langle \nabla f, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \qquad \forall \varphi \in H^{1,2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$$

for some $\psi \in L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$. The unique ψ with this property is then denoted by Δf . We are now in a position to introduce the RCD space:

Definition 2.1 (RCD spaces). Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be a metric measure space, let $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\hat{N} \in [1, \infty]$. We say that (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is an RCD (K, \hat{N}) space if the following hold;

- 1. (Volume growth) there exist $x \in X$ and C > 1 such that $\mathfrak{m}(B_r(x)) \leq Ce^{Cr^2}$ for all $r \in (0, \infty)$;
- 2. (Bochner's inequality) for all $f \in D(\Delta)$ with $\Delta f \in H^{1,2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{X} |\nabla f|^{2} \Delta \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \geq \int_{X} \varphi \left(\frac{(\Delta f)^{2}}{\hat{N}} + \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle + K |\nabla f|^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $\varphi \in D(\Delta) \cap L^{\infty}(X, \mathfrak{m})$ with $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\Delta \varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathfrak{m})$;

3. (Sobolev-to-Lipschitz property) any $f \in H^{1,2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ with $|\nabla f| \leq 1$ \mathfrak{m} -a.e. in X has a 1-Lipschitz representative.

It is known that for a smooth weighted complete Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g, e^{-\varphi} \text{vol}_g)(\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M^n))$ it is an $RCD(K, \hat{N})$ space for $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\hat{N} \in (n, \infty]$ if and only if it holds that

$$\operatorname{Ric}_g + \operatorname{Hess}_{\varphi}^g - \frac{d\varphi \otimes d\varphi}{\hat{N} - n} \ge Kg.$$
 (2.4)

See [EKS15, Prop.4.21]. In particular if it is an RCD(K, n) space, then φ must be a constant function because it is also an RCD(K, \hat{N}) space for all $\hat{N} \in (n, \infty]$, which implies by (2.4) that $|d\varphi| \equiv 0$. Let us denote the heat flow associated to the Cheeger energy by h_t . It holds (without curvature assumption) that

$$||h_t f||_{L^2} \le ||f||_{L^2}, \qquad |||\nabla f|||_{L^2}^2 \le \frac{||f||_{L^2}^2}{2t^2}, \qquad ||\Delta h_t f||_{L^2} \le \frac{||f||_{L^2}}{t}.$$
 (2.5)

Then one of the crucial properties of the heat flow on $RCD(K, \infty)$ spaces is

$$h_t f \in \text{Test} F(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}), \qquad \forall f \in L^2(X, \mathfrak{m}) \cap L^{\infty}(X, \mathfrak{m}), \ \forall t \in (0, \infty),$$
 (2.6)

where

$$\mathrm{Test} F(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}) := \left\{ f \in \mathrm{Lip}_b(X,\mathsf{d}) \cap H^{1,2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}) : \ \Delta f \in H^{1,2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}) \right\}. \tag{2.7}$$

See for instance [G18] for the crucial role of test functions in the study of RCD spaces. Finally we end this subsection by giving the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ be an $\mathrm{RCD}(K, \infty)$ space and let $f \in D(\Delta)$. Then there exists a sequence $f_i \in \mathrm{Test}F(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ such that $||f_i - f||_{H^{1,2}} + ||\Delta f_i - \Delta f||_{L^2} \to 0$ holds.

Proof. Let $F_L := (-L) \vee f \wedge L$. Note that $h_t F_L \in \operatorname{Test} F(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$, that $h_t F_L \to h_t f$ in $H^{1,2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m})$ as $L \to \infty$ for all t > 0, and that $\Delta h_t F_L L^2$ -weakly converges to $\Delta h_t f$ as $L \to \infty$ for all t > 0, where we used (2.5) (c.f. [AH17, Cor.10.4]). Since $\Delta h_t f \to \Delta f$ in $L^2(X,\mathfrak{m})$ as $t \to 0^+$, there exist $L_i \to \infty$ and $t_i \to 0^+$ such that $h_{t_i} F_{L_i} \to f$ in $H^{1,2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m})$ and that $\Delta h_{t_i} F_{L_i} L^2$ -weakly converges to Δf . Then applying Mazur's lemma for the sequence $\{\Delta h_{t_i} F_{L_i}\}_i$ yields that for all $m \geq 1$ there exist $N_m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq m}$ and $\{t_{m,i}\}_{m \leq i \leq N_m} \subset [0,1]$ such that $\sum_{i=m}^{N_m} t_{m,i} = 1$ and that $\sum_{i=m}^{N_m} t_{m,i} \Delta h_{t_i} F_{L_i} \to \Delta f$ in $L^2(X,\mathfrak{m})$. It is easy to check that $f_m := \sum_{i=m}^{N_m} t_{m,i} h_{t_i} F_{L_i}$ satisfies the desired claim.

2.2 Heat kernel

It is well-known that the Bishop-Gromov theorem holds for any $\operatorname{RCD}(K,N)$ space $(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m})$ (or more generally for $\operatorname{CD}^*(K,N)$ spaces) and that the local Poincaré inequality holds for $\operatorname{RCD}(K,\infty)$ spaces (or more generally for $\operatorname{CD}(K,\infty)$ spaces). See [Vi09, Th.30.11], [VR09] and [Raj12, Th.1]. Furthermore, it follows from the Sobolev-to-Lipschitz property that, on any $\operatorname{RCD}(K,N)$ space $(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m})$, the intrinsic distance

$$d_{\mathsf{Ch}}(x,y) := \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in H^{1,2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}) \cap C_b(X,\mathsf{d}), |\nabla f| \le 1\}$$

associated to the Cheeger energy Ch coincides with the original distance d. Consequently, applying [St95, Prop.2.3] and [St96, Cor.3.3] on the general theory of Dirichlet forms provide the existence of a locally Hölder continuous representative p on $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ for the heat kernel of $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathsf{m})$. Let us recall that by definition

$$h_t f(x) = \int_X p(x, y, t) f(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y) \quad \forall t > 0, \, \forall x \in X, \, \forall f \in L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$$
 (2.8)

and

$$p(x,y,t+s) = \int_X p(x,z,t)p(z,y,s) \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(z) \quad \forall t > 0, \, \forall s > 0, \, \forall x,y \in X. \tag{2.9}$$

The sharp Gaussian estimates on this heat kernel have been proved later on in the RCD context [JLZ16, Th.1.2]: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $C_i := C_i(\epsilon, K, N) > 1$ for i = 1, 2, depending only on K, N and ϵ , such that

$$\frac{C_1^{-1}}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathsf{d}^2(x,y)}{(4-\epsilon)t} - C_2 t\right) \le p(x,y,t) \le \frac{C_1}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathsf{d}^2(x,y)}{(4+\epsilon)t} + C_2 t\right)$$
(2.10)

for all $x, y \in X$ and any t > 0, where from now on we state our inequalities with the Hölder continuous representative. Combining (2.10) with the Li-Yau inequality [GM14, Cor.1.5], [J15, Th.1.2], we have a gradient estimate [JLZ16, Cor.1.2]:

$$|\nabla_x p(x, y, t)| \le \frac{C_3}{\sqrt{t} \mathfrak{m}(B_{\cdot, \mathcal{I}}(x))} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathsf{d}^2(x, y)}{(4 + \epsilon)t} + C_4 t\right) \qquad \text{for } \mathfrak{m}\text{-a.e. } x \in X$$
 (2.11)

for any t > 0, $y \in X$, where $C_i := C_i(\epsilon, K, N) > 1$ for i = 3, 4, Note that in this paper, we will always work with (2.10) and (2.11) in the case $\epsilon = 1$.

Let us assume that $\operatorname{diam}(X,\mathsf{d}) < \infty$, thus (X,d) is compact (because in general (X,d) is proper). Then the doubling condition and a local Poincaré inequality on $(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m})$ yields that the canonical embedding map $H^{1,2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}) \hookrightarrow L^2(X,\mathfrak{m})$ is a compact operator [HK00, Th.8.1]. In particular the (minus) Laplacian $-\Delta$ admits a discrete positive spectrum

 $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots \to +\infty$. We denote the corresponding eigenfunctions by $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots$ with $\|\varphi_i\|_{L^2} = 1$. This provides the following expansions for the heat kernel p:

$$p(x, y, t) = \sum_{i>0} e^{-\lambda_i t} \varphi_i(x) \varphi_i(y) \quad \text{in } C(X \times X)$$
 (2.12)

for any t > 0 and

$$p(\cdot, y, t) = \sum_{i>0} e^{-\lambda_i t} \varphi_i(y) \varphi_i \quad \text{in } H^{1,2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$$
 (2.13)

for any $y \in X$ and t > 0 with the Hölder representative of all eigenfunctions. Combining (2.12) and (2.13) with (2.11), we know that φ_i is Lipschitz, in fact, it holds that

$$\|\varphi_i\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C_5 \lambda_i^{N/4}, \qquad \|\nabla \varphi_i\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C_5 \lambda_i^{(N+2)/4}, \qquad \lambda_i \ge C_5^{-1} i^{2/N},$$
 (2.14)

where $C_5 := C_5(\operatorname{diam}(X, \mathsf{d}), K, N) > 0$. See for instance appendices in [AHTP18] and [Hon18] for the proofs.

Finally let us remark that it follows from this observation with (2.23) that

$$\Delta_x p(x, x, t) = 2 \sum_{i \ge 0} e^{-\lambda_i t} \left(-\lambda_i (\varphi_i(x))^2 + |\nabla \varphi_i|^2(x) \right) \quad \text{in } H^{1,2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$$
 (2.15)

holds because (2.23) implies that

$$\sup_{k} \left\| \Delta \left(\sum_{i \ge 0}^{k} e^{-\lambda_{i} t} \varphi_{i}^{2} \right) \right\|_{H^{1,2}} = \sup_{k} \left\| 2 \sum_{i \ge 0}^{k} e^{-\lambda_{i} t} \left(-\lambda_{i} \varphi_{i}^{2} + |\nabla \varphi_{i}|^{2} \right) \right\|_{H^{1,2}} < \infty. \tag{2.16}$$

In particular, thanks to (2.12), we see that $p(x,x,t) \in D(\Delta)$ holds that the equality in (2.15) is satisfied in $L^2(X,\mathfrak{m})$. Then applying Mazur's lemma for the sequence $\{\Delta(\sum_{i\geq 0}^k e^{-\lambda_i t} \varphi_i^2)\}_k$ with (2.16) allows us to prove that the equality in (2.15) holds in $H^{1,2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m})$.

2.3 Infinitesimal structure

Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an RCD(K, N) space.

Definition 2.3 (Regular set \mathcal{R}_k). For any $k \geq 1$, we denote by \mathcal{R}_k the k-dimensional regular set of $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$, namely the set of points $x \in X$ such that $(X, r^{-1}\mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}(B_r(x))^{-1}\mathfrak{m}, x)$ pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff converge to $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathsf{d}_{\mathbb{R}^k}, \omega_k^{-1}\mathcal{L}^k, 0_k)$ as $r \to 0^+$.

We are now in a position to introduce the latest structural result for RCD(K, N) spaces.

Theorem 2.4 (Essential dimension of RCD(K, N) spaces). Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an RCD(K, N) space. Then, there exists a unique integer $n \in [1, N]$, denoted by $\dim_{d,\mathfrak{m}}(X)$, such that

$$\mathfrak{m}(X \setminus \mathcal{R}_n) = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

In addition, the set \mathcal{R}_n is (\mathfrak{m}, n) -rectifiable and \mathfrak{m} is representable as $\theta \mathcal{H}^n \sqcup \mathcal{R}_n$ for some nonnegative valued function $\theta \in L^1_{loc}(X, \mathcal{H}^n)$.

Note that the rectifiability of all sets \mathcal{R}_k was inspired by [CC97, CC00a, CC00b] and proved in [MN19, Th.1.1], together with the concentration property $\mathfrak{m}(X \setminus \cup_k \mathcal{R}_k) = 0$, with the crucial uses of [GMR15] and of [G13]; the absolute continuity of \mathfrak{m} on regular sets with respect to the corresponding Hausdorff measure was proved afterwards and is a consequence of [KM16, Th.1.2], [DePhMR17, Th.1.1] and [GP16, Th.3.5]. Finally, in the very recent work [BS18, Th.0.1] it is proved that only one set \mathcal{R}_n has positive \mathfrak{m} -measure, leading to (2.17) and to the representation $\mathfrak{m} = \theta \mathcal{H}^n \, \square \, \mathcal{R}_n$. Recall that our main target of the paper is θ .

By slightly refining the definition of n-regular set, passing to a reduced set \mathcal{R}_n^* , general results of measure differentiation provide also the converse absolute continuity property $\mathcal{H}^n \ll \mathfrak{m}$ on \mathcal{R}_n^* . We summarize here the results obtained in this direction in [AHT18, Th.4.1]:

Theorem 2.5 (Weak Ahlfors regularity). Let $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ be an $\mathrm{RCD}(K, N)$ -space, $n = \dim_{\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}}(X)$, $\mathfrak{m} = \theta \mathcal{H}^n \, \mathsf{L} \, \mathcal{R}_n$ and set

$$\mathcal{R}_n^* := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{R}_n : \exists \lim_{r \to 0^+} \frac{\mathfrak{m}(B_r(x))}{\omega_n r^n} \in (0, \infty) \right\}.$$
 (2.18)

Then $\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{R}_n \setminus \mathcal{R}_n^*) = 0$, $\mathfrak{m} \sqcup \mathcal{R}_n^*$ and $\mathcal{H}^n \sqcup \mathcal{R}_n^*$ are mutually absolute continuous and

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} \frac{\mathfrak{m}(B_r(x))}{\omega_n r^n} = \theta(x) \qquad \text{for } \mathfrak{m}\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathcal{R}_n^*, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} \frac{\omega_n r^n}{\mathfrak{m}(B_r(x))} = 1_{\mathcal{R}_n^*}(x) \frac{1}{\theta(x)} \qquad \text{for } \mathfrak{m}\text{-a.e. } x \in X.$$
 (2.20)

Moreover $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{R}_n \setminus \mathcal{R}_n^*) = 0$ if n = N.

2.4 Second order differential structure and Riemannian metric

Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an $RCD(K, \infty)$ space.

Inspired by [W00], the theory of the second order differential structure on $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ based on L^2 -normed modules is established in [G18]. To keep short presentations in the paper, we omit several notions, for instance, the spaces of L^2 -vector fields denoted by $L^2(T(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$ and of L^2 -tensor fields of type (0,2) denoted by $L^2((T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$. See [G18] for the detail. We denote the pointwise Hilbert-Schmit norm and the pointwise scaler product by $|T|_{HS}$ and $\langle T, S \rangle$ respectively (see also [G18, Subsect.3.2] and [AH17, Sect.10]).

One of the important results in [G18] we will use later is that for all $f \in D(\Delta)$, the Hessian $\operatorname{Hess}_f \in L^2((T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$ is well-defined and satisfies

$$\langle \operatorname{Hess}_{f}, \mathsf{d}f_{1} \otimes \mathsf{d}f_{2} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle \nabla f_{1}, \nabla \langle \nabla f, \nabla f_{2} \rangle \rangle + \langle \nabla f_{2}, \nabla \langle \nabla f, \nabla f_{1} \rangle \rangle - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \langle \nabla f_{1}, \nabla f_{2} \rangle \rangle \right)$$
for $\mathfrak{m} - a.e. \ x \in X$, $\forall f_{i} \in \operatorname{Test} F(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ (2.21)

and the Bochner inequality with the Hessian term

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla f|^2 \ge |\text{Hess}_f|_{HS}^2 + \langle \nabla \Delta f, \nabla f \rangle + K|\nabla f|^2$$
(2.22)

in the weak sense (see [G18, Sect.4]). In particular

$$\int_{X} |\operatorname{Hess}_{f}|_{HS}^{2} d\mathfrak{m} \leq \int_{X} \left((\Delta f)^{2} - K |\nabla f|^{2} \right) d\mathfrak{m}. \tag{2.23}$$

Let us introduce the notion of Riemannian metrics on $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$. In order to simplify our argument we assume that $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ is an $\mathrm{RCD}(K, N)$ space with $n = \dim_{\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}}(X)$ and $\mathrm{diam}(X, \mathsf{d}) < \infty$ below. Although we defined the notion as a bilinear form on $L^2(T(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$ in [AHTP18], we adopt an equivalent formulation by using tensor fields in this paper. Moreover we consider only L^2 -ones, which is enough for our purposes.

Definition 2.6 (L^2 -Riemannian metric). We say that $T \in L^2((T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$ is a Riemannian metric if for all $\eta_i \in L^{\infty}(T^*(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$ (which means that $\eta_i \in L^2(T^*(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$ with $|\eta_i| \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathfrak{m})$), it holds that

$$\langle T, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \rangle = \langle T, \eta_2 \otimes \eta_1 \rangle, \quad \langle T, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_1 \rangle \ge 0 \quad \text{for m-a.e. } x \in X$$
 (2.24)

and that if $\langle T, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_1 \rangle = 0$ for \mathfrak{m} -a.e. $x \in X$, then $\eta_1 = 0$ in $L^2(T^*(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$.

Proposition 2.7 (The canonical metric g). There exists a unique Riemannian metric $g \in L^2((T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m}))$ such that

$$\langle g, \mathsf{d} f_1 \otimes \mathsf{d} f_2 \rangle = \langle \nabla f_1, \nabla f_2 \rangle$$
 for \mathfrak{m} -a.e. on X

for all Lipschitz functions f_i on X. Then it holds that

$$|g|_{HS} = \sqrt{n}$$
 for \mathfrak{m} -a.e. $x \in X$. (2.25)

Note that for $T \in L^2((T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$, the trace $\operatorname{tr}(T) \in L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$ is $\operatorname{tr}(T) := \langle T, g \rangle$. The following result proved in [Han18, Prop.3.2] will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.8 (Laplacian is trace of Hessian under maximal dimension). Assume that N is an integer with $\dim_{d,m}(X) = N$. Then for all $f \in D(\Delta)$ we see that

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_f) \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{m}\text{-}a.e. \ x \in X.$$
 (2.26)

Let us introduce the pull-back Riemannian metrics by embeddings via the heat kernel (see [AHTP18, Prop.4.7]).

Theorem 2.9 (The pull-back metrics). For all t > 0 there exists a unique Riemannian metric $g_t \in L^2((T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}))$ such that

$$\int_{X} \langle g_{t}, \eta_{1} \otimes \eta_{2} \rangle d\mathfrak{m} = \int_{X} \int_{X} \langle \mathsf{d}_{x} p(x, y, t), \eta_{1}(x) \rangle \langle \mathsf{d}_{x} p(x, y, t), \eta_{2}(x) \rangle d\mathfrak{m}(x) d\mathfrak{m}(y),$$

$$\forall \eta_{i} \in L^{\infty}(T^{*}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})). \tag{2.27}$$

Moreover it is representable as the HS-convergent series

$$g_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\lambda_i t} d\varphi_i \otimes d\varphi_i \qquad in \ L^2((T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m})). \tag{2.28}$$

Finally the rescaled metric $tm(B_{\sqrt{t}}(\cdot))g_t$ satisfies

$$t\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(\cdot))g_t \le C(K,N)g \qquad \forall t \in (0,1), \tag{2.29}$$

which means that for all $\eta \in L^{\infty}(T^*(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})),$

$$t\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))\langle g_t, \eta \otimes \eta \rangle(x) \leq C(K, N)|\eta|_{HS}^2(x), \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{m}\text{-a.e. } x \in X.$$

Note that since

$$\operatorname{Test}(T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}) := \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 1}^k f_{1,i} \mathsf{d} f_{2,i} \otimes \mathsf{d} f_{3,i}; k \in \mathbb{N}, f_{j,i} \in \operatorname{Test} F(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}) \right\}$$

is dense in $L^2((T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}))$ ([G18, (3.2.7)]), it is easily checked that

$$\int_{X} \langle g_t, T \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = \int_{X} \int_{X} \langle \mathsf{d}_x p \otimes \mathsf{d}_x p, T \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y), \qquad \forall T \in L^2((T^*)^{\otimes 2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})). \tag{2.30}$$

A main convergence result proved in [AHTP18] is the following;

Theorem 2.10 (L^p -convergence to the original metric). We have

$$\left| t\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(\cdot))g_t - c_n g \right|_{HS} \to 0 \quad in \ L^p(X,\mathfrak{m})$$
 (2.31)

for all $p \in [1, \infty)$, where we recall (1.6) for the definition of c_n .

See [AHTP18, Th.5.10] for their proofs of the results above. It is worth pointing out that in general we can not improve this L^p -convergence to the L^{∞} -one (see [AHTP18, Rem.5.11]).

We end this subsection by giving the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.11. Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be a compact RCD(K, N) space with $n := \dim_{d,\mathfrak{m}}(X)$. Assume that there exists C > 0 such that

$$\mathfrak{m}(B_r(x)) \ge Cr^n \quad \forall x \in X, \ \forall r \in (0,1). \tag{2.32}$$

Then as $t \to 0^+$ we see that

$$t^{(n+2)/2}p(x,x,t) \to 0 \quad in \ H^{1,2}(X,d,m).$$
 (2.33)

and that

$$\left| \omega_n t^{(n+2)/2} g_t - c_n \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^n}{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}} g \right|_{HS} \to 0 \quad in \ L^p(X, \mathfrak{m}), \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty).$$
 (2.34)

Proof. By (2.10) we see that for all $x \in X$ and all $t \in (0,1)$;

$$t^{(n+2)/2}p(x,x,t) \le \frac{t}{C}\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))p(x,x,t) \le \frac{C(K,N)}{C}t. \tag{2.35}$$

In particular $t^{(n+2)/2}p(x,x,t)\to 0$ in C(X). On the other hand (2.15) and (2.28) yield

$$\int_{X} |\Delta_{x} p(x, x, t)| \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) \le \sum_{i} 4\lambda_{i} e^{-\lambda_{i} t} = 4 \int_{X} \langle g, g_{t/2} \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \le 4\sqrt{n} \int_{X} |g_{t/2}|_{HS} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}. \quad (2.36)$$

In particular (2.35) and (2.36) yield

$$\int_{X} |\nabla_{x}(t^{(n+2)/2}p(x,x,t))|^{2} d\mathfrak{m}(x)
= -\int_{X} t^{n+2}p(x,x,t)\Delta_{x}p(x,x,t) d\mathfrak{m}(x)
\leq \frac{4C(K,N)\sqrt{n}}{C} t \int_{X} |t^{(n+2)/2}g_{t/2}|_{HS} d\mathfrak{m}(x)
\leq \frac{4C(K,N)\sqrt{n}}{C^{2}} 2^{(n+2)/2} t \int_{X} |(t/2)\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t/2}}(x))g_{t/2}|_{HS} d\mathfrak{m}(x) \to 0$$
(2.37)

as $t \to 0^+$, where we used (2.29). Thus we get (2.33).

Next let us prove (2.34). First let us remark that (2.32) yields $\mathcal{H}^n \ll \mathfrak{m}$. Combining this with Theorem 2.5 shows that $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^n}{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}} \in L^\infty(X,\mathfrak{m})$ and that as $r \to 0^+$,

$$\frac{\omega_n r^n}{\mathfrak{m}(B_r(x))} \to \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^n}{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}}(x) \qquad \text{for } \mathfrak{m}\text{-a.e. } x \in X. \tag{2.38}$$

Then since as $t \to 0$

$$\int_{X} \left| \omega_{n} t^{(n+2)/2} g_{t} - t \mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x)) \frac{d\mathcal{H}^{n}}{d\mathfrak{m}}(x) g_{t} \right|_{HS}^{p} d\mathfrak{m}$$

$$= \int_{X} \left| \frac{\omega_{n} \sqrt{t}^{n}}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))} - \frac{d\mathcal{H}^{n}}{d\mathfrak{m}}(x) \right|^{p} |t \mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x)) g_{t}|_{HS}^{p} d\mathfrak{m}$$

$$\leq C(K, N)^{p} \int_{X} \left| \frac{\omega_{n} \sqrt{t}^{n}}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))} - \frac{d\mathcal{H}^{n}}{d\mathfrak{m}}(x) \right|^{p} d\mathfrak{m} \to 0, \tag{2.39}$$

we conclude because of Theorem 2.10, where we used the dominated convergence theorem.

3 Laplacian on (X, g_t, \mathfrak{m})

Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be a compact RCD(K, N) space. We rewrite our new differential operators;

Definition 3.1 (Laplacian on (X, g_t, \mathfrak{m})). For all $f \in D(\Delta)$ we define the Laplacian $\Delta^t f$ associated to g_t by

$$\Delta^t f := \langle \operatorname{Hess}_f, g_t \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \nabla_x \Delta_x p(x, x, 2t), \nabla f \rangle \in L^1(X, \mathfrak{m}). \tag{3.1}$$

Let us start calculation.

Lemma 3.2. For all $f \in D(\Delta)$ and $\psi \in H^{1,2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ we have

$$\int_{X} \int_{X} \psi(x) \langle \nabla_{x} p, \nabla_{x} \langle \nabla_{x} p, \nabla f \rangle \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$= -\int_{X} \langle g_{t}, \mathrm{d}f \otimes \mathrm{d}\psi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{X} \mathrm{div}(\psi \nabla f) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} p(x, x, 2t) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\int_{X} \int_{X} \psi(x) \langle \nabla_{x} p, \nabla_{x} \langle \nabla_{x} p, \nabla f \rangle \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m}(x) \, d\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$= -\int_{X} \int_{X} \operatorname{div}_{x}(\psi \nabla_{x} p) \langle \nabla_{x} p, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m}(x) \, d\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$= -\int_{X} \int_{X} (\langle \nabla \psi, \nabla_{x} p \rangle + \psi(x) \Delta_{x} p) \langle \nabla_{x} p, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m}(x) \, d\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$= -\int_{X} \langle g_{t}, df \otimes d\psi \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m} - \int_{X} \int_{X} \psi(x) \Delta_{x} p \langle \nabla_{x} p, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m}(x) \, d\mathfrak{m}(y) \tag{3.3}$$

and that

$$-\int_{X} \int_{X} \psi(x) \Delta_{x} p \langle \nabla_{x} p, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m}(x) \, d\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$= -\int_{X} \int_{X} \psi(x) \left(-\sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda_{i} e^{-\lambda_{i} t} \varphi_{i}(x) \varphi_{i}(y) \right) \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} e^{-\lambda_{i} t} \varphi_{i}(y) \langle \nabla \varphi_{i}, \nabla f \rangle(x) \right) d\mathfrak{m}(x) \, d\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda_{i} e^{-2\lambda_{i} t} \int_{X} \psi(x) \varphi_{i}(x) \langle \nabla f, \nabla \varphi_{i} \rangle(x) \, d\mathfrak{m}(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda_{i} e^{-2\lambda_{i} t} \int_{X} \langle \psi \nabla f, \nabla \varphi_{i}^{2} \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{X} \operatorname{div}(\psi \nabla f) \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda_{i} e^{-2\lambda_{i} t} \varphi_{i}^{2} \right) d\mathfrak{m} \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand since

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}p(x,x,2t) = -2\sum_{i\geq 0}\lambda_i e^{-2\lambda_i t}\varphi_i(x)^2,$$
(3.5)

we have

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{X}\operatorname{div}(\psi\nabla f)\left(\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}e^{-2\lambda_{i}t}\varphi_{i}^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = \frac{1}{4}\int_{X}\operatorname{div}(\psi\nabla f)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}p(x,x,2t)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m},\tag{3.6}$$

which completes the proof because of (3.3) and (3.4).

Lemma 3.3. For all $f \in D(\Delta)$ and $\psi \in H^{1,2}(X,d,\mathfrak{m})$ we have

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{X} \int_{X} \psi(x) \langle \nabla f, \nabla_{x} | \nabla_{x} p |^{2} \rangle d\mathfrak{m}(x) d\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{X} \operatorname{div}(\psi \nabla f) \frac{d}{dt} p(x, x, 2t) d\mathfrak{m}(x) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{X} \operatorname{div}(\psi \nabla f) \Delta_{x} p(x, x, 2t) d\mathfrak{m}(x). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 it is enough to prove (3.7) under assuming $f \in \text{Test}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$. First assume $\psi \in \text{Test}F(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$. Let $\varphi := \text{div}(\psi \nabla f) \in H^{1,2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$. Then

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{X}\int_{X}\psi(x)\langle\nabla_{x}f,\nabla_{x}|\nabla_{x}p|^{2}\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\int_{X}\int_{X}\varphi(x)|\nabla_{x}p|^{2}\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\int_{X}\int_{X}\langle\nabla_{x}p,\nabla_{x}(\varphi p)\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{X}\int_{X}\langle p\nabla_{x}p,\nabla_{x}\varphi\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$=-\frac{1}{2}\int_{X}\int_{X}\varphi(x)p\Delta_{x}p\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y) - \frac{1}{4}\int_{X}\int_{X}\langle\nabla_{x}p^{2},\nabla_{x}\varphi\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$=-\frac{1}{2}\int_{X}\int_{X}\varphi(x)p\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}p\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y) + \frac{1}{4}\int_{X}\int_{X}\varphi\Delta_{x}p^{2}\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)$$

$$=-\frac{1}{4}\int_{X}\varphi(x)\left(\int_{X}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}p^{2}\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)\right)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\int_{X}\varphi(x)\left(\int_{X}\Delta_{x}p^{2}\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)\right)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)$$

$$=-\frac{1}{4}\int_{X}\varphi(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\int_{X}p^{2}\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)\right)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\int_{X}\varphi(x)\Delta_{x}\left(\int_{X}p^{2}\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(y)\right)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x)$$

$$=-\frac{1}{4}\int_{X}\varphi(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}p(x,x,2t)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\int_{X}\varphi(x)\Delta_{x}p(x,x,2t)\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}(x), \tag{3.8}$$

which proves (3.7), where we used (2.9).

Finally let us prove (3.7) for general $\psi \in H^{1,2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$. Let $\psi_L := (-L) \vee \psi \wedge L \in H^{1,2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}) \cap L^{\infty}(X, \mathfrak{m})$. Since (3.7) holds as $\psi = h_t(\psi_L)$ for all t > 0, letting $L \to \infty$ and then letting $t \to 0^+$ shows the desired claim.

Theorem 3.4 (Integration by parts on (X, g_t, \mathfrak{m})). For all $f \in D(\Delta)$ and $\psi \in H^{1,2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) \cap$ $L^{\infty}(X,\mathfrak{m})$ we have

$$\int_{X} \langle g_t, d\psi \otimes df \rangle d\mathfrak{m} = -\int_{X} \psi \Delta^t f d\mathfrak{m}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 yield

$$\begin{split} &\int_X \psi \langle \operatorname{Hess}_f, g_t \rangle \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m} \\ &= \int_X \int_X \psi(x) \langle \operatorname{Hess}_f, \operatorname{d}_x p \otimes \operatorname{d}_x p \rangle \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m}(y) \\ &= \int_X \int_X \psi(x) \langle \nabla_x p, \nabla_x \langle \nabla_x p, \nabla f \rangle \rangle \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m}(y) - \frac{1}{2} \int_X \int_X \psi(x) \langle \nabla f, \nabla_x | \nabla_x p |^2 \rangle \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m}(y) \\ &= - \int_X \langle g_t, \operatorname{d} f \otimes \operatorname{d} \psi \rangle \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m} + \frac{1}{4} \int_X \operatorname{div}(\psi \nabla f) \Delta_x p(x, x, 2t) \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m}(x) \\ &= - \int_X \langle g_t, \operatorname{d} f \otimes \operatorname{d} \psi \rangle \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m} - \frac{1}{4} \int_X \psi \langle \nabla f, \nabla_x \Delta_x p(x, x, 2t) \rangle \operatorname{d}\mathfrak{m}(x), \end{split}$$

which proves (3.9).

Characterization of noncollapsed RCD spaces 4

Proof of Theorem 1.2

Assume that (2) holds. Then the Bishop-Gromov inequality yields that (1.3) holds. Moreover it follows from Theorem 2.8 that (1.1) holds. This proves the implication from (2) to

Next we assume that (1) holds. Fix a nonconstant eigenfunction f of $-\Delta$ on $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$. Applying Theorem 3.4 as $\psi \equiv 1$ shows

$$0 = -\int_{X} \langle \operatorname{Hess}_{f}, \omega_{n} t^{(n+2)/2} g_{t} \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m} - \frac{1}{4} \int_{X} \langle \nabla \omega_{n} t^{(n+2)/2} p(x, x, 2t), \nabla \Delta f(x) \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m}(x). \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 2.11 yields that as $t \to 0^+$, the first term of the RHS of (4.1) converges to

$$-c_n \int_X \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_f) \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^n}{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = c_n \lambda \int_X f \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^n}{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}. \tag{4.2}$$

On the other hand Lemma 2.11 yields that as $t \to 0^+$, the second term of the RHS of (4.1) converges to 0. Thus (4.2) is equal to 0, in particular $\frac{d\mathcal{H}^n}{d\mathfrak{m}}$ is L^2 -orthogonal to f, which shows that $\frac{d\mathcal{H}^n}{d\mathfrak{m}}$ must be a constant function. For all $\psi \in D(\Delta)$ since

$$\psi = \sum_{i \ge 0} \left(\int_X \psi \varphi_i \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \right) \varphi_i \qquad \text{in } H^{1,2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$$
 (4.3)

and

$$\Delta \psi = -\sum_{i>0} \lambda_i \left(\int_X \psi \varphi_i \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \right) \varphi_i \qquad \text{in } L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$$
 (4.4)

(c.f. appendices of [AHTP18] and [Hon18]), combining (4.3) and (4.4) with (2.23) yields

$$\operatorname{Hess}_{\psi} = \sum_{i>0} \left(\int_{X} \psi \varphi_{i} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \right) \operatorname{Hess}_{\varphi_{i}} \quad \text{in } L^{2}((T^{*})^{\otimes 2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})). \tag{4.5}$$

In particular

$$\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_{\psi}) = \left\langle \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\int_{X} \psi \varphi_{i} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \right) \operatorname{Hess}_{\varphi_{i}}, g \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\int_{X} \psi \varphi_{i} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \right) \left\langle \operatorname{Hess}_{\varphi_{i}}, g \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\int_{X} \psi \varphi_{i} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \right) \Delta \varphi_{i} = -\sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda_{i} \left(\int_{X} \psi \varphi_{i} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \right) \varphi_{i} = \Delta \psi \quad \text{in } L^{2}(X, \mathfrak{m}). \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore if $\Delta \psi \in H^{1,2}(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$, then in the weak sense it holds that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla\psi|^{2} \ge |\operatorname{Hess}_{\psi}|^{2} + \langle\nabla\Delta\psi,\nabla\psi\rangle + K|\nabla\psi|^{2}$$

$$\ge \frac{(\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_{\psi}))^{2}}{n} + \langle\nabla\Delta\psi,\nabla\psi\rangle + K|\nabla\psi|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{(\Delta\psi)^{2}}{n} + \langle\nabla\Delta\psi,\nabla\psi\rangle + K|\nabla\psi|^{2}.$$
(4.7)

This shows that (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is an RCD(K, n) space. Thus we get (2).

4.2 Witten Laplacian on RCD spaces

Let us recall that for a closed manifold (M^n, g) with a smooth function $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M^n)$, the corresponding Laplacian of the weighted space $(M^n, g, e^{-\varphi} \text{vol}_g)$ is the Witten Laplacian Δ_{φ} , that is,

$$\int_{M^n} \langle \nabla f_1, \nabla f_2 \rangle e^{-\varphi} \, d\text{vol}_g = -\int_{M^n} f_1 \Delta_{\varphi} f_2 e^{-\varphi} \, d\text{vol}_g \qquad \forall f_i \in C^{\infty}(M^n), \tag{4.8}$$

where $\Delta_{\varphi} f := \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_f) - \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla f \rangle$. By using the formula (3.9) we can prove an analogous result in the nonsmooth setting. Compare with [Han15, Prop.3.5].

Theorem 4.1 (Witten Laplacian on RCD spaces). Let $n \in [1, \infty)$ and let (X, d) be a compact metric space satisfying that there exists C > 0 such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x)) \ge Cr^n \quad \forall x \in X, \ \forall r \in (0,1).$$
 (4.9)

If $(X, \mathsf{d}, e^{-\varphi}\mathcal{H}^n)$ is an $\mathrm{RCD}(K, N)$ space for some $N \in [1, \infty)$ and some $\varphi \in \mathrm{LIP}(X, \mathsf{d})$, then for all $f \in D(\Delta)$ we have

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_f) - \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla f \rangle \quad in \ L^2(X, \mathfrak{m}).$$
 (4.10)

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}:=e^{-\varphi}\mathcal{H}^n$. By Lemma 2.2 it is enough to prove (4.10) under assuming $f\in \mathrm{Test} F(X,\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m})$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{m}(B_r(x)) = \int_{B_r(x)} e^{-\varphi} \, d\mathcal{H}^n \ge C e^{-\max \varphi} r^n, \quad \forall x \in X, \ \forall r \in (0,1).$$
 (4.11)

Then by an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 we see that for all $\psi \in \mathrm{Test} F(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$

$$\int_{X} \langle \nabla \psi, \nabla f \rangle e^{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = -\int_{X} \psi \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Hess}_{f}) e^{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}. \tag{4.12}$$

Since the LHS of (4.12) is equal to

$$\int_{X} \langle \nabla(\psi e^{\varphi}), \nabla f \rangle \, d\mathfrak{m} - \int_{X} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla f \rangle \psi e^{\varphi} \, d\mathfrak{m} = -\int_{X} \psi e^{\varphi} \Delta f \, d\mathfrak{m} - \int_{X} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla f \rangle \psi e^{\varphi} \, d\mathfrak{m},$$
(4.13)

we have

$$\int_{X} \left(-\Delta f - \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla f \rangle + \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_{f}) \right) \psi e^{\varphi} d\mathfrak{m} = 0$$
(4.14)

which completes the proof of (4.10) because ψ is arbitrary.

We end this paper by giving another characterization of non-collapsed RCD spaces;

Corollary 4.2. Let $n, N \in [1, \infty)$ and let (X, d, \mathcal{H}^n) be a compact RCD(K, N) space. Then the following two conditions are equivalent;

1. There exists C > 0 such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x)) \ge Cr^n \quad \forall x \in X, \ \forall r \in (0,1). \tag{4.15}$$

2. (X, d, \mathcal{H}^n) is an RCD(K, n) space, that is, it is a non-collapsed space.

Proof. The implication from (2) to (1) is trivial because of the Bishop-Gromov inequality. Assume that (1) holds. Then applying Theorem 4.1 as $\varphi \equiv 0$ yields that (1.1) holds. Therefore Theorem 1.2 shows that (2) holds.

References

- [ACDM15] L. Ambrosio, M. Colombo, S. Di Marino: Sobolev spaces in metric measure spaces: reflexivity and lower semicontinuity of slope. Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. 67 (2015), 1–58.
- [AGS14a] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré: Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below. Invent. Math. 195 (2014), 289–391.
- [AGS14b] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré: Metric measure spaces with Riemannian Ricci curvature bounded from below. Duke Math. J. 163 (2014), 1405–1490.
- [AH17] L. Ambrosio, S. Honda: New stability results for sequences of metric measure spaces with uniform Ricci bounds from below. Measure theory in non-smooth spaces, 1–51, Partial Differ. Equ. Meas. Theory, De Gruyter Open, Warsaw, 2017.
- [AHT18] L. Ambrosio, S. Honda, D. Tewodrose: Short-time behavior of the heat kernel and Weyl's law on $RCD^*(K, N)$ -spaces, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **53**(1) (2018), 97-119.
- [AHTP18] L. Ambrosio, S. Honda, J. W. Portegies, D. Tewodrose: *Embedding* of $RCD^*(K, N)$ -spaces in L^2 via eigenfunctions, Arxiv preprint 1812.03712.
- [AMS15] L. Ambrosio, A. Mondino, G. Savaré: Nonlinear diffusion equations and curvature conditions in metric measure spaces. ArXiv preprint 1509.07273, to appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.

- [AMS16] L. Ambrosio, A. Mondino, G. Savaré: On the Bakry-Émery Condition, the Gradient Estimates and the Local-to-Global Property of RCD*(K, N) Metric Measure Spaces. J. Geom. Anal. **26** (2016), 24-56.
- [BK17] R. Bamler, B. Kleiner: Uniqueness and Stability of Ricci flow through singularities. ArXiv preprint: 1709.04122.
- [BBG94] P. BÉRARD, G. BESSON, S. GALLOT: Embedding Riemannian manifolds by their heat kernel, Geom. Funct. Anal. 4(4) (1994), 373–398.
- [BS18] E. Brué, D. Semola: Constancy of dimension for $RCD^*(K, N)$ spaces via regularity of Lagrangian flows. ArXiv preprint: 1803.04387 (2018), to appear in Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.
- [CM16] F. CAVALLETTI, E. MILMAN: The Globalization Theorem for the Curvature Dimension Condition. ArXiv preprint 1612.07623.
- [Ch99] J. Cheeger: Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces. Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (1999), 428–517.
- [CC97, CC00a, CC00b] J. CHEEGER, T. H. COLDING: On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I, II, III., J. Differential Geom. 46, 54, 54 (1997, 2000, 2000), 406–480, 13–35, 37–74.
- [CN12] T. H. COLDING, A. NABER: Sharp Hölder continuity of tangent cones for spaces with a lower Ricci curvature bound and applications. Ann. of Math. 176 (2012), 1173–1229.
- [DePhMR17] G. DE PHILIPPIS, A. MARCHESE, F. RINDLER: On a conjecture of Cheeger, in Measure Theory in Non-Smooth Spaces, 145-155, De Gruyter Open, Warsaw, 2017.
- [DePhG18] G. De Philippis, N. Gigli: Non-collapsed spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, Journal de l'École polytechnique, 5 (2018), 613–650.
- [EKS15] M. Erbar, K. Kuwada, K.-T. Sturm: On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochner's inequality on metric measure spaces. Invent. Math. **201** (2015), 993–1071.
- [GM14] N. GAROFALO, A. MONDINO: Li-Yau and Harnack type inequalities in $RCD^*(K, N)$ metric measure spaces, Nonlinear Analysis **95** (2014), 721–734.
- [G13] N. Gigli: The splitting theorem in non-smooth context. ArXiv preprint 1302.5555.
- [G15a] N. Gigli: On the differential structure of metric measure spaces and applications. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **236** (2015), no. 1113.
- [G18] N. Gigli: Nonsmooth differential geometry An approach tailored for spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 251 (2018), no. 1196.
- [GM14] N. Gigli, C. Mantegazza: A flow tangent to the Ricci flow via heat kernels and mass transport. Adv. Math. 250 (2014), 74–104.

- [GMR15] N. GIGLI, A. MONDINO, T. RAJALA: Euclidean spaces as weak tangents of infinitesimally Hilbertian metric measure spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. J. reine angew. Math. 705 (2015), 233-244.
- [GP16] N. GIGLI, E. PASQUALETTO: Behaviour of the reference measure on RCD spaces under charts, ArXiv preprint: 1607.05188 (2016), to appear in Comm. Anal. and Geom.
- [HK00] P. Hajłasz, P. Koskela, Sobolev met Poincaré. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2000), no. 688.
- [Han15] B.-X. HAN, Conformal transformation on metric measure spaces. Arxiv: 1511.03115v3, to appear in Potent. Anal.
- [Han18] B.-X. HAN, Ricci tensor on $RCD^*(K, N)$ spaces. J. Geom. Anal **28** (2018), 1295–1314.
- [Han19] B.-X. Han, Measure rigidity of synthetic lower Ricci curvature bound on Riemannian manifolds. ArXiv preprint 1902.00842v2.
- [Hon18] S. Honda: Bakry-Émery conditions on almost smooth metric measure space Anal. Geome. Metr. Spaces. 6 (2018), 129–145.
- [HM19] S. HONDA, I. MONDELLO: Sphere theorems for RCD and stratified spaces. ArXiv preprint, 1907.03482v2.
- [J15] R. Jiang: The Li-Yau Inequality and Heat Kernels on Metric Measure Spaces, J. Math. Pures Appl. **104** (2015), 29–57.
- [JLZ16] R. Jiang, H. Li, and H.-C. Zhang: Heat Kernel Bounds on Metric Measure Spaces and Some Applications, Potent. Anal. 44 (2016), 601–627.
- [KK19] V. KAPOVITCH, C. KETTERER: Weakly noncollapsed RCD spaces with upper curvature bounds, ArXiv preprint: 1901.06966.
- [KM19] V. KAPOVITCH, A. MONDINO: On the topology and the boundary of N-dimensional RCD(K, N) spaces, ArXiv preprint: 11907.02614v2.
- [KL17] B. KLEINER, J. LOTT: Singular Ricci flows I, Acta Math. 219 (2017), 65–134.
- [KL18] B. Kleiner, J. Lott: Singular Ricci flows II, ArXiv preprint: 1804.03265v2, to appear, Birkhauser Progess in Mathematics series.
- [KM16] M. Kell, A. Mondino: On the volume measure of non-smooth spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, to appear in Annali Sc. Norm. Sup., ArXiv preprint: 1607.02036 (2016).
- [K17] Y. KITABEPPU: A Bishop-type inequality on metric measure spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, Proc. AMS, 145 (2017), 3137–3151
- [LV09] J. Lott, C. Villani: Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport. Ann. of Math. 169 (2009), 903–991.
- [MN19] A. Mondino, A. Naber: Structure theory of metric measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 21 (2019), 1809—1854.

- [Raj12] T. Rajala: Local Poincaré inequalities from stable curvature conditions on metric spaces. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 44(3) (2012), 477–494.
- [St95] K.-T. Sturm: Analysis on local Dirichlet spaces. II. Upper Gaussian estimates for the fundamental solutions of parabolic equations, Osaka J. Math. **32**(2) (1995), 275–312.
- [St96] K.-T. Sturm: Analysis on local Dirichlet spaces. III. The parabolic Harnack inequality, J. Math. Pures Appl. **75**(9) (1996), 273-297.
- [St06] K.-T. Sturm: On the geometry of metric measure spaces, I and II. Acta Math. 196 (2006), 65–131 and 133–177.
- [Vi09] C. VILLANI: Optimal transport. Old and new, vol. 338 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [VR09] M. -K. VON RENESSE: On local Poincaré via transportation, Math. Z., 259 (2008), 21–31.
- [W00] N. Weaver: Lipschitz algebras and derivations. II. Exterior differentiation, J. Funct. Anal. 178 (2000), 64–112.