

# On the Crossing Numbers of Cartesian Products of Small Graphs with Paths, Cycles and Stars

Kieran Clancy  
1284 South Road  
Tonsley 5042  
Australia

Michael Haythorpe \*  
1284 South Road  
Tonsley 5042  
Australia  
michael.haythorpe@flinders.edu.au

Alex Newcombe  
1284 South Road  
Tonsley 5042  
Australia  
alex.newcombe@flinders.edu.au

February 27, 2019

## Abstract

There has been significant research dedicated towards computing the crossing numbers of families of graphs resulting from the Cartesian products of small graphs with arbitrarily large paths, cycles and stars. For graphs with four or fewer vertices, these have all been computed, but there are still various gaps for graphs with five or more vertices. We contribute to this field by determining the crossing numbers for fifteen such families.

---

\*Corresponding author

# 1 Introduction

Consider a graph  $G$  comprising vertices  $V(G)$  and edges  $E(G)$ . A *drawing* of  $G$  onto the plane is a mapping  $D_G$  which maps vertices to distinct points and edges to continuous arcs. The image of an edge  $e = \{u, v\}$  is a continuous arc between the points associated with  $u$  and  $v$  such that the interior of the arc does not contain any points associated with vertices. Without loss of generality, we call the points and arcs the ‘vertices’ and ‘edges’ of the drawing. The interiors of the edges are allowed to intersect at singleton points in such a way that each edge intersection is strictly a crossing between the edges, as opposed to the edges touching and then not crossing. For simplicity, we assume that no three edges intersect at the same point. These intersections form the *crossings* of the drawing and the number of crossings in  $D$  is denoted by  $cr_D(G)$ . The *crossing number* of  $G$ , denoted  $cr(G)$ , is the minimum number of crossings over all possible drawings. The *crossing number problem* (CNP) is the problem of determining the crossing number of a graph, and is known to be NP-hard [9]. The CNP is a notoriously difficult problem even for relatively small graphs; indeed, the crossing number of  $K_{13}$  has still not been determined [32].

The Cartesian product of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , denoted by  $G \square H$ , is a graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$ , such that an edge exists between vertices  $(u, u')$  and  $(v, v')$  if and only if either  $u = v$  and  $\{u', v'\} \in E(H)$ , or  $u' = v'$  and  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$ . An example of the Cartesian product of two paths,  $P_3 \square P_4$ , is displayed in Figure 1. Note that  $P_n$  is the path on  $n + 1$  vertices.




Figure 1: The Cartesian product  $P_3 \square P_4$ .

One of the early results relating to crossing numbers is due to Beineke and Ringel [1] who, in 1980, considered families of graphs resulting from the Cartesian products of connected graphs on four vertices with arbitrarily large cycles. There are six connected graphs on four vertices, and with only one exception (the star  $S_3$ ), they were successful in determining the crossing numbers for each resulting family. The one unsolved case was subsequently handled by Jendrol and Šcerbová [11] in 1982. A decade later

in 1994, Klešč [13] extended this result by determining the crossing numbers of families resulting from the Cartesian products of each of the connected graphs on four vertices with arbitrarily large paths and stars. In the ensuing years, significant effort has gone into extending these results to include graphs on more vertices; in particular five and six vertices. The pioneering work in this area was by Klešč and his various co-authors [6–8, 12–31] who have spent the last three decades handling these cases, often on a graph-by-graph basis, requiring ad-hoc proofs that exploit the specific graph structure of the graphs in question. In the last fifteen years, a large number of other researchers have also contributed to this field. However, communication between the various researchers in this area has been poor, and it is has not been uncommon for multiple researchers to publish identical results.

To address this issue, a dynamic survey [5] on graphs with known crossing numbers was recently produced, which included tables of all known results of crossing numbers of families resulting from Cartesian products of small graphs with paths, cycles and stars. We reproduce the tables for crossing numbers of Cartesian products involving graphs on six vertices here. They are separated into Cartesian products involving paths (Table 1), cycles (Table 2) and stars (Table 3). In Tables 1–3, only those graphs for which results have been determined are included. The graph indices are taken from Harary [10], and an illustration of each graph on six vertices, as well as citations for each of the results in Tables 1–3 may be found in [5]. Note that, up to isomorphism, there are 156 graphs on six vertices, which includes 112 connected graphs.

For completeness, the tables include results determined in this paper and we highlight these indices in boldface. Additionally, note that some of the results are marked with asterisks. This indicates that the corresponding results appeared in journals which do not have adequate peer review processes. Hence, it would be valuable if these results could be re-proved in a journal which is fully peer reviewed. Indeed, in the present work, we provide a proof for one such asterisked result from [34], namely  $G_{120}^6 \square P_n$ .

Proving that a particular graph family has crossing number equal to a particular function is usually achieved as follows. First, an upper bound for the crossing number is determined by providing a drawing method for members of that family which realises the proposed number of crossings. This is then shown to coincide with a lower bound, which is usually determined by some form of inductive argument. The latter typically takes much more work than the former. However, in some cases, a lower bound can be easily determined. For instance, consider  $G_{46}^6$  and  $G_{60}^6$ , which are displayed in Table 1. It is clear that the former is a subgraph of the latter. Then, for any graph  $H$ , it follows from the definition of the Cartesian product

that  $G_{46}^6 \square H$  will be a subgraph of  $G_{60}^6 \square H$ . Thus, any lower bound for the crossing number of the former also provides a lower bound for the crossing number of the latter.

Furthermore, it is also clear that  $G_{46}^6$  contains a subgraph  $F$  consisting of a triangle with one pendant vertex attached. Then, any lower bound for  $cr(F \square H)$  also serves as a lower bound for  $cr(G_{46}^6 \square H)$ . Beineke and Ringeisen [1] proved that  $cr(F \square P_n) = n - 1$ , and, thus, a corollary of the above arguments implies that  $cr(G_{60}^6 \square P_n) \geq cr(G_{46}^6 \square P_n) \geq n - 1$ . Hence, simply providing a drawing which establishes that  $cr(G_{60}^6 \square P_n) \leq n - 1$  is sufficient to decide the cases for both  $G_{46}^6 \square P_n$  and  $G_{60}^6 \square P_n$ ; indeed, this exact argument was used in Klešč and Petrillová [28] to determine the crossing number of  $G_{46}^6 \square P_n$ . Of course, this kind of approach is only useful when the upper bound coincides with an established lower bound for a subgraph.

In what follows, we use approaches similar to the previous paragraph to determine the crossing number for fifteen additional families of graphs. Although the arguments are not complicated, the extensive research into filling in the gaps of Tables 1 – 3, which continues to this day, indicates the interest in this area; despite all of that research, these results have been hitherto undiscovered. We are in a unique position to present these simple arguments for two reasons. First, we are able to take advantage of the recently produced dynamic survey [5] that gathers, for the first time, all known published results into one place, so that they can all be simultaneously drawn upon to provide good lower bounds. Second, we are also able to take advantage of the recently developed crossing minimisation heuristic, QuickCross [4], to aid us in finding good upper bounds.

## 2 New Results

In this section we determine the crossing numbers of the Cartesian product of one of the graphs displayed in Figure 2, with various arbitrarily large paths, cycles and stars.

The upcoming proofs are laid out as follows. In Theorem 2.1 the crossing numbers of  $G_{90}^6 \square P_n$  and  $G_{120}^6 \square P_n$  are determined. In Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 the crossing numbers of the Cartesian products of various graphs in Figure 2 with cycles are determined. In Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, the results are only proved for sufficiently large cycles, and so the remaining cases involving small cycles are handled in Remark 2.4. Finally, in Theorem 2.5 the crossing number of  $G_{62}^6 \square S_n$  is determined. In all cases the lower bounds are obtained from previously published results. In Theorem 2.2

$i$	$G_i^6$	$cr(G_i^6 \square P_n)$	$i$	$G_i^6$	$cr(G_i^6 \square P_n)$	$i$	$G_i^6$	$cr(G_i^6 \square P_n)$
25		0	60		$n - 1$	89		$3n - 3$
26		$n - 1$	61		$2n$	<b>90</b>		$3n - 3$
27		$2n - 2$	64		$2n - 2$	91		$3n - 1$
28		$n - 1$	65		$3n - 3$	93		$4n$ *
29		$2n - 2$	66		$2n - 2$	94		$2n - 2$
31		$4n - 4$	68		$3n - 1$	103		$6n - 2$
40		0	70		$3n - 3$	104		$4n - 4$
41		$n - 1$	71		$3n - 1$	109		$4n$ *
42		$2n - 4$	72		$4n - 4$	111		$3n - 1$
43		$n - 1$	73		$4n - 4$	113		$4n - 4$
44		$2n - 2$	74		$2n - 2$	119		$7n - 1$ *
45		$2n - 2$	75		$2n$	<b>120</b>		$3n - 3$
46		$n - 1$	77		$2n - 2$	121		$4n$ *
47		$2n - 2$	79		$4n - 4$	125		$5n - 3$
48		$4n - 4$	80		$4n - 4$	146		$5n - 1$ *
51		$3n - 3$	83		$2n - 2$	152		$6n$ *
53		$2n - 2$	85		$2n$	154		$9n - 1$
54		$2n - 2$	86		$3n - 1$	155		$12n$ *
59		$2n - 2$	87		$3n - 1$	156		$15n + 3$

Table 1: Known crossing numbers of Cartesian products of graphs on six vertices with paths. All results are for  $n \geq 1$  and boldface indices are results derived in this paper.

$i$	$G_i^6$	$cr(G_i^6 \square C_n)$ (small cases)	$i$	$G_i^6$	$cr(G_i^6 \square C_n)$ (small cases)
25		0	<b>64</b>		$2n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 6 ( $n=3$ ) 8 ( $n=4$ ) 10 ( $n=5$ )
40		$4n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 6 ( $n=3$ ) 12 ( $n=4$ ) 18 ( $n=5$ )	<b>66</b>		$3n$ ( $n \geq 5$ ) 7 ( $n=3$ ) 12 ( $n=4$ )
41		$3n$ ( $n \geq 5$ ) 5 ( $n=3$ ) 10 ( $n=4$ )	67		$3n$ ( $n \geq 4$ ) 7 ( $n=3$ )
42		$2n$ ( $n \geq 4$ ) 4 ( $n=3$ )	<b>70</b>		$3n$ ( $n \geq 5$ ) 7 ( $n=3$ ) 12 ( $n=4$ )
43		$n$ ( $n \geq 3$ )	<b>75</b>		$2n$ ( $n \geq 4$ ) 6 ( $n=3$ )
44		$2n$ ( $n \geq 4$ ) 4 ( $n=3$ )	<b>77</b>		$2n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 6 ( $n=3$ ) 8 ( $n=4$ ) 10 ( $n=5$ )
46		$n$ ( $n \geq 3$ )	78		$3n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 7 ( $n=3$ ) 10 ( $n=4$ ) 14 ( $n=5$ )
47		$2n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 4 ( $n=3$ ) 6 ( $n=4$ ) 9 ( $n=5$ )	<b>83</b>		$4n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 10 ( $n=3$ ) 16 ( $n=4$ ) 20 ( $n=5$ )
49		$2n$ ( $n \geq 4$ ) 4 ( $n=3$ )	<b>90</b>		$4n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 11 ( $n=3$ ) 16 ( $n=4$ ) 20 ( $n=5$ )
53		$2n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 4 ( $n=3$ ) 6 ( $n=4$ ) 9 ( $n=5$ )	<b>92</b>		$3n$ ( $n \geq 4$ ) 9 ( $n=3$ )
54		$2n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 4 ( $n=3$ ) 6 ( $n=4$ ) 9 ( $n=5$ )	<b>98</b>		$3n$ ( $n \geq 5$ ) 9 ( $n=3$ ) 12 ( $n=4$ )
<b>59</b>		$4n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 8 ( $n=3$ ) 16 ( $n=4$ ) 20 ( $n=5$ )	113		$4n$ ( $n \geq 3$ )
<b>60</b>		$4n$ ( $n \geq 6$ ) 8 ( $n=3$ ) 16 ( $n=4$ ) 20 ( $n=5$ )	156		$18n$ ( $n \geq 3$ )
<b>63</b>		$2n$ ( $n \geq 4$ ) 6 ( $n=3$ )			

Table 2: Crossing numbers of Cartesian products of graphs on six vertices with cycles. Boldface indices are results derived in this paper

$i$	$G_i^6$	$cr(G_i^6 \square S_n)$	$i$	$G_i^6$	$cr(G_i^6 \square S_n)$
25		$4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$	77		$4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$
26		$4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	79		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$
27		$5 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	80		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$
28		$4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	85		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2n$ *
29		$4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	93		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4n$ *
31		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	94		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$
43		$4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	104		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$
47		$5 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	111		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2n$ *
48		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	120		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 3 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ *
53		$4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	124		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2n + 3 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ *
59		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	125		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 3 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2n$
61		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2n$ *	130		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4n$ *
<b>62</b>		$5 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	137		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4n$ *
72		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	152		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 6n$
73		$6 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$			

Table 3: Crossing numbers of Cartesian products of graphs on six vertices with stars. All results are for  $n \geq 1$  and boldface indices are results derived in this paper.

the upper bounds are also obtained from previously published results, and for the other theorems they are established by figures which show drawing methods for each Cartesian product considered.




Figure 2: We will derive new results for Cartesian products involving these fourteen graphs.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Consider the path graph  $P_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then,  $cr(G_{90}^6 \square P_n) = cr(G_{120}^6 \square P_n) = 3n - 3$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the graph  $G_{51}^6$  which is displayed in Table 1. The crossing number  $cr(G_{51}^6 \square P_n) = 3n - 3$  for  $n \geq 1$  was determined by Klešč et al [26]. It is clear that  $G_{51}^6$  is a subgraph of both  $G_{90}^6$  and  $G_{120}^6$ . Hence, we have  $cr(G_{90}^6 \square P_n) \geq 3n - 3$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and  $cr(G_{120}^6 \square P_n) \geq 3n - 3$  for  $n \geq 1$ . It can be verified that the drawing methods for  $G_{90}^6 \square P_n$  displayed in Figure 3 and  $G_{120}^6 \square P_n$  displayed in Figure 4 each realise precisely  $3n - 3$  crossings, completing the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Consider the cycle graph  $C_n$  for  $n \geq 6$ . Then,  $cr(G_{59}^6 \square C_n) = cr(G_{60}^6 \square C_n) = cr(G_{83}^6 \square C_n) = cr(G_{90}^6 \square C_n) = 4n$ .*

*Proof.* Consider graphs  $G_{40}^6$  and  $G_{113}^6$ , displayed in Table 2. The crossing number  $cr(G_{40}^6 \square C_n) = 4n$  for  $n \geq 6$  was determined by Richter and Salazar [33], and the crossing number  $cr(G_{113}^6 \square C_n) = 4n$  for  $n \geq 3$  was determined by Klešč and Kravecová [24]. Then, consider graphs  $G_{59}^6$ ,  $G_{60}^6$ ,  $G_{83}^6$  and  $G_{90}^6$ . It is clear that  $G_{40}^6$  is a subgraph of each of them, and  $G_{113}^6$  is a supergraph of each of them. The result follows immediately.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Consider the cycle graph  $C_n$ . Then:*

1.  $cr(G_{63}^6 \square C_n) = 2n$ , for  $n \geq 4$
2.  $cr(G_{64}^6 \square C_n) = 2n$ , for  $n \geq 6$
3.  $cr(G_{66}^6 \square C_n) = cr(G_{70}^6 \square C_n) = cr(G_{98}^6 \square C_n) = 3n$ , for  $n \geq 5$
4.  $cr(G_{75}^6 \square C_n) = 2n$ , for  $n \geq 4$




Figure 3: A drawing of  $G_{90}^6 \square P_n$  with  $3n - 3$  crossings. Each circle of vertices is one copy of  $G_{90}^6$ .




Figure 4: A drawing of  $G_{120}^6 \square P_n$  with  $3n - 3$  crossings. Each circle of vertices is one copy of  $G_{120}^6$ .

5.  $cr(G_{77}^6 \square C_n) = 2n$ , for  $n \geq 6$ .

6.  $cr(G_{92}^6 \square C_n) = 3n$ , for  $n \geq 4$

*Proof.* Consider graphs  $G_j^6$  for  $j \in \{41, 42, 47, 49, 53, 67\}$ , all of which are displayed in Table 2, along with their crossing numbers, each of which were determined by Draženská and Klešč [8].

If we use  $\subset$  to denote subgraphs, then the following can be easily verified. First,  $G_{41}^6 \subset G_{66}^6 \subset G_{98}^6$ , and  $G_{41}^6 \subset G_{70}^6 \subset G_{98}^6$ . Second,  $G_{42}^6 \subset G_{63}^6$ . Third,  $G_{47}^6 \subset G_{64}^6$ . Fourth,  $G_{49}^6 \subset G_{75}^6$ . Fifth,  $G_{53}^6 \subset G_{77}^6$ . Finally,  $G_{67}^6 \subset G_{92}^6$ . It can be checked that these imply lower bounds for  $cr(G_j^6 \square C_n)$  that meet the proposed values for each of  $j \in \{63, 64, 66, 70, 75, 77, 92, 98\}$ . Then, all that remains is to provide upper bounds that also meet the proposed values. Drawing methods which realise the proposed values for  $j \in \{63, 64, 75, 77, 92, 98\}$  are displayed in Figures 5–10. Note that the drawing method for  $G_{98}^6$  also provides the corresponding drawing method for its subgraphs  $G_{66}^6$  and  $G_{70}^6$  if one removes the corresponding edges.  $\square$




Figure 5: A drawing of  $G_{63}^6 \square C_n$  with  $2n$  crossings. The solid edges are the copies of  $G_{63}^6$ , while the dotted edges are those introduced by the Cartesian product.




Figure 6: A drawing of  $G_{64}^6 \square C_n$  with  $2n$  crossings. The solid edges are the copies of  $G_{64}^6$ , while the dotted edges are those introduced by the Cartesian product.




Figure 7: A drawing of  $G_{75}^6 \square C_n$  with  $2n$  crossings. The solid edges are the copies of  $G_{75}^6$ , while the dotted edges are those introduced by the Cartesian product.




Figure 8: A drawing of  $G_{77}^6 \square C_n$  with  $2n$  crossings. The solid edges are the copies of  $G_{77}^6$ , while the dotted edges are those introduced by the Cartesian product.




Figure 9: A drawing of  $G_{92}^6 \square C_n$  with  $3n$  crossings. The solid edges are the copies of  $G_{92}^6$ , while the dotted edges are those introduced by the Cartesian product.




Figure 10: A drawing of  $G_{98}^6 \square C_n$  with  $3n$  crossings. The solid edges are the copies of  $G_{98}^6$ , while the dotted edges are those introduced by the Cartesian product.

Each of the results in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 is stated for the Cartesian product of a graph and a sufficiently large cycle. However, for small cycles, the results are not provided in those Theorems. We present them now in Table 4.

i	59	60	63	64	66	70	75	77	83	90	92	98
$\text{cr}(G_i^6 \square C_3)$	8	8	6	6	7	7	6	6	10	11	9	9
$\text{cr}(G_i^6 \square C_4)$	16	16		8	12	12		8	16	16		12
$\text{cr}(G_i^6 \square C_5)$	20	20		10				10	20	20		

Table 4: The crossing numbers for the Cartesian products of some six-vertex graphs with small cycles. Only those cases not already handled in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 are displayed.

*Remark 2.4.* To verify that the numbers provided in Table 4 are correct, we have submitted each graph to Crossing Number Web Compute [2,3], an exact solver designed to handle sparse instances of small to moderate size. The proof files are available upon request from the corresponding author.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Consider the star graph  $S_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then,  $\text{cr}(G_{62}^6 \square S_n) = 5 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the graph  $G_{27}^6$ , which is displayed in Table 3. The crossing number  $\text{cr}(G_{27}^6 \square S_n) = 5 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  for  $n \geq 1$  was determined by Klešč and Schrötter [31]. It is clear that  $G_{27}^6$  is a subgraph of  $G_{62}^6$ . Hence, the lower bound is established. It can be verified that the drawing method for  $G_{62}^6 \square S_n$ , displayed in Figure 11, suffices to establish the upper bound.  $\square$




Figure 11: A drawing of  $G_{62}^6 \square S_n$  with  $5 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  crossings. The dotted edges are the copies of  $G_{62}^6$ , while the solid edges are those introduced by the Cartesian product. There are  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  copies of  $G_{62}^6$  on the left, and  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  copies of  $G_{62}^6$  on the right.

## References

- [1] L. W. Beineke and R. D. Ringelsen. On the crossing numbers of products of cycles and graphs of order four. *J. Graph Th.* 4(2)(1980), 145–155.
- [2] M. Chimani and T. Wiedera. An ILP-based Proof System for the Crossing Number Problem. In: *LIPICs-Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics*, vol. 57. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2016.
- [3] M. Chimani and T. Wiedera. Crossing Number Web Compute. <http://crossings.uos.de>, 2016.

- [4] K. Clancy, M. Haythorpe and A. Newcombe. An effective crossing minimisation heuristic based on star insertion. *J. Graph Alg. App.* 23(2)(2019), 135–166.
- [5] K. Clancy, M. Haythorpe and A. Newcombe. A Survey of Graphs with Known or Bounded Crossing Numbers. *Austral. J. Combin.* 78(2)(2020), 209–296.
- [6] E. Draženská. The crossing number of  $G \square C_n$  for the graph  $G$  on six vertices. *Math. Slovaca* 61(5)(2011), 675–686.
- [7] E. Draženská and M. Klešč. The crossing numbers of products of the graph  $K_{2,2,2}$  with stars. *Carpathian J. Math.* 24(3)(2008), 327–331.
- [8] E. Draženská and M. Klešč. On the crossing numbers of  $G \square C_n$  for graphs  $G$  on six vertices. *Disc. Math. Graph Th.* 31(2)(2011), 239–252.
- [9] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. Crossing number is NP-complete. *SIAM J. Algebraic Disc. Meth.* 4(3)(1983), 312–316.
- [10] F. Harary. *Graph Theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969).
- [11] S. Jendrol and M. Šcerbová. On the crossing numbers of  $S_m \times P_n$  and  $S_m \times C_n$ . *Casopis pro pestování matematiky* 107(1982), 225–230.
- [12] M. Klešč. On the crossing numbers of Cartesian products of stars and paths or cycles. *Math. Slovaca* 41(2)(1991), 113–120.
- [13] M. Klešč. The crossing numbers of products of paths and stars with 4-vertex graphs. *J. Graph Th.* 18(6)(1994), 605–614.
- [14] M. Klešč. The crossing numbers of certain Cartesian products. *Disc. Math. Graph Th.* 15(1)(1995), 5–10.
- [15] M. Klešč. The crossing number of  $K_{2,3} \times P_n$  and  $K_{2,3} \times S_n$ . *Tatra Mountains Math. Publ.* 9(1996), 51–56.
- [16] M. Klešč. The crossing number of  $K_5 \times P_n$ . *Tatra Mountains Math. Pub.* 18(1999), 63–68.
- [17] M. Klešč. The crossing numbers of products of a 5-vertex graph with paths and cycles. *Disc. Math. Graph Th.* 19(1)(1999), 59–69.
- [18] M. Klešč. On the crossing numbers of products of stars and graphs of order five. *Graphs Comb.* 17(2)(2001), 289–294.
- [19] M. Klešč. The crossing numbers of Cartesian products of paths with 5-vertex graphs. *Disc. Math.* 233(1–3)(2001), 353–359.

- [20] M. Klešč. The crossing number of  $K_{2,3} \times C_3$ . *Disc. Math* 251(1–3)(2002), 109–117.
- [21] M. Klešč. Some crossing numbers of products of cycles. *Disc. Math. Graph Th.* 25(1–2)(2005), 197–210.
- [22] M. Klešč. On the crossing numbers of cartesian products of stars and graphs on five vertices. In: Fiala J., Kratochvíl J., Miller M. (eds) *Combinatorial Algorithms. IWOCA 2009. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 5874*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp.324–333, 2009.
- [23] M. Klešč and A. Kocúrová. The crossing numbers of products of 5-vertex graphs with cycles. *Disc. Math.* 307(11-12)(2007), 1395–1403.
- [24] M. Klešč and D. Kravecová. The crossing number of  $P_5^2 \square C_n$ . *Creat. Math. Inform.* 17(3)(2008), 431–438.
- [25] M. Klešč and D. Kravecová. The crossing number of  $P_n^2 \square C_3$ . *Disc. Math.* 312(14)(2012), 2096–2101.
- [26] M. Klešč, D. Kravecová and J. Petrillová. On the crossing numbers of Cartesian products of paths with special graphs. *Carpathian J. Math.* 30(3)(2014), 317–325.
- [27] M. Klešč and J. Petrillová. On the optimal drawings of products of paths with graphs. *Acta Elec. Inf.* 13(3)(2013), 56–61.
- [28] M. Klešč and J. Petrillová. The crossing numbers of products of paths with graphs of order six. *Disc. Math. Graph Th.* 33(3)(2013), 571–582.
- [29] M. Klešč, J. Petrillová and M. Valo. On the crossing numbers of cartesian products of wheels and trees. *Disc. Math. Graph Th.* 37(2)(2017), 399–413.
- [30] M. Klešč, R. B. Richter and I. Stobert. The crossing number of  $C_5 \times C_n$ . *J. Graph Th.* 22(3)(1996), 239–243.
- [31] M. Klešč and Š. Schrötter. On the crossing numbers of cartesian products of stars and graphs of order six. *Disc. Math. Graph Th.* 33(3)(2013) 583–597.
- [32] D. McQuillan, S. Pan and R. B. Richter. On the crossing number of  $K_{13}$ . *J. Combin. Th. Series B* 115(2015), 224–235.
- [33] R. B. Richter and G. Salazar. The crossing number of  $C_6 \times C_n$ . *Austral. J. Combin.* 23(2001), 135–143.
- [34] Z. Su and Y. Huang, Crossing Numbers of Some Classes of Cartesian Product Graphs. *J. Jishou Uni. Nat. Sci. Ed.* 29(6)(2008), 25–28.