

Nonlocal Reductions of a Generalized Heisenberg Ferromagnet Equation

R. Myrzakulov¹, G. Nugmanova¹, T. Valchev², K. Yesmakhanova¹

¹ Department of General & Theoretical Physics,
Eurasian National University, Astana, 010008, Kazakhstan

² Institute of Mathematics and Informatics,
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str.,
1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mails: tiv@math.bas.bg, rmyrzakulov@gmail.com,
nugmanovagn@gmail.com, kryesmakhanova@gmail.com

Abstract

We study nonlocal reductions of coupled equations in $1 + 1$ dimensions of the Heisenberg ferromagnet type. The equations under consideration are completely integrable and have a Lax pair related to a linear bundle in pole gauge. We describe the integrable hierarchy of nonlinear equations related to our system in terms of generating operators. We present some special solutions associated with four distinct discrete eigenvalues of scattering operator. Using the Lax pair diagonalization method, we derive recurrence formulas for the conserved densities and find the first two simplest conserved densities.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Lax Representation	3
3	Integrable Hierarchies	4
4	Special Solutions	6
5	Integrals of Motion	11
6	Conclusion	13

1 Introduction

In [10, 11], the coupled system of nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs)

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t + u_{xx} + (\varepsilon uu_x^* + vv_x^*)u_x + (\varepsilon uu_x^* + vv_x^*)_x u &= 0, & \varepsilon^2 = 1, \\ iv_t + v_{xx} + (\varepsilon uu_x^* + vv_x^*)v_x + (\varepsilon uu_x^* + vv_x^*)_x v &= 0, & i = \sqrt{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and the corresponding auxiliary spectral problem were introduced and studied. Above, subscripts stand for partial differentiation; $*$ denotes complex conjugation; $|z| = \sqrt{zz^*}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and the complex valued functions u and v obey the constraint:

$$\varepsilon|u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1. \quad (2)$$

System (1) with $\varepsilon = 1$ (so-called Hermitian reduction) was extensively studied in [4–6, 12, 13]. It represents an integrable generalization of the classical Heisenberg ferromagnet equation

$$\mathbf{S}_t = \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}_{xx}, \quad \mathbf{S}^2 = 1$$

for the spin vector $\mathbf{S} = (S^1, S^2, S^3)$, see [1, 3, 9]. Its zero curvature representation is determined by the following Lax pair:

$$L(\lambda) = i\partial_x - \lambda S, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u & v \\ \varepsilon u^* & 0 & 0 \\ v^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

$$A(\lambda) = i\partial_t + \lambda A_1 + \lambda^2 A_2, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2/3 - \varepsilon|u|^2 & -\varepsilon u^* v \\ 0 & -v^* u & 2/3 - |v|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ \varepsilon a^* & 0 & 0 \\ b^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} a &= -iu_x - i(\varepsilon u u_x^* + v v_x^*) u \\ b &= -iv_x - i(\varepsilon u u_x^* + v v_x^*) v \end{aligned}. \quad (5)$$

Our main purpose here is to study a nonlocal counterpart of (1), namely the following system of NLEEs

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t(x, t) + u_{xx}(x, t) + [B(x, t)u(x, t)]_x &= 0, \\ iv_t(x, t) + v_{xx}(x, t) + [B(x, t)v(x, t)]_x &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $B(x, t) = \varepsilon u(x, t)u_x^*(-x, t) + v(x, t)v_x^*(-x, t)$. This system is completely integrable and it has a Lax representation. In the sections to follow we shall describe the integrable hierarchy of NLEE related to (6), some particular solutions and discuss the corresponding integrals of motion.

The paper itself is organized as follows. Next section introduces the main object of study and its Lax pair. In Section 3 we describe the hierarchy of NLEEs related to (6) in terms of recursion operators. Another issue of fundamental importance concerns the solutions of (6). We shall show here how one can construct particular solutions to (6) in the simplest case of constant background. In doing this, we shall make use of dressing method that seems to be suitable for the system of equations we are interested in.

Section 5 is dedicated to conserved densities. In order to derive the conserved densities of (6) we shall apply the method of Lax pair diagonalization [2].

Last section contains some concluding remarks.

2 Lax Representation

Let us consider the following Lax pair:

$$L(\lambda) = i\partial_x - \lambda S, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(x, t) & v(x, t) \\ \varepsilon u^*(-x, t) & 0 & 0 \\ v^*(-x, t) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1, \quad (7)$$

$$A(\lambda) = i\partial_t + \lambda A_1 + \lambda^2 A_2, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a(x, t) & b(x, t) \\ -\varepsilon a^*(-x, t) & 0 & 0 \\ -b^*(-x, t) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, t) &= -iu_x(x, t) - iB(x, t)u(x, t), & B(x, t) &= \varepsilon u(x, t)u_x^*(-x, t) + v(x, t)v_x^*(-x, t) \\ b(x, t) &= -iv_x(x, t) - iB(x, t)v(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$A_2 = \frac{2}{3}\mathbb{1} - S^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2/3 - \varepsilon u^*(-x, t)u(x, t) & -\varepsilon u^*(-x, t)v(x, t) \\ 0 & -v^*(-x, t)u(x, t) & 2/3 - v^*(-x, t)v(x, t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The function $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ are not arbitrary but are subject to the constraint:

$$\varepsilon u(x, t)u^*(-x, t) + v(x, t)v^*(-x, t) = 1. \quad (10)$$

We note that (10) implies that the matrix S satisfies:

$$S^3 = S. \quad (11)$$

The compatibility condition of those Lax operators leads to the following nonlinear evolution equations:

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t(x, t) + u_{xx}(x, t) + [B(x, t)u(x, t)]_x &= 0, \\ iv_t(x, t) + v_{xx}(x, t) + [B(x, t)v(x, t)]_x &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The form of the matrix coefficients in (7), (8) and (9) implies that the Lax operators are subject to the following symmetry conditions:

$$HS(x, t)H = -S(x, t), \quad HA_2(x, t)H = A_2(x, t), \quad HA_1(x, t)H = -A_1(x, t), \quad (13)$$

$$QS^\dagger(-x, t)Q = S(x, t), \quad QA_2^\dagger(-x, t)Q = A_2(x, t), \quad QA_1^\dagger(-x, t)Q = -A_1(x, t) \quad (14)$$

where $H = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$, $Q = \text{diag}(1, \varepsilon, 1)$. These symmetries are due to the action of group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ on the space of the fundamental solutions $\{\Psi(x, t, \lambda)\}$ to the spectral problem

$$i\partial_x \Psi(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda S(x, t)\Psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Indeed, one can easily check that the following action

$$\Psi(x, t, \lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{\Psi}(x, t, \lambda) = H\Psi(x, t, -\lambda)H, \quad (16)$$

$$\Psi(x, t, \lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{\Psi}(x, t, \lambda) = Q\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(-x, t, -\lambda^*)Q \quad (17)$$

leads to relations (13) and (14). Above the symbol $\hat{\Psi}$ stands for matrix inverse, i.e we have $\hat{\Psi}(x, t, \lambda) = [\Psi(x, t, \lambda)]^{-1}$. In this case it is said that the Lax pair and the corresponding NLEE are $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -reduced [7, 8].

3 Integrable Hierarchies

In this section, we shall describe the hierarchy of matrix integrable NLEEs associated with equations (12). In doing this, we shall follow ideas and methods discussed in [3, 6].

Let us consider the general flow Lax pair:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda) &:= i\partial_x - \lambda S, \\ A(\lambda) &:= i\partial_t + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda^j A_j, \quad N \geq 2 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which is subject to reduction conditions (13) and (14). The matrix $S(x, t)$ has the same form as in (7) and it is assumed to obey (11), i.e. constraint (10) holds true again. Then the zero curvature condition $[L(\lambda), A(\lambda)] = 0$ of the Lax pair (18) gives rise to the following set of recurrence relations:

$$[S, A_N] = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\dots$$

$$i\partial_x A_k - [S, A_{k-1}] = 0, \quad k = 2, \dots, N, \quad (20)$$

$$\dots$$

$$\partial_x A_1 + \partial_t S = 0. \quad (21)$$

Each solution to these equations leads to a member of the integrable hierarchy of NLEEs. The analysis of (19)–(21) resembles very much the one we have in the case of (1). Following [6, 10], we introduce the splitting

$$A_j = A_j^a + A_j^d, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \quad (22)$$

of the matrix coefficients of A into a S -commuting term A_j^d and some remainder A_j^a . From (11) it follows that $S(x, t)$ is a diagonalizable matrix with eigenvalues: $\pm 1, 0$. Hence ad_S is diagonalizable as well with eigenvalues $0, \pm 1, \pm 2$. The above splitting simply says that A_j^d and A_j^a belong to the zero eigenspace of ad_S and the direct sum of all the nonzero eigenspaces respectively. Consequently, the above splitting is unique and the operator ad_S^{-1} is properly defined on A_j^a , namely we have

$$\text{ad}_S^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} (5\text{ad}_S - \text{ad}_S^3).$$

Symmetry conditions (13) and (14) require that we have

$$A_j \in \mathfrak{sl}^\sigma(3, \mathbb{C}) := \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}); HXH = (-1)^\sigma X\}, \quad j \equiv \sigma \pmod{2}, \quad \sigma = 0, 1$$

for the coefficients of the second Lax operator, see the comments in [10]. For our purposes it will be enough to take

$$A_j^d = \begin{cases} a_j S_1, & j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ a_j S, & j \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where

$$S_1 := S^2 - \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{1}_3$$

and a_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$ are some scalar functions to be determined in such a way that the recurrence relations are satisfied.

Equation (19) means that $A_N^a = 0$ and in accordance with our previous assumption, for the highest degree coefficient we may take:

$$A_N = \begin{cases} c_N S, & N \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ c_N S_1, & N \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}, \quad c_N \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let us consider now recurrence relation (20). After substituting (22) into (20) and taking into account that

$$(S_x)^d = (S_{1,x})^d = 0,$$

we derive the following two relations

$$(\partial_x A_k^a)^d = - \begin{cases} \partial_x a_k S, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \partial_x a_k S_1, & k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}, \quad k = 2, \dots, N \quad (24)$$

for the S -commuting terms and

$$[S, A_k^a] - i(\partial_x A_k^a)^a = \begin{cases} ia_k S_x, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ ia_k S_{1,x}, & k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

for the terms not commuting with S . In order to solve (24), we also make use of the normalization conditions:

$$\text{tr } S^2 = 2, \quad \text{tr } S_1^2 = \frac{2}{3}.$$

As a result, we obtain the following expression for a_k

$$a_k = c_k - \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^{-1} \text{tr } [S(\partial_x A_k)^d], & k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} \partial_x^{-1} \text{tr } [S_1(\partial_x A_k)^d], & k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

where the symbol ∂_x^{-1} stands for any right inverse of the operator of partial differentiation in variable x and $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ is an integration constant. After substituting (26) into (25), we obtain

$$A_{k-1}^a = \begin{cases} \Lambda A_k^a + ic_k \text{ad}_S^{-1} S_{1,x}, & k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Lambda A_k^a + ic_k \text{ad}_S^{-1} S_x, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\Lambda := \text{iad}_S^{-1} \left\{ [\partial_x(\cdot)]^a - \frac{S_x}{2} \partial_x^{-1} \text{tr } [S(\partial_x(\cdot))^d] - \frac{3S_{1,x}}{2} \partial_x^{-1} \text{tr } [S_1(\partial_x(\cdot))^d] \right\}. \quad (28)$$

The Λ operator as introduced above acts on the S -non commuting part of A_j only. However, one can extend the action of Λ on the S -commuting part as well by defining its action to be

$$\Lambda S := \text{iad}_S^{-1} S_x, \quad \Lambda S_1 := \text{iad}_S^{-1} S_{1,x}.$$

Taking into account (27), (28) for an arbitrary member of the integrable hierarchy under consideration, we have

$$\text{iad}_S^{-1} S_t + \sum_k c_{2k} \Lambda^{2k} S_1 + \sum_k c_{2k-1} \Lambda^{2k-1} S = 0. \quad (29)$$

The operator Λ^2 is called recursion operator of the above hierarchy of NLEEs. It can be checked that (29) gives (12) after setting $N = 2$, $c_2 = -1$ and $c_1 = 0$. Thus, it is the simplest nontrivial member of the family (29).

4 Special Solutions

In this section, we shall construct special solutions to the system (12) obeying the following boundary condition:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x, t) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} v(x, t) = 1 \quad (30)$$

that is compatible with (10). Our approach to obtain particular solutions will be based on Zakharov-Shabat's dressing method [14, 15] as implemented in [11] for the case linear bundles in pole gauge.

Let us consider the Lax pair

$$L_0(\lambda) = i\partial_x - \lambda S^{(0)}, \quad S^{(0)}(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_0(x, t) & v_0(x, t) \\ \varepsilon u_0^*(-x, t) & 0 & 0 \\ v_0^*(-x, t) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

$$A_0(\lambda) = i\partial_t + \lambda A_1^{(0)} + \lambda^2 A_2^{(0)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \quad (32)$$

where (u_0, v_0) are two known functions and the coefficients of the second Lax operator are expressed in terms of (u_0, v_0) in the same way as given in (8) and (9). In other words, (u_0, v_0) are assumed to satisfy (12) and are subject to conditions (10) and (30). Let Ψ_0 be an arbitrary fundamental solution to the auxiliary linear problem:

$$L_0(\lambda)\Psi_0(x, t, \lambda) = 0. \quad (33)$$

Due to the compatibility of L_0 and A_0 , Ψ_0 also fulfills the linear problem:

$$A_0(\lambda)\Psi_0(x, t, \lambda) = \Psi_0(x, t, \lambda)f(\lambda) \quad (34)$$

where

$$f(\lambda) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \hat{g}(x, t) \left[\lambda A_1^{(0)}(x, t) + \lambda^2 A_2^{(0)}(x, t) \right] g(x, t) = -\lambda^2 \text{diag}(1, -2, 1)/3 \quad (35)$$

is the dispersion law of NLEE. Above,

$$g(x, t) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ \varepsilon u^*(-x, t) & \sqrt{2}v(x, t) & \varepsilon u^*(-x, t) \\ v^*(-x, t) & -\sqrt{2}u(x, t) & v^*(-x, t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{g}(x, t) = [g(x, t)]^{-1}$$

is the gauge transform diagonalizing $S^{(0)}$, see [10, 11] for more explanations. The linear problems (33) and (34) are called bare linear problems and their fundamental solutions will be called bare fundamental solutions respectively. We shall denote the set of all bare fundamental solutions by \mathcal{F}_0 .

Now, let us apply the dressing transform

$$\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_0 := \{\mathcal{G}\Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0\} \quad (36)$$

where $\mathcal{G}(x, t, \lambda)$ is a 3×3 -matrix, such that $\det \mathcal{G} = 1$. Since the Lax operators are transformed through:

$$L_0 \rightarrow L_1 := \mathcal{G}L_0\hat{\mathcal{G}}, \quad A_0 \rightarrow A_1 := \mathcal{G}A_0\hat{\mathcal{G}}, \quad (37)$$

the operators L_1 and A_1 commute as well. We shall require that the auxiliary linear problems remain covariant under (36), i.e. we have

$$L_1(\lambda)\Psi_1(x, t, \lambda) = 0, \quad A_1(\lambda)\Psi_1(x, t, \lambda) = \Psi_1(x, t, \lambda)f(\lambda), \quad \Psi_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \quad (38)$$

where L_1 and A_1 read

$$L_1(\lambda) = i\partial_x - \lambda S^{(1)}, \quad S^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1(x, t) & v_1(x, t) \\ \varepsilon u_1^*(-x, t) & 0 & 0 \\ v_1^*(-x, t) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

$$A_1(\lambda) = i\partial_t + \lambda A_1^{(1)} + \lambda^2 A_2^{(1)}. \quad (40)$$

Above, u_1 and v_1 are some new (unknown) functions satisfying the same NLEE. After comparing (33) and (34) with (38), we see that \mathcal{G} solves the following system of linear partial differential equations:

$$i\partial_x \mathcal{G} - \lambda \left(S^{(1)} \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G} S^{(0)} \right) = 0, \quad (41)$$

$$i\partial_t \mathcal{G} + \sum_{k=1,2} \lambda^k \left(A_k^{(1)} \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G} A_k^{(0)} \right) = 0. \quad (42)$$

After dividing (41) by λ and setting $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, we derive the following interrelation:

$$S^{(1)} = \mathcal{G}_\infty S^{(0)} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_\infty, \quad \mathcal{G}_\infty(x, t) := \lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}(x, t, \lambda). \quad (43)$$

provided \mathcal{G} as well as its derivatives in x and t are regular when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $S^{(0)}$ is determined by (u_0, v_0) and $S^{(1)}$ is determined by (u_1, v_1) , the above relation allows us to construct another solution of our system starting from a known one.

The $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ action on \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_1 implies the dressing factor obeys the symmetry relations [11]:

$$H\mathcal{G}(x, t, -\lambda)H = \mathcal{G}(x, t, \lambda), \quad (44)$$

$$Q\mathcal{G}^\dagger(-x, t, -\lambda^*)Q = \hat{\mathcal{G}}(x, t, \lambda). \quad (45)$$

A simple ansatz for dressing factor is given by the meromorphic function:

$$\mathcal{G}(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1} + \lambda \sum_j \left[\frac{B_j(x, t)}{\mu_j(\lambda - \mu_j)} + \frac{HB_j(x, t)H}{\mu_j(\lambda + \mu_j)} \right], \quad \mu_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (46)$$

In order to find L_1 and A_1 through (43), we need to know $B_j(x, t)$. The algorithm to find the residues of (46) consists in two steps. In the first step, one considers the identity $\mathcal{G}\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbb{1}$ which gives rise to a set of algebraic relations for B_j . Those always imply that the residues of \mathcal{G} are some degenerate matrices which could be decomposed as follows:

$$B_j(x, t) = X_j(x, t)F_j^T(x, t) \quad (47)$$

where $X_j(x, t)$ and $F_j(x, t)$ are rectangular matrices of certain rank and the superscript T stands for matrix transposition. After substituting (47) into the algebraic relations, we are able to express X_j through F_j . The factors F_j are determined from (41) and (42).

In that second step equation (41) can be shown to lead to the following result

$$F_j^T(x, t) = F_{j,0}^T(t) \hat{\Psi}_0(x, t, \mu_j). \quad (48)$$

This allows us to construct F_j through some bare fundamental solution defined in the vicinity of μ_j and arbitrary x -independent matrices $F_{j,0}$. The dependence of $F_{j,0}$ on t is determined by (42). Thus, to recover the time dependence in all formulas we may use the rule below:

$$F_{j,0}^T(t) \rightarrow F_{j,0}^T(t) e^{-if(\mu_j)t} \quad (49)$$

where $f(\lambda)$ is the dispersion law of the NLEE, see (35). We shall demonstrate that procedure in the case when the poles of dressing factor are all generic complex numbers.

Let us consider the case when the poles μ_j of (46) are complex numbers obeying $\mu_j^2 \notin \mathbb{R}$ for all j . From the symmetry condition (45), we immediately deduce that

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1} + \lambda \sum_i \left[\frac{QB_i^\dagger(-x, t)Q}{\mu_i^*(\lambda + \mu_i^*)} + \frac{QHB_i^\dagger(-x, t)HQ}{\mu_i^*(\lambda - \mu_i^*)} \right]. \quad (50)$$

Thus, the dressing factor and its inverse have poles located at different points.

Let us consider the identity $\mathcal{G}(x, t, \lambda) \hat{\mathcal{G}}(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1}$. After evaluating the residue of $\mathcal{G} \hat{\mathcal{G}}$ at μ_i^* we easily derive

$$\left[\mathbb{1} + \mu_i^* \sum_j \left(\frac{B_j(x, t)}{\mu_j(\mu_i^* - \mu_j)} + \frac{HB_j(x, t)H}{\mu_j(\mu_i^* + \mu_j)} \right) \right] QHB_i^\dagger(-x, t)HQ = 0. \quad (51)$$

Evaluation of the residues at $\pm\mu_i$ and $-\mu_i^*$ leads to equations that can easily be reduced to (51), thus giving us no new constraints.

It is seen from (51) that each B_i should be a degenerate matrix, hence it can be factored

$$B_i(x, t) = X_i(x, t) F_i^T(x, t) \quad (52)$$

where X_i and F_i are two rectangular matrices. After substituting (52) into (51), we have

$$QHF_i^*(-x) = \mu_i^* \sum_j \left(X_j(x) \frac{F_j^T(x)QH F_i^*(-x)}{\mu_j(\mu_j - \mu_i^*)} - HX_j(x) \frac{F_j^T(x)QF_i^*(-x)}{\mu_j(\mu_i^* + \mu_j)} \right) \quad (53)$$

for the factors X_j . Solving that linear system, allows one to express X_j through F_j . This is especially easy when the \mathcal{G} has a single pair of poles: μ and $-\mu$. Assuming X and F are column-vectors, we immediately get:

$$X(x) = \left(\frac{\mu^* F^T(x)QH F^*(-x)}{\mu(\mu - \mu^*)} - \frac{\mu^* F^T(x)QF^*(-x)}{\mu(\mu + \mu^*)} H \right)^{-1} QHF^*(-x). \quad (54)$$

Let us return now to the general case. The factors F_j can be found from differential equation (41). For that purpose we rewrite (41) in the following way

$$\lambda S^{(1)} = i\partial_x \mathcal{G} \hat{\mathcal{G}} + \lambda \mathcal{G} S^{(0)} \hat{\mathcal{G}} \quad (55)$$

and calculate the residues of its right-hand side at $\lambda = \mu_i$. After taking into account (53), we obtain the linear differential equation

$$i\partial_x F_i^T + \mu_i F_i^T S^{(0)} = 0 \quad (56)$$

which is easily solved to give

$$F_i^T(x, t) = F_{i,0}^T(t) \hat{\Psi}_0(x, t, \mu_i). \quad (57)$$

Above, $F_{i,0}$ are "integration constant" matrices which depend on t however. The t -dependence of $F_{i,0}$ can be determined from (42) after it is rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_{k=1,2} \lambda^k A_k^{(1)} = -i \partial_t \mathcal{G} \hat{\mathcal{G}} + \mathcal{G} \sum_{k=1,2} \lambda^k A_k^{(0)} \hat{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (58)$$

Evaluation of the residues of both hand sides of (58) for $\lambda = \mu_i$ gives

$$i \partial_t F_i^T - F_i^T \sum_{k=1,2} \mu_i^k A_k^{(0)} = 0. \quad (59)$$

After substituting (57) into (59), we derive a linear differential equation for $F_{i,0}$, namely

$$i \partial_t F_{i,0}^T - F_{i,0}^T f(\mu_i) = 0 \quad (60)$$

where $f(\lambda)$ is the dispersion law of the NLEE. Equation (60) is easily solved and allows us to state the rule: in order to recover the t -dependence in all formulas, one has to make the following substitution

$$F_{i,0}^T \rightarrow F_{i,0}^T e^{-i f(\mu_i) t}. \quad (61)$$

Now, let us pick up

$$S^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (62)$$

as a bare solution. That obvious choice satisfies (10) and (30). It can be proven that the bare scattering operator in this case has no discrete eigenvalues.

For a bare fundamental solution we shall choose

$$\Psi_0(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \lambda x & 0 & -i \sin \lambda x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -i \sin \lambda x & 0 & \cos \lambda x \end{pmatrix}. \quad (63)$$

That fundamental solution is invariant with respect to both reductions (16) and (17) which makes it rather convenient for the calculations to follow.

From that point on, we shall restrict ourselves with simplest case when the dressing factor has a single pair of poles. We shall also assume that X and F are 3-vectors $X^T = (X^1, X^2, X^3)$ and $F^T = (F^1, F^2, F^3)$ respectively. So (57) leads to the following expression for F :

$$F(x) = \begin{pmatrix} F_0^1 \cos \mu x + i F_0^3 \sin \mu x \\ F_0^2 \\ F_0^3 \cos \mu x + i F_0^1 \sin \mu x \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_0 = \begin{pmatrix} F_0^1 \\ F_0^2 \\ F_0^3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (64)$$

In order to evaluate X , we shall need the following quadratic expressions as well:

$$F^T(x) Q F^*(-x) = (|F_0^1|^2 + |F_0^3|^2) \cos 2\omega x + 2i |F_0^1 F_0^3| \cos 2\varphi \sin 2\omega x + \varepsilon |F_0^2|^2, \quad (65)$$

$$F^T(x) Q H F^*(-x) = [(|F_0^3|^2 - |F_0^1|^2) \cosh 2\kappa x - 2i |F_0^1 F_0^3| \sin 2\varphi \sinh 2\kappa x + \varepsilon |F_0^2|^2] \quad (66)$$

where $\omega = \text{Re } \mu > 0$, $\kappa = \text{Im } \mu > 0$ and $2\varphi = \arg F_0^1 - \arg F_0^3$. Taking into account the structure of (63) and (64), it is natural to consider in detail the following cases, see [6, 11].

First, let us assume $F_0^2 = 0$ and $F_0^1 \neq \pm F_0^3$. Then for F we have

$$F(x) = \begin{pmatrix} F_0^1 \cos \mu x + i F_0^3 \sin \mu x \\ 0 \\ F_0^3 \cos \mu x + i F_0^1 \sin \mu x \end{pmatrix}. \quad (67)$$

After substituting (67) into (54) and (43), we get the dressed solution at a fixed moment of time:

$$u_1(x) = 0, \quad (68)$$

$$v_1(x) = \left[\frac{c_1 \cosh 2\kappa x - c_2 \sin 2\omega x + i(c_3 \cos 2\omega x + c_4 \sinh 2\kappa x)}{c_1 \cosh 2\kappa x + c_2 \sin 2\omega x - i(c_3 \cos 2\omega x - c_4 \sinh 2\kappa x)} \right]^2. \quad (69)$$

where $2\gamma = \ln |F_0^1/F_0^3|$ and

$$c_1 := \omega \sinh 2\gamma, \quad c_2 := \kappa \cos 2\varphi, \quad c_3 := \kappa \cosh 2\gamma, \quad c_4 := \omega \sin 2\varphi. \quad (70)$$

To recover the time evolution in (68) and (69), one needs to make the substitution that follows directly from (61). It is seen that (68) and (69) remain invariant under that transformation so the dressed solution is stationary.

Let us assume $F_0^2 \neq 0$. Without any loss of generality we could set $F_0^2 = 1$. After recovering the time evolution, the vector $F(x)$ is given by:

$$F(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i\mu^2 t}{3}} (F_0^1 \cos \mu x + i F_0^3 \sin \mu x) \\ e^{-\frac{2i\mu^2 t}{3}} \\ e^{\frac{i\mu^2 t}{3}} (F_0^3 \cos \mu x + i F_0^1 \sin \mu x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (71)$$

The solution in this case reads:

$$u_1 = \frac{[c_1 \cosh 2\kappa x - c_2 \sin 2\omega x + i(c_3 \cos 2\omega x + c_4 \sinh 2\kappa x) - \varepsilon(\omega - i\kappa)e^{2(2\omega\kappa t - \xi_0)/2}]}{[c_1 \cosh 2\kappa x + c_2 \sin 2\omega x - i(c_3 \cos 2\omega x - c_4 \sinh 2\kappa x) - \varepsilon(\omega + i\kappa)e^{2(2\omega\kappa t - \xi_0)/2}]^2} \times \frac{2i\omega\kappa}{\omega - i\kappa} e^{-i[(\omega^2 - \kappa^2)t + \delta_0]} [e^{i\varphi - \gamma} \cos(\omega + i\kappa)x + i e^{-i\varphi + \gamma} \sin(\omega + i\kappa)x] e^{2\omega\kappa t - \xi_0} \quad (72)$$

$$v_1 = \frac{[c_1 \cosh 2\kappa x - c_2 \sin 2\omega x + i(c_3 \cos 2\omega x + c_4 \sinh 2\kappa x) - \varepsilon(\omega - i\kappa)e^{2(2\omega\kappa t - \xi_0)/2}]}{[c_1 \cosh 2\kappa x + c_2 \sin 2\omega x - i(c_3 \cos 2\omega x - c_4 \sinh 2\kappa x) - \varepsilon(\omega + i\kappa)e^{2(2\omega\kappa t - \xi_0)/2}]^2} \times \frac{\{ [c_1 \cosh 2\kappa x - c_2 \sin 2\omega x + i(c_3 \cos 2\omega x + c_4 \sinh 2\kappa x) - \varepsilon(\omega - i\kappa)e^{2(2\omega\kappa t - \xi_0)/2}] }{\omega - i\kappa} \times (\omega - i\kappa) - 2i\omega\kappa e^{2(2\omega\kappa t - \xi_0)} \}}{\omega - i\kappa} \quad (73)$$

where the coefficients c_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are the same as in (70) and

$$2\gamma = \ln |F_0^1/F_0^3|, \quad 2\varphi = \arg F_0^1 - \arg F_0^3, \quad 2\delta_0 = \arg F_0^1 + \arg F_0^3, \quad 2\xi_0 = \ln |F_0^1 F_0^3|$$

All the solutions constructed explicitly in here are connected with four distinct poles of \mathcal{G} and $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$, i.e. four discrete eigenvalues of the dressed scattering operator.

5 Integrals of Motion

Condition (10) implies that S has a simple spectrum — its eigenvalues are $0, \pm 1$. Thus we can put $S(x, t)$ into a constant diagonal form by applying gauge transformation

$$L(\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{L}(\lambda) = \hat{g}(x, t)L(\lambda)g(x, t) = i\partial_x + \tilde{U}_0(x, t) - \lambda J, \quad J = \text{diag}(1, 0, -1) \quad (74)$$

$$A(\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\lambda) = \hat{g}(x, t)A(\lambda)g(x, t) = i\partial_t + \sum_{k=0}^2 \tilde{A}_k(x, t)\lambda^k, \quad (75)$$

$$\hat{g} := g^{-1}, \quad g(x, t) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ \varepsilon u^*(-x, t) & \sqrt{2}v(x, t) & \varepsilon u^*(-x, t) \\ v^*(-x, t) & -\sqrt{2}u(x, t) & v^*(-x, t) \end{pmatrix} \quad (76)$$

on the Lax operators. Further on we are also going to use the explicit form of \tilde{U}_0 given by

$$\tilde{U}_0(x, t) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} B(x, t) & C(x, t) & B(x, t) \\ -\varepsilon C^*(-x, t) & -2B(x, t) & -\varepsilon C^*(-x, t) \\ B(x, t) & C(x, t) & B(x, t) \end{pmatrix} \quad (77)$$

where $C(x, t) = \sqrt{2}[u(x, t)v_x(x, t) - v(x, t)u_x(x, t)]$.

Let us apply Drinfel'd and Sokolov's method of diagonalization of Lax pair [2] to derive the integrals of motion of (12). Introduce the gauge transform

$$\mathcal{P}(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1} + \frac{P_1(x, t)}{\lambda} + \frac{P_2(x, t)}{\lambda^2} + \dots \quad (78)$$

where all coefficients P_l ($l = 1, 2, \dots$) are off-diagonal matrices to be determined further. As a result we have

$$\mathcal{L} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{L}\mathcal{P} = i\partial_x - \lambda J + \mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{\mathcal{L}_1}{\lambda} + \dots, \quad (79)$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{A}\mathcal{P} = i\partial_t + \sum_{k=-2}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} \mathcal{A}_k. \quad (80)$$

All coefficients $\mathcal{L}_k, \mathcal{A}_k, k = -1, 0, 1, \dots$ are required to be diagonal matrices. Then the zero curvature representation $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}] = 0$ is equivalent to the following equations

$$\partial_t \mathcal{L}_k - \partial_x \mathcal{A}_k = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

These equations mean that \mathcal{L}_k are conserved densities of (12) and \mathcal{A}_k are the corresponding currents.

Let us rewrite equality (28) as follows:

$$\tilde{L}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L} \quad (81)$$

Since (81) should hold identically in λ , it splits into the recurrence relations:

$$\lambda^0 : \tilde{U}_0 - JP_1 = \mathcal{L}_0 - P_1J, \quad (82)$$

$$\lambda^{-1} : iP_{1,x} + \tilde{U}_0P_1 - JP_2 = \mathcal{L}_1 + P_1\mathcal{L}_0 - P_2J, \quad (83)$$

...

$$\lambda^{-k} : iP_{k,x} + \tilde{U}_0P_k - JP_{k+1} = \mathcal{L}_k - P_{k+1}J + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} P_{k-m}\mathcal{L}_m, \quad (84)$$

...

In order to solve those, one should split each relation into a diagonal and off-diagonal part. For example, from the first relation above one has

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \tilde{U}_0^{\text{d}}, \quad \tilde{U}_0^{\text{a}} = [J, P_1], \quad (85)$$

where the superscripts d and a above denote projection onto diagonal and off-diagonal part of a matrix respectively. Taking into account the explicit form of \tilde{U}_0 (formula (77)) for \mathcal{L}_0 we have

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{i}{2} B(x, t) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus as a density of our first integral we can choose: $\mathcal{J}_1 = -B(x, t)$. It represents momentum density of our system.

After inverting the commutator in the second equation in (85) one obtains

$$P_1 = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C(x, t) & B(x, t)/2 \\ \varepsilon C^*(-x, t) & 0 & -\varepsilon C^*(-x, t) \\ -B(x, t)/2 & -C(x, t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (86)$$

Similarly, for \mathcal{L}_1 one needs to extract the diagonal part of (83). The result reads

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \left(\tilde{U}_0^{\text{a}} P_1 \right)^{\text{d}}. \quad (87)$$

After substituting the expression (86) for P_1 into (87) one obtains

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{1}{8} [B(x, t)^2 - 4\varepsilon C(x, t)C^*(-x, t)] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence as a second integral density one can pick up

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = B(x, t)^2 - 2\varepsilon C(x, t)C^*(-x, t).$$

Proceeding that way one is able to find conserved density of arbitrary order. It is seen from (84) that k -th coefficient \mathcal{L}_k is obtained from formula

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \left(\tilde{U}_0^{\text{a}} P_k \right)^{\text{d}}. \quad (88)$$

while P_k is recursively obtained from:

$$P_k = \text{ad}_J^{-1} \left(i\partial_x P_{k-1} + (\tilde{U}_0 P_{k-1})^{\text{a}} - \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} P_{k-1-l} \mathcal{L}_l \right).$$

In order to obtain an integral of motion of (12), one needs to integrate

$$I_k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathcal{J}_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

6 Conclusion

In the present paper we have studied a nonlocal reduction of a generalized Heisenberg ferromagnet equation, see (12). We have described the integrable hierarchy of (12) by using Λ operators, see (29). Moreover, using rational dressing technique, we have constructed particular solutions to (12). Similarly one can derive solutions to any member of the same integrable hierarchy. The only difference will be the dispersion law, hence the time dependence of the solution. We have considered here particular solutions of quadruplet type, i.e. those related to 4 complex discrete eigenvalues of the scattering operator. There are other types of solutions like doublet solutions and quasi-rational solutions. Constructing the latter two types of solutions will be done elsewhere.

We have also paid certain attention to conserved densities for the system of nonlocal equations. By implementing Drinfel'd-Sokolov's method, we have derived recursive formulas to obtain such densities, see (88).

Acknowledgments

The work has been supported by grant DN 02-5 of Bulgarian Fund "Scientific Research".

References

- [1] Borovik A. E. and Popkov V. Yu., Completely Integrable Spin - 1 Chains, *Sov. Phys. JETPH* **71** (1990) 177–85.
- [2] Drinfel'd V. G., Sokolov V.V., Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg–de Vries type, *J. Math. Sci.* **30** (1985), 1975–2036.
- [3] Gerdjikov V., Vilasi G. and Yanovski A., Integrable Hamiltonian Hierarchies, Springer: Berlin, 2008.
- [4] Gerdjikov V. S., Mikhailov A. V. and Valchev T. I., Reductions of Integrable Equations on A.III-type Symmetric Spaces, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** (2010) 434015.
- [5] Gerdjikov V. S., Mikhailov A. V. and Valchev T. I., Recursion Operators and Reductions of Integrable Equations on Symmetric Spaces, *J. Geom. and Symm. in Phys.* **20** (2010) 1–34.
- [6] Gerdjikov V., Grahovski G., Mikhailov A. and Valchev T., Polynomial Bundles and Generalized Fourier Transforms for Integrable Equations on **A.III**-type Symmetric Spaces, *SIGMA* **7**, 096 (2011) 48 pages.
- [7] Mikhailov A. V., Reduction in the Integrable Systems. Reduction Groups, *Lett. JETP* **32** (1979) 187–192.
- [8] Mikhailov A. V., The Reduction Problem and Inverse Scattering Method, *Physica D* **3** (1981) 73–117.
- [9] Takhtadjan L. and Faddeev L., The Hamiltonian Approach to Soliton Theory, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1987).

- [10] Yanovski A. B. and Valchev T. I., Pseudo-Hermitian Reduction of a Generalized Heisenberg Ferromagnet Equation. I. Auxiliary System and Fundamental Properties, *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.* **25** (2018) ArXiv: 1709.09266 [nlin.SI].
- [11] Valchev T. and Yanovski A., Pseudo-Hermitian Reduction of a Generalized Heisenberg Ferromagnet Equation. II. Special Solutions, *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.* **25** (2018) 442–461, ArXiv 1711.06353v2.
- [12] Yanovski A. B., On the Recursion Operators for the Gerdjikov, Mikhailov and Valchev System, *J. Math. Phys.* **52**, 8 (2011) 082703.
- [13] Yanovski A. and Vilasi G., Geometry of the Recursion Operators for the GMV System, *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.* **19** N3 (2012) 1250023-1/18.
- [14] Zakharov V. and Mikhailov A., On the Integrability of Classical Spinor Models in Two-Dimensional Space-Time, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **74** (1980) 21–40.
- [15] Zakharov V. E. and Shabat A. B., A Scheme for Integrating Nonlinear Equations of Mathematical Physics by the Method of the Inverse Scattering Transform II, *Funct. Anal. and Appl.* **13** (1979) 13–23.