

WEAK DENSITY OF ORBIT EQUIVALENCE CLASSES AND FREE PRODUCTS OF INFINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

TAKAAKI MORIYAMA

ABSTRACT. We show that if a countable group G is the free product of infinite abelian groups, then for every free, probability-measure-preserving (p.m.p.) action of G , its orbit equivalence class is weakly dense in the space of p.m.p. actions of G . This extends Lewis Bowen's result for free groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are concerned with the space of probability-measure-preserving (p.m.p.) actions of a countable group, elaborated in Kechris' monograph [7]. Before stating our main result, let us briefly review some known results on the space of p.m.p. actions.

Throughout the paper, let G be a countable group, and let (X, μ) be a non-atomic standard probability space unless otherwise stated. Let $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ be the group of all measure-preserving Borel automorphisms of (X, μ) , where two of them are identified if they agree μ -almost everywhere. The *weak topology* on $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ is defined as the topology generated by the sets

$$\{ R \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu) \mid \mu(R(P) \Delta S(P)) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P} \}$$

for $S \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and a finite Borel partition \mathcal{P} of X . It is known that $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ is a Polish group with respect to the weak topology ([7, §10 (A)]).

We mean by a *p.m.p.* action of G on (X, μ) a homomorphism from G into $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$. Let $A(G, X, \mu)$ denote the set of all p.m.p. actions of G on (X, μ) , and let $FR(G, X, \mu)$ denote the set of all essentially free p.m.p. actions of G on (X, μ) . As being in [7], the set $A(G, X, \mu)$ is naturally identified with the subspace of the product space $\prod_G \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ equipped with the product topology of the weak topology. For $\alpha \in A(G, X, \mu)$ and $g \in G$, we write $g^\alpha = \alpha(g)$. We say that two actions $\alpha, \beta \in A(G, X, \mu)$ are *measure-conjugate* if there exists $R \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ such that $g^\alpha x = Rg^\beta R^{-1}x$ for all $g \in G$ and μ -almost every $x \in X$. We then write $\alpha = R\beta R^{-1}$. For $\alpha \in A(G, X, \mu)$, let $[\alpha]_{MC}$ denote the set of all actions $\beta \in A(G, X, \mu)$ that are measure-conjugate to α .

The space $A(G, X, \mu)$ reflects many analytic properties of the group G . For example, for every infinite amenable group G and for every $\alpha \in FR(G, X, \mu)$, the set $[\alpha]_{MC}$ is weakly dense in $A(G, X, \mu)$ ([2, §3.1]; see also [7, Remark, p.91]). By contrast, every non-amenable group G has an uncountable antichain in $FR(G, X, \mu)$ with respect to the pre-order of weak containment ([10, Remark 4.3]). We say that $\alpha \in A(G, X, \mu)$ is *weakly contained* in $\beta \in A(G, Y, \nu)$ (denoted by $\alpha \prec \beta$) if for any Borel subsets $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset X$ and any finite subset $F \subset G$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist Borel subsets $B_1, \dots, B_n \subset Y$ such that for any $g \in F$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$|\mu(g^\alpha(A_i) \cap A_j) - \nu(g^\beta(B_i) \cap B_j)| < \varepsilon.$$

For two actions $\alpha, \beta \in A(G, X, \mu)$, it is known that α is weakly contained in β if and only if α belongs to the weak closure of $[\beta]_{MC}$ ([4, Theorem 2.3]). For more details on the weak topology on $A(G, X, \mu)$ and weak containment, we refer the reader to [4] and [7].

We say that two actions $\alpha, \beta \in A(G, X, \mu)$ are *orbit equivalent* if there exists $R \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ such that $G^\alpha x = RG^\beta R^{-1}x$ for μ -almost every $x \in X$. By definition, two measure-conjugate actions in $A(G, X, \mu)$ are orbit equivalent. For $\alpha \in A(G, X, \mu)$, let $[\alpha]_{OE}$ denote the set of all actions $\beta \in A(G, X, \mu)$ that are orbit equivalent to α .

Lewis Bowen proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 ([3, Theorem 1.1]). *Let G be a free group with at most countably many generators. Then for any $\alpha \in FR(G, X, \mu)$, the set $[\alpha]_{OE}$ is weakly dense in $A(G, X, \mu)$.*

Some applications of this result are given in [3, Remarks 1 and 2]. As in [3, Theorem 1.2], it turns out from orbit equivalence rigidity that some non-amenable groups do not satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. In addition to this, any countable group with property (T) does not satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. In fact, if a countable group G has property (T), then the set of all ergodic p.m.p. actions of G on (X, μ) is closed in $A(G, X, \mu)$ and has no interior (see [7, Theorem 12.2, i]), the proof of which is based on [6, Theorem 1]). It follows from the result of [2, §3.1] mentioned above that all infinite amenable groups satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. Bowen asked the following:

Question 1.2 ([3, Question 1]). *Which countable groups G satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 1.1? For example, do all strongly treeable groups (e.g., $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$) satisfy this conclusion?*

The goal of this paper is to present a new class of examples of such groups in the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be the free product of at most countably many, countably infinite abelian groups. Then for any $\alpha \in FR(G, X, \mu)$, the set $[\alpha]_{OE}$ is weakly dense in $A(G, X, \mu)$.*

Toward the proof of Theorem 1.3, in Section 2, we generalize Bowen's lemma of good partition [3, Lemma 4.2]. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3. In contrast with Bowen's proof of Theorem 1.1, our proof of Theorem 1.3 depends on Foreman-Weiss' argument in the proof of [5, Theorem 16] and the Rohlin lemma for tiles due to Ornstein-Weiss [9, II. §2, Theorem 5]. For our purpose, we slightly strengthen the conclusion of the Rohlin lemma for tiles, assuming that the acting group is abelian (Lemma 3.2). We note that our proof of Theorem 1.3 cannot be applied to the case when G is the free product of finite groups, for example, $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank his supervisor, Professor Yoshikata Kida, for his helpful comments and enormous support. The author would also like to thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading of the paper and many helpful suggestions. This work was supported by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

2. A LEMMA OF GOOD PARTITION

The aim of this section is to show Lemma 2.1, which extends [3, Lemma 4.2] to that for the free products of infinite amenable groups. Let $\alpha \in A(G, X, \mu)$. For a finite subset $F \subset G$ and a function f on X , we define the averaging function $A_F^\alpha[f]$ on X by

$$A_F^\alpha[f](x) := \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{g \in F} f(g^\alpha x)$$

for $x \in X$. Let $\theta: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (W, \omega)$ be the ergodic decomposition map for the action α with disintegration $\mu = \int_W \mu_w d\omega(w)$. We then set $\mu_x = \mu_{\theta(x)}$ for $x \in X$ and also call the disintegration $\mu = \int_X \mu_x d\mu(x)$ the ergodic decomposition of μ with respect to the action α in the sequel if there is no cause of confusion.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G_1, \dots, G_k be countably infinite amenable groups and define G as the free product $G = G_1 * \dots * G_k$. Let $\alpha \in FR(G, X, \mu)$ and let $\alpha|_{G_i}$ denote the restriction of α to G_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then for any probability measure π on a finite set \mathcal{A} and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Borel map $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\psi_*\mu = \pi$ and the following holds: For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, if $\mu = \int_X \mu_x^i d\mu(x)$ is the ergodic decomposition of μ with respect to $\alpha|_{G_i}$, then*

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |(\psi_*\mu_x^i)(a) - \pi(a)| > 2\varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. The proof basically follows that of [3, Lemma 4.2]. We may assume that π is not a measure supported on a single point of \mathcal{A} . Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and pick a Følner sequence $\{F_n^i\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for G_i . Let s_i denote the Bernoulli action $G_i \curvearrowright (\mathcal{A}^{G_i}, \pi^{G_i})$, which is defined by $(g^{s_i}y)(h) = y(hg)$ for $g, h \in G$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}^{G_i}$. We set $C_a^i = \{y \in \mathcal{A}^{G_i} \mid y(e) = a\}$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we have

$$A_{F_n^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a^i}](y) = \frac{1}{|F_n^i|} \sum_{g \in F_n^i} 1_{C_a^i}(g^{s_i}y) = \frac{|\{g \in F_n^i \mid y(g) = a\}|}{|F_n^i|}$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{A}^{G_i}$. If there is no cause of confusion, we often regard $A_{F_n^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a^i}]$ as the function on $\mathcal{A}^{F_n^i}$ defined by the right-hand side of the above equation. According to the L^1 version of the mean ergodic theorem (for example, see [8, Theorem 4.23]), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{F_n^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a^i}] - \pi(a)\|_{L^1(\pi^{G_i})} = 0$$

for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$. This implies that there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq n_i$, then

$$\pi^{G_i}(\{y \in \mathcal{A}^{G_i} \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |A_{F_n^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a^i}](y) - \pi(a)| > \varepsilon\}) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$$

and therefore

$$(2.1) \quad \pi^{F_n^i}(\{y \in \mathcal{A}^{F_n^i} \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |A_{F_n^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a^i}](y) - \pi(a)| > \varepsilon\}) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}.$$

We set $n_0 = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} n_i$. We set $F^i = F_{n_0}^i$ for the ease of symbols and set $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^k F^i$. We claim that there exists a Borel map $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\psi_*\mu = \pi$ and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, if we define a map $\Psi_i: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{F^i}$ by $\Psi_i(x)(g) = \psi(g^\alpha x)$ for $x \in X$ and $g \in F^i$, then

$$(2.2) \quad \max_{y \in \mathcal{A}^{F^i}} |((\Psi_i)_*\mu)(y) - \pi^{F^i}(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2M},$$

where we set $M = |\mathcal{A}|^{|F|}$. Indeed, by [1, Theorem 1], the Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright (\mathcal{A}^G, \pi^G)$, denoted by s , is weakly contained in any free p.m.p. action of G on (\mathcal{A}^G, π^G) . Since both (X, μ) and (\mathcal{A}^G, π^G) are non-atomic standard probability spaces, there exists a measure-space isomorphism $R: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}^G, \pi^G)$. Then we see that s belongs to the weak closure of $[R\alpha R^{-1}]_{MC}$ by [4, Theorem 2.3]. This implies that there exists an automorphism $S \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}^G, \pi^G)$ such that if

$C_a = \{y \in \mathcal{A}^G \mid y(e) = a\}$ denotes the cylindrical subset for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$\left| \pi^G \left(\bigcap_{g \in F} SR(g^{-1})^\alpha R^{-1} S^{-1} C_{a_g} \right) - \pi^G \left(\bigcap_{g \in F} (g^{-1})^s C_{a_g} \right) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2M}$$

for any $(a_g)_{g \in F} \in \mathcal{A}^F$. Let $\psi: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \pi)$ be the measure-preserving map defined by the condition $\psi^{-1}(a) = R^{-1}S^{-1}(C_a)$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\left| \mu \left(\bigcap_{g \in F} (g^{-1})^\alpha \psi^{-1}(a_g) \right) - \pi^F((a_g)_{g \in F}) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2M}$$

for any $(a_g)_{g \in F} \in \mathcal{A}^F$, which implies our claim.

We prove that the map ψ is a desired one. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. We set

$$Z_i = \{x \in X \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |A_{F^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a}](\Psi_i(x)) - \pi(a)| > \varepsilon\}.$$

By inequalities (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(Z_i) &= ((\Psi_i)_* \mu)(\{y \in \mathcal{A}^{F^i} \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |A_{F^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a}](y) - \pi(a)| > \varepsilon\}) \\ &< |\mathcal{A}|^{|F^i|} \max_{y \in \mathcal{A}^{F^i}} |((\Psi_i)_* \mu)(y) - \pi^{F^i}(y)| + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} < \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu = \int_X \mu_x^i d\mu(x)$ be the ergodic decomposition of μ with respect to the action $\alpha|_{G_i}$. Then $\int_X \mu_x^i(Z_i) d\mu(x) = \mu(Z_i) < \varepsilon^2$ and hence

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid \mu_x^i(Z_i) > \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon.$$

Suppose that a point $x \in X$ satisfies $\mu_x^i(Z_i) \leq \varepsilon$. Note that for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and any $x' \in X$, the following equation holds:

$$A_{F^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a}](\Psi_i(x')) = \frac{|\{g \in F^i \mid \psi(g^\alpha x') = a\}|}{|F^i|} = \frac{1}{|F^i|} \sum_{g \in F^i} 1_{\psi^{-1}(a)}(g^\alpha x').$$

Therefore for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\int_X A_{F^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a}](\Psi_i(x')) d\mu_x^i(x') = (\psi_* \mu_x^i)(a)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |(\psi_* \mu_x^i)(a) - \pi(a)| &= \left| \int_X (A_{F^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a}](\Psi_i(x')) - \pi(a)) d\mu_x^i(x') \right| \\ &\leq \int_{Z_i} |A_{F^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a}](\Psi_i(x')) - \pi(a)| d\mu_x^i(x') + \int_{X \setminus Z_i} |A_{F^i}^{s_i}[1_{C_a}](\Psi_i(x')) - \pi(a)| d\mu_x^i(x') \\ &\leq \mu_x^i(Z_i) + \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |(\psi_* \mu_x^i)(a) - \pi(a)| > 2\varepsilon\}) \leq \mu(\{x \in X \mid \mu_x^i(Z_i) > \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

A key ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.3 is the Rohlin lemma for tiles. For a countable group G , we say that a finite subset T of G is a *tile* for G if there exists a subset $C \subset G$ such that the family $\{Tc\}_{c \in C}$ is pairwise disjoint and $G = \bigcup_{c \in C} Tc$. First let us recall the following fact due to Ornstein-Weiss:

Lemma 3.1 ([9, II.§2, Theorem 5]; see also [12, Theorem 3.3]). *Let G be a countable amenable group and T a tile for G . Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be an essentially free p.m.p. action. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Borel subset $B \subset X$ such that the family $\{tB\}_{t \in T}$ is pairwise disjoint and $\mu(\bigcup_{t \in T} tB) > 1 - \varepsilon$.*

Assuming that G is abelian, we strengthen Lemma 3.1 into the following, which will be important in the proof of Theorem 1.3:

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a countable abelian group and T a tile for G . Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be an essentially free p.m.p. action. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any Borel subset $A \subset X$ with $\mu(A) < \varepsilon/2$, there exists a Borel subset $B \subset X$ such that the family $\{tB\}_{t \in T}$ is pairwise disjoint, $\mu(\bigcup_{t \in T} tB) > 1 - \varepsilon$, and $A \cap B = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Since G is amenable and T is a tile, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists a Borel subset $W \subset X$ such that the family $\{tW\}_{t \in T}$ is pairwise disjoint and $\mu(\bigcup_{t \in T} tW) > 1 - \varepsilon/2$. We choose an element $t_0 \in T$ such that $\mu(t_0W \cap A) \leq \mu(tW \cap A)$ for any $t \in T$. Then $\mu(t_0W \cap A) < \varepsilon/(2|T|)$ because otherwise the inequality $\mu(A) \geq \sum_{t \in T} \mu(tW \cap A) \geq \varepsilon/2$ would hold, which contradicts our assumption.

We set $B = (t_0W) \setminus A$. Then the family $\{tB\}_{t \in T}$ is pairwise disjoint since G is abelian and the family $\{tW\}_{t \in T}$ is pairwise disjoint. Moreover, we have $\mu(B) > \mu(W) - \varepsilon/(2|T|)$ and hence $\mu(\bigcup_{t \in T} tB) = |T|\mu(B) > |T|\mu(W) - \varepsilon/2 > 1 - \varepsilon$. Therefore the set B is a desired one. \square

For finite subsets $F, T \subset G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that T is (F, ε) -invariant if $|T \Delta gT| < \varepsilon|T|$ for every $g \in F$. We say that a countable amenable group G is *monotilable* if there exists a Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for G such that each F_n is a tile for G . The following fact is briefly mentioned in [9, I.§2, p.22] and the proof of [11, Theorem 2]. We give its proof for the reader's convenience.

Proposition 3.3. *Every countable abelian group is monotilable.*

Proof. Let G be a countable abelian group. If G is finitely generated, then G is isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{Z}^r \oplus C$ for some non-negative integer r and some finite abelian group C . In this case, if we set $F_n = ([-n, n]^r \cap \mathbb{Z}^r) \oplus C$, then F_n is a tile for G and $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence for G , which proves the proposition. Suppose that G is not finitely generated. Since G is countable, there exists an increasing sequence $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finitely generated subgroups of G such that $G = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} G_m$. Considering the right coset decomposition of G , we see that every tile for G_m is also a tile for G . Then for any finite subset $F \subset G$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, choosing $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F \subset G_m$, we have an (F, ε) -invariant tile T for G_m , which is also an (F, ε) -invariant tile for G . Thus the proposition follows. \square

For the proof of the main result, we also need the following:

Lemma 3.4. *Let G_1, \dots, G_k be countably infinite amenable groups and define G as the free product $G = G_1 * \dots * G_k$. Then the set of all actions $\alpha \in A(G, X, \mu)$ such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the restriction $\alpha|_{G_i}$ is essentially free and ergodic is weakly dense in $A(G, X, \mu)$.*

Proof. Let $\beta \in A(G, X, \mu)$. Let $F \subset G$ be a finite subset, let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset X$ be Borel subsets. We choose an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite subset $F_i \subset G_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $F \subset (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_k)^N$. We set $L = (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_k)^N$. Since G_i is amenable, the set of all essentially free ergodic p.m.p. actions of G_i is weakly dense in $A(G_i, X, \mu)$ ([7, Proposition 13.2]). Hence,

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we can choose an essentially free ergodic p.m.p. action α_i of G_i on (X, μ) such that for any $h \in F_i, l \in L$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\mu(h^{\alpha_i}(l^\beta A_j) \triangle h^\beta(l^\beta A_j)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{N}.$$

Let α be the action of G on (X, μ) defined by $\alpha|_{G_i} = \alpha_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Using the inequality

$$\mu((g_2 g_1)^\alpha A \triangle (g_2 g_1)^\beta A) \leq \mu(g_1^\alpha A \triangle g_1^\beta A) + \mu(g_2^\alpha(g_1^\beta A) \triangle g_2^\beta(g_1^\beta A))$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and a Borel subset $A \subset X$, we have $\mu(g^\alpha A_j \triangle g^\beta A_j) < \varepsilon$ for any $g \in F$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We now prove our main result for the free product of finitely many, countably infinite abelian groups:

Theorem 3.5. *Let G_1, \dots, G_k be countably infinite abelian groups and define G as the free product $G = G_1 * \dots * G_k$. Suppose that $\alpha \in FR(G, X, \mu)$ and $\beta \in A(G, X, \mu)$. Let $F \subset G$ be a finite subset, let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset X$ be Borel subsets. Then there exists $\gamma \in [\alpha]_{OE}$ such that for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $g \in F$, we have $\mu(g^\gamma A_j \triangle g^\beta A_j) < \varepsilon$. Therefore for any $\alpha \in FR(G, X, \mu)$, its orbit equivalence class $[\alpha]_{OE}$ is weakly dense in $A(G, X, \mu)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, we may assume that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the restriction $\beta|_{G_i}$ is essentially free and ergodic. Moreover, using a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we may assume that F is the union $F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_k$, where F_i is a finite subset of G_i .

We take the finite set \mathcal{A} and the Borel map $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\{\phi^{-1}(a)\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ is the Borel partition of X generated by the family $\{g^\beta A_j\}_{g \in F, 1 \leq j \leq n}$. Let $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon / (24|\mathcal{A}|)$. By applying Lemma 2.1 to the action α , the probability measure $\phi_*\mu$ on \mathcal{A} , and the number ε' , we obtain a Borel map $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\psi_*\mu = \phi_*\mu$ and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, if $\mu = \int_X \mu_x^i d\mu(x)$ is the ergodic decomposition of μ with respect to $\alpha|_{G_i}$, we have

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |(\psi_*\mu_x^i)(a) - (\psi_*\mu)(a)| > 2\varepsilon'\}) < \varepsilon'.$$

Since $\psi_*\mu = \phi_*\mu$ and (X, μ) is a non-atomic standard probability space, there exists $R \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ such that $R(\psi^{-1}(a)) = \phi^{-1}(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We set $\alpha' = R\alpha R^{-1} \in FR(G, X, \mu)$ and set $\alpha_i = \alpha|_{G_i}$, $\alpha'_i = \alpha'|_{G_i}$ and $\beta_i = \beta|_{G_i}$. We fix $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ throughout Claims 3.6–3.8.

Claim 3.6. *There exist Borel subsets $B^{\alpha'_i}, B^{\beta_i} \subset X$ and an (F_i, ε') -invariant tile T for G_i such that $\mu(B^{\alpha'_i}) = \mu(B^{\beta_i})$, $e \in T$, $|T| > 1/\varepsilon'$, and the following conditions (1)–(3) and (1')–(3') hold:*

- (1) *The family $\{t^{\alpha'_i} B^{\alpha'_i}\}_{t \in T}$ is pairwise disjoint.*
- (2) *We have $\mu(\bigcup_{t \in T} t^{\alpha'_i} B^{\alpha'_i}) > 1 - 8\varepsilon'$.*
- (3) *For all $x \in B^{\alpha'_i}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$\left| \frac{|\{t \in T \mid t^{\alpha'_i} x \in \phi^{-1}(a)\}|}{|T|} - \mu(\phi^{-1}(a)) \right| \leq 3\varepsilon'.$$

- (1') *The family $\{t^{\beta_i} B^{\beta_i}\}_{t \in T}$ is pairwise disjoint.*
- (2') *We have $\mu(\bigcup_{t \in T} t^{\beta_i} B^{\beta_i}) > 1 - 8\varepsilon'$.*

(3') For all $x \in B^{\beta_i}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\left| \frac{|\{t \in T \mid t^{\beta_i}x \in \phi^{-1}(a)\}|}{|T|} - \mu(\phi^{-1}(a)) \right| \leq 3\varepsilon'.$$

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 3.3, there exists a Følner sequence $\{D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for G_i such that each D_n is a tile for G_i and contains the identity e . Note that for any $\delta \in A(G_i, X, \mu)$ and any Borel subset $C \subset X$, we have

$$A_{D_n}^\delta[1_C](x) = \frac{|\{g \in D_n \mid g^\delta x \in C\}|}{|D_n|}$$

for any $x \in X$. By the L^1 version of the mean ergodic theorem, it follows that for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{D_n}^{\alpha_i}[1_{\psi^{-1}(a)}] - \mathbb{E}(1_{\psi^{-1}(a)})\|_{L^1(\mu)} = 0,$$

where $\mathbb{E}(1_{\psi^{-1}(a)})$ is the conditional expectation of $1_{\psi^{-1}(a)}$ onto the space of α_i -invariant functions in $L^1(X, \mu)$. Then there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_1$, we have

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |A_{D_n}^{\alpha_i}[1_{\psi^{-1}(a)}](x) - \mathbb{E}(1_{\psi^{-1}(a)})(x)| > \varepsilon'\}) < \varepsilon'.$$

Recall that $\mu = \int_X \mu_x^i d\mu(x)$ denotes the ergodic decomposition of μ with respect to α_i . Then $\mathbb{E}(1_{\psi^{-1}(a)})(x) = \mu_x^i(\psi^{-1}(a))$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and μ -almost every $x \in X$. We set

$$X_n^{\alpha_i} = \{x \in X \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |A_{D_n}^{\alpha_i}[1_{\psi^{-1}(a)}](x) - \mu_x^i(\psi^{-1}(a))| \leq \varepsilon' \text{ and } \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |(\psi_*\mu_x^i)(a) - (\psi_*\mu)(a)| \leq 2\varepsilon'\}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mu(X_n^{\alpha_i}) > 1 - 2\varepsilon'$ for every $n \geq n_1$. If $x \in X_n^{\alpha_i}$, then for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |A_{D_n}^{\alpha_i}[1_{\psi^{-1}(a)}](x) - \mu(\psi^{-1}(a))| \\ & \leq |A_{D_n}^{\alpha_i}[1_{\psi^{-1}(a)}](x) - \mu_x^i(\psi^{-1}(a))| + |\mu_x^i(\psi^{-1}(a)) - \mu(\psi^{-1}(a))| \leq \varepsilon' + 2\varepsilon' = 3\varepsilon'. \end{aligned}$$

We set $X_n^{\alpha'_i} = RX_n^{\alpha_i}$. For each $g \in G_i$ and $x \in X$, by the definition of R and α' , we have $g^{\alpha_i}x \in \psi^{-1}(a)$ if and only if $g^{\alpha'_i}Rx \in \phi^{-1}(a)$. Combining this with $\psi_*\mu = \phi_*\mu$, for all $x \in X_n^{\alpha'_i}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\left| A_{D_n}^{\alpha'_i}[1_{\phi^{-1}(a)}](x) - \mu(\phi^{-1}(a)) \right| \leq 3\varepsilon'.$$

On the other hand, since β_i is ergodic, there is $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_2$, we have

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |A_{D_n}^{\beta_i}[1_{\phi^{-1}(a)}](x) - \mu(\phi^{-1}(a))| > 3\varepsilon'\}) < 2\varepsilon'.$$

We set $X_n^{\beta_i} = \{x \in X \mid \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |A_{D_n}^{\beta_i}[1_{\phi^{-1}(a)}](x) - \mu(\phi^{-1}(a))| \leq 3\varepsilon'\}$.

We construct Rohlin towers for α'_i and β_i . Let m be an integer such that $m \geq \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ and $|D_m| > 1/\varepsilon'$. We set $A = X \setminus (X_m^{\alpha'_i} \cap X_m^{\beta_i})$ and set $T = D_m$. Since $\mu(X_m^{\alpha'_i}) > 1 - 2\varepsilon'$ and $\mu(X_m^{\beta_i}) > 1 - 2\varepsilon'$, we have $\mu(A) < 4\varepsilon'$. We apply Lemma 3.2 to the tile T and the Borel subset $A \subset X$, and then obtain Borel subsets $B^{\alpha'_i}, B^{\beta_i} \subset X$ disjoint from A and satisfying conditions (1), (2), (1') and (2'). Since $B^{\alpha'_i}$ and B^{β_i} are disjoint from A , conditions (3) and (3') also hold. After replacing one of $B^{\alpha'_i}$ and B^{β_i} into its Borel subsets, we may further assume that $\mu(B^{\alpha'_i}) = \mu(B^{\beta_i})$. \square

Claim 3.7. Let $B^{\alpha'_i}, B^{\beta_i} \subset X$ be the Borel subsets and T the tile for G chosen in Claim 3.6. Then there exist finite Borel partitions $\{Q_s^{\alpha'_i}\}_{s=1}^r$ and $\{Q_s^{\beta_i}\}_{s=1}^r$ of $B^{\alpha'_i}$ and B^{β_i} , respectively, such that for each $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, the following properties hold:

- (a) The equation $\mu(Q_s^{\alpha'_i}) = \mu(Q_s^{\beta_i})$ holds.
- (b) For every $t \in T$, we have $t^{\alpha'_i}Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a)$ and $t^{\beta_i}Q_s^{\beta_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a')$ for some $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (c) There exist a subset $T_s \subset T$ and a permutation σ_s of T such that $|T \setminus T_s| < 7\varepsilon'|\mathcal{A}||T|$, $\sigma_s(e) = e$, and for any $t \in T_s$ and any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma_s(t)^{\alpha'_i}Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a) \Leftrightarrow t^{\beta_i}Q_s^{\beta_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a).$$

Proof. We rely on the proof of [5, Theorem 16]. Let $\{P_l^{\alpha'_i}\}_l$ be the finite partition of $B^{\alpha'_i}$ generated by $\{(t^{-1})^{\alpha'_i}(t^{\alpha'_i}B^{\alpha'_i} \cap \phi^{-1}(a))\}_{t \in T, a \in \mathcal{A}}$, and $\{P_m^{\beta_i}\}_m$ be the finite partition of B^{β_i} generated by $\{(t^{-1})^{\beta_i}(t^{\beta_i}B^{\beta_i} \cap \phi^{-1}(a))\}_{t \in T, a \in \mathcal{A}}$. Since $\mu(B^{\alpha'_i}) = \mu(B^{\beta_i})$, we can take a refinement $\{Q_s^{\alpha'_i}\}_{s=1}^r$ of $\{P_l^{\alpha'_i}\}_l$ and a refinement $\{Q_s^{\beta_i}\}_{s=1}^r$ of $\{P_m^{\beta_i}\}_m$ such that $\{Q_s^{\alpha'_i}\}_{s=1}^r$ and $\{Q_s^{\beta_i}\}_{s=1}^r$ satisfy condition (a). By the construction of $\{P_l^{\alpha'_i}\}_l$ and $\{P_m^{\beta_i}\}_m$, they also satisfy condition (b).

We fix $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. We find a subset T_s of T and a permutation σ_s of T which satisfy condition (c). By conditions (3) and (3') in Claim 3.6, we have

$$\left| |\{t \in T \mid t^{\alpha'_i}x \in \phi^{-1}(a)\}| - |\{t \in T \mid t^{\beta_i}x' \in \phi^{-1}(a)\}| \right| \leq 6\varepsilon'|T|,$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in B^{\alpha'_i}$ and $x' \in B^{\beta_i}$. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the set $\{t \in T \mid t^{\alpha'_i}x \in \phi^{-1}(a)\}$ is independent of $x \in Q_s^{\alpha'_i}$ because of part (b) of the claim, which has been established already. The same is true for β_i in place of α'_i . Therefore we can choose a bijection $\sigma_s: T \rightarrow T$ such that $\sigma_s(e) = e$ and for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$|\sigma_s(\{t \in T \mid t^{\beta_i}Q_s^{\beta_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a)\}) \setminus \{t \in T \mid t^{\alpha'_i}Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a)\}| \leq 6\varepsilon'|T| + 1.$$

The condition $\sigma_s(e) = e$ will be used in the proof of Claim 3.8. We set

$$T_s = \{t \in T \mid t^{\beta_i}Q_s^{\beta_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a) \text{ and } \sigma_s(t)^{\alpha'_i}Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a) \text{ for some } a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Then $|T \setminus T_s| \leq (6\varepsilon'|T| + 1)|\mathcal{A}| < 7\varepsilon'|\mathcal{A}||T|$ since $|T| > 1/\varepsilon'$, and condition (3.1) holds for any $t \in T_s$ and any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

For an action $\delta \in A(G, X, \mu)$, let $[\delta]_{SO}$ denote the set of all actions $\eta \in A(G, X, \mu)$ that have the same orbits as δ , i.e., satisfy $G^\delta x = G^\eta x$ for μ -almost every $x \in X$.

Claim 3.8. *There exists $S_i \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ such that the action $\alpha''_i := S_i \alpha'_i S_i^{-1}$ belongs to $[\alpha'_i]_{SO}$ and for any $g \in F_i$ and any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\mu(g^{\alpha''_i} A_j \triangle g^{\beta_i} A_j) < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. We define $S_i \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ by $S_i = \sigma_s(t)^{\alpha'_i}(t^{-1})^{\alpha'_i}$ on $t^{\alpha'_i}Q_s^{\alpha'_i}$ for $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $t \in T$ and by $S_i = \text{id}$ on $X \setminus TB^{\alpha'_i}$. We set $\alpha''_i = S_i \alpha'_i S_i^{-1}$. Then $\alpha''_i \in [\alpha'_i]_{SO}$, and $S_i Q_s^{\alpha'_i} = Q_s^{\alpha'_i}$ since $e \in T$ and $\sigma_s(e) = e$. By condition (3.1), for any $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, any $t \in T_s$ and any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad t^{\alpha''_i}Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a) \Leftrightarrow t^{\beta_i}Q_s^{\beta_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a)$$

since $S_i t^{\alpha'_i} S_i^{-1} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} = \sigma_s(t)^{\alpha'_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i}$.

Fix $g \in F_i$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ arbitrarily. We set

$$L_0 = X \setminus \bigcup_{t \in T} t^{\alpha''_i} B^{\alpha'_i}, \quad L_1 = \bigcup_{t \in T \setminus gT} t^{\alpha''_i} B^{\alpha'_i} \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 = \bigcup_{s=1}^r \bigcup_{t \in T \setminus (T_s \cap gT_s)} t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i},$$

We estimate the measures of L_0 , L_1 and L_2 . We have $\mu(L_0) < 8\varepsilon'$ by condition (2) in Claim 3.6. Since the set T is (F_i, ε') -invariant and $g \in F_i$, we have $|T \setminus gT| < \varepsilon'|T|$ and hence $\mu(L_1) < \varepsilon'|T|\mu(B^{\alpha'_i}) \leq \varepsilon'$. Since $|T \setminus gT_s| \leq |T \setminus gT| + |gT \setminus gT_s| = |T \setminus gT| + |T \setminus T_s|$, we have

$$\mu(L_2) \leq \sum_{s=1}^r (|T \setminus gT| + 2|T \setminus T_s|)\mu(Q_s^{\alpha'_i}) < (\varepsilon'|T| + 14\varepsilon'|\mathcal{A}||T|)\mu(B^{\alpha'_i}) \leq 15|\mathcal{A}|\varepsilon'.$$

We claim that $\mu((g^{\alpha''_i} A_j \triangle g^{\beta_i} A_j) \setminus (L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2)) = 0$. Suppose otherwise, i.e., some $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $t \in gT \cap (T_s \cap gT_s)$ satisfy $\mu(t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \cap (g^{\alpha''_i} A_j \triangle g^{\beta_i} A_j)) > 0$. By condition (3.2), there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad t^{\beta_i} Q_s^{\beta_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a),$$

and there exists $a' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad (g^{-1}t)^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a') \quad \text{and} \quad (g^{-1}t)^{\beta_i} Q_s^{\beta_i} \subset \phi^{-1}(a').$$

If $\mu(t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \cap (g^{\alpha''_i} A_j \setminus g^{\beta_i} A_j)) > 0$, then by condition (3.4), we have $\phi^{-1}(a') \subset A_j$ and hence $t^{\beta_i} Q_s^{\beta_i} \subset g^{\beta_i} A_j$. Combining this with condition (3.3), we have $\phi^{-1}(a) \subset g^{\beta_i} A_j$ and hence $t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \subset g^{\beta_i} A_j$, which contradicts the assumption $\mu(t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \cap (g^{\alpha''_i} A_j \setminus g^{\beta_i} A_j)) > 0$. On the other hand, if $\mu(t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \cap (g^{\beta_i} A_j \setminus g^{\alpha''_i} A_j)) > 0$, then by condition (3.3), we have $\phi^{-1}(a) \subset g^{\beta_i} A_j$ and hence $(g^{-1}t)^{\beta_i} Q_s^{\beta_i} \subset A_j$. Combining this with condition (3.4), we have $\phi^{-1}(a') \subset A_j$ and hence $t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \subset g^{\alpha''_i} A_j$, which contradicts the assumption $\mu(t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \cap (g^{\beta_i} A_j \setminus g^{\alpha''_i} A_j)) > 0$. Therefore we have $\mu(t^{\alpha''_i} Q_s^{\alpha'_i} \cap (g^{\alpha''_i} A_j \triangle g^{\beta_i} A_j)) = 0$ for any $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and any $t \in gT \cap (T_s \cap gT_s)$. As a result, we have $\mu((g^{\alpha''_i} A_j \triangle g^{\beta_i} A_j) \setminus (L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2)) = 0$ and

$$\mu(g^{\alpha''_i} A_j \triangle g^{\beta_i} A_j) \leq \mu(L_0) + \mu(L_1) + \mu(L_2) < 8\varepsilon' + \varepsilon' + 15|\mathcal{A}|\varepsilon' \leq 24|\mathcal{A}|\varepsilon' = \varepsilon.$$

This holds for any $g \in F_i$ and any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. \square

Let $\gamma \in A(G, X, \mu)$ be the action defined by $\gamma|G_i = \alpha''_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then we have $\gamma \in [\alpha]_{OE}$ since $\alpha' \in [\alpha]_{MC}$ and $\alpha''_i \in [\alpha'_i]_{SO}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Claim 3.8 shows that γ is the desired action. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.5. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It remains to show the theorem for a free product of (countably) infinitely many, infinite abelian groups. We show this, following [3, Corollary 2.2]. Let $G = G_1 * G_2 * \dots$ be the free product of infinite abelian groups G_i with $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\alpha \in FR(G, X, \mu)$ and $\beta \in A(G, X, \mu)$. Let $F \subset G$ be a finite subset, let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset X$ be Borel subsets. We set $H_k = G_1 * \dots * G_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F \subset H_k$. By Theorem 3.5, there exists an action $\alpha' \in A(H_k, X, \mu)$ such that $\alpha' \in [\alpha|H_k]_{OE}$ and $\mu(g^{\alpha'} A_j \triangle g^{\beta} A_j) < \varepsilon$ for any $g \in F$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $\alpha' \in [\alpha|H_k]_{OE}$, there exists $R \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ such that $R^{-1}\alpha'R \in [\alpha|H_k]_{SO}$. We define an action $\gamma \in A(G, X, \mu)$ by $\gamma|H_k = \alpha'$ and $g^\gamma = Rg^{\alpha'}R^{-1}$ for $g \in G_m$ with $m \geq k+1$. Then γ is orbit equivalent to α via R and satisfies $\mu(g^\gamma A_j \triangle g^{\beta} A_j) < \varepsilon$ for any $g \in F$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abért and B. Weiss, Bernoulli actions are weakly contained in any free action, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **33** (2013), 323–333.
- [2] O. N. Ageev, Spectral rigidity of group actions: applications to the case $\text{gr}\langle t, s; ts = st^2 \rangle$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), 1331–1338.

- [3] L. Bowen, Weak density of orbit equivalence classes of free group actions, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **9** (2015), 811–830.
- [4] P. Burton and A. Kechris, Weak containment of measure preserving group actions, preprint, *arXiv:1611.07921*.
- [5] M. Foreman and B. Weiss, An anti-classification theorem for ergodic measure preserving transformations, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **6** (2004), 277–292.
- [6] E. Glasner and B. Weiss, Kazhdans property T and the geometry of the collection of invariant measures, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **7** (1997), 917–935.
- [7] A. Kechris, *Global aspects of ergodic group actions*, Math. Surveys Monogr., 160, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [8] D. Kerr and H. Li, *Ergodic theory. Independence and dichotomies*, Springer Monogr. Math., Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [9] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups, *J. Analyse Math.* **48** (1987), 1–141.
- [10] R. D. Tucker-Drob, Weak equivalence and non-classifiability of measure preserving actions, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **35** (2015), 293–336.
- [11] B. Weiss, Monotileable amenable groups, *Topology, ergodic theory, real algebraic geometry*, 257–262, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 202, Adv. Math. Sci., 50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [12] B. Weiss, Actions of amenable groups, *Topics in Dynamics and Ergodic Theory*, 226–262, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 310, 2003.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, TOKYO 153-8914,
JAPAN

E-mail address: takmori570@gmail.com