

A Schwarz lemma for locally univalent meromorphic functions

Richard Fournier, Daniela Kraus and Oliver Roth

Abstract

We prove a sharp Schwarz–type lemma for meromorphic functions with spherical derivative uniformly bounded away from zero. As a consequence we deduce an improved quantitative version of a recent normality criterion due to Grahl & Nevo [9] and Steinmetz [15], which is asymptotically best possible. Based on a well-known symmetry result of Gidas, Ni & Nirenberg for nonlinear elliptic PDEs, we relate our Schwarz–type lemma to an associated nonlinear dual boundary extremal problem. As an application we obtain a generalization of Beurling’s extension of the Riemann mapping theorem for the case of the spherical metric.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the set of all meromorphic functions in the unit disk \mathbb{D} of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . *Marty’s fundamental normality criterion* [12], see also [14, §3.3], says that a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ is normal if and only if the family of spherical derivatives

$$f^\sharp(z) := \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^2}$$

of all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is locally bounded (above) in \mathbb{D} . Some years ago, J. Grahl and S. Nevo [9] proved the surprising result that for any $c > 0$ the family

$$\mathcal{F}_c := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D}) : f^\sharp(z) \geq c \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D} \right\},$$

consisting of all meromorphic functions in \mathbb{D} with spherical derivative uniformly bounded from *below*, is also normal.

The original proof in [9] is fairly involved and is based on a sophisticated application of Zalcman’s lemma [16]. Combining the result of Grahl and Nevo with Marty’s criterion shows that a *uniform* lower bound for f^\sharp has to result in a *locally uniform* upper bound for f^\sharp . In fact, such an upper bound has been given by Steinmetz [15], who proved that

$$f^\sharp(z) \leq \frac{1}{c(1 - |z|^2)^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, f \in \mathcal{F}_c. \quad (1.1)$$

The approach in [15] is based on the elementary theory of complex differential equations and leads in particular to a simple proof of the result of Grahl and Nevo. Recent

work of Gröhn [10] shows that for $c > 0$ sufficiently small there are functions $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ such that

$$\liminf_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2)^2 f^\sharp(z) > 0.$$

In these cases the estimate (1.1) is therefore *asymptotically* sharp as $|z| \rightarrow 1$ up to a multiplicative positive constant, but the exact value of the multiplicative constant is currently unknown.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove a *sharp* Schwarz–type lemma for functions in the classes \mathcal{F}_c , that is, a sharp form of inequality (1.1) for the point $z = 0$ including a precise description of the extremal functions. The proof is deceptively simple and only uses the minimum principle for superharmonic functions. This Schwarz lemma immediately yields not only the normality criterion of Grahl and Nevo, but also leads to sharpenings of the quantitative upper bound (1.1) for f^\sharp . An additional advantage of our method is that it automatically gives a *lower* bound for $f^\sharp(z)$, which is *sharp* for $z = 0$. Those “interior” extremal functions, which maximize or minimize the spherical derivative of all functions in \mathcal{F}_c at the *center* of the unit disk, turn out to be exactly the solutions of a “dual boundary extremal problem”, since they minimize the spherical derivative of all functions in \mathcal{F}_c on the *entire unit circle*. This is the content of Theorem 3.2, which follows from our Schwarz–type lemma in combination with a celebrated result of Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [8] concerning positive solutions of certain semilinear elliptic PDEs. As an application we establish a generalization of Beurling’s extension of the Riemann mapping theorem for a class of geometrically faithful boundary conditions with quadratic growth, see Theorem 3.4.

	Classical Schwarz Lemma	Schwarz Lemma for \mathcal{F}_c
Class of Functions	$f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic	$f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ locally univalent
Boundary Condition	$\limsup_{ z \rightarrow 1} f(z) \leq 1$	$\liminf_{ z \rightarrow 1} f^\sharp(z) \geq c$
Extremal Problem	$\max f'(0) $	$\min / \max f^\sharp(0)$
Boundary Behaviour (Extremal Functions)	$\lim_{ z \rightarrow 1} f(z) = 1$	$\lim_{ z \rightarrow 1} f^\sharp(z) = c$

Table 1: Classical Schwarz Lemma vs. Schwarz Lemma for \mathcal{F}_c

We also reconsider Steinmetz’ method and with the help of a refinement of the standard Schwarz lemma for bounded holomorphic functions, we prove that one can replace the constant 1 in the numerator of (1.1) by ≈ 0.38 at least asymptotically by showing that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$,

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2)^2 f^\sharp(z) \leq \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2c} \approx \frac{0.38}{c}.$$

The paper concludes with a simple *direct* proof of the Grahl–Nevo normality criterion and a quantitative normality result for *rational* functions in \mathcal{F}_c based on a Bernstein-type inequality for rational functions due to Borwein and Erdelyi [5].

There are several open problems surrounding the Schwarz lemma for the class \mathcal{F}_c . Some of them are mentioned explicitly in the text.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank J. Grahl, J. Gröhn and S. Nevo for helpful discussions.

2 The Schwarz lemma for the class \mathcal{F}_c

Theorem 2.1 (Schwarz lemma for \mathcal{F}_c)

Let $c > 0$ and suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ with $f(0) = 0$. Then the following hold.

(a) $c \leq 1/2$.

(b) $|f'(0)| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c}$.

(c) $|f'(0)| \geq \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c}$.

Equality holds in either case if and only if $f(z) = \eta z$ with $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$|\eta| = 1 \text{ in (a),} \quad |\eta| = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c} \text{ in (b),} \quad |\eta| = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c} \text{ in (c).}$$

In particular, $f \in \mathcal{F}_{1/2}$ if and only if $f(z) = \eta z$ for some $|\eta| = 1$.

Theorem 2.1 (b) gives an upper bound for the derivative at the origin, so it can be seen as a Schwarz-type lemma for meromorphic functions. Recall that the standard way of proving the Schwarz lemma for holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ is to apply the maximum principle to the auxiliary function $g(z) := f(z)/z$. If f is meromorphic in \mathbb{D} with $f(0) = 0$, then we can no longer apply the maximum principle to g . However, the quantity g^\sharp is well-defined and $\log g^\sharp$ is actually *superharmonic* away from the set C_g of critical points of g . In fact, $h := \log g^\sharp$ satisfies *Liouville's equation*

$$\Delta h = -4e^{2h} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{D} \setminus C_g,$$

as it is well-known and as a short computation shows. Hence we can employ the minimum principle for $\log g^\sharp$. To relate $\log g^\sharp$ to $\log f^\sharp$ and to avoid the critical points of g , it seems slightly more useful to consider

$$v_f(z) := \log \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} \right|^2}$$

instead of $\log g^\sharp$. We now make a simple, but crucial observation: If f is locally univalent as in Theorem 2.1, then v_f is a *smooth* function on \mathbb{D} and, since

$$v_f(z) = \log g^\sharp(z) + \log \left| \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right|,$$

the function v_f is superharmonic on the *entire* unit disk \mathbb{D} with $\Delta v_f = -4g^\sharp(z)^2$. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is now practically identical to the standard proof of the Schwarz lemma; it only uses $v_f(z)$ instead of $f(z)/z$ as the auxiliary function and we compare v_f with

$$u_f := \log f^\sharp.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Fix $0 < r < 1$ and consider

$$u_f(z) = \log f^\sharp(z) = \log \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad w_r(z) := \log \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} r \right|^2}.$$

As explained above, both functions are superharmonic on \mathbb{D} . Since $u_f = w_r$ on $|z| = r$, the minimum principle applied twice implies

$$\inf_{|z| \leq r} u_f(z) = \inf_{|z|=r} u_f(z) = \inf_{|z|=r} w_r(z) = \inf_{|z| \leq r} w_r(z) \leq w_r(0).$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we obtain with $v_f = w_1$ that

$$\log c \leq \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} u_f(z) = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} v_f(z) \leq v_f(0), \quad (2.1)$$

or, equivalently,

$$c \leq \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} f^\sharp(z) \leq \frac{|f'(0)|}{1 + |f'(0)|^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

In other “words”,

$$c|f'(0)|^2 - |f'(0)| + c \leq 0.$$

Now, the quadratic function $\varrho(x) := cx^2 - x + c$ has the zeros

$$\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c},$$

so it takes on nonpositive values if and only if $c \leq 1/2$. In addition,

$$\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c} \leq |f'(0)| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c}. \quad (2.3)$$

If equality holds on either side, then equality holds in both inequalities of (2.2) and so in (2.1), and the minimum principle shows that v_f is constant. Hence $0 = \Delta v_f(z) = -4g^\sharp(z)^2$ in \mathbb{D} , where $g(z) = f(z)/z$. This implies that g is constant, so $f(z) = \eta z$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. Clearly, $|\eta| = (1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4c^2})/(2c)$. If $c = 1/2$, then equality holds in (2.3) with $|f'(0)| = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.2

Part (a) of Theorem 2.1 has been proved earlier by Grahl and Nevo [9, Theorem 3] and Steinmetz [15] using different methods.

Corollary 2.3

Let $c > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$. Then the following hold.

(a) $c \leq 1/2$.

$$(b) f^\sharp(0) \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c}.$$

$$(c) f^\sharp(0) \geq \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c}.$$

Equality holds in either case if and only if $f(z) = T(\eta z)$ where T is a rigid motion of the Riemann sphere and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$|\eta| = 1 \text{ in (a), } |\eta| = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c} \text{ in (b), } |\eta| = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c} \text{ in (c).}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{1/2}$ is precisely the set of rigid motions of the Riemann sphere.

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.1 to $T^{-1} \circ f$, where T is a rigid motion of the Riemann sphere which maps 0 to $f(0)$. Note that $(T^{-1} \circ f)^\sharp = f^\sharp$. \square

This result gives rise to the following problem.

Problem 2.4

Fix $c \in (0, 1/2)$. Find for fixed $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$

$$S_c^+(z_0) := \max_{f \in \mathcal{F}_c} f^\sharp(z_0) \quad \text{and} \quad S_c^-(z_0) := \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_c} f^\sharp(z_0).$$

We note that the problem of finding $S_c^+(z_0)$ has already been raised by Steinmetz [15] and that Corollary 2.3 provides a solution to Problem 2.4 for the case $z_0 = 0$. In Section 4 we present upper bounds for $S_c^+(z_0)$ which improve the estimate (1.1). However, the exact values of $S_c^\pm(z_0)$ for $z_0 \neq 0$ remain undetermined.

3 The Schwarz lemma and nonlinear boundary value problems

In this section we show that the interior extremal problem solved in Theorem 2.1 can be related to a “dual” nonlinear boundary extremal problem. This establishes a link between the Schwarz lemma for \mathcal{F}_c and a class of boundary value problems arising in conformal geometry which have first been investigated by Beurling [4].

The point of departure is the following peculiar property of the extremal functions in Corollary 2.3:

Theorem 3.1

Let $c > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ locally univalent. Then the following are equivalent:

$$(a) \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} f^\sharp(z) = c.$$

(b) $c \leq 1/2$ and $f(z) = T(\eta z)$ with a rigid motion T of the Riemann sphere and

$$|\eta| = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. (b) \implies (a): This is just a computation.

(a) \implies (b): This is a simple application of a rather deep result of Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [8], which has become a standard tool in elliptic PDE, in combination with a nonlinear version of the Schwarz reflection principle, see [13]. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ be locally univalent and satisfy condition (a). By [13, Theorem 1.8], we infer that f has a meromorphic continuation to an open neighborhood of the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. This shows that

$$u(z) := \log f^\sharp(z) - \log c$$

is a C^2 -function on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that

$$\Delta u = -4c^2 e^{2u} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{D}} \quad \text{and} \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathbb{D}. \quad (3.2)$$

By the minimum principle, the superharmonic function u is *positive* on \mathbb{D} . Hence Theorem 1 in [8] forces u to be *radially* symmetric,

$$u(z) = v(r) \quad (r = |z|)$$

for some strictly decreasing function $v : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. It is now a simple matter to see that all radially symmetric solutions of the boundary value problem (3.2) have the form

$$u(z) = \log \frac{|\eta|}{1 + |\eta|^2 |z|^2} - \log c \quad (3.3)$$

with $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ as in (3.1). For convenience, we indicate the main steps. Since

$$\Delta u(z) = \frac{1}{r} (rv'(r))',$$

where $r = |z|$ and $'$ indicates differentiation with respect to r , we need to find all strictly decreasing solutions $v \in C^2([0, 1])$ of

$$(rv'(r))' = -4c^2 r e^{2v(r)} \text{ on } [0, 1], \quad v(1) = 0.$$

We substitute $r = e^x$ and obtain for $w(x) := v(e^x) + x + \log(2c)$ the initial value problem

$$w''(x) = -e^{2w(x)} \text{ on } (-\infty, 0], \quad w(0) = \log(2c).$$

This ODE has $2w'(x)$ as an integrating factor, so

$$(w'(x)^2)' = - (e^{2w(x)})'.$$

Integrating from $x = a$ to $x = t$ and using that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} w'(a) = \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} e^a v'(e^a) + 1 = 1$$

as well as

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} w(a) = -\infty,$$

we arrive at

$$w'(t)^2 = 1 - e^{2w(t)} \quad \text{on } (-\infty, 0].$$

In particular, $w(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in (-\infty, 0]$ and

$$w'(t) = \pm \sqrt{1 - e^{2w(t)}}.$$

The resulting two ODEs (one for each sign) are separable and can be solved by elementary integration. This leads to explicit formulas for $w(t)$ and ultimately shows that the solutions $u(z)$ to (3.2) have the form (3.3). \square

Theorem 3.2 (The Schwarz lemma for \mathcal{F}_c and a dual boundary extremal problem)

Let $c > 0$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_c$. Then the following are equivalent.

(a) F is extremal for one of the interior extremal problems

$$\max_{f \in \mathcal{F}_c} f^\sharp(0) \quad \text{or} \quad \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_c} f^\sharp(0).$$

(b) F is extremal for the boundary extremal problem

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_c} \liminf_{z \rightarrow \xi} f^\sharp(z) \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \partial\mathbb{D}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is by now obvious because we have identified all functions $F \in \mathcal{F}_c$ with property (a) in Corollary 2.3 and those with property (b) in Theorem 3.1 in an explicit way. It would be desirable to have a direct proof of the fact that (a) and (b) are equivalent.

Problem 3.3

Theorem 3.2 roughly says that every $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ that maximizes/minimizes f^\sharp at the *origin* actually minimizes f^\sharp on the *entire* unit circle. Now suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ maximizes/minimizes f^\sharp over the set \mathcal{F}_c at a point $z_0 \neq 0$. Does f^\sharp have a corresponding boundary extremal property on (part of) the unit circle?

We are now in a position to relate the Schwarz lemma for the class \mathcal{F}_c (Theorem 2.1) with Beurling's well-known extension of the Riemann mapping theorem (see [1, 2, 4, 6, 3, 7]). Denote by $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all holomorphic functions $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) > 0$. For a given positive, continuous and *bounded* function $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Beurling [4] considered the nonlinear boundary value problem¹

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (|g'(z)| - \Phi(g(z))) = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

¹This is a "Riemann–Hilbert–Poincaré problem".

and showed that this problem always admits *univalent* solutions $g \in \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{D})$. In fact, Beurling even showed that there is always a kind of “maximal” resp. “minimal” univalent solution. In order to find the “minimal” univalent solution, Beurling considered the set of univalent “supersolutions” of (3.4),

$$B_{\Phi} := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{D}) \text{ univalent} \mid \liminf_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (|g'(z)| - \Phi(g(z))) \geq 0 \right\},$$

and proved in a first step that there is a unique function $g^* \in B_{\Phi}$ such that

$$g^{*'}(0) = \inf_{g \in B_{\Phi}} g'(0).$$

In a second step, he then showed that this “minimal” supersolution is in fact a solution of the boundary value problem (3.4). It appears that for Beurling’s method the assumption that Φ is *bounded* (or at least of sublinear growth as in [1]) is fairly essential. Now, it is easy to see that Beurling’s set of supersolutions for the *unbounded* function

$$\Phi_c(w) := c(1 + |w|^2)$$

can be written as

$$B_{\Phi_c} = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{D}) \text{ univalent} \mid \liminf_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{|g'(z)|}{1 + |g(z)|^2} \geq c \right\}$$

and hence

$$B_{\Phi_c} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_c.$$

Therefore, Corollary 2.3 implies that for any $c \in (0, 1/2]$ there is a unique function $g_c \in B_{\Phi_c}$ (in fact, $g_c(z) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c} z$) such that

$$g_c'(0) = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_c} f^{\sharp}(0) \leq \inf_{g \in B_{\Phi_c}} g'(0) \leq g_c'(0),$$

and g_c is obviously a solution to Beurling’s boundary value problem (3.4) for $\Phi = \Phi_c$. Clearly, an analogous result holds for the unique function in B_{Φ_c} which maximizes $g'(0)$ for all $g \in B_{\Phi_c}$. By Theorem 3.2, these two solutions are the only two solutions to (3.4) for $\Phi = \Phi_c$! To put it differently, Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 3.2 provide an extension of Beurling’s results at least for the specific function

$$\Phi(w) = \Phi_c(w) = c(1 + |w|^2), \quad c \leq 1/2,$$

which is of *quadratic* and not merely sublinear growth.

For convenience, we state these considerations as a theorem, which as we have seen is now merely a restatement of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.4 (The Beurling–Riemann mapping theorem for the spherical metric)
Suppose that $c > 0$ and consider the boundary value problem

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (|g'(z)| - c(1 + |g(z)|^2)) = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

for $g \in \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{D})$.

(a) If $c < 1/2$, then (3.5) has exactly two locally univalent solutions $g_{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{D})$ given by

$$g_{\pm}(z) = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c} z.$$

These solutions are univalent and they are the uniquely determined extremal functions for the extremal problems

$$\max_{g \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi_c}} g'(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{g \in \mathcal{B}_{\Phi_c}} g'(0).$$

(b) If $c = 1/2$, then $g(z) = z$ is the only locally univalent solution $g \in \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{D})$ of (3.5).

(c) If $c > 1/2$, then (3.5) has no locally univalent solution in $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{D})$.

We note that parts of Theorem 3.4 have been proved earlier by different means, see e.g. [1, 2, 7, 11]. The essential new ingredient is the uniqueness statement in part (a), which ultimately comes from the Gidas–Ni–Nirenberg theorem.

Remark 3.5

In all of our results, the restriction to *locally univalent* functions is essential. The reason is that $\log f^{\sharp}$ is superharmonic only for *locally univalent* functions $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$, so the minimum principle can be applied and shows that

$$\mathcal{F}_c = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D}) \text{ locally univalent} : \liminf_{|z| \rightarrow 1} f^{\sharp}(z) \geq c \right\}$$

In fact, the larger class

$$\mathcal{G}_c := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D}) : \liminf_{|z| \rightarrow 1} f^{\sharp}(z) \geq c \right\}$$

is not even a normal family in view of the following example.

Example 3.6

Let

$$g_n(z) := \frac{z}{1/n^2 + z^2}.$$

Clearly $g_n \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ and a straightforward computation leads to

$$g_n^{\sharp}(z) = \frac{|1/n^2 - z^2|}{|1/n^2 + z^2|^2 + |z|^2} \geq \frac{1 - 1/n^2}{2 + 2/n^2 + 1/n^4}$$

and hence $g_n \in \mathcal{G}_c$ for any $c \in (0, 1/2)$ for all but finitely many n . However it is readily checked that $g_n(0) = 0$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z g_n(z) = 1$ on the punctured unit disk $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence none of the families \mathcal{G}_c , $c \in (0, 1/2)$, is a normal family.

In contrast, the families \mathcal{F}_c are normal ([9, 15]). This is more or less immediate from Theorem 2.1 and will be explored in more detail in the next section.

4 Normal families and growth estimates

By precomposing $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ with unit disk automorphisms, Corollary 2.3 implies that each of the families \mathcal{F}_c is a normal family:

Corollary 4.1

Let $c > 0$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$,

$$\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2 \left(\frac{1-|z_0|}{1+|z_0|}\right)^2}}{2c(1-|z_0|)^2} \leq f^\sharp(z_0) \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2 \left(\frac{1-|z_0|}{1+|z_0|}\right)^2}}{2c(1-|z_0|)^2}, \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. We may assume $f(z_0) = 0$. Then it is immediate that the function

$$g(z) := f\left(\frac{z + z_0}{1 + \overline{z_0}z}\right)$$

belongs to the family $\mathcal{F}_{c(1-|z_0|)/(1+|z_0|)}$ and $f^\sharp(z_0) = (1 - |z_0|^2)^{-1}g^\sharp(0)$, so Corollary 2.3 leads to (4.1). \square

Remark 4.2

Compared to the estimate (1.1) due to Steinmetz [15] the right-hand inequality in (4.1) is better for $|z_0|$ close to 0, but weaker for $|z_0|$ close to 1.

The following result gives a simultaneous sharpening of *both* upper bounds in (1.1) and (4.1) as well as a corresponding lower bound. Instead of precomposing $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ with a unit disk automorphism S and considering $(f \circ S)^\sharp$ as in the proof of Corollary 4.1 we now consider again the two auxiliary functions

$$\log \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \log \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + \left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right|^2},$$

and precompose f and f' with the same unit disk automorphism.

Theorem 4.3

Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ for some $c > 0$. Then

$$\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}}{2c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2} \leq f^\sharp(z_0) \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}}{2c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}, \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (4.2)$$

These estimates are sharp if and only if $z_0 = 0$.

Note that

$$\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}}{2c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2} < \frac{1}{c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}, \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{D},$$

so (4.2) improves (1.1) slightly. Also (4.2) is sharper than (4.1).

Proof. We employ the two auxiliary functions

$$u_f(z) = \log f^\sharp(z) \quad \text{and} \quad v_f(z) := \log \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + \left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right|^2}.$$

In order to prove (4.2) we may assume $f(z_0) = 0$ and let

$$S(z) = \frac{z + z_0}{1 + \bar{z}_0 z}.$$

Fix $0 < r < 1$ and consider

$$u_f(S(z)) = \log f^\sharp(S(z)) = \log \frac{|f'(S(z))|}{1 + |f(S(z))|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad w_r(z) := \log \frac{|f'(S(z))|}{1 + |f(S(z))r/z|^2}.$$

As explained above, both functions are superharmonic on \mathbb{D} . Since $u_f \circ S = w_r$ on $|z| = r$, the minimum principle applied twice implies

$$\inf_{|z| \leq r} u_f(S(z)) = \inf_{|z|=r} u_f(S(z)) = \inf_{|z|=r} w_r(z) = \inf_{|z| \leq r} w_r(z) \leq w_r(0).$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$\log c \leq \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} u_f(z) = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} u_f(S(z)) = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} w_1(z) \leq w_1(0). \quad (4.3)$$

or, equivalently,

$$c \leq \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} f^\sharp(z) \leq \frac{|f'(z_0)|}{1 + (1 - |z_0|^2)^2 |f'(z_0)|^2}.$$

Hence

$$c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2 |f'(z_0)|^2 - |f'(z_0)| + c \leq 0.$$

This implies

$$\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}}{2c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2} \leq |f'(z_0)| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}}{2c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}. \quad (4.4)$$

If equality holds on either side, then equality holds in both inequalities of (4.3) and the minimum principle shows that w_1 is constant. Noting that

$$\Delta w_1 = -4h^\sharp(z)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad h(z) := \frac{f(S(z))}{z},$$

we get that h is constant and hence $f(S(z)) = \eta z$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. Now, using again that

$$w_1(z) = \log \frac{|(f \circ S)'(z)|}{1 + |(f \circ S)(z)/z|^2} - \log |S'(z)|$$

is constant, we see that S' is constant and therefore $z_0 = 0$. Hence (4.2) is sharp only for $z_0 = 0$. \square

Remark 4.4

The estimate (4.2) of Theorem 4.3 as well as inequality (1.1) both lead to

$$\limsup_{|z_0| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z_0|^2)^2 S_c^+(z_0) \leq \frac{1}{c}. \quad (4.5)$$

Steinmetz [15] has posed the problem whether

$$\limsup_{|z_0| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z_0|^2) S_c^+(z_0) < \infty? \quad (4.6)$$

This turns out not to be the case. As we have already remarked, a recent result of Gröhn [10, Theorem 3] shows that there is a function

$$f \in \bigcup_{c>0} \mathcal{F}_c$$

such that

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - |z_n|^2)^2 f^\sharp(z_n) > 0 \quad (4.7)$$

for some sequence (z_n) in \mathbb{D} with $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$. In fact, it is shown in [10] that there is such a function for any uniformly separated sequence (z_n) in \mathbb{D} . Hence, for sufficiently small values of $c > 0$ inequality (4.5) is sharp up to a multiplicative constant. On the other hand, the set $\mathcal{F}_{1/2}$ consists only of rigid motions of the Riemann sphere by Corollary 2.3, so one has $S_{1/2}^+(z_0) = 1$. This leads to the following problem.

Problem 4.5

Let $c \in (0, 1/2)$. Find the value of

$$\mathcal{S}_c := \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2)^2 S_c^+(z).$$

In particular, is it true that $\mathcal{S}_c > 0$ for every $c \in (0, 1/2)$?

By (4.5) we have

$$\mathcal{S}_c \leq 1/c.$$

The next result says that here one can replace 1 by

$$\frac{1}{2} (3 - \sqrt{5}) \approx 0.38.$$

Theorem 4.6

Let $c \in (0, 1/2)$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$,

$$f^\sharp(z_0) \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 + |z_0|^2} - |z_0|}{2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}, \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (4.8)$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{S}_c \leq \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2c}.$$

The proof is based on the following simple Schwarz–Pick type lemma.

Lemma 4.7

Suppose that $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $w : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a holomorphic function such that $w(z_0) = 0$ and $w''(z_0) = 0$. Then

$$|w'(z_0)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{4 + |z_0|^2} - |z_0|}{2(1 - |z_0|^2)}.$$

Equality can hold only if w is a Blaschke product of degree 2.

In the proof we will identify all the extremal functions semi-explicitly. We intentionally have excluded the case $z_0 = 0$ in Lemma 4.7.

Proof. Write

$$w(z) = \frac{z - z_0}{1 - \bar{z}_0 z} g(z)$$

for some holomorphic function $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ and note that $g(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Then $w''(z_0) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$g'(z_0) = -\frac{\bar{z}_0}{1 - |z_0|^2} g(z_0). \quad (4.9)$$

The Schwarz–Pick lemma applied to g implies

$$\frac{1 - |g(z_0)|^2}{1 - |z_0|^2} \geq |g'(z_0)| = \frac{|z_0|}{1 - |z_0|^2} |g(z_0)|,$$

which is equivalent to

$$|g(z_0)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{4 + |z_0|^2} - |z_0|}{2} \iff |w'(z_0)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{4 + |z_0|^2} - |z_0|}{2(1 - |z_0|^2)}.$$

Again by the Schwarz–Pick lemma, we see that equality occurs if and only if g is a unit disk automorphism such that (4.9) holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.6. In view of Corollary 2.3 we may assume that $z_0 \neq 0$. We first closely follow the proof of (1.1) in [15]. We may as well assume $f(z_0) = 0$. Since $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ is a *locally univalent* meromorphic function its Schwarzian derivative

$$S_f(z) = \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2$$

is *holomorphic* in \mathbb{D} and we can write

$$f = \frac{w_1}{w_2}$$

with holomorphic functions $w_1, w_2 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ both of which are solutions of the linear second order ODE

$$w'' + \frac{S_f(z)}{2} w = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

normalized in such a way that the Wronskian is constant,

$$w_1' w_2 - w_1 w_2' = 1.$$

In particular, we get

$$f^\sharp(z) = \frac{1}{|w_1(z)|^2 + |w_2(z)|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad f^\sharp(z_0) = \frac{1}{|w_2(z_0)|^2} = |w_1'(z_0)|^2.$$

Since $f^\sharp(z) \geq c$, the first identity shows that $w := \sqrt{c} w_1$ is a holomorphic selfmap of the unit disk with $w(z_0) = 0$ and

$$w''(z_0) = -\frac{S_f(z_0)}{2} w(z_0) = 0,$$

since S_f is holomorphic. Hence we are in a position to apply Lemma 4.7 and obtain

$$f^\sharp(z_0) = |w_1'(z_0)|^2 = \frac{|w'(z_0)|^2}{c} \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 + |z_0|^2} - |z_0|}{2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2}.$$

□

Remark 4.8

Using the standard Schwarz–Pick lemma

$$|w'(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z_0|^2}$$

instead of the “improved” Schwarz–Pick type Lemma 4.7 in the last step of the preceding proof gives the less precise inequality

$$f^\sharp(z_0) \leq \frac{1}{c(1 - |z_0|^2)^2},$$

i.e., inequality (1.1). This is exactly Steinmetz’ proof [15] of (1.1). It does not fully use the fact that S_f is *holomorphic*.

5 Concluding remarks

Remark 5.1

We first observe that another simple proof of the Grahl–Nevo normality criterion is available. Let us set

$$\mathcal{F}_{c,0} := \{f \in \mathcal{F}_c : f(0) = 0\}.$$

It is clear that for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ and any $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$|f'(z)| \geq f^\sharp(z) \geq c, \tag{5.1}$$

and by the fundamental normality test [14, p. 74] the family consisting of derivatives of functions $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ is a normal family; note that this also follows from the plain

fact that the family of reciprocals of derivatives of functions $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$ contains only functions analytic in the unit disk and is also uniformly bounded above there by (5.1). Now since for any f in $\mathcal{F}_{c,0}$ we have

$$\int_0^z f'(t)dt = f(z),$$

we obtain (see e.g. Lemma 8 in [9]) that $\mathcal{F}_{c,0}$ is a normal family. We define for each $f \in \mathcal{F}_c$,

$$F(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{1 + \overline{f(0)}f(z)} & \text{if } f(0) \in \mathbb{C} \\ \frac{1}{f(z)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so F belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{c,0}$. Now let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{F}_c . Then $F_n \in \mathcal{F}_{c,0}$ for each n and therefore $\{F_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{F_{n_k}\}$ which converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . If $\{f_{n_k}(0)\}$ does not converge to the point at infinity, then passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\{f_{n_k}(0)\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and that $f_{n_k}(0) \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence the sequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ is compactly convergent in \mathbb{D} because

$$f_{n_k}(z) = \frac{F_{n_k}(z) + f_{n_k}(0)}{1 - \overline{f_{n_k}(0)}F_{n_k}(z)}.$$

A similar line of reasoning is available if $\{f_{n_k}(0)\}$ does converge to the point at infinity and we may therefore conclude that \mathcal{F}_c is a normal family.

Remark 5.2

It is sometimes possible to give straightforward proofs of the normality of specific subclasses of \mathcal{F}_c . Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the class of complex polynomials of degree at most n and \mathcal{R}_n the class of rational functions $f = p_n/q_n$ with $p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and

$$q_n(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j)$$

where the points z_j are fixed once for all with $|z_j| > 1$. We set

$$\|f\| = \sup_{z \in \partial\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|.$$

According to an estimate of Borwein and Erdelyi [5],

$$|f'(z)| \leq K(z)\|f\| \quad \text{for any } |z| = 1$$

with

$$K(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|z_j|^2 - 1}{|z_j - z|^2}$$

and clearly

$$K(z) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|z_j| + 1}{|z_j| - 1} := k_n.$$

In particular, if $f \in \mathcal{F}_c \cap \mathcal{R}_n$ and if $|f(z_0)| = \|f\|$ for some $|z_0| = 1$, then

$$c \leq \frac{|f'(z_0)|}{1 + |f(z_0)|^2} \leq k_n \frac{|f(z_0)|}{1 + |f(z_0)|^2}.$$

It follows that $c/k_n \leq 1/2$ and

$$\|f\| = |f(z_0)| \leq \frac{k_n}{2c} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4c^2}{k_n^2}} \right).$$

The family $\mathcal{F}_c \cap \mathcal{R}_n$ is therefore uniformly bounded on the unit disk and in particular normal there.

References

- [1] F. G. Avkhadiev and L. I. Shokleva, Generalizations of certain Beurling's theorem with applications for inverse boundary value problem. *Russ. Math.* (1994), **38**, No. 5, 78–81.
- [2] F. G. Avkhadiev, Conformal mappings that satisfy the boundary condition of equality of metrics, *Dokl. Math.* (1996), **53**, No. 2, 194–196.
- [3] F. Bauer, D. Kraus, O. Roth and E. Wegert, On Beurling's free boundary value problem in conformal geometry, *Israel Journal Math.* (2010), **180**, 223–254.
- [4] A. Beurling, An extension of the Riemann mapping theorem, *Acta Math.*, **90**, 117–130 (1953).
- [5] P. Borwein and T. Erdelyi, Sharp extensions of Bernstein's inequality to rational spaces, *Mathematica*, **43**, 413–423 (1996).
- [6] M. Cerne and M. Flores, On Beurling's boundary differential relation, *Isr. J. Math.*, **199**, Part B, 831–840 (2014).
- [7] R. Fournier and St. Ruscheweyh, Free boundary value problems for analytic functions in the closed unit disk, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1999), **127** no. 11, 3287–3294.
- [8] B. Gidas, W. Ni, L. Nirenberg, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, *Comm. Math. Physics*, **68**, 209–243 (1979).
- [9] J. Grahl and S. Nevo, Spherical derivatives and normal families, *J. Anal. Math.*, **117**, 119–128 (2012).
- [10] J. Gröhn, Converse growth estimates for ODEs with slowly growing solutions, arXiv:1811.08736

- [11] R. Kühnau, Längentreue Randverzerrung bei analytischer Abbildung in hyperbolischer und sphärischer Geometrie, *Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen* (1997), **229**, 45–53.
- [12] F. Marty, Recherches sur la répartition des valeurs d'une fonction méromorphe, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse* (3), **23**, 183–261 (1931).
- [13] O. Roth, A general conformal geometric reflection principle, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **359** No. 6, 2501–2529, 2007.
- [14] J. Schiff, *Normal Families*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, (1993).
- [15] N. Steinmetz, Normal families and linear differential equations, *J. Anal. Math.*, **117**, 129–132 (2012).
- [16] L. Zalcman, A heuristic principle in complex function theory, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **82**, 831–817 (1975).

Richard Fournier
Department of Mathematics
University of Montreal
CP 6128, Succursale Centre-Ville
Montreal
H3C3J7 Canada
fournier@dms.umontreal.ca

Daniela Kraus
Department of Mathematics
University of Würzburg
Emil Fischer Straße 40
97074 Würzburg
Germany
dakraus@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

Oliver Roth
Department of Mathematics
University of Würzburg
Emil Fischer Straße 40
97074 Würzburg
Germany
roth@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de