

# THE CENTRAL NILRADICAL OF NONNOETHERIAN DIMER ALGEBRAS

CHARLIE BEIL

ABSTRACT. Let  $Z$  be the center of a nonnoetherian dimer algebra  $A$  on a torus. We show that the nilradical  $\text{nil } Z$  of  $Z$  is prime, may be nonzero, and consists precisely of the central elements that vanish under a cyclic contraction of  $A$ . This implies that the nonnoetherian scheme  $\text{Spec } Z$  is irreducible. We also show that the reduced center  $\hat{Z} = Z/\text{nil } Z$  embeds into the center  $R$  of the corresponding ghor algebra, and that their normalizations are equal. Finally, we give three characterizations of the normality of  $R$ , and show that if  $\hat{Z}$  is normal, then it has the special form  $k + J$  where  $J$  is an ideal of the cycle algebra of  $A$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The main objective of this article is to establish certain key algebraic and geometric properties of the centers of nonnoetherian dimer algebras and ghor algebras on a torus. A dimer algebra is a type of quiver algebra whose quiver embeds into a compact surface with homotopy-like relations defined on its paths. Dimer algebras originated in string theory in 2005 [HK, F-K, F-W, HV], and since have found wide application to many areas of mathematics. Among these areas are noncommutative algebraic geometry [B5, B7, Bo, Br, CQ, D, IN, IU, MR], cluster algebras and categories [BKM, GK, K, MS, P, RW], mirror symmetry [F-V, FU, HN], and number theory [BGH, H]. The torus is special among other surfaces without boundary in that only on a torus do noetherian dimer algebras have exceptionally nice algebraic and homological properties as noncommutative crepant resolutions. Ghor algebras, in contrast, remain suitably nice on higher genus surfaces [BB1, BB2]. Throughout, we will restrict our attention to dimer and ghor algebras on a torus.

A dimer algebra is noetherian if and only if its center is noetherian [B4, Theorem 1.1]. Regardless of noetherianity, the Krull dimension of the center is three; if *nonnoetherian*, then the center is the coordinate ring for a three-dimensional affine toric variety with a single ‘positive dimensional’ point [B6, Theorem 1.1]. Recall that an integral domain is normal if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions. A well known property of noetherian dimer algebras—being noncommutative crepant resolutions—is that their centers are normal integral domains. In this article we

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16N40, 16G20, 16S38.

*Key words and phrases.* Non-noetherian ring, dimer algebra, superpotential algebra, quiver with potential.

consider the questions: *Is the center of a nonnoetherian dimer algebra necessarily normal, or necessarily a domain? If not, what can be said about its normalization, zero divisors, and nilradical?*

We will use two fundamental tools to answer these questions, both introduced in [B2]: cyclic contractions and ghor algebras. A *cyclic contraction*  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$  of a dimer algebra  $A = kQ/I$  is the contraction of a particular set of arrows of  $Q$  to vertices such that the cycles of  $Q$  are preserved and the resulting dimer algebra  $A'$  is noetherian (see (3)). Remarkably, every (nondegenerate) dimer algebra admits a cyclic contraction [B1, Theorem 1.1]. The *ghor algebra* of  $Q$  is the quotient

$$(1) \quad \Lambda := A / \langle p - q \mid p, q \text{ a non-cancellative pair} \rangle,$$

where a pair of distinct paths  $p, q$  is said to be *non-cancellative* if there is a path  $r$  such that  $rp = rq \neq 0$  or  $pr = qr \neq 0$ . A dimer algebra equals its ghor algebra if and only if it is noetherian [B4, Theorem 1.1]. The center  $R = Z(\Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$  will play an essential role in deciphering the structure of the center  $Z$  of  $A$ . Our main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** (3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 5.5, 5.8, 6.6, 6.7.) *Let  $A = kQ/I$  be a nonnoetherian dimer algebra on a torus with center  $Z$ , let  $R$  be the center of its ghor algebra  $\Lambda$ , and let  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$  be any cyclic contraction of  $A$ .*

- (1) *The nilpotent central elements of  $A$  are precisely the central elements in the kernel of  $\psi$ ,*

$$\text{nil } Z = Z \cap \ker \psi.$$

- (2) *The reduced center  $\hat{Z} := Z / \text{nil } Z$  is an integral domain. The scheme  $\text{Spec } Z$  is therefore irreducible.*  
(3)  *$\hat{Z}$  is a subalgebra of  $R$ , and their normalizations are equal and nonnoetherian.*  
(4)  *$R$  is normal if and only if  $R = k + J$  for some ideal  $J$  of the center  $Z'$  of  $A'$ . Consequently, if  $\hat{Z}$  is normal, then  $\hat{Z} = k + J$ .*

We give examples of dimer algebras exhibiting various properties of the central nilradical. Notably, we show that  $\text{nil } Z$  may be nonzero (Example 3.1); the containment  $\hat{Z} \subseteq R$  may be proper (Example 4.3); and  $\hat{Z}$  may not be normal (Proposition 6.4).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout,  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Given a quiver  $Q$ , we denote by  $kQ$  the path algebra of  $Q$ , and by  $Q_\ell$  the paths of length  $\ell$ . The vertex idempotent at vertex  $i \in Q_0$  is denoted  $e_i$ , and the head and tail maps are denoted  $h, t : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$ . By monomial, we mean a non-constant monomial.

**Definition 2.1.**

- A *dimer quiver*  $Q$  is a quiver whose underlying graph  $\overline{Q}$  embeds into a compact surface  $\Sigma$  such that each connected component of  $\Sigma \setminus \overline{Q}$  is simply connected and bounded by an oriented cycle of length at least 2, called a *unit cycle*.<sup>1</sup> The *dimer algebra*  $A$  of  $Q$  is the quotient  $kQ/I$ , where  $I$  is the ideal

$$I := \langle p - q \mid \exists a \in Q_1 \text{ s.t. } pa \text{ and } qa \text{ are unit cycles} \rangle \subset kQ,$$

and  $p, q$  are paths. Throughout, we will take  $\Sigma$  to be a real two-torus.

- A *perfect matching*  $x$  of  $Q$  is a set of arrows such that each unit cycle contains precisely one arrow in  $x$ . A perfect matching  $x$  is *simple* if there is an oriented path between any two vertices in  $Q \setminus x$ . In particular,  $x$  is a simple matching if  $Q \setminus x$  supports a simple  $A$ -module of dimension  $1^{Q_0}$ .
- A dimer quiver is *nondegenerate* if each arrow is contained in a perfect matching. Throughout, we will take all dimer quivers to be nondegenerate.
- If  $p$  is a path in  $Q$ , then we refer to  $p+I$  as a *path* in  $A$  since each representative of  $p+I$  is a path. If  $p, q$  are paths in  $Q$  (resp.  $A$ ) that are equal in  $A$ , then we will write  $p \equiv q$  (resp.  $p = q$ ).
- $A$  and  $Q$  are *non-cancellative* if there are paths  $p, q, r \in A$  for which  $p \neq q$ , and

$$pr = qr \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad rp = rq \neq 0;$$

in this case,  $p, q$  is called a *non-cancellative pair*. Otherwise,  $A$  and  $Q$  are *cancellative*; cancellativity was introduced in [D]. A (nondegenerate) dimer algebra is cancellative if and only if it is noetherian [B4, Theorem 1.1].

**Notation 2.2.** Let  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T^2$  be a covering map such that for some  $i \in Q_0$ ,

$$\pi(\mathbb{Z}^2) = i.$$

Denote by  $Q^+ := \pi^{-1}(Q) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  the covering quiver of  $Q$ . For each path  $p$  in  $Q$ , denote by  $p^+$  the unique path in  $Q^+$  with tail in the unit square  $[0, 1) \times [0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying  $\pi(p^+) = p$ .

For paths  $p, q$  satisfying

$$(2) \quad t(p^+) = t(q^+) \quad \text{and} \quad h(p^+) = h(q^+),$$

denote by  $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$  the compact region in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \supset Q^+$  bounded by (representatives of)  $p^+$  and  $q^+$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}^\circ$  the interior of  $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ .

**Notation 2.3.** By a *cyclic subpath* of a path  $p$ , we mean a subpath of  $p$  that is a nontrivial cycle. Consider the following sets of cycles in  $A$ :

- Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of cycles in  $A$  (i.e., cycles in  $Q$  modulo  $I$ ).

<sup>1</sup>The dual graph of a dimer quiver is called a dimer model or brane tiling, or, if on a disc, a plabic (= planar bicolored) graph [P].

- For  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , let  $\mathcal{C}^u$  be the set of cycles  $p \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$h(p^+) = t(p^+) + u \in Q_0^+.$$

- For  $i \in Q_0$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_i$  be the set of cycles in the vertex corner ring  $e_i A e_i$ .
- Let  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  be the set of cycles  $p \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $(p^2)^+$  does not have a cyclic subpath; or equivalently, the lift of each cyclic permutation of  $p$  does not have a cyclic subpath.

We denote the intersection  $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cap \mathcal{C}^u \cap \mathcal{C}_i$ , for example, by  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i^u$ . Note that  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is the set of cycles whose lifts are cycles in  $Q^+$ . In particular,  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}^0 = Q_0$ . Furthermore, the lift of any cycle  $p$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  has no cyclic subpaths, although  $p$  itself may have cyclic subpaths.

**Lemma 2.4.** [B2, Lemma 4.13.2] *Suppose paths  $p^+$ ,  $q^+$  have no cyclic subpaths modulo  $I$ , satisfy (2), and bound a region  $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$  that contains no vertices in its interior. Then  $p \equiv q$ .*

Let  $A = kQ/I$  be a dimer algebra. For each perfect matching  $x$  of  $A$ , consider the map

$$n_x : Q_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

defined by sending a path  $p$  to the number of arrow subpaths of  $p$  that are contained in  $x$ . Observe that  $n_x$  is additive on concatenated paths. Furthermore, if  $p, p' \in Q_{\geq 0}$  are paths satisfying  $p + I = p' + I$ , then  $n_x(p) = n_x(p')$ , by [B2, Lemma 2.1]. In particular,  $n_x$  induces a well-defined map on the paths of  $A$ .

Now consider dimer algebras  $A = kQ/I$  and  $A' = kQ'/I'$ , and suppose  $Q'$  is obtained from  $Q$  by contracting a set of arrows  $Q_1^* \subset Q_1$  to vertices. This contraction defines a  $k$ -linear map of path algebras

$$\psi : kQ \rightarrow kQ'.$$

If  $\psi(I) \subseteq I'$ , then  $\psi$  induces a  $k$ -linear map of dimer algebras  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$ , called a *contraction*.<sup>2</sup>

To specify the structure we wish  $\psi$  to preserve, consider the polynomial ring  $k[\mathcal{S}']$  generated by the simple matchings  $\mathcal{S}'$  of  $A'$ . To each path  $p \in A'$ , associate the monomial

$$\bar{\tau}(p) := \prod_{x \in \mathcal{S}'} x^{n_x(p)} \in k[\mathcal{S}'].$$

For each  $i, j \in Q'_0$ , this association may be extended to a  $k$ -linear map  $\bar{\tau} : e_j A' e_i \rightarrow k[\mathcal{S}']$ , and is an algebra homomorphism if  $i = j$ . Given  $p \in e_j A e_i$  and  $q \in e_\ell A' e_k$ , we shall write

$$\bar{p} := \bar{\tau}_\psi(p) := \bar{\tau}(\psi(p)) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{q} := \bar{\tau}(q).$$

$\psi$  is called a *cyclic contraction* if  $A'$  is cancellative and

$$(3) \quad S := k[\cup_{i \in Q_0} \bar{\tau}_\psi(e_i A e_i)] = k[\cup_{i \in Q'_0} \bar{\tau}(e_i A' e_i)] =: S'.$$

<sup>2</sup>If, for example,  $\psi$  contracts a unit cycle to a vertex, then  $\psi(I) \not\subseteq I'$  by [B2, Lemma 3.5].

The algebra  $S$ , called the *cycle algebra*, is independent of the choice of cyclic contraction  $\psi$  [B3, Theorem 3.14].  $S$  is also isomorphic to the center of  $A'$ , and is a depiction of both the reduced center of  $A$  and the center of  $\Lambda$  [B6, Theorem 1.1]. Surprisingly, every nondegenerate dimer algebra admits a cyclic contraction [B1, Theorem 1.1]. Cyclic contractions and the cycle algebra were introduced in [B2].

In addition to the cycle algebra  $S$ , we will also consider the *ghor center* of  $A$ ,

$$R := k[\cap_{i \in Q_0} \bar{\tau}_\psi(e_i A e_i)] = \bigcap_{i \in Q_0} \bar{\tau}_\psi(e_i A e_i).$$

$R$  is isomorphic to the center of the ghor algebra  $\Lambda$ , given in (1) [B2, Theorem 1.1].

For  $g, h$  in  $R$  or  $S$ , we shall write  $g \mid h$  if  $g$  divides  $h$  in the polynomial ring  $k[S']$ .

The following lemmas will be useful.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$  be a cyclic contraction.*

- (1) *If  $p$  and  $q$  are paths in  $A$  (or  $A'$ ) satisfying  $qp \neq 0$ , then  $\bar{q}\bar{p} = \bar{q}\bar{p}$ .*
- (2) *For each  $i, j \in Q'_0$ , the  $k$ -linear map  $\bar{\tau} : e_j A' e_i \rightarrow k[S']$  is injective.*

*Proof.* (1) holds since for each simple matching  $x \in \mathcal{S}$ , the map  $n_x$  is additive on concatenated paths. (2) holds by [B2, Proposition 4.30].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $\sigma_i, \sigma'_i$  are unit cycles at  $i \in Q_0$ , then  $\sigma_i = \sigma'_i$  in  $A$ . Furthermore, the element  $\sum_{i \in Q_0} \sigma_i \in A$  is central.*

*Proof.* Clear.  $\square$

We denote by  $\sigma_i$  the (unique) unit cycle at  $i \in Q_0$  modulo  $I$ , and by  $\sigma$  the monomial

$$\sigma := \bar{\sigma}_i = \prod_{x \in \mathcal{S}'} x.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** [B2, Lemma 4.3.1] *If  $p, q \in e_j A e_i$  are paths satisfying (2), then there is an  $m, n \geq 0$  such that  $p\sigma_i^m = q\sigma_i^n$ .*

**Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose  $Q$  admits a cyclic contraction. Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and let  $p \in \mathcal{C}^u$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{C}^v$  be cycles.*

- (1)  *$u = 0$  if and only if  $\bar{p} = \sigma^m$  for some  $m \geq 1$ .*
- (2)  *$u = v$  if and only if  $\bar{p} = \bar{q}\sigma^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*
- (3)  *$p \notin \hat{\mathcal{C}}$  if and only if  $\sigma \mid \bar{p}$ .*

*Proof.* (1,  $\Rightarrow$ ): [B2, Lemma 5.2].

(2,  $\Rightarrow$ ): [B2, Lemma 4.19].

(2,  $\Leftarrow$ ): Suppose  $\bar{p} = \bar{q}\sigma^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $r$  (resp.  $s$ ) be a path whose lift  $r^+$  ( $s^+$ ) has tail (head)  $h(p^+)$  and head (tail)  $h(q^+)$ . Since  $\bar{p} = \bar{q}\sigma^m$ , there is some  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\bar{r} = \sigma^\ell$ . In particular,  $\bar{\tau}(\psi(r)) = \sigma^\ell$ . Thus,  $\psi(r)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}'^0$  since  $A'$  is cancellative [B2, Lemma 4.29]. Whence,  $\psi$  contracts  $s$  to a vertex. Furthermore,  $\psi$  does not contract any cycle to a vertex since  $\psi$  is a cyclic contraction [B2, Lemma




FIGURE 1. Examples for Remarks 2.9 and Proposition 6.4. The quivers are drawn on a torus, the contracted arrows are drawn in green, and the 2-cycles have been removed from  $Q'$ . In each example, the cycle in  $Q$  formed from the red arrows is not equal to a product of unit cycles (modulo  $I$ ). However, in example (i) this cycle is mapped to a unit cycle in  $Q'$  under  $\psi$ .

3.6.1]. Therefore  $s$  is a vertex. But then  $r$  is in  $\mathcal{C}^0$  and  $h(p^+) = h(q^+)$ . Consequently,  $u = v$ .

(1,  $\Leftarrow$ ): Follows from (2,  $\Leftarrow$ ) with  $q = e_{t(p)}$ .

(3,  $\Rightarrow$ ): [B2, Lemma 5.2].

(3,  $\Leftarrow$ ): First recall that if  $\psi(p)$  is not in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}'$ , then the lift  $(\psi(p)^2)^+$  has a cyclic subpath (by definition). Whence, the lift  $(p^2)^+$  has a cyclic subpath (though the converse need not hold). Thus,  $p$  is not in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Equivalently, if  $p$  is in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ , then  $\psi(p)$  is in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}'$ . But then  $\sigma \nmid \bar{\tau}(\psi(p)) = \bar{p}$  since  $A'$  is cancellative, by [B2, Proposition 4.21.1].  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** Let  $p^+$  be a cycle in  $Q^+$ ; then  $\bar{p} = \sigma^m$  for some  $m \geq 0$  by Lemma 2.8.1. However,  $p$  may not necessarily equal a power of the unit cycle  $\sigma_{t(p)}$  (modulo  $I$ ). Two examples are given by the red cycles in Figures 1.i and 1.ii.

Furthermore, it is possible for two cycles in  $Q^+$ , distinct modulo  $I$ , and one of which is properly contained in the region bounded by the other, to have equal  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$ -images. Indeed, consider Figure 1.i: the red cycle and the unit cycle in its interior both have  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$ -image  $\sigma$ .

### 3. THE CENTRAL NILRADICAL FROM CYCLIC CONTRACTIONS

Let  $A = kQ/I$  be a dimer algebra with center  $Z$ . In this section we will show that the nilpotent elements in  $Z$  are precisely the central elements that vanish under




FIGURE 2. The nonnoetherian dimer algebra  $A = kQ/I$  cyclically contracts to the noetherian dimer algebra  $A' = kQ'/I'$ . Both quivers are drawn on a torus and the contracted arrow is drawn in green. Here, the cycle algebra of  $A$  is  $S = k[x^2, y^2, xy, z] \subset k[\mathcal{S}] = k[x, y, z]$ , and the ghor center of  $A$  is  $R = k + (x^2, y^2, xy)S$ .

a cyclic contraction. We regard this as *the* fundamental result in the structure of nonnoetherian dimer algebras on a torus.

Noetherian dimer algebras are prime [B2, Theorem 4.31, Corollary 5.12], and therefore their centers are reduced. In the following two examples we show that nonnoetherian dimer algebras may have non-reduced centers, and consequently that dimer algebras need not be prime.

**Example 3.1.** Consider the nonnoetherian dimer algebra  $A$  with quiver  $Q$  given in Figure 3. (A cyclic contraction of  $A$  is given in Figure 2.) The paths  $p, q, a$  satisfy

$$z := (p - q)a + a(p - q) \in \text{nil } Z.$$

In particular,  $\text{nil } Z \neq 0$ .  $A$  is therefore not prime since

$$zAz = z^2A = 0.$$

We note that  $A$  also contains non-central elements  $a, b$  with the property that  $aAb = 0$ ; for example,  $(p - q)Ae_1 = 0$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $Q$  be a dimer quiver containing the subquiver given in Figure 4. Given any cyclic contraction  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$ , the  $\psi$ -image of the cycle  $st$  is a unit cycle in  $Q'$ . Set  $p := cbtba$ . Then

$$p + \sum_{j \in Q_0 \setminus \{i\}} \sigma_j^2 \quad \text{and} \quad z := p - \sigma_i^2$$

are nonzero central elements of  $A$ , by Lemma 2.6. Furthermore,  $z^2 = 0$ , and so  $z$  is in the central nilradical of  $A$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $i \in Q_0$ , and suppose  $z \in A$  is a central element for which  $ze_i = p - q$ . Then*

$$pq = qp.$$




FIGURE 3. The dimer algebra  $A$  given in Figure 2 has a non-vanishing central nilradical,  $\text{nil } Z \neq 0$ . A fundamental domain of  $Q$  is shown on the left and a larger region of  $Q^+$  is shown on the right. The paths  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $a$  are drawn in red, blue, and teal respectively. The element  $(p - q)a + a(p - q)$  is central and squares to zero.




FIGURE 4. The subquiver of  $Q$  in Example 3.2. The paths  $a$  and  $c$  are arrows in  $Q$ , and all other paths are paths of positive length. Setting  $p := cbtba$ , the elements  $p + \sum_{j \in Q_0 \setminus \{i\}} \sigma_j^2$  and  $z := p - \sigma_i^2$  are in the center of  $A$ . Furthermore,  $z^2 = 0$ , and so  $A$  has a nonvanishing central nilradical.

*Proof.* Since  $z$  is central, we have

$$p^2 - pq = p(p - q) = pz = zp = (p - q)p = p^2 - qp.$$

Whence  $pq = qp$ . □

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $z \in Z$  and  $i \in Q_0$ , and suppose there is a non-cancellative pair of cycles  $p, q \in e_i A e_i$  such that  $ze_i = p - q$ . Then*

$$p^2 = pq = qp = q^2.$$

*Proof.* In the following, by ‘path’ or ‘cycle’ we mean a path or cycle in  $Q$  (not modulo  $I$ ). If  $a$  is an arrow and  $s, t$  are paths such that  $as, at$  are unit cycles, then  $s$  is called an ‘arc’ and  $t$  its ‘complementary arc’.






FIGURE 5. The different possible cases in the proof of Proposition 3.4.  
In (a.i),  $r$  may be vertex.

Let  $p, q \in kQ$  be representative paths of  $p + I, q + I \in A$ . To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that  $p^2 \equiv pq$ , since  $qp \equiv pq$  by Lemma 3.3.

If  $p = \sigma_i^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , then  $p^2 \equiv pq$ , by Lemma 2.6. (Such cases exist; see Example 3.2.) So suppose  $p$  is not a power of a unit cycle.

Since  $qp \equiv pq$  by Lemma 3.3, we may assume that the representatives  $p, q$  factor into paths

$$(4) \quad p = \alpha' p' \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad q = \beta q' \beta',$$

where  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in Q_{\geq 1}$  are subpaths of unit cycles and  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\beta'\alpha'$  are arcs. Let  $\gamma, \gamma'$  be their complementary arcs:

$$(5) \quad \alpha\beta \equiv \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \beta'\alpha' \equiv \gamma'.$$

There are two main cases to consider.

(a) First assume that  $p^{2+}$  does not intersect itself.

(a.i) Consider the setup given in Figure 5.a.i, where  $p, q$  factor into paths

$$p = ap''r \quad \text{and} \quad q = q''br,$$

with  $a, b \in Q_1$  and  $r \in Q_{\geq 0}$ .

If  $rp \equiv rq$ , then

$$p^2 = ap''rp \equiv ap''rq = pq,$$

which is what we wanted to show.

So suppose  $p^2 \not\equiv pq$ ; then  $rp \not\equiv rq$ . Thus, by our choice of representatives  $p, q$  satisfying (4),  $bra$  must be a subpath of a unit cycle. However, it is clear from the figure that this is not possible.

(a.ii) Since case (i) is not possible and  $p, q$  factor into the paths (4), we have the setup shown in Figure 5.a.ii. From (5) we have

$$qp \equiv \beta q' \gamma' p' \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad pq \equiv \alpha' p' \gamma q' \beta'.$$

But  $(\beta q' \gamma' p' \alpha)^+$  and  $(\alpha' p' \gamma q' \beta')^+$  have moved *away* from  $(pq)^+$  and  $(qp)^+$  respectively. Similarly, further applications of the dimer relations  $I$  only homotope  $(pq)^+$  and  $(qp)^+$  further away from each other. Consequently, it is not possible that  $qp \equiv pq$  in this case, a contradiction.

(b) Now assume that  $p^{2+}$  intersects itself.

(b.i) First suppose  $p, q$  share a common leftmost (or rightmost) nontrivial subpath  $\beta \in Q_{\geq 1}$ . Then there are paths  $p', q' \in Q_{\geq 1}$  such that

$$p_1 := p = \beta p' \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 := q = \beta q'.$$

Set

$$p_2 := p' \beta \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 := q' \beta.$$

Let  $z \in Z$  be such that  $ze_{h(\beta)} = p_1 - q_1 + I$ . Then, since  $z\beta = \beta z$ , we have  $ze_{t(\beta)} = p_2 - q_2 + I$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.3,

$$p_1 q_1 \equiv q_1 p_1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 q_2 \equiv q_2 p_2.$$

It thus suffices to assume that  $p'$  factors into paths  $p' = \alpha' p'' \alpha$ , that is,

$$p_1 = \beta \alpha' p'' \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 = \alpha' p'' \alpha \beta,$$

where  $\alpha\beta$  is an arc subpath of  $p_1 q_1$  and  $\beta\alpha'$  is an arc subpath of  $q_2 p_2$ .

Since  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\beta\alpha'$  are both arcs,  $\alpha\beta\alpha'$  must be a unit cycle with  $\alpha, \alpha'$  arrows. We therefore have the setup shown in Figure 5.b.i. Here,  $\gamma, \alpha\beta$  are complementary arcs, and  $\gamma', \beta\alpha'$  are complementary arcs.

In order to homotope the path  $p_1 q_1$  to  $q_1 p_1$ , we first use the relation  $\alpha\beta \equiv \gamma$ . Continuing, we obtain

$$p_1 q_1 = (\beta \alpha' p'' \alpha)(\beta q') \equiv \beta \alpha' p'' \gamma q' \equiv \beta \alpha' p'' p'' \alpha \sigma_{h(\beta)}^\ell$$

for some  $\ell \geq 0$ , by Lemma -. But  $\bar{p}_1 = \bar{q}_1$  since  $p_1, q_1$  is a non-cancellative pair. Whence,  $\ell = 1$ . Therefore,

$$pq = p_1q_1 \equiv \beta\alpha'p''p''\alpha\sigma_{h(\beta)} \equiv \beta\alpha'p''\sigma_{h(p'')}p''\alpha = \beta\alpha'p''(\alpha\beta\alpha')p''\alpha = p_1^2 = p^2,$$

which is what we wanted to show. Similarly,  $p_2q_2 \equiv p_2^2$ .

(b.ii) Finally, suppose  $p, q$  do not share a common leftmost or rightmost nontrivial subpath. Then, since  $p, q$  factor into the paths (4), we have the setup shown in Figure 5.b.ii. (Although not drawn,  $p^{2+}$  and  $q^{2+}$  may intersect themselves multiple times.)

Factor  $p$  into arrow subpaths,  $p = a_n \cdots a_2a_1$ ,  $a_j \in Q_1$ . Denote by

$$p_j := a_{j-1} \cdots a_{j+1}a_j$$

the cyclic permutation of  $p$  starting with arrow  $a_j$ . Since  $z \in Z$  is central and the relations  $I$  are generated by binomials in paths of  $Q$ , for each  $j \in [1, m]$  there are cycles  $p'_j, q_j$  at  $h(a_{j-1})$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} (p'_j - q_j)(a_{j-1} \cdots a_2a_1) &= z(a_{j-1} \cdots a_1) \\ &\equiv (a_{j-1} \cdots a_1)z = (a_{j-1} \cdots a_1)(p - q) = p_j(a_{j-1} \cdots a_1) - (a_{j-1} \cdots a_1)q. \end{aligned}$$

Upon setting  $p'_j(a_{j-1} \cdots a_1) = p_j(a_{j-1} \cdots a_1)$ , we have

$$(6) \quad q_j(a_{j-1} \cdots a_1) \equiv (a_{j-1} \cdots a_1)q.$$

In particular, without loss of generality we may assume

$$(7) \quad ze_{t(p_j)} = p_j - q_j + I.$$

Whence,

$$(8) \quad q_{j+1}a_j \equiv a_jq_j$$

since  $p_{j+1}a_j = a_j \cdots a_{j+1}a_j = a_jp_j$  and

$$(p_{j+1} - q_{j+1})a_j = za_j \equiv a_jz = a_j(p_j - q_j).$$

Suppose  $p_j \equiv q_j$  for some  $j \in [1, m]$ . Then (6) implies

$$\begin{aligned} pq &= (a_m \cdots a_j)(a_{j-1} \cdots a_1)q \equiv (a_m \cdots a_j)q_j(a_{j-1} \cdots a_1) \\ &\equiv (a_m \cdots a_j)p_j(a_{j-1} \cdots a_1) = p^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to show.

So suppose  $p_j \not\equiv q_j$  for each  $j \in [1, m]$ . Then  $p_j, q_j$  is a non-cancellative pair:  $p, q$  is a non-cancellative pair, so there is a path  $r$  such that  $(p - q)r \equiv 0$ , and therefore

$$(p_j - q_j)(a_{j-1} \cdots a_1)r \equiv (a_{j-1} \cdots a_1)(p - q)r \equiv 0.$$

We may assume that  $q_j$  is a representative of  $q_j + I$  for which the region  $\mathcal{R}_{p_j, q_j}$  contains a minimal number of unit cycles.

By assumption, there are minimal indices  $1 \leq k < \ell \leq n$  such that  $t(a_k) = h(a_\ell)$ . Factor  $q_j$  into paths  $q_j = \beta_jq'_j$ , where  $\beta_j$  is the maximal leftmost subpath of  $q_j$  that is a subpath of a unit cycle. Then, by the minimality of  $\mathcal{R}_{p_j, q_j}$  and the minimality




FIGURE 6. Case (b.ii) in the proof of Proposition 3.4.

of the index  $k$ , (8) implies that  $a_j\beta_j$  is an arc for  $j \in [1, k-1]$ . This is shown in Figure 6, where each  $c_j \in Q_1$  is an arrow and  $c_j a_j \beta_j$  is a unit cycle. Observe that the complementary arc to  $(a_j \beta_j)^+$  lies in the region  $\mathcal{R}_{p_j, q_j}$ .

Since  $a_j$  is a rightmost arrow subpath of  $p_j$  and  $ze_{t(p_j)} = p_j - q_j + I$  by (7), we may assume that  $a_j$  is not a rightmost arrow subpath of any representative of  $q_j + I$  since otherwise we have case (b.i) with  $p_j, q_j$  in place of  $p, q$ . In particular,  $q_j$  does not have a unit cycle subpath modulo  $I$ , by Lemma 2.6.

Now consider (8) with  $j = k$ :

$$a_k q_k \equiv q_{k+1} a_k.$$

As we have just shown, the arrow  $a_k$  is not a rightmost subpath of  $q_k$  modulo  $I$ . But it is clear from Figure 6 that  $(a_k \beta_k)^+$  cannot be an arc whose complementary arc lies in  $\mathcal{R}_{p_k, q_k}$ . Therefore, by the minimality of  $\mathcal{R}_{p_k, q_k}$ , we have  $a_k q_k \not\equiv s a_k$  for all paths  $s$ . Consequently  $ze_{t(p_k)} \neq p_k - q_k + I$ , contrary to (7).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $A$  be a nonnoetherian dimer algebra with center  $Z$  and  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$  a cyclic contraction. Then*

$$Z \cap \ker \psi = \text{nil } Z.$$

*Proof.* (i) We first claim that if  $z \in Z \cap \ker \psi$ , then  $z^2 = 0$ , and in particular  $z \in \text{nil } Z$ .

Consider a central element  $z$  in  $\ker \psi$ . Since  $z$  is central it commutes with the vertex idempotents, and so  $z$  is a  $k$ -linear combination of cycles. Therefore, since  $\psi$  sends paths to paths and  $I'$  is generated by certain differences of paths, it suffices to suppose that  $z$  is of the form

$$z = \sum_{i \in Q_0} (p_i - q_i),$$

where  $p_i, q_i$  are cycles in  $e_i A e_i$  with equal  $\psi$ -images modulo  $I'$ . Note that there may be vertices  $i \in Q_0$  for which  $p_i = q_i = 0$ .

By Proposition 3.4, we have

$$p_i q_i = p_i p_i = q_i q_i = q_i p_i.$$

Therefore

$$z^2 = \left( \sum_{i \in Q_0} (p_i - q_i) \right)^2 = \sum_{i \in Q_0} (p_i - q_i)^2 = 0.$$

(ii) We now claim that if  $z \in \text{nil } Z$ , then  $z \in \ker \psi$ .

Suppose  $z^n = 0$ . Then for each  $i \in Q_0$ , we have

$$\bar{\tau}_\psi(z e_i)^n \stackrel{(I)}{=} \bar{\tau}_\psi((z e_i)^n) \stackrel{(II)}{=} \bar{\tau}_\psi(z^n e_i) = 0,$$

where (I) holds since  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$  is an algebra homomorphism on  $e_i A e_i$ , and (II) holds since  $z$  is central. But  $\bar{\tau}_\psi(e_i A e_i)$  is contained in the integral domain  $k[\mathcal{S}']$ . Whence

$$\bar{\tau}(\psi(z e_i)) = \bar{\tau}_\psi(z e_i) = 0.$$

Thus  $\psi(z e_i) = 0$  since  $\bar{\tau}$  is injective, by Lemma 2.5.2. Therefore

$$\psi(z) \stackrel{(I)}{=} \psi\left(z \sum_{i \in Q_0} e_i\right) \stackrel{(II)}{=} \sum_{i \in Q_0} \psi(z e_i) = 0,$$

where (I) holds since the vertex idempotents form a complete set and (II) holds since  $\psi$  is a  $k$ -linear map.  $\square$

#### 4. THE CENTRAL NILRADICAL IS PRIME

Let  $Z$  and  $S$  be the center and cycle algebra of a nonnoetherian dimer algebra  $A = kQ/I$ , let  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$  be a cyclic contraction, and let  $R \subset S$  be the center of the ghor algebra  $\Lambda$  of  $Q$ . In this section we will show that the reduced center  $\hat{Z} := Z/\text{nil } Z$  of  $A$  is an integral domain.

**Theorem 4.1.** *There is an exact sequence of  $Z$ -modules*

$$(9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{nil } Z \hookrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} R,$$

where  $\bar{\psi}$  is an algebra homomorphism. Therefore  $\hat{Z} := Z/\text{nil } Z$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $R$ .

*Proof.* (i) We first claim that for each  $i \in Q_0$ , the map

$$(10) \quad \bar{\psi} : Z \rightarrow R, \quad z \mapsto \overline{z e_i},$$

is a well-defined algebra homomorphism and independent of the choice of  $i$ .

Consider a central element  $z \in Z$  and vertices  $j, k \in Q_0$ . Since  $Q$  is a dimer quiver, there is a path  $p$  from  $j$  to  $k$ . For  $i \in Q_0$ , set  $z_i := ze_i \in e_i Ae_i$ . Recall that  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$  is an algebra homomorphism on each vertex corner ring  $e_i Ae_i$ . Thus

$$\bar{p}\bar{z}_j = \overline{pz_j} = \overline{p}z = \bar{z}\bar{p} = \bar{z}_k\bar{p} = \bar{z}_k\bar{p} \in k[\mathcal{S}'].$$

But  $\bar{p} = \bar{\tau}(\psi(p))$  is nonzero since  $\bar{\tau}$  is injective by Lemma 2.5.2, and the  $\psi$ -image of any path is nonzero. Thus, since  $k[\mathcal{S}']$  is an integral domain,

$$(11) \quad \bar{z}_j = \bar{z}_k.$$

Therefore, since  $j, k \in Q_0$  were arbitrary,

$$\bar{z}_j \in k[\cap_{i \in Q_0} \bar{\tau}_\psi(e_i Ae_i)] = R.$$

(ii) Let  $z \in Z$ ,  $i \in Q_0$ , and suppose  $\psi(ze_i) = 0$ . We claim that  $\psi(z) = 0$ .

For each  $j \in Q'_0$ , denote by

$$c_j := |\psi^{-1}(j) \cap Q_0|$$

the number of vertices in  $\psi^{-1}(j)$ . Since  $\psi$  maps  $Q_0$  surjectively onto  $Q'_0$ , we have  $c_j \geq 1$ . Furthermore, if  $k \in \psi^{-1}(j)$ , then

$$(12) \quad \psi(z)e_j = c_j\psi(ze_k).$$

Set

$$z'_j := c_j^{-1}\psi(z)e_j.$$

Then

$$z' := \sum_{j \in Q'_0} z'_j$$

is in the center  $Z'$  of  $A'$  by (11) and [B2, (6) and Theorem 5.9.1].<sup>3</sup> Whence, for each  $j \in Q'_0$ ,

$$\bar{\tau}(z'_j) \stackrel{(i)}{=} \bar{\tau}(z'_{\psi(i)}) = \bar{\tau}(c_{\psi(i)}^{-1}\psi(z)e_{\psi(i)}) \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \bar{\tau}(\psi(ze_i)) = 0,$$

where (i) holds by (11) and (ii) holds by (12). Thus, each  $z'_j$  vanishes since  $\bar{\tau}$  is injective, by Lemma 2.5.2. Therefore

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{j \in Q'_0} \psi(z)e_j = \sum_{j \in Q'_0} c_j z'_j = 0.$$

(iii) We now claim that the homomorphism (10) can be extended to the exact sequence (9). Let  $z \in \ker \bar{\psi}$ . Then for each  $i \in Q_0$ ,

$$\bar{\tau}(\psi(ze_i)) = \bar{z}\bar{e}_i = \bar{\psi}(z) = 0.$$

Whence  $\psi(ze_i) = 0$  since  $\bar{\tau}$  is injective. Thus  $\psi(z) = 0$  by Claim (ii). Therefore, by Theorem 3.5,

$$z \in \ker \psi \cap Z = \text{nil } Z.$$

<sup>3</sup>Note that  $\psi(z)$  is not in  $Z'$  if there are vertices  $i, j \in Q'_0$  for which  $c_i \neq c_j$ . Therefore, in general  $\psi(Z)$  is not contained in  $Z'$ .

□

**Corollary 4.2.** *The algebras  $\hat{Z}$  and  $R$  are integral domains. Therefore, the central nilradical  $\text{nil } Z$  of  $A$  is a prime ideal of  $Z$ . In particular, the schemes  $\text{Spec } Z$ ,  $\text{Spec } \hat{Z}$ , and  $\text{Spec } R$  are irreducible.*

*Proof.*  $R$  and  $S$  are domains since they are subalgebras of the domain  $k[\mathcal{S}']$ . Therefore  $\hat{Z}$  is a domain since it is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $R$  by Theorem 4.1. □

For brevity, we will identify  $\hat{Z}$  with its isomorphic  $\bar{\psi}$ -image in  $R$  (Theorem 4.1), and thus write  $\hat{Z} \subseteq R$ .

The following example shows that it is possible for the reduced center  $\hat{Z}$  to be properly contained in the ghor center  $R$ . However, they determine the same non-noetherian variety [B6], and we will show below that their normalizations are equal (Theorem 5.5).

**Example 4.3.** Dimer algebras exist for which the containment  $\hat{Z} \hookrightarrow R$  is proper. Indeed, consider the contraction given in Figure 7. This contraction is cyclic since the cycle algebra is preserved:

$$S = k[x^2, xy, y^2, z] = S'.$$

We claim that the reduced center  $\hat{Z}$  of  $A = kQ/I$  is not isomorphic to  $R$ . By the exact sequence (9), it suffices to show that the homomorphism  $\psi : Z \hookrightarrow R$  is not surjective.

We claim that the monomial  $z\sigma$  is in  $R$ , but is not in the image  $\bar{\psi}(Z)$ . It is clear that  $z\sigma$  is in  $R$  from the  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$  labeling of arrows given in Figure 7.

Assume to the contrary that  $z\sigma \in \bar{\psi}(Z)$ . Then, by (11), for each  $j \in Q_0$  there is an element in  $Ze_j$  whose  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$ -image is  $z\sigma$ . Consider the vertex  $i \in Q_0$  shown in Figure 8. The set of cycles in  $e_i Ae_i$  with  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$ -image  $z\sigma$  are drawn in red. As is shown in the figure, none of these cycles ‘commute’ with both of the arrows with tail at  $i$ . Therefore  $\hat{Z} \not\cong R$ .

Example 4.3 raises the following question.

**Question 4.4.** Are there necessary and sufficient conditions for the isomorphism  $\hat{Z} \cong R$  to hold?

## 5. NORMALIZATION OF THE REDUCED CENTER

Let  $Z$  and  $S$  be the center and cycle algebra of a nonnoetherian dimer algebra  $A = kQ/I$ , let  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$  be a cyclic contraction, and let  $R \subset S$  be the center of the ghor algebra  $\Lambda$  of  $Q$ . In this section we will show that the normalizations of  $\hat{Z} = Z/\text{nil } Z$  and  $R$  are equal, nonnoetherian, and properly contained in the cycle algebra  $S$ . We denote by  $\bar{Z}$  and  $\bar{R}$  their respective normalizations. Recall that for  $g, h \in S$ , we write  $g \mid h$  if  $g$  divides  $h$  in the polynomial ring  $k[\mathcal{S}']$ .




FIGURE 7. A cyclic contraction  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$  for which the containment  $\hat{Z} \hookrightarrow R$  is proper.  $Q$  and  $Q'$  are drawn on a torus, and the contracted arrows are drawn in green. The arrows drawn in blue form removable 2-cycles under  $\psi$ . The arrows in  $Q$  are labeled by their  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$ -images, and the arrows in  $Q'$  are labeled by their  $\bar{\tau}$ -images.




FIGURE 8. There are six cycles  $p_1, \dots, p_6$  (or five distinct cycles modulo  $I$ ) at  $i$  with  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$ -image  $z\sigma = xyz^2$ , drawn in red. There are two arrows with tail at  $i$ , labeled  $a$  and  $b$ . Observe that if the rightmost arrow subpath of  $p_j$  is  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ), then  $bp_j$  (resp.  $ap_j$ ) cannot homotope to a path whose rightmost arrow subpath is  $b$  (resp.  $a$ ). Therefore there is no cycle  $q_j$  for which  $bp_j \equiv q_j b$  (resp.  $ap_j \equiv q_j a$ ). Consequently,  $z\sigma$  is in  $R \setminus \hat{Z}$ .



**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0$ . Suppose  $a \in Q_1$ ,  $p \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{t(a)}^u$ , and  $q \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{h(a)}^u$ . If  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}^\circ$  contains no vertices, then  $ap = qa$ . Consequently,  $\bar{p} = \bar{q}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there are representatives of  $(ap)^+$  and  $(qa)^+$  that bound a compact region  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}$  with no vertices in its interior. If  $(ap)^+$  and  $(qa)^+$  have no cyclic subpaths (modulo  $I$ ), then  $ap = qa$  by Lemma 2.4.

So suppose  $(qa)^+$  contains a cyclic subpath. The path  $q^+$  has no cyclic subpaths since  $q$  is in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Thus  $q$  factors into paths  $q = q_2q_1$ , where  $(q_1a)^+$  is a cycle. In particular,

$$t(p^+) = t((q_1q_2)^+) \quad \text{and} \quad h(p^+) = h((q_1q_2)^+).$$

Whence  $p$  and  $q_1q_2$  bound a compact region  $\mathcal{R}_{p,q_1q_2}$ . Furthermore, its interior  $\mathcal{R}_{p,q_1q_2}^\circ$  contains no vertices since  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}^\circ$  contains no vertices.

The path  $(q^2)^+$  has no cyclic subpaths, again since  $q$  is in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Thus  $(q_1q_2)^+$  also has no cyclic subpaths. Furthermore,  $p^+$  has no cyclic subpaths since  $p$  is in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Therefore  $p = q_1q_2$ , again by Lemma 2.4.

Since there are no vertices in  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}^\circ$ , there are also no vertices in the interior of the region bounded by the cycle  $(aq_1)^+$ . Thus, there is some  $\ell \geq 1$  such that

$$aq_1 = \sigma_{h(a)}^\ell \quad \text{and} \quad q_1a = \sigma_{t(a)}^\ell.$$

Therefore,

$$ap = aq_1q_2 = \sigma_{h(a)}^\ell q_2 \stackrel{(1)}{=} q_2\sigma_{t(a)}^\ell = q_2q_1a = qa,$$

where (1) holds by Lemma 2.6.

Finally,  $ap = qa$  and  $\bar{a} \neq 0$  together imply  $\bar{p} = \bar{q}$  by Lemma 2.5.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $g$  is a monomial in  $k[\mathcal{S}']$  and  $g\sigma$  is in  $S$ , then  $g$  is also in  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $g$  is a monomial in  $k[\mathcal{S}']$  for which  $g\sigma$  is in  $S$ . Then there is a cycle  $p \in A'$  such that  $\bar{p} = g\sigma$ . Let  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  be such that  $p \in \mathcal{C}'^u$ . Since  $A'$  is cancellative,  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}'^u \neq \emptyset$  by [B2, Proposition 4.11]; fix  $q \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}'^u$ . Then  $\sigma \nmid \bar{q}$  by [B2, Proposition 4.21.1]. Thus, there is some  $m \geq 1$  such that

$$\bar{q}\sigma^m = \bar{p} = g\sigma,$$

by [B2, Lemma 4.19]. Therefore,

$$g = (g\sigma)\sigma^{-1} = \bar{q}\sigma^{m-1} \in S' \stackrel{(1)}{=} S,$$

where (1) holds since  $\psi$  is cyclic.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *There is some  $n \geq 1$  for which*

$$(13) \quad \sigma^{n-1}S \not\subseteq R \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^n S \subset R.$$

*Proof.* Let  $s \in S$ . Since  $S$  is generated over  $k$  by a set of monomials in  $k[\mathcal{S}']$ , we may assume that  $s$  is a monomial. In particular, there is a cycle  $p$  for which  $\bar{p} = s$ .

By Lemma 2.6, there is some  $n \geq 1$  such that for each  $i \in Q_0$ , the unit cycle  $\sigma_i^n$  is equal (modulo  $I$ ) to a cycle  $q_i$  that passes through each vertex of  $Q$ . Thus, the concatenated cycle  $q_{t(p)}p$  passes through each vertex of  $Q$ . Whence  $\sigma^n \bar{p} = \overline{q_{t(p)}} \bar{p}$  is in  $R$ . Therefore  $\sigma^n S \subset R$ . Since  $S \not\subset R$ , there is a minimal such  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.**

- (1) If  $r \in R$  and  $\sigma \nmid r$ , then  $r \in \hat{Z}$ .
- (2) If  $s \in S$ , then there is some  $n \geq 0$  such that for each  $m \geq 1$ ,  $s^m \sigma^n \in \hat{Z}$ .
- (3) If  $r \in R$ , then there is some  $n \geq 1$  such that  $r^n \in \hat{Z}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $R$  is generated over  $k$  by a set of monomials in  $k[\mathcal{S}']$ , it suffices to consider a monomial  $r \in R$  for which  $\sigma \nmid r$ . For each vertex  $i \in Q_0$ , then, there is a cycle  $c_i \in e_i A e_i$  satisfying  $\bar{c}_i = r$ . Fix  $a \in Q_1$  and set

$$p := c_{t(a)} \quad \text{and} \quad q := c_{h(a)}.$$

See Figure 9. We claim that  $ap = qa$ .

Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  be such that

$$p \in \mathcal{C}^u \quad \text{and} \quad q \in \mathcal{C}^v.$$

Then  $u = v$  since  $\bar{p} = r = \bar{q}$ , by Lemma 2.8.2. Furthermore,  $u \neq 0$  since  $\sigma \nmid r$ , by Lemma 2.8.1. Therefore,  $(ap)^+$  and  $(qa)^+$  bound a compact region  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

We proceed by induction on the number of vertices in the interior  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}^\circ$ .

First suppose there are no vertices in  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}^\circ$ . Since  $\sigma \nmid r = \bar{p} = \bar{q}$ , the cycles  $p$  and  $q$  are in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ , by Lemma 2.8.3. Therefore  $ap = qa$ , by Lemma 5.1.

So suppose  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}^\circ$  contains at least one vertex  $i^+$ . Let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  be such that  $c_i \in \mathcal{C}^w$ . Then  $w = u = v$ , again by Lemma 2.8.2. Therefore  $c_i$  intersects  $p$  at least twice or  $q$  at least twice. Suppose  $c_i$  intersects  $p$  at vertices  $j$  and  $k$ . Then  $p$  factors into paths

$$p = p_2 e_k b e_j p_1 = p_2 b p_1.$$

Let  $d^+$  be the subpath of  $(c_i^2)^+$  from  $j^+$  to  $k^+$ . Then

$$t(d^+) = t(b^+) = j^+ \quad \text{and} \quad h(d^+) = h(b^+) = k^+.$$

In particular,  $d^+$  and  $b^+$  bound a compact region  $\mathcal{R}_{d,b}$ .

Since we are free to choose the vertex  $i^+$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}^\circ$ , we may suppose  $\mathcal{R}_{d,b}^\circ$  contains no vertices. Furthermore,  $c_i^+$  and  $p^+$  have no cyclic subpaths since  $\sigma \nmid r$ , by Lemma 2.8.1. Thus, their respective subpaths  $d^+$  and  $b^+$  have no cyclic subpaths. Whence  $d = b$ , by Lemma 2.4.

Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{R}_{ap_2 d p_1, qa}^\circ$  contains less vertices than  $\mathcal{R}_{ap,qa}^\circ$ , it follows by induction that

$$ap_2 d p_1 = qa.$$

Therefore

$$ap = a(p_2 b p_1) = a(p_2 d p_1) = qa,$$

proving our claim.

Finally, since  $a \in Q_1$  was arbitrary, the sum  $\sum_{i \in Q_0} c_i$  is central in  $A$ .

(2) Let  $s \in S$  be a monomial. By Lemma 5.3, there is an  $N \geq 0$  such that  $s^m \sigma^N$  is in  $R$  for each  $m \geq 1$ . Fix  $m \geq 1$  and set  $r := s^m \sigma^N$ . Then, for each  $i \in Q_0$ , there is a cycle  $c_i \in e_i A e_i$  for which  $\bar{c}_i = r$ .

Fix an arrow  $a \in Q_1$ . Set  $i := t(a)$  and  $j := h(a)$ . Let  $t^+$  be a path in  $Q^+$  from  $h((ac_i)^+)$  to  $t((ac_i)^+)$ . Then by Lemma 2.7, there is some  $\ell, m_i, m_j \geq 0$  such that

$$tc_j a \sigma_i^\ell = \sigma_j^{m_i} \quad \text{and} \quad ac_i t \sigma_j^\ell = \sigma_j^{m_j}.$$

Thus,

$$\sigma^{m_i} = \bar{\tau}_\psi(tc_j a \sigma_i^\ell) = \bar{t} \bar{c}_j \bar{a} \sigma^\ell = \bar{a} \bar{c}_i \bar{t} \sigma^\ell = \bar{\tau}_\psi(ac_i t \sigma_j^\ell) = \sigma^{m_j}.$$

Furthermore,  $\sigma \neq 1$  since  $\bar{\tau}$  is injective by Lemma 2.5.2. Whence  $m := m_i = m_j$  since  $k[\mathcal{S}']$  is an integral domain. Therefore,

$$(14) \quad a(c_i \sigma_i^m) = ac_i(tc_j a \sigma_i^\ell) \stackrel{(i)}{=} (ac_i t \sigma_j^\ell) c_j a = \sigma_j^m c_j a \stackrel{(ii)}{=} (c_j \sigma_j^m) a,$$

where (i) and (ii) hold by Lemma 2.6.

For each  $a \in Q_1$  there is an  $m = m(a)$  such that (14) holds. Set

$$n := \max\{m(a) \mid a \in Q_1\}.$$

Then (14) implies that the element  $\sum_{i \in Q_0} c_i \sigma_i^n$  is central. Furthermore, for each  $k \in Q_0$ ,

$$\bar{\tau}_\psi(c_k \sigma_k^n) = r \sigma^n = s^m \sigma^{N+n}.$$

The claim then follows since  $m \geq 1$  was arbitrary.

(3) By Claim (1), it suffices to suppose  $\sigma \mid r$ . Then there is a monomial or scalar  $g \in k[\mathcal{S}']$  such that  $r = g\sigma$ . By Lemma 5.2,  $g$  is in  $S$  since  $g\sigma = r \in R \subset S$ . Therefore, by Claim (2), there is some  $n \geq 1$  such that

$$r^n = g^n \sigma^n \in \hat{Z}.$$

□

**Theorem 5.5.** *The normalizations of the reduced and ghor centers are isomorphic,*

$$\bar{Z} \cong \bar{R}.$$

*Proof.* By [B6, Theorem 1.1], the fraction fields of  $\hat{Z}$ ,  $R$ , and  $S$  coincide,

$$(15) \quad \text{Frac } \hat{Z} = \text{Frac } R = \text{Frac } S.$$

Thus the inclusion  $\hat{Z} \subseteq R$  implies

$$(16) \quad \bar{Z} \subseteq \bar{R}.$$

To show  $\bar{Z} \supseteq \bar{R}$ , consider  $r \in R$ . Then there is some  $n \geq 1$  such that  $r^n \in \hat{Z}$ , by Proposition 5.4.3. Whence,  $r$  is a root of the monic polynomial

$$x^n - r^n \in \hat{Z}[x].$$




FIGURE 9. Setup for Proposition 5.4.1. The cycles  $p = p_2tp_1$ ,  $q$ ,  $c_i$ , are drawn in red, blue, and teal respectively. The path  $a$  is an arrow.

Thus  $r$  is in  $\bar{Z}$ . Therefore

$$(17) \quad R \subseteq \bar{Z}.$$

But then

$$\bar{R} \stackrel{(I)}{\subseteq} \bar{Z} \stackrel{(II)}{\subseteq} \bar{R},$$

where (I) holds by (17) and (II) holds by (16). Therefore  $\bar{R} \cong \bar{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6.** *If  $s \in S \setminus R$  is a monomial and  $\sigma \nmid s$ , then  $s^n$  is not in  $R$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Moreover, there exists such a monomial  $s$ .*

*Proof.* Holds by [B4, Proposition 3.14] since  $A$  is nonnoetherian.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $s \in S \setminus R$  be a monomial. Then  $\sigma \mid s$  if and only if  $s \in \bar{R}$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose  $\sigma \mid s$ . Then  $s = g\sigma$  for some monomial  $g \in k[S']$ . Whence  $g$  is in  $S$ , by Lemma 5.2. Thus for sufficiently large  $n \geq 1$ ,  $(g\sigma)^n$  is in  $R$ , by Lemma 5.3. But then  $x^n - (g\sigma)^n$  is in  $R[x]$ . Furthermore,

$$g\sigma \in S \subset \text{Frac } S = \text{Frac } R,$$

where the last equality holds by (15). Consequently,  $s = g\sigma$  is in the normalization  $\bar{R}$ .

Now suppose  $\sigma \nmid s$ . Then  $s^n$  is not in  $R$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , by Proposition 5.6. Furthermore, since  $R$  is generated by monomials in a polynomial ring (in particular,  $R$  is toric), each monomial in  $\bar{R}$  is a root of a monic binomial  $x^n - r \in R[x]$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and  $r \in R$ . But then  $s$  is not in  $\bar{R}$  since  $s^n$  is not in  $R$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.8.** *The normalizations  $\bar{R} \cong \bar{Z}$  are nonnoetherian and properly contained in the cycle algebra  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is nonnoetherian, there is a monomial  $s \in S \setminus R$  for which  $\sigma \nmid s$ , by Proposition 5.6. Thus  $s$  is not in  $\bar{R}$ , by Proposition 5.7. Whence  $\bar{R} \neq S$ . But

$S = S' = R'$  is the center of the noetherian (equivalently, cancellative) dimer algebra  $A'$  and so is normal. Consequently, the inclusion  $R \subset S$  implies  $\bar{R} \subseteq \bar{S} = S$ . Therefore  $\bar{R}$  is properly contained in  $S$ .

Moreover, since  $\sigma = \prod_{x \in S'} x$ , we also have  $\sigma \nmid s^n$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $s^n$  is not in  $\bar{R}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , again by Proposition 5.7. It follows that  $\bar{R}$  is nonnoetherian by [B4, Claims (i) and (iii) in the proof of Theorem 3.16].

Alternatively, recall that an element  $s$  of the fraction field  $\text{Frac } T$  of an integral domain  $T$  is said to be ‘almost integral over  $T$ ’ if there is some nonzero  $t \in T$  such that  $s^m t$  is in  $T$  for all  $m \geq 0$ . It is well known that if  $T$  is noetherian, then every almost integral element  $s \in \text{Frac } T$  over  $T$  is integral over  $T$  [S, Lemma 10.37.4].

Now let  $s \in S \setminus \bar{R}$  be as above with  $\sigma \nmid s$ . Then  $s \in \text{Frac } S = \text{Frac } R = \text{Frac } \bar{R}$ , by (15). Thus, for  $n \geq 1$  sufficiently large,  $s^m \sigma^n \in R \subseteq \bar{R}$  for all  $m \geq 0$ , by Lemma 5.3. Whence,  $s$  is almost integral over both  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$ . But  $s \notin \bar{R}$ , so  $s$  is not integral over  $R$  or  $\bar{R}$ . Therefore both  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$  are nonnoetherian.  $\square$

## 6. THREE CHARACTERIZATIONS OF NORMALITY

Let  $Z$  and  $S$  be the center and cycle algebra of a nonnoetherian dimer algebra  $A = kQ/I$ , let  $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$  be a cyclic contraction, and let  $R \subset S$  be the center of the ghor algebra  $\Lambda$  of  $Q$ . In this section we will introduce three equivalent conditions for  $R$  to be normal. These conditions provide an explicit description of the reduced center  $\hat{Z} = Z/\text{nil } Z$  if it is normal.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Suppose  $r \in R$  and  $s \in S$  are monomials. If  $r \neq \sigma^n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ , then  $rs \in R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the hypotheses hold. Fix  $i \in Q_0$ . Since  $r$  is a monomial in  $R$  and  $s$  is a monomial in  $S$ , there are  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and cycles

$$p \in \mathcal{C}_i^u \quad \text{and} \quad q \in \mathcal{C}^v$$

such that

$$\bar{p} = r \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{q} = s.$$

The assumption  $r \neq \sigma^n$  for  $n \geq 1$  implies  $u \neq 0$ , by Lemma 2.8.1. Furthermore, if  $v = 0$ , then  $\bar{q} = \sigma^m$  for some  $m \geq 1$ , again by Lemma 2.8.1. But then  $s = \bar{q}$  is in  $R$  since  $\sigma$  is in  $R$ . Whence,  $rs$  is in  $R$ .

We may thus suppose that  $u, v$  are both nonzero.

(i) If  $v = u$ , then  $\bar{p} = \bar{q}\sigma^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by Lemma 2.8.2.

(i.a) If  $m \leq 0$ , then  $s = r\sigma^{|m|}$  is in  $R$  since  $r$  and  $\sigma$  are both in  $R$ . Whence,  $rs = r^2\sigma^{|m|}$  is in  $R$ .

(i.b) So suppose  $m > 0$ ; then  $r = s\sigma^{|m|}$ . In particular,  $\sigma \mid r = \bar{p}$ . Thus,  $p$  is not in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ , by Lemma 2.8.3. Consequently, there is a cyclic subpath  $c^+$  of the lift  $p^{2+}$ . It suffices to suppose that  $p$  factors into paths

$$p = d_2 p' d_1$$

with  $c = d_1 d_2 \in \mathcal{C}^0$  and  $p' \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ ; otherwise, if  $p'$  is not in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ , then repeat the argument with  $p'$  in place of  $p$ . Since  $p'$  is in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ , we have  $\sigma \nmid \bar{p}'$ , by Lemma 2.8.3. Furthermore, since  $c$  is in  $\mathcal{C}^0$ , we have  $p' \in \mathcal{C}^u$ . Thus  $\bar{p} = \bar{p}' \sigma^\ell$  for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by Lemma 2.8.2. Whence,

$$\bar{p}' \sigma^\ell = \bar{p} = r = s \sigma^m.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume  $\sigma \nmid s$ . Then, since  $\sigma \nmid \bar{p}'$ , we have  $\ell = m$  and  $\bar{p}' = s$ . Therefore the cycle  $d_2 p'^2 d_1$  has tail at  $i$  and  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$ -image  $s^2 \sigma^m$ . Since  $i \in Q_0$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $rs = s^2 \sigma^m$  is in  $R$ .

(ii) Finally, suppose  $v \neq u$ . Then, since  $u, v \neq 0$  (and the surface is a torus), the lifts  $p^+$  and  $q^+$  intersect at some vertex  $j^+$  in  $Q^+$ . Consequently,  $p$  and  $q$  factor into paths

$$p = p_2 e_j p_1 \quad \text{and} \quad q = q_2 e_j q_1.$$

We may thus form the cycle

$$c = p_2 q_1 q_2 p_1 \in e_i A e_i$$

with  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$ -image

$$\bar{c} = \bar{p} \bar{q} = rs.$$

But  $i \in Q_0$  was arbitrary, and so it again follows that  $\bar{c} = rs$  is in  $R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.** *A ghor center  $R$  is normal if and only if  $\sigma S \subset R$ .*

*Proof.* (1) First suppose  $\sigma S \subset R$ .

It is well known that cancellative dimer algebras (on a torus) are noncommutative crepant resolutions, and in particular that their centers are normal domains (e.g., [Br, D]). Moreover,  $A'$  is cancellative and its center is isomorphic to  $S$  [B2, Theorem 1.1.3]. Thus,  $S$  is a normal domain. Therefore, since  $R$  is a subalgebra of  $S$ , we have

$$(18) \quad \bar{R} \subseteq S.$$

Now let  $s \in S \setminus R$ . We claim that  $s$  is not in  $\bar{R}$ . Indeed, assume otherwise. Since  $S$  is generated by monomials in the polynomial ring  $k[\mathcal{S}']$ , there are monomials  $s_1, \dots, s_\ell \in S$  and scalars  $s_0, c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in k$  such that

$$s = s_0 + c_1 s_1 + \dots + c_\ell s_\ell.$$

Since  $s \notin R$ , there is some  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$  such that  $s_k \notin R$ . Choose  $s_k$  to have maximal degree among the subset of monomials in  $\{s_1, \dots, s_\ell\}$  which are not in  $R$ .

If  $\sigma \mid s_k$  in  $k[\mathcal{S}']$ , then there would be a monomial  $g \in k[\mathcal{S}']$  such that  $s_k = \sigma g$ . Furthermore,  $g$  would be in  $S$  by Lemma 5.2. Whence,  $s_k = \sigma g$  would be in  $R$  by our assumption that  $\sigma S \subset R$ . But this is not possible since  $s_k$  is not in  $R$ . Therefore

$$\sigma \nmid s_k.$$

By assumption  $s$  is in  $\bar{R}$ , and so there is some  $n \geq 1$  and  $r_0, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$  for which

$$(19) \quad s^n + r_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + r_1 s = -r_0 \in R.$$

The summand  $s_k^n$  of  $s^n$  is not in  $R$  since  $\sigma \nmid s_k$  [B4, Proposition 3.14]. Thus  $-s_k^n$  is a summand of the left-hand side of (19). In particular, for some  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , there are monomial or scalar summands  $r'$  of  $r_m$  and  $s' = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_m}$  of  $s^m$ , and a nonzero scalar  $c \in k$ , such that

$$r's' = cs_k^n.$$

Since  $\sigma \nmid s_k$ , we have  $\sigma \nmid s_k^n$ , and thus  $\sigma \nmid r'$ . Whence  $r' \neq \sigma^m$  for any  $m \geq 1$ . Thus,  $r'$  is a nonzero scalar since  $r' \in R$ ,  $s' \in S$ , and  $s_k^n \notin R$ , by Lemma 6.1. Therefore

$$(20) \quad s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_m} = s' = (c/r')s_k^n.$$

Consequently,  $s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_m}$  is not in  $R$ . But  $m \leq n$  and the monomial  $s_k$  was chosen to have maximal degree, and so (20) is not possible. Hence,

$$(21) \quad \bar{R} \cap S \subseteq R.$$

It follows from (18) and (21) that

$$\bar{R} = \bar{R} \cap S \subseteq R \subseteq \bar{R}.$$

Therefore  $R = \bar{R}$  is normal.

(2) Now suppose  $\sigma S \not\subseteq R$ . Then there is a monomial  $s \in S \setminus R$  for which  $\sigma \mid s$ . Furthermore,  $s$  is in  $\bar{R}$  by Proposition 5.7. Consequently,  $s$  is in  $\bar{R} \setminus R$  and so  $R \neq \bar{R}$ .  $\square$

### Corollary 6.3.

- (1) If the head or tail of each contracted arrow has indegree 1, then  $R$  is normal.
- (2) If  $\psi$  contracts precisely one arrow, then  $R$  is normal.

*Proof.* In both cases (1) and (2), clearly  $\sigma S \subset R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.4.** *For each  $n \geq 1$ , there exist ghor algebras for which (13) holds. Consequently, there are ghor algebras whose centers are not normal.*

*Proof.* Recall the conifold quiver  $Q$  with one nested square given in Figure 1.i. Clearly  $\sigma S \subset R$ . More generally, the conifold quiver with  $n \geq 1$  nested squares satisfies (13); see Figure 10. The corresponding ghor center  $R$  is therefore not normal for  $n \geq 2$  by Proposition 6.2.  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \text{Max } R$  be the maximal ideal generated by all monomials in  $R$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 \subset \mathfrak{m}_0$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by all monomials in  $R$  which are not powers of  $\sigma$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{m}_0 = (\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0, \sigma)R.$$

### Proposition 6.5.

- (1)  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 = \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 S$ , and thus  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0$  is an ideal of both  $R$  and  $S$ .
- (2) Let  $n \geq 1$ , and suppose  $\sigma^n S \subset R$ . Then

$$R = k[\sigma] + (\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0, \sigma^n)S.$$




FIGURE 10. Examples for Proposition 6.4. Each quiver is drawn on a torus. In each case, set  $p := ba$  and let  $n \geq 0$  be the minimum for which  $\bar{p}\sigma^n$  is in the ghor center  $R$ . In (i) we have  $n = 1$ ; (ii)  $n = 2$ ; and (iii)  $n = 3$ . More generally, these values yield  $\sigma^n S \subset R$  and  $\sigma^{n-1} S \not\subset R$ . Consequently, only the ghor center of (i) is normal; the ghor centers of (ii) and (iii) are not normal.

*Proof.* The equality  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 = \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 S$  follows from Lemma 6.1. Thus,

$$R \stackrel{(I)}{\subseteq} k[\sigma] + \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 \subseteq k[\sigma] + (\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0, \sigma^n) S \stackrel{(II)}{\subseteq} R,$$

where (I) holds since  $R$  is generated by monomials and (II) holds since  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 S = \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 \subset R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be the center and cycle algebra of a ghor algebra. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is normal.
- (2)  $\sigma S \subset R$ .
- (3)  $R = k + \mathfrak{m}_0 S$ .
- (4)  $R = k + J$  for some ideal  $J$  in  $S$ .



*Proof.* If the ghor algebra is noetherian, then the conditions trivially hold since in this case  $R = S$  and  $R$  is normal. So suppose the ghor algebra is nonnoetherian.

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) holds by Proposition 6.2.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Suppose  $\sigma S \subset R$ . Then

$$R \stackrel{(i)}{=} k + \mathfrak{m}_0 \subseteq k + \mathfrak{m}_0 S \stackrel{(ii)}{\subseteq} R,$$

where (i) holds since  $R$  is generated over  $k$  by a set of monomials in  $k[S']$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subset R$  is generated over  $R$  by all monomials in  $R$ . To show (ii), let  $r \in \mathfrak{m}_0$  and  $s \in S$ ; we claim that  $rs \in R$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}_0$  is generated by the monomials in  $R$ , we may assume that  $r$  is a monomial. Thus, if  $r \neq \sigma^m$  for all  $m \geq 1$ , then  $rs \in R$  by Lemma 6.1. Otherwise  $rs \in \sigma S$ . But  $\sigma S \subset R$  by assumption, and so  $rs \in R$ , proving our claim. Therefore  $R = k + \mathfrak{m}_0 S$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose  $R = k + \mathfrak{m}_0 S$ . Then, since  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{m}_0$ , we have  $\sigma S \subset \mathfrak{m}_0 S \subset R$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Clear.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose  $R = k + J$  for some ideal  $J$  of  $S$ . By Lemma 5.3, there is some  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\sigma^{n-1} S \not\subseteq R$  and  $\sigma^n S \subset R$ . Fix  $g \in S$  for which  $g\sigma^{n-1} \notin R$ .

Since  $\sigma \in R = k + J$ , there is some  $c \in k$  such that  $c + \sigma \in J$ . Then

$$cg\sigma^{n-1} = (c + \sigma)g\sigma^{n-1} - g\sigma^n \in JS + R = J + R = R.$$

Whence  $c = 0$  since  $g\sigma^{n-1} \notin R$ . Thus  $\sigma \in J$ , and therefore  $\sigma S \subset JS = J \subset R$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.7.** *If the reduced center  $\hat{Z} = Z/\text{nil } Z$  of a (noetherian or nonnoetherian) dimer algebra is normal, then  $\hat{Z} = k + \mathfrak{m}_0 S$ .*

*Proof.* First observe that  $\hat{Z} = k + \mathfrak{m}_0 S$  holds trivially if the dimer algebra is noetherian: in this case,  $\hat{Z} = Z = S$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subset Z$  is the maximal ideal generated by all monomials in  $Z$ .

So suppose the dimer algebra is nonnoetherian. If  $\hat{Z}$  is normal, then

$$R \subseteq \bar{R} \stackrel{(i)}{=} \bar{Z} = \hat{Z} \stackrel{(ii)}{\subseteq} R,$$

where (i) holds by Theorem 5.5 and (ii) holds by Theorem 4.1. Thus  $R = \hat{Z}$ , and so  $R$  is normal. But then

$$\hat{Z} = R \stackrel{(i)}{=} k + \mathfrak{m}_0 S,$$

where (i) holds by Theorem 6.6.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The author was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grant P34854. Part of this article is based on work supported by the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research.

## REFERENCES

- [BB1] K. Baur, C. Beil, A generalization of cancellative dimer algebras to hyperbolic surfaces, arXiv:2101.11512.
- [BB2] ———, Finitistic global dimensions of geodesic ghor algebras, in preparation.
- [BKM] K. Baur, A. King, B. Marsh, Dimer models and cluster categories of Grassmannians, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 2(113), 2016.
- [B1] C. Beil, Cyclic contractions of dimer algebras always exist, *Algebr. Represent. Th.*, 22:1083-1100, 2019.
- [B2] ———, Dimer algebras, ghor algebras, and cyclic contractions, *Algebr. Represent. Th.*, to appear, 2023.
- [B3] ———, Morita equivalences and Azumaya loci from Higgsing dimer algebras, *J. Algebra*, 453:429-455, 2016.
- [B4] ———, Noetherian criteria for dimer algebras, *J. Algebra*, 585:294-315, 2021.
- [B5] ———, Nonnoetherian homotopy dimer algebras and noncommutative crepant resolutions, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 60(2):447-479, 2018.
- [B6] ———, On the central geometry of nonnoetherian dimer algebras, *J. Pure Applied Algebra*, 225(8), 2021.
- [B7] ———, On the noncommutative geometry of square superpotential algebras, *J. Algebra*, 371:207-249, 2012.
- [Bo] R. Bocklandt, Consistency conditions for dimer models, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 54(2):429-447, 2012.
- [BGH] S. Bose, J. Gundry, Y. He, Gauge Theories and Dessins d'Enfants: Beyond the Torus, *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2015(135), 2015.
- [Br] N. Broomhead, Dimer models and Calabi-Yau algebras, *Memoirs AMS*, vol 211, 2012.
- [CQ] A. Craw and A. Quintero Velez, Cellular resolutions of noncommutative toric algebras from superpotentials, *Adv. Math.*, 229:1516-1554, 2012.
- [D] B. Davison, Consistency conditions for brane tilings, *J. Algebra*, 338:1-23, 2011.
- [F-V] B. Feng, Y.-H. He, K. Kennaway, C. Vafa, Dimer models from mirror symmetry and quivering amoebae, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 12(3):489-545, 2008.
- [F-W] S. Franco, A. Hanany, D. Martelli, J. Sparks, D. Vegh, B. Wecht, Gauge theories from toric geometry and brane tilings, *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2006(128), 2006.
- [F-K] S. Franco, A. Hanany, D. Vegh, B. Wecht, K. Kennaway, Brane dimers and quiver gauge theories, *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2006(096), 2006.
- [FU] M. Futaki, K. Ueda, Exact Lefschetz fibrations associated with dimer models, *Math. Res. Lett.*, 17(6):1029-1040, 2010.
- [GK] A. Goncharov, R. Kenyon, Dimers and cluster integrable systems, *Annales scientifiques de l'ENS*, 46(5):747-813, 2013.
- [HK] A. Hanany, K. D. Kennaway, Dimer models and toric diagrams, arXiv:0503149.
- [HV] A. Hanany, D. Vegh, Quivers tilings, branes and rhombi, *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2007(029), 2007.
- [H] Y.-H. He, Calabi-Yau Varieties: from Quiver Representations to Dessins d'Enfants, arXiv:1611.09398.
- [HN] A. Higashitani, Y. Nakajima, Deformations of dimer models, *SIGMA*, 18(030), 2022.
- [IU] A. Ishii, K. Ueda, Dimer models and the special McKay correspondence, *Geom. Topol.*, 19:3405-3466, 2015.
- [IN] O. Iyama, Y. Nakajima, On steady non-commutative crepant resolutions, *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 12:457-471, 2018.
- [K] M. Kulkarni, Dimer models on cylinders over Dynkin diagrams and cluster algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147:921-932, 2019.

- [MS] B. Marsh, J. Scott, Twists of Plücker coordinates as dimer partition functions, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 341(3):821-884, 2016.
- [MR] S. Mozgovoy, M. Reineke, On the noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants arising from dimer models, *Adv. Math.*, 223:1521-1544, 2010.
- [RW] K. Rietsch, L. Williams, Newton-Okounkov bodies, cluster duality, and mirror symmetry for Grassmannians, *Duke Math. J.*, 168:3437-3527, 2019.
- [P] A. Postnikov, Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks, available at <http://math.mit.edu/~apost/papers/tpgrass.pdf>.
- [S] *The Stacks Project*, available at <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/tag/037B>.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND WISSENSCHAFTLICHES RECHNEN, UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA.

*Email address:* `charles.beil@uni-graz.at`