FSRQ/BL Lac dichotomy as the magnetized advective accretion process around black holes: a unified classification of blazars

Tushar Mondal? and Banibrata Mukhopadhyay† Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

Accepted 2019 April 6. Received 2019 April 1

ABSTRACT

The Fermi blazar observations show a strong correlation between γ -ray luminosities and spectral indices. BL Lac objects are less luminous with harder spectra than flatspectrum radio quasars (FSRQs). Interestingly FSRQs are evident to exhibit a Keplerian disc component along with a powerful jet. We compute the jet intrinsic luminosities by beaming corrections determined by different cooling mechanisms. Observed γ -ray luminosities and spectroscopic measurements of broad emission lines suggest a correlation of the accretion disc luminosity with jet intrinsic luminosity. Also, theoretical and observational inferences for these jetted sources indicate a signature of hot advective accretion flow and a dynamically dominant magnetic field at jet-footprint. Indeed it is difficult to imagine the powerful jet launching from a geometrically thin Keplerian disc. We propose a magnetized, advective disc-outflow symbiosis with explicit cooling to address a unified classification of blazars by controlling both the mass accretion rate and magnetic field strength. The large scale strong magnetic fields influence the accretion dynamics, remove angular momentum from the infalling matter, help in the formation of strong outflows/jets, and lead to synchrotron emissions simultaneously. We suggest that the BL Lacs are more optically thin and magnetically dominated than FSRQs at the jet-footprint to explain their intrinsic γ-ray luminosities.

Key words: accretion, accretion discs – MHD – radiation mechanisms: non-thermal – galaxies: jets – BL Lacertae objects: general – quasars: supermassive black holes

INTRODUCTION

Relativistic, highly collimated, powerful jets are ubiquitous in various astrophysical black hole (BH) systems spanning BHs from stellar mass (~ 10 to 30 M_{\odot} , M_{\odot} is solar mass) to supermassive scales (~ 10⁶ to 10⁹M_☉). They particularly exist in microquasars, active galactic nuclei (AGNs), and gamma-ray bursts (GRBs). These jets are believed to be produced from the innermost region of accretion flow, presumably when the accretion flow is hot, advective and geometrically thick. Observational evidences predict that every BH X-ray binary (XRB) in their low/hard state has strong radio jet [\(Fender et al.,](#page-7-1) [2004\)](#page-7-1) and a parallel discovery is that all low luminous AGNs are radio-loud [\(Nagar et al.,](#page-7-2) [2000\)](#page-7-2). There are indeed some causal connections between hot advective accretion flows and radio-emitting jets. Compared with cooler geometrically thin accretion discs [\(Shakura &](#page-7-3) [Sunyaev,](#page-7-3) [1973\)](#page-7-3), hot advective flows can easily advect the

magnetic field in the vicinity of BH [\(Guilet & Ogilvie,](#page-7-4) [2012\)](#page-7-4). Also, the strong winds from the hot advective flows help to collimate [\(Beskin & Malyshkin,](#page-7-5) [2000\)](#page-7-5) and stabilize the jet [\(Appl & Camenzind,](#page-7-6) [1992\)](#page-7-6).

Observations and theoretical efforts over decades try to address the mechanisms responsible for these jet formation, as well as the nature of their high-energy radiation [\(Meier](#page-7-7) [et al.,](#page-7-7) [2001\)](#page-7-7). The leading mechanism suggests that the combined effects of large-scale magnetic fields and BH rotation could produce efficient outflowing energy in jets [\(Blandford](#page-7-8) [& Znajek,](#page-7-8) [1977\)](#page-7-8). This was also verified by three-dimensional, general relativistic, magnetohydrodynamic (GRMHD) simulations [\(McKinney & Gammie,](#page-7-9) [2004;](#page-7-9) [Tchekhovskoy et al.,](#page-7-10) [2011;](#page-7-10) [Qian et al.,](#page-7-11) [2018\)](#page-7-11). Indeed the inferred unusually large Faraday rotation from the multi-frequency radio measurement of a pulsar close to the center of our Galaxy indicates a signature of the dynamically important magnetic field near the BH [\(Eatough et al.,](#page-7-12) [2013\)](#page-7-12). Also based on the correlation of accretion disc luminosity and jet magnetic field for a sample of 76 radio-loud active galaxies, it was concluded that the jet-launching regions are threaded by dynamically

[?] mtushar@iisc.ac.in (TM)

[†] bm@iisc.ac.in (BM)

important magnetic fields [\(Zamaninasab et al.,](#page-7-13) [2014\)](#page-7-13). All these inferences support the idea of highly magnetized accretion flow in the vicinity of a BH.

Now the question is, how are the jet power and the accretion disc luminosity correlated? The intrinsic jet luminosity (the power that jet expends in producing non-thermal radiation) is believed to be 10% of the jet kinetic power, and interestingly, this holds for all the well-known jetted sources, namely, BH XRBs, AGNs, and GRBs [\(Nemmen et al.,](#page-7-14) [2012;](#page-7-14) [Ma et al.,](#page-7-15) [2014\)](#page-7-15). Recently a clear correlation between the intrinsic jet luminosity, as measured through the γ -ray luminosity, and the accretion luminosity, as measured by the broad emission lines, for a sample of 217 blazar sources is found. Remarkably, both of them are of the same order [\(Ghisellini et al.,](#page-7-16) [2014\)](#page-7-16). Following all these observational and theoretical insights, we construct a magnetized discoutflow symbiosis to address a unified classification of blazar sequences by controlling both the magnetic field strength and accretion rate.

Blazars are radio-loud AGNs with relativistic jets pointing close to our line of sight. Based on the equivalent width (EW) of the optical emission lines, blazars are classified into two subclasses: Flat Spectrum Radio Quasars (FSRQs) with EW \geq 5 Å and BL Lac objects with EW< 5 Å [\(Urry &](#page-7-17) [Padovani,](#page-7-17) [1995\)](#page-7-17). The signature of strong emission lines stipulates the presence of luminous broad line region (BLR) and, hence, efficient accretion process in FSRQs. On the other hand, the presence/absence of narrow emission lines in BL Lacs suggests a relatively low accretion and/or the ascendancy of non-thermal synchrotron emission therein.

The broad-band spectral energy distribution (SED) of blazars consists of two broad humps. The low energy component extends from radio to optical-UV or X-rays and is well explained with synchrotron emission by relativistic electrons. The high energy component, generally in the γ -ray regime, is attributed to the inverse Compton (IC) scattering of soft photons by energetic electrons in jet plasma. In BL Lac objects, the soft photons are thought to be provided by the synchrotron emission and this IC mechanism is known as synchrotron self-Compton (SSC). On the other hand, for powerful FSRQs, the IC mechanism is explained by the combination of SSC and (mostly) external Comptonization (EC) processes. For EC, the soft photons are expected to be provided by the jet environment, either the accretion disc [\(Dermer & Schlickeiser,](#page-7-18) [1993\)](#page-7-18) and/or BLR [\(Sikora et al.,](#page-7-19) [2009\)](#page-7-19) and/or dusty torus (Błażejowski [et al.,](#page-7-20) [2000\)](#page-7-20). Also, based on the position of the synchrotron peak (v_{syn}) in the rest frame, BL Lac objects and blazars in general are further classified as low-synchrotron-peaked (LSP, v_{syn} < 10¹⁴ Hz), intermediate-synchrotron-peaked (ISP, 10^{14} Hz < v_{syn} < 10^{15} Hz), and high-synchrotronpeaked (HSP, $v_{syn} > 10^{15}$ Hz) by [Abdo et al.](#page-7-21) [\(2010\)](#page-7-21).

In this paper, we infer the role of large-scale magnetic field in underlying dynamics and efficient cooling mechanisms for different blazar classes. The ordered magnetic field anchored in the accretion flow provides a very efficient way of tapping the gravitational potential energy of a BH and this energy is liberated in the form of outflows/jets [\(Blandford](#page-7-22) [& Payne,](#page-7-22) [1982\)](#page-7-22). Also the vertically inflated strong toroidal field, produced by the differential rotation of the accretion flow, can enhance the outflow power in the formation of

'magnetic tower jets' [\(Lynden-Bell,](#page-7-23) [2003\)](#page-7-23). We suggest, based on our unified model, that BL Lac objects are more magnetized, advective and optically thin compared to the FSRQs at the jet-launching region to explain their intrinsic γ -ray luminosities. We aim at uncovering the physical reason behind the luminosity variation of different blazar classes and why softer FSRQs still exhibiting most powerful jets.

In next Section, we model the coupled disc-outflow system for magnetized advective accretion flows considering different radiation mechanisms. In Section [3,](#page-3-0) we discuss the consequence of such flows, in particular focusing on the energetics of magnetized accretion flows to explain blazars, as well as different beaming statistics. Finally we end with discussions and conclusions in Sections [4](#page-6-0) and [5](#page-7-0) respectively.

2 MODELING THE COUPLED MAGNETIZED DISK-OUTFLOW SYSTEM

2.1 General equations for magnetized advective accretion flow

We propose a magnetized, viscous, advective discoutflow/jet symbiotic system with cooling explicitly around BHs. Unlike previous exploration (e.g. [Kuncic & Bicknell,](#page-7-24) [2004\)](#page-7-24), we consider the large scale magnetic and turbulent viscous stresses both. Here we assume a steady and axisymmetric flow, hence $\partial/\partial t = \partial/\partial \phi = 0$ and that all the flow parameters: radial velocity (v_r) , specific angular momentum (λ), outflow or vertical velocity (v_z), fluid pressure (p), mass density (ρ), radial (B_r), azimuthal (B_{ϕ}), and vertical (B_z) components of magnetic field, are functions of both radial and vertical coordinates. Throughout we express length variables in units of GM_{BH}/c^2 , where *G* is the Newton's gravitation constant, M_{BH} the mass of BH, and *c* the speed of light. Accordingly, we also express other variables. We make a reasonable hypothesis in the disc-outflow symbiotic region that, the vertical variations of any dynamical variables (say, *A*) is much less than that with radial variation, that allows us to introduce $\partial A/\partial z \approx sA/z$, where *s* is just the degree of scaling which is a small number. These constants for dynamical variables v_r , λ , v_z , B_r , B_ϕ , B_z , p , and ρ are s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , s_5 , s_6 , s_7 , and s_8 respectively. Hence, the continuity equation, the components for momentum balance equation, the equation for no magnetic monopole, and the components for induction equation are respectively

$$
\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r\rho v_r) + (s_3 + s_8)\frac{\rho v_z}{z} = 0,\tag{1}
$$

$$
v_r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + s_1 \frac{v_r v_z}{z} - \frac{\lambda^2}{r^3} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + F
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{4\pi \rho} \left[-\frac{B_\phi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_\phi) + B_z \left(s_4 \frac{B_r}{z} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r} \right) \right], \quad (2)
$$

$$
v_r \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial r} + s_2 \frac{v_z \lambda}{z} = \left[\frac{3\alpha}{\rho} \left(p + \rho v_r^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha r}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + 2\rho v_r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + v_r^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\alpha z}{\rho} \left(\frac{s_7 p}{z} + 2\rho v_r \frac{s_1 v_r}{z} + v_r^2 \frac{s_8 \rho}{z} \right) \right] + \frac{r}{4\pi\rho} \left[\frac{B_r}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r B_\phi \right) + s_5 \frac{B_z B_\phi}{z} \right], \quad (3)
$$

$$
v_r \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r} + s_3 \frac{v_z^2}{z} + s_7 \frac{p}{\rho z} + \frac{z}{r} F =
$$

$$
\frac{1}{4\pi \rho} \left[B_r \left(\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r} - s_4 \frac{B_r}{z} \right) - s_5 \frac{B_\phi^2}{z} \right], \quad (4)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(rB_r\right) + s_6\frac{B_z}{z} = 0,\tag{5}
$$

$$
(s_3 + s_4) v_z B_r - (s_1 + s_6) v_r B_z = 0,
$$
\n(6)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(v_r B_\phi - \frac{\lambda B_r}{r}\right) - (s_2 + s_6) \frac{\lambda B_z}{r z} + (s_3 + s_5) \frac{v_z B_\phi}{z} = 0,\tag{7}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[r\left(v_zB_r-v_rB_z\right)\right]=0,\tag{8}
$$

 $\frac{\partial r}{\partial r}$ ^{*r*} $\frac{\partial r}{\partial r}$ *v*, $\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}$ *v*, $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}$ *v*, $\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}$ *v*, $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}$ *v*, $\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}$ *v*, $\frac{\$ BH in the pseudo-Newtonian framework [\(Mukhopadhyay,](#page-7-25) [2002\)](#page-7-25). The set of equations without imposing vertical scaling was presented in [Mondal & Mukhopadhyay](#page-7-26) [\(2019\)](#page-7-26). The importance of generalized viscous shearing stress tensor (W_{ii}) is taking care explicitly in the present formalism. Various components of W_{ij} are written in terms of [Shakura & Sunyaev](#page-7-3) [\(1973\)](#page-7-3) α -prescription with appropriate modifications [\(Mon](#page-7-26)[dal & Mukhopadhyay,](#page-7-26) [2019\)](#page-7-26). We consider the induction equation in the limit of very large magnetic Reynolds number ($\propto 1/\nu_m$, where ν_m is the magnetic diffusivity), which is the case for an accretion disc. Note that at very large field, W_{ij} is not expected to contribute, as they could govern by turbulence induced due to weak magnetic fields. In that situation, the accretion is solely due to large scale magnetic shear.

2.2 Thermodynamics of the gas: effects of magnetic and radiation pressures

In the presence of magnetic field, the standard equation of state for a mixture of perfect gas and radiation is

$$
p_t = p + p_m = p_g + p_r + p_m = \frac{\rho k_B T}{\mu m_p} + \frac{1}{3} aT^4 + \frac{B^2}{8\pi},
$$
(9)

where p_t is the total pressure, k_B the Boltzmann constant, μ the mean molecular weight, m_p the proton mass and a the Stefan constant. $p_g = \beta p$ is the gas pressure, $p_r = (1 - \beta)p$ is the radiation pressure, and $p_m = (\beta/\beta_m)p$ is the magnetic pressure. Here we take the parameter $\beta = p_g/(p_g + p_r)$ to be independent of *r*, unlike the parameter plasma- β , β_m = (p_g/p_m) .

In the context of a frozen-in field, the internal energy per unit mass of the system is

$$
U = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\rho k_B T}{\mu m_p} V + aT^4 V + \frac{B^2}{4\pi} V,\tag{10}
$$

where *V* is the volume of unit mass of gas. From first law of thermodynamics and using flux-freezing assumption, the entropy gradient can be written in terms of temperature and density gradients as

$$
Tds = \frac{p}{\rho} \left[\left(12 - \frac{21}{2}\beta \right) \frac{dT}{T} - \left(4 - 3\beta + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\beta}{\beta_m} \right) \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right].
$$
 (11)

MNRAS 000, [1–](#page-0-0)[8](#page-7-0) (2019)

2.3 Radiation mechanisms for two temperature plasma

We solve the energy balance equations for ions and electrons by taking into account the detailed balance of heating, cooling and advection. Since ions are much heavier than the electrons, we expect all the generated heats primarily act on the ions. Some part of this energy is transferred from ions to electrons through different thermal and non-thermal coupling mechanisms. The magnetized energy equation for ions reads as

$$
\Gamma_3' \left[v_r \left\{ \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} - \Gamma_1 \frac{p}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \right\} + v_z \left\{ \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - \Gamma_1 \frac{p}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} \right\} \right] = Q^+ - Q^{i\epsilon}, \tag{12}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_1 = \frac{32 - 24\beta - 3\beta^2 + \frac{2\beta(4-3\beta)}{3\beta_m}}{24 - 21\beta}, \text{ and } \Gamma_3' = \frac{24 - 21\beta}{2(4-3\beta)}.
$$

The detailed description of the rate of energy generated per unit volume (Q^+) via magnetic and viscous dissipations is followed from our earlier work [\(Mondal & Mukhopadhyay,](#page-7-26) [2019\)](#page-7-26). If ions are at higher temperature than electrons, then the volume transfer rate of the energy from ions to electrons through Coulomb coupling is *Q* ie [\(Rajesh & Mukhopadhyay,](#page-7-27) [2010\)](#page-7-27). The electron energy equation is then given by

$$
\Gamma_3' \left[v_r \left\{ \frac{\partial p_e}{\partial r} - \Gamma_1 \frac{p_e}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \right\} + v_z \left\{ \frac{\partial p_e}{\partial z} - \Gamma_1 \frac{p_e}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} \right\} \right] = Q^{ie} - Q^-, \tag{13}
$$

where *Q* [−] represents the radiative cooling rate through electrons via different cooling processes including bremsstrahlung (q_{br}^-) , synchrotron (q_{syn}^-) and inverse comptonization process off soft synchrotron photons (q_{symC}^-) and external soft photons coming mainly from disc $(q_{syn,C}^T)$ and external sort photons coming mainly from disc
 $(q_{disc,C}^T)$. The dimensionful radiative cooling rate per unit $v_{disc,C}$.
volume is

$$
q^{-} = Q^{-}c^{11}/(G^{4}M_{BH}^{3}) = q_{br}^{-} + q_{syn}^{-} + q_{syn,C}^{-} + q_{disc,C}^{-}.
$$

Various cooling formalisms are adopted from [Narayan & Yi](#page-7-28) [\(1995\)](#page-7-28) and [Rajesh & Mukhopadhyay](#page-7-27) [\(2010\)](#page-7-27), where

$$
q_{br}^{-} = 1.4 \times 10^{-27} n_{i} n_{e} T_{e}^{1/2} (1 + 4.4 \times 10^{-10} T_{e})
$$
ergs cm⁻³ s⁻¹, (14)

$$
q_{syn}^- = \frac{2\pi}{3c^2} k_B T_e \frac{v_c^3}{R} \text{ ergs cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1},\tag{15}
$$

$$
q_{syn,C}^- = q_{syn}^- \left[\eta_1 - \eta_2 \left(\frac{x_c}{3\theta_e} \right)^{\eta_3} \right] \text{ ergs cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}, \tag{16}
$$

$$
q_{disc,C}^{-} = 3\frac{F_{disc}}{R} \left(\frac{\theta_e}{x_b}\right)^3 \left[\frac{\eta_1}{3} \left\{\left(\frac{x_{max}}{\theta_e}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{x_c}{\theta_e}\right)^3\right\} - \frac{\eta_2}{3+\eta_3} \left\{\left(\frac{x_{max}}{\theta_e}\right)^{3+\eta_3} - \left(\frac{x_c}{\theta_e}\right)^{3+\eta_3}\right\} \right] \text{ ergs cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (17)
$$

and the synchrotron self-absorption cut-off frequency (v_c) is computed numerically at every radius R (= rGM_{BH}/c^2) following [Narayan & Yi](#page-7-28) [\(1995\)](#page-7-28). From charge neutrality, the

4 T. Mondal and B. Mukhopadhyay

number density for ions (n_i) and electrons (n_e) are equal. The Comptonized energy enhancement factor η is defined to be the average change in energy of a photon between injection and escape, and it is prescribed as [\(Dermer et al.,](#page-7-29) [1991\)](#page-7-29)

$$
\eta = 1 + \frac{P(A-1)}{1 - PA} \left[1 - \left(\frac{x}{3\theta_e}\right)^{-1 - \ln P/\ln A} \right]
$$

$$
\equiv 1 + \eta_1 - \eta_2 \left(\frac{x}{\theta_e}\right)^{\eta_3}, \quad (18)
$$

where

$$
x = \frac{hv}{m_ec^2}, \ \theta_e = \frac{k_BT_e}{m_ec^2}, \ P = 1 - exp(-\tau_{es}),
$$

$$
\tau_{es} = \kappa_{es}\rho H, \ A = 1 + 4\theta_e + 16\theta_e^2.
$$

 $\tau_{es} = \kappa_{es} \rho H$, $A = 1 + 4\theta_e + 16\theta_e^2$.
Here *P* is the probability that an escaping photon is scattered, τ_{es} the scattering optical depth with κ_{es} = 0.38 cm² g⁻¹, T_e the electron temperature, *H* half scale height and *A* the mean amplification factor in the energy of a scattered photon when the scattering electrons have a Maxwellian velocity distribution of temperature θ_e . For external comptonization of disc soft photons, the disc blackbody temperature (T_b) and disc flux (F_{disc}) are adopted from [Novikov & Thorne](#page-7-30) [\(1973\)](#page-7-30). Here, the Rayleigh-Jeans v^2 spec-
trum cut off frequency $v_t = 5.61 \times 10^{10}$ T, is used to calculate trum cut-off frequency $v_b = 5.61 \times 10^{10} T_b$ is used to calculate the factors $x_b = h v_b / (m_e c^2)$ and $x_{max} = \max(x_b, 3\theta_e)$.

2.4 Method

Very far away from the BH, the matter is sub-sonic, i.e. v_r is very less than medium sound speed c_s . Near the event horizon, matter is supersonic. In between on the way, matter passes through the sonic/critical point, where $v_r \approx c_s$. We use this critical point as one of the boundaries to solve the model coupled differential equations described in §§2.1, 2.2, 2.3. The other two boundaries are as follow. Far away from the BH, the transition radius from the Keplerian to sub-Keplerian flows provides the outer boundary of our solution. The event horizon where the matter velocity reaches the light speed provides the inner boundary. To capture the sonic/critical point conditions, we combine the above fundamental model equations appropriately (see, e.g., [Rajesh](#page-7-27) [& Mukhopadhyay](#page-7-27) [2010;](#page-7-27) [Mondal & Mukhopadhyay](#page-7-31) [2018,](#page-7-31) for detailed description). At the critical radius r_c , we need to specify the electron temperature T_{ec} and specific angular momentum λ_c . For a realistic accretion, flow must exhibit at least one 'saddle'-type critical point. We solve the above mentioned model magnetohydrodynamic equations including ion energy equation, further supplemented by the electron energy equation. Note that one needs to adjust selfconsistently r_c , λ_c , v_{zc} , T_{ec} and relative dependence of magnetic field components in order to obtain the solutions connecting the outer boundary to the BH event horizon through r_c .

3 BLAZARS AS MAGNETIZED ACCRETION-OUTFLOW/JET SYSTEMS

3.1 Observation

We consider blazar samples detected in the γ -ray band by Fermi LAT during its second catalog of AGNs [\(Ackermann](#page-7-32)

[et al.,](#page-7-32) [2011\)](#page-7-32). The sample contains 381 FSRQs and 440 BL Lacs. However, we consider only those sources for which redshift was measured. This allows us to calculate the γ -ray luminosities (L_{γ}) of those sources using γ-ray photon flux and the energy spectral index α_{γ} , following the idea as discussed in the context of "blazar divide" [\(Ghisellini et al.,](#page-7-33) [2009\)](#page-7-33), given by

$$
L_{\gamma} = 4\pi d_L^2 \frac{S_{\gamma}(\nu_1, \nu_2)}{(1+z)^{1-\alpha_{\gamma}}},\tag{19}
$$

where *z* is the redshift, $\alpha_{\gamma} = \Gamma - 1$, Γ is the photon spectral index, d_L is the luminosity distance, and $S_{\gamma}(v₁, v₂)$ is the γ-ray energy flux between the frequencies $ν_1$ and $ν_2$, calculated from the photon flux. For this computation, we adopt a Λ CDM cosmology with $h = 0.7$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$. Fig-ure [1](#page-4-0) shows the distribution of Γ as a function of L_{γ} between 1 and 100 GeV. This $\Gamma - L_v$ plane indicates that FSRQs are more luminous and have steeper average photon spectral index than BL Lac objects. Also, the LSP BL-Lacs have close contiguity with FSRQs in their properties of both *^L*γ and Γ (see also [Mukhopadhyay et al.,](#page-7-34) [2012;](#page-7-34) [Bhattacharya et al.,](#page-7-35) [2016\)](#page-7-35).

The blazar jets contain highly relativistic particles which are believed to be ejected either in the form of discrete blobs or as continuous flow. The observations are totally shrouded by relativistic effect, namely, beaming statistics, which is fundamentally different for two main radiation mechanisms: SSC and EC. Decomposing these beaming constraints from the intrinsic properties would allow us to probe the jet-disc symbiosis. Based on the jet ejection mechanism and beaming pattern, the observed γ -ray luminosity L_{obs} and the intrinsic one *L*int are related by [\(Dermer,](#page-7-36) [1995\)](#page-7-36)

$$
L_{\rm obs} = L_{\rm int} \delta^{m+n},\tag{20}
$$

where $m = 2$ for a continuous jet and $m = 3$ for a discrete jet (Blandford & Königl, [1979\)](#page-7-37), δ is the Doppler beaming factor, and n is related to α_γ as $n=2\alpha_\gamma+1$ for EC process, whereas $n = \alpha_{\gamma}$ for SSC process. Here, we calculate L_{int} based on different beaming constraints for all the blazar sequences. We consider a continuous jet model and an average value of $\delta = 20.6$ for both FSRQs and BL Lacs [\(Jorstad](#page-7-38) [et al.,](#page-7-38) [2005\)](#page-7-38). For HSP- and ISP-BL Lac objects, we consider a pure SSC emission process, while for LSP-BL Lacs and FS-RQs, a combination of SSC and EC is considered. However, how much EC to play role is not well constrained observationally. Hence, we explore different plausible EC contribution in FSRQs. Figure [2](#page-5-0)(*a*) distributions are for FSRQs, showing the observed γ -ray luminosity, and the intrinsic one computed for two different scenarios: (*i*) 5% SSC and 95% EC, (*ii*) 25% SSC and 75% EC. The distributions for HSPand ISP-BL Lacs are described in Figure [2](#page-5-0)(*b*) and (*c*) respectively. To compute intrinsic luminosity for LSP-BL Lac objects, we consider 50% SSC and 50% EC emission process, as indicated in Figure [2](#page-5-0)(*d*). As seen in the figure, the large difference in observed luminosities between FSRQ and BL Lac objects is significantly reduced when we compare their intrinsic luminosities. In fact, depending on contribution from EC, *L*int for FSRQs could be even smaller than that of BL Lacs. This is essentially because the EC emission is much more highly beamed than the SSC emission, and also FSRQs have steeper photon index than that of BL Lac objects. Hence, while L_{obs} and jet power is highest in

Figure 1. Photon spectral index versus γ-ray luminosity for FSRQs and different classes of BL Lac objects.

FSRQs, their intrinsic power is significantly lower, which is in accordance with their Keplerian disc dominance nature.

3.2 Explaining data by disc-outflow symbiosis

We initiated the role of large scale magnetic field in a ¹.5 dimensional advective accretion flow, emphasizing that the presence of strong field could change the disc flow behaviors drastically in the vicinity of BH event horizon [\(Mondal](#page-7-31) [& Mukhopadhyay,](#page-7-31) [2018\)](#page-7-31). Here, we consider a magnetized, viscous, 2.5-dimensional, advective disc-outflow/jet symbiotic model in a more complete framework by taking care of cooling mechanisms explicitly as discussed in Section [2.](#page-1-0) As an immediate observational consequence, we apply this inflow-outflow symbiosis to classify the blazar sequences at disc-outflow/jet surface region, specifically at the jet footprint. By solving the fundamental conservation equations given in Section [2,](#page-1-0) we explore the dependence of different important cooling mechanisms on magnetic field strength and matter density. BH accretion is transonic and the relative dependence of different field components, whose maximum possible magnitudes are constrained at sonic/critical point(s), have been chosen in order to sustain atleast one inner saddle-type critical point and further flow dynamics.

In Figure $3(a)$ $3(a)$, we provide the luminosities obtained from all different types of cooling mechanisms over the entire flow, determined by various combinations of magnetic field

strength and accretion rate, whereas the corresponding magnetic field strengths are provided in Figure [3](#page-5-1)(*b*). The model parameters considered herein are $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 0.3$. The vertical scalling parameters for HSP-BL Lacs are $s_1 = -0.014$, $s_2 = -0.0125$, $s_3 = 0.03$, $s_4 = -0.02775$, $s_5 = -0.021$, $s_6 = 0.01$, $s_7 = -0.011$, and $s_8 = -0.051$. For other classes, they are similar. We further identify various luminosity curves therein with various blazar classes, explained as follows. Observed data indicate that the accretion rate and hence the matter density is higher in FSRQs compared to BL Lac objects. The spectra for HSP-BL Lacs lie in the extreme hard end and this hardness decreases gradually with increasing accretion rate for ISP-, LSP-BL Lacs, and finally FSRQs sequentially. The other important aspect in order to control blazar classes could be the magnetic field strength. By comparing the intrinsic luminosities of blazar classes shown in Fig. [2,](#page-5-0) we argue that the matter density and magnetic field strength both play very crucial roles. For HSP-BL Lacs, which is observed to be intrinsically lower luminous and synchrotron cooling dominated, the large magnetic field is expected to enhance the synchrotron cooling and also the energy amplification factor of scattered photon in the Comptonization process therein, which further enhances SSC mechanism. Here the magnetorotational instability (MRI, [Balbus & Hawley](#page-7-39) [1998\)](#page-7-39) may be suppressed. On the other hand, for FSRQs, larger matter density helps in EC process efficiently, but their presumable less field reduces the synchrotron process and the

Figure 2. Distribution of L_{γ} for (a) FSRQs, (b) HSP-BL Lacs, (c) ISP-BL Lacs, and (d) LSP-BL Lacs. Solid black lines are for distributions of L_y in the observer frame, while dotted or dashed lines are corresponding distributions of unbeamed or intrinsic L_y . The intrinsic ones for FSRQs are computed for 95% (red dotted line) and 75% (blue dashed line) EC emission; those for HSP and ISP-BL Lacs are computed considering pure SSC emission; and for LSP-BL Lacs 50% SSC emission is considered. Here we consider a continuous jet model with average Doppler beaming factor 20.6.

Figure 3. Variation of (a) luminosity, and (b) magnetic field strength, as functions of radial coordinate, when the different lines are for different blazar classes mentioned in (b). The model parameters are $M = 10^8 M_{\odot}$, $\alpha = 0.01$, $\dot{m} = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ (FSRQs), 2×10⁻³ (LSP-BL Lacs), 10⁻³ (ISP-BL Lacs), 10⁻³ (ISP-BL Lacs), 10^{-3} (ISP-BL Lacs), 5×10^{-4} (HSP-BL Lacs), where $\dot{m} = \dot{M}/\dot{M}_{Edd}$.

Figure 4. Variation of ratios of large scale magnetic to viscous shearing stresses for (a) HSP-BL Lacs, (b) ISP-BL Lacs, (c) LSP-BL Lacs, and (d) FSRQs. The model parameters are same as in Fig. [3.](#page-5-1)

underlying energy amplification factor of scattered photon, which further reduces the SSC mechanism. Hence, they are expected to be lower field systems and MRI induced α viscosity may operate therein. In between, for LSP-BL Lacs, the field and matter density both are expected to be optimal to operate SSC and EC mechanisms sufficiently. This makes the difference in their intrinsic luminosities. Figure [4](#page-6-1) confirms that for HSP the angular momentum transport primarily takes place via large scale magnetic stresses, but for FSRQs it is mostly via viscous stress govern by MRI. In between, ISP and LSP are expected to exhibit both the kinds of shearing stresses.

4 DISCUSSIONS

The large-scale strong magnetic field in accretion process plays very important role in angular momentum transport, radiation processes, and also in the formation of strong outflows/jets. However, the generation of such field in the accretion flow is still not well understood. In the accretion environment, the non-parallel gradient of temperature and density profiles may generate seed magnetic field from zero initial field condition via Biermann battery mechanism [\(Widrow,](#page-7-40) [2002\)](#page-7-40). The amplification of this seed field and the conversion between different field topologies are also possible

through either differential rotation and/or turbulence mechanism and/or dynamo process [\(Brandenburg et al.,](#page-7-41) [1995;](#page-7-41) [Stone et al.,](#page-7-42) [1996;](#page-7-42) [Fendt & Gaßmann,](#page-7-43) [2018\)](#page-7-43). Also, the externally generated magnetic field from companion star or interstellar medium can be advected inward by the accreting matter and becomes dynamically dominant in the vicinity of BH through flux freezing [\(Bisnovatyi-Kogan & Ruz](#page-7-44)[maikin,](#page-7-44) [1974\)](#page-7-44). The applications of this large scale strong field have been studied widely and also verified numerically in the 'magnetically arrested disc' or MAD regime [\(Narayan](#page-7-45) [et al.,](#page-7-45) [2003;](#page-7-45) [Tchekhovskoy et al.,](#page-7-10) [2011\)](#page-7-10), where the large scale poloidal field plays the main role to convert mass to energy with perfect efficiency. Unlike MAD, in our differentially rotating, quasi-spherical disc-outflow symbiosis, advection of both the toroidal and poloidal field is happening. Indeed, there is an upper limit to the amount of magnetic field what the disc around a BH can sustain, given by $B_{Edd} \approx 10^4 \text{ G } (\frac{M}{10^9 M_{\odot}})^{-1/2}$ [\(Mondal & Mukhopadhyay,](#page-7-26) [2019\)](#page-7-26). The required field strength to support our scenario is perfectly viable.

The magneto-centrifugally driven outflows from the disc threaded by large scale open magnetic field is a very efficient way to extract the gravitational potential energy of BH through accretion process. Also, the positive Bernoulli number in this highly magnetized advective flow provides a generic explanation of unbound matter and, hence, strong outflows [\(Mondal & Mukhopadhyay,](#page-7-26) [2019\)](#page-7-26). Interestingly, the vertically inflated large toroidal fields create a magnetic pressure gradient, which further enhances an outward pressure to produce outflows and hence jets. Apart from disc dynamics, this large scale field also enhances the cooling mechanisms, say via synchrotron and SSC processes, very efficiently. All these magnetic natures allow us to unify the blazar classification.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Based on a ².5-dimensional geometrically thick, optically thin, magnetized, viscous, advective disc-outflow/jet symbiosis with cooling, we address the mutual role of matter density and large scale strong magnetic field in order to explore the energetics of the accretion induced outflow and explain blazar classes. We suggest that HSP-BL Lacs are more optically thin and the accretion rate and hence matter density increases gradually for ISP-, LSP-BL Lacs, and finally FSRQs to explain their spectral signatures. To capture their intrinsic γ -ray luminosities, our model comes with the idea that the field is comparatively high in HSP-BL Lacs and this strength falls gradually for ISP- and LSP-BL Lacs, and becomes very less for FSRQs. The origin of this field and its enhancement may indicate an evolutionary unifications of blazar classes, which is beyond the scope of our model for the time being. While HSP-BL Lacs dynamics may be controlled by large scale strong magnetic fields (without MRI), FSRQs are determined by MRI.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Debbijoy Bhattacharya of Manipal Centre for Natural Sciences for helpful discussions on beaming corrections. The work was partly supported by the Department of Science and Technology project with Grant No. DSTO/PPH/BMP/1946 (EMR/2017/001226).

REFERENCES

- Abdo A. A., et al., 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/716/1/30) [716, 30](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...716...30A)
- Ackermann M., et al., 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/743/2/171) [743, 171](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...743..171A)
- Appl S., Camenzind M., 1992, A&A, [256, 354](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1992A%26A...256..354A)
- Balbus S. A., Hawley J. F., 1998, [Reviews of Modern Physics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1) [70,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998RvMP...70....1B) [1](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998RvMP...70....1B)
- Beskin V. S., Malyshkin L. M., 2000, [Astronomy Letters,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/1.20384) [26, 208](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000AstL...26..208B) Bhattacharya D., Sreekumar P., Mukhopadhyay B., Tomar I.,
- 2016, [Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/16/4/054) [16, 54](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016RAA....16...54B)
- Bisnovatyi-Kogan G. S., Ruzmaikin A. A., 1974, [Ap&SS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00642237) [28, 45](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1974Ap%26SS..28...45B)
- Blandford R. D., Königl A., 1979, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/157262) [232, 34](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1979ApJ...232...34B)
- Blandford R. D., Payne D. G., 1982, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/199.4.883) [199, 883](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1982MNRAS.199..883B)
- Blandford R. D., Znajek R. L., 1977, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/179.3.433) [179, 433](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1977MNRAS.179..433B)
- Błażejowski M., Sikora M., Moderski R., Madejski G. M., 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/317791) [545, 107](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...545..107B)
- Brandenburg A., Nordlund A., Stein R. F., Torkelsson U., 1995, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/175831) [446, 741](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995ApJ...446..741B)
- Dermer C. D., 1995, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/187931) [446, L63](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995ApJ...446L..63D)
- Dermer C. D., Schlickeiser R., 1993, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/173251) [416, 458](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1993ApJ...416..458D)
- Dermer C. D., Liang E. P., Canfield E., 1991, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/169770) [369, 410](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1991ApJ...369..410D)
- Eatough R. P., et al., 2013, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12499) [501, 391](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013Natur.501..391E)
- Fender R. P., Belloni T. M., Gallo E., 2004, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08384.x) [355, 1105](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004MNRAS.355.1105F)
- Fendt C., Gaßmann D., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab14c) [855, 130](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJ...855..130F)
- Ghisellini G., Maraschi L., Tavecchio F., 2009, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2009.00673.x) [396, L105](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009MNRAS.396L.105G)
- Ghisellini G., Tavecchio F., Maraschi L., Celotti A., Sbarrato T., 2014, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13856) [515, 376](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014Natur.515..376G)
- Guilet J., Ogilvie G. I., 2012, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21361.x) [424, 2097](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012MNRAS.424.2097G)
- Jorstad S. G., et al., 2005, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/444593) [130, 1418](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005AJ....130.1418J)
- Kuncic Z., Bicknell G. V., 2004, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/425032) [616, 669](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004ApJ...616..669K)
- Lynden-Bell D., 2003, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06506.x) [341, 1360](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.341.1360L)
- Ma R., Xie F.-G., Hou S., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/780/1/L14) [780, L14](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...780L..14M)
- McKinney J. C., Gammie C. F., 2004, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/422244) [611, 977](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004ApJ...611..977M)
- Meier D. L., Koide S., Uchida Y., 2001, [Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.291.5501.84) [291, 84](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2001Sci...291...84M)
- Mondal T., Mukhopadhyay B., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty332) [476, 2396](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.476.2396M)
- Mondal T., Mukhopadhyay B., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/sly165) [482, L24](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.482L..24M)
- Mukhopadhyay B., 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/344227) [581, 427](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...581..427M)
- Mukhopadhyay B., Bhattacharya D., Sreekumar P., 2012, [Inter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271812500861)[national Journal of Modern Physics D,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271812500861) [21, 1250086](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012IJMPD..2150086M)
- Nagar N. M., Falcke H., Wilson A. S., Ho L. C., 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/309524) [542,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...542..186N) [186](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...542..186N)
- Narayan R., Yi I., 1995, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/176343) [452, 710](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995ApJ...452..710N)
- Narayan R., Igumenshchev I. V., Abramowicz M. A., 2003, [PASJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pasj/55.6.L69) [55, L69](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003PASJ...55L..69N)
- Nemmen R. S., Georganopoulos M., Guiriec S., Meyer E. T., Gehrels N., Sambruna R. M., 2012, [Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1227416) [338, 1445](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012Sci...338.1445N)
- Novikov I. D., Thorne K. S., 1973, in Dewitt C., Dewitt B. S., eds, Black Holes (Les Astres Occlus). pp 343–450
- Qian Q., Fendt C., Vourellis C., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aabd36) [859, 28](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJ...859...28Q)
- Rajesh S. R., Mukhopadhyay B., 2010, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15925.x) [402, 961](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.402..961R)
- Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, A&A, [24, 337](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1973A%26A....24..337S)
- Sikora M., Stawarz L., Moderski R., Nalewajko K., Madejski G. M., 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/704/1/38) [704, 38](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...704...38S)
- Stone J. M., Hawley J. F., Gammie C. F., Balbus S. A., 1996, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/177280) [463, 656](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1996ApJ...463..656S)
- Tchekhovskoy A., Narayan R., McKinney J. C., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01147.x) [418, L79](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011MNRAS.418L..79T)
- Urry C. M., Padovani P., 1995, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/133630) [107, 803](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995PASP..107..803U)
- Widrow L. M., 2002, [Reviews of Modern Physics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.74.775) [74, 775](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002RvMP...74..775W)
- Zamaninasab M., Clausen-Brown E., Savolainen T., Tchekhovskoy A., 2014, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13399) [510, 126](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014Natur.510..126Z)

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.