Interacting vacuum at infinity

G. Kittou*

Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East, Kuwait

April 25, 2019

Abstract

We apply the central extension technique of Poincaré to dynamics involving an interacting mixture of pressureless matter and vacuum near a finite-time singularity. We show that the only attractor solution on the circle of infinity is the one describing a vanishing matter-vacuum model at early times.

Keywords— Chaplygin Gas, Interacting vacuum, CDM, Asymptotic analysis, Poincaré compactification, Finite-time singularities

AMSC: 83C75, 83F05

1 Introduction

We say that a general relativistic spacetime exists globally if it is geodesically complete for an infinite duration of time. On the contrary, singular universes imply the occurrence of a finite-time singularity [1, 2]. Consequently the spacetime is not extendable for eternity. Since Einstein's General Theory of Relativity predicts the existence of finite-time singularities in cosmological models [3, 4], it is essential to find appropriate tools to analyse the stability of those spacetime singularities.

A most known method to represent the structure of spacetime at infinity is the method of central projections [5, 6, 7]. Wherein the

^{*}georgia.kittou@aum.edu.kw

structure of a physical spacetime is conformally changed in a way that infinity becomes the boundary of a new unphysical spacetime [8].

In previous works [9, 10, 11] and authors in [12] have studied the asymptotic dynamics near finite-time singularities of flat and curved universes filled with interacting fluids. Especially in [13], we considered the GCG proposal to model a unified dark energy model describing an interaction between dark matter and vacuum, and came up with interesting dominant features on approach to a finite-time singularity.

To be more precise, two asymptotic solutions were discussed in details in [13]; The first behaviour was asymptotically obtained in the absence of interaction and in the frame of the GCG model [14]. For this case, the dominant features of the cosmological model were found to be identical to those of a CDM model [15], with a collapse singularity placed at early times. The second asymptotic behaviour found describes the case a cosmological model in a Quasi-de Sitter regime with energy being transferred from dark matter to vacuum. In fact, if the energy transfer from dark matter to vacuum is without bond, the model approaches de Sitter universe with a sudden-type singularity [11] placed at late times.

In this work we are interested in characterising the stability of the aforementioned solutions at infinity using the method of central projections. It is expected that the results of applying the method will give extra information on the qualitative behaviour of the asymptotic orbits in the vicinity of a finite-time singularity. Therefore, we strongly believe that the method of central projections along with the method of asymptotic splittings will provide a complete description of the dominant part of the vector field at infinity.

The plan of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we define the autonomous system that describes the energy exchange between dark matter and vacuum in the GCG regime as found in [13] and briefly study its local dynamics. In Section 3, a transformation of variables is introduced in order to transform the vector field describing the energy exchange. To continue with, on the transformed system, we apply the method of central projection. In Section 4, we present the qualitative behaviour of the solution at infinity. In Section 5, we provide a brief description of the dynamical character of the finite-time singularities using the Bel-Robinson energy. In the last section, we discuss that various results extracted from this paper.

2 Interacting Vacuum

Consider a 2-fluid cosmological model in a flat FRW universe describing an energy flow from dark matter to vacuum. The asymptotic

dynamics of such a model where exploited in details in [13], wherein the Einstein equations for such an interaction read

$$3H^2 = \rho_m + \rho_V \tag{1}$$

where ρ_m and ρ_V the energy densities for matter and vacuum respectively and H the Hubble parameter. Assuming an interaction of the form [20]

$$Q = 3\alpha H \frac{\rho_m \rho_V}{\rho_m + \rho_V},\tag{2}$$

where $0 < \alpha \le 1$ a positive constant in the GCG regime, the continuity equations read

$$\dot{\rho_m} + 3H\rho_m = -Q \tag{3}$$

$$\dot{\rho_V} = Q. \tag{4}$$

By combining Eqs. (1), (3) and (4) we end up with the following nonlinear, second order differential equation

$$\ddot{H} + 3(\alpha + 1)H\dot{H} + 2\alpha\dot{H}^2/H = 0.$$
 (5)

It is very useful to our asymptotic analysis to write the differential equation above in a form of an autonomous dynamical system. By renaming x = H and $y = \dot{H}$ we find

$$\dot{x} = y$$

$$\dot{y} = -3(\alpha + 1)xy - 2\alpha \frac{y^2}{x}.$$
(6)

We have argued in previous work [13], that assuming power-law solutions of the form H = p/t, where p a constant, then the system above admits the following solutions

$$p(2-3p) = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha \le 1. \tag{7}$$

For p = 0 the Hubble parameter is identically equal to zero. Since we are assuming expanding universes, this solution is rejected. On the other hand, for p = 2/3, the Hubble parameter and the scale factor satisfy

$$H(t) = \frac{2}{3}t^{-1}, \quad a(t) = t^{2/3}.$$
 (8)

Hence the system admits only one exact power-law solution that describes a matter-dominated universe.

The goal of this section now is to find a qualitative description of the Hubble parameter x = H with respect to time. As shown above, exact solutions may be obtained for Eq. (5). It is more useful though to obtain a complete understanding of the solution space.

2.1 Local Analysis

For the nonlinear system above, important information for its global dynamics can be derived by examining the local dynamics of the corresponding linear system (to be determined below), in the neighbourhood of all possible equilibria. We note here that we consider only the cases of expanding universes (that is H > 0), hence x > 0. Therefore, the physical phase space is $\mathcal{M} = \{(x, y) : x > 0, y \in \mathcal{R}\}$.

To begin with the phase space analysis, one must determine the critical points (x^*, y^*) for the system (6). These are the points where the time derivatives of the variables (x, y) in Eq. (6) vanish simultaneously. Additionally, the equilibrium points are met at the intersection of the nullclines N_x and N_y [6] as shown below,

$$\dot{x} = N_x = 0, \quad \dot{y} = N_y = 0,$$
 (9)

and represent the asymptotic behaviour either at the beginning or at the end of the evolution.

It occurs that the nullclines are described by the equations below,

$$N_x = \{y = 0\}, \quad N_y = \{y = 0\} \cup \left\{y = -\frac{3(\alpha + 1)}{2\alpha}x^2\right\}, \quad \text{for} \quad x > 0.$$
 (10)

Therefore, for the given system (6) there are not any isolated equilibria. Instead, $y^* = 0$ consists of a line of equilibria.

A comment about the nullclines of the system is in order. The nullclines N_x and N_y divide the phase space into three regions; For the first region [x>0,y>0], all initial conditions that set off in the first quadrant, eventually reach the line of equilibria $y^*=0$. For the second region $[x>0,-3(\alpha+1)x^2/(2\alpha)< y<0]$, initial conditions move upwards and end up approaching the line of equilibria $y^*=0$, whereas initial conditions that satisfy $[x>0,y<-3(\alpha+1)x^2/(2\alpha)]$ eventually move away towards infinity.

We can conclude that the line of equilibria $y^* = 0$ (for x > 0), is a global attractor for all initial conditions in the interior of the first two regions as described above. In the next paragraph, we will affirm the result of this qualitative analysis by linearization and by examining the stability of the line of equilibria.

2.2 Stability of critical points in the finite plane

An important part of phase plane analysis is to determine the critical points of the system (6). These fixed points are met at the intersections of the nullclines, in our case this is the line of equilibria $y^* = 0$. The

linear part of the system on the line of equilibria $(x^*,0)$ reads

$$\mathcal{D}f(x^*,0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 0 & -3(\alpha+1)x^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 = -3(\alpha + 1)x^*,$$
 (12)

with a pair of correlated eigenvectors

$$\vec{v_1}^T = (1,0) \quad \vec{v_2}^T = [1, -3(\alpha+1)x^*].$$
 (13)

Since x > 0, the line of equilibria $y^* = 0$ attracts all other solutions along parallel lines to $\vec{v_2}$ [6], as already discussed above. Given that x = H and $y = \dot{H}$, we see that the line of equilibria corresponds to solutions of type $H \propto \mathcal{C}$ and $a(t) \propto \exp \mathcal{C}t$, where \mathcal{C} a cosmological constant. Therefore, at late times, under certain initial conditions, trajectories move towards de-Sitter universe.

Now, in order to fully describe the qualitative evolution of the system, we must study the asymptotic behaviour of the system around finite-time singularities (if any). This analysis is shown in the next section.

3 Asymptotic analysis and infinity

It has been argued in [13] that in the vicinity of a finite-time singularity, two types of asymptotic solutions arise depending on the value of the GCG constant α . These solutions describe in turn two different epochs in the evolution of the universe; the first solution describes a CDM universe with finite-time singularity placed at early times (see Eq. (32) and Eq. (51) in [13]). The second solution found (see Eq. (43) in [13]) describes an exponential expansion of the universe at late times, and the universe asymptotically approaches the known singular free de-Sitter universe. This is precisely the solution described above in the finite phase plane analysis.

We note here that in [13] an intermediate phase of expansion was found when certain conditions were met with a finite-time singularity placed at late times. Our task now is to study whether or not the solution describing a CDM universe is asymptotically an attractor solution around the finite-time singularity at early times.

We recall from [13] that the system (6) consists of the following

quasi-homogeneous decompositions ¹

$$f_I(x,y) = \{[y, -3(\alpha+1)xy] + (0, -2\alpha\frac{y^2}{x})\},$$
 (14)

$$f_{II}(x,y) = \{(y, -2\alpha \frac{y^2}{x}) + [0, -3(\alpha + 1)xy]\},$$
 (15)

$$f_{III}(x,y) = [y, 3(\alpha+1) - 2\alpha \frac{y^2}{x}],$$
 (16)

Each one of the decompositions above is spitted uniquely into a dominant and a subdominant vector. As follows, each dominant part defines a unique dominant balance which in turn describes the evolution of the scale factor in the vicinity of the finite-time singularity. These balances are defined below

$$\mathcal{B}_{I} = \left[\left(\frac{2}{3(\alpha+1)}, -\frac{2}{3(\alpha+1)} \right), (-1, -2) \right]$$
 (17)

$$\mathcal{B}_{II} = \left[\left(\theta, \frac{\theta}{2\alpha + 1} \right), \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha + 1}, \frac{-2\alpha}{2\alpha + 1} \right) \right], \tag{18}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{III} = \left[\left(\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3} \right), (-1, -2) \right],$$
 (19)

and are valid asymptotically as $t \to 0$. We note here that each balance above is obtained by substituting in the dominant part of each decomposition above the forms $x(t) = \theta t^{(w_1)}, y(t) = \xi t^{(w_2)}$ where $(\theta, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $(p, q) \in \mathcal{Q}$. The dominant exponents (w_1, w_2) define the weight-vector of each balance. As argued before, the second balance (18) describes the evolution of the universe at late times where all solutions approach asymptotically the de-Sitter universe.

Our goal now is to examine the qualitative behaviour at infinity of the first and third balance, described by the Eq. (17) and Eq. (19) respectively. To do so, two transformation of variables are applied in the asymptotic system (6). The first transformation of variables is applied the next paragraph, wherein the system (6) through a change of variables becomes polynomial. A second transformation is then applied in subsection 3.2 the new polynomial system so that infinity is mapped to a finite point on the so called 'circle of infinity'. This mapping is known as Poincaré compactification [6].

¹We say that a system $(\dot{x},\dot{y}) = [P(x,y),Q(x,y)]$ is quasi-homogeneous or weight-homogeneous if there exist $\mathbf{w} = (w_1,w_2) \in \mathcal{N}^2$ and $d \in \mathcal{N}$ such that for arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^+$ we have $P(\lambda^{w_1}x,\lambda_{w_2}y) = \lambda^{w_1-1+d}P(x,y),Q(\lambda^{w_1}x,\lambda^{w_2}y) = \lambda^{w_1-1+d}Q(x,y)$. We call $\mathbf{w} = (w_1,w_2)$ the weight-vector of system (\dot{x},\dot{y}) and d the weight degree.

3.1 The polynomial system

In what follows, we apply the change of variables [18, 19]

$$x = u^{1/|w_2|}, \quad y = (uv)^{1/|w_1|}$$
 (20)

with inverse

$$u = x^{|w_2|}$$
 $v = \frac{y^{|w_1|}}{x^{|w_2|}},$ (21)

and a rescaling of time $dn/dt = u^{1/2}$. This is done in order to transform the dominant parts of the quasi-homogeneous decompositions above into a polynomial system of the form

$$u' = uf(v), \quad v' = g(v), \tag{22}$$

where prime (') denotes differentiation with respect to the new variable n. This change of variables is necessary to be done as Poincaré compactification is applied only to polynomial vector fields [5]. The phase space for the new transformed system (22) is $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \{(u, v) : u > 0, v \in \mathcal{R}\}$.

We note here that we apply the the transformation only on the "All-terms-dominant decomposition" of the system, namely Eq. (16) for $0 < \alpha \le 1^2$. To continue with, we use the weight-vector $\mathbf{w} = (|w_1|, |w_2|) = (1, 2)$ and derive the following polynomial system

$$u' = 2uv v' = -3(\alpha + 1)v - 2(\alpha + 1)v^{2}.$$
 (23)

We mention that phase portrait analysis of the transformed system above is qualitatively the same as the local analysis of the original system (6). It can be shown that $v^* = 0$ is a line of equilibria that asymptotically attracts all solutions under certain initial conditions. Additionally, solutions that set off in the region (u > 0, y < -3/2) move away towards infinity. This is an important result as it shows that the transformation that we use preserves the local properties of the original system (6). In what follows, we will apply the method of central projection in the system (23).

3.2 Poincaré compactification

Let us now start our analysis by applying the transformation (20) on the decomposition described by the vector $[y, -3(\alpha+1)xy - 2\alpha y^2/x]$.

²The first decomposition can be derived by setting $\alpha \to 0$ while the second decomposition is qualitatively described in the local phase portrait analysis in previous sections.

Recall that the dominant properties of the system are described by the balance

$$\mathcal{B}_{III} = \left[\left(\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3} \right), (-1, -2) \right],$$
 (24)

with a general asymptotic solution [13] that reads

$$x(t) = \frac{2}{3}t^{-1} + c_{31}t^2 + \cdots, \quad as \quad t \to 0, \quad \alpha \to 0.$$
 (25)

In what follows, we discuss the stability of the system (23) near finitetime singularities and argue whether or not the asymptotic solution (25) is an attractor solution at early times. This is accomplished by a projection from \mathbb{R}^2 to the northern hemisphere of a sphere (the Poincarè sphere) [6] described by

$$S^{2+} = \{ (X, Y, Z) : X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1, Z \ge 0 \}.$$
 (26)

Geometrically speaking, each point $(u, v) \in \mathcal{R}^2$ is projected, through the center of the sphere, to a unique point in \mathcal{S}^{2+} in such a way that "infinity" for (u, v) is now mapped to the circle of infinity $X^2 + Y^2 = 1$.

Under the map

$$u = \frac{X}{Z}$$
, and $v = \frac{Y}{Z}$ (27)

the planar system (23) becomes the singular system [5, 6, 7, 21]

$$\dot{X} = Z[(1 - X^2)P - XYQ]$$
 $\dot{Y} = Z[-XYP + (1 - Y^2)Q]$
 $\dot{Z} = -Z^2(XP + YQ),$
(28)

where

$$P = P\left(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z}\right) = \frac{2XY}{Z^2},$$

$$Q = Q\left(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z}\right) = -3\frac{Y}{Z} - 2\frac{Y^2}{Z^2}.$$
(29)

In terms of the initial variable, Hubble parameter H, the asymptotic mapping (27) reads

$$H^2 = \frac{X}{Z}, \quad \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{Y}{Z}.\tag{30}$$

After a series of manipulations that include time rescaling [6] and the following regularisation of the functions 3

$$P^*(X,Y,Z) = Z^m P\left(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z}\right) = 2XY,$$

$$Q^*(X,Y,Z) = Z^m Q\left(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z}\right) = -3YZ(\alpha+1) - 2Y^2(\alpha+1)(31)$$

³Where m is the maximum degree of P, Q here m = 2.

the singular system (28) becomes complete and valid on \mathcal{S}^{2+} . The complete system reads

$$X' = -Y[XQ^*(X,Y) - YP^*(X,Y)]$$

$$Y' = X[XQ^*(X,Y) - YP^*(X,Y)].$$
(32)

along $X^2 + Y^2 = 1$. It follows that the equilibrium points at infinity occurs for

$$XQ^{*}(X,Y) - YP^{*}(X,Y) = 0, (33)$$

along $X^2 + Y^2 = 1$.

Having regard to the above, the flow defined by system (23) near its finite-time singularities is equivalent to the flow of the system (32) near its equilibria on the equator of Poincarè sphere.

Now, substituting the forms (31) into Eq. (33), we come to the conclusion that the equilibria at infinity correspond to those (X,Y) points that satisfy the following condition

$$-2XY^{2}(\alpha+2) = 0$$
 along $X^{2} + Y^{2} = 1$. (34)

These are the points (X,Y)=(1,0) and $(X,Y)=(0,\pm 1)$. We note here that the point (-1,0) on Poincarè disk is not taken into account as it represents the case of contracting universes, already excluded from the analysis. The flow on the equator of Poincarè sphere is clockwise for those (X,Y) points satisfying X>0 [5].

4 Flow at infinity

Let us now study the flow near the equilibria along the circle of infinity by defining a fan-out map [8] for equilibria occurring at Y > 0 and X > 0 respectively.

For example we consider first the equilibrium point (X,Y)=(0,1). Then the transformation

$$\xi = \frac{X}{Y}, \quad \zeta = \frac{Z}{Y} \tag{35}$$

projects (X, Y, Z) onto the plane tangent to the S^{2+} sphere at Y = 1.

Equilibrium on the equator of the Poincarè sphere satisfying Y > 0

$$\dot{\xi} = \zeta^m P^* \left(\frac{\xi}{\zeta}, \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) - \xi \zeta^m Q^* \left(\frac{\xi}{\zeta}, \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) \tag{36}$$

$$\dot{\zeta} = -\zeta^{m+1} Q^* \left(\frac{\xi}{\zeta}, \frac{1}{\zeta} \right). \tag{37}$$

After some manipulations we find that the system reads

$$\dot{\xi} = 2\xi(\alpha+1)
\dot{\zeta} = 2\zeta(\alpha+1).$$
(38)

Note that the equilibrium is at $(\xi_0, \zeta_0) = (0, 0)$. The linearization about the origin is

$$\mathcal{D}f = \begin{pmatrix} 2(\alpha+2) & 0\\ 0 & 2(\alpha+1) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{39}$$

The eigenvalues are given by $\lambda_1 = 2(\alpha + 2)$ and $\lambda_2 = 2(\alpha + 1)$. Consequently, the equilibrium point $(\xi_0, \zeta_0) = (0, 0)$ is a hyperbolic point-unstable node since $0 < \alpha \le 1$ (see [6] for more information on definition). Thus the flow for the diametrically opposed points with Y < 0 is opposite. This makes the point Y = -1 on the circle of infinity a stable node and an attractor solution at early times. Since

$$v = \frac{Y}{Z}$$
, and $v = \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$, (40)

it occurs that on the circle of infinity $\dot{H}/H^2 \sim -1$. After integration, the asymptotic solution for the Hubble parameter satisfies the form $H \sim t^{-1}$, which is diverging on approach to the finite-time singularity. On the other hand, after some manipulation we conclude that the scale factor satisfies a power-law form solution, approaching zero in the vicinity of the finite-time singularity. Therefore we can conclude that on the circle of infinity, the point Y = -1 represents asymptotically the CDM era at early times.

Equilibrium on the equator of the Poincarè sphere satisfying X > 0 To continue with, let us now consider the equilibrium point (X, Y) = (1, 0). Then the transformation

$$n = \frac{Y}{X}, \quad \zeta = \frac{Z}{X} \tag{41}$$

projects (X, Y, Z) onto the plane tangent to the S^{2+} sphere at X = 1.

$$\dot{n} = \zeta^m Q^* \left(\frac{1}{\zeta}, \frac{n}{\zeta} \right) - n \zeta^m P^* \left(\frac{1}{\zeta}, \frac{n}{\zeta} \right) \tag{42}$$

$$\dot{\zeta} = -\zeta^{m+1} P^* \left(\frac{1}{\zeta}, \frac{n}{\zeta} \right). \tag{43}$$

After some manipulations we find that the system reads

$$\dot{n} = -2n^2(\alpha + 2)
\dot{\zeta} = 2n\zeta.$$
(44)

Note that the equilibrium is at $(n_0, \zeta_0) = (0, 0)$. The linearization about the origin is

$$\mathcal{D}f = \begin{pmatrix} -4n_0(\alpha + 2) & 0\\ -2\zeta_0 & -2n_0 \end{pmatrix},\tag{45}$$

which leads to the doubly degenerate equilibrium case [6] with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}f(n_0, \zeta_0) = 0$. In order to study such a case of nonhyberbolic node, we transform the system (44) to polar coordinates by assuming that

$$n = r\cos\theta \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = r\sin\theta.$$
 (46)

After some manipulation, we derive the following expression

$$\frac{dr}{r} = \frac{-(1+\cos^2\theta)}{\cos\theta\sin\theta}d\theta,\tag{47}$$

which gives (see [6])

$$\ln r = \ln r_0 + \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \frac{-2(1+\cos^2\phi)}{\sin 2\phi} d\phi.$$
 (48)

From the integral (48) given above, we deduce that the denominator vanishes for $\theta = k\pi$ where $k \in \mathcal{N}_0^+$. These angles $\theta = \theta_c$ define an asymptotic direction of approach to the origin. Hence, the origin is a nonhyberbolic node and the phase portrait is divided into hyperbolic sectors [6].

In terms of the basic variable, the equilibrium point (1,0) corresponds to

$$v = \frac{Y}{Z}$$
, thus $v = \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \sim 0$. (49)

After some calculations, the asymptotic solution for the Hubble parameter equals a constant (that is the cosmological constant), while that scale factor satisfies an exponential expansion. Therefore we can conclude that on the circle of infinity, the point X=1 represents asymptotically the de-Sitter universe at late times. The latter is already proven in previous sections.

5 Classification of singularities

In this section, we are interested in the complete classification of all possible finite-time singularities that the system of equations (6) admits.

The geometric spacetime singularities of Einstein equations as predicted by an application of the singularity theorems [3, 4], can be related to possible

finite-time singularities that a dynamical system approach to these equations may exhibit [11]. However, the nature of these dynamical singularities is a more complicated problem to be addressed in this section. For this purpose, we shall use the techniques developed in [22, 24, 25, 26, 27] which are based on the use of an invariant geometric quantity associated to the matter content of the universe, the Bel-Robinson energy.

For a flat FRW universe, the asymptotic behaviour of the Hubble parameter, the scale factor and the matter fields contribution (electric parts of Bel-Robinson energy) on approach to the finite time singularity ($t \to 0$) provides a complete classification on the dynamical character of the singularity.

We start our analysis by examining the power-law solution (25). Here the most dominant part of the dynamical quantities read

$$x(t) = H(t) = \frac{2}{3}t^{-1}, \quad a(t) = t^{2/3}.$$
 (50)

The electric parts of Bel-Robinson energy⁴ read

$$|E|^2 = 3\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)^2, \quad |D|^2 = 3\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^4, \tag{51}$$

hence

$$|E| \propto t^{-2}, \quad |D| \propto t^{-1}. \tag{52}$$

On approach to the finite-time singularity $(t \to 0)$ the asymptotic quantities above become

$$a(t) \propto t^{2/3} \to 0$$
, $H(t) \propto t^{-1} \to \infty$, $|E(t)| \to \infty$, $|D(t)| \to \infty$. (53)

This is the strongest type out of all singularities, described by the triplet (S_1, N_1, B_1) [25] or known as a Big-Bang type singularity. This type of singularity occurs during the very early stages of the universe and it describes asymptotically a model with a collapsing scale factor and diverging Hubble parameter, energy density and pressure.

For all the reasons described above, the asymptotic solution (25) resulting from decompositions (14) and (16) exhibits a past-collapse singularity placed at early times with dark matter being the dominant matter component.

Now, the second interesting solution of our analysis has a completely different asymptotic analysis. As we discussed earlier, at late

⁴We note here that the first component |E| is related to the total energy density ρ_{tot} and pressure p_{tot} of the interacting fluids, thus the Rayhadhuri equation, whereas the |D| component is related to the total energy density of the interacting fluids, thus the Friedman equation

times the dark energy component is increasingly the dominant matter component and the universe is driven towards de-Sitter spacetime with the Hubble parameter and scale factor satisfying

$$H(t) = \mathcal{C}, \quad a(t) = \exp(\mathcal{C}t),$$
 (54)

while the electric parts of Bel-Robinson energy read

$$|E| \propto E_s, \quad |D| \propto D_s,$$
 (55)

where E_s, D_s nonzero constant values. It follows that asymptotically at late times the solutions become

$$a(t) \to a_s \neq 0, \quad H(t) \to \mathcal{C} \quad |E(t)| < \infty, \quad |D(t)| < \infty.$$
 (56)

Here, if we only consider the asymptotic characters of the scale factor and the Hubble parameter, the equation above describes a sudden type singularity (S_3, N_2) [25] or Type II [22, 23, 12]. Nevertheless, in addition to the (S_3, N_2) pair, the finite values of the electric parts of Bel-Robinson is an indication that at late times the singularity is avoided, and the de-Sitter space is complete.

6 Discussion

An asymptotic representation of the structure of solutions at infinity is carried out by the method of central projection to complete the asymptotic results of the method of asymptotic splittings performed previously [13]. This local method characterises the asymptotic properties of the solutions of a given dynamical system in the vicinity of its finite-time singularity.

In the beginning of our analysis, through a change of variables we transformed the non linear quasi-homogeneous vector field into a planar polynomial vector field. We continued by applying the Poincaré central projection to the planar vector field in order to treat infinity as the boundary of an unphysical spacetime [8].

It is shown that for such unified model the interaction is asymptotically vanishing at early times and the contribution of dark energy (as cosmological constant) is negligible. Hence, the model is indistinguishable from CDM universe. Such a model attains a pole-like [11] type of singularity and it is proved in previous works [9, 10, 13] that such a dominant behaviour is an attractor of all possible asymptotic solutions on approach to the finite-time singularity placed at early times.

We completed the asymptotic analysis by discussing the stability of the finite equilibria of the system (6). We found that the line of equilibria y=0, for x>0 is an attractor solution for all orbits that begin off in a certain region in the phase-plane. We note here that the line of equilibria y=0 corresponds to the solution $\dot{H}=0$, that is $H=\mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C} (a constant of integration) plays the role of a cosmological constant. Therefore, we conclude that as $t\to\infty$, the cosmological model will approach de Sitter spacetime.

On the other hand, solutions that are driven towards infinity while reaching a finite-time singularity, approach a stable node on Poicaré disc . This is precisely the CDM epoch at early times.

Finally we can conclude that interacting vacuum is indeed viable as at early times, in the limit of vanishing interaction, the model reproduces the properties of a matter dominated universe, while at late times the model approaches de Sitter universe as expected from the generalised Chaplygin gas model.

References

- [1] Y.C. Bruhat, S. Cotsakis, Global Hyperbolicity and Completeness, J. Geom. Phys. **43** (2002) 345, [arXiv:gr-qc/0201057].
- [2] Y.C. Bruhat, S. Cotsakis, *Completeness theorems in general relativity*, Recent Developments in Gravity, Proceedings of the 10nth Hellenic Relativity Conference, World Scientific Singapore (2003).
- [3] S.W. Hawking, G.F.R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press (1973).
- [4] S.W. Hawking, *The occurrence of singularities in cosmology II*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A **294**, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 511 (1966).
- [5] L. Perko, Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems, Texts in Applied Mathematics, 3rd edition, Springer, New York (2000).
- [6] J.D. Meiss, *Differential Dynamical Systems*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia (2007).
- [7] F. Dumortier, J. Llibre, J.C. Artés, Qualitative Theory of Planar Differential Systems, Springer New York (2006).
- [8] S. Cotsakis, Asymptotic Poincaré compactification and finitetime singularities, Gravit. Cosmol. 19 (2013) 240, [arXiv: grqc/1301.4778].
- [9] S. Cotsakis, G. Kittou, Singularities in cosmologies with interacting fluids, Physics Letters B, 712, (2012) 16, [arXiv: grqc/1202.1407].

- [10] S. Cotsakis, G. Kittou, Flat limits of curved interacting cosmic fluids, Phys. Rev. D 88, 083514 (2013), [arXiv: gr-qc/1307.0377].
- [11] G. Kittou, Cosmological singularities in multi-fluid universes, PhD Thesis, University of the Aegean, Greece, (2015).
- [12] S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou, Dynamical Systems Perspective of Cosmological Finite-time Singularities in f(R) Gravity and Interacting Multifluid Cosmology, Phys. Rev. D **98** 024013 (2018)
- [13] G.Kittou, *Is interacting vacuum viable?*, Phys. Let. B **785** (2018) 621, [arXiv: 1801.09186v3]
- [14] V. Gorini, A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella, V. Pasquier, *The Chaplygin gas as a model for dark energy*, [arXiv:gr-qc/0403062].
- [15] M.C. Bento, O. Bertolami, A.A. Sen, *The Revival of the Unified Dark Energy-Dark Matter Model?*, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 083519 (2004), [arXiv: astro-ph/0407239].
- [16] S. Cotsakis and J.D. Barrow, The Dominant Balance at Cosmological Singularities, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 68, 012004 (2007), [arXiv: gr-qc/0608137].
- [17] A. Goriely, Integrability and Nonintegrability of Dynamical Systems, World Scientific Singapore (2001).
- [18] J. Giné, J. Llibre, C. Valls, Centers of weight-homogeneous polynomial vector fields on the plane, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 145, (2017) 2539.
- [19] J. Gine, M. Grau, J. Llibrè, Polynomials and rational first integrals for planar quasi-homogeneous, polynomial differential systems, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 33 (2013) 4531
- [20] D. Wands, J. De-Santiago and Y. Wang, *Inhomogeneous Vacuum Energy*, Class. Quant. Grav. **29** 145017 (2012), [arXiv:1203.6776].
- [21] S. Lefschetz, Differential Equations: Geometric Theory, Dover Publications; 2nd edition US (1977).
- [22] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, S. Tsujikawa, Properties of singularities in (phantom) dark energy universe, Phys. Rev. D 71 063004 (2005).
- [23] S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou, Finite-time Singularities in Swampland-related Dark Energy Models, [gr-qc/arXiv:1810.03575].
- [24] R.R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski, N.N. Weinberg, *Phantom Energy and Cosmic Doomsday*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** 071301 (2003).

- [25] I. Klaoudatou, The nature of cosmological singularities in isotropic universes and braneworlds, PhD Thesis, University of the Aegean, Greece (2008).
- [26] J.D. Barrow, More general sudden singularities, Class. Quantum Grav. 21 (2004) L79.
- [27] J.D Barrow, G.J Galloway, F.J Tipler, *The closed-universe recollapse conjecture*, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **223** (1986) 835.