

# Multiplicative norm convergence in Banach lattice $f$ -algebras

March 1, 2019

Abdullah Aydın

Department of Mathematics, Muş Alparslan University, Muş, Turkey

## Abstract

A net  $(x_\alpha)$  in an  $f$ -algebra  $E$  is called multiplicative order convergent to  $x \in E$  if  $|x_\alpha - x|u \xrightarrow{0} 0$  for all  $u \in E_+$ . This convergence has been investigated and applied in a recent paper by Aydın [4]. In this paper, we study a variation of this convergence for Banach lattice  $f$ -algebras. A net  $(x_\alpha)$  in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$  is said to be multiplicative norm convergent to  $x \in E$  if  $\|x_\alpha - x\|u \rightarrow 0$  for each  $u \in E_+$ . We study on this concept and investigate its relationship with the other convergences, and also we introduce the  $mn$ -topology Banach lattice  $f$ -algebras.

## 1 Introductory Facts

Let us recall some notations and terminologies used in this paper. An ordered vector space  $E$  is said to be *vector lattice* (or, *Riesz space*) if, for each pair of vectors  $x, y \in E$ , the supremum  $x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\}$  and the infimum  $x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\}$  both exist in  $E$ . For  $x \in E$ ,  $x^+ := x \vee 0$ ,  $x^- := (-x) \vee 0$ , and  $|x| := x \vee (-x)$  are called the *positive* part, the *negative* part, and the *absolute value* of  $x$ , respectively. A vector lattice  $E$  is called *order complete* if  $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow \leq x$  implies the existence of  $\sup x_\alpha$ . A partially ordered set  $A$  is called *directed* if, for each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , there is another  $a \in A$  such that  $a \geq a_1$  and  $a \geq a_2$  (or,  $a \leq a_1$  and  $a \leq a_2$ ). A function from a directed set  $A$  into a set  $E$  is called a *net* in  $E$ . A net  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  in a vector lattice  $E$  is *order convergent* (or  *$o$ -convergent*, for short) to  $x \in E$ , if there exists another net  $(y_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$  satisfying  $y_\beta \downarrow 0$ , and for any  $\beta \in B$  there exists  $\alpha_\beta \in A$  such that  $|x_\alpha - x| \leq y_\beta$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_\beta$ . In this case, we write  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} x$ . A vector  $e \geq 0$  in a vector lattice  $E$  is said to be a *weak order unit* whenever the band generated by  $e$  satisfies  $B_e = E$ , or equivalently, whenever for each  $x \in E_+$  we have  $x \wedge ne \uparrow x$ ; see more information for example ([1], [2],[3],[5], [12], [13]).

A vector lattice  $E$  under an associative multiplication is said to be a *Riesz algebra* whenever the multiplication makes  $E$  an algebra (with the usual properties), and in addition, it satisfies the following property:  $xy \in E_+$  for every  $x, y \in E_+$ . A Riesz algebra  $E$  is called *commutative* if  $xy = yx$  for all  $x, y \in E$ . A Riesz algebra  $E$  is called  *$f$ -algebra* if  $E$  has additionally property that  $x \wedge y = 0$  implies  $(xz) \wedge y = (zx) \wedge y = 0$  for all  $z \in E_+$ .

---

Keywords:  $mn$ -convergence, Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra,  $mo$ -convergence  
 2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46A40; Secondary 46E30.  
 e-mail: a.aydin@alparslan.edu.tr

A vector lattice  $E$  is called *Archimedean* whenever  $\frac{1}{n}x \downarrow 0$  holds in  $E$  for each  $x \in E_+$ . Every Archimedean  $f$ -algebra is commutative; see Theorem 140.10 [13]. Assume  $E$  is an Archimedean  $f$ -algebra with a multiplicative unit vector  $e$ . Then, by applying Theorem 142.1(v) [13], in view of  $e = ee = e^2 \geq 0$ , it can be seen that  $e$  is a positive vector. On the other hand, since  $e \wedge x = 0$  implies  $x = x \wedge x = (xe) \wedge x = 0$ , it follows that  $e$  is a weak order unit. In this article, unless otherwise, all vector lattices are assumed to be real and Archimedean, and so  $f$ -algebras are commutative.

Recall that a net  $(x_\alpha)$  in an  $f$ -algebra  $E$  is called *multiplicative order convergent* (or shortly, *mo-convergent*) to  $x \in E$  if  $|x_\alpha - x|u \xrightarrow{o} 0$  for all  $u \in E_+$ . Also, it is called *mo-Cauchy* if the net  $(x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'})_{(\alpha, \alpha') \in A \times A}$  *mo-converges* to zero.  $E$  is called *mo-complete* if every *mo-Cauchy* net in  $E$  is *mo-convergent*, and is called *mo-continuous* if  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} 0$  implies  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mo} 0$ ; see for detail information [4]. On the other hand, a net  $(x_\alpha)$  in a Banach lattice  $E$  is *unbounded norm convergent* (or *un-convergent*) to  $x \in E$  if  $\|x_\alpha - x\| \wedge u \rightarrow 0$  for all  $u \in E_+$ ; see [11]. We routinely use the following fact:  $y \leq x$  implies  $uy \leq ux$  for all positive elements  $u$  in  $f$ -algebras. Moreover, an  $f$ -algebra  $E$  which is at the same time a Banach lattice is called a *Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra* whenever  $\|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\|$  holds for all  $x, y \in E$ . Motivated from above definitions, we give the following notion.

**Definition 1.1.** *A net  $(x_\alpha)$  in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$  is said to be multiplicative norm convergent (or shortly, *mn-convergent*) to  $x \in E$  if  $\|x_\alpha - x\|u \rightarrow 0$  for all  $u \in E_+$ . Abbreviated as  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$ . If the condition holds only for sequences then it is called *sequentially mn-convergence*.*

**Remark 1.2.**

- (i) *For a net  $(x_\alpha)$  in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$ ,  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  implies  $x_\alpha y \xrightarrow{mn} xy$  for all  $y \in E$  because of  $\|x_\alpha y - xy\|u = \|(x_\alpha - x)y\|u$  for all  $u \in E_+$ . The converse holds true in Banach lattice  $f$ -algebras with the multiplication unit. Indeed, assume  $x_\alpha y \xrightarrow{mn} xy$  for each  $y \in E$ . Fix  $u \in E_+$ . So,  $\|x_\alpha - x\|u = \|(x_\alpha e - xe)\|u \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ .*
- (ii) *In Banach lattice  $f$ -algebras, the norm convergence implies the mn-convergence. Indeed, by considering the inequality  $\|x_\alpha - x\|u \leq \|x_\alpha - x\|\|u\|$  for any net  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$ , we can get the desired result.*
- (iii) *If a net  $(x_\alpha)$  is order Cauchy and  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra then we have  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mo} x$ . Indeed, since order Cauchy norm convergent net is order convergent to its norm limit, we can get the desired result.*
- (iv) *In order continuous Banach lattice  $f$ -algebras, both the (sequentially) order convergence and the (sequentially) mo-convergence imply the (sequentially) mn-convergence.*
- (v) *In atomic and order continuous Banach lattice  $f$ -algebras, a sequence which is order bounded and mn-convergent to zero is sequentially mo-convergent to zero; see Lemma 5.1 [6].*
- (vi) *For an mn-convergent to zero sequence  $(x_n)$  in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra, there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  which sequentially mo-converges to zero; see Lemma 3.11 [7].*

**Example 1.3.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice. Fix an element  $x \in E$ . Then the principal ideal  $I_x = \{y \in E : \exists \lambda > 0 \text{ with } |y| \leq \lambda x\}$ , generated by  $x$  in  $E$  under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  which is defined by  $\|y\|_\infty = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : |y| \leq \lambda x\}$ , is an AM-space; see Theorem 4.21 [2].*

*Recall that a vector  $e > 0$  is called order unit whenever for each  $x$  there exists some  $\lambda > 0$  with  $|x| \leq \lambda e$ . Thus, we have  $(I_x, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is AM-space with the unit  $|x|$ . Since every AM-space with unit, besides being a Banach lattice, has also an  $f$ -algebra structure. So, we can say that  $(I_x, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra. Therefore, for a net  $(x_\alpha)$  in  $I_x$  and  $y \in I_x$ , by applying Corollary 4.4 [2], we get  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} y$  in the original norm of  $E$  on  $I_x$  iff  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} y$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . In particular, take  $x$  as the unit element  $e$  of  $E$ . Then we have  $E_e = E$ . Thus, for a net  $(x_\alpha)$  in  $E$ , we have  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} y$  in the  $(E, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  iff  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} y$  in the  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ .*

## 2 Main Results

We begin the section with the next list of properties of  $mn$ -convergence which follows directly from the inequalities  $|x - y| \leq |x - x_\alpha| + |x_\alpha - y|$  and  $||x_\alpha| - |x|| \leq |x_\alpha - x|$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(x_\alpha)$  and  $(y_\alpha)$  be two nets in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$ . Then the following holds true:*

- (i)  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  iff  $(x_\alpha - x) \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  iff  $|x_\alpha - x| \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ ;
- (ii) if  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  then  $y_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} x$  for each subnet  $(y_\beta)$  of  $(x_\alpha)$ ;
- (iii) suppose  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  and  $y_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} y$ , then  $ax_\alpha + by_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} ax + by$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iv) if  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  and  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} y$  then  $x = y$ ;
- (v) if  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  then  $|x_\alpha| \xrightarrow{mn} |x|$ .

The lattice operations in Banach lattice  $f$ -algebras are  $mn$ -continuous in the following sense.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  and  $(y_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$  be two nets in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$ . If  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  and  $y_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} y$  then  $(x_\alpha \vee y_\beta)_{(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B} \xrightarrow{mn} x \vee y$ . In particular,  $x_\alpha^+ \xrightarrow{mn} x^+$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  and  $y_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} y$ . Then, for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist indexes  $\alpha_0 \in A$  and  $\beta_0 \in B$  such that  $||x_\alpha - x|u|| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$  and  $||y_\beta - y|u|| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$  for every  $u \in E_+$  and for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and  $\beta \geq \beta_0$ . It follows from the inequality  $|a \vee b - a \vee c| \leq |b - c|$  in vector lattices that

$$\begin{aligned} ||x_\alpha \vee y_\beta - x \vee y|u|| &\leq ||x_\alpha \vee y_\beta - x_\alpha \vee y|u + |x_\alpha \vee y - x \vee y|u|| \\ &\leq ||y_\beta - y|u|| + ||x_\alpha - x|u|| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and  $\beta \geq \beta_0$  and for every  $u \in E_+$ . That is,  $(x_\alpha \vee y_\beta)_{(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B} \xrightarrow{mn} x \vee y$ .  $\square$

The following proposition is similar to Proposition 2.7 [4], and so we omit its proof.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra,  $B$  be a projection band of  $E$  and  $P_B$  be the corresponding band projection. Then  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  in  $E$  implies  $P_B(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{mn} P_B(x)$  in both  $E$  and  $B$ .*

A positive vector  $e$  in a normed vector lattice  $E$  is called *quasi-interior point* iff  $\|x - x \wedge ne\| \rightarrow 0$  for each  $x \in E_+$ . If  $(x_\alpha)$  is a net in a vector lattice with a weak unit  $e$  then  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{uo} 0$  iff  $|x_\alpha| \wedge e \xrightarrow{o} 0$ ; see Lemma 3.5 [8], and for quasi-interior point case; see Lemma 2.11 [6]. Analogously, we show the next result.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $0 \leq (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \downarrow$  be a net in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$  with a quasi-interior point  $e$ . Then  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  iff  $(x_\alpha e)$  norm converges to zero.*

*Proof.* The forward implication is immediate because of  $e \in E_+$ . For the converse implication, fix a positive vector  $u \in E_+$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus, for a fixed index  $\alpha_1$ , we have  $x_\alpha \leq x_{\alpha_1}$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . Then

$$x_\alpha u \leq x_\alpha(u - u \wedge ne) + x_\alpha(u \wedge ne) \leq x_{\alpha_1}(u - u \wedge ne) + nx_\alpha e$$

for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, we get

$$\|x_\alpha u\| \leq \|x_{\alpha_1}\| \|u - u \wedge ne\| + n \|x_\alpha e\|$$

for every  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, we can find  $n$  such that  $\|u - u \wedge ne\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|x_{\alpha_1}\|}$

because  $e$  is a quasi-interior point. On the other hand, it follows from  $x_\alpha e \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$  that there exists an index  $\alpha_2$  such that  $\|x_\alpha e\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}$  whenever  $\alpha \geq \alpha_2$ . Since index set  $A$  is directed set, there exists another index  $\alpha_0 \in A$  such that  $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_2$ . Therefore, we get  $\|x_\alpha u\| < \|x_{\alpha_0}\| \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|x_{\alpha_0}\|} + n \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} = \varepsilon$ , and so  $\|x_\alpha u\| \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** A positive and decreasing net  $(x_\alpha)$  in an order continuous Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$  with weak unit  $e$  is  $mn$ -convergent to zero iff  $x_\alpha e \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$ . Indeed, it is known that  $e$  is a weak unit iff  $e$  is a quasi-interior point in an order continuous Banach lattice. Thus, by applying Proposition 2.4, we can get the desired result.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $(x_\alpha)$  be a net in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$ . Then we have that

- (i)  $0 \leq x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  implies  $x \in E_+$ ,
- (ii) if  $(x_\alpha)$  is monotone and  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  then  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} x$ .

*Proof.* (i) Assume  $(x_\alpha)$  consists of non-zero elements and  $mn$ -converges to  $x \in E$ . Then, by Proposition 2.2, we have  $x_\alpha = x_\alpha^+ \xrightarrow{mn} x^+ = 0$ . Therefore, we get  $x \in E_+$ .

(ii) For order convergence of  $(x_\alpha)$ , it is enough to show that  $x_\alpha \uparrow$  and  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  implies  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} x$ . For a fixed index  $\alpha$ , we have  $x_\beta - x_\alpha \in X_+$  for  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . By applying (i), we can see  $x_\beta - x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x - x_\alpha \in X_+$  as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $x \geq x_\alpha$  for the index  $\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is arbitrary,  $x$  is an upper bound of  $(x_\alpha)$ . Assume  $y$  is another upper bound of  $(x_\alpha)$ , i.e.,  $y \geq x_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ . So,  $y - x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} y - x \in X_+$ , or  $y \geq x$ , and so  $x_\alpha \uparrow x$ .  $\square$

The  $mn$ -convergence passes obviously to any Banach lattice sub- $f$ -algebra  $Y$  of a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$ , i.e., for any net  $(y_\alpha)$  in  $Y$ ,  $y_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  in  $E$  implies  $y_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  in  $Y$ . For the converse, we give the following theorem which is similar to Theorem 2.10 [4].

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $Y$  be a Banach lattice sub- $f$ -algebra of an Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$  and  $(y_\alpha)$  be a net in  $Y$ . If  $y_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  in  $Y$  then it  $mn$ -converges to zero in  $E$  for both of the following cases hold;

- (i)  $Y$  is majorizing in  $E$ ;
- (ii)  $Y$  is a projection band in  $E$ ;

It is known that every Archimedean vector lattice has a unique order completion; see Theorem 2.24 [2].

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $E$  and  $E^\delta$  be Banach lattice  $f$ -algebras with  $E^\delta$  being order completion of  $E$ . Then, for a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $E$ , the followings hold true:

- (i) If  $x_n \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  in  $E$  then there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{mo} 0$  in  $E^\delta$ ;
- (ii) If  $x_n \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  in  $E^\delta$  then there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{mo} 0$  in  $E$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  in  $E$ , i.e.,  $|x_n|u \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$  in  $E$  for all  $u \in E_+$ . Now, let's fix  $v \in E_+^\delta$ . Then there exists  $u_v \in E_+$  such that  $v \leq u_v$  because  $E$  majorizes  $E^\delta$ . Since  $|x_n|u_v \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$ , by the standard fact in Exercise 13 [1, p.25], there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(|x_{n_k}|u_v)$  order converges to zero in  $E$ . Thus,  $|x_{n_k}|u_v \xrightarrow{o} 0$  in  $E^\delta$ ; see Corollary 2.9 [8]. Then it follows from the inequality  $|x_{n_k}|v \leq |x_{n_k}|u_v$  that we have  $|x_{n_k}|v \xrightarrow{o} 0$  in  $E^\delta$ . That is,  $x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{mo} 0$  in the order completion  $E^\delta$  because  $v \in E_+^\delta$  is arbitrary.

For the converse, put  $x_n \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  in  $E^\delta$ . Then, for all  $u \in E_+^\delta$ , we have  $|x_n|u \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$  in  $E^\delta$ . In particular, for all  $w \in E_+$ ,  $\||x_n|w\| \rightarrow 0$  in  $E^\delta$ . Fix  $w \in E_+$ . Then, again by the standard fact in Exercise 13 [1, p.25], we have a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(x_{n_k})$  is order convergent to zero in  $E^\delta$ . Thus, by Corollary 2.9 [8], we see  $|x_{n_k}|w \xrightarrow{o} 0$  in  $E$ . As a result, since  $w$  is arbitrary,  $x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{mo} 0$  in  $E$ .  $\square$

Recall that a subset  $A$  in a normed lattice  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is said to be almost order bounded if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $u_\epsilon \in E_+$  such that  $\|(|x| - u_\epsilon)^+\| = \| |x| - u_\epsilon \wedge |x| \| \leq \epsilon$  for any  $x \in A$ . For a given Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$ , one can give the following definition: a subset  $A$  of  $E$  is called a  $f$ -almost order bounded if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $u_\epsilon \in E_+$  such that  $\||x| - u_\epsilon|x|\| \leq \epsilon$  for any  $x \in A$ . Similar to Proposition 3.7 [7], we give the following work.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra. If  $(x_\alpha)$  is  $f$ -almost order bounded and  $mn$ -converges to  $x$ , then  $(x_\alpha)$  converges to  $x$  in norm.*

*Proof.* Assume  $(x_\alpha)$  is  $f$ -almost order bounded net. Then the net  $(|x_\alpha - x|)$  is also  $f$ -almost order bounded. For any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $u_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\| |x_\alpha - x| - u_\varepsilon |x_\alpha - x| \| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$ , we have  $\| |x_\alpha - x| u_\varepsilon \| \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, we get  $\| |x_\alpha - x| \| \leq \varepsilon$ , i.e.,  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$  in norm.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** *In an order continuous Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra, every  $f$ -almost order bounded  $mo$ -Cauchy net converges  $mn$ - and in norm to the same limit.*

*Proof.* Assume a net  $(x_\alpha)$  is  $f$ -almost order bounded and  $mo$ -Cauchy. Then the net  $(x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'})$  is  $f$ -almost order bounded and is  $mo$ -convergent to zero. Thus, it  $mn$ -converges to zero by order continuity. Hence, by applying Proposition 2.9, we get the net  $(x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'})$  converges to zero in the norm. It follows that the net  $(x_\alpha)$  is norm Cauchy, and so it is norm convergent. As a result, we have that  $(x_\alpha)$   $mn$ -converges to its norm limit by Remark 1.2(ii).  $\square$

The multiplication in  $f$ -algebra is  $mo$ -continuous in the following sense.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra, and  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  and  $(y_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$  be two nets in  $E$ . If  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  and  $y_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} y$  for some  $x, y \in E$  and each positive element of  $E$  can be written as a multiplication of two positive elements then we have  $x_\alpha y_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} xy$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  and  $y_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} y$ . Then  $|x_\alpha - x|u \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$  and  $|y_\beta - y|u \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$  for every  $u \in E_+$ . Let's fix  $u \in E_+$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . So, there exist indexes  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  such that  $\| |x_\alpha - x|u \| \leq \varepsilon$  and  $\| |y_\beta - y|u \| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and  $\beta \geq \beta_0$ . Next, we show the  $mn$ -convergence of  $(x_\alpha y_\beta)$  to  $xy$ . By considering the equality  $|xy| = |x||y|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| |x_\alpha y_\beta - xy|u \| &= \| |x_\alpha y_\beta - x_\alpha y + x_\alpha y - xy|u \| \\ &\leq \| |x_\alpha - x + x| |y_\beta - y|u \| + \| |x_\alpha - x| (|y|u) \| \\ &\leq \| |x_\alpha - x| |y_\beta - y|u \| + \| |y_\beta - y| (|x|u) \| + \| |x_\alpha - x| (|y|u) \|. \end{aligned}$$

The second and the third terms in the last inequality both order converge to zero as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  respectively because of  $|x|u, |y|u \in E_+$ ,  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  and  $y_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} y$ . Now, let's show the  $mn$ -convergence of the first term of last inequality. There are two positive elements  $u_1, u_2 \in E_+$  such that  $u = u_1 u_2$  because the positive element of  $E$  can be written as a multiplication of two positive elements. So, we get  $\| |x_\alpha - x| |y_\beta - y|u \| = \| (|x_\alpha - x|u_1) (|y_\beta - y|u_2) \| \leq (\| |x_\alpha - x|u_1 \|) (\| |y_\beta - y|u_2 \|)$ . Therefore, we see  $|x_\alpha - x| |y_\beta - y|u \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$ . Hence, we get  $x_\alpha y_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} xy$ .  $\square$

We give some basic notions motivated by their analogies from vector lattice theory.

**Definition 2.12.** *Let  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  be a net in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$ . Then*

- (1)  $(x_\alpha)$  is said to be  $mn$ -Cauchy if the net  $(x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'})_{(\alpha, \alpha') \in A \times A}$   $mn$ -converges to 0,
- (2)  $E$  is called  $mn$ -complete if every  $mn$ -Cauchy net in  $E$  is  $mn$ -convergent,
- (3)  $E$  is called  $mn$ -continuous if  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} 0$  implies that  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ ,

**Lemma 2.13.** *A Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra is  $mn$ -continuous iff  $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$  implies  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ .*

*Proof.* We show  $mn$ -continuity. Let  $(x_\alpha)$  be an order convergent to zero net in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra  $E$ . Then there exists a net  $z_\beta \downarrow 0$  in  $E$  such that, for any  $\beta$  there exists  $\alpha_\beta$  so that  $|x_\alpha| \leq z_\beta$ , and so  $\| |x_\alpha| \| \leq \| z_\beta \|$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_\beta$ . Since  $z_\beta \downarrow 0$ , we have  $z_\beta \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ , i.e., for fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\beta_0$  such that  $\| z_\beta \| < \varepsilon$  for all  $\beta \geq \beta_0$ . Thus, there exists an index  $\alpha_{\beta_0}$  so that  $\| |x_\alpha| \| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_{\beta_0}$ . Hence,  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $E$  be an  $mn$ -complete Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  is  $mn$ -continuous;
- (ii) if  $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow \leq x$  holds in  $E$  then  $(x_\alpha)$  is an  $mn$ -Cauchy net;
- (iii)  $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$  implies  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  in  $E$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Take the net  $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow \leq x$  in  $E$ . Then there exists a net  $(y_\beta)$  in  $E$  such that  $(y_\beta - x_\alpha)_{\alpha, \beta} \downarrow 0$ ; see Lemma 12.8 [2]. Thus, by applying Lemma 2.13, we have  $(y_\beta - x_\alpha)_{\alpha, \beta} \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ . Therefore, the net  $(x_\alpha)$  is  $mn$ -Cauchy because of  $\|x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'}\|_{\alpha, \alpha' \in A} \leq \|x_\alpha - y_\beta\| + \|y_\beta - x_{\alpha'}\|$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Put  $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$  in  $E$  and fix arbitrary  $\alpha_0$ . Thus, we have  $x_\alpha \leq x_{\alpha_0}$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ , and so we can get  $0 \leq (x_{\alpha_0} - x_\alpha)_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0} \uparrow \leq x_{\alpha_0}$ . Then it follows from (ii) that the net  $(x_{\alpha_0} - x_\alpha)_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0}$  is  $mn$ -Cauchy, i.e.,  $(x_{\alpha'} - x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  as  $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha, \alpha' \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $E$  is  $mn$ -complete, there exists  $x \in E$  satisfying  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mo} x$  as  $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from Proposition 2.6 that  $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$  because of  $x_\alpha \downarrow$  and  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ , and so we have  $x = 0$ . Therefore, we get  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) It is just the implication of Lemma 2.13.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.15.** *Every  $mn$ -continuous and  $mn$ -complete Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra is order complete.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $E$  is  $mn$ -continuous and  $mn$ -complete a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra. For  $y \in E_+$ , put a net  $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow \leq y$  in  $E$ . By applying Theorem 2.14 (ii), the net  $(x_\alpha)$  is  $mn$ -Cauchy. Thus, there exists an element  $x \in E$  such that  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} x$  because of  $mn$ -completeness. Since  $x_\alpha \uparrow$  and  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mo} x$ , it follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $x_\alpha \uparrow x$ . Therefore,  $E$  is order complete.  $\square$

The following proposition is an  $mn$ -version of [7, Prop.4.2].

**Proposition 2.16.** *Let  $E$  be an  $mn$ -continuous and  $mn$ -complete Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra. Then every  $f$ -almost order bounded and order Cauchy net is  $mn$ -convergent.*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_\alpha)$  be an  $f$ -almost order bounded  $o$ -Cauchy net. Then the net  $(x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'})$  is  $f$ -almost order bounded and is order convergent to zero. Since  $E$  is  $mn$ -continuous,  $x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'} \xrightarrow{mn} 0$ . By using Proposition 2.9, we have  $x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$ . Hence, we get that  $(x_\alpha)$  is  $mn$ -Cauchy, and so it is  $mn$ -convergent because of  $mn$ -completeness.  $\square$

We now turn our attention to a topology on Banach lattice  $f$ -algebras. We show that  $mn$ -convergence in a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra is topological. While  $mo$ - and  $uo$ -convergence need not be given by a topology, it was observed in [6] that  $un$ -convergence is topological. Motivated from that definition, we give the following. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. For a non-zero positive vector  $u \in E_+$ , we put

$$V_{u, \varepsilon} = \{x \in E : \| |x|u \| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the collection of all the sets of this form. We claim that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a base of neighborhoods of zero for some Hausdorff linear topology. It is obvious that  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{mn} 0$  iff every set of  $\mathcal{N}$  contains a tail of this net, hence the  $mn$ -convergence is the convergence induced by the mentioned topology.

We have to show that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a base of neighborhoods of zero. To show this we apply Theorem 3.1.10 [10]. First, note that every element in  $\mathcal{N}$  contains zero. Now, we show that for every two elements of  $\mathcal{N}$ , their intersection is again in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Take any two set  $V_{u_1, \varepsilon_1}$  and  $V_{u_2, \varepsilon_2}$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Put  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \wedge \varepsilon_2$  and  $u = u_1 \vee u_2$ . We show that  $V_{u, \varepsilon} \subseteq V_{u_1, \varepsilon_1} \cap V_{u_2, \varepsilon_2}$ . For any  $x \in V_{u, \varepsilon}$ , we have  $\| |x|u \| < \varepsilon$ . Thus, it follows from  $|x|u_1 \leq |x|u$  that

$$\| |x|u_1 \| \leq \| |x|u \| < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1.$$

Thus, we get  $x \in V_{u_1, \varepsilon_1}$ . By a similar way, we also have  $x \in V_{u_2, \varepsilon_2}$ .

It is not a hard job to see that  $V_{u, \varepsilon} + V_{u, \varepsilon} \subseteq V_{u, 2\varepsilon}$ , so that for each  $U \in \mathcal{N}$ , there is another  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $V + V \subseteq U$ . In addition, one can easily verify that for every  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  and every scalar  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ , we have  $U \subseteq U$ .

Now, we show that for each  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  and each  $y \in U$ , there exists  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $y+V \subseteq U$ . Suppose  $y \in V_{u, \varepsilon}$ . We should find  $\delta > 0$  and a non-zero  $v \in E_+$  such that  $y + V_{v, \delta} \subseteq V_{u, \varepsilon}$ . Take  $v := u$ . Hence, since  $y \in V_{u, \varepsilon}$ , we have  $\|y|u\| < \varepsilon$ . Put  $\delta := \varepsilon - \|y|u\|$ . We claim that  $y + V_{v, \delta} \subseteq V_{u, \varepsilon}$ . Let's take  $x \in V_{v, \delta}$ . We show that  $y + x \in V_{u, \varepsilon}$ . Consider the inequality  $|y + x|u \leq |y|u + |x|u$ . Then we have

$$\|y + x|u\| \leq \|y|u\| + \|x|u\| < \|y|u\| + \delta = \varepsilon.$$

Finally, we show that this topology is Hausdorff. It is enough to show that  $\bigcap \mathcal{N} = \{0\}$ . Suppose that it is not hold true, i.e., assume that  $0 \neq x \in V_{u, \varepsilon}$  for all non-zero  $u \in E_+$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In particular, take  $x \in V_{|x|, \varepsilon}$ . Thus, we have  $\|x|x\|^2 < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we get  $|x|^2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $x = 0$  by using Theorem 142.3 [13]; a contradiction.

Similar to Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 [9], we have the following two lemmas. The proofs are analogous so that we omit them.

**Lemma 2.17.**  *$V_{u, \varepsilon}$  is either contained in  $[-u, u]$  or contains a non-trivial ideal.*

**Lemma 2.18.** *If  $V_{u, \varepsilon}$  is contained in  $[-u, u]$ , then  $u$  is a strong unit.*

**Proposition 2.19.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra. Then if a neighborhood of  $mn$ -topology is norm bounded, then  $E$  has a strong unit.*

*Proof.* Assume  $V_{u, \varepsilon}$  is norm bounded for some  $u \in E_+$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By applying Lemma 2.17, we have  $V_{u, \varepsilon}$  is contained in  $[-u, u]$ . So, by using Lemma 2.18,  $u$  is a strong unit.  $\square$

Consider Example 1.3. Then we know that  $(I_x, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a Banach lattice  $f$ -algebra.  $I_x$  equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is lattice isometric to  $C(K)$  for some compact Hausdorff space  $K$ , with  $x$  corresponding to the constant one function 1; see for example Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6. If  $x$  is a strong unit in  $E$  then  $I_x = E$ . It is easy to see that in this case  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is equivalent to the original norm and  $E$  is lattice and norm isomorphic to  $C(K)$ . It is easy to see that norm convergence implies  $mn$ -convergence, and so, in general, norm topology is stronger than  $mn$ -topology.

## References

- [1] Y. Abramovich, C. D. Aliprantis, An Invitation to Operator Theory, American Mathematical Society, New York, (2003).
- [2] C. D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, Positive Operators, Springer, Dordrecht, (2006).
- [3] A. Aydın, Unbounded  $p_\tau$ -convergence in vector lattice normed by locally solid vector lattices, arXiv:1711.00734v4.
- [4] A. Aydın, Multiplicative Order Convergence in  $f$ -algebras, arXiv:1901.04043v1.
- [5] A. Aydın, S. G. Gorokhova, H. Gül, Nonstandard hulls of lattice-normed ordered vector spaces, Turk. J. Math. 42(1), (2018), 155–163.
- [6] Y. Deng, M. O'Brien, V. G. Troitsky, Unbounded norm convergence in Banach lattices, Positivity, 21(3), (2017), 963–974.
- [7] N. Gao, F. Xanthos, Unbounded order convergence and application to martingales without probability, Math. Anal. Appl. 415(2), (2014), 931–947.
- [8] N. Gao, V.G. Troitsky, F. Xanthos, Uo-convergence and its applications to Cesàro means in Banach lattices, Isr. J. Math. 220(2), (2017), 649–689.

- [9] M. Kandić, M. A. A. Marabeh, V. G. Troitsky, Unbounded Norm Topology in Banach Lattices, *Math. Anal. Appl.* 451(1), (2017), 259–279.
- [10] V. Runde, *A Taste of Topology*, Springer, Berlin, (2005).
- [11] V.G. Troitsky, Measures of Non-compactness of Operators on Banach Lattices, *Positivity*, 8(2), (2004), 165–178.
- [12] B. Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the theory of partially ordered spaces*, Wolters-Noordhoff Scientific Publications, Groningen, (1967).
- [13] A. C. Zaanen, *Riesz spaces II*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North-Holland Publishing Co., (1983).