

FINITE 2-ARC-TRANSITIVE STRONGLY REGULAR GRAPHS AND 3-GEODESIC-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS

WEI JIN AND CHERYL E. PRAEGER

ABSTRACT. We classify all the 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graphs, and use this classification to study the family of finite $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graphs of girth 4 or 5 for some group G of automorphisms. For this application we first give a reduction result on the latter family of graphs: let N be a normal subgroup of G which has at least 3 orbits on vertices. We show that Γ is a cover of its quotient Γ_N modulo the N -orbits, and that either Γ_N is $(G/N, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive of the same girth as Γ , or Γ_N is a $(G/N, 2)$ -arc-transitive strongly regular graph, or Γ_N is a complete graph with G/N acting 3-transitively on vertices. The classification of 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graphs allows us to characterise the $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive covers Γ when Γ_N is complete or strongly regular.

Keywords: 3-geodesic-transitive graph, strongly regular graph, automorphism group.


Math. Subj. Class.: 05E18; 20B25

1. INTRODUCTION

A *geodesic* from a vertex u to a vertex v in a graph Γ is a path of the shortest length from u to v in Γ , and is called an *s-geodesic* if the distance between u and v is s . Then Γ is said to be (G, s) -*geodesic-transitive* if it has an s -geodesic, and for each $i \leq s$, the automorphism group G is transitive on the set of i -geodesics of Γ . The systematic investigation of s -geodesic-transitive graphs was initiated recently. The possible local structures of 2-geodesic-transitive graphs were determined in [13]. Then Devillers, Li and the authors [14] classified 2-geodesic-transitive graphs of valency 4. Later, in [15], a reduction theorem for the family of normal 2-geodesic-transitive Cayley graphs was proved and those which are complete multipartite graphs were also classified. Our focus in this paper is on 3-geodesic-transitive graphs.

The first author acknowledges the hospitality of the Centre for the Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation of UWA, where this research was carried out.

The first author is supported by NSFC (11661039) and NSF of Jiangxi (2018ACB21001, 20171BAB201010, 20171BCB23046, GJJ170321) and the second author acknowledges the Australian Research Council grant DP130100106.

FIGURE 1. $H(3, 2)$

For a positive integer s , an s -arc of Γ is a sequence of vertices (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_s) in Γ such that v_i, v_{i+1} are adjacent and $v_{j-1} \neq v_{j+1}$ where $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq s-1$. In particular, 1-arcs are called *arcs*. The graph Γ is said to be (G, s) -arc-transitive if, for each $i \leq s$, the automorphism group G is transitive on the set of i -arcs of Γ . Study of s -arc-transitive graphs originates from Tutte [33, 34], who proved that there are no 6-arc-transitive cubic graphs, and for such graphs the order of the stabiliser of a vertex is at most 48. This seminal result stimulated greatly the study of s -arc-transitive graphs. About twenty years later, relying on the classification of finite 2-transitive groups (which in turn depends on the finite simple group classification), Weiss [35] proved that there were no 8-arc-transitive graphs with valency at least three. Moreover, for each $s \leq 5$ and $s = 7$, s -arc-transitive graphs exist which are not $(s+1)$ -arc-transitive, but there are no such graphs for $s = 6$. Many other results have been proved for s -arc-transitive graphs, see [1, 23, 27].

On the other hand, there is no upper bound on s for s -geodesic-transitivity [24, Theorem 1.1]. Clearly, every s -geodesic is an s -arc, but some s -arcs may not be s -geodesics, even for small values of s . If Γ has girth 3 (the *girth* of Γ is the length of the shortest cycle in Γ), then 2-arcs contained in 3-cycles are not 2-geodesics. If Γ has girth 4 or 5, then 3-arcs contained in 4-cycles or 5-cycles are not 3-geodesics. The graph in Figure 1 is the Hamming graph $H(3, 2)$, and is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive but not $(G, 3)$ -arc-transitive with valency 3 and girth 4 where G is the full automorphism group. Thus the family of $(G, 3)$ -arc-transitive graphs is properly contained in the family of $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graphs. We study s -geodesic-transitive graphs that are not s -arc-transitive for $s = 3$. This problem was studied earlier for the case $s = 2$, refer to [13, 14, 15, 16]. For $s = 3$, the valency 4 examples have been classified in [24], where it is shown also that there are examples with unboundedly large diameter and valency. In this paper, we introduce a general framework for describing all the graphs with these properties.

We study normal quotients. Let Γ be a G -vertex-transitive graph. If N is a vertex-intransitive normal subgroup of G , then the *quotient graph* Γ_N of Γ is the graph whose vertex set is the set of N -orbits, such that two N -orbits B_i, B_j are adjacent in Γ_N if and only if there exist $x \in B_i, y \in B_j$ such that x, y are adjacent in Γ . Such quotients Γ_N are

often referred to as *G-normal quotients* of Γ relative to N . Sometimes Γ is a *cover* of Γ_N , that is to say, for each edge $\{B_i, B_j\}$ of Γ_N and $v \in B_i$, v is adjacent to exactly one vertex in B_j . In this case we say that Γ is a *G-normal cover* of Γ_N relative to N .

A connected regular graph is said to be *strongly regular* with parameters (n, k, a, c) if it has valency k , vertex set of size n , every pair of adjacent vertices has a common neighbours, and every pair of distinct non-adjacent vertices has c common neighbours.

Our first theorem is a reduction result on the family of 3-geodesic-transitive graphs of girth 4 or 5. It describes the various possibilities for the girth and diameter of normal quotients. Note that 3-geodesic-transitive graphs have diameter at least 3 and are therefore not 3-arc-transitive.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Let N be a normal subgroup of G with at least 3 orbits on the vertex set. Then Γ is a cover of Γ_N , Γ_N is $(G/N, s')$ -geodesic-transitive where $s' = \min\{3, \text{diam}(\Gamma_N)\}$, and one of the following holds:*

- (1) Γ_N is a complete graph.
- (2) Γ_N is a $(G/N, 2)$ -arc-transitive strongly regular graph with girth 4 or 5.
- (3) Γ_N has diameter at least 3 and the same girth as Γ .

The normal quotient graphs in Theorem 1.1 (2) are 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graphs. In order to classify 3-geodesic-transitive graphs of girth 4 or 5, we need to know the 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graphs, and our second theorem determines all such graphs.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ be a 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graph. Then either*

- (1) Γ has girth 4 and is one of the following graphs: $K_{m,m}$ with $m \geq 2$, the Higman-Sims graph, the Gewirtz graph, the M_{22} -graph, or the folded 5-cube \square_5 ; or
- (2) Γ has girth 5 and is one of the following graphs: C_5 , the Petersen graph, or the Hoffman-Singleton graph.

Our third theorem, using the classification of 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graphs in Theorem 1.2, characterises all the $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive covers Γ when Γ_N is in part (1) or (2) of Theorem 1.1. For each possible quotient Γ_N , we obtain all normal covers Γ explicitly, except for three cases for Γ_N where we can only classify the graphs when Γ is $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive (see Table 2).

Theorem 1.3. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Suppose that G has a normal subgroup N such that N has at least 3 orbits on vertices and Γ_N*

TABLE 1. Quotient graphs Γ_N for Theorem 1.3

Γ_N	Γ	Condition	Ref
K_r	$K_{r,r} - rK_2$, or $[HoS]_2$	$r \geq 3$	Lemma 5.1
\square_5	$H(5, 2)$, or Armanios-Wells graph		Lemma 5.2
Petersen graph	Dodecahedron		Lemma 5.2
HiS	SDC of Γ_N		Lemma 5.4
Gewirtz graph	SDC of Γ_N		Lemma 5.7
M_{22} graph	SDC of Γ_N		Lemma 5.9
$K_{r,r}$	Hadamard graph, or $mK_{r,r}$ from $RGD(r, c_2, m)$	$m = 2, r \geq 3$ $m > 2, r = m.c_2$	Lemma 5.10

TABLE 2. Non- $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive graphs Γ for Theorem 1.3

Γ_N	G_u	Ref
Gewirtz graph	$PGL(2, 9)$ or $P\Gamma L(2, 9)$	Lemma 5.7
M_{22} graph	$\mathbb{Z}_2^4 : A_6$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 : S_6$	Lemma 5.9
$K_{r,r}, r \geq 3$		Lemma 5.10

has diameter at most 2. Then Γ is a cover of Γ_N and either Γ, Γ_N are as in Table 1 or Γ is a non- $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive graph of diameter at least 4 and Γ_N is as in Table 2.

The graphs Γ and Γ_N in Tables 1 and 2 will be described in Section 2. In particular, ‘SDC’ denotes the ‘standard double cover’ (Definition 2.4). We remark that in Theorem 1.3, if Γ_N is strongly regular, then Γ and Γ_N may have distinct girths. For example, the Armanios-Wells graph is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive of girth 5 and it is a cover of the folded 5-cube \square_5 which has girth 4. Note that the cycle C_r , $r = 4$ or 5 , is a 2-arc-transitive graph of diameter 2. If Γ is a 3-geodesic-transitive cover of C_r , then Γ has valency 2, and hence Γ is a cycle with girth at least 8, and so is 3-arc-transitive.

In Theorem 1.1, we could choose N to be an intransitive normal subgroup of G which is maximal with respect to having at least 3 orbits on the vertex set of Γ . Then each non-trivial normal subgroup M of G , properly containing N , has 1 or 2 orbits, and so M/N has 1 or 2 orbits on the vertices of Γ_N . In other words, G/N is quasiprimitive or bi-quasiprimitive on the vertex set of Γ_N . Hence this theorem suggests that to investigate the family of $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graphs that are not $(G, 3)$ -arc-transitive, we should concentrate on the following two problems:

Problem 1.4. (1) determine $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graphs of girth 4 or 5 where G acts quasiprimively or bi-quasiprimively on the vertex set;
 (2) investigate $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive covers of the graphs obtained from (1), and also investigate the graphs Γ in Table 2.

This paper is organised as follows. After this Introduction, we give, in Section 2, some definitions on groups and graphs that we need and also prove some elementary lemmas which will be used in the following analysis. Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3. It reduces the study of 3-geodesic-transitive graphs of girth 4 or 5 to the study of G -normal covers of complete graphs, of strongly regular graphs, and of a class of 3-geodesic-transitive graphs of diameter at least 3 with the same girth. Then in Section 4, we determine all the 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graphs and complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.3, that is, we investigate 3-geodesic-transitive graphs of girth 4 or 5 which are covers of a 2-arc-transitive graph that is complete or strongly regular.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some definitions concerning groups and graphs and also prove some results which will be used in our analysis.

2.1. Graph theoretic notions. All graphs in this paper are finite, simple, connected and undirected. For a graph Γ , we use $V(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ to denote its *vertex set* and *automorphism group*, respectively. For the group theoretic terminology not defined here we refer the reader to [9, 17, 36].

In a graph Γ , $d_\Gamma(u, v)$ denotes the distance between two vertices u and v in Γ . The *diameter* $\text{diam}(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the maximum distance between u, v for all $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$. If Γ is (G, s) -geodesic-transitive with $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then Γ is called *s-geodesic-transitive*; and if further $s = \text{diam}(\Gamma)$, then Γ is called *geodesic-transitive*. A graph Γ is said to be (G, s) -*distance-transitive* ((G, s) -DT) if $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and for each $i \leq s$, G is transitive on the ordered pairs of vertices at distance i . Moreover, a (G, s) -distance-transitive graph is said to be *G-distance-transitive* (G -DT) if $s = \text{diam}(\Gamma)$, and *distance-transitive* if $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. By definition, every (G, s) -geodesic-transitive graph is (G, s) -distance-transitive.

Let G be a transitive permutation group on a set Ω . Let B be a non-empty subset of Ω . Then B is called a *block* of G if, for any $g \in G$, either $B^g = B$ or $B^g \cap B = \emptyset$. The set Ω and singleton subsets are trivial blocks. If N is a normal subgroup of G , then each N -orbit is a block of G on Ω , and the set of N -orbits forms a G -invariant partition of Ω . The group G is said to be *primitive* on Ω , if G has only trivial blocks. There is a remarkable classification of finite primitive permutation groups (8 types), mainly due to M. O’Nan and L. Scott, see [28].

A subgraph X of Γ is an *induced subgraph* if two vertices of X are adjacent in X if and only if they are adjacent in Γ . When $U \subseteq V(\Gamma)$, we use $[U]$ to denote the subgraph of Γ induced by U . Let u be a vertex in a graph Γ and let $i \leq \text{diam}(\Gamma)$. Then $\Gamma_i(u)$ denotes the set of vertices at distance i from u . In the characterisation of s -geodesic-transitive and s -distance-transitive graphs, the following parameters are important.

Definition 2.1. Let Γ be an s -distance-transitive graph, let $u \in V(\Gamma)$, and let $v \in \Gamma_i(u)$, $i \leq s$. Then the number of edges from v to $\Gamma_{i-1}(u)$, $\Gamma_i(u)$, and $\Gamma_{i+1}(u)$ does not depend on the choice of v and these numbers are denoted, respectively, by c_i , a_i , b_i .

Clearly $a_i + b_i + c_i$ is equal to the valency of Γ whenever the constants are well-defined. Note that for 3-geodesic-transitive graphs, the constants are always well-defined for $i = 1, 2, 3$. If Γ is distance-transitive then the constants are well-defined for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ where d is the diameter of Γ , and the sequence $(b_0, \dots, b_d; c_1, \dots, c_d)$, is called the *intersection array* of Γ . Some properties of these parameters are given in [4, Proposition 20.4].

2.2. The graphs occurring in Theorem 1.3. The *Hoffman-Singleton graph* HoS , is the unique strongly regular graph with parameters $(50, 7, 0, 1)$, automorphism group $PSU(3, 5).Z_2$ and vertex stabiliser S_7 . The *Higman-Sims graph* HiS , is the unique strongly regular graph with parameters $(100, 22, 0, 6)$, automorphism group $HS.Z_2$ and vertex stabiliser $M_{22}.Z_2$. The *Gewirtz graph* is the unique strongly regular graph with parameters $(56, 10, 0, 2)$, automorphism group $PSL(3, 4).Z_2^2$ and vertex stabiliser $A_6.Z_2^2$.

The *Hamming graph* $H(d, 2)$ has vertex set $\Delta^d = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) | x_i \in \Delta\}$, where $\Delta = \{0, 1\}$, and two vertices v and v' are adjacent if and only if they differ in exactly one coordinate. The Hamming graph $H(d, 2)$ is also called a d -cube; it is 3-geodesic-transitive with girth 4 and diameter d whenever $d \geq 3$. The *folded d -cube* \square_d , is the graph obtained by identifying antipodal vertices of $H(d, 2)$, i.e., vertices at distance d . Hence $H(d, 2)$ is the antipodal cover of \square_d with antipodal parts of size 2. The folded d -cube has diameter $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ and valency d , and if $d \geq 4$, then \square_d has girth 4.

Let Γ be the Hoffman-Singleton graph and let $u \in V(\Gamma)$. Then the induced subgraph $[\Gamma_2(u)]$, denoted by $[HoS]_2$, is a distance-transitive graph with diameter 3, girth 5, see [7, p.223], and it is a 3-geodesic-transitive 6-cover of K_7 . Let $\Gamma = HiS$ and let $u \in V(\Gamma)$. Then the induced subgraph $[\Gamma_2(u)]$ is called the M_{22} -graph. It is the unique strongly regular graph with parameters $(77, 16, 0, 4)$, automorphism group $M_{22}.Z_2$ and vertex stabiliser $Z_2^4 : S_6$, see [7, p.369].

A distance-regular graph with intersection array $(2\mu, 2\mu - 1, \mu, 1; 1, \mu, 2\mu - 1, 2\mu)$ is called a *Hadamard graph* of valency 2μ (for definition of distance-regular graph see [7, page 1], and intersection array is defined in the next subsection). Hence a Hadamard graph of valency 2μ has 8μ vertices.

The *Armanios-Wells graph* is the unique distance-regular graph with intersection array $(5, 4, 1, 1; 1, 1, 4, 5)$, automorphism group $\mathbb{Z}_2^{1+4}.A_5$, and vertex stabiliser A_5 . It is 2-arc-transitive, and also 3-geodesic-transitive. The *dodecahedron* has both girth and diameter 5, automorphism group $A_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and intersection array $(3, 2, 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$, see [7, p.1] and [20]; it is geodesic-transitive.

A *divisible design* $GD(k, \lambda, n, kn)$ is a triple $(X, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ where X is a set of kn ‘points’, \mathcal{P} is a partition of X into classes of size n , and \mathcal{B} is a collection of k -subsets of X (called ‘blocks’) such that each block meets every class in precisely 1 point, and any two points of X from different classes are contained in λ blocks. A design is called *resolvable* when its set of blocks can be partitioned into parallel classes, that is, into partitions of the point set. We use $RGD(k, \lambda, n)$ to denote a resolvable divisible design $GD(k, \lambda, n, kn)$ (see [7, page 439]).

Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a resolvable divisible design $RGD(r, \lambda, m)$ such that $r = \lambda m$. Counting triples (u, v, B) , such that u, v are distinct points contained in $B \in \mathcal{B}$, yields $|\mathcal{B}| = m^2 \lambda = rm = |\mathcal{P}|$. Thus each parallel class of blocks contains m blocks, and it follows that \mathcal{B} is a disjoint union of r parallel classes of blocks, and then, since each point lies in exactly one block from each parallel class, each point lies in exactly r blocks, that is to say, \mathcal{D} is a $1-(rm, r, r)$ design. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{rm}\}$. The *incidence graph* $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ of \mathcal{D} is defined as follows: the vertex set is $V_1 \cup V_2$ where $V_1 = X$ and $V_2 = \mathcal{B}$, and a vertex x of V_1 is adjacent to a vertex B_i of V_2 if and only if $x \in B_i$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a resolvable divisible design $RGD(r, \lambda, m)$ with $r = \lambda m$, and define $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ as above. Suppose moreover that any two blocks from different parallel classes contain exactly λ common points. Then $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ is a distance-regular bipartite antipodal cover of $K_{r,r}$ with diameter 4 and antipodal block size m , written $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D}) = mK_{r,r}$.*

Proof. By definition $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ is a bipartite graph with bipartition $\{V_1, V_2\}$. Moreover, $|V_1| = |V_2| = rm$. Now \mathcal{P} is a partition of V_1 into r classes of size m , say $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r\}$, where each $|P_i| = m$. As any two points of V_1 from different classes are contained in λ blocks, it follows that vertices of V_1 from distinct classes are at distance 2 in $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$.

Also \mathcal{B} is a disjoint union of r parallel classes of blocks. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_r\}$ be the set of parallel classes, where each C_i contains m blocks of \mathcal{B} . By assumption, any two blocks from different parallel classes contain exactly λ common points, and hence vertices of V_2 from distinct parallel classes are at distance 2 in $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$. Further, for each i , each vertex of V_1 is adjacent to exactly one vertex of C_i , and each vertex of V_2 is adjacent to exactly one vertex of P_i .

Let $x \in V_1$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Then x lies in a unique block $B \in C$. Let $B'' \in C \setminus \{B\}$, and $C' \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{C\}$, so x also lies in a unique block $B' \in C'$. By assumption $|B' \cap B''| = \lambda$. Let

$y \in B' \cap B''$. Then (x, B', y, B'') is a path of length 3 in $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$, and hence the distance between x and B'' in $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ is 3. It follows that x has distance 1 or 3 from each vertex of V_2 . If $x \in P \in \mathcal{P}$, then as mentioned above, x is at distance 2 from each point $y \in V_1 \setminus P$. If $x' \in P \setminus \{x\}$, then x' also has distance 2 from y , and hence x, x' are at distance 4 in $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$. By a similar argument, each vertex of V_2 is at distance 1 or 3 from vertices of V_1 , and at distance 2 or 4 from vertices of V_2 . Thus $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ has diameter 4, and two vertices of $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ are at distance 4 if and only if they are distinct blocks in the same parallel class, or distinct points in the same class P . Hence the classes of V_1 and the parallel classes of V_2 are antipodal blocks of $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$. It is straightforward, using the properties of the design \mathcal{D} , to show that $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ is distance-regular with intersection array $(r, r-1, r-\lambda, 1; 1, \lambda, r-1, r)$.

Finally, we examine the antipodal quotient graph, that is, the graph Γ' with vertex set $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{C}$, such that a vertex $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$ is adjacent to a vertex $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if some vertex of P_i is adjacent to some vertex of C_j in $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$. Since, in fact, each vertex $x \in P_i$ is contained in a unique block in C_j , and vice versa, it follows that $\Gamma' \cong K_{r,r}$. Moreover, since $|P_i| = |C_j|$, we see that the edges of $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ joining vertices of P_i and C_j form a perfect matching between these two sets. Thus $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ is a cover of Γ' . \square

2.3. Some results. We will use the following remark frequently throughout the paper. It is especially useful for studying small valency s -geodesic-transitive graphs. Recall Definition 2.1.

Remark 2.3. (1) Let Γ be a 2-geodesic-transitive graph with $b_2 \leq 1$. If $b_2 = 0$, then as Γ is 2-geodesic-transitive, Γ has diameter 2 and so is geodesic-transitive. Suppose that $b_2 = 1$, so in particular, $d := \text{diam}(\Gamma) \geq 3$. Let (u_0, \dots, u_d) be a d -geodesic. Then for each $j \leq d-3$, it follows from the 2-geodesic-transitivity of Γ that $|\Gamma_3(u_j) \cap \Gamma(u_{j+2})| = 1$. Note that, $\Gamma_{j+3}(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_{j+2}) \subseteq \Gamma_3(u_j) \cap \Gamma(u_{j+2})$, and so $|\Gamma_{j+3}(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_{j+2})| = 1$. Hence the 2-geodesic (u_0, u_1, u_2) has a unique continuation to an r -geodesic in Γ for all r such that $3 \leq r \leq d$. Since Γ is 2-geodesic-transitive, each 2-geodesic of Γ has a unique continuation to an r -geodesic for $3 \leq r \leq d$. Thus Γ is geodesic-transitive, and hence also distance-transitive.

(2) Let Γ be a 3-geodesic-transitive graph with $b_3 \leq 1$. If $b_3 = 0$, then since Γ is 3-geodesic-transitive, Γ has diameter 3 and so is geodesic-transitive. Suppose that $b_3 = 1$. So in particular, $d := \text{diam}(\Gamma) \geq 4$. Let (u_0, \dots, u_d) be a d -geodesic. Then for each $j \leq d-4$, it follows from the 3-geodesic-transitivity of Γ that $|\Gamma_4(u_j) \cap \Gamma(u_{j+3})| = 1$. Note that, $\Gamma_{j+4}(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_{j+3}) \subseteq \Gamma_4(u_j) \cap \Gamma(u_{j+3})$, and so $|\Gamma_{j+4}(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_{j+3})| = 1$. Hence the 3-geodesic (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) has a unique continuation to an r -geodesic in Γ for all r such that $4 \leq r \leq d$. Since Γ is 3-geodesic-transitive, each 3-geodesic of Γ has a unique

continuation to an r -geodesic for $4 \leq r \leq d$. Thus Γ is geodesic-transitive, and hence also distance-transitive.

Definition 2.4. For be a graph Γ with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and arc set $A(\Gamma)$, let $\bar{\Gamma}$ be the graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma) \times \{1, 2\}$, such that two vertices $(x, 1)$ and $(y, 2)$ adjacent if and only if $(x, y) \in A(\Gamma)$. Then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is called the *standard double cover* (SDC) of Γ ; $\bar{\Gamma}$ is bipartite with bipartite halves $V(\Gamma) \times \{i\}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Some of our examples are standard double covers of distance-transitive graphs, and we use the following observation to identify them.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that Γ is a finite distance-transitive graph of odd diameter which is both bipartite and antipodal with antipodal blocks of size 2. Let Σ denote the antipodal quotient of Γ . Then Γ is isomorphic to the standard double cover of Σ .*

Proof. Let $d = \text{diam}(\Gamma)$, let Δ_1, Δ_2 be the parts of the bipartition of Γ , and identify $V(\Sigma)$ with the set of antipodal blocks. Let $B = \{u, v\} \in V(\Sigma)$. Then $\Gamma_d(u) = \{v\}$, and since d is odd we have, say, $u \in \Delta_1, v \in \Delta_2$. Let $C \in V(\Sigma)$ be adjacent to B in Σ . Then $C = \{x, y\}$, and u is adjacent to exactly one vertex of C , say x . Thus $x \in \Gamma(u) \subseteq \Delta_2$ and $y \in \Gamma(v) = \Gamma_{d-1}(u) \subseteq \Delta_1$.

Define a map $\varphi : V(\Gamma) \mapsto V(\Sigma) \times \{1, 2\}$ by $\varphi(u) = (B, i)$ where B is the antipodal block containing u and Δ_i is the part of the bipartition containing u . Then φ is a well defined bijection. We claim that φ is a graph isomorphism from Γ to $\bar{\Sigma}$, the standard double cover of Σ : for if $\{u, x\}$ is an edge of Γ and $\varphi(u) = (B, i), \varphi(x) = (C, j)$ then $i \neq j$ (as u, x lie in different parts of the bipartition) and $\{B, C\}$ is an edge of Σ (by definition of Σ). Conversely if $\varphi(u) = (B, i), \varphi(x) = (C, j)$ form an edge of $\bar{\Sigma}$, then $i \neq j$ and $\{B, C\}$ is an edge of Σ , by the definition of $\bar{\Sigma}$. As Γ covers Σ , there are exactly two edges of Γ joining vertices of B and C . Now u is the unique vertex in $B \cap \Delta_i$, and since Γ is bipartite, the edge involving u must join u to the unique vertex in $C \cap \Delta_j$, namely x . This proves the claim and hence $\Gamma \cong \bar{\Sigma}$. \square

If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then G also acts as a group of automorphisms of the standard double cover $\bar{\Gamma}$ with the action $g : (x, i) \mapsto (x^g, i)$. If G is vertex-transitive on Γ , then G has two orbits on the set of vertices of $\bar{\Gamma}$, namely the sets $V(\Gamma) \times \{i\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Furthermore, $G_v = G_{(v, i)}$ for each $i = 1, 2$ and $v \in V(\Gamma)$, the action of G_v on $\Gamma(v)$ is equivalent to the action of $G_{(v, i)}$ on $\bar{\Gamma}((v, i))$, and if Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive, then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is locally $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : V(\bar{\Gamma}) &\mapsto V(\bar{\Gamma}), \\ (v, i) &\mapsto (v, 3 - i). \end{aligned}$$

Then τ is a graph automorphism of $\bar{\Gamma}$ of order 2. Further, for any vertex (v, i) , we have $(v, i)^{g\tau} = (v^g, i)^\tau = (v^g, 3 - i) = (v, 3 - i)^g = (v, i)^{\tau g}$. Hence $g\tau = \tau g$ for every $g \in G$. Let $\bar{G} = G \times \langle \tau \rangle$. Then $\bar{G} \leq \text{Aut}(\bar{\Gamma})$. Moreover, Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive, then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is $(\bar{G}, 2)$ -arc-transitive.

Now $(x, 1)$ is adjacent to $(y, 2)$ if and only if $(y, 1)$ is adjacent to $(x, 2)$. If Γ is connected, then for distinct $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ there exists a path P in Γ from x to y . The path P lifts to a path in $\bar{\Gamma}$ from $(x, 1)$ to $(y, 1)$ if P has even length, and to one between $(x, 1)$ and $(y, 2)$ if P has odd length. In particular, there is a path from $(y, 1)$ to $(y, 2)$ if and only if y lies in a cycle in Γ of odd length. Thus $\bar{\Gamma}$ is connected if and only if Γ is connected and contains an odd cycle, that is, if and only if Γ is connected and not bipartite.

We mention a few more properties of these standard double covers. When we say that a (non-complete) graph Γ ‘has $c_2 = c$ ’ we mean that $|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w)| = c$ whenever $d_\Gamma(u, w) = 2$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let Γ be a non-bipartite graph of girth 4 with vertex-transitive group $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, and let $\bar{\Gamma}$ be its standard double cover with group \bar{G} as above. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *For an integer $c \geq 2$, Γ has $c_2 = c$ if and only if $\bar{\Gamma}$ has $c_2 = c$.*
- (2) *For a positive integer $s \leq \text{diam}(\Gamma)$, Γ is (G, s) -distance-transitive if and only if $\bar{\Gamma}$ is (\bar{G}, s) -distance-transitive.*
- (3) *If $a_2 = 0$ for Γ , then Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive if and only if $\bar{\Gamma}$ is $(\bar{G}, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that Γ has $c_2 = c$. Let $d_\Gamma(u, w) = 2$ and, say, $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w) = \{v_1, \dots, v_c\}$. Then for each i , $[(u, 1), (v_i, 2), (w, 1)]$ is a 2-arc of $\bar{\Gamma}$, so $\{(v_1, 2), \dots, (v_c, 2)\} \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}((u, 1)) \cap \bar{\Gamma}((w, 1))$. Equality holds, since if $\bar{\Gamma}((u, 1)) \cap \bar{\Gamma}((w, 1))$ contains a vertex $(u, 2)$, then $u \in \Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w)$. Similarly $\{(v_1, 1), \dots, (v_c, 1)\} = \bar{\Gamma}((u, 2)) \cap \bar{\Gamma}((w, 2))$. Thus $\bar{\Gamma}$ has parameter $c_2 = c$.

Conversely, suppose that $\bar{\Gamma}$ has parameter $c_2 = c$. A distance-two pair is of the form $(u, i), (w, i)$ for vertices $u, w \in V(\Gamma)$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $\bar{\Gamma}((u, i)) \cap \bar{\Gamma}((w, i)) = \{(v_1, 3 - i), \dots, (v_c, 3 - i)\}$ and a similar argument to the above shows that $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w) = \{v_1, \dots, v_c\}$, and so Γ has parameter $c_2 = c$.

(2) Since G, \bar{G} are vertex-transitive on $\Gamma, \bar{\Gamma}$, respectively, and since, for $u \in V(\Gamma)$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$ we have $G_u = \bar{G}_{(u, j)}$, it is sufficient to examine the orbits of G_u . For $i \leq s$, $\bar{\Gamma}_i((u, j)) = \{(v, j') \mid v \in \Gamma_i(u)\}$, where $j' = j$ if i is even and $j' = 3 - j$ if i is odd. The actions of G_u on $\Gamma_i(u)$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_i((u, j))$ are therefore equivalent for each i , and part (2) follows.

(3) Suppose that $a_2 = 0$ for Γ . Assume that Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive. Then since Γ has girth 4, G_u is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$, and so $G_{(u, 1)}$ is 2-transitive on $\bar{\Gamma}((u, 1))$, hence $\bar{\Gamma}$

is $(\overline{G}, 2)$ -arc-transitive. Let $[(u, 1), (v_1, 2), (v_2, 1), (u_4, 2)]$ and $[(u, 1), (v_1, 2), (v_2, 1), (u_5, 2)]$ be two 3-geodesics of $\overline{\Gamma}$. Then (u, v_1, v_2, u_4) and (u, v_1, v_2, u_5) are two 3-geodesics of Γ , as $a_2 = 0$ for Γ . Thus some element of G maps (u, v_1, v_2, u_4) to (u, v_1, v_2, u_5) , and so this element maps $[(u, 1), (v_1, 2), (v_2, 1), (u_4, 2)]$ to $[(u, 1), (v_1, 2), (v_2, 1), (u_5, 2)]$ in $\overline{\Gamma}$. Hence $\overline{\Gamma}$ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive.

Conversely, suppose that $\overline{\Gamma}$ is $(\overline{G}, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive. Then as $\overline{\Gamma}$ is bipartite and $(\overline{G}, 2)$ -arc-transitive, $\overline{G}_{(u,1)}$ is 2-transitive on $\overline{\Gamma}((u, 1))$, and so G_u is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$, hence Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive. Let (u, v_1, v_2, u_4) and (u, v_1, v_2, u_5) be two 3-geodesics of Γ . Then as $a_2 = 0$ for Γ , $[(u, 1), (v_1, 2), (v_2, 1), (u_4, 2)]$ and $[(u, 1), (v_1, 2), (v_2, 1), (u_5, 2)]$ are two 3-geodesics of $\overline{\Gamma}$. Thus some element of \overline{G} maps $[(u, 1), (v_1, 2), (v_2, 1), (u_4, 2)]$ to $[(u, 1), (v_1, 2), (v_2, 1), (u_5, 2)]$, this element fixes $V(\Gamma) \times \{1\}$ and so lies in G , and hence this element induces an element of G that maps (u, v_1, v_2, u_4) to (u, v_1, v_2, u_5) . Thus Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive. \square

3. REDUCTION RESULT

In this section, we study normal quotients of 3-geodesic-transitive graphs of girth 4 or 5. We will need the following result from [12, Lemma 5.3].

Lemma 3.1. *Let Γ be a connected locally (G, s) -distance-transitive graph with $s \geq 2$. Let $1 \neq N \triangleleft G$ be intransitive on $V(\Gamma)$, and let \mathcal{B} be the set of N -orbits on $V(\Gamma)$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $|\mathcal{B}| = 2$.
- (ii) Γ is bipartite, $\Gamma_N \cong K_{1,r}$ with $r \geq 2$ and G is intransitive on $V(\Gamma)$.
- (iii) $s = 2$, $\Gamma \cong K_{m[b]}$, $\Gamma_N \cong K_m$ with $m \geq 3$ and $b \geq 2$.
- (iv) N is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$, Γ is a cover of Γ_N , $|V(\Gamma_N)| < |V(\Gamma)|$ and Γ_N is locally $(G/N, s')$ -distance-transitive where $s' = \min\{s, \text{diam}(\Gamma_N)\}$.

We derive from Lemma 3.1 the following result for s -geodesic-transitive graphs.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Γ be a connected (G, s) -geodesic-transitive graph where $s \geq 2$. Let $1 \neq N \triangleleft G$ be intransitive on $V(\Gamma)$. Suppose that $\Gamma \not\cong K_{m[b]}$ for any $m \geq 3$ and $b \geq 2$. Then either*

- (i) N has 2 orbits on $V(\Gamma)$ and Γ is bipartite; or
- (ii) N has at least 3 orbits on $V(\Gamma)$, N is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$, Γ is a cover of Γ_N and Γ_N is $(G/N, s')$ -geodesic-transitive where $s' = \min\{s, \text{diam}(\Gamma_N)\}$.

Proof. By assumption N is not transitive on $V(\Gamma)$. If N has exactly 2 orbits on $V(\Gamma)$, say C_0 and C_1 , then as Γ is connected and G -arc-transitive, each C_i contains no edges of Γ , and so Γ is a bipartite graph with C_0, C_1 being the two bipartite halves, part (i) holds. Thus,

we may suppose that N has at least 3 orbits on $V(\Gamma)$. Since Γ is (G, s) -geodesic-transitive where $s \geq 2$, Γ is also (G, s) -distance-transitive. Since $\Gamma \not\cong K_{m[b]}$ for any $m \geq 3$ and $b \geq 2$, it follows that part (iv) of Lemma 3.1 holds. To prove that part (ii) is valid it remains to prove that Γ_N is locally $(G/N, s')$ -geodesic-transitive, where $s' = \min\{s, \text{diam}(\Gamma_N)\}$.

Let $(B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t)$ and $(C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t)$ be t -geodesics of Γ_N where $t \leq s'$. Since Γ is a cover of Γ_N , there exist $x_i \in B_i$ and $y_i \in C_i$ such that $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ and $(y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_t)$ are t -geodesics of Γ . As $t \leq s' \leq s$ and Γ is (G, s) -geodesic-transitive, there exists $g \in G$ such that $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)^g = (y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_t)$, and hence g maps $(B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t)$ to $(C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t)$. Thus Γ_N is $(G/N, s')$ -geodesic-transitive, and (ii) holds. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let Γ be a $(G, 2)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth at least 4. Let N be an intransitive normal subgroup of G with at least 3 orbits on $V(\Gamma)$. Then Γ_N is a complete graph if and only if Γ_N has girth 3.*

Proof. Note that $\Gamma \not\cong K_{m[b]}$ for any $m \geq 3$ and $b \geq 2$, since the girth of Γ is at least 4. If Γ_N is a complete graph, then since N has at least 3 orbits on $V(\Gamma)$, it follows that Γ_N has girth 3. Conversely, suppose that Γ_N has girth 3. Then by Lemma 3.2, the graph Γ is a cover of Γ_N . Let (B_1, B_2, B_3) be a triangle of Γ_N . Then there exist $b_i \in B_i$ such that (b_1, b_2, b_3) is a 2-arc of Γ . Further, (b_1, b_2, b_3) is a 2-geodesic of Γ (as Γ has girth at least 4). Suppose that Γ_N is not a complete graph. Then Γ_N has a 2-geodesic (C_1, C_2, C_3) . Since Γ covers Γ_N , we can find $c_i \in C_i$ such that (c_1, c_2, c_3) is a 2-geodesic of Γ . As Γ is $(G, 2)$ -geodesic-transitive, there exists $g \in G$ such that $(b_1, b_2, b_3)^g = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$, and so $(B_1, B_2, B_3)^g = (C_1, C_2, C_3)$, which is impossible. Therefore Γ_N is a complete graph. \square

Now we prove Theorem 1.1 and describe the various possibilities for the girth and diameter of Γ_N .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since Γ has girth 4 or 5, we have $\Gamma \not\cong K_{m[b]}$ for any $m \geq 3$ and $b \geq 2$. Thus by Lemma 3.2, Γ is a cover of Γ_N and Γ_N is (G, s') -geodesic-transitive where $s' = \min\{3, \text{diam}(\Gamma_N)\}$. If Γ_N is a complete graph, then (1) holds. Assume now that Γ_N is not a complete graph. Then by Lemma 3.3, Γ_N has girth at least 4. Moreover, since Γ_N is covered by Γ , it follows that the girth of Γ_N is at most the girth of Γ , and hence Γ_N has girth 4 or 5. If Γ_N has diameter 2, then (2) follows.

Now suppose that Γ_N has diameter at least 3. If Γ has girth 4, then by the previous paragraph Γ_N has girth 4. Suppose that Γ has girth 5. Assume that the girth of Γ_N is 4 and let (B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4) be a 4-cycle. Then since Γ is a cover of Γ_N , there exist $b_i \in B_i$ and $b' \in B_1$ such that (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b') is a 4-arc of Γ . Since Γ has girth 5, $b_1 \notin \{b_4, b'\}$ and (b_1, b_2, b_3) is a 2-geodesic. Furthermore, $b_4 \in \Gamma_2(b_1) \cup \Gamma_3(b_1)$. If $b_4 \in \Gamma_2(b_1)$, then there exists $v \in \Gamma(b_1)$ such that (b_1, v, b_4) is a 2-geodesic. Let B be the N -orbit containing v . Then

(B_1, B, B_4) is a triangle of Γ_N , contradicting the assumption that Γ_N has girth 4. Thus $b_4 \in \Gamma_3(b_1)$, and so (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) is a 3-geodesic of Γ . Let (C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4) be a 3-geodesic of Γ_N . Then there exist $c_i \in C_i$ such that (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) is a 3-geodesic, and there exists $g \in G$ such that $(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)^g = (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$, and hence $(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4)^g = (C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4)$. This is impossible, as (B_1, B_4) is an arc but C_1, C_4 are at distance 3 in Γ_N . Therefore, Γ_N has girth 5, and (3) holds. \square

4. FINITE 2-ARC-TRANSITIVE STRONGLY REGULAR GRAPHS

The normal quotient graphs in Theorem 1.1 (2) are 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graphs. In order to classify $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graphs of girth 4 or 5, we need to know all possibilities for these normal quotients explicitly, and determining them is the aim of this section. Note that every 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graph Γ has girth 4 or 5. Also, for each $u \in V(\Gamma)$ and distinct $v, w \in \Gamma(u)$, the triple (v, u, w) is a 2-arc, so if Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive then G_u is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$. We frequently use this fact in our proofs. First we gather results from the literature to determine all the girth 5 examples.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Γ be a 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graph of girth 5. Then Γ is C_5 , the Petersen graph, or the Hoffman-Singleton graph.*

Proof. Since Γ is a 2-arc-transitive graph of diameter 2 and girth 5, it follows from [7, Theorem 6.7.1] that Γ has valency 2, 3, 7 or 57. By [2] and [7, p.207, Remark (i)], the valency of Γ is not 57, and so Γ has valency 2, 3 or 7. Moreover, by [7, p.207, Remark (i)] or [21, p.206], if Γ has valency 2, then Γ is C_5 ; if Γ has valency 3, then Γ is the Petersen graph; and if Γ has valency 7, then Γ is the Hoffman-Singleton graph. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let Γ be a 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graph. Then either Γ is a complete bipartite graph, or $\Gamma \cong C_5$, or $|\Gamma(u)| < |\Gamma_2(u)|$ for each vertex u .*

Proof. Let $k = |\Gamma(u)|$, and note that k is independent of the choice of u . Suppose that $k \geq |\Gamma_2(u)|$. Since Γ is 2-arc-transitive and not a complete graph, it follows that Γ has girth at least 4, and so there are $k(k-1)$ edges between $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_2(u)$. Hence $k(k-1) = c_2 \times |\Gamma_2(u)| \leq c_2 k$, so $c_2 = k-1$ or k . If $c_2 = k$, then Γ is complete bipartite. Suppose that $c_2 = k-1$. Then $|\Gamma_2(u)| = k$, and since Γ has diameter 2, we obtain $a_2 = 1$. Thus for $v \in \Gamma_2(u)$ and $X = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, X_{uv} fixes $\Gamma(u) \setminus \Gamma(v) = \{x\}$, say, and $\Gamma_2(u) \cap \Gamma(v) = \{w\}$, say. Thus $X_{uv} = X_{ux}$ (as they have the same order), and since X_u is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$, it follows that X_{uv} is transitive on $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v)$. Similarly, $X_{uv} = X_{uw}$ and X_{uv} is transitive on $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w)$. If the valency k is greater than 2 then we must have $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v) = \Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w)$ and Γ contains a 3-cycle, which is a contradiction. Hence $k = 2$ and $c_2 = 1$, and therefore $\Gamma \cong C_5$. \square

The socle of a finite 2-transitive permutation group is either elementary abelian or a nonregular nonabelian simple group, see [17, Theorem 4.1B]. Moreover, in the latter case, the socle is primitive, see [17, p.244].

Now we prove Theorem 1.2 to determine the class of 2-arc-transitive strongly regular graphs.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since Γ is a 2-arc-transitive graph of diameter 2, Γ has girth 4 or 5. If Γ has girth 5, then by Lemma 4.1, case (2) holds. Assume now that Γ has girth 4. Let $X := \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and let $u \in V(\Gamma)$. The 2-arc-transitivity of Γ implies that the stabiliser X_u is transitive on both $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_2(u)$.

Case 1. X imprimitive: Suppose that X is not primitive on $V(\Gamma)$. Then X has a non-trivial block on $V(\Gamma)$ containing u , say Δ . Since Γ is arc-transitive and connected, Δ does not contain any edge of Γ . Thus $\Delta \subseteq \{u\} \cup \Gamma_2(u)$, as Γ has diameter 2. Since X_u fixes the block Δ setwise and acts transitively on $\Gamma_2(u)$, it follows that $\Delta = \{u\} \cup \Gamma_2(u)$. As Γ is 2-distance-transitive, it follows from [12, Lemma 5.2] that either $\Gamma \cong K_{m[b]}$ for some $m \geq 3, b \geq 2$, or $V(\Gamma) \setminus \Delta = \Gamma(u)$ is the only X -image of Δ different from Δ . However the graph $\Gamma \cong K_{m[b]}$ has girth 3 (since $m \geq 3$), and so we conclude that there are just two blocks of imprimitivity, namely Δ and $\Gamma(u)$, and hence $\Gamma \cong K_{m,m}$ for some $m \geq 2$ as in part (1).

Case 2. X primitive: In the remainder, we suppose that X acts primitively on $V(\Gamma)$, and as X_u is transitive on $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_2(u)$, X is a primitive rank 3 group. By 2-arc-transitivity, we know that X_u is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$. It then follows from [30, Theorem A] that X is primitive on $V(\Gamma)$ of type either affine or almost simple. Note that the 2-transitive group $X_u^{\Gamma(u)}$ induced by X_u on $\Gamma(u)$ is also of type either affine or almost simple.

Let the valency of Γ be n . Then since Γ is a 2-arc-transitive graph of diameter 2, it follows that

$$|V(\Gamma)| \leq 1 + n + n(n-1) = n^2 + 1.$$

Case 2A. X affine: If X is an affine group, then Γ is among the graphs listed in [23, Table 1] where the Column 3 entry is ‘p’. Thus Γ is one of the following graphs:

$$\square_n, P_m(a) \text{ where } m \geq 3 \text{ and } a = 1 \text{ or } 2, \Gamma(C_{23}) \text{ or } \Gamma(C_{22}).$$

The graph $\Gamma(C_{23})$ has 2^{11} vertices of valency 23, however $23^2 + 1 < 2^{11}$, so Γ is not $\Gamma(C_{23})$; $\Gamma(C_{22})$ has 2^{10} vertices of valency 22, and $22^2 + 1 < 2^{10}$, and so $\Gamma(C_{22})$ is also not a candidate. The graph $P_m(a)$ has 2^{m^a} vertices of valency $n = \frac{2^{am}-1}{2^a-1}$. By [23, (1.3)], if $a = 1$, then $P_m(a) = K_{2^m}$ has diameter 1, which is not the case; if $a = 2$ and $m \geq 3$, then

an easy inductive argument yields $n^2 + 1 < 2^{m^a}$, and so Γ is not $P_m(a)$. Finally, for the folded n -cube \square_n , only \square_5 has diameter 2, and \square_5 is an example, as in case (1).

Case 2B. X almost simple: From now on we suppose that X is an almost simple group with nonabelian simple socle L . If L is an alternating group, then a classification of the possible rank 3 actions appears in [3], while the rank 3 representations of the classical groups are listed in [26], and the rank 3 primitive groups in which L is either an exceptional group of Lie type or a sporadic group are listed in [29]. (A summary of this classification can also be found in [8], which provides also a list of the smallest possible groups in the various families.) We now inspect the groups case by case to identify the remaining three examples in (1). Note that the socle L of an almost simple primitive group is not regular, so $L_u \neq 1$ for $u \in V(\Gamma)$, and since Γ is connected, $L_u^{\Gamma(u)} \neq 1$.

L exceptional: Assume that L is an exceptional simple group of Lie type. Then L, L_u and the subdegrees $k = |\Gamma(u)|, l = |\Gamma_2(u)|$ are listed in [29, Table 1]. By Lemma 4.2, we have $k < l$. In each case, k is not a prime power. Hence $X_u^{\Gamma(u)}$ is a 2-transitive group on $\Gamma(u)$ of almost simple type, and the socle $\text{soc}(X_u^{\Gamma(u)})$ is its unique minimal normal subgroup. Since, as we observed above, $1 \neq L_u^{\Gamma(u)} \trianglelefteq X_u^{\Gamma(u)}$, it follows that $\text{soc}(X_u^{\Gamma(u)}) \leq L_u^{\Gamma(u)}$. Moreover, since $k \neq 28$, it follows from the classification of the 2-transitive groups $X_u^{\Gamma(u)}$ that $\text{soc}(X_u^{\Gamma(u)})$ induces a 2-transitive action on $\Gamma(u)$. Hence $L_u^{\Gamma(u)}$ acts 2-transitively on $\Gamma(u)$. Checking the candidates in [29, Table 1], we see that none of the groups L_u induces a 2-transitive action on degree k . Thus L is not exceptional of Lie type.

L sporadic: For the sporadic simple groups L , we inspect the groups in [29, Table 2], and find that $(L, L_u) = (M_{22}, \mathbb{Z}_2^4.A_6)$ and $(L, L_u) = (HS, M_{22})$ are the only two candidates. Moreover, $(L, L_u) = (M_{22}, \mathbb{Z}_2^4.A_6)$, provides the example M_{22} -graph; and $(L, L_u) = (HS, M_{22})$ yields the Higman-Sims graph.

L alternating: Suppose that $L = A_c$, $c \geq 5$, as in [3]. Recall that $n = |\Gamma(u)|$. Then since $X_u^{\Gamma(u)}$ is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$, it follows from [31, Main Theorem] that one of the following holds:

- (1) X is 3-transitive on $V(\Gamma)$;
- (2) $X \leq S_c$, $n = \frac{c+1}{2}$ and $X_u \cong (S_n \times S_{n-1}) \cap X$;
- (3) $X = S_c$, c is prime, and $X_u = \mathbb{Z}_c : \mathbb{Z}_{c-1}$ is a sharply 2-transitive Frobenius group;
- (4) $X \not\leq S_c$, $c = 6$, and X_u is the normaliser of a Sylow 5-subgroup;
- (5) X_u is almost simple and primitive in the natural action of S_c of degree c , and $X_u^{\Gamma(u)} \cong X_u$.

Since Γ is not a complete graph, case (1) does not occur. If case (2) holds, then by [3, p.476, I], X has rank n on $V(\Gamma)$, and so $n = 3$ and $c = 5$. In this case, Γ is the

Petersen graph of girth 5, a contradiction since Γ has girth 4. Suppose that case (3) holds. Then $|V(\Gamma)| = \frac{|X|}{|X_u|} = (c-2)!$, and the only 2-transitive action for X_u has degree c (since the valency is not 2), so the valency is $n = c$. Recall that $|V(\Gamma)| \leq n^2 + 1$. Hence $(n-2)! \leq n^2 + 1$, and so $n \leq 6$. Since $n = c$ is a prime power, we get $n = 5$, $|V(\Gamma)| = 6$, and $\Gamma \cong K_6$, which is a contradiction. In case (4), we have $c = 6$ and $X_u = N_X(\mathbb{Z}_5)$. Since $X = L.X_u$, it follows that $|V(\Gamma)| = \frac{|X|}{|X_u|} = \frac{|L.X_u|}{|X_u|} = \frac{|L|}{|L \cap X_u|} = \frac{6!}{2.5.2} = 36$. Also, the only 2-transitive action of X_u is of degree 5, so the valency is $n = 5$, giving $|V(\Gamma)| > n^2 + 1$, a contradiction. Thus case (5) holds. Then by Tables 1-2 of [3, p.484–485], we have $c \leq 12$. Since X_u is primitive in the natural action of degree c , it follows from [3, Section 2, I and II] that there is a unique graph and it satisfies: $c = 9$, $|V(\Gamma)| = 120$, $X = A_9$ and $X_u = PSL(2, 8) : \mathbb{Z}_3 \cong \text{Ree}(3)$. Since $X_u^{\Gamma(u)}$ is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$ of degree n and $|V(\Gamma)| \leq n^2 + 1$, it follows that $n = 28$. This implies, however, that X_u is transitive on $\Gamma_2(u)$ of degree $120 - 1 - 28 = 91$, which is impossible since $|X_u|$ is not divisible by 13. Thus L is not an alternating group.

X classical: It remains to consider the case where X is a classical almost simple group with a rank 3 action. Such groups are classified in [26, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2]. We consider first the case of [26, Theorem 1.1] which assumes that a group G is semilinear with quasisimple normal subgroup $M = \text{Sp}(2m-2, q), \Omega^\pm(2m, q), \Omega(2m-1, q)$, or $\text{SU}(m, q)$, where $m \geq 3$ and q is a prime power (and hence M has a possibly non-trivial centre Z), so that the socle $L = M/Z$ and the group $X = G/Z \leq \text{Aut}(M/Z)$. In cases (i)–(iv) of [26, Theorem 1.1], the action is on an orbit of totally singular (or isotropic) subspaces, or nonsingular subspaces, and one checks as follows that these do not produce examples: in all cases X_u acts faithfully on each of its orbits $\Gamma(u), \Gamma_2(u)$ and one sees, either group theoretically that the action of X_u on $\Gamma(u)$ is not 2-transitive, or geometrically that $\Gamma(u)$ contains an edge. (Some additional information from [8, p.18, Table 6, or the discussion on p.32–36] is helpful.) The remaining quasisimple groups M in cases (v)–(x) of [26, Theorem 1.1] are listed in Table 3, together with the stabiliser M_u , and the subdegrees (lengths of the G_u -orbits in $V(\Gamma)$) many of which were computed using MAGMA [5]. In most cases we determine that G_u does not act 2-transitively on either $\Gamma(u)$ or $\Gamma_2(u)$ (often using MAGMA to confirm) - we denote this fact by an entry ‘not 2-trans.’ in the column headed ‘Comments’ of Table 3. In one case, case (vi), we find the 2-arc-transitive Hoffman-Singleton graph, but it is excluded here since it has girth 5, while we are assuming that Γ has girth 4.

Finally, we treat the groups from [26, Theorem 1.2]. Here $L = PSL(n, q) \leq X \leq \text{Aut}(L)$. In case (i) of [26, Theorem 1.2], $n \geq 4$ and the X -action on $V(\Gamma)$ is equivalent to its action on lines of the projective space $PG(n-1, q)$. For a line u , the sets $\Gamma(u), \Gamma_2(u)$ are the sets of lines that either intersect u in a single point, or are disjoint from u . In either case it is easy to see that the corresponding graph contains a triangle, and hence we obtain no

TABLE 3. Cases (v)–(x) of [26, Theorem 1.1] for the Proof of Theorem 1.2

M	Stabiliser M_u	Subdegrees	Comments
$SU(3, 3)$	$PSL(3, 2)$	1, 14, 21	not 2-trans.
$SU(3, 5)$	$3.A_7$	1, 7, 42	Hoffman-Singleton not girth 4
$SU(4, 3)$	$4.PSL(3, 4)$	1, 56, 105	not 2-trans.
$Sp(6, 2)$	$G_2(2) \cong U_3(3) : 2$	1, 56, 63	not 2-trans.
$\Omega(7, 3)$	$G_2(3)$	1, 351, 728	not 2-trans.
$SU(6, 2)$	$3.PSU(4, 3) : 2$	1, 56, 105	not 2-trans.

TABLE 4. Cases (ii)–(iv) of [26, Theorem 1.2] for the Proof of Theorem 1.2

L	Stabiliser L_u	Subdegrees	Comments
$PSL(2, 8)$	D_{14}	1, 14, 21	not 2-trans.
$PSL(3, 4)$	A_6	1, 10, 45	Gewirtz graph
$PSL(4, 3)$	$PSp(4, 3) : 2$	1, 36, 80	not 2-trans.

girth 4 example. Of the remaining groups in cases (ii)–(iv) of [26, Theorem 1.2], we do not need to consider $L = PSL(2, 4)$, $PSL(2, 9)$ or $PSL(4, 2)$ as these groups are isomorphic to alternating groups, which were treated above. With these exclusions, the remaining groups L in these cases are listed in Table 4, together with the stabiliser L_u , and the subdegrees. In all but one case X_u does not act 2-transitively on either $\Gamma(u)$ or $\Gamma_2(u)$ - denoted as above by ‘not 2-trans.’ in the column headed ‘Comments’. In the exceptional case, case (iii) of [26, Theorem 1.2] with $L = PSL(3, 4)$, the stabiliser is 2-transitive on its orbit of length 10, and we obtain the 2-arc-transitive Gewirtz graph of girth 4, as in Part (1). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 1.2, we observed a group theoretic characterisation of the Petersen graph. A primitive group on a set X is *2-primitive* if for every $u \in X$, the stabiliser of u is primitive on $X \setminus \{u\}$. In particular, each 3-transitive group is 2-primitive.

Corollary 4.3. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5, and let $u \in V(\Gamma)$. Assume that the G_u -action on $\Gamma(u)$ is 2-primitive and unfaithful. Then Γ is either the Petersen graph or a complete bipartite graph.*

Proof. Let (u, v) be an arc of Γ . Since G_u is 2-primitive on $\Gamma(u)$, G_{uv} acts primitively on $\Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$. Let K be the kernel of G_v acting on $\Gamma(v)$. Then $K \trianglelefteq G_v$ and $K \trianglelefteq G_{uv}$. Since G_{uv} is primitive on $\Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$, either K fixes all the vertices of $\Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$ or K is transitive on $\Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$. If K fixes all the vertices in $\Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$, then $K = 1$ as Γ is connected,

and so G_u is faithful on $\Gamma(u)$, contradicting our assumption. Hence K is transitive on $\Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$. Note that $K \leq G_{uvw}$ for each 2-geodesic (u, v, w) . Thus G_{uvw} is transitive on $\Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$. Suppose first that Γ has girth 4. Then since G is transitive on 2-arcs, Γ has a cycle of length 4 containing u, v, w , and so w is adjacent to at least one vertex of $\Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$. Since G_{uvw} is transitive on $\Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$, it follows that $\Gamma(u) = \Gamma(w)$, and since this holds for all w , it follows that Γ is a complete bipartite graph. Suppose then that Γ has girth 5. Then, for each of the vertices $x \in \Gamma(u) \setminus \{v\}$ there exists a vertex $y(x) \in \Gamma(w) \setminus \{v\}$ such that $(u, v, w, y(x), x)$ is a 5-cycle. Since Γ contains no 4-cycles, distinct x, x' correspond to distinct $y(x), y(x')$ and it follows that $\Gamma(w) \setminus \{v\} \subseteq \Gamma_2(u)$ so Γ has diameter 2. Then by Theorem 1.2, Γ is one of the following three graphs: C_5 , the Petersen graph, and the Hoffman-Singleton graph. However, if Γ is C_5 or the Hoffman-Singleton graph, then the vertex stabilisers (in the full automorphism group) act faithfully on their neighbours, a contradiction. Hence Γ is the Petersen graph. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we investigate the class of 3-geodesic-transitive graphs of girth 4 or 5 which are normal covers of a 2-arc-transitive graph of diameter at most 2. The first subsection determines such covers of complete graphs. We use the parameters a_i, b_i, c_i introduced in Definition 2.1.

5.1. Covers of complete graphs.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Suppose that Γ is a G -normal cover of the complete graph K_r for some $r \geq 3$, relative to a normal subgroup N of G . Then Γ is a distance-transitive antipodal cover of K_r of diameter 3, and either*

- (i) $\Gamma = K_{r,r} - rK_2$ for some $r \geq 4$, or
- (ii) Γ is the distance 2 graph $[HoS]_2$ of the Hoffman-Singleton graph, with $r = 7$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_r\}$ be the set of N -orbits in $V(\Gamma)$, so that $\Sigma := \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \cong K_r$ with $r \geq 3$. Since Γ is a cover of Σ , the valency of Γ is $r - 1 \geq 2$. Since Γ has girth 4 or 5, Γ is not a complete graph, and in particular $|B_i| \geq 2$. For the same reason, if $r = 3$, then Γ has valency 2 and so Γ is C_4 or C_5 , neither of which is a cover of K_3 . Hence $r \geq 4$. Let (u_1, u_2, u_3) be a 2-geodesic of Γ with $u_i \in B_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Since Γ is a cover of Σ , $u_3 \notin B_1$, so we may suppose that $u_3 \in B_3$. As Σ is a complete graph, it follows that B_1 and B_3 are adjacent in Σ , and so u_3 is adjacent in Γ to a vertex $u'_1 \in B_1$. Now $u'_1 \neq u_1$ since Γ has girth at least 4, and since Γ is G -arc-transitive, B_1 does not contain an edge of Γ and hence $u'_1 \notin \Gamma(u_1)$. Thus $u'_1 \in \Gamma_2(u_1) \cup \Gamma_3(u_1)$. If $u'_1 \in \Gamma_2(u_1)$, then there exists a vertex v such that (u_1, v, u'_1) is a 2-geodesic. This implies that $\{u_1, u'_1\} \subseteq \Gamma(v) \cap B_1$,

so $|\Gamma(v) \cap B_1| \geq 2$, contradicting the fact that Γ is a cover of Σ . Thus $u'_1 \in \Gamma_3(u_1)$, so $u'_1 \in \Gamma_3(u_1) \cap B_1$. Since Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive, the stabiliser G_{u_1} is transitive on $\Gamma_3(u_1)$ and fixes B_1 setwise, so $\Gamma_3(u_1) \subset B_1$. Furthermore, as B_1 does not contain an edge of Γ , the induced subgraph $[\Gamma_3(u_1)]$ is an empty graph.

Since the diameter of Γ is at least 3, we have $b_2 = |\Gamma(u_3) \cap \Gamma_3(u_1)| \geq 1$. On the other hand, as Γ is a cover of $\Sigma = K_r$ and $\Gamma_3(u_1) \subset B_1$, it follows that $|\Gamma(u_3) \cap B_1| = 1$. Thus $b_2 = 1$. Since Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive, it is easy to see that $b_3 \leq b_2 = 1$, and so

$$b_3 = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Suppose that $b_3 = 1$. Then Γ has diameter at least 4. As $[\Gamma_3(u_1)]$ is an empty graph, we obtain $a_3 = 0$, and so $c_3 = (r - 1) - b_3 = r - 2$. Let $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5)$ be a 4-geodesic of Γ . Then $u_4 \in B_1$ and $u_5 \notin B_1$. Hence $|\Gamma(u_5) \cap B_1| = 1$, and so $\Gamma(u_5) \cap B_1 = \{u_4\}$, and $|\Gamma(u_5) \cap \Gamma_3(u_1)| = 1$ (since $\Gamma_3(u_1) \subset B_1$). However, since (u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5) is a 3-geodesic and $c_3 = r - 2$, we have $|\Gamma(u_5) \cap \Gamma_2(u_2)| = r - 2$. We note that $\Gamma(u_5) \cap \Gamma_2(u_2) \subseteq \Gamma(u_5) \cap \Gamma_3(u_1)$ (since $u_5 \in \Gamma_4(u_1)$). Thus $r - 2 = |\Gamma(u_5) \cap \Gamma_2(u_2)| \leq 1$, contradicting the fact that $r \geq 4$.

Thus $b_3 = 0$, and Γ has diameter 3. Hence Γ is G -geodesic-transitive. Since Γ has valency $r - 1$ and girth 4 or 5, it follows that $b_0 = r - 1$, $b_1 = r - 2$ and $c_1 = 1$, and we have shown that $b_2 = 1$. Furthermore, $2 \leq c_2 \leq r - 2$ if the girth is 4 and $c_2 = 1$ if the girth is 5. Since Γ has diameter 3 and $[\Gamma_3(u_1)]$ is an empty graph (since $\Gamma_3(u_1) \subset B_1$), it follows that $a_3 = 0 = b_3$, and so $c_3 = r - 1$. Hence Γ has intersection array

$$(r - 1, r - 2, 1; 1, c_2, r - 1).$$

Further, for distinct $z, z' \in \Gamma_3(u_1)$, the distance $d_\Gamma(z, z') \neq 1$ since $a_3 = 0$ and $d_\Gamma(z, z') \neq 2$ since $b_2 = 1$, and hence $d_\Gamma(z, z') = 3$. This implies that Γ is a G -distance-transitive antipodal cover of Σ , with antipodal blocks of size $|B_i| = 1 + |\Gamma_3(u_1)| = 1 + (r - 2)/c_2$. Thus, Γ is listed in [22, Main Theorem]. Moreover, since Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive with girth 4 or 5, it follows that for each vertex u , G_u is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$, and hence G induces a 3-transitive group on $V(\Sigma)$. We inspect the candidates in [22, Main Theorem].

The graph in case (1) of [22, Main Theorem] is $\Gamma = K_{r,r} - rK_2$, as in part (i), and the graph in case (2) is $\Gamma = [HoS]_2$ with $r = 7$ (see also [7, p.223]). Both are geodesic-transitive. None of the groups G in part (3) (a)–(e) of [22, Main Theorem] induce a 3-transitive group on $V(\Sigma)$.

Let Γ be a graph in case (4)(a). Then Γ is also in [7, Proposition 12.5.3], its intersection array is $(q, q - \frac{q-1}{r} - 1, 1; 1, \frac{q-1}{r}, q)$, so Γ has girth 3, a contradiction. For graphs in Main Theorem (4)(b) and (5) of [22], $G/N \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ does not induce a 3-transitive action on $V(\Sigma)$, where N is the kernel of G acting on $V(\Sigma)$.

Finally, let Γ be a graph in case (6). Then Γ is described in Example 3.6 and Section 6 of [22]. By Lemma 6.1 of [22], Γ is a normal Cayley graph of some p -group P and Γ

is as in Proposition 6.2 or 6.3 of [22]. Let $u = 1_P$. Since G_u is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$, we have $p = 2$, and hence the graphs in [22, Proposition 6.3] do not occur. Thus Γ is as in [22, Proposition 6.2]. Here $r = 2^{2b}$ and $|B_i| = 2^a$ for some positive integers a, b , and G induces an affine 3-transitive group on $\Sigma = K_r$ contained in $[2^{2b}].Sp(2b, 2)$. Such a group is 3-transitive only when $b = 1$, and in this case $2^a = |B_i| = 1 + (r - 2)/c_2 = 1 + 2/c_2$, so that $c_2 = 2$ and $|B_i| = 2$. It follows that $\Gamma \cong H(3, 2) \cong Q_3 \cong K_{4,4} - 4K_2$, as in (i). \square

5.2. Covers of the Petersen graph and \square_5 , and a general lemma. First we determine the 3-geodesic-transitive covers of the Petersen graph and the folded 5-cube.

Lemma 5.2. *Let Γ be a connected 3-geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Then the following hold.*

- (1) Γ is not a cover of C_r for $r = 4, 5$.
- (2) If Γ is a cover of the Petersen graph, then Γ is the dodecahedron.
- (3) If Γ is a cover of \square_5 , then Γ is either $H(5, 2)$ or the Armanios-Wells graph.

Proof. (1) If Γ is a cover of C_r where $r = 4, 5$, then Γ has valency 2 and girth 4 or 5, and so $\Gamma \cong C_4$ or C_5 which have no 3-geodesics, a contradiction.

(2) Suppose that Γ is a cover of the Petersen graph. Then Γ has valency 3 and girth 5, and so $c_2 = 1$ and $a_2 = 1$ or 2. Since Γ has diameter at least 3, we must have $a_2 = 1$ and $b_2 = 1$. Then by Remark 2.3, Γ is a geodesic-transitive graph of valency 3, so Γ is listed in [7, p.221]. By inspecting the candidates, we conclude that Γ is the dodecahedron.

(3) Suppose that Γ is a cover of \square_5 . Since \square_5 has valency 5 and girth 4, it follows that Γ has valency 5 and girth 4 or 5. Then by Theorem 1.1 of [25], Γ is either $H(5, 2)$ or the Armanios-Wells graph. \square

The following lemma will be used frequently in the rest of our analysis.

Lemma 5.3. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Suppose that G has an intransitive normal subgroup N with at least 3 orbits on $V(\Gamma)$ such that Γ_N has diameter 2. Let (B_0, B_1, B_2) be a 2-geodesic of Γ_N . Then there exist $u_i \in B_i$, for each i such that (u_0, u_1, u_2) is a 2-geodesic of Γ , and for each such 2-geodesic the following statements hold.*

- (1) Γ_N has girth at least 4.
- (2) $G_{B_0} = NG_{u_0}$, $G_{B_0B_1} = NG_{u_0u_1}$ and $G_{B_0B_1B_2} = NG_{u_0u_1u_2}$.
- (3) If $B \in \Gamma_N(B_0) \cap \Gamma_N(B_2)$ such that $B \neq B_1$, then $\Gamma(u_2) \cap B \subseteq \Gamma(u_0) \cup \Gamma_3(u_0)$. Moreover if $\Gamma(u_2) \cap B \cap \Gamma_3(u_0) \neq \emptyset$, then all vertices of $\Gamma_3(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Gamma_N(B_0)$ and $|\Gamma_3(u_0)|$ is divisible by the valency $|\Gamma(u_0)|$.
- (4) If $|\Gamma(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| = |\Gamma_N(B_0) \cap \Gamma_N(B_2)|$ (that is if Γ and Γ_N has ‘the same c_2 ’), then $G_{B_0B_2} = NG_{u_0B_2} = NG_{u_0u_2}$.

Proof. (1) Since Γ has girth 4 or 5, $\Gamma \not\cong K_{m[b]}$ for any $m \geq 3, b \geq 2$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, Γ is a cover of Γ_N , N is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$ (so each N -orbit has $|N|$ vertices), and Γ_N is $(G/N, 2)$ -geodesic-transitive. Since Γ_N has diameter 2 it follows from Lemma 3.3 that Γ_N has girth at least 4.

(2) Also, since Γ covers Γ_N , each $u_0 \in B_0$ determines a unique $u_1 \in B_1$ and $u_2 \in B_2$ such that (u_0, u_1, u_2) is a 2-arc of Γ , and indeed it must be a 2-geodesic of Γ since Γ_N has girth at least 4. Now $B_0 \in V(\Gamma_N)$ is the N -orbit containing u_0 , that is, $B_0 = u_0^N$, and B_0 is a block of imprimitivity for G in $V(\Gamma)$. Thus both $G_{u_0} \leq G_{B_0}$ and $N < G_{B_0}$, and as N is transitive on B_0 , it follows that $G_{B_0} = NG_{u_0}$.

For $i = 1, 2$, consider the transitive action of $G_{B_0 \dots B_i}$ on B_0 . The stabiliser of u_0 is $H := G_{B_0 \dots B_i} \cap G_{u_0}$. Since u_1 is the unique point of B_1 adjacent to u_0 , H also fixes u_1 ; and similarly, if $i = 2$, since u_2 is the unique point of B_2 adjacent to u_1 , H also fixes u_2 . Thus $H \leq G_{u_0 \dots u_i}$, and conversely $G_{u_0 \dots u_i} \leq G_{B_0 \dots B_i} \cap G_{u_0}$. Hence the stabiliser $H = G_{u_0 \dots u_i}$. Since the subgroup N of $G_{B_0 \dots B_i}$ is transitive on B_0 , it follows that $G_{B_0 \dots B_i} = NG_{u_0 \dots u_i}$.

(3) Let $B \in \Gamma_N(B_0) \cap \Gamma_N(B_2)$ such that $B \neq B_1$ and let $u \in \Gamma(u_2) \cap B$. Since (u_0, u_1, u_2) is a 2-geodesic of Γ , the distance $d_\Gamma(u_0, u_2) = 2$ and so $d_\Gamma(u_0, u) \leq 3$. If $d_\Gamma(u_0, u) = 2$, then some element of G_{u_0} maps u to u_2 , and hence maps B to B_2 , which is impossible since B, B_2 have distances 1, 2 from B_0 in Γ_N , respectively. Thus $u \in \Gamma(u_0) \cup \Gamma_3(u_0)$. Finally suppose that $d_\Gamma(u_0, u) = 3$. Since Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive, G_{u_0} is transitive on $\Gamma_3(u_0)$, and so all points of $\Gamma_3(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Gamma_N(B_0)$. Since G_{u_0} is transitive on $\Gamma_N(B_0)$, it follows that $|\Gamma_N(B_0)| = |\Gamma(u_0)|$ divides $|\Gamma_3(u_0)|$.

(4) Suppose that Γ and Γ_N have the same value of c_2 . Since G is transitive on the 2-geodesics of both Γ and Γ_N , we have $c_2 = |G_{B_0 B_2} : G_{B_0 B_1 B_2}| = |G_{u_0 u_2} : G_{u_0 u_1 u_2}|$. Hence using this and part (2), we have

$$\frac{|G_{B_0 B_2}|}{|G_{u_0 u_2}|} = \frac{|G_{B_0 B_1 B_2}|}{|G_{u_0 u_1 u_2}|} = |N|,$$

and it follows that $G_{B_0 B_2} = NG_{u_0 u_2}$. Since $NG_{u_0 u_2} \subseteq NG_{u_0 B_2} \subseteq G_{B_0 B_2}$, equality holds and part (4) is proved. \square

5.3. Covers of the Higman-Sims graph. Recall that the Higman-Sims graph is strongly regular with parameters $(100, 22, 0, 6)$. The following lemma determines the unique 3-geodesic-transitive cover of the Higman-Sims graph.

Lemma 5.4. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5 such that Γ is a G -normal cover of the Higman-Sims graph Σ . Then Γ is the standard double cover of Σ , and $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where H is the Higman-Sims group HS or $HS.\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. By assumption there is a non-trivial normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq G$ such that Γ is a cover of the Higman-Sims graph $\Sigma = \Gamma_N$. Since Σ is strongly regular with parameters $(100, 22, 0, 6)$, the graph Γ has valency 22 and $c_2 \leq 6$. Let $u \in V(\Gamma)$, and let $B = u^N$ be the N -orbit (vertex of Σ) containing u , so $G_B = NG_u$ (Lemma 5.3 (2)). By Lemma 3.2, N is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$, the subgroup induced by G on Σ is G/N and this group acts transitively on the 2-geodesics of Σ . In particular, G_B acts 2-transitively on $\Sigma(B)$, and it follows that $G/N \cong HS$ or $HS.\mathbb{Z}_2$, and $G_u \cong G_B/N \cong M_{22}$ or $M_{22}.\mathbb{Z}_2$, respectively (see [11, p.39, 80], for example).

Let (B_0, B_1, B_2) be a 2-geodesic of Σ . Since Γ covers Σ , there are vertices $u_i \in B_i$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$ such that (u_0, u_1, u_2) is a 2-geodesic of Γ . It follows from the parameters of Σ that the set $\Delta := \Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$ has size 6 and contains B_1 . Moreover, exactly $c_2 \geq 1$ of the Σ -vertices in Δ (including B_1) contain a vertex of $\Gamma(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)$. In particular, this c_2 -subset of Δ is fixed setwise by $G_{u_0 u_1 u_2}$. By Lemma 5.3 (2), $G_{B_0 B_1 B_2} = NG_{u_0 u_1 u_2}$, and hence $G_{B_0 B_1 B_2}$ leaves invariant a c_2 -subset of Δ containing B_1 .

Considering the G -action on Σ , we have (see [11, p.80]) $G_{B_0 B_2}/N = \mathbb{Z}_2^4.A_6$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^4.S_6$, and so $G_{B_0 B_2}$ induces A_6 or S_6 on Δ , so that $G_{B_0 B_1 B_2}$ is transitive on the 5-subset $\Delta \setminus \{B_1\}$. We conclude from the observation in the previous paragraph that $c_2 = 1$ or $c_2 = 6$. If $c_2 = 6$, then it follows from work of Cameron, see [10, Part (II) on p.4], that Γ is the standard double cover of the Higman-Sims graph as in Definition 2.4, and the assertions of the lemma hold. Assume to the contrary that $c_2 = 1$, so Γ has girth 5. We shall obtain a contradiction.

Let $B_3 \in (\Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)) \setminus \{B_1\}$ and $B_4 \in \Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$. Let $u_i \in B_i$ ($i = 3, 4$) such that (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) and (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_4) are 3-arcs. Then as $c_2 = 1$, the two vertices u_3 and u_4 are in $\Gamma_2(u_0) \cup \Gamma_3(u_0)$. By Lemma 5.3(3), it follows that $u_3 \in \Gamma_3(u_0)$, and since this holds for each of the 5 blocks $B_3 \in (\Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)) \setminus \{B_1\}$, it follows that $b_2 = |\Gamma_3(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| \geq 5$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.3(3), all points of $\Gamma_3(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Sigma(B_0)$, and therefore $u_4 \in \Gamma_2(u_0)$. Since this holds for each of the 16 blocks $B_4 \in \Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$, it follows that $a_2 = |\Gamma_2(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| \geq 16$ for Γ . Thus $22 = |\Gamma(u_2)| = a_2 + b_2 + c_2 \geq 16 + 5 + 1 = 22$, and so $a_2 = 16$ and $b_2 = 5$.

Since $B_3 \in \Sigma(B_0)$, there exists a vertex $u'_0 \in B_0$ such that (u_3, u'_0) is an arc of Γ . Further $u'_0 \neq u_0$, as Γ has girth 5. The sequence $(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u'_0)$ is a 4-arc, so $1 \leq d_\Gamma(u_0, u'_0) \leq 4$. Further G_{u_0} fixes $\Sigma(B_0)$ and $\Sigma_2(B_0)$ setwise, and there are blocks in $\Sigma(B_0) \cup \Sigma_2(B_0)$ that contain vertices from $\Gamma_i(u_0)$ for $i = 1, 2$ or 3 (such as u_1, u_2, u_3 respectively). Since Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive, G_{u_0} is transitive on $\Gamma_i(u_0)$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$, and hence $d_\Gamma(u_0, u'_0) = 4$, and Γ has diameter at least 4.

Consider $\Phi := \Gamma_2(u_1) \cap \Gamma(u_3)$. Then $\Phi \subseteq \Gamma_2(u_0) \cup \Gamma_3(u_0)$. Since $d_\Gamma(u_1, u_3) = 2$, it follows that $|\Phi| = a_2 = 16$. Let $u \in \Phi$ and let B be the block containing u . If $u \in \Gamma_3(u_0)$, then B

would lie in $\Sigma(B_0)$ and (B_0, B_3, B) would be a triangle in Σ , contradicting the fact that Σ has girth 4. Thus $\Phi \cap \Gamma_3(u_0) = \emptyset$, and hence $\Phi \subseteq \Gamma_2(u_0)$, so $c_3 = |\Gamma_2(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_3)| \geq |\Phi| = 16$. Also $c_3 \leq 21$ since Γ has diameter at least 4. Counting the edges between $\Gamma_2(u_0)$ and $\Gamma_3(u_0)$, we find $|\Gamma_3(u_0)| \cdot c_3 = |\Gamma_2(u_0)| \cdot b_2 = 22 \cdot 21 \cdot 5$, and as $16 \leq c_3 \leq 21$ we conclude that $c_3 = 21$. Since Γ has valency 22 and diameter at least 4, this implies that $b_3 = 1$, $a_3 = 0$. Thus by Remark 2.3 (2), Γ is G -distance-transitive. Furthermore, $|\Gamma_3(u_0)| = 22 \cdot 5$, and so the number of edges between $\Gamma_3(u_0)$ and $\Gamma_4(u_0)$ is $22 \cdot 5 = |\Gamma_3(u_0)| \cdot b_3 = |\Gamma_4(u_0)| \cdot c_4$. Thus c_4 divides $22 \cdot 5$ and by [4, Proposition 20.4], $c_4 \geq c_3 = 21$, so $c_4 = 22$, $|\Gamma_4(u_0)| = 5$, and Γ has diameter 4. The set of N -orbits is a block system for G which is not a bipartition, and it follows from [32, Theorem 2] that it is a set of antipodal blocks and Γ is antipodal. Thus $B_0 = \{u_0\} \cup \Gamma_4(u_0)$ and $G_{B_0}^{B_0}$ is 2-transitive on B_0 of degree 6. However as N is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$, N^{B_0} is a regular normal subgroup of $G_{B_0}^{B_0}$, which is a contradiction since $|B_0| = 6$ is not a prime power. \square

5.4. Covers of the Gewirtz graph. Next we consider covers of the Gewirtz graph. Our first step identifies two substantial cases to be analysed.

Lemma 5.5. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Suppose that Γ is a G -normal cover of the Gewirtz graph Σ , that is, G has a normal subgroup N such that $\Gamma_N \cong \Sigma$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) Γ has girth 4 and $c_2 = 2$;
- (2) $PSL(3, 4) \leq G/N \leq PSL(3, 4). \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and, for $u_0 \in V(\Gamma)$, either
 - (a) $G_{u_0} = PSL(2, 9)$ or $P\Omega L(2, 9)$, and $(a_2, b_2) = (4, 4)$; or
 - (b) $G_{u_0} = PGL(2, 9), M_{10}$ or $P\Gamma L(2, 9)$, and $(a_2, b_2) = (0, 8)$.

Proof. We identify $\Gamma_N = \Sigma$. Since Σ is strongly regular with parameters $(56, 10, 0, 2)$, it follows that Γ has valency 10 and $c_2 \leq 2$. So either Γ has girth 4 and $c_2 = 2$, or Γ has girth 5 and $c_2 = 1$. Let (B_0, B_1, B_2) be a 2-geodesic of Σ and let $B_3 \in \Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$ such that $B_3 \neq B_1$. Let $u_i \in B_i$, for each i , such that (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) is a 3-arc of Γ . Since Γ has girth 4 or 5, it follows that (u_0, u_1, u_2) is a 2-geodesic. Let $B_4 \in \Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$ and let $u_4 \in B_4$ so that (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_4) is a 3-arc.

Suppose for a contradiction that the girth of Γ is 5, that is, $c_2 = 1$. By Lemma 5.3(3), the vertex $u_3 \in \Gamma_3(u_0)$ so (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) is a 3-geodesic, and $\Gamma_3(u_0)$ is contained in the union of the blocks of $\Sigma(B_0)$. This implies that $u_4 \notin \Gamma_3(u_0)$, and so $u_4 \in \Gamma_2(u_0)$. Since this holds for each choice of B_4 and $|\Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)| = 8$, it follows that $a_2 = |\Gamma_2(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| \geq 8$, and since Γ has valency 10 and $\Gamma(u_2)$ contains also u_1, u_3 , we must have $a_2 = 8$, $b_2 = 1$ and $c_2 = 1$. Thus by Remark 2.3(1), Γ is a G -distance-transitive graph of valency 10. However, by inspecting the candidates in [7, p.224], such a graph does not exist. Hence Γ

has girth 4 and so $c_2 = 2$, and Part (1) holds. Also, since $\Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2) = \{B_1, B_3\}$, we have $\Gamma(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2) = \{u_1, u_3\}$, (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) is a 4-cycle, and (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_4) is a 3-geodesic for at least one choice of B_4, u_4 .

The group G induces a subgroup G/N of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) = PSL(3, 4). \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, and by [11, p.23], the only vertex-transitive proper subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ contain $PSL(3, 4)$. Thus $PSL(3, 4) \leq G/N$. By Lemma 5.3 (2), $G_{u_0} \cong G_{B_0}/N$ and so $PSL(2, 9) \leq G_{u_0} \leq PSL(2, 9). \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ (see [11, p.23]), as in Part (2). Since Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive, $G_{u_0 u_1 u_2}$ is transitive on the b_2 -subset $\Gamma_3(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)$. The possible stabiliser subgroups G_{u_0} give us two possibilities for the parameters a_2, b_2 as follows:

- (a) if $G_{u_0} = PSL(2, 9)$ or $P\Omega L(2, 9)$ then $G_{u_0 u_2}$ has two orbits in $\Gamma(u_2) \setminus \{u_1, u_3\}$, each of length 4, and so $a_2 = |\Gamma_2(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| = 4$ and $b_2 = |\Gamma_3(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| = 4$;
- (b) in all other cases (namely $G_{u_0} = PGL(2, 9), M_{10}$ or $P\Gamma L(2, 9)$), $G_{u_0 u_2}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(u_2) \setminus \{u_1, u_3\}$, and we have $a_2 = |\Gamma_2(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| = 0$ and $b_2 = |\Gamma_3(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| = 8$. \square

We now show that case (2)(a) of Lemma 5.5 leads to no examples.

Lemma 5.6. *There are no $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graphs Γ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 5.5(2)(a).*

Proof. Let Γ, Σ, G be as in Lemma 5.5 and suppose that part (2)(a) holds so that $(a_2, b_2, c_2) = (4, 4, 2)$ and Γ has valency 10.

Let $B, B' \in V(\Sigma)$ such that $d_\Sigma(B, B') = 2$, and let $u \in B$ and $u' \in B'$ such that $d_\Gamma(u, u') = 2$. In our case (Lemma 5.5(2)(a)), $G_u \cong G_B/N = PSL(2, 9)$ or $P\Omega L(2, 9)$, and $\Delta := \Gamma(u') \cap \Gamma_2(u)$ is an orbit of $G_{uu'}$ of length 4. Let Ω be the set of four blocks of $\Sigma_2(B) \cap \Sigma(B')$ containing a point of Δ , and let Ω' be the set consisting of the remaining four blocks of $\Sigma_2(B) \cap \Sigma(B')$. Then $G_{uu'}$ and $G_{BB'}$ leave $\Sigma_2(B) \cap \Sigma(B') = \Omega \cup \Omega'$ invariant, and both are transitive on Ω . Moreover, the set Ω is the set of blocks adjacent to B' in a (G_B/N) -invariant subgraph of valency 4 of the induced subgraph $[\Sigma_2(B)]$.

We consider the action of $G_{BB'}/N \leq \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ on $\Sigma_2(B)$. Now $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) = PSL(3, 4). \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ has a subgroup Y such that $|Y : (G/N)| = 2$ and $Y_B = PGL(2, 9)$ or $P\Gamma L(2, 9)$ according as $G_u = PSL(2, 9)$ or $P\Omega L(2, 9)$, respectively. The group Y_B is transitive on $\Sigma_2(B)$ of degree 45 and the stabiliser $Y_{BB'}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of Y_B . Similarly its index 2 subgroup G_B/N is transitive on $\Sigma_2(B)$ and its stabiliser $G_{BB'}/N$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_B/N . Thus each of Y_B and G_B/N has a unique transitive representation of degree 45 up to permutational isomorphism. A computation using MAGMA [5] shows that, in this representation, $Y_{BB'}$ has a unique orbit of size 8, and this orbit must be $\Sigma_2(B) \cap \Sigma(B')$. A further computation shows that this $Y_{BB'}$ -orbit is the union of two orbits of $G_{BB'}/N$ of length 4.

Now Y_B has a transitive action on the 45 flags (incident point-line pairs) of the generalised quadrangle $GQ(2, 2)$, and the uniqueness of this representation discussed above means that we may identify the vertices of $[\Sigma_2(B)]$ with the flags of $GQ(2, 2)$. Let us define two flags (p, L) and (q, M) (where p, q are points and L, M are lines of $GQ(2, 2)$ incident with p, q , respectively) to be adjacent if and only if $p \neq q$, $L \neq M$, and either p lies on M or q lies on L . Then (p, L) is adjacent to exactly eight flags and Y_B is transitive on ordered pairs of adjacent flags. Thus this definition of adjacency defines an arc-transitive graph on $[\Sigma_2(B)]$ of valency 8, and the uniqueness of $\Sigma_2(B) \cap \Sigma(B')$ as a $Y_{BB'}$ -orbit of size 8 implies that the induced subgraph $[\Sigma_2(B)]$ has precisely this adjacency rule. Further, taking B' to be the flag (p, L) , one may check that $G_{BB'}/N$ leaves invariant, and acts transitively on the sets $\{(q, M) \mid q \neq p, M \neq L, q \in L\}$ and $\{(q, M) \mid q \neq p, M \neq L, p \in M\}$, each of size 4. It follows that Ω is equal to one of these sets. However the sets $\{(q, M) \mid q \neq p, M \neq L, q \in L\}$ and $\{(q, M) \mid q \neq p, M \neq L, p \in M\}$ are paired orbits of $G_{BB'}/N$, and therefore do not correspond to undirected graphs of valency 4. (Instead they correspond to (G_B/N) -invariant orientations of the edge set of $[\Sigma_2(B)]$.) This is a contradiction, since when $a_2 = 4$, the set Ω is the neighbourhood of B' in a (G_B/N) -invariant valency 4 (undirected) subgraph of $[\Sigma_2(B')]$. Therefore $(a_2, c_2) \neq (4, 2)$ for Γ and there are no examples satisfying the conditions of Lemma 5.5(2)(a). \square

Finally we deal with case (2)(b) of Lemma 5.5.

Lemma 5.7. *Let Γ be a $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph, and suppose that the conditions of Lemma 5.5(2)(b) hold. Then either*

- (1) Γ is the standard double cover of the Gewirtz graph, or
- (2) Γ has diameter at least 4 and is not $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive.

Proof. First we show that the standard double cover $\overline{\Sigma}$ of the Gewirtz graph Σ is an example. Let $G = A \times \langle \tau \rangle$, where $A = \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ and τ is the map defined before Lemma 2.6. Then since Σ is connected and non-bipartite with $c_2 = 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that $\overline{\Sigma}$ is connected with $c_2 = 2$. Also it follows from the definition that $\overline{\Sigma}$ is a G -normal cover of $\overline{\Sigma}_{\overline{N}} \cong \Sigma$, where $\overline{N} = 1 \times \langle \tau \rangle \trianglelefteq G$. Finally, it follows from the discussion at the end of the proof of Lemma 5.5 that G is transitive on the s -geodesics of $\overline{\Sigma}$, for each $s \leq 3$. Thus all conditions hold and $\overline{\Sigma}$ is an example.

If Γ, G satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma, and if Γ has diameter at least 4 and is not $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive, then (2) holds. So we assume that this is not the case, and hence we assume that Γ, G have the following properties: Γ is connected, $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive, and (G, s) -distance-transitive for $s = \min\{4, \text{diam}(\Gamma)\}$, Γ has girth 4 with $(a_2, b_2, c_2) = (0, 8, 2)$, $\Gamma_N \cong \Sigma$ for some non-trivial normal subgroup N of G , and $G_{u_0} = PGL(2, 9), M_{10}$ or $P\Gamma L(2, 9)$, for $u_0 \in V(\Gamma)$.

We claim that, if Γ is not bipartite, then its standard double cover $\bar{\Gamma}$ and the group $\bar{G} = G \times \langle \tau \rangle$ (with τ as defined before Lemma 2.6), satisfy all of these conditions. To prove this claim, assume that Γ is not bipartite. Then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is connected. Since Γ is connected of girth 4 with $c_2 = 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that $\bar{\Gamma}$ has girth 4 with $c_2 = 2$, and also from Lemma 2.6 it follows that $\bar{\Gamma}$ is $(\bar{G}, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive (since $a_2 = 0$) and $\bar{\Gamma}$ is $(\bar{G}, 4)$ -distance-transitive (since $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}) > \text{diam}(\Gamma) \geq 3$). Finally $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a \bar{G} -normal cover of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\bar{N}} \cong \Gamma_N \cong \Sigma$, where $\bar{N} = N \times \langle \tau \rangle \trianglelefteq \bar{G}$, and the vertex stabilisers in \bar{G} and G are isomorphic, implying, by Lemma 5.5 that $(a_2, b_2) = (0, 8)$. This proves the claim.

We now assume that Γ is bipartite, if necessary replacing a non-bipartite graph with its standard double cover. We will prove that, under this assumption, Γ is $\bar{\Sigma}$ as in part (1). If in fact the original graph had been non-bipartite, then this would imply that $\bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\Sigma}$ and hence that $\Gamma = \Sigma$, which is not the case since $\text{diam}(\Sigma) = 2$. Hence to complete the proof of the lemma, it is sufficient to assume that Γ is bipartite and to prove that $\Gamma = \bar{\Sigma}$. So we assume that Γ, G, N satisfy all the conditions of the previous paragraph and in addition that Γ is bipartite.

Note that the Gewirtz graph Σ has valency 10, so also Γ has valency 10 since it covers Σ . As in the proof of Lemma 5.5, let (B_0, B_1, B_2) be a 2-geodesic of Σ and let $B_3 \in \Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$ such that $B_3 \neq B_1$. Let $u_i \in B_i$, for each i , such that (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) is a 3-arc of Γ ; it was shown that this is a 4-cycle and $\Gamma(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2) = \{u_1, u_3\}$. Let $B_4 \in \Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$ and let $u_4 \in B_4$ so that (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_4) is a 3-arc; it was shown that this is a 3-geodesic for each of the 8 choices for B_4 (since $a_2 = 8$). Also in this case the stabiliser G_{u_0} is 3-transitive on $\Gamma(u_0)$.

Let $\Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_4) = \{B_5, B_6\}$ and, for $i \in \{5, 6\}$, let $u_i \in B_i$ such that the sequence $(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_4, u_i)$ is a 4-arc. Since (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_4) is a 3-geodesic, $d_\Gamma(u_0, u_i) \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, but since Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive and $B_2, B_3 \in \Sigma_2(B_0)$, all vertices of $\Gamma_2(u_0) \cup \Gamma_3(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Sigma_2(B_0)$. Hence $u_i \in \Gamma_4(u_0)$, and since Γ is $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive, all vertices of $\Gamma_4(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Sigma(B_0)$. Now $\Gamma_4(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_4)$ contains $\{u_5, u_6\}$ so $b_3 \geq 2$. Since $B_5 \in \Sigma(B_0)$, u_5 is adjacent to a vertex $u'_0 \in B_0$, and so $(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_4, u_5, u'_0)$ is a 5-arc. Thus $d_\Gamma(u_0, u'_0)$ is at most 5, and is greater than 4 since vertices of $\cup_{i=1}^4 \Gamma_i(u_0)$ lie in blocks in $\Sigma(B_0) \cup \Sigma_2(B_0)$. Hence $d_\Gamma(u_0, u'_0) = 5$ and Γ has diameter at least 5. Further, each vertex of $\Gamma_4(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_4)$ lies in one of the two blocks $B_5, B_6 \in \Sigma(B_0)$ adjacent to B_4 . Thus $\Gamma_4(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_4) = \{u_5, u_6\}$ (since Γ is a cover of Σ), and $b_3 = 2$. Since Γ is bipartite of valency 10, $a_3 = 0$ and $c_3 = |\Gamma_2(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_4)| = 10 - b_3 = 8$. Thus we have $|\Gamma_2(u_0)| = \frac{10 \times b_1}{c_2} = \frac{90}{2} = 45$, $|\Gamma_3(u_0)| = \frac{45 \times b_2}{c_3} = \frac{45 \times 8}{8} = 45$, and $|\Gamma_4(u_0)| = \frac{45 \times b_3}{c_4} = \frac{90}{c_4}$, with $c_4 \geq c_3 = 8$. Since vertices of $\Gamma_4(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Sigma(B_0)$, $\Gamma_4(u_0)$ consists of a constant number of vertices from each of these blocks and so $|\Gamma_4(u_0)|$ is divisible by 10. It follows that $c_4 = 9$ and $|\Gamma_4(u_0)| = 10$. Then $b_4 = 1$ (as Γ is bipartite), Γ is G -distance-transitive

(Remark 2.3), and $|\Gamma_5(u_0)| = \frac{10 \times b_4}{c_5} = \frac{10}{c_5}$, with $c_5 \geq c_4 = 9$, so $c_5 = 10$ and $|\Gamma_5(u_0)| = 1$. Thus Γ is an antipodal double cover of Σ as well as bipartite, and has odd diameter. Hence by Lemma 2.5, Γ is the standard double cover of the Gewirtz graph Σ . \square

5.5. Covers of the M_{22} graph. First we determine the value of c_2 for a G -normal cover of the M_{22} -graph.

Lemma 5.8. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Suppose that Γ is a G -normal cover of the M_{22} -graph Σ , that is, G has a normal subgroup N such that $\Gamma_N \cong \Sigma$. Let $u \in V(\Gamma)$. Then*

- (1) $G/N = M_{22}$ or $M_{22}.2$, and $G_u = \mathbb{Z}_2^4 : S_6$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 : A_6$, respectively, and
- (2) $c_2 = 4$.

Proof. (1) The M_{22} -graph Σ is strongly regular with parameters $(77, 16, 0, 4)$. Let $u \in B \in V(\Sigma)$. By [6], $A := \text{Aut}(\Sigma) \cong M_{22}.2$ and $A_B \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4 : S_6$. Moreover, it follows from [11, p.39] that M_{22} is the only proper subgroup of $M_{22}.2$ which is vertex transitive on Σ . Hence $G/N = M_{22}$ or $M_{22}.2$, and $G_B/N = \mathbb{Z}_2^4 : S_6$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 : A_6$, respectively. Since Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive of girth 4 or 5, it follows from Lemma 5.3 (2) that $G_B = NG_u$, and by Lemma 3.2, N is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$. Thus $G_u \cap N = 1_G$ and $G_u \cong G_u/(G_u \cap N) \cong NG_u/N = G_B/N$, proving part (1).

(2) Since Γ covers Σ it follows that Γ has valency 16 and $c_2 \leq 4$. Suppose that $c_2 < 4$. Let (B_0, B_1, B_2) be a 2-geodesic of Σ . Let $u_i \in B_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2$) such that (u_0, u_1, u_2) is a 2-arc of Γ . Since Γ has no triangles, (u_0, u_1, u_2) is a 2-geodesic. Let $B_3 \in \Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$ be one of the $4 - c_2$ blocks that contain no point of $\Gamma(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)$, and let B_4 be one of the 12 blocks of $\Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$. Let $u_i \in B_i$ ($i = 3, 4$) such that (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) and (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_4) are 3-arcs of Γ . By Lemma 5.3(3), $u_3 \in \Gamma_3(u_0)$ so that $b_2 := |\Gamma(u_2) \cap \Gamma_3(u_0)| \geq 4 - c_2$; and also all vertices of $\Gamma_3(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Sigma(B_0)$ so that $u_4 \notin \Gamma_3(u_0)$. Since the block B_4 is not in $\Sigma(B_0)$ it follows that $u_4 \in \Gamma_2(u_0)$, and hence that $a_2 := |\Gamma(u_2) \cap \Gamma_2(u_0)| \geq 12$. Since the valency $16 = a_2 + b_2 + c_2$ we conclude that $a_2 = 12$ and $b_2 = 4 - c_2$.

Since $B_3 \in \Sigma(B_0)$, there exists a vertex $u'_0 \in B_0$ adjacent to u_3 . Further $u'_0 \neq u_0$, as $u_3 \notin \Gamma(u_0)$. By the $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitivity of Γ , G_{u_0} is transitive on $\Gamma_i(u_0)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and so vertices in these sets lie in blocks of $\Sigma(B_0) \cup \Sigma_2(B_0)$. Hence $u'_0 \in \Gamma_4(u_0)$, and Γ has diameter at least 4.

Next we claim that $c_3 := |\Gamma(u_3) \cap \Gamma_2(u_0)| = 15$. Consider $\Delta := \Gamma(u_3) \cap \Gamma_2(u_1)$. Since $d_\Gamma(u_1, u_3) = 2$, $|\Delta| = a_2 = 12$. Since there are no Γ -edges between blocks of $\Sigma(B_0)$ and since all vertices of $\Gamma_3(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Sigma(B_0)$, it follows that Δ is disjoint from $\Gamma_3(u_0)$.

Then since $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma_2(u_1)$ it follows that $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma_2(u_0)$. Thus $\Gamma(u_3) \cap \Gamma_2(u_0)$ contains Δ and so $c_3 \geq 12$. To determine c_3 exactly we use some divisibility arguments. Since all vertices of $\Gamma_2(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Sigma_2(B_0)$, and since G_{u_0} is transitive on both $\Gamma_2(u_0)$ and $\Sigma_2(B_0)$ (Lemma 5.3), it follows that $|\Sigma_2(B_0)| = 60$ divides $|\Gamma_2(u_0)| = \frac{16 \times 15}{c_2} = \frac{240}{c_2}$. Since $c_2 < 4$, this implies that $c_2 = 1$ or 2 . Again, by Lemma 5.3(3), $|\Gamma(u_0)| = 16$ divides $|\Gamma_3(u_0)| = \frac{240}{c_2} \times \frac{4-c_2}{c_3}$, and this implies that c_3 divides 45 . It follows that $c_3 = 15$, as claimed.

Since Γ has diameter at least 4, $b_3 := |\Gamma(u_3) \cap \Gamma_4(u_0)| = 1$ and $a_3 := |\Gamma(u_3) \cap \Gamma_3(u_0)| = 0$, and so by Remark 2.3, Γ is G -distance-transitive. Since $a_2 = 12$, Γ contains 5-cycles and hence is not bipartite, and since Γ is also not vertex-primitive, it follows from [32, Theorem 2] that Γ is antipodal. We showed above that $c_2 \leq 2$. If $c_2 = 2$ then $|\Gamma_2(u_0)| = \frac{16 \times 15}{c_2} = 120$, $|\Gamma_3(u_0)| = \frac{240 \times 2}{2 \times c_3} = 16$, and $|\Gamma_4(u_0)| = \frac{16 \times 1}{c_4}$ with $c_4 \geq c_3 = 15$, so we find that $|\Gamma_4(u_0)| = 1$, Γ has diameter 4, and Γ has intersection array $(16, 15, 2, 1; 1, 2, 15, 16)$. However, by [7, p.421], such a graph does not exist. Hence $c_2 = 1$, so $b_2 = 3$ and we obtain $|\Gamma_2(u_0)| = \frac{16 \times 15}{c_2} = 240$, $|\Gamma_3(u_0)| = \frac{240 \times 3}{c_3} = 48$, and $|\Gamma_4(u_0)| = \frac{48 \times 1}{c_4}$ with $c_4 \geq c_3 = 15$. Thus $c_4 = 16$, $|\Gamma_4(u_0)| = 3$. Hence G_{u_0} has a transitive action on $\Gamma_4(u_0)$ of degree 3, but this is impossible since by part (1), $G_{u_0} = \mathbb{Z}_2^4 : S_6$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 : A_6$. \square

Lemma 5.9. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Suppose that Γ is a G -normal cover of the M_{22} -graph. Then either*

- (1) Γ is the standard double cover of the M_{22} -graph, or
- (2) Γ has diameter at least 4 and is not $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive.

Proof. Let Σ be the M_{22} -graph, a strongly regular graph with parameters $(77, 16, 0, 4)$, and let $N \trianglelefteq G$ such that $\Gamma_N \cong \Sigma$. Since Γ is a cover of Σ , Γ has valency 16, and by Lemma 5.8, $G/N = M_{22}$ or $M_{22}.2$, and $c_2 = 4$. We identify Γ_N with Σ .

Let (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) be a 3-geodesic of Γ such that $u_i \in B_i \in V(\Sigma)$. Then (B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3) is a 3-arc of Σ . Since Σ also has $c_2 = 4$, it follows that for each $B \in \Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$ such that $B \neq B_1$, the unique vertex of $\Gamma(u_2) \cap B$ is adjacent to u_0 . Hence $B_3 \notin \Sigma(B_0)$, and so $B_3 \in \Sigma_2(B_0)$.

Also by Lemma 5.3(4), we have $G_{B_0 B_2} = NG_{u_0 B_2} = NG_{u_0 u_2}$ (since $c_2 = 4$). It can be easily checked using MAGMA [5] that $G_{B_0 B_2}/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2.S_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^2.S_4.\mathbb{Z}_2$ and acts transitively on $\Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$, a set of 12 blocks including B_3 . It follows that $G_{u_0 u_2}$ acts transitively on $\Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$. Therefore $\Gamma_3(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)$ contains a point from each of these 12 blocks, and hence $b_2 := |\Gamma_3(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| \geq 12$. Since the valency $16 = a_2 + b_2 + c_2 \geq a_2 + 12 + 4$, we have $a_2 = 0$ and $b_2 = 12$.

Now $|\Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_3)| = 4$, say $\Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_3) = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$, and, for each i , let $\Gamma(u_3) \cap E_i = \{e_i\}$. Then $d_\Gamma(u_0, e_i) \leq 4$, and $d_\Gamma(u_0, e_i) \neq 1$ since $u_3 \in \Gamma_3(u_0)$. Since

G_{u_0} is transitive on $\Gamma_i(u_0)$ for $i = 2, 3$ and u_2, u_3 lie in blocks in $\Sigma_2(B_0)$, it follows that $d_\Gamma(u_0, e_i) \neq 2, 3$ and hence $d_\Gamma(u_0, e_i) = 4$, so $\text{diam}(\Gamma) \geq 4$ and $b_3 = |\Gamma_4(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_3)| \geq 4$.

If Γ is not $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive then part (2) holds, so suppose now that Γ is $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive. Then all vertices in $\Gamma_4(u_0)$ lie in blocks of $\Sigma(B_0)$, and hence vertices in $B_0 \setminus \{u_0\}$ all have distance at least 5 from u_0 . Since Γ covers Σ there is a unique vertex $u'_0 \in B_0 \setminus \{u_0\}$ adjacent to e_1 and this must satisfy $d_\Gamma(u_0, u'_0) = 5$. Further, since each vertex $u \in \Gamma_4(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_3)$ lies in one of the 4 blocks of $\Sigma(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_3)$, it follows that u is one of the e_i , and so $b_3 = |\Gamma_4(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_3)| = 4$.

Suppose that Γ is not bipartite, and let $\bar{\Gamma}$ denote the standard double cover of Γ and $\bar{G} = G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, as in Lemma 2.6. Then, by Lemma 2.6, $\bar{\Gamma}$ is $(\bar{G}, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive and $(\bar{G}, 4)$ -distance-transitive and has $c_2 = 4$. Moreover $\bar{\Gamma}_{\bar{N}} \cong \Sigma$ where $\bar{N} = N \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. If Lemma 5.9 holds for bipartite graphs, then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the standard double cover of Σ , and hence $\Gamma = \Sigma$, which is a contradiction. Thus it is sufficient to prove the lemma for bipartite graphs, and we therefore assume from now on that Γ is bipartite.

Then, since Γ is bipartite, $a_3 = |\Gamma_3(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_3)| = 0$, so $c_3 = |\Gamma_2(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_3)| = 16 - a_3 - b_3 = 12$, $|\Gamma_3(u_0)| = \frac{60 \times b_2}{c_3} = 60$, and $|\Gamma_4(u_0)| = \frac{60 \times b_3}{c_4} = \frac{240}{c_4}$ with $c_4 \geq c_3 = 12$. Since $\Gamma_4(u_0)$ is contained in the union of the blocks in $\Sigma(B_0)$, and since G_{u_0} is transitive on $\Sigma(B_0)$ and fixes $\Gamma_4(u_0)$ setwise, it follows that $\Gamma_4(u_0)$ contains a constant number of vertices from each block of $\Sigma(B_0)$. Thus $|\Gamma_4(u_0)|$ is divisible by 16, and it follows that $c_4 = 15$ and $|\Gamma_4(u_0)| = 16$. Again, since Γ is bipartite, $a_4 = |\Gamma_4(u_0) \cap \Gamma(e_1)| = 0$ so $b_4 = |\Gamma_5(u_0) \cap \Gamma(e_1)| = 16 - c_4 = 1$. It follows that G_{u_0} is transitive on $\Gamma_5(u_0)$ and that $|\Gamma_5(u_0)| = \frac{16 \times b_4}{c_5} = \frac{16}{c_5}$ with $c_5 = |\Gamma_4(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u'_0)| \geq c_4 = 15$. Thus $c_5 = 16$, $|\Gamma_5(u_0)| = 1$, and Γ is a G -distance-transitive, bipartite and antipodal graph, with antipodal blocks of size 2 and with odd diameter 5. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that Γ is the standard double cover of Σ , as in part (1). \square

5.6. Covers of complete bipartite graphs.

Lemma 5.10. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Suppose that Γ is a G -normal m -fold cover of $K_{r,r}$, where $r \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. Then Γ is bipartite with girth 4 and diameter at least 4, and one of the following holds:*

- (1) Γ is a Hadamard graph of order r (so $m = 2$); or
- (2) Γ is a G -distance-transitive antipodal graph $mK_{r,r}$ which is the incidence graph of a resolvable divisible design $RGD(r, c_2, m)$, where $r = mc_2$, such that any two blocks from different parallel classes contain exactly c_2 common points; Γ has intersection array $(r, r-1, r-c_2, 1; 1, c_2, r-1, r)$, and $c_2 \geq 2$, $m \geq 3$; or
- (3) Γ is not $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive.

There are examples of distance-transitive antipodal graphs $mK_{r,r}$ arising from resolvable divisible designs $RGD(r, c_2, m)$ in case (2). Those with valency $r \leq 13$ are classified and are listed in [7, p.223–225]. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, each $RGD(r, c_2, m)$ with $r = c_2 m$, such that any two blocks from different parallel classes contain exactly c_2 common points, has an incidence graph which is distance-regular.

Proof. Let $\Sigma = K_{r,r}$ where $r \geq 3$, and let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be such that $\Gamma_N \cong \Sigma$. Since Σ is bipartite it follows that Γ is also bipartite, and hence contains no cycles of odd length. Then since Γ has girth 4 or 5, the girth of Γ must be 4. Set $V(\Sigma) = \mathcal{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{B}_1$ where $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{B_{01}, B_{02}, \dots, B_{0,r}\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{B_{11}, B_{12}, \dots, B_{1,r}\}$, and $\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1$ are the two bipartite halves of Σ .

Let $(u_{01}, u_{11}, u_{02}, u_{12})$ be a 4-cycle of Γ such that each $u_{ij} \in B_{ij}$. Then $u_{02} \in \Gamma_2(u_{01})$ and $(B_{01}, B_{11}, B_{02}, B_{12})$ is a 4-cycle of Σ . Since Γ is $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive with diameter at least 3, u_{02} is adjacent to some vertex $u_{13} \in \Gamma_3(u_{01})$. Let $u_{13} \in B_{13}$. Since $\Sigma = K_{r,r}$, it follows that $B_{13} \in \Sigma(B_{01})$. Let $u'_{01} \in B_{01}$ be adjacent to u_{13} . Then $d_\Gamma(u_{01}, u'_{01}) \leq 4$. As Γ is bipartite $d_\Gamma(u_{01}, u'_{01})$ is even, and as Γ is a cover of Σ , $d_\Gamma(u_{01}, u'_{01}) \neq 2$. Hence $u'_{01} \in \Gamma_4(u_{01})$, and in particular $\text{diam}(\Gamma) \geq 4$.

Since Γ has girth 4, it follows that $c_2 \geq 2$, and we have $|\Gamma_2(u_{01})| = \frac{r \times (r-1)}{c_2}$. By Lemma 3.2, it follows that $G_{B_{01}}$ is transitive on $\Sigma_2(B_{01}) = \mathcal{B}_0 \setminus \{B_{01}\}$, and by Lemma 5.3, $G_{B_{01}} = NG_{u_{01}}$, and hence also $G_{u_{01}}$ is transitive on $\Sigma_2(B_{01})$. It follows that $\Gamma_2(u_{01})$ contains equally many vertices from each block of $\Sigma_2(B_{01})$ and hence that $r - 1$ divides $|\Gamma_2(u_{01})|$. Therefore c_2 divides r . Since $\text{diam}(\Gamma) \geq 4$, we must have $c_2 < r$, and hence $2 \leq c_2 \leq r/2$.

Suppose first that $c_2 = \frac{r}{2}$. Then by [7, Theorem 1.9.3] (since Γ has diameter at least 4 and valency $r \geq 3$), Γ is a Hadamard graph of order r with intersection array $(r, r-1, r/2, 1; 1, r/2, r-1, r)$. Thus $|V(\Gamma)| = 4r$ and so $m = |B_{01}| = 2$ and (1) holds.

Now suppose that $2 \leq c_2 < \frac{r}{2}$, so $c_2 \leq r/3$ since c_2 divides r . If Γ is not $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive then (3) holds, so assume now that Γ is $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive. Then since $u'_{01} \in \Gamma_4(u_{01}) \cap B_{01}$, it follows that $\Gamma_4(u_{01}) \subset B_{01}$. Let $u \in \Gamma(u'_{01}) \setminus \{u_{13}\}$. Then $u \in \Gamma_3(u_{01}) \cup \Gamma_5(u_{01})$. Further, (u_{13}, u'_{01}, u) is a 2-geodesic, so $|\Gamma(u_{13}) \cap \Gamma(u)| = c_2 \geq 2$, say $\{u'_{01}, u_{03}\} \subseteq \Gamma(u_{13}) \cap \Gamma(u)$. Since $u_{13} \in \Gamma_3(u_{01})$, it follows that $u_{03} \in \Gamma_2(u_{01}) \cup \Gamma_4(u_{01})$. Moreover, since $\Gamma_4(u_{01}) \subset B_{01}$, we have $u_{03} \notin \Gamma_4(u_{01})$, and so $u_{03} \in \Gamma_2(u_{01})$. Hence $u \in \Gamma_3(u_{01})$, and it follows that $\Gamma(u'_{01}) \subseteq \Gamma_3(u_{01})$ so $c_4 = r$ and $\text{diam}(\Gamma) = 4$. In particular Γ is G -distance-transitive. Since Γ is a cover of Σ , each vertex of $B_{01} \setminus \{u_{01}\}$ has even distance from u_{01} greater than 2, and therefore $B_{01} \setminus \{u_{01}\} = \Gamma_4(u_{01})$ so Γ is an antipodal graph. Also $\Gamma_2(u_{01}) = \cup_{i=2}^r B_{0i}$ and $\Gamma_3(u_{01}) = (\cup_{i=1}^r B_{1i}) \setminus \Gamma(u_{01})$, so $|\Gamma_i(u_{01})|$ is $(r-1)m, r(m-1), m-1$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, respectively. In particular, since $|\Gamma_2(u_{01})| = \frac{r}{c_2}(r-1)$, it follows that $r = c_2 m$ so $m \geq 3$ since $c_2 \leq r/3$. Also, counting the edges between $\Gamma_3(u_{01})$ and $\Gamma_4(u_{01})$ we have $r(m-1)b_3 = |\Gamma_3(u_{01})|b_3 = |\Gamma_4(u_{01})|c_4 = (m-1)r$ and hence $b_3 = 1$. Therefore $c_3 = r - b_3 = r - 1$ (since $a_3 = 0$), and Γ has intersection array

$$(r, r-1, r-c_2, 1; 1, c_2, r-1, r).$$

Moreover, by [18, Item 10 on p.316], a distance-regular graph with intersection array of this type is an m -fold antipodal cover $mK_{r,r}$ where $r = m.c_2$. Finally, we show that Γ is the incidence graph of a resolvable divisible design with the properties specified in (2), and that each such design determines a distance-regular m -fold antipodal cover of $K_{r,r}$.

Let $V(\Gamma) = V_1 \cup V_2$ where V_1, V_2 are the bipartite halves of $V(\Gamma)$. We construct the design $\mathcal{D} = (V_1, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ with V_1 being the set of points, \mathcal{P} being the set of antipodal blocks of Γ contained in V_1 (that is, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{B}_0$), and \mathcal{B} being the set $\{\Gamma(u) \mid u \in V_2\}$ of neighbour-sets of vertices in V_2 . Then \mathcal{P} consists of r antipodal blocks, each of size m , and is a partition of V_1 . Since Γ is a bipartite graph of valency r that covers Σ , it follows that each block in \mathcal{B} is adjacent to exactly one vertex of every antipodal block in \mathcal{P} . Also, each pair of points from different antipodal blocks of \mathcal{P} are at distance 2 in Γ and therefore are contained in exactly c_2 blocks of \mathcal{B} . Thus \mathcal{D} is a divisible design $GD(r, c_2, m, rm)$. Moreover, the set \mathcal{B} can be partitioned into r parts, namely $\mathcal{B}^{(i)} := \{\Gamma(u) \mid u \in B_{1i}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Each of these parts has size m and distinct $\Gamma(u), \Gamma(u')$ in the same part must be disjoint since Γ is a cover of Σ . Thus $\cup_{u \in B_{1i}} \Gamma(u)$ has size rm and hence is equal to V_1 , that is, each $\mathcal{B}^{(i)}$ is a partition of V_1 – a parallel class of blocks of \mathcal{D} . Thus \mathcal{D} is a resolvable divisible design $RGD(r, c_2, m)$. If $\Gamma(u) \in \mathcal{B}^{(i)}$ and $\Gamma(v) \in \mathcal{B}^{(j)}$ with $i \neq j$, then u, v are at distance 2 in Γ and hence $|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v)| = c_2$. Thus \mathcal{D} has all the properties specified in (2).

Conversely, by Lemma 2.2, if \mathcal{D} is a resolvable divisible design $RGD(r, c_2, m)$, where $r = c_2.m$, such that any two blocks from different parallel classes contain exactly c_2 common points, then its incidence graph $\text{Inc}(\mathcal{D})$ is an antipodal diameter 4 graph that is an m -fold cover $mK_{r,r}$. \square

5.7. Covers of the Hoffman-Singleton graph. Recall that the automorphism group of the Hoffman-Singleton graph is $PSU(3, 5).Z_2$. Its action on vertices has rank 3, with point stabiliser S_7 , see [19, p.304].

Lemma 5.11. *Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5. Then Γ is not a G -normal cover of the Hoffman-Singleton graph.*

Proof. Suppose that Γ is a G -normal cover of the Hoffman-Singleton graph Σ , and let $N \trianglelefteq G$ such that $\Gamma_N = \Sigma$. Since Σ is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(50, 7, 0, 1)$, it follows that Γ has valency 7 and $c_2 = 1$. In particular, Γ has girth 5.

Let (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) be a 3-geodesic of Γ such that $u_i \in B_i \in V(\Sigma)$. Then (B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3) is a 3-arc of Σ and $|\Sigma_2(B_0)| = 42$. Since Σ has $c_2 = 1$, B_3 lies in $\Sigma_2(B_0)$. By Lemma 3.2, Σ is $(G/N, 2)$ -geodesic-transitive, so G_{B_0}/N is 2-transitive on $\Sigma(B_0)$. By [11, p.34], the only proper subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ that is 2-geodesic-transitive is $PSU(3, 5)$, and so $G/N =$

$PSU(3, 5). \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $PSU(3, 5)$ and $G_{B_0}/N = S_7$ or A_7 , respectively, acting faithfully on $\Sigma(B_0)$. For both cases, we check using MAGMA [5] that $G_{B_0B_2}/N$ is transitive on $\Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$, a set of size 6. By Lemma 5.3(4), $G_{B_0} = NG_{u_0}$ and $G_{B_0B_2} = NG_{u_0u_2} = NG_{u_0B_2}$. Therefore, $G_{u_0u_2}$ is transitive on $\Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$. Let $C \in \Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)$ with $C \neq B_3$, and let $\Gamma(u_2) \cap C = \{c\}$. Then some element $g \in G_{u_0u_2}$ maps B_3 to C , and so $u_3^g = c$. Thus $c \in \Gamma_3(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)$. Since $|\Sigma_2(B_0) \cap \Sigma(B_2)| = 6$, it follows that $|\Gamma_3(u_0) \cap \Gamma(u_2)| = 6$, that is, Γ has $b_2 = 6$, and so $a_2 = 0$, contradicting the fact that Γ has girth 5. \square

We are ready to prove the third theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let Γ be a connected $(G, 3)$ -geodesic-transitive graph of girth 4 or 5, and let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with at least 3 orbits on $V(\Gamma)$, and such that Γ_N has diameter at most 2. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that Γ is a cover of Γ_N , and Γ_N is either a complete graph or a strongly regular graph of girth 4 or 5. If Γ_N is a complete graph, then by Lemma 5.1, Γ is isomorphic to $K_{k+1, k+1} - (k+1)K_2$, for some $k \geq 3$, or to $[HoS]_2$, as in Table 1. Suppose that Γ_N has diameter 2 and girth 4 or 5. Then by Theorem 1.2, Γ_N is one of the following graphs: C_5 , $K_{r,r}$ with $r \geq 2$, the Higman-Sims graph HiS, the Gewirtz graph, the M_{22} -graph, the folded 5-cube \square_5 , the Petersen graph, and the Hoffman-Singleton graph. Further, by Lemmas 5.2(1) and 5.11, Γ_N is not C_5 or the Hoffman-Singleton graph. All the other possibilities yield examples, and by Lemmas 5.2 (2)-(3), 5.4, 5.7, 5.9 and 5.10, either Γ is one of the graphs in Table 1, or Γ is not $(G, 4)$ -distance-transitive, with Γ_N as in Table 2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Alspach, M. Conder, D. Marušič and M. Y. Xu, A classification of 2-arc-transitive circulants, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **5** (1996), 83–86.
- [2] M. Aschbacher, The nonexistence of rank three permutation groups of degree 3250 and subdegree 57, *J. Algebra*, **19** (1971), 538–540.
- [3] E. Bannai, Maximal subgroups of low rank of finite symmetric and alternating groups, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **18** (1972), 475–486.
- [4] N. L. Biggs, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Cambridge University Press, New York, (1974).
- [5] W. Bosma, C. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [6] A. E. Brouwer, M_{22} Graph, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/graphs/M22.html>. Accessed 28 March, 2019.
- [7] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, (1989).
- [8] F. Buekenhout and H. Van Maldeghem, A characterization of some rank 2 incidence geometries by their automorphism group, *Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen* **218** (1994), 1–70.
- [9] P. J. Cameron, *Permutation Groups*, volume 45 of London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1999).

- [10] P. J. Cameron and C. E. Praeger, On 2-arc transitive graphs of girth 4, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **35** (1983), 1–11.
- [11] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press Oxford, (1985).
- [12] A. Devillers, M. Giudici, C. H. Li and C. E. Praeger, Locally s -distance transitive Graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **(2)69** (2012), 176–197.
- [13] A. Devillers, W. Jin, C. H. Li and C. E. Praeger, Local 2-geodesic transitivity and clique graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **120** (2013), 500–508.
- [14] A. Devillers, W. Jin, C. H. Li and C. E. Praeger, Line graphs and geodesic transitivity, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **6** (2013), 13–20.
- [15] A. Devillers, W. Jin, C. H. Li and C. E. Praeger, On normal 2-geodesic transitive Cayley graphs, *J. Algebr. Comb.* **39** (2014), 903–918.
- [16] A. Devillers, W. Jin, C. H. Li and C. E. Praeger, Finite 2-geodesic transitive graphs of prime valency, *J. Graph Theory* **80** (2015), 18–27.
- [17] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer, New York, (1996).
- [18] I. A. Faradzev, I. A. Ivanov and A. A. Ivanov, Distance-transitive graphs of valency 5, 6 and 7, *European J. Combin.* **7** (1986), 303–319.
- [19] I. A. Faradzev, A. A. Ivanov, M. H. Klin and A. J. Woldar, *Investigations in Algebraic Theory of Combinatorial Objects*, Springer-Science+Business Media, B.V., (1994).
- [20] R. Frucht, Die gruppe des Petersen’schen Graphen und der Kantensysteme der regulären Polyeder, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **9** (1937), 217–223.
- [21] C. D. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, (2001).
- [22] C. D. Godsil, R. A. Liebler and C. E. Praeger, Antipodal distance transitive covers of complete graphs, *European J. Combin.* **19** (1998), 455–478.
- [23] A. A. Ivanov and C. E. Praeger, On finite affine 2-arc transitive graphs, *European J. Combin.* **14** (1993), 421–444.
- [24] W. Jin, Finite 3-geodesic transitive but not 3-arc transitive graphs, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **91** (2015), 183–190.
- [25] W. Jin, The pentavalent three-geodesic-transitive graphs, *Discrete Math.* **341** (2018), 1344–1349.
- [26] W. M. Kantor and R. A. Liebler, The rank 3 permutation representations of the finite classical groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **271** (1982), 1–71.
- [27] C. H. Li and J. M. Pan, Finite 2-arc-transitive abelian Cayley graphs, *European J. Combin.* **29** (2008), 148–158.
- [28] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, On the O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **44** (1988), 389–396.
- [29] M. W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, The finite primitive permutation groups of rank three, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **18(2)** (1986), 165–172.
- [30] C. E. Praeger, Primitive permutation groups with a doubly transitive subconstituent, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **45** (1988) 66–77.
- [31] C. E. Praeger and J. Wang, On primitive representations of finite alternating and symmetric groups with a 2-transitive subconstituent, *J. Algebra* **180** (1996) 808–833.
- [32] D. H. Smith, Primitive and imprimitive graphs, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **(2)22** (1971), 551–557.

- [33] W. T. Tutte, A family of cubical graphs, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **43** (1947), 459–474.
- [34] W. T. Tutte, On the symmetry of cubic graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* **11** (1959), 621–624.
- [35] R. Weiss, The non-existence of 8-transitive graphs, *Combinatorica* **1** (1981), 309–311.
- [36] H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups*, New York: Academic Press (1964).

WEI JIN, SCHOOL OF STATISTICS, JIANGXI UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, NANCHANG, JIANGXI, 330013, P.R.CHINA

RESEARCH CENTER OF APPLIED STATISTICS, JIANGXI UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, NANCHANG, JIANGXI, 330013, P.R.CHINA

E-mail address: jinweipei82@163.com

CHERYL E. PRAEGER, CMSC AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA, CRAWLEY, WA 6009, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: cheryl.praeger@uwa.edu.au