

# A Wong-Zakai Approximation of Stochastic Differential Equations Driven by a General Semimartingale

**Xianming Liu**

*School of Mathematics and Statistics,  
Huazhong University of Sciences and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China  
E-mail: xmliu@hust.edu.cn*

**Guangyue Han**

*Department of Mathematics,  
The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China  
E-mail: ghan@hku.hk*

February 19, 2019

## Abstract

We examine a Wong-Zakai type approximation of a family of stochastic differential equations driven by a general càdlàg semimartingale. For such an approximation, compared with the pointwise convergence result by Kurtz, Pardoux and Protter [12, Theorem 6.5], we establish stronger convergence results under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology, which, among other possible applications, implies the convergence of the first passage time of the solution to the stochastic differential equation.

*Key words:* Wong-Zakai approximation, stochastic differential equation, semimartingale, the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology, random time change

## 1 Introduction

Let  $L = \{L(t); 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  be a stochastically continuous càdlàg semimartingale [19] defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $L^\epsilon$  be the smooth approximation [7, 12, 26] of  $L$  defined by

$$L^\epsilon(t) := \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{t-\epsilon}^t L(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

and let  $X^\epsilon = \{X^\epsilon(t); 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  be the solution to the following random differential equation

$$dX^\epsilon(t) = b(X^\epsilon(t))dt + f(X^\epsilon(t))dL^\epsilon(t), \quad X^\epsilon(0) = X_0, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $b(\cdot), f(\cdot)$  are some functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying certain regularity conditions, and  $X_0$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable.

In this paper, we are concerned with the convergence behavior of  $X^\epsilon$  as  $\epsilon$  tends to 0. Since the equation (1.2) is a perturbed version of (1.3) in the sense of Wong-Zakai [5, 12, 16, 22, 24, 25], one naturally speculates that  $X^\epsilon$  converges to  $X$  in some sense, where  $X$  is the solution to the following stochastic differential equation:

$$X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X(s))ds + \int_0^t f(X(s-)) \diamond dL(s), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\diamond$  denotes Marcus integral. Note that the equation (1.3) is in fact a Marcus canonical equation and can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= X_0 + \int_0^t b(X(s))ds + \int_0^t f(X(s)) \circ dL^c(s) + \int_0^t f(X(s-))dL^d(s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} [\varphi(\Delta L(s)f; X(s-), 1) - X(s-) - f(X(s-))\Delta L(s)], \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $L^c$  and  $L^d$  are respectively the continuous and discontinuous parts of  $L$ , and  $\circ$  denotes Stratonovich differential, and furthermore  $\varphi(\sigma; u, t)$  is the flow generated by a vector field  $\sigma$ :

$$\frac{d\varphi(\sigma; u, t)}{dt} = f[\varphi(\sigma; u, t)], \quad \varphi(\sigma; u, 0) = u. \quad (1.5)$$

For more details about Marcus integral and canonical equations, we refer the reader to [1, 4, 9, 10, 12].

For the special case  $b = 0$ , it has been shown by Kurtz, Pardoux and Protter [12] that for all but countably many  $t$ ,  $X^\epsilon(t)$  converges in probability to  $X(t)$ , as  $\epsilon$  tends to 0. As detailed in the following theorem, we will show that for any  $T > 0$ ,  $\{X^\epsilon(t); 0 \leq t \leq T\}$  converges in probability to  $\{X(t); 0 \leq t \leq T\}$  in  $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})^1$ , the space of all the càdlàg functions over  $[0, T]$ , under the the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology, or simply put,  $X^\epsilon$  converges in probability to  $X$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology. Here we remark that the same convergence is not possible under the Skorokhod  $J_1$ -topology due to the simple fact that convergence under the  $J_1$ -topology keeps the continuity, whereas  $X^\epsilon$  is continuous for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $X$  may be discontinuous. For the precise definitions of the Skorokhod  $J_1$  and  $M_1$ -topologies, see Appendix A.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that the functions  $b(\cdot), f(\cdot)$  and  $f'(\cdot)$  are bounded and Lipschitz. Then, as  $\epsilon$  tends to 0,  $X^\epsilon$  converges in probability to  $X$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology.*

For a real-valued stochastic process  $Y = \{Y(t); 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  and a positive real number  $a > 0$ , let  $\tau_a(Y)$  denote the first passage time of  $Y$  with respect to  $a$ , that is,

$$\tau_a(Y) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y(t) > a\}.$$

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.1, we have

**Corollary 1.1.** *For any positive real number  $a > 0$ ,  $\tau_a(X^\epsilon)$  converges to  $\tau_a(X)$  in distribution.*

---

<sup>1</sup>Following the usual practice in the theory of stochastic calculus, we will assume that the sample paths of any stochastic process in this paper are all càdlàg.

*Proof.* The corollary follows from Theorem 1.1, the easily verifiable fact that for any  $t, \epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_a(X^\epsilon) \leq t) = \mathbb{P}(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} X^\epsilon(s) \geq a), \quad \mathbb{P}(\tau_a(X) \leq t) = \mathbb{P}(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} X(s) \geq a),$$

and the fact that the supremum functional as above is continuous under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology [20, Lemma 2.1].  $\square$

The key tool that we used in this work is the so-called method of random time change (see, e.g., [11]), which is a well-known method that has also been used in [12]. On the other hand though, the power of this method somehow has not been fully utilized in [12]: Theorem 1.1 in this work, which is established through a short and simple argument, immediately implies that  $X^\epsilon(t)$  converges in probability to  $X(t)$  for all but countably many  $t$ , which further implies Theorem 6.5 in [12]. As a matter of fact, the power of this method can be further showcased in some special setting: For the case that  $L$  is a Lévy process, the method of Hintze and Pavlyukevich [6] can be adapted to show that as  $\epsilon$  tends to 0,  $L^\epsilon$  converges in probability to  $L$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology, whereas our proof employing the method of random time change readily shows a stronger result stating that as  $\epsilon$  tends to 0,  $L^\epsilon$  converges *almost surely* to  $L$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology (see Theorem 2.1 in Section 2). Here we remark that the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [6] is heavily dependent on the structure of the Lévy process and cannot carry over to the case when  $L$  is a general semimartingale, in which case our proof however aptly applies.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we use a special case to illustrate the key methodology used in our proof. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1, the main result of this paper. For self-containedness, we recall in Appendix A some basic notions and results on the Skorokhod  $J_1$  and  $M_1$ -topologies.

## 2 A Special Case

Note that if we set  $b \equiv 0$ ,  $f \equiv 1$  and  $X_0 = 0$ , then  $X^\epsilon$  is nothing but  $L^\epsilon$ . In this section, for illustrative purposes, we will use the method of random time change to establish the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.**  *$L^\epsilon$  converges almost surely to the semimartingale  $L$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $[L] = [L, L]$  denote the quadratic variation of  $L$ , and let  $[L]^c$  and  $[L]^d$  denote its continuous and purely discontinuous parts, respectively. Define  $\gamma^0(t) := [L]^d(t) + t$ , and for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , define

$$\gamma^\epsilon(t) := \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{t-\epsilon}^t ([L]^d(s) + s) ds.$$

It can be shown that for any  $t \geq 0$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\gamma^\epsilon(t) < \gamma^0(t) < \gamma^\epsilon(t + \epsilon)$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\zeta^\epsilon$  be the generalized inverse of  $\gamma^\epsilon$ , i.e.,  $\zeta^\epsilon(t) := \inf\{s > 0 : \gamma^\epsilon(s) > t\}$ . It can also be shown that for any  $t \geq 0$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\zeta^\epsilon(t) - \epsilon < \zeta^0(t) < \zeta^\epsilon(t)$ , which implies that  $\zeta^\epsilon$  converges to  $\zeta^0$  uniformly over all  $t$  from any bounded interval.

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , define  $Z^\epsilon(t) = L_{\zeta^\epsilon(t)}^\epsilon$ ; in other words, the new process  $Z^\epsilon$  is the original process  $L^\epsilon$  reevaluated in the new time scale  $\zeta^\epsilon(\cdot)$ . It can be easily verified that  $Z^\epsilon(t)$  is continuous in  $t$ .

The remainder of the proof consists of three steps as follows.

**Step 1:** In this step, we will show that as  $\epsilon$  tends to 0,  $\{Z^\epsilon(t)\}$  uniformly converges to a continuous process.

Defining

$$\eta_-(t) = \sup\{s : \zeta^0(s) < \zeta^0(t)\}, \quad \eta^+(t) = \inf\{s : \zeta^0(s) > \zeta^0(t)\},$$

letting  $\{\tau_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  denote the sequence of all the jump times of  $L$ , we will deal with the following two cases.

**Case 1:**  $t \in [0, \gamma^0(\tau_1-))$  or  $t \in (\gamma^0(\tau_i), \gamma^0(\tau_{i+1}-))$  for some  $i$ .

In this case, we have  $\eta_-(t) = \eta^+(t)$ , and  $L$  is continuous at  $\zeta^0(t)$ . Consequently,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} Z^\epsilon(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} L_{\zeta^\epsilon(t)}^\epsilon = L_{\zeta^0(t)}.$$

**Case 2:**  $t \in [\gamma^0(\tau_i-), \gamma^0(\tau_i)]$ .

In this case,  $\zeta^0(t) \equiv \tau_i$  and  $\eta_-(t) \neq \eta^+(t)$ , and  $L$  has a discontinuity at  $\zeta^0(t)$ . It can be shown that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} Z^\epsilon(\gamma^0(\tau_i-)) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} L_{\zeta^\epsilon \circ \gamma^0(\tau_i-)}^\epsilon = L_{\tau_i-} = L_{\zeta^0(t)-}, \quad (2.1)$$

and moreover,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} Z^\epsilon(\gamma^0(\tau_i)) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} L_{\zeta^\epsilon \circ \gamma^0(\tau_i)}^\epsilon = L_{\tau_i} = L_{\zeta^0(t)}. \quad (2.2)$$

And it follows from

$$\frac{dZ^\epsilon(t)}{dt} = \frac{L_{\zeta^\epsilon(t)} - L_{\zeta^\epsilon(t)-\epsilon}}{[L]_{\zeta^\epsilon(t)}^d - [L]_{\zeta^\epsilon(t)-\epsilon}^d + \epsilon}$$

that for any  $t \in [\gamma^0(\tau_i-), \gamma^0(\tau_i)]$ ,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{dZ^\epsilon(t)}{dt} = \frac{L_{\zeta^0(t)} - L_{\zeta^0(t)-}}{[L]_{\zeta^0(t)}^d - [L]_{\zeta^0(t)-}^d} = \frac{L_{\zeta^0(t)} - L_{\zeta^0(t)-}}{\eta^+(t) - \eta_-(t)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Consequently, it follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} Z^\epsilon(t) = Z(t)$  uniformly over all  $t$  from any bounded interval, where  $Z$  is continuous and admits following expression

$$Z(t) = \begin{cases} L_{\zeta^0(t)}, & \text{if } \eta_-(t) = \eta^+(t), \\ \frac{t - \eta_-(t)}{\eta^+(t) - \eta_-(t)} L_{\zeta^0(t)} + \frac{\eta^+(t) - t}{\eta^+(t) - \eta_-(t)} L_{\zeta^0(t)-}, & \text{if } \eta_-(t) \neq \eta^+(t). \end{cases}$$

**Step 2:** This step will lead to the conclusion that as  $\epsilon$  tends to 0,  $\gamma^\epsilon$  converges almost surely to  $\gamma^0$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology. The proof of this step is postponed to next section (see Lemma 3.2).

**Step 3:** In this step, we will show that as  $\epsilon$  tends to 0,  $L^\epsilon$  converges almost surely to  $L$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology, thereby completing the proof.

It follows from the facts that  $\zeta^0 \circ \gamma^0(t) = t$  and  $\gamma^0(t) \notin [\gamma^0(\tau_i-), \gamma^0(\tau_i))$  for any  $t, \tau_i$  that  $Z_{\gamma^0(t)} \equiv L(t)$ . Since  $Z^\epsilon$  uniformly converges to  $Z$ , and  $\gamma^\epsilon$  converges almost surely to  $\gamma^0$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology, we conclude that  $L^\epsilon(\cdot) = Z_{\gamma^\epsilon(\cdot)}^\epsilon$  converges almost surely to  $Z_{\gamma^0(\cdot)} = L(\cdot)$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology. □

**Remark 2.1.** Compared to Theorem 1.1, Theorem 2.1 deals with a special setting yet yields a stronger result. On the other hand, compared to Theorem 3.1 in [6], as mentioned in Section 1, Theorem 2.1 treats a more general setting and still produces a stronger result.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 roughly follows the framework laid out in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and uses many notations defined therein.

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , recall that  $Z^\epsilon$  is defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, and define  $Y^\epsilon$  as  $Y^\epsilon(t) = X_{\zeta^\epsilon(t)}$  for any  $t$ . It can be easily verified that  $\{Z^\epsilon(t)\}$  and  $\{Y^\epsilon(t)\}$  are continuous, and moreover  $\{Y^\epsilon(t)\}$  is the unique solution to the following equation:

$$Y^\epsilon(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t b(Y^\epsilon(s))d\zeta^\epsilon(s) + \int_0^t f(Y^\epsilon(s))dZ^\epsilon(s), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

We will first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.**  *$Y^\epsilon$  converges in probability to a process  $Y$  under the compact uniform topology. Moreover,  $Y$  is continuous and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) = & X_0 + \sum_i \left( \varphi \left( f\Delta L(\tau_i), Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)}, \frac{t \wedge \gamma^0(\tau_i) - \gamma^0(\tau_i-)}{|\Delta L(\tau_i)|^2} \right) - Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)} - f(Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)})\Delta L(\tau_i) \right) \\ & \times I_{[\gamma^0(\tau_i-), +\infty)} + \int_0^t b(Y(s))d\zeta^0(s) + \int_0^t f(Y(s))dL_{\zeta^0(s)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(Y(s))d[L]_{\zeta^0(s)}^c. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof largely follows from that of Theorem 6.5 in [12], so we only give a sketch emphasizing the key steps.

As shown in Section 2,  $\zeta^\epsilon$  and  $Z^\epsilon$  converge to  $\zeta^0$  and  $Z$ , respectively, both uniformly over any bounded interval, which immediately implies that  $U^\epsilon \rightarrow U$  under the Skorokhod  $J_1$ -topology, where the processes  $U^\epsilon$  and  $U$  are defined as

$$U^\epsilon(t) := Z^\epsilon(t) - L_{\zeta^\epsilon(t)}, \quad U(t) := Z(t) - L_{\zeta^0(t)}.$$

And we note that (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} Y^\epsilon(t) &= X_0 + \int_0^t b(Y^\epsilon(s))d\zeta^\epsilon(s) + \int_0^t f(Y^\epsilon(s))dL_{\zeta^\epsilon(s)} + \int_0^t f(Y^\epsilon(s))dU^\epsilon(s) \\ &= X_0 + \int_0^t b(Y^\epsilon(s))d\zeta^\epsilon(s) + \int_0^t f(Y^\epsilon(s))dL_{\zeta^\epsilon(s)} + f(Y^\epsilon(t))U^\epsilon(t) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t f'(Y^\epsilon(s))U^\epsilon(s)dY^\epsilon(s) - [f(Y^\epsilon), U^\epsilon](t) \\ &= X_0 + \int_0^t b(Y^\epsilon(s))d\zeta^\epsilon(s) + \int_0^t f(Y^\epsilon(s))dL_{\zeta^\epsilon(s)} + f(Y^\epsilon(t))U^\epsilon(t) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t f'(Y^\epsilon(s))f(Y^\epsilon(s))U^\epsilon(s)dZ^\epsilon(s) - \int_0^t f'(Y^\epsilon(s))b(Y^\epsilon(s))U^\epsilon(s)d\zeta^\epsilon(s), \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $[f(Y^\epsilon), U^\epsilon] \equiv 0$ .

By [12, Lemma 6.3], we infer that  $\{\int_0^\cdot U^\epsilon(s)dZ^\epsilon(s)\}$  and  $\{\zeta^\epsilon(\cdot)\}$  are “good” (see Kurtz-Protter [13, 14]), and moreover

$$\zeta^\epsilon \rightarrow \zeta^0 \quad (3.3)$$

uniformly, and in probability

$$\int_0^t U^\epsilon(s)dZ^\epsilon(s) \rightarrow \frac{U(t)^2 - [L]_{\zeta^0(t)}}{2} = \frac{(Z(t) - L_{\zeta^0(t)})^2 - [L]_{\zeta^0(t)}}{2} \quad (3.4)$$

under the Skorokhod  $J_1$ -topology. Then, parallel to the proof of Lemma 6.4 in [12], we deduce that  $f(Y^\epsilon(t))U^\epsilon(t)$  converges in distribution to  $R(t)$  under the Skorokhod  $J_1$ -topology, where

$$R(t) = \sum_i I_{[\gamma^0(\tau_{i-}), \gamma^0(\tau_i))}(t) f \left( \varphi \left( f\Delta L(\tau_i), Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}, \frac{t - \gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}{|\Delta L(\tau_i)|^2} \right) \right) U(t); \quad (3.5)$$

here,  $\{\tau_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, is the sequence of all the jump times of  $L$ . Moreover, by the definition of  $U^\epsilon$  and  $\zeta^\epsilon$ , we deduce that

$$\int_0^t f'(Y^\epsilon(s))b(Y^\epsilon(s))U^\epsilon(s)d\zeta^\epsilon(s) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.6)$$

as  $\epsilon$  tends to 0.

Now, combining (3.2)-(3.6) as above, we deduce from [14] and [13, Theorem 5.4] that  $Y^\epsilon$  converges in distribution to  $Y$  under the Skorokhod  $J_1$ -topology, where

$$Y(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t b(Y(s))d\zeta^0(s) + \int_0^t f(Y(s))dL_{\zeta^0(s)} + R(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f'(Y(s))f(Y(s))d(U(s)^2 - [L]_{\zeta^0(s)}).$$

Note that  $U(t)$  can be further computed as

$$U(t) = Z(t) - L_{\zeta^0(t)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \eta_-(t) = \eta^+(t), \\ \frac{\eta^+(t) - t}{\eta^+(t) - \eta_-(t)} (L_{\zeta^0(t)-} - L_{\zeta^0(t)}), & \text{if } \eta_-(t) \neq \eta^+(t). \end{cases}$$

It then follows from the fact for any  $t \in [\gamma^0(\tau_{i-}), \gamma^0(\tau_i))$ ,

$$\zeta^0(t) \equiv \tau_i, \quad \eta^+(t) - \eta_-(t) = \Delta[L]_{\tau_i}^d = |\Delta L(\tau_i)|^2$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})} + \int_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}^t b(Y(s))d\zeta^0(s) + \int_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}^t f(Y(s))dL_{\zeta^0(s)} \\ &\quad + f \left( \varphi \left( f\Delta L(\tau_i), Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}, \frac{t - \gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}{|\Delta L(\tau_i)|^2} \right) \right) U(t) - \int_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}^t f'(Y(s))f(Y(s)) \frac{s - \gamma^0(\tau_i)}{\eta^+(s) - \eta_-(s)} ds \\ &= Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})} + f(Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})})\Delta L(\tau_i) + f \left( \varphi \left( f\Delta L(\tau_i), Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}, \frac{t - \gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}{|\Delta L(\tau_i)|^2} \right) \right) U(t) \\ &\quad - \int_{\gamma^0(\tau_{i-})}^t f'(Y(s))f(Y(s)) \frac{s - \gamma^0(\tau_i)}{\eta^+(s) - \eta_-(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(t) = & X_0 + \sum_i \left( \varphi \left( f \Delta L(\tau_i), Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)}, \frac{t \wedge \gamma^0(\tau_i) - \gamma^0(\tau_i-)}{|\Delta L(\tau_i)|^2} \right) - Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)} - f(Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)}) \Delta L(\tau_i) \right) \\
& \times I_{[\gamma^0(\tau_i-), +\infty)}(t) + \int_0^t b(Y(s)) d\zeta^0(s) + \int_0^t f(Y(s)) dL_{\zeta^0(s)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(Y(s)) d[L]_{\zeta^0(s)}^c.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Since  $Y^\epsilon, Y$  are continuous, we infer that  $Y^\epsilon$  converges in distribution to  $Y$  under the compact uniform topology. Finally, using a similar argument in [12, Theorem 6.5], we conclude that  $Y^\epsilon$  converges in probability to  $Y$  under the compact uniform topology, and thereby completing the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** *With the added assumption that  $b'$  is bounded and Lipschitz, the proof of Theorem 6.5 in [12] can be slightly modified to prove that for almost all  $t$ ,  $X^\epsilon(t)$  converges in probability to  $X(t)$ . By comparison, Lemma 3.1 reaches the same conclusion without the added assumption as above.*

The following lemma characterizes the convergence behavior of  $\gamma^\epsilon$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *As  $\epsilon$  tends to 0,  $\gamma^\epsilon$  converges almost surely to  $\gamma^0$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology.*

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\gamma^\epsilon$  converges in probability to  $\gamma^0$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology. It suffices to verify the following convergence in probability

$$V^\epsilon(t) := \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{t-\epsilon}^t [L]^d(s) ds \rightarrow [L]^d(t)$$

under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology. To this end, by [19, Theorem 22, Page 66], the quadratic variation process  $[L]^d$  of the semimartingale  $L^d$  is a càdlàg, increasing and adapted process. It then follows that the mapping  $t \mapsto \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{t-\epsilon}^t [L]^d(s) ds$  is monotone. Obviously, by the definition of  $w'$  (see (A.1)),  $w'(V^\epsilon, \delta) = 0$ , which implies that for any fixed  $\Delta > 0$ ,  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \limsup_\epsilon \mathbb{P}(w'(V^\epsilon, \delta) > \Delta) = 0$ . Then, one verifies that for any  $t$ ,  $V^\epsilon(t)$  converges in probability to  $[L]^d(t)$ . So, by Proposition A.1, we have shown that  $V^\epsilon$  converges in probability  $[L]^d$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology.

Now we turn to prove that  $\gamma^\epsilon$  converges almost surely to  $\gamma^0$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology. Using the fact that  $[L]^d(t)$  is monotone in  $t$  and the definition of  $V^\epsilon$ , we have that for any  $0 = \epsilon_\infty < \epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1$ ,

$$[L]^d(t) = V^0(t) = V^{\epsilon_\infty}(t) \geq V^{\epsilon_2}(t) \geq V^{\epsilon_1}(t).$$

It then follows from the definition of  $d_{M_1, T}$  that

$$d_{M_1, T}(V^{\epsilon_2}, [L]^d) \leq d_{M_1, T}(V^{\epsilon_1}, [L]^d),$$

that is to say, for any fixed time  $T$ , almost all  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $d_{M_1, T}(V^\epsilon, [L]^d)$  is monotonically increasing in  $\epsilon$ . Now, applying the proven fact  $V^\epsilon$  converges in probability to the semimartingale  $[L]^d$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology and [2, Lemma 2.5.4], we conclude that  $V^\epsilon$  converges almost surely to the semimartingale  $[L]^d$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology. Consequently,  $\gamma^\epsilon$  converges almost surely to  $\gamma^0$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology, completing the proof.  $\square$

Henceforth, letting  $\hat{X}(t) = Y_{\gamma^0(t)}$ , we prove the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3.**  $X^\epsilon$  converges in probability to  $\hat{X}$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology.

*Proof.* The lemma immediately follows from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and [23, Theorem 13.2.3].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.**  $\hat{X}$  is the unique solution to the equation (1.3), and therefore  $\hat{X} \equiv X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\hat{X}(t) = Y_{\gamma^0(t)}$ , by the equation (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{X}(t) &= X_0 + \sum_i \left( \varphi \left( f \Delta L(\tau_i), Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)}, \frac{\gamma^0(t) \wedge \gamma^0(\tau_i) - \gamma^0(\tau_i-)}{|\Delta L(\tau_i)|^2} \right) - Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)} - f(Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)}) \Delta L(\tau_i) \right) \\
&\quad \times I_{[\gamma^0(\tau_i-), +\infty)}(\gamma^0(t)) + \int_0^{\gamma^0(t)} b(Y(s)) d\zeta^0(s) + \int_0^{\gamma^0(t)} f(Y(s)) dL_{\zeta^0(s)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\gamma^0(t)} f f'(Y(s)) d[L]_{\zeta^0(s)}^c \\
&= X_0 + \sum_i \left( \varphi(f \Delta L(\tau_i), Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)}, 1) - Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)} - f(Y_{\gamma^0(\tau_i-)}) \Delta L(\tau_i) \right) I_{[\gamma^0(\tau_i-), +\infty)}(\gamma^0(t)) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t b(\hat{X}(s)) ds + \int_0^t f(\hat{X}(s-)) dL(s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(\hat{X}(s)) d[L]^c(s) \\
&= X_0 + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \left( \varphi(f \Delta L(s), \hat{X}(s-), 1) - \hat{X}(s-) - f(\hat{X}(s-)) \Delta L(s) \right) + \int_0^t b(\hat{X}(s)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t f(\hat{X}(s-)) dL(s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(\hat{X}(s)) d[L]^c(s) \\
&= X_0 + \int_0^t b(\hat{X}(s)) ds + \int_0^t f(\hat{X}(s-)) \diamond dL(s),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality, we have used the alternative definition of a Marcus canonical equation in (1.4). So,  $\hat{X}$  is the solution to the equation (1.3), which, together with the uniqueness of the solution to the equation (1.3), implies that  $\hat{X} \equiv X$ .  $\square$

With all the lemmas as above, we are finally ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $Y^\epsilon$  converges in probability to  $Y$  under the compact uniform topology. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $X^\epsilon$  converges in probability to  $\hat{X}$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology. The theorem then follows from Lemma 3.4, which asserts  $\hat{X} \equiv X$ .  $\square$

## Appendix

### A Skorokhod topologies

Throughout this section, we fix  $T > 0$ .

The following  $J_1$ -metric has been defined by Skorokhod [21]:

$$d_{J_1, T}(x, y) = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x(t) - y(\lambda(t))| + \sup_{s, t \in [0, T], s \neq t} \left| \log \frac{\lambda(s) - \lambda(t)}{s - t} \right| \right\}, \quad x, y \in D([0, T], \mathbb{R}),$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the set of all the strictly increasing continuous functions mapping  $[0, T]$  onto itself. The topology on  $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  induced by the  $J_1$ -metric is called the Skorokhod  $J_1$ -topology.

Skorokhod [21] also defined the  $M_1$ -metric using the notion of *completed graph* of a function. More precisely, for any  $x \in D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ , the completed graph of  $x$ , denoted by  $\Gamma_x$ , is defined as

$$\Gamma_x := \{(t, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} : z \in [[x(t-), x(t)]]\},$$

where  $x(0-)$  is interpreted as  $x(0)$ ,  $[[z_1, z_2]]$  is the line segment connecting  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , i.e.,

$$[[z_1, z_2]] = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z = az_1 + (1 - a)z_2 \text{ for some } a \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Note that  $\Gamma_x$  can be parametrically represented by the following continuous function

$$(r, u) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Gamma_x, \quad (r, u)(0) = (0, z(0)), (r, u)(1) = (T, z(T)),$$

which is nondecreasing with respect to the following order on  $\Gamma_x$ :

$$(t_1, z_1) \leq (t_2, z_2) \Leftrightarrow t_1 < t_2 \quad \text{or} \quad (t_1 = t_2 \text{ and } |x(t_1-) - z_1| \leq |x(t_2-) - z_2|).$$

Skorokhod [21] defined the  $M_1$ -metric as follows:

$$d_{M_1, T}(x, y) = \inf_{(r_1, u_1) \in \Pi(x), (r_2, u_2) \in \Pi(y)} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |r_1(t) - r_2(t)| + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_1(t) - u_2(t)| \right\}, \quad x, y \in D([0, T], \mathbb{R}),$$

where  $\Pi(\cdot)$  denotes the set of all parametric representations of an element in  $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ . The topology on  $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  induced by the  $M_1$ -metric is called the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology.

Noting that the limit of a sequence of continuous functions under either the uniform or the Skorokhod  $J_1$ -topology is continuous, we remark that, when approximating a càdlàg function using continuous functions, the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology can be particularly useful. For example, for any  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$x(t) = I_{[1/2, 1]}(t), \quad x^n(t) = n(t - 1/2 + 1/n)I_{[1/2 - 1/n, 1/2]}(t) + I_{[1/2, 1]}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

One can verify that, as  $n$  tends to infinity,  $x^n(t) \rightarrow x(t)$  in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  under Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology but not under the Skorokhod  $J_1$ -topology.

The following theorem is well known; see, e.g., [17, Theorem 3.2].

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $\{X^n : n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of  $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ -valued random elements.  $\{X^n\}$  converges in probability to  $X$  under the Skorokhod  $M_1$ -topology if and only if*

- 1) for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $X^n(t)$  converges in probability to  $X(t)$ ;
- 2) and for any fixed  $\Delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \limsup_n \mathbb{P}(w'(X^n, \delta) > \Delta) = 0,$$

where

$$w'(x, \delta) := \sup_{0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 \leq 1; t_2 - t_1 < \delta} \inf_{a \in [0, 1]} |x(t) - (ax(t_1) + (1 - a)x(t_2))|. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

## References

- [1] D. Applebaum, *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, Cambridge University Press, second edition, Cambridge, UK, 2009.
- [2] R. B. Ash, C. A. Doléans-Dade, *Probability and measure theory*, Academic Press, second edition, San Diego, 2000.
- [3] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, John Wiley Sons, 2013.
- [4] T. Fujiwara and H. Kunita, Canonical SDE's based on semimartingales with spatial parameters, Part 1 Stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms, *Kyushu J. Math.*, vol. 53, pp. 265-300, 1999.
- [5] M. Hairer, E. Pardoux, A Wong-Zakai theorem for stochastic PDEs, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 1551-1604, 2015.
- [6] R. Hintze and I. Pavlyukevich, Small noise asymptotics and first passage times of integrated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy processes, *Bernoulli*, vol. 20, no. 1, 265-281, 2014.
- [7] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, second edition, North-Holland, 1989.
- [8] J. Jacod, A. N. Shiryaev, *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003.
- [9] H. Kunita, Stochastic differential equations with jumps and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms, In *Itô's stochastic calculus and probability theory* (Eds. N. Ikeda and K. Itô), Springer, Tokyo, pp. 197-211, 1996.
- [10] H. Kunita, Stochastic differential equations based on Lévy processes and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms, *Real and stochastic analysis* (Eds. M. M. Rao), Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, pp. 305-373, 2004.
- [11] T. G. Kurtz, Random time changes and convergence in distribution under the Meyer-Zheng conditions. *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 19, pp. 1010-1034, 1991.
- [12] T. G. Kurtz, E. Pardoux and P. Protter, Stratonovich stochastic differential equations driven by general semimartingales, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, vol. 23, pp. 351-377, 1995.
- [13] T. G. Kurtz, P. Protter, Weak limit theorems for stochastic integrals and stochastic differential equations, *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 19, pp. 1035-1070, 1991.
- [14] T. G. Kurtz, P. Protter, Weak convergence of stochastic integrals and differential equations, *Probabilistic models for nonlinear partial differential equations*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 1-41, 1996.
- [15] S. I. Marcus, Modeling and analysis of stochastic differential equations driven by point processes, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 24, no.2, pp. 164-172, 1978.

- [16] S. I. Marcus, Modelling and approximation of Stochastic differential equations driven by semimartingales, *Stochastics*, vol. 4, pp. 223-245, 1981.
- [17] I. Pavlyukevich, M. Riedle, Non-standard Skorokhod convergence of Lévy-driven convolution integrals in Hilbert spaces, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 271-305, 2015.
- [18] D. Pollard, *Convergence of stochastic processes*, Springer Science Business Media, 2012.
- [19] P. Protter, *Stochastic integration and differential equations*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005.
- [20] A. A. Puhalskii, W. Whitt, Functional large deviation principles for first-passage-time processes. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 362-381, 1997.
- [21] A. V. Skorokhod, Limit theorems for stochastic processes, *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 261-290, 1956.
- [22] G. Tessitore and J. Zabczyk, Wong-Zakai approximation of stochastic evolution equations, *J. Evol. Equ.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 621-655, 2006.
- [23] W. Whitt, *Stochastic-process limits: an introduction to stochastic-process limits and their application to queues*, Springer Science Business Media, 2002.
- [24] E. Wong, M. Zakai, On the relation between ordinary and stochastic differential equations, *Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.*, 1965, 3: 213-229.
- [25] E. Wong, M. Zakai, On the convergence of ordinary integrals to stochastic integrals, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, vol. 36, pp. 1560-1564, 1965.
- [26] X. Zhang, Derivative formulas and gradient estimates for SDEs driven by  $\alpha$ -stable processes, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, vol. 123, no. 4, pp. 1213-1228, 2013.