

CONGRUENCES INVOLVING THE U_ℓ OPERATOR FOR WEAKLY HOLOMORPHIC MODULAR FORMS

DOHOON CHOI AND SUBONG LIM

ABSTRACT. Let λ be an integer, and $f(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a(n)q^n$ be a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $\lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ with integral coefficients. Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime. Assume that the constant term $a(0)$ is not zero modulo ℓ . Further, assume that, for some positive integer m , the Fourier expansion of $(f|U_{\ell^m})(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^n$ has the form

$$(f|U_{\ell^m})(z) \equiv b(0) + \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(d_i n^2) q^{d_i n^2} \pmod{\ell},$$

where d_1, \dots, d_t are square-free positive integers, and the operator U_ℓ on formal power series is defined by

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \right) \Big| U_\ell = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(\ell n)q^n.$$

Then, $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}$. Moreover, if \tilde{f} denotes the coefficient-wise reduction of f modulo ℓ , then we have

$$\left\{ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}|U_{\ell^{2m}}, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}|U_{\ell^{2m+1}} \right\} = \left\{ a(0)\theta(z), a(0)\theta^\ell(z) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell[[q]] \right\},$$

where $\theta(z)$ is the Jacobi theta function defined by $\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$. By using this result, we obtain the distribution of the Fourier coefficients of weakly holomorphic modular forms in congruence classes. This applies to the congruence properties for traces of singular moduli.

1. INTRODUCTION

The distribution of the coefficients of half-integral weight modular forms in congruence classes is related to the study of the congruence properties for various objects such as the algebraic parts of the central critical values of modular L -functions, orders of Tate-Shafarevich groups of elliptic curves, the number of partitions of a positive integer, and so on. With such diverse applications, this subject has been studied in the works of Bruinier [7], Bruinier and Ono [8], Ono and Skinner [21], and Ahlgren and Boylan [1, 2].

Vignéras [26] proved that if f is a modular form of half-integral weight on $\Gamma_1(4N)$ whose coefficients are supported on finitely many square classes, then f is a linear combination of Shimura theta series (a different proof of this result was given by Bruinier [6]). For a prime ℓ , the mod ℓ extension of a characteristic zero theorem of Vignéras can be considered as a classification of the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F33, 11F37.

Keywords: congruence, modular forms of half-integral weight, trace of singular moduli .

modular forms $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ of half-integral weight having Fourier expansion of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) \equiv a(0) + \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(d_i n^2) q^{d_i n^2} \pmod{\ell},$$

where $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ for a complex number z in the complex upper half plane \mathbb{H} and d_1, \dots, d_t are square-free positive integers. Modular forms of half-integral weight having Fourier expansion of the form (1.1) play important roles in proving many of the above theorems.

In this vein, this paper studies $f|U_{\ell^m}$ with Fourier expansion of the form (1.1) for a weakly holomorphic modular form f on $\Gamma_0(4)$, and then obtains the distribution of the Fourier coefficients of weakly holomorphic modular forms in congruence classes. Here, the operator U_{ℓ} on formal power series is defined by

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \right) \Big| U_{\ell} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(\ell n)q^n.$$

Further, we apply this result to the congruence properties for traces of singular moduli.

Let $M_k^!$ denote the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(4)$ with trivial character. Throughout this paper, we assume that ℓ is a prime larger than or equal to 5. For a weakly holomorphic modular form $f = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a(n)q^n$ with integral coefficients, we denote by \tilde{f} the coefficient-wise reduction of f modulo ℓ .

In the following theorem, we prove a sufficient condition of weight $\lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ for weakly holomorphic modular forms $f \in M_{\lambda + \frac{1}{2}}^!$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f|U_{\ell^m}$ is supported on finitely many square classes modulo ℓ for some positive integer m , and then we show that, for such a form f , the limits $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}|U_{\ell^{2m}}$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}|U_{\ell^{2m+1}}$ are convergent to $a(0)\theta$ or $a(0)\theta^{\ell}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}[[q]]$, where θ is the Jacobi theta function defined by $\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime and λ be an integer. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a(n)q^n \in M_{\lambda + \frac{1}{2}}^!$ be a weakly holomorphic modular form with integral coefficients. Assume that the constant term $a(0)$ is not zero modulo ℓ . Further, assume that, for some positive integer m , the Fourier expansion of $f|U_{\ell^m}$ has the form (1.1). Then, $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}$. Moreover, we have*

$$\left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}|U_{\ell^{2n}}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}|U_{\ell^{2n+1}} \right\} = \left\{ a(0)\theta, a(0)\theta^{\ell} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}[[q]] \right\}.$$

Let M be a positive integer. With the same notation as in Theorem 1.1, we say that the Fourier coefficients of f are *well-distributed modulo M* if for every integer r , we have

$$\#\{0 \leq n \leq X \mid a(n) \equiv r \pmod{M}\} \gg_{r,M} \begin{cases} \sqrt{X}/\log X & \text{if } r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}, \\ X & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{M}. \end{cases}$$

Bruinier and Ono [8] proved, for a prime $\ell \geq 5$, that if the cusp form f does not have Fourier expansion of the form (1.1), then, for each positive integer j , the Fourier coefficients of f are well-distributed modulo ℓ^j . Thus, the classification of modular forms having the form (1.1) can be applied to the study of the distribution of the coefficients of modular forms in congruence classes modulo ℓ^j (for example, see [1] and [11]). In this vein, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime and λ be an integer. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a(n)q^n \in M_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}^!$ be a weakly holomorphic modular form with integral coefficients. Suppose that the constant term $a(0)$ is not zero modulo ℓ . If $\lambda \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}$, then for each positive integer j , the Fourier coefficients of f are well-distributed modulo ℓ^j .*

Remark 1.3. *It was proved in [10] that if the Fourier coefficients of a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $\lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4N)$ with non-zero constant term modulo ℓ are not well-distributed modulo ℓ^j , then $\lambda \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}$. Thus, Theorem 1.2 improves this result in the case of $\Gamma_0(4)$.*

Let $J(z) := j(z) - 744$ be the normalized Hauptmodul for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where $j(z)$ is the modular j -invariant. Let d be a positive integer with $d \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. We denote by \mathcal{Q}_d the set of positive definite binary quadratic forms of discriminant $-d$ with the usual action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For a binary form $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_d$, let α_Q be the unique root of $Q(x, 1)$ in \mathbb{H} . The values $J(\alpha_Q)$ are called singular moduli, which play important roles in number theory. For example, singular moduli generate Hilbert class fields of imaginary quadratic fields. We define the modular trace function $\mathbf{t}(d)$ by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{t}(d) := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_d / \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{1}{\omega_Q} J(\alpha_Q),$$

where $\omega_Q = |\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})_Q|$. In [27], Zagier showed that

$$q^{-1} - 2 - \sum_{d>0} \mathbf{t}(d)q^d$$

is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$. The congruence properties for traces of singular moduli were studied in several articles such as [4], [5], [16], and [24]. In the following corollary, we consider the distribution of traces of singular moduli modulo powers of a prime $\ell \geq 5$.

Corollary 1.4. *If $\ell \geq 5$ is a prime, then for each positive integer j*

$$\#\{0 \leq d \leq X \mid \mathbf{t}(d) \equiv r \pmod{\ell^j}\} \gg_{r, \ell^j} \begin{cases} \sqrt{X}/\log X & \text{if } r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j}, \\ X & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j}. \end{cases}$$

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries for the filtration and distribution of the Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight. In Section 3, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce some notions and properties concerning mod ℓ (weakly holomorphic) modular forms and prove some lemmas needed to prove the main theorems. Especially, for $\Gamma_0(4)$, we extend the properties for the filtration of a modular form of integral weight to a modular form of half-integral weight.

2.1. Modular forms. In this subsection, we fix some notations about modular forms. If d is an odd prime, then let $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$ be the usual Legendre symbol. For a positive odd integer d , we define $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$ multiplicatively. For a negative odd integer d , let

$$\left(\frac{c}{d}\right) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c}{|d|}\right) & \text{if } d < 0 \text{ and } c > 0, \\ -\left(\frac{c}{|d|}\right) & \text{if } d < 0 \text{ and } c < 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, let $\left(\frac{0}{\pm 1}\right) = 1$. For an odd integer d , we define ϵ_d by

$$\epsilon_d = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ i & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we use the convention: $z = |z|e^{i\arg(z)}$, $-\pi < \arg(z) \leq \pi$. For a function f on \mathbb{H} and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4)$, we define the slash operator

$$(f|_k\gamma)(z) = \begin{cases} (cz + d)^{-k} f(\gamma z) & \text{if } k \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \\ \chi_{-4}(d)^{-1} (cz + d)^{-k} f(\gamma z) & \text{if } k \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, \\ \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{-2k} \epsilon_d^{2k} (cz + d)^{-k} f(\gamma z) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where $\chi_{-4}(d) = \left(\frac{-4}{d}\right)$.

Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and N be a positive integer. Let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo $4N$. A function f on \mathbb{H} is called a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight k on $\Gamma_0(4N)$ with character χ if it is holomorphic on \mathbb{H} , meromorphic at the cusps, and satisfies

$$f|_k\gamma = \chi(d)f$$

for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$. We say that f is a holomorphic modular form if it is holomorphic at the cusps, and a cusp form if it vanishes at the cusps. We denote by M_k the space of holomorphic modular forms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(4)$ with trivial character.

2.2. Filtration for mod ℓ modular forms of half-integral weight. Let N be a positive integer with $\ell \nmid N$. The theory of mod ℓ modular forms of integral weight was established by Serre [22] and Swinnerton-Dyer [23] for modular forms of level 1. Their results were generalized to modular forms of higher level by Katz [20] and Gross [15]. For a modular form f on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with ℓ -integral coefficients, the filtration $\omega_\ell(f)$ of f modulo ℓ is defined to be the infimum of $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that there is a modular form g of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with ℓ -integral rational Fourier coefficients satisfying $f \equiv g \pmod{\ell}$. The theory of mod ℓ modular forms of integral weight gives several properties for the filtration of mod ℓ modular forms.

In the following theorem, we summarize some well-known properties of the filtration for modular forms of integral weight. For the details, we refer to [17, Section 1].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime and k be an integer. Let f be a modular form in M_k . Then, we have the following.*

- (1) $\omega_\ell(f) \equiv k \pmod{\ell - 1}$.
- (2) $\omega_\ell(f^m) = m \cdot \omega_\ell(f)$ for a positive integer m .
- (3) $\omega(f|U_\ell) \equiv \omega(f) \pmod{\ell - 1}$.
- (4) $\omega_\ell(f|U_\ell) \leq \ell + \frac{\omega_\ell(f) - 1}{\ell}$.

In this subsection, for $\Gamma_0(4)$, we extend the properties for the filtration of a modular form of integral weight to a modular form of half-integral weight. Suppose that f is a modular form of weight in $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ with ℓ -integral rational Fourier coefficients. The filtration $\omega_\ell(f)$ of f modulo ℓ is defined to be the infimum of $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that there is a modular form $g \in M_k$ with ℓ -integral rational Fourier coefficients satisfying $f \equiv g \pmod{\ell}$. Then, the filtration satisfies some properties that are analogous to the properties of filtration for modular forms of integral weight.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime, and k, k_1 , and k_2 be elements of $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.*

(1) *For a modular form $f \in M_k$ and a positive integer m ,*

$$\omega_\ell(f\theta^m) = \omega_\ell(f) + \frac{m}{2}.$$

(2) *For a modular form $f \in M_k$ and a positive integer m ,*

$$\omega_\ell(f^m) = m \cdot \omega_\ell(f).$$

(3) *For a modular form $f \in M_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}$, there is a modular form g on $\Gamma_0(4)$ such that $g \equiv f|U_\ell \pmod{\ell}$. Moreover, we have*

$$\omega_\ell(f|U_\ell) - \omega_\ell(f) \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}.$$

(4) *For a modular form $f \in M_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}$,*

$$\lambda \equiv \omega_\ell(f) - \frac{1}{2} \pmod{\ell-1}.$$

Remark 2.3. *Tupan [25] studied the ring structure of mod ℓ modular forms on $\Gamma_1(4)$. One can give another proof of Proposition 2.2 by using the method of Swinnerton-Dyer [23] and the result of Tupan.*

Proof. (1) By the definition of $\omega_\ell(f)$, there is a modular form \hat{f} in $M_{\omega_\ell(f)}$ with ℓ -integral rational Fourier coefficients such that

$$f \equiv \hat{f} \pmod{\ell}.$$

Therefore, we see that

$$f\theta^m \equiv \hat{f}\theta^m \pmod{\ell}$$

and the weight of $\hat{f}\theta^m$ is $\omega_\ell(f) + \frac{m}{2}$. This implies that

$$\omega_\ell(f\theta^m) \leq \omega_\ell(f) + \frac{m}{2}.$$

On the other hand, we let $k_0 = \omega_\ell(f\theta^m)$. Then, there is a modular form $F \in M_{k_0}$ with ℓ -integral rational Fourier coefficients such that

$$(2.1) \quad F \equiv f\theta^m \pmod{\ell}.$$

We define

$$f_0 = \frac{F}{\theta^m},$$

which is a weakly holomorphic modular form with ℓ -integral rational coefficients. It has a possible pole only at the cusp $\frac{1}{2}$. Let us note that $f_0 \equiv f \pmod{\ell}$ by (2.1). By the q -expansion principle, the Fourier expansion of f_0^2 at $1/2$ is congruent to that of f^2 at $1/2$ modulo ℓ (for example, see

Ramark 12.3.5 in [14]). Since $\ell > 2$, f_0 and f have the same Fourier expansion at $1/2$ modulo ℓ . Thus, we see that at the cusp $\frac{1}{2}$, f_0 has Fourier expansion of the form

$$\ell \cdot \sum_{n < 0} a(n)q^n + O(1)$$

for some ℓ -integral coefficients $a(n)$.

Note that from Ligozat [19] we find that the function

$$(2.2) \quad g(z) = \frac{\eta(z)^8 \eta(4z)^{16}}{\eta(2z)^{24}}$$

is a modular function on $\Gamma_0(4)$ having a pole only at the cusp $\frac{1}{2}$. At the cusp $\frac{1}{2}$, it has a simple pole whose residue is 1. Then, there is a polynomial P with ℓ -integral coefficients such that

$$f_0 - \ell \cdot \theta^{2k_0 - m} P(g)$$

is a holomorphic modular form in $M_{k_0 - \frac{m}{2}}$. Moreover, it is congruent to f modulo ℓ . This implies that

$$\omega_\ell(f) \leq \omega_\ell(f\theta^m) - \frac{m}{2}.$$

(2) Let m' be a positive integer such that the weight of $f\theta^{m'}$ is an integer. Then, by (1) and Theorem 2.1 (2), we see that

$$\omega_\ell(f^m) + \frac{mm'}{2} = \omega_\ell(f^m \theta^{mm'}) = \omega_\ell((f\theta^{m'})^m) = m \cdot \omega_\ell(f\theta^{m'}) = m \cdot \omega_\ell(f) + \frac{mm'}{2}.$$

This gives the desired result.

(3) Since ℓ is an odd prime, $f \cdot \theta^\ell$ is a modular form of integral weight on $\Gamma_0(4)$. Then, there is a modular form F of integral weight k on $\Gamma_0(4)$ with ℓ -integral rational Fourier coefficients such that

$$(f \cdot \theta^\ell)|U_\ell \equiv F \pmod{\ell}.$$

Note that

$$(2.3) \quad (f \cdot \theta^\ell)|U_\ell \equiv (f|U_\ell)(\theta^\ell|U_\ell) \equiv (f|U_\ell)\theta \pmod{\ell}.$$

Here, we used the fact that $\theta^\ell|U_\ell \equiv \theta \pmod{\ell}$. We define

$$f_0 = \frac{F}{\theta},$$

which is a weakly holomorphic modular form with ℓ -integral rational Fourier coefficients. By the same argument as in the proof of (1), there is a polynomial P with ℓ -integral coefficients such that

$$f_0 - \ell \cdot \theta^{2k-1} P(g)$$

is a holomorphic modular form in $M_{k-\frac{1}{2}}$, where g is the modular function defined in (2.2). Furthermore, we have

$$f_0 - \ell \cdot \theta^{2k-1} P(g) \equiv f_0 \equiv \frac{F}{\theta} \equiv (f|U_\ell) \pmod{\ell}.$$

Now, we consider the filtration of $(f|U_\ell)$. By (2.3), we obtain

$$\omega_\ell((f \cdot \theta^\ell)|U_\ell) = \omega_\ell((f|U_\ell) \cdot \theta).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 (3), we see that

$$(2.4) \quad \omega_\ell((f|U_\ell) \cdot \theta) = \omega_\ell((f \cdot \theta^\ell)|U_\ell) = \omega_\ell(f \cdot \theta^\ell) + t(\ell - 1)$$

for some integer t . By (1) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\omega_\ell(f|U_\ell) + \frac{1}{2} = \omega_\ell(f) + \frac{\ell}{2} + t(\ell - 1).$$

From this, we have

$$\omega_\ell(f|U_\ell) - \omega_\ell(f) = \frac{\ell - 1}{2}(2t + 1).$$

(4) Let m be an integer such that the weight of $f\theta^m$ is an integer. Then, by Theorem 2.1 (1), we see that

$$\omega_\ell(f) - \frac{1}{2} \equiv \omega_\ell(f\theta^m) - \frac{m+1}{2} \equiv \left(\lambda + \frac{m+1}{2} \right) - \frac{m+1}{2} \equiv \lambda \pmod{\ell - 1}.$$

□

2.3. Distribution of Fourier coefficients modulo a prime ℓ . In this subsection, we review results related to the distribution of the Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight.

In [8], Bruinier and Ono studied the Fourier coefficients of a modular form of half-integral weight modulo powers of a prime. They proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. [8] *Let N be a positive integer and χ be a real Dirichlet character modulo $4N$. Let λ be a non-negative integer. Suppose that F is a cusp form of weight $\lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4N)$ with character χ and that its Fourier coefficients are integral. Let ℓ be an odd prime and j be a positive integer. Then, at least one of the following is true:*

- (1) *The Fourier coefficients of F are well-distributed modulo ℓ^j .*
- (2) *F has Fourier expansion of the form (1.1).*

Ahlgren and Boylan [1] proved bounds for the weight of a cusp form having Fourier expansion of the form (1.1). In the proof, they used the Shimura lift and the theory of Galois representations. In [9], the first author used only the theory of modular forms modulo ℓ to reprove these bounds. Later, the first author and Kilbourn [12] improved these bounds. Since the theory of modular forms modulo ℓ can be applied to any holomorphic modular form, the bounds in [1, 9, 12] can be extended to holomorphic modular forms. For the convenience of the reader, we state the result for these bounds.

Theorem 2.5. [1, 9, 12] *Suppose that we have the following hypotheses:*

- $\lambda \geq 2$ is an integer.
- $\ell \geq 5$ is a prime.
- F is a modular form of half-integral weight such that

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \in M_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \cap \mathbb{Z}[[q]].$$

- $F \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$, and there are finitely many square-free integers n_1, \dots, n_t such that

$$F(z) \equiv a(0) + \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a(n_i m^2) q^{n_i m^2} \pmod{\ell}.$$

If we write $\lambda = \bar{\lambda} + \iota_\lambda(\ell - 1)$ with $0 \leq \bar{\lambda} \leq \ell - 2$, then the following are true:

- (1) If $\ell \nmid n_i$ for some i , then

$$\bar{\lambda} \leq 2\iota_\lambda + 1.$$

- (2) If $\ell \mid n_i$ for all i and $\bar{\lambda} \leq \frac{\ell-3}{2}$, then

$$\bar{\lambda} \leq \iota_\lambda - \frac{\ell + 1}{2}.$$

- (3) If $\ell \mid n_i$ for all i and $\bar{\lambda} \geq \frac{\ell-1}{2}$, then

$$\bar{\lambda} \leq \iota_\lambda + \frac{\ell - 1}{2}.$$

Furthermore, the following lemma was proved in the proof of Proposition 5.1 in [3].

Lemma 2.6. [3, Proposition 5.1] *Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime and λ be a non-negative integer. Let K be a number field, and ν be a prime ideal of K above ℓ . Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_\nu[[q]]$ be a cusp form of weight $\lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ with trivial character. Suppose that f has Fourier expansion of the form (1.1) and $f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\nu}$. Then, we have*

$$d_i = 1, \ell, 2, \text{ or } 2\ell.$$

For the reader's convenience, we briefly review the proof of Lemma 2.6.

Proof. We may assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, there is a positive integer n_i such that $a(d_i n_i^2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\nu}$. By the argument in Lemma 4.1 of [1], we can find odd primes p_1, \dots, p_s and a cusp form $g_i \in \mathcal{O}_\nu[[q]]$ of weight $\lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4p_1^2 \cdots p_s^2)$ with trivial character such that

- (1) p_1, \dots, p_s are relatively prime to $d_i n_i \ell$,
- (2) $g_i(z) \equiv \sum_{(n, p_1 \cdots p_s)=1} a(d_i n^2) q^{d_i n^2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\nu}$.

Then, g_i^4 is a cusp form of weight $4\lambda + 2$ on $\Gamma_0(4p_1^2 \cdots p_s^2)$ with trivial character and the Fourier expansion of g_i^4 is of the form

$$g_i^4(z) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(d_i n) q^{d_i n} \pmod{\nu}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 of [3], we have

$$d_i = 1, \ell, 2, \text{ or } 2\ell.$$

□

2.4. Mod ℓ weakly holomorphic modular forms. In this subsection, we review results, for a given weakly holomorphic modular form f , constructing a holomorphic modular form involving coefficients of f modulo a power of a prime. They play important roles in applying congruence properties for holomorphic modular forms to weakly holomorphic modular forms.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a(n)q^n$ be a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $\lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with integral coefficients. Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime such that $\ell \nmid N$. Treener proved in [24] that if m is sufficiently large, then for every positive integer j , the form $f|U_{\ell^m}$ is congruent to a holomorphic modular form g of weight $\lambda + \frac{\ell^\beta(\ell^2-1)}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(N\ell^2)$ modulo ℓ^j . The proof of Proposition 5.1 in [2] implies that there is a holomorphic modular form g' of weight $\lambda\ell^a + \frac{\ell^a-1}{2} + t(\ell-1) + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(N)$ which is congruent to g modulo ℓ , where a is a positive even integer and t is a positive integer. Let us note that the form g' is obtained by considering the image of the product of an Eisenstein series and g under the trace map from $\Gamma_0(N\ell)$ and $\Gamma_0(N)$. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. [24, Theorem 3.1][2, Proposition 5.1] *Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a(n)q^n$ be a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $\lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with integral coefficients. Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime such that $\ell \nmid N$. Then, there is a positive integer m_0 satisfying the following properties.*

- (1) *If $m \geq m_0$, then we can take a holomorphic modular form g_m of weight $\lambda' + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(N)$ such that $\lambda' \equiv \lambda \pmod{\ell-1}$ and*

$$g_m(z) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(\ell^m n)q^n \pmod{\ell}.$$

- (2) *If $m \geq m_0$, then, for every positive integer j , we can take an integer $\beta \geq j-1$ and a cusp form $f_{m,j}$ of weight $\lambda + \frac{\ell^\beta(\ell^2-1)}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(N\ell^2)$ with a real Dirichlet character such that*

$$f_{m,j}(z) \equiv \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ \ell \nmid n}}^{\infty} a(\ell^m n)q^n \pmod{\ell^j}.$$

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. To prove this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime. Suppose that f is a modular form with integral coefficients in M_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\omega_\ell(f) > \ell + 1$, then*

$$\omega_\ell(f|U_\ell) < \omega_\ell(f).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 (4), we have

$$\omega_\ell(f|U_\ell) \leq \ell + \frac{\omega_\ell(f) - 1}{\ell}.$$

Therefore, if $\omega_\ell(f) > \ell + 1$, then we see that

$$\omega_\ell(f|U_\ell) - \omega_\ell(f) \leq \ell + \frac{\omega_\ell(f) - 1}{\ell} - \omega_\ell(f) = \frac{1}{\ell}(1 - \ell)(\omega_\ell(f) - (1 + \ell)) < 0.$$

□

Theorem 2.7 (1) implies that there exist a positive integer m_1 and a holomorphic modular form g of weight $k + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad (f|U_{\ell^m})|U_{\ell^{m_1}} \equiv g \pmod{\ell}, \text{ and } k \equiv \lambda \pmod{\ell - 1}.$$

Since $a(0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$, the modular form g is not congruent to 0 modulo ℓ .

First, we show that

$$(3.2) \quad \omega_\ell(g|U_{\ell^t} \cdot \theta^\ell) \leq \ell + 1$$

for some non-negative integer t . If $\omega_\ell(g \cdot \theta^\ell) \leq \ell + 1$, then (3.2) is satisfied for $t = 0$. Assume that $\omega_\ell(g \cdot \theta^\ell) > \ell + 1$. By Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\omega_\ell((g \cdot \theta^\ell)|U_\ell) < \omega_\ell(g \cdot \theta^\ell).$$

From this and Theorem 2.1, we see that

$$\omega_\ell((g \cdot \theta^\ell)|U_\ell) = \omega_\ell(g \cdot \theta^\ell) - t(\ell - 1)$$

for a positive integer t . Therefore, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \omega_\ell((g \cdot \theta^\ell)|U_\ell) \leq \omega_\ell(g \cdot \theta^\ell) - (\ell - 1).$$

By the same argument in (2.3), we see that

$$(3.4) \quad \omega_\ell((g \cdot \theta^\ell)|U_\ell) = \omega_\ell((g|U_\ell) \cdot \theta).$$

By Proposition 2.2(1), (3.4), and (3.3), we have

$$\omega_\ell((g|U_\ell) \cdot \theta^\ell) = \omega_\ell(g|U_\ell \cdot \theta) + \frac{\ell - 1}{2} = \omega_\ell((g \cdot \theta^\ell)|U_\ell) + \frac{\ell - 1}{2} < \omega_\ell(g \cdot \theta^\ell).$$

By repeating the above process, we see that there is a positive integer t such that

$$\omega_\ell((g|U_{\ell^t}) \cdot \theta^\ell) \leq \ell + 1.$$

Note that (3.2) implies

$$(3.5) \quad \omega_\ell(g|U_{\ell^t}) \leq \ell + 1 - \frac{\ell}{2} = \frac{\ell}{2} + 1.$$

Since we have

$$g|U_{\ell^t} \equiv (f|U_{\ell^{m+m_1}})|U_{\ell^t} \pmod{\ell},$$

by the hypothesis (1.1), we see that the Fourier expansion of $g|U_{\ell^t}$ has the form (1.1). The inequality (3.5) implies that, in the notation of Theorem 2.5, we have $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda$ and $\iota_\lambda = 0$. Thus, $\lambda \leq 1$ or $\lambda = \frac{\ell-1}{2}$, so $\omega_\ell(g|U_{\ell^t}) \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{\ell}{2}\}$.

If $\omega_\ell(g|U_{\ell^t}) = \frac{3}{2}$, then

$$(3.6) \quad g|U_{\ell^t} \equiv c \cdot \theta^3 \pmod{\ell}$$

for some ℓ -integral rational constant $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$, since $M_{3/2}$ is a one-dimensional vector space containing θ^3 . We consider the theta operator defined by

$$(3.7) \quad \Theta \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} na(n)q^n.$$

Let E_k be the normalized Eisenstein series of weight k . Thus,

$$(\ell - 1)\Theta(\theta^3)E_{\ell-1} - \frac{3}{2}\Theta(E_{\ell-1})\theta^3$$

is a cusp form of weight $\ell + 1 + \frac{3}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ (see Corollary 7.2 in [13]). Let us note that, for each ℓ , the Fourier coefficients of $E_{\ell-1}$ are ℓ -integral and $E_{\ell-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ (for example, see Theorem 7.1 in Chapter X of [18]). Thus, there is a cusp form h of weight $\ell + 1 + \frac{3}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ such that

$$\Theta(\theta^3) \equiv h \pmod{\ell}.$$

Note that, by (3.6), h has Fourier expansion of the form (1.1). By a computation, we have

$$h(z) \equiv \Theta(\theta^3)(z) \equiv 6q + 24q^2 + 24q^3 + \cdots \pmod{\ell}.$$

Since $24 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$ for $\ell \geq 5$, we see that one of the d_i in (1.1) is 3. This is a contradiction due to Lemma 2.6. Therefore, $\omega_\ell(g|U_{\ell^t})$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{\ell}{2}$. By (3.1) and Proposition 2.2 (4), we see that

$$\lambda \equiv \omega_\ell(f) - \frac{1}{2} \equiv \omega_\ell(f|U_{\ell^{m+m_1}}) - \frac{1}{2} \equiv \omega_\ell(g) - \frac{1}{2} \equiv \omega_\ell(g|U_{\ell^t}) - \frac{1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}.$$

Now, we show that there is a positive integer s such that

$$f|U_{\ell^s} \equiv a(0)\theta \pmod{\ell}.$$

If $\omega_\ell(g|U_{\ell^t}) = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$f|U_{\ell^{m+m_1+t}} \equiv g|U_{\ell^t} \equiv a(0)\theta \pmod{\ell},$$

since $M_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a one-dimensional space spanned by θ . Suppose that $\omega_\ell(g|U_{\ell^t}) = \frac{\ell}{2}$. Then, $g|U_{\ell^t}$ has Fourier expansion of the form (1.1) and $\ell|d_i$ for all i by Theorem 2.5. This implies that

$$\{(g|U_{\ell^t})|U_\ell\}^\ell \equiv g|U_{\ell^t} \pmod{\ell}.$$

By Proposition 2.2 (2), we see that

$$\frac{\ell}{2} = \omega_\ell(g|U_{\ell^t}) = \omega_\ell(\{(g|U_{\ell^t})|U_\ell\}^\ell) = \ell \cdot \omega_\ell((g|U_{\ell^t})|U_\ell).$$

From this, we obtain

$$\omega_\ell((g|U_{\ell^t})|U_\ell) = \frac{1}{2},$$

and hence, we have

$$f|U_{\ell^{m+m_1+t+1}} \equiv (g|U_{\ell^t})|U_\ell \equiv a(0)\theta \pmod{\ell}.$$

Since we have

$$\theta|U_\ell \equiv \theta^\ell \equiv 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{\ell n^2} \pmod{\ell}$$

and

$$(\theta^\ell)|U_\ell \equiv \theta \pmod{\ell},$$

we see that

$$\left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}|U_{\ell^{2n}}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}|U_{\ell^{2n+1}} \right\} = \left\{ a(0)\tilde{\theta}, a(0)\tilde{\theta}^\ell \right\}.$$

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that $f(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a(n)q^n$ is a weakly holomorphic modular form in $M_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}^!$ with integral coefficients such that $\lambda \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}$. Let us fix a positive integer j . Theorem 2.7 implies that there exists a positive integer m_0 such that, for $m \geq m_0$, we can take a cusp form $f_{m,j}$ on $\Gamma_0(4\ell^2)$ satisfying

$$(3.8) \quad f_{m,j}(z) \equiv (f|U_{\ell^m} - f|U_{\ell^{m+1}}|V_{\ell})(z) \equiv \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ \ell \nmid n}}^{\infty} a(\ell^m n)q^n \pmod{\ell^j},$$

where the operator V_{ℓ} on formal power series is defined by

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \right) \Big| V_{\ell} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^{\ell n}.$$

Furthermore, there is a holomorphic modular form $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^n$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad g(z) \equiv (f|U_{\ell^{m_0}})(z) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(\ell^{m_0} n)q^n \pmod{\ell}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *With the above notation, there is a positive integer M such that the Fourier expansion of $f_{M,j}$ is not of the form (1.1).*

Proof. Suppose that, for every positive integer $m \geq m_0$, the Fourier expansion of $f_{m,j}$ is of the form (1.1), i.e., $(f_{m,j})(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{m,j}(n)q^n$ has Fourier expansion of the form

$$f_{m,j}(z) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{t(m)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{m,j}(d(m,i)n^2)q^{d(m,i)n^2} \pmod{\ell},$$

where $d(m,i)$ are square-free positive integers.

Let

$$e(m,i) = \begin{cases} d(m,i) & \text{if } m - m_0 \text{ is even,} \\ d(m,i)\ell & \text{if } m - m_0 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that, by (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$c_{m,j}(d(m,i)n^2) \equiv b(\ell^{m-m_0} d(m,i)n^2) \pmod{\ell}$$

and that $e(m,i)$ is the square-free part of $\ell^m d(m,i)n^2$ since $\ell \nmid d(m,i)$.

Since $\lambda \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}$, by (3.9) and Theorem 1.1, we see that the Fourier expansion of g is not of the form (1.1). This means that there are infinitely many square-free positive integers d such that

$$b(dn^2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let T be the set of such square-free positive integers d .

Let $m_1 \geq m_0 + 1$ be a positive integer. Let

$$S = \{e(m,i) \mid m < m_1\}.$$

This is a finite set. Then, there is a square free integer $t \in T - S$ since T is an infinite set. By the argument in Lemma 4.1 of [1], we can construct a modular form $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(n)q^n$ of weight $k + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4N')$ from g such that

- (1) N' is relatively prime to ℓ ,
- (2) $h \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$,
- (3) $c(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$ if $e(m, i)|n$ for some $e(m, i) \in S$.

Then, the Fourier expansion of h is of the form

$$h(z) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(\ell^{m'} n) q^{\ell^{m'} n} \pmod{\ell},$$

where $m' = m_1 - m_0$. Therefore, we have

$$(h|U_{\ell^{m'}})^{\ell^{m'}} \equiv h \pmod{\ell}.$$

This means that

$$\omega_\ell(g^2) \geq \omega_\ell(h^2) = \omega_\ell((h|U_{\ell^{m'}})^{2\ell^{m'}}) = \ell^{m'} \cdot \omega_\ell((h|U_{\ell^{m'}})^2) \geq \ell^{m'}.$$

This is a contradiction since m' can be any positive integer with $m' \geq 1$. □

By Lemma 3.2, there is a positive integer M such that the Fourier expansion of $f_{M,j}$ is not of the form (1.1). Let r be an integer with $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j}$. By Theorem 2.4, the coefficients of $f_{M,j}$ are well-distributed modulo ℓ^j . Therefore, we have

$$\#\{0 \leq n \leq X \mid c_{M,j}(n) \equiv r \pmod{\ell^j}\} \gg_{r,\ell^j} \sqrt{X}/\log X,$$

where $c_{M,j}(n)$ denotes the n th Fourier coefficient of $f_{M,j}$. By (3.8), we obtain

$$\#\{0 \leq n \leq \ell^M X \mid a(n) \equiv r \pmod{\ell^j}\} \gg_{r,\ell^j} \sqrt{X}/\log X.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\#\{0 \leq n \leq X \mid a(n) \equiv r \pmod{\ell^j}\} \gg_{r,\ell^j} \sqrt{X/\ell^M}/\log(X/\ell^M) \gg_{r,\ell^j} \sqrt{X}/\log X.$$

Furthermore, in [24], Treneer proved that

$$\#\{0 \leq n \leq X \mid a(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j}\} \gg_{r,\ell^j} X.$$

Therefore, the Fourier coefficients of f are well-distributed modulo ℓ^j .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the referee for useful comments. The authors also thank Scott Ahlgren for helpful comments on the previous version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ahlgren and M. Boylan, *Coefficients of half-integral weight modular forms modulo ℓ^j* , Math. Ann. **331** (2005), 219–239.
- [2] S. Ahlgren and M. Boylan, *Central critical values of modular L -functions and coefficients of half-integral weight modular forms modulo ℓ* , Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), no. 2, 429–454.
- [3] S. Ahlgren, D. Choi, and J. Rouse, *Congruences for level four cusp forms*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), no. 4, 683–701.
- [4] S. Ahlgren and K. Ono, *Arithmetic of singular moduli and class equations*, Compositio Math. **141** (2005), 293–312.
- [5] M. Boylan, *2-adic properties of Hecke traces of singular moduli*, Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005), no. 4, 593–609.
- [6] J. H. Bruinier, *On a theorem of Vignéras*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **68** (1998), 163–168.
- [7] J. H. Bruinier, *Non-vanishing modulo ℓ of Fourier coefficients of half-integral weight modular forms*, Duke Math. J. **98** (1999), 595–611.
- [8] J. H. Bruinier and K. Ono, *Coefficients of half-integral weight modular forms*, J. Number Theory **99** (1): 164–179, 2003.
- [9] D. Choi, *Modular forms of half-integral weight with few non-vanishing coefficients modulo ℓ* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 8, 2683–2688.
- [10] D. Choi, *Weakly holomorphic modular forms of half-integral weight with nonvanishing constant terms modulo ℓ* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 7, 3817–3828.
- [11] D. Choi, *Congruences involving arithmetic progressions for weakly holomorphic modular forms*, Adv. Math. **294** (2016), 489–516.
- [12] D. Choi and T. Kilbourn, *The weight of half-integral weight modular forms with few non-vanishing coefficients mod ℓ* , Acta. Arith. **127** (2007), no. 2, 193–197.
- [13] H. Cohen, *Sums involving the values at negative integers of L -functions of quadratic characters*, Math. Ann. **217** (1975), no. 3, 271–285.
- [14] F. Diamond and J. Im, *Modular forms and modular curves. In Seminar on Fermat’s Last Theorem*, (Toronto, ON, 1993–1994) (CMS Conference Proceedings 17), American Mathematical Society (1995), 39–133.
- [15] B. H. Gross, *A tameness criterion for Galois representations attached to modular forms (mod p)*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), no.2, 445–517.
- [16] P. Guerzhoy, *Some congruences for traces of singular moduli*, J. Number Theory **122** (2007), 151–156.
- [17] N. Jochowitz, *Congruences between systems of eigenvalues of modular forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **270** (1982), no. 1, 269–285.
- [18] S. Lang, *Introduction to modular forms*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences] **222** (Springer, Berlin, 1995). With appendixes by D. Zagier and Walter Feit, Corrected reprint of the 1976 original.
- [19] G. Ligozat, *Courbes modulaires de genre 1*, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1975, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mém. **43**, Supplément au Bull. Soc. Math. France Tome **103**, no. 3.
- [20] N. Katz, *A result on modular forms in characteristic p* , Lecture Notes in Math. vol. **601** (Modular functions of one variable, V) (1977), Springer Verlag Berlin, pp. 53–61.
- [21] K. Ono and C. Skinner, *Fourier coefficients of half-integral weight modular forms modulo ℓ* , Ann. of Math. (2), **147** (2): 453–470, 1998.
- [22] J.-P. Serre, *Formes modulaires et fonctions zeta p -adiques* (French), Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, 1972), pp. 191–268. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 350, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [23] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *On ℓ -adic representations and congruences for coefficients of modular forms*, Springer Lect. Notes. **350** (1973), 1–55.
- [24] S. Treneer, *Congruences for the coefficients of weakly holomorphic modular forms*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **93** (2006), 304–324.
- [25] A. Tupan, *Congruences for $\Gamma_1(4)$ -modular forms of half-integral weight*, Ramanujan J. **11** (2006), no. 2, 165–173.

- [26] M. F. Vignéras, *Facteurs gamma et équations fonctionnelles*, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 627 (Modular functions of one variable VI)(1977), Springer-Verlag Berlin pp. 79-103.
- [27] D. Zagier, *Traces of singular moduli*, Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998) (2002), Int. Press Lect. Ser., 3, I, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 211–244.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA UNIVERSITY, 145 ANAM-RO, SEONGBUK-GU, SEOUL 02841, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: dohoonchoi@korea.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, JONGNO-GU, SEOUL 03063, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: subong@skku.edu