

CHANGING MEASURABLE INTO SMALL ACCESSIBLE CARDINALS

MOHAMMAD GOLSHANI

ABSTRACT. We give a detailed proof of the properties of the usual Prikry type forcing notion for turning a measurable cardinal into \aleph_ω .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this short note, we present a proof of the following known result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume GCH holds and κ is a measurable cardinal. Then there exists a generic extension in which $\kappa = \aleph_\omega$.*

We try to give the details as much as possible to make it accessible to general audience who has some familiarity with forcing and large cardinals (see [1] for preliminaries).

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Suppose that GCH holds and κ is a measurable cardinal. Let \mathcal{U} be a normal measure on κ . Let also $j : V \rightarrow M \simeq \text{Ult}(V, \mathcal{U})$ be the corresponding ultrapower embedding.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists $H \in V$ which a $\text{Col}(\kappa^{++}, < j(\kappa))_M$ -generic filter over M .*

Proof. We have

- (1) $V \models \text{“Col}(\kappa^{++}, < j(\kappa))_M \text{ is } \kappa^+ \text{-closed”}$
- (2) $V \models \text{“}|\{A \in M : A \text{ is a maximal antichain in } \text{Col}(\kappa^{++}, < j(\kappa))_M\}| \leq \kappa^+ \text{”}$.

Thus we can easily find the required H . □

We are now ready to define our main forcing construction.

Definition 2.2. *A condition in \mathbb{P} is of the form*

$$p = (\delta_0, f_0, \dots, \delta_{n-1}, f_{n-1}, A, F)$$

The author's research has been supported by a grant from IPM (No. 97030417).

where

- (1) $n < \omega$.
- (2) $\delta_0 < \dots < \delta_{n-1}$.
- (3) For $i < n - 1$, $f_i \in \text{Col}(\delta_i^{++}, < \delta_{i+1})$.
- (4) $f_{n-1} \in \text{Col}(\delta_{n-1}^{++}, < \kappa)$.
- (5) $A \in \mathcal{U}$, and $\min(A) > \delta_{n-1}$.
- (6) F is a function with $\text{dom}(F) = A$.
- (7) For every $\delta \in A$, $F(\delta) \in \text{Col}(\delta^{++}, < \kappa)$.
- (8) $[F]_{\mathcal{U}} \in H$.

Given a condition $p \in \mathbb{P}$, we denote it by

$$p = (\delta_0^p, f_0^p \dots, \delta_{n^p-1}^p, f_{n^p-1}^p, A^p, F^p).$$

We also set

- $\text{stem}(p) = (\delta_0^p, f_0^p \dots, \delta_{n^p-1}^p, f_{n^p-1}^p)$, the stem of p .
- $u(p) = (A^p, F^p)$, the upper part of p .

Definition 2.3. Suppose $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$.

- (a) p is an extension of q , $p \leq q$, iff
 - (1) $n^p \geq n^q$.
 - (2) For all $i < n^q$, $\delta_i^p = \delta_i^q$.
 - (3) For $n^q \leq i < n^p$, $\delta_i^p \in A^q$.
 - (4) For $i < n^q$, $f_i^p \leq f_i^q$.
 - (5) For $n^q \leq i < n^p$, $f_i^p \leq F^q(\delta_i^p)$.
 - (6) $A^p \subseteq A^q$.
 - (7) For each $\delta \in A^p$, $F^p(\delta) \leq F^q(\delta)$.
- (b) p is a direct extension of q , $p \leq^* q$, iff
 - (1) $p \leq q$.
 - (2) $n^p = n^q$.

We start by proving the basic properties of the forcing notion \mathbb{P} .

Lemma 2.4. (\mathbb{P}, \leq) satisfies the κ^+ -c.c.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ be of size κ^+ . Then, as

$$\{\text{stem}(p) : p \in A\} \subseteq V_\kappa$$

has size κ , we can find $p, q \in A$ such that $\text{stem}(p) = \text{stem}(q)$. We claim that p and q are compatible. Since $[F^p]_{\mathcal{U}}, [F^q]_{\mathcal{U}} \in H$, we can find $[F]_{\mathcal{U}} \in H$ such that $[F]_{\mathcal{U}} \leq [F^p]_{\mathcal{U}}, [F^q]_{\mathcal{U}}$. Thus

$$A^* = \{\delta < \kappa : F(\delta) \leq F^p(\delta), F^q(\delta)\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Set $A = A^* \cap A^p \cap A^q \in \mathcal{U}$. Then

$$\text{stem}(p) \frown (A, F) \in \mathbb{P}$$

and it extends both of p and q . □

Lemma 2.5. Suppose $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $m < n^p$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}/p \simeq \left(\prod_{i < m} \text{Col}((\delta_i^p)^{++}, < \delta_{i+1}^p) \right) \times \mathbb{P}/p^{\geq m},$$

where $p^{\geq m} = (\delta_m^p, f_m^p, \dots, \delta_{n^p-1}^p, f_{n^p-1}^p, A^p, F^p)$. Further, $(\mathbb{P}/p^{\geq m}, \leq^*)$ is δ_m^{++} -closed.

We now show that the forcing notion $(\mathbb{P}, \leq, \leq^*)$ satisfies the Prikry property.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose $q \in \mathbb{P}$ and ϕ is a statement of the forcing language of (\mathbb{P}, \leq) . Then there exists $p \leq^* q$ which decides ϕ .

Proof. We assume for simplicity that $n^q = 0$ and $f_0^q = \emptyset$. We write q as $q = (A^q, F^q)$. The proof has four main steps.

Claim 2.7. (Reduction to stems) There exists $q^1 = (A^1, F^1) \leq^* q$ such that for any stem s ,

$$\exists s \frown (A, F) \leq (A^1, F^1), \quad s \frown (A, F) \parallel \phi \iff s \frown (A^1, F^1) \parallel \phi.$$

Proof. For each stem s , if there exists $s \frown (A, F) \leq (A^q, F^q)$ which decides ϕ , then let $(A^s, F^s) = (A, F)$ and otherwise set $(A^s, F^s) = (A^q, F^q)$.

Then $\{[F^s]_{\mathcal{U}} : s \text{ is a stem}\} \subseteq H$, and hence, we can find $[F^1]_{\mathcal{U}} \in H$ which extends all of them. For each stem s , set

$$B^s = \{\delta \in A^s : F^1(\delta) \leq F^s(\delta)\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Let also

$$A^1 = \Delta_s B^s = \{\delta < \kappa : s \in V_\delta \Rightarrow \delta \in B^s\}.$$

We show that $q^1 = (A^1, F^1)$ is as required. Thus suppose that s is a stem and suppose there exists $s^\frown(A, F) \leq (A^1, F^1)$ which decides ϕ .

It then follows that $s^\frown(A^s, F^s)$ decides ϕ . But, by our construction,

$$s^\frown(A^1, F^1) \leq s^\frown(A^s, F^s)$$

and hence $s^\frown(A^1, F^1)$ decides ϕ as well. \square

Let $q^1 = (A^1, F^1)$ be as in Claim 2.7.

Claim 2.8. (*Reduction to stem minus top element*) *There exists $q^2 = (A^2, F^2) \leq^* q^1$ such that for any stem $s = (\delta_0, f_0, \dots, \delta_{n-1}, f_{n-1})$, if $s^\frown(\delta_n, f_n)^\frown(A, F) \leq (A^2, F^2)$ and*

$$s^\frown(\delta_n, f_n)^\frown(A, F) \parallel \phi,$$

then

$$s^\frown(\delta_n, F^2(\delta_n))^\frown(A^2, F^2) \parallel \phi.$$

Proof. Let D be the set of all conditions $f \in \text{Col}(\kappa^{++}, < j(\kappa))_M$ such that for any stem $s \in V_\kappa$, if there exists $g \in \text{Col}(\kappa^{++}, < j(\kappa))_M$ such that

$$s^\frown(\kappa, g)^\frown(j(A^1), j(F^1)) \parallel \phi,$$

then

$$s^\frown(\kappa, f)^\frown(j(A^1), j(F^1)) \parallel \phi.$$

We claim that $D \subseteq \text{Col}(\kappa^{++}, < j(\kappa))_M$ is dense. Thus suppose that $g \in \text{Col}(\kappa^{++}, < j(\kappa))_M$.

Let $(s_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa)$ enumerate all stems $s \in V_\kappa$, and define a decreasing sequence $(f_\alpha : \alpha \leq \kappa)$ of conditions in $\text{Col}(\kappa^{++}, < j(\kappa))_M$, such that $f_0 = g$ and for any $\alpha < \kappa$,

$$\exists f \leq f_\alpha, s_\alpha^\frown(\kappa, f)^\frown(j(A^1), j(F^1)) \parallel \phi \implies s_\alpha^\frown(\kappa, f_{\alpha+1})^\frown(j(A^1), j(F^1)) \parallel \phi.$$

Then $f = f_\kappa \in D$ and it extends g .

Let $f = [F^2]_{\mathcal{U}}$. We may assume that $[F^2]_{\mathcal{U}} \leq [F^1]_{\mathcal{U}}$, and hence $A^* = \{\delta < \kappa : F^2(\delta) \leq F^1(\delta)\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

For any stem $s = (\delta_0, f_0, \dots, \delta_{n-1}, f_{n-1})$, we have $A^s \in \mathcal{U}$, where A^s consists of those $\delta_n \in A^1$ such that if there exists $s^\frown(\delta_n, f_n)^\frown(A, F) \leq s^\frown(A^1, F^1)$ which decides ϕ , then

$$s^\frown(\delta_n, F^2(\delta_n))^\frown(A^2, F^2) \Vdash \phi.$$

Let

$$A^2 = \triangle_s A^s \cap A^*.$$

Then $q^2 = (A^2, F^2)$ is easily seen to be as requested. \square

Claim 2.9. *(One point extension uniformization) There exists $q^3 = (A^3, F^3) \leq^* q^2$ such that for any stem s , if $s^\frown(\delta_n, f_n)^\frown(A, F) \leq (A^3, F^3)$ and*

$$s^\frown(\delta_n, f_n)^\frown(A, F) \Vdash \phi,$$

then for all $\delta \in A^3$,

$$s^\frown(\delta, F^3(\delta))^\frown(A^3, F^3) \Vdash \phi.$$

Proof. Let s be a stem. Set

- $A_0^s = \{\delta \in A^2 : s^\frown(\delta, F^2(\delta))^\frown(A^2, F^2) \Vdash \phi\}$.
- $A_1^s = \{\delta \in A^2 : s^\frown(\delta, F^2(\delta))^\frown(A^2, F^2) \Vdash \neg\phi\}$.
- $A_2^s = \{\delta \in A^2 : s^\frown(\delta, F^2(\delta))^\frown(A^2, F^2) \nVdash \phi\}$.

Let $i_s < 3$ be such that $A^s = A_{i_s}^s \in \mathcal{U}$. Let $A^3 = \triangle_s A^s \in \mathcal{U}$, and set $F^3 = F^2 \upharpoonright A^3$.

We show that $q^3 = (A^3, F^3)$ is as required. Thus suppose that $s^\frown(\delta_n, f_n)^\frown(A, F) \leq (A^3, F^3)$ and $s^\frown(\delta_n, f_n)^\frown(A, F) \Vdash \phi$. Let us suppose that it forces ϕ . Then $\delta_n \in A_0^s$, and hence for all $\delta \in A_3$ such that $s^\frown(\delta, F^3(\delta))^\frown(A^3, F^3)$ is a condition, we have $\delta \in A_0^s$, and hence $s^\frown(\delta, F^2(\delta))^\frown(A^2, F^2) \Vdash \phi$. It follows that

$$s^\frown(\delta, F^3(\delta))^\frown(A^3, F^3) \Vdash \phi.$$

\square

Claim 2.10. (*Minimal extension counterexample*) *There exists $q^4 = (A^4, F^4) \leq^* q^3$ which decides ϕ .*

Proof. Suppose not. Let $p \leq q^3 \leq \text{decide } \phi$, such that n^p is minimal. By our assumption, $n^p > 0$ and hence we can write p as

$$p = s^\frown(\delta_n, f_n)^\frown(A, F).$$

By Claim 2.9

$$\forall \delta \in A^3, s^\frown(\delta, F^3(\delta))^\frown(A^3, F^3) \Vdash \phi.$$

Note that if $p^* \leq s^\frown(A^3, F^3)$ and $n^{p^*} > n^p - 1$, then for some $\delta \in A^3$, $p^* \leq s^\frown(\delta, F^3(\delta))^\frown(A^3, F^3)$, and hence

$$s^\frown(A^3, F^3) \Vdash \phi.$$

This is in contradiction with the minimal choice of n^p . □

The lemma follows. □

Let G be \mathbb{P} -generic over V . Let $C = (\delta_n : n < \omega)$ be the added Prikry sequence and for each $n < \omega$ set $G_n \subseteq \text{Col}(\delta_n^{++}, < \delta_{n+1})$ be the generic filter added by G .

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose $A \in V[G]$ and $A \subseteq \delta_n^+$. Then $A \in V[\prod_{i < n} G_i]$.*

Proof. Let $p \in G$ be such that $n^p > n$. Let also \underline{A} be a \mathbb{P} -name for A such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \underline{A} \subseteq \delta_n^+$.

Let \underline{B} be a $\mathbb{P}/p^{\geq n}$ -name for a subset of $\prod_{i < n} \text{Col}(\delta_i^{++}, < \delta_{i+1}) \times \delta_n^+$ such that

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}/p^{\geq n}} \text{“}\forall \alpha < \delta_n^+ [(q, \alpha) \in \underline{B}] \iff q \Vdash_{\prod_{i < n} \text{Col}(\delta_i^{++}, < \delta_{i+1})} \text{“}\alpha \in \underline{A} \text{”} \text{”}.$$

Let $(x_\alpha : \alpha \leq \delta_n^+)$ be an enumeration of $\prod_{i < n} \text{Col}(\delta_i^{++}, < \delta_{i+1}) \times \delta_n^+$. Define a $\leq_{\mathbb{P}}^*$ -decreasing sequence $(p_\alpha : \alpha \leq \delta_n^+)$ of conditions in $\mathbb{P}/p^{\geq n}$ such that for each $\alpha < \delta_n^+$, $p_{\alpha+1} \Vdash \text{“}x_\alpha \in \underline{B} \text{”}$. This is possible as $(\mathbb{P}/p^{\geq n}, \leq^*)$ is δ_n^{++} -closed and satisfies the Prikry property. Then $p_{\delta_n^+}$ decides each $\text{“}x_\alpha \in \underline{B} \text{”}$, and so, assuming $p_{\delta_n^+} \in G$, we have

$$a = \{ \alpha < \kappa : \exists q \in \prod_{i < n} G_i, p_{\delta_n^+} \Vdash \text{“}(q, \alpha) \in \underline{B} \text{”} \} \in V[\prod_{i < n} G_i].$$

The result follows. □

The following is now immediate.

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose $\lambda < \kappa$ is a cardinal.*

- (a) V and $V[G]$ have the same bounded subsets of δ_0 .
- (b) $\text{Card}^{V[G]} \cap [\delta_0, \kappa) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \{\delta_n, \delta_n^+, \delta_n^{++}\}$
- (c) $V[G] \models \text{“} \kappa = \delta_0^{+\omega} \text{”}$.

Now forcing over $V[G]$ by $\text{Col}(\aleph_0, < \delta_0)$, we get a model in which κ becomes \aleph_ω . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jech, Thomas; Set theory. The third millennium edition, revised and expanded. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. xiv+769 pp.

Mohammad Golshani, School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box: 19395-5746, Tehran-Iran.

E-mail address: golshani.m@gmail.com

URL: <http://math.ipm.ac.ir/golshani/>