Self-dual binary [8m, 4m]-codes constructed by left ideals of the dihedral group algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[D_{8m}]$

Yuan Cao, Yonglin Cao, Fang-Wei Fu and Jian Gao

9

Abstract—Let m be an arbitrary positive integer and D_{8m} be a dihedral group of order 8m, i.e., $D_{8m} = \langle x, y \mid x^{4m} =$ $1, y^2 = 1, yxy = x^{-1}$. Left ideals of the dihedral group algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[D_{8m}]$ are called binary left dihedral codes of length 8m, and abbreviated as binary left D_{8m} -codes. In this paper, we give an explicit representation and enumeration for all distinct self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes. These codes make up an important class of self-dual binary [8m, 4m]-codes such that the dihedral group D_{8m} is necessary a subgroup of the automorphism group of each code. In particular, we provide recursive algorithms to solve congruence equations over finite chain rings for constructing all distinct self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes and obtain a Mass formula to count the number of all these self-dual codes. As a preliminary application, we obtain the extremal self-dual binary [48, 24, 12]-code and an extremal self-dual binary [56, 28, 12]code from self-dual binary left D_{48} -codes and left D_{56} -codes respectively.

Index Terms—Self-dual binary code, Left dihedral code, Group algebra, Mass formula, Finite chain ring.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE class of self-dual codes is an interesting topic in coding theory due to their connections to other fields of mathematics such as Lattices, Cryptography, Invariant Theory, Block designs, etc. A common theme for the construction of self-dual codes is the use of a computer search. In order to make this search feasible, special construction methods have been used to reduce the search field. In recent years, one of the important construction methods is to use left ideals in a finite group algebra over finite fields and finite rings.

For example, McLoughlin [1] provided a construction of the self-dual, doubly-even and extremal [48, 24, 12] binary linear block code using a zero divisor in the dihedral group algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[D_{48}]$. Dougherty et al. [2] and [3] gave constructions of self-dual and formally self-dual codes from group rings R[G] where the ring R is a finite commutative Frobenius ring. They shown that several of the standard constructions of self-dual codes are found within this general framework. Additionally,

Yuan Cao is with School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo, Shandong 255091, China. e-mail: yuan-cao@sdut.edu.cn.

Yonglin Cao (Corresponding author) is with School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo, Shandong 255091, China. e-mail: ylcao@sdut.edu.cn.

Fang-Wei Fu is with Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. e-mail: fwfu@nankai.edu.cn.

Jian Gao is with School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo, Shandong 255091, China. e-mail: dezhougaojian@163.com.

Copyright (c) 2014 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to use this material for any other purposes must be obtained from the IEEE by sending a request to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

Manuscript received, 2018; revised, .

they showed precisely which groups can be used to construct the extremal Type II codes of length 24 and 48.

A linear code is said to be *self-dual* if $C = C^{\perp}$. Binary selfdual codes are called Type II if the weights of all codewords are multiple of 4 and Type I otherwise. Type II codes are said to have weights that are doubly-even as well. It is well-known that the upper bound for minimum distance d of a binary selfdual code of length n is

$$d \leq \begin{cases} 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 6, & \text{if } n \equiv 22 \pmod{24}; \\ 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A self-dual binary code is called *extremal* if it meets the bound.

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of q elements and G be an arbitrary finite group. The group algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ is an \mathbb{F}_q -algebra with basis G. Addition, multiplication with scalars $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and multiplication are defined by:

$$\sum_{g \in G} a_g g + \sum_{g \in G} b_g g = \sum_{g \in G} (a_g + b_g)g, \ c(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} ca_g g,$$
$$(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g)(\sum_{g \in G} b_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} (\sum_{uv = g} a_u b_v)g,$$

for any $a_g, b_g \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $g \in G$. Then $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ is a noncommutative ring with identity $1 = 1_{\mathbb{F}_q} 1_G$ where $1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and 1_G is the identity elements of \mathbb{F}_q and G respectively. It is known that $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ is semisimple if and only if gcd(q, |G|) = 1.

In this paper, let

$$D_{2n} = \langle x, y \mid x^n = 1, y^2 = 1, yxy = x^{-1} \rangle$$

= {xⁱy^j | 0 \le i \le n - 1, j = 0, 1}

be a dihedral group of order 2*n*. For any $a = (a_{0,0}, a_{1,0}, ..., a_{n-1,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{1,1}, ..., a_{n-1,1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$, we define

$$\Psi(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,0} x^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,1} x^i y.$$

Then Ψ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{F}_q -linear spaces from \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} onto $\mathbb{F}_q[D_{2n}]$. As a natural generalization of Dutra et al. [4], a nonempty subset \mathcal{C} of \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} is called a *left dihedral code* (or *left D*_{2n}*-code* for more clear) over \mathbb{F}_q if $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ is a left ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q[D_{2n}]$. We will equate \mathcal{C} with $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ in this paper.

There have been many research results on codes as twosided ideals and left ideals in a finite group algebra over finite fields. For example, Dutra et al [4] investigated codes that are two-sided ideals in a semisimple finite group algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$, and given a criterion to decide if these ideals are all the minimal two-sided ideals of $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ when G is a dihedral group. Brochero Martínez [5] showed all central irreducible idempotents and their Wedderburn decomposition of the semisimple dihedral group algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[D_{2n}]$ when every divisor of n divides q-1. Moreover, we gave a system theory for left D_{2n} -codes over finite fields \mathbb{F}_q in [6] where gcd(q, n) = 1, and obtained a complete description for left D_{2n} -codes over Galois rings $GR(p^2, m)$ in [7] were gcd(p, n) = 1.

One of the most studied open questions in coding theory is to ask whether there is an extremal doubly-even binary selfdual codes of length a multiple of 8. There are still many problems worth studying in this field. For example,

For which k does there exists a doubly-even self-dual binary [24k, 12k, 4k + 4] code (Open Question 7.7 in [8])?

In this paper, we provide a new way to construct binary self-dual [8m, 4m]-codes which is different from the methods used in [1], [2], [3] and [4]. Specifically, we give an explicit construction and enumeration for all distinct self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes. In future work, we will try to determine extremal self-dual binary [8m, 4m]-codes among these codes.

Notation 1.1: In this paper, let $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ be a binary field and $m = 2^{\lambda_0} m_0$, where m_0 and λ_0 are nonnegative integers such that m_0 is odd. Then $8m = 2 \cdot 4m$ where

$$4m = 2^{\lambda} \cdot m_0$$
 and $\lambda = \lambda_0 + 2 \ge 2$.

The present paper is organized as follows. In section II, we introduce necessary notations and give an explicit representation and enumeration for all distinct self-dual binary left D_{8m} codes by Theorem 2.4 which is the main result of this paper. In Section III, we give recursive algorithms to solve the problems in the construction of self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes and obtain a clear formula to count the number of all these self-dual codes. In Section IV, we list all distinct self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes for m = 1, 3, 6, 7. Among these codes, we obtain extremal self-dual binary codes with parameters [8, 4, 4], [24, 12, 8], [48, 24, 12], [56, 28, 12], respectively. In Section V, we give a detailed proof for Theorem 2.4 by four subsections: Give a concatenated structure for every binary left D_{8m} -code; Provide a representation and enumeration for all distinct binary left D_{8m} -codes; Determine the dual code for each binary left D_{8m} -code; Prove Theorem 2.4. Section VI concludes the paper.

II. Self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes

In this section, we introduce the necessary notations and known results first. Then we give an explicit representation and enumeration for all distinct self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes.

For any nonzero polynomial $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i x^i \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ of degree d, the *reciprocal polynomial* of g(x) is defined by

$$g^*(x) = (g(x))^* = x^d g(x^{-1}) = a_d + a_{d-1}x + \ldots + a_0 x^d,$$

and g(x) is said to be *self-reciprocal* if $g^*(x) = g(x)$.

As m_0 is an odd positive integer, we have that

$$x^{m_0} - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^r f_i(x),$$

where $f_0(x), f_1(x), \ldots, f_r(x)$ are pairwise coprime irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ such that

- $r = \rho + 2\epsilon$ for some nonnegative integers ρ and ϵ .
- $f_0(x) = x + 1$ with degree $d_0 = 1$.
- $f_i(x)$ is self-reciprocal and of degree $d_i \ge 2$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, \rho$.
- $f_{\rho+j}(x)$ is not self-reciprocal, $f_{\rho+j}^*(x) = f_{\rho+j+\epsilon}(x)$ and $\deg(f_{\rho+j}(x)) = \deg(f_{\rho+j+\epsilon}(x)) = d_{\rho+j}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, \epsilon$.

It is clear that $m_0 = \sum_{i=0}^r d_i$ and $x^{4m} - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^r f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}}$. This implies $4m = 2^{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^r d_i$. In this paper, we denote:

• $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^{4m} - 1 \rangle$ where we regard elements of \mathcal{A} as polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ of degree < 4m and the arithmetic is done modulo $x^{4m} - 1$.

• $A_i = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}} \rangle$ where we regard elements of A_i as polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ of degree $\langle 2^{\lambda}d_i$ and the arithmetic is done modulo $f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}}$, for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r$.

Moreover, for any integers i and s: $0 \le i \le \rho$ and $1 \le s \le 2^{\lambda}$, We adopt the following notation in this paper.

• Let $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^s \rangle = \{\sum_{j=0}^{sd_i-1} a_j x^j \mid a_j \in \mathbb{F}_2, j = 0, 1, \dots, sd_i - 1\}$ in which the arithmetic is done modulo $f_i(x)^s$.

• Let $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$ be the set of elements w(x) in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^s \rangle$ satisfying

$$w(x)w(x^{-1}) \equiv 1 \pmod{f_i(x)^s},$$

where $x^{-1} = x^{4m-1} \pmod{f_i(x)^s}$.

The rings A_i ($0 \le i \le r$) play important roles in this paper and their structures can be found in many dispersive literature.

Lemma 2.1: (cf. [9] Example 2.1) Using the notations above, denote $\langle f_i(x)^s \rangle = f_i(x)^s A_i$ for any $1 \le s \le 2^{\lambda}$ and $0 \le i \le r$. Then we have the following conclusions.

(i) A_i is a finite chain ring, $\langle f_i(x) \rangle$ is the unique maximal ideal of A_i , the nilpotency index of $f_i(x)$ is 2^{λ} and $A/\langle f_i(x) \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x) \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^{d_i}}$.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{T}_i = \{\sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} t_j x^j \mid t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{d_i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2\} \subset A_i$. Then every element of A_i has a unique $f_i(x)$ -expansion:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^{\lambda}-1} a_j(x) f_i(x)^j, \text{ where } a_j(x) \in \mathcal{T}_i, \ \forall j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{\lambda} - 1.$$

(iii) We can regard elements of $A_i/\langle f_i(x)^s \rangle$ as the same as the ring $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^s \rangle$. Hence $|A_i/\langle f_i(x)^s \rangle| = 2^{sd_i}$.

For each $0 \leq i \leq r$, denote $F_i(x) = \frac{x^{m_0}-1}{f_i(x)} \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Then $F_i(x)$ and $f_i(x)$ are coprime polynomials. Hence there are polynomials $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ such that

 $u_{i}(x)F_{i}(x) + v_{i}(x)f_{i}(x) = 1.$ This implies $F_{i}(x^{2^{\lambda}}) = \frac{x^{4m}-1}{f_{i}(x^{2^{\lambda}})} = \frac{x^{4m}-1}{f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda}}}$ and $u_{i}(x^{2^{\lambda}})F(x^{2^{\lambda}}) + u_{i}(x^{2^{\lambda}})f(x)^{2^{\lambda}} = 1$

$$u_i(x^{2^{\lambda}})F_i(x^{2^{\lambda}}) + v_i(x^{2^{\lambda}})f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}} = 1.$$
 (1)

In the rest of this paper, let $\varepsilon_i(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\varepsilon_i(x) \equiv u_i(x^{2^{\lambda}}) F_i(x^{2^{\lambda}}) \pmod{x^{4m} - 1}.$$
 (2)

From classical ring theory and the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we deduce the following lemma (cf. [9] Lemma 3.2).

Lemma 2.2: (i)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_i(x) = 1$$
, $\varepsilon_i(x)^2 = \varepsilon_i(x)$ and $\varepsilon_i(x)\varepsilon_j(x) = 0$ for all $0 \le i \ne j \le r$ in the ring \mathcal{A} .

(ii) $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{A}_{i}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{i} = \varepsilon_{i}(x)\mathcal{A}$ with $\varepsilon_{i}(x)$ as its multiplicative identity. Moreover, this decomposition is a ring direct sum in that $\mathcal{A}_{i}\mathcal{A}_{j} = \{0\}$ for all $0 \le i \ne j \le r$.

(iii) For each $0 \le i \le r$, the map

(') **F**

$$\varphi_i: a(x) \mapsto \varepsilon_i(x)a(x) \pmod{x^{4m}-1}, \ \forall a(x) \in A_i$$

is an isomorphism of rings from A_i onto A_i .

(iv) For any
$$a_i(x) \in A_i$$
, $0 \le i \le r$, define
 $\varphi : (a_0(x), \dots, a_r(x)) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^r \varepsilon_i(x) a_i(x) \pmod{x^{4m} - 1}.$

 $() = 1 \quad 0 \quad c : c$

Then φ is a ring isomorphism from the direct product ring $A_0 \times A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r$ onto \mathcal{A} .

As usual, we equate each vector $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{4m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{4m}$ with $a_0 + a_1x + \ldots + a_{4m-1}x^{4m-1} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then binary cyclic codes of length 4m are identified with ideals of the ring \mathcal{A} . In particular, we have the following properties for the ideal $\mathcal{A}_i = \varepsilon_i(x)\mathcal{A}$ of \mathcal{A} .

Corollary 2.3: Let $0 \le i \le r$. Then

(i) A_i is a binary cyclic code of length 4m with parity check polynomial $f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}}$ and generating idempotent $\varepsilon_i(x)$.

(ii) As a binary linear code of length 4m,

$$\{\varepsilon_i(x), x\varepsilon_i(x), x^2\varepsilon_i(x), \dots, x^{2^{\lambda_d}d_i-1}\varepsilon_i(x)\}$$

is a basis of \mathcal{A}_i . Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{A}_i) = 2^{\lambda} d_i$.

Proof: (ii) Since A_i is an \mathbb{F}_2 -linear space with a basis $\{1, x, \ldots, x^{2^{\lambda}d_i-1}\}$, by Lemma 2.2 (iii) we see that $\{\varepsilon_i(x), x\varepsilon_i(x), \ldots, x^{2^{\lambda}d_i-1}\varepsilon_i(x)\}$ is an \mathbb{F}_2 -basis of \mathcal{A}_i .

Now, let C_i be a linear code of length 2 over A_i , i.e. C_i is an A_i -submodule of $A_i^2 = \{(b_0(x), b_1(x)) \mid b_0(x), b_1(x) \in A_i\}$. For each $\xi = (b_0(x), b_1(x)) \in A_i^2$, we denote by

$$w_{H}^{(A_{i})}(\xi) = |\{j \mid b_{j}(x) \neq 0 \text{ in } A_{i}, j = 0, 1\}|$$

the Hamming weight of ξ and define the minimum Hamming distance of C_i as

$$d_H^{(A_i)}(C_i) = \min\{\mathbf{w}_H^{(A_i)}(\xi) \mid \xi \neq 0, \ \xi \in C_i\}.$$

As a natural generalization of the concept for concatenated codes over finite field (cf. [10], Definition 2.1), using the notations of Lemma 2.2 (iii) we define the *concatenated code* $\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i$ of the inner code \mathcal{A}_i and the outer code C_i by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i &= \{ (\varphi_i(\xi_0), \varphi_i(\xi_1)) \mid (\xi_0, \xi_1) \in C_i \} \\ &= \{ (\varepsilon_i(x)\xi_0, \varepsilon_i(x)\xi_1) \mid (\xi_0, \xi_1) \in C_i \} \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{A}_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 (iii), we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i$ is a binary quasi-cyclic code of length 8m and index 2 and the number of codewords is equal to $|\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i| = |C_i|$. This implies

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i) = \log_2 |C_i|,$$

and the minimum Hamming distance of $A_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i$ satisfies

$$d_{H}^{(\mathbb{F}_{2})}(\mathcal{A}_{i}\Box_{\varphi_{i}}C_{i}) \geq d_{H}^{(\mathbb{F}_{2})}(\mathcal{A}_{i}) \cdot d_{H}^{(A_{i})}(C_{i}),$$

where $d_{H}^{(\mathbb{F}_{2})}(\mathcal{A}_{i})$ is the minimum Hamming weight of \mathcal{A}_{i} as a binary linear code of length 4m.

For the end of this section, we list all distinct self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4: All distinct self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes are given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} (\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i),$$

where C_i is a linear code of length 2 over A_i with a generator matrix G_i given by the following cases:

(†) Let $0 \le i \le \rho$. Then G_i is given by one of the following $1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda-1}} |\mathcal{W}_i^{(2j)}|$ matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} (\dagger -1) \ |\mathcal{W}_{i}^{(2^{\lambda})}| \ matrices: \\ G_{i} &= (1, a(x)), \ \text{where} \ a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_{i}^{(2^{\lambda})}. \\ (\dagger -2) \ 1 \ matrix: \ G_{i} &= f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda - 1}} I_{2}. \\ (\dagger -3) \ \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda - 1} - 1} |\mathcal{W}_{i}^{(2j)}| \ matrices: \\ G_{i} &= \begin{pmatrix} f_{i}(x)^{k} & f_{i}(x)^{k}c(x) \\ 0 & f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda} - k} \end{pmatrix}, \\ where \ c(x) \in \mathcal{W}_{i}^{(2^{\lambda} - 2k)} \ and \ 1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda - 1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

(‡) Let $\rho + 1 \leq i \leq \rho + \epsilon$ and denote

$$\Omega_{(\lambda,d_i)} = 1 + 2^{(2^{\lambda}-1)d_i} + 2^{2^{\lambda}d_i} + (2^{d_i}+1) \sum_{l=0}^{2^{\lambda}-3} (2^{\lambda}-2-l)2^{ld_i}$$

Then the pair $(G_i, G_{i+\epsilon})$ is given by one of the following $\Omega_{(\lambda,d_i)}$ pairs of matrices.

(‡-1)
$$2^{2^{\lambda}d_i}$$
 pairs:
 $G_i = (1, a(x))$ and $G_{i+\epsilon} = (a(x^{-1}), 1),$

where $a(x) \in A_i$.

$$(\ddagger-2) 2^{(2^{\lambda}-1)d_i}$$
 pairs

 $G_i = (f_i(x)b(x), 1) \text{ and } G_{i+\epsilon} = (1, f_{i+\epsilon}(x) \cdot x^{-d_i}b(x^{-1})),$ where $b(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-1} \rangle.$

(‡-3) 1 pair:

$$G_i = f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda-1}} I_2$$
 and $G_{i+\epsilon} = f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda-1}} I_2$.
(‡-4) $\sum_{k=1}^{2^{\lambda}-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda}-k-1} 2^{jd_i}$ pairs:

$$G_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j} & f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j}c(x) \\ 0 & f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{pmatrix} and$$
$$G_{i+\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j}c(x^{-1}) & f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j} \\ f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$, $1 \leq j \leq 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$ $k < 2^{\lambda} - 2.$

$$\begin{aligned} (\ddagger -5) & \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\lambda}-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda}-k-1} 2^{(j-1)d_i} \text{ pairs:} \\ G_i &= \begin{pmatrix} f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j}c(x) & f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j} \\ f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and} \\ G_{i+\epsilon} &= \begin{pmatrix} f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j} & f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j}c(x^{-1}) \\ 0 & f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c(x) \in f_i(x)(\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle)$, $1 \leq j \leq 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$ and $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 2.$

Then the number of self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes is

$$\left(\prod_{i=0}^{\rho} (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda-1}} |\mathcal{W}_i^{(2j)}|)\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+\epsilon} \Omega_{(\lambda,d_i)}\right)$$

To make it convenient for readers, we put a detailed proof for this theorem in Section V.

Now, in order to list self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes by use of Theorem 2.4, we have to solve the following problem: Give an efficient algorithm to determine the set $W_i^{(s)}$ for all integers s = 2j and $i: 1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda-1}$ and $0 \le i \le \rho$.

III. Recursive algorithm to calculate $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$

In this section, we consider how to calculate the sets $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$ defined in Section II, for any $1 \le s \le 2^{\lambda}$ and $0 \le i \le \rho$.

 $\Diamond 1 \le i \le \rho$

Let $1 \le i \le \rho$. Then d_i is even since $f_i(x)$ is self-reciprocal and irreducible. When s = 1, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1: (cf. [6] Theorem 5.5 and its proof) Using the notations above, let $\zeta_i(x)$ be a primitive element of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x) \rangle$, i.e., the multiplicative order of $\zeta_i(x)$ modulo $f_i(x)$ is equal to $2^{d_i} - 1$. Then

(i)
$$a(x^{-1}) \equiv a(x)^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} \pmod{f_i(x)}$$
, for any $a(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$.

(ii) The congruence equation $a(x)a(x^{-1}) \equiv 1 \pmod{f_i(x)}$ has exactly $1 + 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}$ solutions in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x) \rangle$: $a_1(x) =$ $\zeta_i(x)^{(2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}-1)l}$ for $l=0,1,\ldots,2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{W}_i^{(1)} = \{\zeta_i(x)^{(2^{\frac{d_i}{2}} - 1)l} \mid l = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}\} \pmod{f_i(x)}$$

and $|\mathcal{W}_i^{(1)}| = 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}} + 1.$

introduce the following notations:

•
$$\mathcal{K}_i = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x) \rangle$$
, i.e.,
$$\mathcal{K}_i = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} c_j x^j \mid c_j \in \mathbb{F}_2, \ 0 \le j \le d_i - 1 \right\}$$

in which the arithmetic is done modulo $f_i(x)$. Then \mathcal{K}_i is a finite field of 2^{d_i} elements.

• $\mathcal{F}_i = \{\xi \in \mathcal{K}_i \mid \xi^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} = \xi\}$. Then \mathcal{F}_i is the unique subfield of \mathcal{K}_i with $2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}$ elements. Precisely, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \{0\} \cup \left\{ \zeta_i(x)^{(2^{\frac{d_i}{2}} + 1)t} \mid t = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}} - 2 \right\}.$$

• $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}_i/\mathcal{F}_i}$ is the trace function from \mathcal{K}_i onto \mathcal{F}_i , i.e.,

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}_i/\mathcal{F}_i}(\alpha) = \alpha^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} + \alpha = a(x)^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} + a(x) \pmod{f_i(x)},$$

for any $\alpha = a(x) \in \mathcal{K}_i$. Then from [11] Corollary 7.17 (i), we deduce the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.2: For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_i$, we denote

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}_i/\mathcal{F}_i}^{-1}(\gamma) = \{\beta \in \mathcal{K}_i \mid \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}_i/\mathcal{F}_i}(\beta) = \beta^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} + \beta = \gamma\}.$$

Then $|\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}_i/\mathcal{F}_i}^{-1}(\gamma)| = 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}.$

We calculate $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$ recursively by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3: Let $1 \leq i \leq \rho$ and $2 \leq s \leq 2^{\lambda}$. Assume $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s-1)}$ has been determined. Then $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$ can be determined by the following three steps:

Step 1. Choose $a_{s-1}(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(s-1)}$ arbitrary, and calculate

$$b_{s-1}(x) = \frac{a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{4m-1}) - 1}{f_i(x)^{s-1}} \pmod{f_i(x)}.$$

Then $x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}b_{s-1}(x) \in \mathcal{F}_i$.

Step 2. Find $\beta(x) \in \mathcal{K}_i = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x) \rangle$ such that $\beta(x) \in \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}_i/\mathcal{F}_i}^{-1}\left(x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}b_{s-1}(x)\right)$, i.e.,

$$\beta(x)^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} + \beta(x) \equiv x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}} b_{s-1}(x) \pmod{f_i(x)}.$$

Step 3. Set $a_1(x) = a_{s-1}(x) \pmod{f_i(x)}$, and calculate

$$z(x) = x^{4m - (s-1)\frac{a_i}{2}} a_1(x)\beta(x) \pmod{f_i(x)}.$$

Then $a_s(x) = a_{s-1}(x) + z(x)f_i(x)^{s-1} \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$.

Therefore, the number of elements in $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$ is equal to

$$|\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}| = (2^{\frac{d_i}{2}} + 1)2^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}.$$

In order to express $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$ precisely for $s = 2, 3, \dots, 2^{\lambda}$, we $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s-1)}$ and $x^{-1} = x^{4m-1}$ in $A_i = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}} \rangle$, we have

$$a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{4m-1}) \equiv 1 \pmod{f_i(x)^{s-1}}.$$

This implies $f_i(x)^{s-1} | (a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{4m-1}) - 1)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, and so $\frac{a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{4m-1}) - 1}{f_i(x)^{s-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Hence the polynomial

 $b_{s-1}(x)$ calculated by Step 1 belong to \mathcal{K}_i . As polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, by $f_i(x^{-1}) = x^{-d_i}f_i^*(x) = x^{-d_i}f_i(x)$ we have that

$$b_{s-1}(x^{-1}) \equiv \frac{a_{s-1}(x^{-1})a_{s-1}(x^{-(-1)}) - 1}{f_i(x^{-1})^{s-1}}$$

= $\frac{a_{s-1}(x^{-1})a_{s-1}(x) - 1}{x^{-(s-1)d_i}f_i(x)^{s-1}}$
= $x^{(s-1)d_i}\frac{a_{s-1}(x^{-(4m-1)})a_{s-1}(x) - 1}{f_i(x)^{s-1}}$
 $\equiv x^{(s-1)d_i}b_{s-1}(x) \pmod{f_i(x)}.$

From this and by Lemma 3.1(i), we deduce that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}b_{s-1}(x) \end{pmatrix}^{2\frac{d_i}{2}} = (x^{-1})^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}b_{s-1}(x^{-1})$$
$$= x^{-(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}} \cdot x^{(s-1)d_i}b_{s-1}(x) = x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}b_{s-1}(x)$$

in \mathcal{K}_i . This implies $x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}b_{s-1}(x) \in \mathcal{F}_i$, since \mathcal{F}_i is a subfield of \mathcal{K}_i and $|\mathcal{F}_i| = 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}$.

Let $a_s(x) = a_{s-1}(x) + z(x)f_i(x)^{s-1}$ where $z(x) \in \mathcal{K}_i$. Then by $a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{4m-1}) \equiv 1 + b_{s-1}(x)f_i(x)^{s-1} \pmod{f_i(x)}$, it follows that

$$a_{s}(x)a_{s}(x^{-1}) = (a_{s-1}(x) + z(x)f_{i}(x)^{s-1})
\cdot (a_{s-1}(x^{-1}) + z(x^{-1})f_{i}(x^{-1})^{s-1})
= \left(a_{s-1}(x)x^{-(s-1)d_{i}}z(\frac{1}{x}) + a_{s-1}(\frac{1}{x})z(x)\right)f_{i}(x)^{s-1}
+ a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{4m-1})
+ z(x)z(x^{-1})x^{-(s-1)d_{i}}f_{i}(x)^{s-1} \cdot f_{i}(x)^{s}
\equiv 1 + f_{i}(x)^{s-1}\left(a_{s-1}(x)x^{-(s-1)d_{i}}z(x^{-1})
+ a_{s-1}(x^{-1})z(x) + b_{s-1}(x)\right) \pmod{f_{i}(x)^{s}}.$$

From this we deduce that $a_s(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$, i.e. $a_s(x)a_s(x^{-1}) \equiv 1 \pmod{f_i(x)^s}$, if and only if

$$a_{s-1}(x)x^{-(s-1)d_i}z(x^{-1}) + a_{s-1}(x^{-1})z(x) + b_{s-1}(x) \equiv 0$$

(mod $f_i(x)$). Then by Lemma 3.1 (i), $a_1(x) \equiv a_{s-1}(x) \pmod{f_i(x)}$ and $a_1(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(1)}$, we see that the latter condition is equivalent to that $z(x) \in \mathcal{K}_i$ satisfying the following condition

$$\varrho(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{f_i(x)},\tag{3}$$

where

$$\varrho(x) = x^{-(s-1)d_i} a_1(x) z(x)^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} + a_1(x)^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} z(x) + b_{s-1}(x).$$

Furthermore, by $a_1(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(1)}$ and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$a_1(x) = a_1(x)^{-2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}}, \ a_1(x)^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} = a_1(x^{-1}), \ x^{-1} = x^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}}.$$

Multiplying $x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}$ on both sides of Equation (3), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}z(x)}{a_1(x)}\right)^{2\frac{d_i}{2}} + \frac{x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}z(x)}{a_1(x)} + x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}b_{s-1}(x) = 0.$$

Now, set $\beta(x) = \frac{x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}z(x)}{a_1(x)} \in \mathcal{K}_i$. Then we have $z(x) = x^{4m-(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}a_1(x)\beta(x) \pmod{f_i(x)}$, where $\beta(x)$ satisfies

$$\beta(x)^{2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} + \beta(x) = x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}} b_{s-1}(x),$$

i.e., $\beta(x) \in \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}_i/\mathcal{F}_i}^{-1} \left(x^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}} b_{s-1}(x) \right)$. Finally, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 it follows that $|\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}| = |\mathcal{W}_i^{(s-1)}| \cdot 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}} = \dots = (2^{\frac{d_i}{2}} + 1)2^{(s-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}$.

Therefore, for any $1 \le i \le \rho$ we have

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda-1}} |\mathcal{W}_i^{(2j)}| = 1 + (2^{\frac{d_i}{2}} + 1) \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda-1}} 2^{(2j-1)\frac{d_i}{2}}.$$

From this and by $2^{(2j-1)\frac{d_i}{2}} = 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}(2^{d_i})^{j-1}$, we deduce that

•
$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda-1}} |\mathcal{W}_i^{(2j)}| = 1 + (2^{d_i} + 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}) \frac{2^{2^{\lambda-1}d_i} - 1}{2^{d_i} - 1}$$
 if $1 \le i \le \rho$.
 $\diamondsuit \diamondsuit i = 0$

Now, let i = 0. Then $f_0(x) = x + 1$,

$$A_0 = \mathbb{F}_2[x] / \langle (x+1)^{2^{\lambda}} \rangle = \mathbb{F}_2[x] / \langle x^{2^{\lambda}} + 1 \rangle$$

and $x^{-1} = x^{2^{\lambda}-1}$ in A_0 . So we have $A_0/\langle x+1\rangle = \mathbb{F}_2$ and • $\mathcal{W}_0^{(1)} = \{1\}.$

When $s \ge 2$, $\mathcal{W}_0^{(s)}$ can be calculated recursively by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4: Let $2 \leq s \leq 2^{\lambda}$. For any $a_{s-1}(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(s-1)}$, calculate

$$b_{s-1} \equiv \frac{a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}) - 1}{(x+1)^{s-1}} \pmod{x+1}.$$
 (4)

Then we have one of the following cases:

Proof: By Equation (4), as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ we have $a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}) = 1 + b_{s-1}(x+1)^{s-1} \pmod{(x+1)^s}$. Denote $a(x) = a_{s-1}(x) + c_{s-1}(x+1)^{s-1} \in A_0/\langle (x+1)^s \rangle$ and $u(x) = 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{2^{\lambda}-1} (x+1)^t$, where $c_{s-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Then $x \cdot u(x) = (1 + (x+1))u(x) = 1 + (x+1)^{2^{\lambda}} = 1$ in A_0 . This implies $x^{2^{\lambda}-1} = x^{-1} = u(x)$ in A_0 . Therefore, we have

$$(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}+1)^{s-1} = ((x+1)u(x))^{s-1} = (x+1)^{s-1}u(x)^{s-1}$$

and $u(x) \equiv u(1) = 1 \pmod{x+1}$. Hence as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, we have

$$a(x)a(x^{2^{\lambda}-1})$$

$$= (a_{s-1}(x) + c_{s-1}(x+1)^{s-1}) \cdot (a_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}) + c_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}+1)^{s-1})$$

$$= a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}) + c_{s-1}^{2}(x+1)^{s-2}u(x)^{s-1} + (x+1)^{s-1} \cdot c_{s-1}(a_{s-1}(x)u(x)^{s-1} + a_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1})).$$

From this and by

$$a_{s-1}(x)u(x)^{s-1} + a_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1})$$

$$\equiv a_{s-1}(1)u(1)^{s-1} + a_{s-1}(1) = 0 \pmod{x+1},$$

we deduce that

$$a(x)a(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}) \equiv a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}) \pmod{(x+1)^s}.$$
 (5)
(i) Let $b_{s-1} = 0$. Then
 $a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{-1}) \equiv a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}) \equiv 1$

 $(\text{mod } (x+1)^s)$. This implies $a(x)a(x^{-1}) = 1$ in $A_0/\langle (x+1)^s \rangle$ by Equation (5). Hence $a(x) = a_{s-1}(x) + c_{s-1}(x+1)^{s-1} \in$ $\mathcal{W}_0^{(s)}$ for all $c_{s-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$.

(ii) Let $b_{s-1} = 1$. As a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, we have that $a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{2^{\lambda}-1}) \equiv 1 + (x+1)^{s-1} \pmod{(x+1)^s}$. Then by Equation (5), in the ring $A_0/\langle (x+1)^s \rangle$ we have

$$a(x)a(x^{-1}) = a_{s-1}(x)a_{s-1}(x^{-1}) = 1 + (x+1)^{s-1} \neq 1.$$

Hence $a(x) = a_{s-1}(x) + c_{s-1}(x+1)^{s-1} \notin \mathcal{W}_0^{(s)}$ for any $c_{s-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2.$

Example 3.5: Let $\lambda = 3$. We calculate $\mathcal{W}_0^{(s)}$ for all $s = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 8$. For $a_1(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(1)} = \{1\}$, we have $a_1(x) = 1$. As $b_1 = \frac{a_1(x)a_1(x^7) - 1}{x+1} = 0$, by Theorem 3.4 we obtain

• $\mathcal{W}_{0}^{(2)} = \{1, 1 + (x+1)\} = \{1, x\}.$ For any $a_{2}(x) \in \mathcal{W}_{0}^{(2)}$, we have $b_{2} = \frac{a_{2}(x)a_{2}(x^{7})-1}{(x+1)^{2}} \equiv 0$ (mod x + 1). Then by Theorem 3.4 it follows that

 $1 + x + x^2$.

For any $a_3(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(3)}$, by a direct calculation we get $b_3 =$ $a_3(x)a_3(x^7) = 1$ (mod x + 1). By Theorem 3.4 we obtain

$$\mathcal{W}_{0}^{(4)} = \{a_{3}(x), a_{3}(x) + (x+1)^{3} \mid a_{3}(x) \in \mathcal{W}_{0}^{(3)} \}$$

$$= \{1, x, x^{2}, x^{3}, 1+x+x^{2}, 1+x^{2}+x^{3},$$

$$x+x^{2}+x^{3}, 1+x+x^{3} \}.$$

For any $a_4(x) \in \{1, x, x^2, x^3\} \subset \mathcal{W}_0^{(4)}$, it is clear that $b_4 = \frac{a_4(x)a_4(x^7)-1}{(x+1)^4} \equiv 0 \pmod{x+1}$, but for any $a_4(x) \in C$ $\{1+x+x^2, 1+x^2+x^3, x+x^2+x^3, 1+x+x^3\} \subset \mathcal{W}_0^{(4)}$ we have $b_4 = \frac{a_4(x)a_4(x^7)-1}{(x+1)^4} \equiv 1 \pmod{x+1}$. Hence by Theorem 3.4 we obtain

$$\mathcal{W}_0^{(5)} = \{a_4(x), a_4(x) + (x+1)^4 \\ | a_4(x) \in \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}\} \\ = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, 1 + x^2 + x^4, \\ 1 + x + x^4, 1 + x^3 + x^4\}.$$

Using a similar method, we get the following calculations:

• $\mathcal{W}_0^{(6)} = \{a_5(x), a_5(x) + (x+1)^5 \mid a_5(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(5)}\}$ with $|\mathcal{W}_0^{(6)}| = 2|\mathcal{W}_0^{(5)}| = 16 = 2^4.$

•
$$\mathcal{W}_{0}^{(7)} = \{a_{5}(x), a_{5}(x) + (x+1)^{6} \\ | a_{5}(x) \in \{1, x, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}, 1+x^{2}+x^{4}\}\}$$

 $\cup \{a_{6}(x), a_{6}(x) + (x+1)^{6} \\ | a_{6}(x) = a_{5}(x) + (x+1)^{5}, \\ a_{5}(x) \in \{1+x+x^{4}, 1+x^{3}+x^{4}\}\}$

with $|\mathcal{W}_0^{(7)}| = 2|\mathcal{W}_0^{(5)}| = 2^4$. • $\mathcal{W}_0^{(8)} = \{a_7(x), a_7(x) + (x+1)^8 \mid a_7(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(7)}\}$ with $|\mathcal{W}_0^{(8)}| = 2|\mathcal{W}_0^{(7)}| = 2^5.$

Moreover, we have $|\mathcal{W}_{0}^{(9)}| = 2^{5}$ and $|\mathcal{W}_{0}^{(10)}| = |\mathcal{W}_{0}^{(11)}| =$ 2^6 (for $\lambda \ge 4$).

As stated above, we conclude the following conclusion.

Corollary 3.6: Using Notation 1.1 in Section I, The number of self-dual binary left $D_{2^{\lambda+1}m_0}$ -codes is

$$\omega_{\lambda} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\rho} (1 + (2^{d_i} + 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}}) \frac{2^{2^{\lambda-1}d_i} - 1}{2^{d_i} - 1}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+\epsilon} \Omega_{(\lambda, d_i)} \right),$$

where $\omega_{\lambda} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda-1}} |\mathcal{W}_{0}^{(2j)}|$ and

$$\Omega_{(\lambda,d_i)} = 1 + 2^{(2^{\lambda} - 1)d_i} + 2^{2^{\lambda}d_i} + (2^{d_i} + 1) \sum_{l=0}^{2^{\lambda} - 3} (2^{\lambda} - 2 - l) 2^{ld_i}.$$

In particular, we have the following formulas:

 \diamond When $\lambda = 2$, The number of self-dual binary left D_{8m_0} codes is

$$11\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\rho} \left(1 + (2^{d_i} + 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}})(2^{d_i} + 1)\right)\right) \left(\prod_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+\epsilon} \Omega_{(2,d_i)}\right),$$

where $\Omega_{(2,d_i)} = 1 + 2^{3d_i} + 2^{4d_i} + (2^{d_i} + 1)(2 + 2^{d_i}).$

 \diamond When $\lambda = 3$, The number of self-dual binary left D_{16m_0} codes is

$$59\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\rho} (1 + (2^{d_i} + 2^{\frac{d_i}{2}})\frac{2^{4d_i} - 1}{2^{d_i} - 1})\right) \left(\prod_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+\epsilon} \Omega_{(3,d_i)}\right),$$

where $\Omega_{(3,d_i)} = 1 + 2^{7d_i} + 2^{8d_i} + (2^{d_i} + 1) \sum_{l=0}^{5} (6-l) 2^{ld_i}$.

Let $SLD(\lambda, m_0)$ be the number of all self-dual binary left $D_{2^{\lambda+1}m_0}$ -codes. Then we have the following table:

$2^{\lambda+1}m_0$	$(\lambda, m_0))$	$SLD(\lambda,m_0)$
8	(2, 1)	11
24	(2, 3)	$11 \cdot 31 = 341$
40	(2, 5)	$11 \cdot 341 = 3751$
56	(2,7)	$11 \cdot 4699 = 51689$
72	(2, 9)	$11 \cdot 31 \cdot 4681 = 1596221$
16	(3, 1)	59
48	(3, 3)	$59 \cdot 511 = 30149$
80	(3, 5)	$59 \cdot 87381 = 5155479$
112	(3, 7)	$59 \cdot 19259551 = 1136313509$
144	(3, 9)	$59 \cdot 511 \cdot 19173961 = 578075750189$

Conjecture $|\mathcal{W}_0^{(s)}| = 2^{1+\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} = 2 \cdot 2^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}$ for any integer $s \ge 4$. Then

$$\omega_{\lambda} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda-1}} |\mathcal{W}_{0}^{(2j)}| = 1 + 2 + 2\sum_{j=2}^{2^{\lambda-1}} 2^{j} = 3 + 8(2^{2^{\lambda-1}-1}-1).$$

This conjecture has been proven to hold for $\lambda = 2, 3$.

IV. Self-dual binary left
$$D_{8m}$$
-codes for $m = 1, 3, 6, 7$

In this section, we describe in detail how to list explicitly all distinct self-dual binary left D_8 -codes, left D_{24} -codes, left D_{48} -codes and left D_{56} -codes, respectively.

Example 4.1: We consider self-dual binary left D_8 -codes. In this case, we have $\lambda = 2$, m = 1 and $x^4 - 1 = (x + 1)^4$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Then $r = \rho = \epsilon = 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(x) = 1$. By Theorem 2.4, all distinct self-dual binary left D_8 -codes are given by: C_0 , where C_0 is a linear code of length 2 over the finite chain ring $A_0 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle (x + 1)^4 \rangle$ with one and only one of the following 11 matrices as its generator matrix:

(i)
$$G_0 = (1, a(x)), \ a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(4)};$$

(ii) $G_0 = (x+1)^2 I_2;$
(iii) $G_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (x+1) & (x+1)c(x) \\ 0 & (x+1)^3 \end{pmatrix}, \ c(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(2)},$

where $\mathcal{W}_0^{(4)}$ and $\mathcal{W}_0^{(2)}$ are given in Example 3.5.

Each self-dual binary left D_8 -code is a self-dual binary [8, 4, d]-codes where d is the minimal Hamming distance of C_0 . Precisely, we have the following table:

case	G_0	[8,4,d]
(i)	$(1, a(x)), a(x) \in \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$	[8, 4, 2]
	$(1, a(x)), a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(4)} \setminus \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$	[8,4,4]
(ii)	$(x+1)^2 I_2$	[8, 4, 2]
(iii)	$\left(\begin{array}{cc} x+1 & (x+1)c(x) \\ 0 & (x+1)^3 \end{array}\right), c(x) \in \{1,x\}$	[8,4,4]

Example 4.2: We consider binary left D_{24} -codes. In this case, $24 = 2 \cdot 12$, $12 = 4 \cdot 3$ and $x^3 - 1 = f_0(x)f_1(x)$ where $f_0(x) = x + 1$ and $f_1(x) = x^2 + x + 1$. Hence $\rho = r = 1$, $\epsilon = 0$, $d_0 = 1$, $d_1 = 2$ and $x^{12} - 1 = f_0(x)^4 f_1(x)^4$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^{12} - 1 \rangle$, $A_0 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle (1+x)^4 \rangle$, $A_1 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle (1+x+x^2)^4 \rangle$, $\varepsilon_0(x) = 1+x^4+x^8$ and $\varepsilon_1(x) = x^4+x^8$. By Theorem 2.4, all 341 self-dual binary left D_{24} -codes are given by: $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{A}_0 \Box_{\varphi_0} C_0) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \Box_{\varphi_1} C_1)$, where

 $\Diamond \mathcal{A}_0$ is a binary cyclic code of length 12 with idempotent generator $\varepsilon_0(x)$ and parity check polynomial $1 + x^4$.

 φ_0 is an isomorphism of rings from A_0 onto \mathcal{A}_0 defined by $\varphi_0(a(x)) = \varepsilon_0(x)a(x) \pmod{x^{12}+1}$ for all $a(x) \in A_0$.

 C_0 is one of the 11 linear codes of length 2 over A_0 with a generator matrix G_0 given in Example 4.1.

 $\Diamond A_1$ is a binary cyclic code of length 12 with idempotent generator $\varepsilon_1(x)$ and parity check polynomial $1 + x^4 + x^8$.

 φ_1 is an isomorphism of rings from A_1 onto \mathcal{A}_1 defined by $\varphi_1(b(x)) = \varepsilon_1(x)b(x) \pmod{x^{12}+1}$ for all $b(x) \in A_1$.

 C_1 is a linear code of length 2 over A_1 with a generator matrix G_1 given by one of the following 31 matrices:

(i)
$$G_1 = (1, a(x)), a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_1^{(4)};$$

(ii) $G_1 = f_1(x)^2 I_2$ where $f_1(x) = x^2 + x + 1;$
(iii) $G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) & f_1(x)c(x) \\ 0 & f_1(x)^3 \end{pmatrix}, c(x) \in \mathcal{W}_1^{(2)},$

where $\mathcal{W}_1^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{W}_1^{(4)}$ are given in Appendix B.

Among the 341 codes listed above, we have 24 self-dual binary [24, 12, 8]-codes with the same weight distribution enumerator $1 + 759X^8 + 2576X^{12} + 759X^{16} + X^{24}$:

$$\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{A}_0 \Box_{\varphi_0} C_0) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \Box_{\varphi_1} C_1),$$

where the generator matrix G_0 of C_0 and the generator matrix G_1 of C_1 are given by one of the two cases:

 $\begin{array}{l} (\dagger) \ G_0 = (1, a_0(x)) \ \text{with} \ a_0(x) \in \{1 + x + x^2, 1 + x^2 + x^3\}; \\ G_1 = (1, a_1(x)) \ \text{with} \ a_1(x) \in \{x + x^4 + x^7, x + x^3 + x^5 + x^6, x + x^2 + x^6 + x^7, 1 + x + x^3 + x^5, x^2 + x^3 + x^5 + x^7, 1 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^7\}. \\ (\dagger) \ G_0 = (1, a_0(x)) \ \text{with} \ a_0(x) \in \{1 + x + x^3, x + x^2 + x^3\}; \\ G_1 = (1, a_1(x)) \ \text{with} \ a_1(x) \in \{1 + x^3 + x^6, x + x^2 + x^4 + x^6, 1 + x + x^5 + x^6, x^2 + x^4 + x^6 + x^7, 1 + x^2 + x^4 + x^5, 1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^7\}. \end{array}$

The above 24 self-dual binary [24, 12, 8]-codes are extremal and permutation equivalent.

Example 4.3: We consider binary left D_{48} -codes. In this case, $48 = 2 \cdot 24$, $24 = 8 \cdot 3$ and $x^3 - 1 = f_0(x)f_1(x)$ where $f_0(x) = x + 1$ and $f_1(x) = x^2 + x + 1$. Hence r = 1, $d_0 = 1$, $d_1 = 2$ and $x^{24} - 1 = f_0(x)^8 f_1(x)^8$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^{24} - 1 \rangle$, $A_0 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle (1 + x)^8 \rangle$, $A_1 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle (1 + x + x^2)^8 \rangle$,

$$\varepsilon_0(x) = 1 + x^8 + x^{16}$$
 and $\varepsilon_1(x) = x^8 + x^{16}$.

By Theorem 2.4, all 30149 self-dual binary left D_{48} -codes are given by: $C = (\mathcal{A}_0 \Box_{\varphi_0} C_0) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \Box_{\varphi_1} C_1)$, here for i = 0, 1:

 $\diamond A_i$ is a binary cyclic code of length 24 with idempotent generator $\varepsilon_i(x)$ and parity check polynomial $f_i(x)^8$.

 $\diamond \varphi_i$ is an isomorphism of rings from A_i onto \mathcal{A}_i defined by $\varphi_i(a(x)) = \varepsilon_i(x)a(x) \pmod{x^{24}+1}$ for all $a(x) \in A_i$.

 $\diamond C_0$ is a linear code of length 2 over A_0 with a generator matrix G_0 given by one of the following three cases:

(i)
$$G_0 = (1, a(x)), a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(8)};$$

(ii) $G_0 = (x+1)^4 I_2;$

1

(iii) $G_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (x+1)^k & (x+1)^k c(x) \\ 0 & (x+1)^{8-k} \end{pmatrix}$, $c(x) \in \mathcal{W}_0^{(8-2k)}$ and $1 \le k \le 3$, where $\mathcal{W}_0^{(8-2k)}$ is given in Example 3.5 for all k = 0, 1, 2, 3.

where $W_0^{(0-2k)}$ is given in Example 3.5 for all k = 0, 1, 2, 3. $\diamond C_1$ is a linear code of length 2 over A_1 with a generator matrix G_1 given by one of the following three cases:

(i)
$$G_1 = (1, b(x)), b(x) \in \mathcal{W}_1^{(8)};$$

(ii) $G_1 = f_1(x)^4 I_2$ where $f_1(x) = x^2 + x + 1;$
(...) $G_1 = (f_1(x)^k, f_1(x)^k c(x))$

(iii)
$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) & f_1(x) & c(x) \\ 0 & f_1(x)^{8-k} \end{pmatrix}, \ c(x) \in \mathcal{W}_1^{(8-2k)} \text{ and } \\ \leq k \leq 3, \\ \leq$$

where $\mathcal{W}_1^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{W}_1^{(4)}$ are given in Appendix B, $\mathcal{W}_1^{(6)}$ and $\mathcal{W}_1^{(8)}$ can be calculated easily by use of the algorithm in Theorem 3.3. Here we omit the calculation results to save spaces, since $|\mathcal{W}_1^{(6)}| = 96$ and $|\mathcal{W}_1^{(8)}| = 384$.

Among the 30149 codes listed above, we have 192 doublyeven self-dual binary [48, 24, 12]-codes:

$$\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{A}_0 \Box_{\varphi_0} C_0) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \Box_{\varphi_1} C_1),$$

where C_0 has the generator matrix $G_0 = (1, a(x))$, C_1 has the generator matrix $G_1 = (1, b(x))$ and the pair (a(x), b(x)) is given by Appendix C of this paper. These 192 self-dual binary [48, 24, 12]-codes are extremal and permutation equivalent.

Example 4.4: We consider binary left D_{56} -codes. In this case, $56 = 2 \cdot 28$, $28 = 4 \cdot 7$, $\lambda = 2$ and $x^7 - 1 = f_0(x)f_1(x)f_2(x)$ where $f_0(x) = x+1$, $f_1(x) = x^3+x+1$ and $f_2(x) = x^3+x^2+1 = f_2^*(x)$. Hence r = 2, $\rho = 0$, $\epsilon = 1$, $d_0 = 1$, $d_1 = d_2 = 3$ and $x^{28} - 1 = f_0(x)^4 f_1(x)^4 f_2(x)^4$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^{28} - 1 \rangle$, $A_0 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle (1+x)^4 \rangle$, $A_i = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^4 \rangle$ for i = 1, 2, $\varepsilon_0(x) = x^{24} + x^{20} + x^{16} + x^{12} + x^8 + x^4 + 1$,

$$\varepsilon_1(x) = x^{16} + x^8 + x^4 + 1$$
 and $\varepsilon_2(x) = x^{24} + x^{20} + x^{12} + 1$

By Theorem 2.4, all 51689 self-dual binary left D_{56} -codes are given by $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{A}_0 \Box_{\varphi_0} C_0) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \Box_{\varphi_1} C_1) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_2 \Box_{\varphi_2} C_2)$, where for i = 0, 1, 2 we have the following:

 $\diamond A_i$ is a binary cyclic code of length 24 with idempotent generator $\varepsilon_i(x)$ and parity check polynomial $f_i(x)^4$.

 $\diamond \varphi_i$ is an isomorphism of rings from A_i onto \mathcal{A}_i defined by $\varphi_i(a(x)) = \varepsilon_i(x)a(x) \pmod{x^{28}+1}$ for all $a(x) \in A_i$.

 $\diamond C_0$ is one of the 11 linear codes of length 2 over A_0 with a generator matrix G_0 given in Example 4.1.

 $\diamond C_i$ is a linear code of length 2 over A_i with a generator matrix G_i for i = 1, 2, and the pair (G_1, G_2) is given by one of the following 4699 pairs of matrices where $x^{-1} = x^{27}$ (mod $f_2(x)^4$):

(i) $2^{12} = 4096$ pairs:

$$G_1 = (1, \eta(x))$$
 and $G_2 = (\eta(x^{-1}), 1)$, where $\eta(x) \in A_1$.

(ii) $2^9 = 512$ pairs:

$$G_1 = (f_1(x)b(x), 1)$$
 and $G_2 = (1, f_2(x) \cdot x^{-3}b(x^{-1})),$

where $b(x) \in A_1/\langle f_1(x)^3 \rangle$.

(iii) 1 pair:
$$G_1 = f_1(x)^2 I_2$$
 and $G_2 = f_2(x)^2 I_2$.

(iv) 80 pairs:
1.
$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x)^2 & f_1(x)^2 c(x) \\ 0 & f_1(x)^3 \end{pmatrix}$$
 where $c(x) \in A_i / \langle f_i(x) \rangle$,
and $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_2(x)^2 c(x^{-1}) & f_2(x)^2 \\ f_2(x)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;
2. $G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) & f_1(x)c(x) \\ 0 & f_1(x)^3 \end{pmatrix}$ where $c(x) \in A_i / \langle f_i(x)^2 \rangle$,
and $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_2(x)c(x^{-1}) & f_2(x) \\ f_2(x)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;
3. $G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) & f_1(x)c(x) \\ 0 & f_1(x)^2 \end{pmatrix}$ where $c(x) \in A_i / \langle f_i(x) \rangle$,
and $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_2(x)c(x^{-1}) & f_2(x) \\ 0 & f_1(x)^2 \end{pmatrix}$ where $c(x) \in A_i / \langle f_i(x) \rangle$,
and $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_2(x)c(x^{-1}) & f_2(x) \\ f_2(x)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
(v) 10 pairs:
1. $G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_1(x)^2 \\ f_1(x)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_2(x)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2(x)^3 \end{pmatrix}$;

$$\mathbf{1.} \ G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_1(x)^2 \\ f_1(x)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_2(x)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2(x)^3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{2.} \ G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x)c(x) & f_1(x) \\ f_1(x)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and }$$
$$G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_2(x) & f_2(x)c(x^{-1}) \\ 0 & f_2(x)^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c(x) = f_1(x)a(x)$ and a(x) is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ of degree less than 3;

3.
$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_1(x) \\ f_1(x)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_2(x) & 0 \\ 0 & f_2(x)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Among 51689 self-dual binary left D_{56} -codes, we have the following 728 doubly-even self-dual binary [56, 28, 12]-codes:

$$\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{A}_0 \Box_{\varphi_0} C_0) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \Box_{\varphi_1} C_1) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_2 \Box_{\varphi_2} C_2),$$

where $G_0 = (1, a(x))$, $G_1 = (1, \eta(x))$ and $G_2 = (\eta(x^{-1}), 1)$ is a generator matrix of the code C_0 , C_1 and C_2 , respectively, and the pairs $(a(x), \eta(x))$ of polynomials are given in Appendix D of this paper explicitly. These 728 self-dual binary [56, 28, 12]-codes are extremal and permutation equivalent.

V. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

In this section, we give a proof for Theorem 2.4. It needs to be divided into four parts.

V.1 Concatenated structure of binary left D_{8m}-codes

In this subsection, we give a concatenated structure for every binary left D_{8m} -code. As

$$D_{8m} = \langle x, y \mid x^{4m} = 1, y^2 = 1, yxy = x^{-1} \rangle,$$

 $C^{(4m)} = \langle x \mid x^{4m} = 1 \rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of D_{8m} with order 4m generated by x. Hence the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[C^{(4m)}]$ is equal to the residue class ring $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^{4m} - 1 \rangle$. This implies that \mathcal{A} is a subring of $\mathbb{F}_2[D_{8m}]$ and

$$\mathbb{F}_2[D_{8m}] = \{\alpha(x) + \beta(x)y \mid \alpha(x), \beta(x) \in \mathcal{A}\} \ (y^2 = 1)$$

in which $y\alpha(x) = \alpha(x^{-1})y$ for all $\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{A}$. Now, we define a map $\Theta : \mathcal{A}^2 \to \mathbb{F}_2[D_{8m}]$ by

$$\Theta(\alpha(x),\beta(x))=\alpha(x)+\beta(x)y, \ \forall \alpha(x),\beta(x)\in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then one can easily verify that Θ is an \mathcal{A} -module isomorphism from \mathcal{A}^2 onto $\mathbb{F}_2[D_{8m}]$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{F}_2[D_{8m}]$. Then \mathcal{C} is a left ideal of $\mathbb{F}_2[D_{8m}]$, i.e. \mathcal{C} is a binary left D_{8m} -code, if and only if $\Theta^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is an \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{A}^2 and $y\xi \in \mathcal{C}$ for any $\xi = \alpha(x) + \beta(x)y \in \mathcal{C}$. From this and by

$$y\xi = \alpha(x^{-1})y + \beta(x^{-1})y^2 = \beta(x^{-1}) + \alpha(x^{-1})y,$$

we deduce that

$$y\xi \in \mathcal{C} \iff (\beta(x^{-1}), \alpha(x^{-1})) \in \Theta^{-1}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Let $\mathcal{C}' = \Theta^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$. Then $\mathcal{C} = \Theta(\mathcal{C}')$. Hence \mathcal{C} is a binary left D_{8m} -code if and only if there is a unique \mathcal{A} -submodule \mathcal{C}' of \mathcal{A}^2 satisfying the following condition:

$$(\beta(x^{-1}), \alpha(x^{-1})) \in \mathcal{C}', \ \forall (\alpha(x), \beta(x)) \in \mathcal{C}'$$

such that $\Theta(\mathcal{C}') = \mathcal{C}$. We will equate \mathcal{C} with \mathcal{C}' in this paper.

Theorem 5.1: Every binary left D_{8m} -code C can be uniquely decomposed as the following:

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \left(\mathcal{A}_{i} \Box_{\varphi_{i}} C_{i} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \left(\mathcal{A}_{i} \Box_{\varphi_{i}} C_{i} \right),$$

where C_i , $0 \le i \le r$, is a linear code of length 2 over the finite chain ring A_i satisfying the following conditions:

(i) If
$$0 \le i \le \rho$$
, C_i satisfies
 $(b_i(x^{-1}), a_i(x^{-1})) \in C_i, \ \forall (a_i(x), b_i(x)) \in C_i.$

(6)

(ii) If $\rho + 1 \le i \le \rho + \epsilon$, the pair $(C_i, C_{i+\epsilon})$ of linear codes is given by

$$C_{i+\epsilon} = \{ (b_i(x^{-1}), a_i(x^{-1})) \mid (a_i(x), b_i(x)) \in C_i \} \subseteq A_{i+\epsilon}^2,$$

where C_i is an arbitrary linear code of length 2 over A_i .

Moreover, the number of codewords in C is $\prod_{i=0}^{r} |C_i|$.

Proof: For any integer $i, 0 \le i \le r$, denote

$$\mu(i) = \begin{cases} i, & \text{when } 0 \le i \le \rho; \\ i + \epsilon, & \text{when } \rho + 1 \le i \le \rho + \epsilon; \\ i - \epsilon, & \text{when } \rho + \epsilon + 1 \le i \le \rho + 2\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Now, we claim that

$$\varepsilon_i(x^{-1}) = \varepsilon_{\mu(i)}(x)$$
 in \mathcal{A} , where $x^{-1} = x^{4m-1}$. (7)

In fact, by $f_{\mu(i)}(x) = f_i^*(x) = x^{d_i} f_i(x^{-1})$ we have $f_i(x^{-1}) = x^{-d_i} f_{\mu(i)}(x)$ in \mathcal{A}

$$eg(f_{u(i)}(x)) = deg(f_i(x)) = d_i$$
. From these, w

and $\deg(f_{\mu(i)}(x)) = \deg(f_i(x)) = d_i$. F that $f_i(x^{-1})^{2^{\lambda}} = x^{-2^{\lambda}d_i}f_{\mu(i)}(x)^{2^{\lambda}}$ and ve deduce

$$F_{i}(x^{-2^{\lambda}}) = \frac{x^{-4m} - 1}{f_{i}(x^{-1})^{2^{\lambda}}} = \frac{x^{4m} + 1}{x^{4m}f_{i}(x^{-1})^{2^{\lambda}}}$$
$$= \frac{x^{4m} + 1}{x^{4m - 2^{\lambda}d_{i}}f_{\mu(i)}(x)^{2^{\lambda}}}$$
$$= x^{2^{\lambda}d_{i} - 4m}F_{\mu(i)}(x).$$

By Equation (1), it follows that

$$u_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}})F_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}}) + v_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}})f_i(x^{-1})^{2^{\lambda}} = 1,$$

where

$$v_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}})f_i(x^{-1})^{2^{\lambda}} = v_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}})x^{-2^{\lambda}d_i}f_{\mu(i)}(x)^{2^{\lambda}}$$
$$= \widehat{v}_{\mu(i)}(x)f_{\mu(i)}(x)^{2^{\lambda}},$$
$$u_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}})F_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}}) = u_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}})x^{2^{\lambda}d_i-4m}F_{\mu(i)}(x^{2^{\lambda}})$$

$$= \hat{u}_{\mu(i)}(x)F_{\mu(i)}(x^{2^{\lambda}}),$$

and $\widehat{v}_{\mu(i)}(x), \widehat{u}_{\mu(i)}(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying: $\widehat{v}_{\mu(i)}(x) = x^{4m-2^{\lambda}(d_i + \deg(v_i(x)))} v_i^*(x^{2^{\lambda}})$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}_{\mu(i)}(x) &= x^{4m-2} \left(\hat{u}_i + \deg(\hat{v}_i(x)) \hat{v}_i^*(x^2) \right) \\ \hat{u}_{\mu(i)}(x) &= x^{2^{\lambda} d_i + 4m - 2^{\lambda} \deg(\hat{u}_i(x))} \hat{u}_i^*(x^{2^{\lambda}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$u_{\mu(i)}(x) = x$$
 of (i, j)

respectively. Hence

$$\widehat{u}_{\mu(i)}(x)F_{\mu(i)}(x^{2^{\lambda}}) + \widehat{v}_{\mu(i)}(x)f_{\mu(i)}(x)^{2^{\lambda}} = 1$$

From these and by Equation (2), we deduce that

$$\varepsilon_i(x^{-1}) = u_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}})F_i(x^{-2^{\lambda}}) = \widehat{u}_{\mu(i)}(x)F_{\mu(i)}(x^{2^{\lambda}})$$
$$= \varepsilon_{\mu(i)}(x).$$

Therefore, Equation (7) was proved.

Using the notations in Lemma 2.2 (iii) and (iv), for any $(\xi_{i0}, \xi_{i1}) \in A_i^2, 0 \le i \le r$, we define

$$\Phi((\xi_{00},\xi_{01}),(\xi_{10},\xi_{11}),\ldots,(\xi_{r0},\xi_{r1})) \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{r+t} (\varphi_i(\xi_{i0}),\varphi_i(\xi_{i1})) \\
= (\sum_{i=0}^r \varepsilon_i(x)\xi_{i0},\sum_{i=0}^r \varepsilon_i(x)\xi_{i1}) \pmod{x^{4m}-1}$$

It is clear that Φ is an $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ -module isomorphism from $A_0^2 imes$ $A_1^2 \times \ldots \times A_r^2$ onto \mathcal{A}^2 . Now, let \mathcal{C} be an \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{A}^2 . Then for each integer $i, 0 \leq i \leq r$, there is a unique A_i -submodule C_i of A_i^2 such that

$$\mathcal{C} = \Phi(C_0 \times C_1 \times \ldots \times C_r)$$

= $\{\sum_{i=0}^r (\varphi_i(\xi_{i0}), \varphi_i(\xi_{i1})) \mid (\xi_{i0}, \xi_{i1}) \in C_i, 0 \le i \le r\}$
= $\bigoplus_{i=0}^r \{(\varphi_i(\xi_{i0}), \varphi_i(\xi_{i1})) \mid (\xi_{i0}, \xi_{i1}) \in C_i\}$
= $(\mathcal{A}_0 \Box_{\varphi_0} C_0) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \Box_{\varphi_1} C_1) \oplus \ldots \oplus (\mathcal{A}_r \Box_{\varphi_r} C_r).$

It is obvious that $|\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{i=0}^{r} |C_i|$.

Moreover, for any integer $i, 0 \le i \le r$, and $(a_i(x), b_i(x)) \in$ C_i , let $(\alpha(x), \beta(x)) \in \mathcal{C}$ where

$$\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_i(x) a_i(x) \text{ and } \beta(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_i(x) b_i(x).$$

By Equation (7), in the ring \mathcal{A} we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x^{-1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_i(x^{-1}) a_i(x^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_{\mu(i)}(x) a_i(x^{-1}) \\ \beta(x^{-1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_i(x^{-1}) b_i(x^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_{\mu(i)}(x) b_i(x^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

These imply

$$\begin{aligned} &(\beta(x^{-1}), \alpha(x^{-1})) \\ &= (\sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_{\mu(i)}(x) b_{i}(x^{-1}), \sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_{\mu(i)}(x) a_{i}(x^{-1})) \\ &= \Phi((b_{0}(x^{-1}), a_{0}(x^{-1})), \dots, (b_{\rho}(x^{-1}), a_{\rho}(x^{-1})), \\ &(b_{\rho+\epsilon+1}(x^{-1}), a_{\rho+\epsilon+1}(x^{-1})), \\ &\dots, (b_{\rho+2\epsilon}(x^{-1}), a_{\rho+2\epsilon}(x^{-1})), \\ &(b_{\rho+1}(x^{-1}), a_{\rho+1}(x^{-1})), \\ &\dots, (b_{\rho+\epsilon}(x^{-1}), a_{\rho+\epsilon}(x^{-1}))). \end{aligned}$$

From this and by $C = \Phi(C_0 \times C_1 \times \ldots \times C_r)$, we deduce that $(\beta(x^{-1}), \alpha(x^{-1})) \in \mathcal{C} \iff (b_i(x^{-1}), a_i(x^{-1})) \in C_{\mu(i)}, \forall i.$

Therefore, we have one of the following two cases:

(i) Let $0 \le i \le \rho$. In this case, we have $\mu(i) = i$ and hence C_i satisfies Condition (6).

(ii) Let $\rho + 1 \le i \le \rho + \epsilon$. we have $\mu(i) = i + \epsilon$ and $\mu(i + \epsilon) = \epsilon$ *i*. In this case, C_i and $C_{i+\epsilon}$ satisfy the above conditions if and only if $C_{i+\epsilon} = \{(b_i(x^{-1}), a_i(x^{-1})) \mid (a_i(x), b_i(x)) \in C_i\}$ and C_i is an arbitrary linear code over A_i of length 2.

In the rest of this paper, we call $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i)$ the canonical form decomposition of the binary left D_{8m} -code C.

By Theorem 5.1, in order to list all distinct binary left D_{8m} codes we just need to solve the following questions:

Question 1. Determine all linear codes of length 2 over A_i for each $i = \rho + 1, \dots, \rho + \epsilon$.

Question 2. Determine all linear codes of length 2 over A_i satisfying Condition (6) for each $i = 0, 1, ..., \rho$.

V.2 Representation and enumeration for binary left D_{8m} -codes

In this subsection, we solve the two questions at the end of Subsection V.1 first. Then we obtain an explicit representation and enumeration for all distinct binary left D_{8m} -codes.

Let $0 \le i \le r$ and $a(x) \in A_i = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^{2^*} \rangle$. By Lemma 2.1(ii), a(x) has a unique $f_i(x)$ -adic expansion:

$$a(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{\lambda} - 1} f_i(x)^j a_j(x), \ a_j(x) \in \mathcal{T}_i.$$

If $a(x) \neq 0$, we define the $f_i(x)$ -degree of a(x) as the least index j for which $a_j(x) \neq 0$ and denote as $||a(x)||_{f_i(x)} = j$. If $\alpha(x) = 0$ we define $||a(x)||_{f_i(x)} = 2^{\lambda}$.

Furthermore, for any vector $\alpha = (a(x), b(x)) \in A_i^2$, where $a(x), b(x) \in A_i$, we define the $f_i(x)$ -degree of α by

$$\|\alpha\|_{f_i(x)} = \min\{\|a(x)\|_{f_i(x)}, \|b(x)\|_{f_i(x)}\}$$

Let $1 \leq j \leq 2^{\lambda}$ and $b(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$. By Lemma 2.1 (iii), b(x) has a unique $f_i(x)$ -expansion:

$$b(x) = b_0(x) + f_i(x)b_1(x) + \ldots + f_i(x)^{j-1}b_{j-1}(x) + \langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$$

where $b_l(x) \in \mathcal{T}_i$ for all $l = 0, 1, \ldots, j - 1$. So

$$|A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j\rangle| = |\mathcal{T}_i|^j = 2^{jd_i}$$

(cf. [12] or [13]). In the rest of this paper, we will identify the element $b(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$ with $\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} f_i(x)^l b_l(x) \in A_i$ and regard $A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$ as a subset of A_i .

Let C_i be a linear code over A_i of length 2. By [12] Definition 3.1, a matrix G_i is called a *generator matrix* for C_i if the rows of G_i span C_i and none of them can be written as an A_i -linear combination of the other rows of G_i .

 \Diamond First, for Question 1 at the end of Subsection V.1 we have the following conclusion:

Lemma 5.2: (cf. [9] Example 2.5) Using the notation above, let $0 \le i \le r$. Then the number of linear codes over A_i of length 2 is equal to

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2,2^{d_i},2^{\lambda})} = \sum_{0 \le k \le 2^{\lambda}} (2k+1)2^{(2^{\lambda}-k)d_i}.$$

Precisely, every linear code C_i over A_i of length 2 has one and only one of the following matrices G_i as its generator matrices in standard form:

1.
$$G_i = (1, a(x))$$
, where $a(x) \in A_i$.
2. $G_i = (f_i(x)^k, f_i(x)^k a(x))$, where $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$ and $a(x) \in A_i / \langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda} - k} \rangle$.

3.
$$G_i = (f_i(x)b(x), 1)$$
, where $b(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{-1}} \rangle$.

4.
$$G_i = (f_i(x)^{k+1}b(x), f_i(x)^k)$$
, where $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$ and $b(x) \in A_i / \langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda} - k - 1} \rangle$.
5. $G_i = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(x)^k & 0 \\ 0 & f_i(x)^k \end{pmatrix}$, where $0 \le k \le 2^{\lambda}$.

6.
$$G_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c(x) \\ 0 & f_{i}(x)^{j} \end{pmatrix}$$
, where $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$ and $c(x) \in A_{i}/\langle f_{i}(x)^{j} \rangle$.
7. $G_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{i}(x)^{k} & f_{i}(x)^{k}c(x) \\ 0 & f_{i}(x)^{k+j} \end{pmatrix}$, where $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$, $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 2$ and $c(x) \in A_{i}/\langle f_{i}(x)^{j} \rangle$.
8. $G_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} c(x) & 1 \\ f_{i}(x)^{j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$ and $c(x) \in f_{i}(x)(A_{i}/\langle f_{i}(x)^{j} \rangle)$.
9. $G_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{i}(x)^{k}c(x) & f_{i}(x)^{k} \\ f_{i}(x)^{k+j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$, $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 2$ and $c(x) \in f_{i}(x)(A_{i}/\langle f_{i}(x)^{j} \rangle)$.

Then from [12] Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.5, we deduce the following.

Lemma 5.3: Let C_i be a linear code over A_i of length 2 with generator matrix G_i .

(i) If G_i is given by Cases 1–4 in Lemma 5.2 and let $||G_i||_{f_i(x)} = t$, then the number of codewords in C_i is equal to $|C_i| = |\mathcal{T}_i|^{2^{\lambda}-t} = 2^{(2^{\lambda}-t)d_i}$. In this case, we have

$$C_i = \left\{ u(x)G_i \mid u(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-t} \rangle \right\}$$

(ii) Let G_i be given by Cases 5–9 in Lemma 5.2 and assume that α_1, α_2 are the two row vectors of G_i . If $\|\alpha_k\|_{f_i(x)} = t_k$ for k = 1, 2, the number of codewords in C_i is equal to $|C_i| =$ $|\mathcal{T}_i|^{(2^{\lambda}-t_1)+(2^{\lambda}-t_2))} = 2^{(2^{\lambda+1}-t_1-t_2)d_i}$. In this case, we have

$$C_i = \sum_{k=1,2} \left\{ u_k(x)\alpha_k \mid u_k(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda} - t_k} \rangle \right\}.$$

 $\Diamond \Diamond$ Then we solve Question 2 at the end of Subsection V.1. To do this we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4: Let $0 \le i \le r$. Then

(i) x is an invertible element of A_i satisfying $x^{-1} = x^{4m-1} \pmod{f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}}}$.

(ii) In the ring $A_{\mu(i)}$, we have

$$f_i(x^{-1})^k = x^{-kd_i} f_{\mu(i)}(x)^k,$$

where $x^{-kd_i} = x^{4m-kd_i} \pmod{f_{\mu(i)}(x)^{2^{\lambda}}}$, for any $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$. Specifically, we have

$$f_i(x^{-1})^k = x^{-kd_i} f_i(x)^k$$
 in A_i , when $0 \le i \le \rho$.

Proof: (i) Since $f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}}$ is a divisor of $x^{4m} - 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, we have that $x^{4m} = 1$, i.e. $x^{-1} = x^{4m-1}$ in A_i .

(ii) As $f_i(x)$ is an irreducible divisor of $x^{m_0} - 1$ with degree d_i , by (i) we see that $f_i(x^{-1})^k = x^{4m-kd_i}(x^{d_i}f_i(x^{-1}))^k = x^{4m-kd_i}f_i^*(x)^k = x^{4m-kd_i}f_{\mu(i)}(x)^k$ in $A_{\mu(i)}$. For A_i -linear codes C_i of length 2 satisfying Condition (6)

in Theorem 5.1, we have the following theorem. (6)

Theorem 5.5: Let $0 \le i \le \rho$. Then all distinct linear codes C_i of length 2 over A_i satisfying Condition (6) in Theorem 5.1 (i) are given by the following five cases, where G_i is a generator matrix of the code C_i .

I.
$$|\mathcal{W}_{i}^{(2^{\lambda})}|$$
 codes:
 $G_{i} = (1, a(x))$ with $|C_{i}| = 2^{2^{\lambda}d_{i}}$, where $a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_{i}^{(2^{\lambda})}$
II. $\sum_{k=1}^{2^{\lambda}-1} |\mathcal{W}_{i}^{(2^{\lambda}-k)}|$ codes:
 $G_{i} = (f_{i}(x)^{k}, f_{i}(x)^{k}a(x))$ with $|C_{i}| = 2^{(2^{\lambda}-k)d_{i}}$,
where $a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_{i}^{(2^{\lambda}-k)}$ and $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$
III. $2^{\lambda} + 1$ codes:
 $G_{i} = f_{i}(x)^{k}I_{2}$ with $|C_{i}| = 4^{(2^{\lambda}-k)d_{i}}$,
where $0 \le k \le 2^{\lambda}$ and I_{2} is the identity matrix of order 2
IV. $\sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda}-1} |\mathcal{W}_{i}^{(j)}|$ codes:

$$G_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c(x) \\ 0 & f_i(x)^j \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } |C_i| = 2^{(2^{\lambda+1}-j)d_i},$$

where $c(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(j)}$ and $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$. V. $\sum_{k=1}^{2^{\lambda}-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda}-k-1} |\mathcal{W}_i^{(j)}|$ codes: $G_i = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(x)^k & f_i(x)^k c(x) \\ 0 & f_i(x)^{k+j} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } |C_i| = 2^{(2^{\lambda+1} - 2k - j)d_i},$ where $c(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(j)}$, $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$ and $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 2$.

Therefore, the number of linear codes of length 2 over A_i satisfying Condition (6) in Theorem 5.1 (i) is equal to

$$\begin{split} S_{(2,2^{d_i},2^\lambda)} &= 1+2^\lambda+\sum_{j=1}^{2^\lambda}(2^\lambda-j+1)|\mathcal{W}_i^{(j)}|. \end{split}$$
 Proof: See Appendix A.

Finally, from Theorems 5.1 and 5.5 we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 5.6: Every binary left D_{8m} -code C can be constructed by the following three steps:

(i) For each $i = 0, 1, ..., \rho$, choose a linear code C_i of length 2 over A_i listed in Theorem 5.5

(ii) For each $i = \rho + 1, ..., \rho + \epsilon$, choose a linear code C_i of length 2 over A_i listed in Lemma 5.2 and set

$$C_{i+\epsilon} = \{(b_i(x^{-1}), a_i(x^{-1})) \mid (a_i(x), b_i(x)) \in C_i\} \subseteq A_{i+\epsilon}^2.$$
 (iii) Set

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} (\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_i(x) C_i \pmod{x^{4m} - 1}.$$

Moreover, the number of codewords in the binary left D_{8m} code C constructed above is equal to $|\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{i=0}^{r} |C_i|$, where $|C_i|$ is determined by Lemma 5.3 for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r$.

Hence the number of all binary left D_{8m} -codes is

$$\left(\prod_{i=0}^{\rho} S_{(2,2^{d_i},2^{\lambda})}\right) \left(\prod_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+\epsilon} \mathcal{L}_{(2,2^{d_i},2^{\lambda})}\right).$$

Therefore, in order to list all distinct binary left D_{8m} codes explicitly, we need to determine the subset $\mathcal{W}_i^{(s)}$ of $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_i(x)^s \rangle$ precisely, for all $1 \leq s \leq 2^{\lambda}$ and $0 \leq i \leq \rho$. This work has done at Section III.

V.3 The dual code of every binary left D_{8m} -code

In this subsection, we determine the dual code of each binary left D_{8m} -code.

Let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_{0,0}, a_{1,0}, \dots, a_{4m-1,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{4m-1,1}),$ $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_{0,0}, b_{1,0}, \dots, b_{4m-1,0}, b_{0,1}, b_{1,1}, \dots, b_{4m-1,1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{8m}.$ The *inner product* of a and b is defined by

$$[a,b] = \sum_{i=0}^{4m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{1} a_{i,j} b_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_2.$$

Let C be a binary linear code of length 8m, i.e. a subspace of \mathbb{F}_2^{8m} . Then the *dual code* of C is defined by

$$C^{\perp} = \{ \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{8m} \mid [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] = 0, \ \forall \boldsymbol{a} \in C \}$$

and C is said to be *self-dual* if $C = C^{\perp}$. For any $a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{4m-1} a_i x^i \in \mathcal{A}$, by $x^{4m} = 1$ we have $a(x^{-1}) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} a_i x^{4m-i} \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $G = (g_{ij}(x))_{k \times l}$ be a matrix over A_i of size $k \times l$ with $g_{ij}(x) \in A_i$. We define

$$\mu(G) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11}(x^{-1}) & \dots & g_{1l}(x^{-1}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ g_{k1}(x^{-1}) & \dots & g_{kl}(x^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{f_{\mu(i)}(x)^{2^{\lambda}}},$$

and denote by G^{tr} the transpose of G, i.e., $G^{tr} = (h_{ij}(x))_{l \times k}$ where $h_{ij}(x) = g_{ji}(x)$.

Lemma 5.7: Using the notation above, denote

$$a_j(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{4m-1} a_{k,j} x^k, \ b_j(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{4m-1} b_{k,j} x^k \in \mathcal{A}, \ j = 0, 1.$$

Then $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = 0$ if $(a_0(x), a_1(x)) \cdot (b_0(x^{-1}), b_1(x^{-1}))^{\text{tr}} = 0$ in the ring $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^{4m} - 1 \rangle$.

Proof: By $x^{4m} = 1$ in \mathcal{A} , there exist $h_1, \ldots, h_{4m-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ such that

$$(a_0(x), a_1(x)) \cdot (b_0(x^{-1}), b_1(x^{-1}))^{\text{tr}} = a_0(x) \cdot b_0(x^{-1}) + a_1(x) \cdot b_1(x^{-1}) = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] + h_1 x + \ldots + h_{4m-1} x^{4m-1} \pmod{x^{4m} - 1}.$$

Therefore, $(a_0(x), a_1(x)) \cdot (b_0(x^{-1}), b_1(x^{-1}))^{\text{tr}} = 0$ in \mathcal{A} implies that $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = 0$.

Now, we give the dual code of each binary left D_{8m} -code.

Theorem 5.8: Let C be a binary left D_{8m} -code with canonical form decomposition $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i)$, where C_i is a linear code of length 2 over A_i with a generator matrix G_i . Then the dual code of C is a binary left D_{8m} -code with the following canonical form decomposition:

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} (\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} Q_i)$$

where Q_i is a linear code of length 2 over A_i with a generator matrix H_i given by the following two cases:

(†) Let $0 \le i \le \rho$. Then H_i is given by one the following five subcases:

(†-I) $H_i = (1, a(x))$, if G_i is given by Case I in Theorem 5.5.

(†-II)
$$H_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a(x) \\ 0 & f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda} - \kappa} \end{pmatrix}$$
, if G_i is given by Case II in Theorem 5.5.

(†-III) $H_i = f_i(x)^{2^\lambda - \kappa} I_2$, if G_i is given by Case III in Theorem 5.5.

(†-IV) $H_i = (f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-j}, f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-j}c(x))$, if G_i is given by Case IV in Theorem 5.5.

$$(\dagger-V) H_i = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j} & f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j}c(x) \\ 0 & f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ if } G_i \text{ is }$$

given by Case V in Theorem 5.5.

(‡) Let $\rho+1 \leq i \leq \rho+\epsilon$. Then the pair $(H_i, H_{i+\epsilon})$ of matrices is given by one the following nine subcases:

$$(\ddagger-1)$$
 If G_i is given by Case 1 in Lemma 5.2,

$$H_i = (1, a(x))$$
 and $H_{i+\epsilon} = (a(x^{-1}), 1)$, where $a(x) \in A_i$.

 $(\ddagger-2)$ If G_i is given by Case 2 in Lemma 5.2,

$$H_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a(x) \\ 0 & f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } H_{i+\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} a(x^{-1}) & 1 \\ f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \rangle$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^{\lambda}-1$.

 $(\ddagger-3)$ If G_i is given by Case 3 in Lemma 5.2,

$$H_i = (f_i(x)b(x), 1) \text{ and } H_{i+\epsilon} = (1, f_{i+\epsilon}(x) \cdot x^{-d_i}b(x^{-1})),$$

where $b(x) \in A_i / \langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda} - 1} \rangle$.

 $(\ddagger-4)$ if G_i is given by Case 4 in Lemma 5.2,

$$H_i = \begin{pmatrix} b(x) & 1\\ f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } H_{i+\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b(x^{-1})\\ 0 & f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-1} \rangle$ and $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda}-1$.

$$\begin{array}{l} (\ddagger -5) \ If \ G_i \ is \ given \ by \ Case \ 5 \ in \ Lemma \ 5.2 \\ H_i = \left(\begin{array}{c} f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} & 0 \\ 0 & f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{array}\right) \ and \\ H_{i+\epsilon} = \left(\begin{array}{c} f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} & 0 \\ 0 & f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{array}\right), \\ where \ 0 \le k \le 2^{\lambda}. \end{array}$$

 $(\ddagger-6)$ If G_i is given by Case 6 in Lemma 5.2,

$$H_{i} = (f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-j}, f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-j}c(x)) \text{ and } \\ H_{i+\epsilon} = (f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-j}c(x^{-1}), f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-j}),$$

where $c(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$ and $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$.

(‡-7) If
$$G_i$$
 is given by Case 7 in Lemma 5.2,

$$H_i = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j} & f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j}c(x) \\ 0 & f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{pmatrix} and$$

$$H_{i+\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j}c(x^{-1}) & f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j} \\ f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$, $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$ and $1 \le k \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$ $2^{\lambda} - 2.$

 $(\ddagger-8)$ If G_i is given by Case 8 in Lemma 5.2, $H_i = (f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda} - j + 1} c(x), f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda} - j})$ and $H_{i+\epsilon} = (f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-j}, f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-j+1} \cdot x^{-d_i}c(x^{-1})),$ where $c(x) \in f_i(x)(A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle)$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2^{\lambda} - 1$.

(\ddagger -9) If G_i is given by Case 9 in Lemma 5.2,

$$H_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j}c(x) & f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j} \\ f_{i}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$
$$H_{i+\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j} & f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k-j}c(x^{-1}) \\ 0 & f_{i+\epsilon}(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{pmatrix},$$
where $c(x) \in f_{i}(x)(A_{i}/(f_{i}(x)^{j})), 1 \leq i \leq 2^{\lambda}-k-1$ and

where $c(x) \in f_i(x)(A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle)$, $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$ and $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 2$.

Proof: For any integer $i, 0 \le i \le r$, let Q_i be the linear code of length 2 over A_i with H_i as its generator matrix. When $0 \le i \le \rho$, by Theorem 5.5 we see that Q_i is an A_i -submodule of A_i^2 satisfying Condition (6) and $|C_i||Q_i| = 2^{2 \cdot 2^{\lambda} d_i}$. When $\rho + 1 \leq i \leq \rho + 2\epsilon$, by Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 we see that Q_i is an A_i -submodule of A_i^2 satisfying $|C_i||Q_i| = 2^{2 \cdot 2^{\lambda} d_i}$ and

$$Q_{l+\epsilon} = \{ (b_l(x^{-1}), a_l(x^{-1})) \mid (a_l(x), b_l(x)) \in Q_l \}$$

for all $l = \rho + 1, \dots, \rho + \epsilon$. Now, we set $\mathcal{Q} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} (\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} Q_i)$. Then by Theorem 5.1, we conclude that Q is a binary left D_{8m} -code. Moreover, by $4m = 2^{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{r} d_i$ and $|\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{Q}| = (\prod_{i=0}^{r} |C_i|)(\prod_{i=0}^{r} |Q_i|) = \prod_{i=0}^{r} |C_i||Q_i|$, we have

$$|\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{Q}| = 2^{2 \cdot 2^{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{r} d_i} = |\mathbb{F}_2|^{8m}.$$
(8)

Let $(a_0(x), a_1(x)) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $(b_0(x), b_1(x)) \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then there exist $\xi_i \in A_i$ or $\xi_i \in A^2$ and $\eta_i \in A_i$ or $\eta_i \in A_i^2$ such that

$$(a_0(x), a_1(x)) = \sum_{i=0}^r \varepsilon_i(x)\xi_i G_i \in \mathcal{A}^2,$$

$$(b_0(x), b_1(x)) = \sum_{i=0}^r \varepsilon_i(x)\eta_i H_i \in \mathcal{A}^2.$$

Then from Lemma 2.2(i), Equation (7) and

$$\mu(i) = \begin{cases} i, & \text{when } 0 \le i \le \rho; \\ i + \epsilon, & \text{when } \rho + 1 \le i \le \rho + \epsilon; \\ i - \epsilon, & \text{when } \rho + \epsilon + 1 \le i \le \rho + 2\epsilon, \end{cases}$$

we deduce that

$$(a_{0}(x), a_{1}(x)) \cdot (b_{0}(x^{-1}), b_{1}(x^{-1}))^{\mathrm{tr}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_{i}(x)\xi_{i}G_{i}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r} (\varepsilon_{j}(x^{-1})(\mu(H_{j}))^{\mathrm{tr}}(\mu(\eta_{j}))^{\mathrm{tr}}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r} \varepsilon_{i}(x)\xi_{i}G_{i}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r} (\varepsilon_{\mu(j)}(x)(\mu(H_{j}))^{\mathrm{tr}}(\mu(\eta_{j}))^{\mathrm{tr}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\rho} \varepsilon_{i}(x)\xi_{i} \left(G_{i} \cdot (\mu(H_{i}))^{\mathrm{tr}}\right) (\mu(\eta_{i}))^{\mathrm{tr}}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+2\epsilon} \varepsilon_{i}(x)\xi_{i} \left(G_{i} \cdot (\mu(H_{i+\epsilon}))^{\mathrm{tr}}\right) (\mu(\eta_{i+\epsilon}))^{\mathrm{tr}}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=\rho+\epsilon+1}^{\rho+2\epsilon} \varepsilon_{i}(x)\xi_{i} \left(G_{i} \cdot (\mu(H_{i-\epsilon}))^{\mathrm{tr}}\right) (\mu(\eta_{i-\epsilon}))^{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

Now, we have the following two cases.

Case (†) Let $0 \le i \le \rho$. By Theorems 5.5, we have one of the following subcases:

Subcase (†-I) Let $G_i = (1, a(x))$ and $H_i = (1, a(x))$, where $a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(2^{\lambda})}$. By $a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(2^{\lambda})}$, it follows that $a(x) \in A_i$ satisfying $a(x)a(x^{-1}) = 1$. Hence $\mu(H_i) = (1, a(x^{-1}))$ and so $G_i \cdot (\mu(H_i))^{\text{tr}} = 1 + a(x)a(x^{-1}) = 0.$

Subcase (†-II) Let $G_i = (f_i(x)^k, f_i(x)^k a(x))$ and $H_i =$ Subcase ((-1) Let $G_i = (f_i(x)^{-}, f_i(x)^{-} a(x))^{-}$ and $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$. Hence $(\mu(H_i))^{\text{tr}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a(x^{-1}) & f_i(x^{-1})^{2^{\lambda}-k} \end{pmatrix}$. By

 $a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(2^{\lambda}-k)}$, it follows that $f_i(x)^k = f_i(x)^k a(x) a(x^{-1})$

By Lemma 5.4(ii), we have

$$f_i(x^{-1})^{2^{\lambda}-k} = x^{4m-(2^{\lambda}-k)d_i} f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k}$$

Then from a direct calculation, we obtain $G_i \cdot (\mu(H_i))^{tr} = 0$. One can easily verify that $G_i \cdot (\mu(H_i))^{tr} = 0$ for Subcases

(†-III), (†-IV) and (†-V). Here, we omit the proofs.

Case (‡) Let $\rho \leq i \leq \rho + 2\epsilon$. Then by Theorem 5.1, one can easily verify that $G_i \cdot (\mu(H_{i+\epsilon}))^{tr} = 0$ for all $i = \rho + 1, \dots, \rho + 1$ ϵ , and $G_i \cdot (\mu(H_{i-\epsilon}))^{\mathrm{tr}} = 0$ for all $i = \rho + \epsilon + 1, \dots, \rho + 2\epsilon$.

Therefore, $(a_0(x), a_1(x)) \cdot (b_0(x^{-1}), b_1(x^{-1}))^{tr} = 0$ for any $(a_0(x), a_1(x)) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $(b_0(x), b_1(x)) \in \mathcal{Q}$. Hence $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ by Lemma 5.7. From this and by Equation (8), we deduce that $\mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \mathcal{Q}$ as required.

V.4 Proving Theorem 2.4

We give a proof of Theorem 2.4 as follows:

Using the notations of Theorems 5.1, 5.5 and 5.8, let

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\prime} (\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\prime} (\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} Q_i)$$

where C_i and Q_i are A_i -submodules of A_i^2 determined by Theorem 5.8. From this and by Theorem 5.1, we deduce that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ if and only if for any integer $i, 0 \leq i \leq \rho + \epsilon$, we have that $C_i = Q_i$. The latter is equivalent to $G_i = H_i$ by Lemma 5.2. Hence by $\lambda \geq 2$ we deduce the following conclusions:

(†) Let $0 \le i \le \rho$. We only need to consider subcase (†-V) in Theorem 5.8. In this case, $G_i = H_i$ if and only if $k = 2^{\lambda} - k - j$, i.e. $j = 2^{\lambda} - 2k$. This implies $k + j = 2^{\lambda} - k$. Moreover, by $j \ge 1$ we conclude that $1 \le k \le 2^{\lambda-1} - 1$.

(‡) Let $\rho + 1 \leq i \leq \rho + \epsilon$. Then the conclusions follows from $G_i = H_i$ and Theorem 5.8(‡) immediately. Moreover, the number of pairs $(G_i, G_{i+\epsilon})$ is

$$2^{2^{\lambda}d_i} + 2^{(2^{\lambda}-1)d_i} + 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\lambda}-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda}-k-1} (2^{jd_i} + 2^{(j-1)d_i}),$$

which is equal to $\Omega_{(\lambda,d_i)}$.

Remark For each self-dual binary left D_{8m} -code C = $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} (\mathcal{A}_i \Box_{\varphi_i} C_i)$ listed by Theorem 2.4, a generator matrix of C is given by $G_C = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 \\ B_1 \\ \dots \\ B_r \end{pmatrix}$, where B_i is a generator mathix

of the subcode $\mathcal{A}_i \square_{\varphi_i} C_i$, and B_i can be easily determined by

Lemma 5.3 and the definition of concatenated codes in Section II for all i = 0, 1, ..., r.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER WORK

Self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes make up an important class of self-dual binary [8m, 4m]-codes such that the dihedral group D_{8m} is necessary a subgroup of the automorphism group of each code. In this paper, we give an explicit representation and enumeration for all distinct self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes. In particular, we provide recursive algorithms to solve problems in the construction of these codes and obtain a precise formula to count the number of all these codes. In order to enable readers to use the results of the paper directly to construct self-dual binary [8m, 4m]-codes, we give a detailed descriptions of the generator matrices for each self-dual binary left D_{8m} -code.

Future topics of interest include to determine extremal binary self-dual codes of length 8m among self-dual binary left D_{8m} -codes, and consider the existence of self-dual, doublyeven and extremal binary linear codes with basic parameters [24k, 12k, 4k+4] which are also self-dual binary left D_{24k} codes for some integers k > 3.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Part of this work was done when Yonglin Cao was visiting Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin, China. Yonglin Cao would like to thank the institution for the kind hospitality. This research is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11671235, 11801324, 61571243, 11701336), the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation. China (Grant No. ZR2018BA007), the Scientific Research Foundation for the PhD of Shandong University of Technology (Grant No. 417037), the Scientific Research Fund of Hubei Provincial Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics (Hubei University) (Grant No. AM201804) and the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Mathematical Modeling and Analysis in Engineering (No. 2018MMAEZD09).

APPENDIX A: PROOF OF THEOREM 5.5

Let C_i be a linear codes C_i of length 2 over A_i , where $0 \le i \le \rho$. By Lemma 5.2, C_i has one and only one of the following matrices G as its generator matrix:

Cases 1–2 $G = (f_i(x)^k, f_i(x)^k a(x))$, where $0 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 1$ and $a(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \rangle$. In this case, C_i satisfies Condition (6) if and only if there exists $u(x) \in A_i$ such that

$$(f_i(x^{-1})^k a(x^{-1}), f_i(x^{-1})^k)) = u(x)(f_i(x)^k, f_i(x)^k a(x)),$$

which is equivalent to that $f_i(x^{-1})^k a(x^{-1}) = u(x) f_i(x)^k$ and $f_i(x^{-1})^k = u(x)f_i(x)^k a(x)$. Then we have $f_i(x^{-1})^k = 0$ $f_i(x^{-1})^k a(x^{-1}) a(x)$, where $f_i(x^{-1})^k = x^{-kd_i} f_i(x)^k$ by Lemma 5.4(ii). From these we deduce that C_i satisfies Condition (6) if and only if $a(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k} \rangle$ satisfying

$$f_i(x)^k(a(x^{-1})a(x) - 1) = 0$$
 in A_i

The latter is equivalent to $a(x)a(x^{-1}) \equiv 1 \pmod{f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k}}$, i.e., $a(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(2^{\lambda}-k)}$.

By $||(f_i(x)^k, f_i(x)^k a(x))||_{f_i(x)} = k$ and Lemma 5.3(i), it follows that $|C_i| = 2^{(2^{\lambda} - k)d_i}$.

Cases 3-4 $G = (f_i(x)^{k+1}b(x), f_i(x)^k)$, where $0 \le k \le 1$ $2^{\lambda} - 1$ and $b(x) \in A_i / \langle f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda} - k - 1} \rangle$. In this case, C_i satisfies Condition (6) if and only if there exists $u(x) \in A_i$ such that

$$(f_i(x^{-1})^k, f_i(x^{-1})^{k+1}b(x^{-1})) = u(x)(f_i(x)^{k+1}b(x), f_i(x)^k)$$

This implies $f_i(x^{-1})^k = u(x)f_i(x)^{k+1}b(x)$. From this and by $f_i(x^{-1})^k = x^{-kd_i} f_i(x)^k$, we deduce that $f_i(x)^k =$ $f_i(x)^{k+1}x^{kd_i}u(x)b(x)$. This implies that

$$1 \equiv f_i(x) \cdot x^{kd_i} u(x) b(x) \pmod{f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda} - k}},$$

and we get a contradiction since $2^{\lambda} - k \ge 1$. Hence C_i does

not satisfy Condition (6). **Case 5** $G = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(x)^k & 0 \\ 0 & f_i(x)^k \end{pmatrix}$, where $0 \le k \le 2^{\lambda}$. In this case, we have that $(0, f_i(x^{-1})^k) = x^{4m-kd_i}(0, f_i(x)^k) \in C$. C_i and $(f_i(x^{-1})^k, 0) = x^{4m-kd_i}(f_i(x)^k, 0) \in C_i$ by Lemma 5.4(ii). Hence C_i satisfies Condition (6).

Moreover, by $\|(f_i(x)^k, 0)\|_{f_i(x)} = \|(0, f_i(x)^k)\|_{f_i(x)} = k$ and Lemma 5.3(ii), we get $|C_i| = 2^{(2^{\lambda+1}-k-k)d_i} = 4^{(2^{\lambda}-k)d_i}$. **Cases 6-7** $G = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(x)^k & f_i(x)^k c(x) \\ 0 & f_i(x)^{k+j} \end{pmatrix}$, where $c(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$, $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$ and $0 \le k \le 2^{\lambda} - 2$. By Lemma 5.4(ii), it follows that

$$\begin{array}{lll} (f_i(x^{-1})^{k+j},0) &=& (x^{-(k+j)d_i}f_i(x)^{k+j},0) \\ &=& x^{-(k+j)d_i}f_i(x)^j(f_i(x)^k,f_i(x)^kc(x)) \\ && -x^{-(k+j)d_i}c(x)(0,f_i(x)^{k+j}). \end{array}$$

This implies $(f_i(x^{-1})^{k+j}, 0) \in C_i$. So C_i satisfies Condition (6) if and only if there exist $a(x), b(x) \in A_i$ such that

$$(f_i(x^{-1})^k c(x^{-1}), f_i(x^{-1})^k) = a(x)(f_i(x)^k, f_i(x)^k c(x)) + b(x)(0, f_i(x)^{k+j}),$$

which is equivalent to $f_i(x^{-1})^k c(x^{-1}) = a(x) f_i(x)^k$ and $f_i(x^{-1})^k = a(x)f_i(x)^k c(x) + b(x)f_i(x)^{k+j}$. By $f_i(x^{-1})^k = b(x)f_i(x^{-1})^k = b(x)f_i(x^{-1})^k$ x^{-kd_i} in A_i , the latter condition is equivalent to that

$$f_i(x)^k = f_i(x)^k c(x^{-1})c(x) + x^{kd_i}b(x)f_i(x)^{k+j},$$

i.e., $f_i(x)^k = f_i(x)^k (c(x)c(x^{-1}) + x^{kd_i}b(x)f_i(x)^j)$. This condition is equivalent to

$$c(x)c(x^{-1}) + x^{kd_i}b(x)f_i(x)^j \equiv 1 \pmod{f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k}}.$$

As $1 \le j \le 2^{\lambda} - k - 1$, we conclude that C_i satisfies Condition (6) if and only if $c(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$ satisfying $c(x)c(x^{-1}) \equiv$ 1 (mod $f_i(x)^j$), i.e., $c(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i^{(j)}$.

Conversely, if $c(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$ satisfying $c(x)c(x^{-1}) \equiv$ 1 (mod $f_i(x)^j$), then there exists element $g(x) \in A_i$ such that $1 = c(x)c(x^{-1}) + g(x)f_i(x)^j$. Let $b(x) = x^{-kd_i}g(x) \in A_i$. Then we have $1 = c(x)c(x^{-1}) + x^{kd_i}b(x)f_i(x)^j$. This implies that $f_i(x)^k = f_i(x)^k c(x^{-1})c(x) + x^{kd_i}b(x)f_i(x)^{k+j}$. From this and by $f_i(x)^k = x^{kd_i} f_i(x^{-1})^k$, we deduce that $f_i(x^{-1})^k = f_i(x^{-1})^k c(x^{-1})c(x) + b(x)f_i(x)^{k+j}$. Select $a(x) = x^{-kd_i}c(x^{-1}) \in A_i$. Then

$$a(x)f_i(x)^k = x^{-kd_i}f_i(x)^k c(x^{-1}) = f_i(x^{-1})^k c(x^{-1})^k$$

and
$$f_i(x^{-1})^k = a(x)f_i(x)^k c(x) + b(x)f_i(x)^{k+j}$$
. Hence
 $(f_i(x^{-1})^k c(x^{-1}), f_i(x^{-1})^k)$

$$= a(x)(f_i(x)^k, f_i(x)^k c(x)) + b(x)(0, f_i(x)^{k+j}) \in C_i$$

as required.

Then by Lemma 5.3(ii), $\|(f_i(x)^k, f_i(x)^k c(x))\|_{f_i(x)} = k$ and $\|(0, f_i(x)^{k+j})\|_{f_i(x)} = k + j$, it follows that $|C_i| = 2^{(2^{\lambda+1} - (k+j) - k)d_i} = 2^{(2^{\lambda+1} - 2k - j)d_i}$. **Cases 8-9** $G = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(x)^k c(x) & f_i(x)^k \\ f_i(x)^{k+j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $c(x) \in f_i(x)^{k+j} = 0$.

 $f_i(x)(A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle), \ 1 \leq j \leq 2^{\lambda}-k-1 \ \text{and} \ 0 \leq k \leq 2^{\lambda}-k-1$ $2^{\lambda} - 2$. Then there exists $g(x) \in A_i/\langle f_i(x)^j \rangle$ such that $c(x) = f_i(x)g(x)$. This implies $(f_i(x)^{k+1}g(x), f_i(x)^k) \in C_i$. Suppose that $(f_i(x^{-1})^k, f_i(x^{-1})^{k+1}g(x^{-1})) \in C_i$. Then there exist $a(x), b(x) \in A_i$ such that

$$(f_i(x^{-1})^k, f_i(x^{-1})^{k+1}g(x^{-1})) = a(x)(f_i(x)^{k+1}g(x), f_i(x)^k) + b(x)(f_i(x)^{k+j}, 0).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x^{-1})^k &= a(x)f_i(x)^{k+1}g(x) + b(x)f_i(x)^{k+j} \\ &= f_i(x)^{k+1}\left(a(x)g(x) + b(x)f_i(x)^{j-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From this and by $f_i(x^{-1})^k = x^{-kd_i} f_i(x)^k$, we deduce that

 $1 \equiv f_i(x) x^{kd_i} \left(a(x)q(x) + b(x) f_i(x)^{j-1} \right)$

(mod $f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k}$), where $2^{\lambda}-k \geq 2$. But $f_i(x)$ is nilpotent (mod $f_i(x)^{2^{\lambda}-k}$), we get a contradiction. So C_i does not satisfy Condition (6) in Theorem 5.1.

Summarizing the above, the number of linear codes over A_i of length 2 satisfying Condition (6) in Theorem 5.1 (i) is

$$S_{(2,2^{d_i},2^{\lambda})} = 1 + 2^{\lambda} + \sum_{\kappa=0}^{2^{\lambda}-1} |\mathcal{W}_i^{(2^{\lambda}-k)}| + \sum_{\kappa=0}^{2^{\lambda}-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda}-\kappa-1} |\mathcal{W}_i^{(j)}|.$$

After simplifying, we get

$$S_{(2,2^{d_i},2^{\lambda})} = 1 + 2^{\lambda} + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lambda}} (2^{\lambda} - j + 1) |\mathcal{W}_i^{(j)}|.$$

Appendix B: Results for
$$\mathcal{W}_1^{(s)}$$
 $(1 \le s \le 4)$

Let $f_1(x) = x^2 + x + 1$. For $\mathcal{W}_1^{(s)}$, we have the following calculation results:

 $\mathcal{W}_1^{(1)} = \{1, x, 1+x\};$

 $\mathcal{W}_{1}^{(2)} = \{1, 1 + (1+x)f_{1}(x), x, x + f_{1}(x), 1 + x +$ $x + (1+x)f_1(x)\};$

$$\mathcal{W}_{1}^{(3)} = \{1, x^{5} + x^{3} + x + 1, x^{3}, x^{5} + x, x, x^{5} + x^{4} + x^{3} + x^{2} + 1, x^{4}, x^{5} + x^{3} + x^{2} + x + 1, x^{5}, x^{5} + x^{4} + x^{2} + 1, x^{2}, x^{4} + 1\};$$

 $\mathcal{W}_{1}^{(4)} = \{1, x^{6} + x^{5} + x^{3} + x, x^{5} + x^{3} + x + 1, x^{6}, x^{3}, x^{6} + x^{5} + x^{6} +$ $x + 1, x^5 + x, x^6 + x^3 + 1, x, x^7 + x^6 + x^4 + x^2, x^7, x^6 + x^4 + x^2 + x^4 + x^$ $x, x^4, x^7 + x^6 + x^2 + x, x^7 + x^4 + x, x^6 + x^2, x^5, x^7 + x^4 + x^3 + x^4 + x^4$ $x^{2} + 1, x^{5} + x^{4} + x^{2} + 1, x^{7} + x^{3}, x^{2}, x^{7} + x^{5} + x^{4} + x^{3} + 1, x^{4} + x^{5} + x^{5} + x^{4} + x^{5} + x^{5} + x^{4} + x^{5} + x^{5}$ $1, x^7 + x^5 + x^3 + x^2$.

Appendix C: Expressions for a(x) and b(x) in Example 4.3

Case 1 $a(x) = x^4 + x^2 + 1$ and $b(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{15} b_j x^j$, when	e
$b_0b_1b_2\ldots b_{15}\in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ is given by one of the following 24 cases	S:
1001011100010100, 1000001101010001, 1001001101010000,	
1000011100010101, 0100001000001101, 1001011001001000,	
0100011001001001, 0101001000001100, 0001111001010101	
0100111101000010, 0000111101000110, 0101111001010010,	
1000001110010111, 1101001010000011, 1001001010000111,	
1100001110010011, 0000110001011110, 0100100100001111,	
0100100000011110, 0000110101001111, 0001010010000011,	
0101000111010010, 0101000011000011, 0001010110010010.	

Case 2 $a(x) = x^6 + x^4 + x^2$ and $b(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{15} b_j x^j$, where $b_0 b_1 b_2 \dots b_{15} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ is given by one of the following 24 cases:

0110001011110000, 0100101001111010, 1100000101001011, 1110100111000001, 1100100111000001, 111000010100101.

Case 7 $a(x) = x^7 + x^5 + x$ and $b(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{15} b_i x^i$, where
$b_0b_1b_2\ldots b_{15}\in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ is given by one of the following 24 cases:
1001111010000100, 00111100101010100, 1011110010100100,
0001111010001100, 1011000001000010, 0001001001101010,
$1001001001100010,\ 0011000001001010,\ 1001000000111100,$
0001101010011110, 0001100010111100, 1001001000011110,
0111101000110000, 1111000010010010, 1111001010110000,
0111100000010010, 0110101001111000, 0100001011110010,
0110001011110000, 0100101001111010, 1010110010010000,
1000010000011010, 1010010000011000, 1000110010010010.

Appendix D: Expressions for a(x) and $\eta(x)$ in Example 4.4

Case 1 $a(x) \in \{x^2 + x + 1, x^3 + x^2 + 1, x^3 + x^2 + x, x^3 + x + 1\}$ and $\eta(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{11} \eta_j x^j$, where $\eta_0 \eta_1 \eta_2 \dots \eta_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{12}$ is given by one of the following 168 cases:

00000001101, 00000010111, 000000011010, 000000101110, 000000110100, 000000111001, 000001011100, 000001100101, 000001101000, 000001110010, 000010011011, 000010111000, 000100110110, 000100111011, 000101001001, 000101010011, 000101100111, 000101110000, 000110000011, 000110010100, 000110100000, 000110111010, 000111000101, 000111001000, 001001101100, 001001110110, 001010010010, 001010100110, 001010101011, 001010110001, 001011001110, 001011100000, 001100000110, 001100001011, 001100100101, 001100101000, 001110001010, 001110010000, 001110101001, 001110110011, 010011000010, 010011010101, 010011011000, 010011101100, 010101001100, 010101010110, 010101100010, 010101110101, 010110010001, 010110011100, 010111000000, 010111001101, 011000000001, 011000001100, 011000010110, 011000110101, 011001000111, 011001001010, 011001010000, 011001110011, 011100000011, 011100010100, 011100100000, 011100110111, 100100000101, 100100010010, 100100101011, 100100110001, 100110101010, 100110110000, 100111010101, 100111011000, 101000000011, 101000001110, 101000011001, 101000111010, 101010101100, 101010111011, 101011000100, 101011101010, 11000000010, 110000010101, 110000011000, 110000101100,

REFERENCES

- I. Mcloughlin, "A group ring construction of the [48,24,12] type II linear block code," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 29–41, 2012.
- [2] S. T. Dougherty, J. Gildea, R. Taylor, and A. Tylyshchak, "Constructions of self-dual and formally self-dual codes from group rings," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1604.07863, 2016.
- [3] S. T. Dougherty, J. Gildea, R. Taylor, and A. Tylyshchak, "Group rings, G-codes and constructions of self-dual and formally self-dual codes," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 86, pp. 2115–2138, 2018.
- [4] F. S. Dutra, R. A. Ferraz, and C. Polcino Milies, "Semisimple group codes and dihedral codes," *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 2009, no. 3, pp. 28–48, 2009.
- [5] F. E. B. Marthez, "Structure of finite dihedral group algebra," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 35, pp. 204–214, 2015.
- [6] Y. Cao, Y. Cao, and F. W. Fu, "Concatenated structure of left dihedral codes," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 38, pp. 93–115, 2016.
- [7] Y. Cao, Y. Cao, F.-W. Fu, and S. Wang, "Left dihedral codes over galois rings GR(p², m)," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 341, pp. 1816– 1834, 2018.
- [8] S. T. Dougherty, J. L. Kim, and P. Solé, "Open problems in coding theory," *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 634, pp. 14–26, 2015.
- [9] Y. Cao and Y. Gao, "Repeated root cyclic \mathbb{F}_q -linear codes over \mathbb{F}_{q^l} ," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 31, pp. 202–227, 2015.
- [10] N. Sendrier, "On the concatenated structure of a linear code," *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 221–242, 1998.
- [11] Z. X. Wan, Lectures on Finite Fields and Galois Rings. World Scientific Pub Co Inc, 2006.
- [12] G. H. Norton and A. Sălăgean, "On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over a finite chain ring," *Applicable Algebra in Engineering*, *Communication and Computing*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 489–506, 2000.
- [13] X. D. Hou, K. H. Leung, and S. L. Ma, "On the groups of units of finite commutative chain rings," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 20–38, 2003.