

BI-WARPED PRODUCT SUBMANIFOLDS OF NEARLY KAEHLER MANIFOLDS

SIRAJ UDDIN, BANG-YEN CHEN, AWATIF AL-JEDANI, AND AZEB ALGHANEMI

ABSTRACT. We study bi-warped product submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds which are the natural extension of warped products. We prove that every bi-warped product submanifold of the form $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$ in a nearly Kaehler manifold satisfies the following sharp inequality:

$$\|h\|^2 \geq 2p\|\nabla(\ln f_1)\|^2 + 4q \left(1 + \frac{10}{9} \cot^2 \theta\right) \|\nabla(\ln f_2)\|^2,$$

where $p = \dim M_\perp$, $q = \frac{1}{2} \dim M_\theta$, and f_1, f_2 are smooth positive functions on M_T . We also investigate the equality case of this inequality. Further, some applications of this inequality are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bi-warped product manifolds are natural extensions of (ordinary) warped product and Riemannian product manifolds. Let M_0, M_1 and M_2 be Riemannian manifolds and $M = M_0 \times M_1 \times M_2$ be the Cartesian product of M_0, M_1 and M_2 . For each $i = 0, 1, 2$, we denote by $\pi_i : M \rightarrow M_i$ the canonical projection of M onto M_i . For each $\pi_i : M \rightarrow M_i$, let π_{i*} denote the corresponding tangent map $\pi_{i*} : TM \rightarrow TM_i$. Denote by $\Gamma(TM)$ the Lie algebra of vector fields of M .

If f_1, f_2 are positive real valued functions on M_0 , then

$$g(X, Y) = g(\pi_{0*}X, \pi_{0*}Y) + (f_1 \circ \pi_1)^2 g(\pi_{1*}X, \pi_{1*}Y) + (f_2 \circ \pi_2)^2 g(\pi_{2*}X, \pi_{2*}Y), \\ X, Y \in \Gamma(TM),$$

defines a Riemannian metric on $M_0 \times M_1 \times M_2$, called a *bi-warped product metric*. The product manifold $M = M_0 \times M_1 \times M_2$ endowed with this warped product metric g , denoted by $M_1 \times_{f_1} M_2 \times_{f_2} M_3$, is called a *bi-warped product manifold*. The functions f_1, f_2 are called the *warping functions*. Obviously, if f_1, f_2 are both constant, M is simply a Riemannian product; and if exactly one of f_1, f_2 is constant, then M is an (ordinary) warped product manifold. Further, if none of f_1, f_2 is constant, then M is called a *proper bi-warped product manifold*.

Let $M = M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times_{f_2} M_2$ be a bi-warped product submanifold. We put

$$\mathfrak{D} = TM_T, \quad \mathfrak{D}^\perp = TM_\perp, \quad \mathfrak{D}^\theta = TM_\theta, \quad N = {}_{f_1}M_1 \times_{f_2}M_2.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 53C15, 53C40, 53C42, 53B25.

Key words and phrases. Warped product; bi-warped product; slant submanifold; totally real submanifold; nearly Kaehler manifold; semi-slant warped product submanifold.

Then we have (cf. [12] and [22])

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla_X Z = \sum_{i=1}^2 (X(\ln f_i)) Z^i,$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{D}_0$ and $Z \in \Gamma(TN)$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on M and Z^i ($i=1,2$) is the M_i -component of Z .

Nearly Kaehler manifolds, also known as almost Tachibana manifolds, were first studied in 1959 by S. Tachibana [19] and then in 1970 by A. Gray [15]. Obviously, Kaehler manifolds are nearly Kaehler, but the converse is not true. Non-Kaehlerian nearly Kaehler manifolds are called *strict nearly Kaehler manifolds*.

The best known example of a strict nearly Kaehler manifold is the unit 6-sphere S^6 . More general examples are homogeneous spaces G/K , where G is a compact semisimple Lie group and K is the fixed point set of an automorphism of G of order 3 (cf. [24]). In 1985, T. Friedrich and R. Grunewald proved in [14] that a Riemannian 6-manifold is nearly Kaehler if and only if admits a Riemannian Killing spinor. After then, strict nearly Kaehler manifolds obtained a lot of attentions due to their relation to Killing spinors.

The notion of warped products plays very important roles not only in geometry but also in mathematical physics, especially in general relativity. The term of “warped product” was introduced by R. L. Bishop and B. O’Neill in [2], who used it to construct a large class of complete manifolds of negative curvature. Inspired by Bishop and O’Neill’s article, many important works on warped products from *intrinsic* point of view were done during the last fifty years.

On the other hand, the study of warped product submanifolds from *extrinsic* point of view was initiated around the beginning of this century in [5, 6, 7]. Since then warped product submanifolds have become an active research subject (see, e.g., [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 21]). For instance, B. Sahin studied in [18] warped product pointwise semi-slant submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds. H. M. Tastan [20] extended this study to bi-warped product submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds by considering that one of the fiber of warped product is a pointwise slant submanifold.

In this article, we study bi-warped product submanifolds in nearly Kaehler manifolds. In section 2, we give basic definitions and formulas. In section 3, we prove some useful results for the proof of our main result. In section 4, we prove a sharp inequality for bi-warped product submanifolds in nearly Kaehler manifolds. We also discuss the equality case of the inequality. In the last section, we provide some applications of our main result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

An even-dimensional differentiable manifold N_K with Riemannian metric g and almost complex structure J is called a *nearly Kaehler manifold* if (cf. [8, 15])

$$(2.1) \quad g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y + (\tilde{\nabla}_Y J)X = 0,$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN_K)$.

Let M be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} with induced metric g . Let $\Gamma(T^\perp M)$ denote the set of all vector fields normal to M . Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by (see, for instance, [5, 10])

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi,$$

for vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$, where ∇ and ∇^\perp denote the induced connections on the tangent and normal bundles of M , respectively, and h is the second fundamental form, A is the shape operator of the submanifold. The second fundamental form h and the shape operator A are related by

$$(2.4) \quad g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y).$$

For an n -dimensional submanifold M of an almost Hermitian $2m$ -manifold \tilde{M} , we choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2m}\}$ such that, restricted to M , e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M and e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2m} are normal to M .

Let $\{h_{ij}^r\}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$; $n+1 \leq r \leq 2m$, denote the coefficients of the second fundamental form h with respect to the local frame field. Then, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{ij}^r &= g(h(e_i, e_j), e_r) = g(A_{e_r} e_i, e_j), \\ \|h\|^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)). \end{aligned}$$

For any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, we put

$$(2.6) \quad JX = TX + FX,$$

where TX and FX are the tangential and normal components of JX , respectively. A submanifold M of an almost Hermitian manifold \tilde{M} is said to be *holomorphic* (resp. *totally real*) if $J(T_p M) = T_p M$ (resp. $J(T_p M) \subseteq T_p^\perp M$) $\forall p \in M$.

There are other important classes of submanifolds determined by the behaviour of almost complex structure J acting on the tangent space of M : For a nonzero vector $X \in T_p M$, $p \in M$, the angle $\theta(X)$ between JX and $T_p M$ is called the Wirtinger angle of X . A submanifold M is said to be *slant* (cf. [3, 4]) if the Wirtinger angle $\theta(X)$ is constant on M , i.e., it is independent of the choice of $X \in T_p M$ and $p \in M$. In this case, θ is called the *slant angle* of M . Holomorphic and totally real submanifolds are slant submanifolds with slant angles 0 and $\frac{\pi}{2}$, respectively. A slant submanifold is called *proper slant* if it is neither holomorphic nor totally real. More generally, a distribution \mathfrak{D} on M is called a *slant distribution* if the angle $\theta(X)$ between JX and \mathfrak{D}_p is independent of the choice of $p \in M$ and of $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{D}_p$.

It is well-known from [3] that a submanifold M of an almost Hermitian manifold \tilde{M} is slant if and only if we have

$$(2.7) \quad T^2 X = -(\cos^2 \theta)X, \quad X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

From (2.7) we have the following.

$$(2.8) \quad g(TX, TY) = (\cos^2 \theta)g(X, Y),$$

$$(2.9) \quad g(FX, FY) = (\sin^2 \theta)g(X, Y),$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M .

3. BI-WARPED PRODUCT SUBMANIFOLDS

Now, we study bi-warped product submanifolds in a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} which are of the form $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$, where M_T, M_\perp, M_θ are holomorphic, totally real and proper slant submanifolds of \tilde{M} , respectively. If we put

$$\mathfrak{D} = TM_T, \quad \mathfrak{D}^\perp = TM_\perp, \quad \mathfrak{D}^\theta = TM_\theta,$$

then the tangent and normal bundles of M are decomposed as

$$TM = \mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\theta, \quad T^\perp M = J\mathfrak{D}^\perp \oplus F\mathfrak{D}^\theta \oplus \mu$$

where μ is an j -invariant normal subbundle of the normal bundle $T^\perp M$. From now on, we use the following conventions: X_1, Y_1, \dots are vector fields in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$ and X_2, Y_2, \dots are vector fields in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\theta)$, while Z, W, \dots are vector fields in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\perp)$.

We present the following useful results for later use.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then we have*

- (i) $g(h(X_1, Y_1), JZ) = 0$,
- (ii) $g(h(X_1, Y_1), FX_2) = 0$,
- (iii) $g(h(X_1, Z), JW) = -JX_1(\ln f_1)g(Z, W)$,

for any $X_1, Y_1 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$, $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\perp)$ and $X_2 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\theta)$.

Proof. For any $X_1, Y_1 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\perp)$, we have

$$g(h(X_1, Y_1), JZ) = g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} Y_1, JZ) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} J)Y_1, Z) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} JY_1, Z).$$

Using (1.1), we find

$$g(h(X_1, Y_1), JZ) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} J)Y_1, Z) + X_1(\ln f_1)g(JY_1, Z).$$

By the orthogonality of vector fields, we have

$$(3.1) \quad g(h(X_1, Y_1), JZ) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} J)Y_1, Z).$$

Interchanging X_1 by Y_1 in (3.1), we get

$$(3.2) \quad g(h(X_1, Y_1), JZ) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} J)X_1, Z).$$

Then, first part follows from (3.1) and (3.2) by using (2.1). In a similar fashion, we can prove (ii). For the third part, we have

$$g(h(X_1, Z), JW) = g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z X_1, JW) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X_1, W) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z JX_1, W),$$

for any $X_1 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\perp)$. Again, using (1.1) and (2.1), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} g(h(X_1, Z), JW) &= -g((\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} J)Z, W) - JX_1(\ln f_1)g(Z, W) \\ &= -g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} JZ, W) + g(J\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} Z, W) - JX_1(\ln f_1)g(Z, W). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$(3.3) \quad 2g(h(X_1, Z), JW) = g(h(X_1, W), JZ) - JX_1(\ln f_1)g(Z, W).$$

Interchanging Z by W in (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad 2g(h(X_1, W), JZ) = g(h(X_1, Z), JW) - JX_1(\ln f_1)g(Z, W).$$

Hence, the third part follows from (3.3) and (3.4), which proves the lemma. \square

A bi-warped product submanifold $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$ in a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} is said to be $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ -mixed totally geodesic (resp., $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\theta$ -mixed totally geodesic) if its second fundamental h satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h(X_1, Z) &= 0 \quad \forall X_1 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}), \quad \forall Z \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\perp) \\ (\text{resp., } h(X_1, X_2) &= 0 \quad \forall X_1 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}), \quad \forall X_2 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\theta)). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then we have*

- (i) $g(h(X_1, Z), FX_2) = \frac{1}{2}g(h(X_1, X_2), JZ) = 0$,
- (ii) $g(h(X_1, X_2), FY_2) = \frac{1}{3}X_1(\ln f_2)g(TX_2, Y_2) - JX_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, Y_2)$,

for any $X_1 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$, $Z \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\perp)$ and $X_2, Y_2 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\theta)$.

Proof. For any $X_1 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$, $Z \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\perp)$, and $X_2 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(h(X_1, Z), FX_2) &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z X_1, JX_2 - TX_2) \\ &= g((\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X_1, X_2) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z JX_1, X_2) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z X_1, TX_2). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1), (1.1) and the orthogonality of vector fields, we derive

$$g(h(X_1, Z), FX_2) = -g((\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} J)Z, X_2) = -g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} JZ, X_2) + g(J\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} Z, X_2).$$

Then, from (2.1)-(2.4), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad g(h(X_1, Z), FX_2) = \frac{1}{2}g(h(X_1, X_2), JZ),$$

Which is the first equality of (i).

On the other hand, we have

$$g(h(X_1, X_2), JZ) = g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_2} X_1, JZ) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_{X_2} J)X_1, Z) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_2} JX_1, Z).$$

Using (2.1), (1.1) and the orthogonality of vector fields, we find

$$g(h(X_1, X_2), JZ) = -g((\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} J)X_2, Z) = -g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} JX_2, Z) + g(J\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} X_2, Z).$$

Then it follows from (2.1) and (2.6) that

$$g(h(X_1, X_2), JZ) = -g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} TX_2, Z) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} FX_2, Z) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} X_2, FZ).$$

Again, using (1.1), (2.2)-(2.4) and the orthogonality of vector fields, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad g(h(X_1, X_2), JZ) = \frac{1}{2}g(h(X_1, Z), FX_2).$$

Hence, the second equality of (i) follows from (3.5) and (3.6). For, the second part of the lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g(h(X_1, X_2), FY_2) &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_2} X_1, JY_2 - TY_2) \\
&= g((\tilde{\nabla}_{X_2} J)X_1, Y_2) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_2} JX_1, Y_2) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_2} X_1, TY_2) \\
&= -g((\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} J)X_2, Y_2) - JX_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, Y_2) - X_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, TY_2) \\
&= -g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} TX_2, Y_2) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} FX_2, Y_2) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} X_2, TY_2) \\
&\quad - g(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} X_2, FY_2) - JX_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, Y_2) - X_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, TY_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2)-(2.4) and (1.1), we find

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 2g(h(X_1, X_2), FY_2) &= g(h(X_1, Y_2), FX_2) - X_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, TY_2) \\ &\quad - JX_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, Y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging X_2 by Y_2 in (3.7), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 2g(h(X_1, Y_2), FX_2) &= g(h(X_1, X_2), FY_2) + X_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, TY_2) \\ &\quad - JX_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, Y_2). \end{aligned}$$

The second part follows from (3.7) and (3.8). Hence the proof is complete. \square

The following relations are easily obtained by interchanging X_1 by JX_1 and X_2 and Y_2 by TX_2 and TY_2 , respectively.

$$(3.9) \quad g(h(X_1, X_2), FTY_2) = \frac{1}{3}X_1(\ln f_2) \cos^2 \theta g(X_2, Y_2) - JX_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, TY_2),$$

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} g(h(JX_1, X_2), FTY_2) &= \frac{1}{3}JX_1(\ln f_2) \cos^2 \theta g(X_2, Y_2) \\ &\quad + X_1(\ln f_2)g(X_2, TY_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} g(h(X_1, TX_2), FY_2) &= -\frac{1}{3}X_1(\ln f_2) \cos^2 \theta g(X_2, Y_2) \\ &\quad - JX_1(\ln f_2)g(TX_2, Y_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} g(h(JX_1, TX_2), FY_2) &= -\frac{1}{3}JX_1(\ln f_2) \cos^2 \theta g(X_2, Y_2) \\ &\quad + X_1(\ln f_2)g(TX_2, Y_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} g(h(X_1, TX_2), FTY_2) &= -\frac{1}{3}X_1(\ln f_2) \cos^2 \theta g(X_2, TY_2) \\ &\quad - JX_1(\ln f_2) \cos^2 \theta g(X_2, Y_2). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1(iii) we obtain immediately the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . If M is $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ -mixed totally geodesic, then f_1 is constant, and hence M is an ordinary warped product manifold.*

Similarly, from Lemma 3.2 (ii), we may obtain the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$ be a proper bi-warped product submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . If M is $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\theta$ -mixed totally geodesic, then f_2 is constant on M .*

Proof. From Lemma 3.2 (ii) and (3.10), we have

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\cos^2 \theta - 9) JX_1(\ln f_2) g(X_2, Y_2) \\ & = 9g(h(X_1, X_2), FY_2) + 3g(h(JX_1, X_2), FTY_2). \end{aligned}$$

If M is $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\theta$ -mixed totally geodesic, then we find from (3.14) that

$$(\cos^2 \theta - 9) JX_1(\ln f_2) = 0,$$

which implies that either $\cos \theta = \pm 3$, which is not possible or $JX_1(\ln f_2) = 0$, i.e., f_2 is constant. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 imply that a proper bi-warped product submanifold $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$ in a nearly Kaehler manifold is neither $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ -mixed totally geodesic nor $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\theta$ -mixed totally geodesic.

4. INEQUALITY FOR THE SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM

Let $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$ be an n -dimensional proper bi-warped product submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M}^{2m} . We consider a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of TM such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D} &= \text{Span}\{e_1, \dots, e_t, e_{t+1} = Je_1, \dots, e_{2t} = Je_t\}, \\ \mathfrak{D}^\perp &= \text{Span}\{e_{2t+1} = \hat{e}_1, \dots, e_{2t+p} = \hat{e}_p\}, \\ \mathfrak{D}^\theta &= \text{Span}\{e_{2t+p+1} = e_1^*, \dots, e_{2t+p+q} = e_t^*, \\ & \quad e_{2t+p+q+1} = \sec \theta e_1^*, \dots, e_n = \sec \theta e_q^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\dim M_T = 2t$, $\dim M_\perp = p$ and $\dim M_\theta = 2q$. Moreover, the orthonormal frame fields $E_1, \dots, E_{2m-n-p-2q}$ of the normal subbundle $T^\perp M$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} J\mathfrak{D}^\perp &= \text{Span}\{E_1 = J\hat{e}_1, \dots, E_p = J\hat{e}_p\}, \\ F\mathfrak{D}^\theta &= \text{Span}\{E_{p+1} = \csc \theta F e_1^*, \dots, E_{p+q} = \csc \theta F e_p^*, \\ & \quad E_{p+q+1} = \csc \theta \sec \theta F T e_1^*, \dots, E_{p+2q} = \csc \theta \sec \theta F T e_q^*\}, \\ \mu &= \text{Span}\{E_{p+2q+1}, \dots, E_{2m-n-p-2q}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The main result of this article is the following sharp inequality for bi-warped product submanifolds in a nearly Kaehler manifold.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M = M_T \times_{f_1} M_\perp \times_{f_2} M_\theta$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} , where M_T , M_\perp and M_θ are holomorphic, totally real and proper slant submanifolds of \tilde{M} , respectively. Then we have:*

(i) *The second fundamental form h and the warping functions f_1, f_2 satisfy*

$$(4.1) \quad \|h\|^2 \geq 2p \|\nabla(\ln f_1)\|^2 + 4q \left(1 + \frac{10}{9} \cot^2 \theta\right) \|\nabla(\ln f_2)\|^2$$

where $p = \dim M_\perp$, $q = \frac{1}{2} \dim M_\theta$ and $\nabla(\ln f_i)$ is the gradient of $\ln f_i$.

- (ii) If the equality sign in (4.1) holds identically, then M_T is totally geodesic in \tilde{M} , and M_\perp, M_θ are totally umbilical in \tilde{M} . Moreover, M is neither $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ -mixed totally geodesic nor $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\theta$ -mixed totally geodesic in \tilde{M} .

Proof. From the definition of h , we have

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)) = \sum_{r=1}^{2m-n-p-2q} \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^2(h(e_i, e_j), E_r).$$

Then we decompose the above relation for the normal subbundles as follows

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|h\|^2 &= \sum_{r=1}^p \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^2(h(e_i, e_j), J\hat{e}_r) + \sum_{r=p+1}^{p+2q} \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^2(h(e_i, e_j), E_r) \\ &+ \sum_{r=p+2q+1}^{m-n-p-2q} \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^2(h(e_i, e_j), E_r). \end{aligned}$$

Leaving the last μ -components term in (4.2) and using the frame fields of tangent and normal subbundles of M , we derive

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|h\|^2 &\geq \sum_{r=1}^p \sum_{i,j=1}^{2t} g^2(h(e_i, e_j), J\hat{e}_r) + 2 \sum_{r=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^{2t} \sum_{j=1}^p g^2(h(e_i, \hat{e}_j), J\hat{e}_r) \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^p \sum_{i,j=1}^p g^2(h(\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_j), J\hat{e}_r) + 2 \sum_{r=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^{2t} \sum_{j=1}^{2q} g^2(h(e_i, e_j^*), J\hat{e}_r) \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^p \sum_{i,j=1}^{2q} g^2(h(e_i^*, e_j^*), J\hat{e}_r) + 2 \sum_{r=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^{2q} \sum_{j=1}^p g^2(h(e_i^*, \hat{e}_j), J\hat{e}_r) \\ &+ \csc^2 \theta \sum_{r=1}^q \sum_{i,j=1}^{2t} [g^2(h(e_i, e_j), Fe_r^*) + \sec^2 \theta g^2(h(e_i, e_j), FTe_r^*)] \\ &+ 2 \csc^2 \theta \sum_{r=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^{2t} \sum_{j=1}^p [g^2(h(e_i, \hat{e}_j), Fe_r^*) + \sec^2 \theta g^2(h(e_i, \hat{e}_j), FTe_r^*)] \\ &+ \csc^2 \theta \sum_{r=1}^q \sum_{i,j=1}^p [g^2(h(\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_j), Fe_r^*) + \sec^2 \theta g^2(h(\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_j), FTe_r^*)] \\ &+ 2 \csc^2 \theta \sum_{r=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{2q} [g^2(h(\hat{e}_i, e_j^*), Fe_r^*) + \sec^2 \theta g^2(h(\hat{e}_i, e_j^*), FTe_r^*)] \\ &+ \csc^2 \theta \sum_{r=1}^q \sum_{i,j=1}^{2q} [g^2(h(e_i^*, e_j^*), Fe_r^*) + \sec^2 \theta g^2(h(e_i^*, e_j^*), FTe_r^*)] \\ &+ 2 \csc^2 \theta \sum_{r=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^{2t} \sum_{j=1}^{2q} [g^2(h(e_i, e_j^*), Fe_r^*) + \sec^2 \theta g^2(h(e_i, e_j^*), FTe_r^*)]. \end{aligned}$$

We have no relation for warped products for the third, fifth, sixth, ninth, tenth and eleventh terms in (4.3), therefore, we leave these positive terms. Moreover, by

using Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 with the relations (3.9)-(3.13), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|h\|^2 &\geq 2p \sum_{i=1}^t \left[(-Je_i(\ln f_1))^2 + (e_i(\ln f_1))^2 \right] \\
 &\quad + 4q \csc^2 \theta \sum_{i=1}^t \left[(-Je_i(\ln f_2))^2 + (e_i(\ln f_2))^2 \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{4q}{9} \cot^2 \theta \sum_{i=1}^t \left[(-Je_i(\ln f_2))^2 + (e_i(\ln f_2))^2 \right] \\
 &= 2p \sum_{i=1}^{2t} (e_i(\ln f_1))^2 + 4q \left(\csc^2 \theta + \frac{1}{9} \cot^2 \theta \right) \sum_{i=1}^{2t} (e_i(\ln f_2))^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we find the required inequality from the definition of gradient.

For the equality case, we have from the leaving third term in (4.2) that

$$(4.4) \quad h(TM, TM) \perp \mu$$

From the vanishing first term and leaving seventh term in (4.3), we find

$$(4.5) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}) \perp J\mathfrak{D}^\perp \text{ and } h(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}) \perp F\mathfrak{D}^\theta.$$

Then we find from (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$(4.6) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, from the leaving third and ninth terms in (4.3), we get

$$(4.7) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}^\perp, \mathfrak{D}^\perp) \perp J\mathfrak{D}^\perp \text{ and } h(\mathfrak{D}^\perp, \mathfrak{D}^\perp) \perp F\mathfrak{D}^\theta.$$

Again, we conclude from (4.4) and (4.7) that

$$(4.8) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}^\perp, \mathfrak{D}^\perp) = 0.$$

Also, from the leaving fifth and eleventh terms in the right hand side of (4.3), we have

$$(4.9) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}^\theta, \mathfrak{D}^\theta) \perp J\mathfrak{D}^\perp \text{ and } h(\mathfrak{D}^\theta, \mathfrak{D}^\theta) \perp F\mathfrak{D}^\theta.$$

Then we obtain from (4.4) and (4.9) that

$$(4.10) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}^\theta, \mathfrak{D}^\theta) = 0.$$

Moreover, from the leaving sixth and tenth terms in (4.3), we get

$$(4.11) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}^\perp, \mathfrak{D}^\theta) \perp J\mathfrak{D}^\perp \text{ and } h(\mathfrak{D}^\perp, \mathfrak{D}^\theta) \perp F\mathfrak{D}^\theta.$$

Therefore, from (4.4) and (4.11) we obtain

$$(4.12) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}^\perp, \mathfrak{D}^\theta) = 0.$$

On the other hand, from the vanishing eighth term in (4.3) with(4.4), we have

$$(4.13) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}^\perp) \subset J\mathfrak{D}^\perp.$$

Similarly, from the vanishing fourth term in (4.3) with (4.4), we get

$$(4.14) \quad h(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}^\theta) \subset F\mathfrak{D}^\theta.$$

Since M_T is totally geodesic in \tilde{M} (see, e.g., [?, 5]), using this fact together with (4.6), (4.8) and (4.12), we know M_T is totally geodesic in \tilde{M} . Also, since M_\perp and M_θ are totally umbilical in M , using this fact together with (4.8), (4.10), (4.13) and (4.14), we conclude that M_\perp and M_θ are both totally umbilical in \tilde{M} . Further, it follows from Remark 3.1, (4.13) and (4.14) that M is neither $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ -mixed totally geodesic nor $\mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\theta$ -mixed totally geodesic in \tilde{M} . Consequently, the theorem is proved completely. \square

5. SOME APPLICATIONS

Theorem 4.1 implies the following.

Theorem 5.1. [5] *Let $M = M_T \times_f M_\perp$ be a CR-warped product in a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then the second fundamental form h of M satisfies*

$$(5.1) \quad \|h\|^2 \geq 2p \|\nabla(\ln f)\|^2,$$

where $p = \dim M$. Moreover, if the equality sign of (5.1) holds identically, then M_T is totally geodesic and M_\perp is totally umbilical in \tilde{M} .

A warped submanifold of the form $M = M_T \times_f M_\theta$ in a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} is called *semi-slant* if M_T is a holomorphic submanifold and M_θ is a proper slant submanifold in \tilde{M} .

The next result was proved in [16].

Theorem 5.2. *Let $M_T \times_f M_\theta$ be a semi-slant warped product of a nearly Kähler manifold \tilde{M} . Then the second fundamental form h of M satisfies*

$$(5.2) \quad \|h\|^2 \geq 4q \csc^2 \theta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{9} \cos^4 \theta \right\} |\nabla(\ln f)|^2.$$

On the other hand, Theorem 4.1 implies the following.

Theorem 5.3. [1] *Let $M = M_T \times_f M_\theta$ be a semi-slant warped product submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then second fundamental form h and the warping function f satisfy*

$$(5.3) \quad \|h\|^2 \geq 4q \left\{ 1 + \frac{10}{9} \cot^2 \theta \right\} \|\nabla(\ln f)\|^2.$$

Moreover, if the equality sign in (4.1) holds identically, then M_T is totally geodesic and M_θ are totally umbilical in \tilde{M} .

Remark 5.1. Theorem 5.3 improves Theorem 5.2 since

$$9 + 10 \cot^2 \theta > \csc^2 \theta (9 + \cos^4 \theta)$$

holds for every $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Furthermore, Theorem 5.3 shows that inequality (5.2) in Theorem 5.2 is not sharp.

Acknowledgements. This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia under grant no. (KEP-PhD-33-130-38). Therefore, the authors acknowledge their thanks to the DSR technical and financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. R. Al-Solamy, V. A. Khan and S. Uddin, *Geometry of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds*, Results Math. **71** (2017), 783–799.
- [2] R. L. Bishop and B. O’Neill, *Manifolds of Negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (1969), 1-49.
- [3] B.-Y. Chen, *Slant immersions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **41** (1990), 135-147.
- [4] B.-Y. Chen, *Geometry of slant submanifolds*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, 1990.
- [5] B.-Y. Chen, *Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds*, Monatsh. Math. **133** (2001), 177–195.
- [6] B.-Y. Chen, *Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds II*, Monatsh. Math. **134** (2001), 103–119.
- [7] B.-Y. Chen, *On isometric minimal immersions from warped products into real space forms*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **45** (2002), 579–587.
- [8] B.-Y. Chen, *Pseudo-Riemannian geometry, δ -invariants and applications*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [9] B.-Y. Chen, *Geometry of warped product submanifolds: a survey*. J. Adv. Math. Stud. **6** (2013), no. 2, 1–43.
- [10] B.-Y. Chen, *Differential geometry of warped product manifolds and submanifolds*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2017.
- [11] B.-Y. Chen, *Geometry of warped product and CR-warped product submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds: Modified version*, arXiv:1806.11102v1 [math.DG] (2018).
- [12] B.-Y. Chen and F. Dillen *Optimal inequalities for multiply warped product submanifolds*, Int. Electron. J. Geom. **1** (2008), no. 1, 1-11.
- [13] B.-Y. Chen and S. Uddin, *Warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **92** (2018), 183–199.
- [14] T. Friedrich and R. Grunewald, *On the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on 6-dimensional manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **3** (1985), 265–273.
- [15] A. Gray, *Nearly Kähler manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **4** (1970), 283–309.
- [16] V. A. Khan and K. A. Khan, *Generic warped product submanifolds in nearly Kaehler manifolds*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. **50** (2009), no. 2, 337–352.
- [17] B. Sahin, *Nonexistence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **117** (2006), 195–202.
- [18] B. Sahin, *Warped product pointwise semi-slant submanifolds of Kähler manifolds*, Port. Math. **70** (2013), 251–268.
- [19] S. Tachibana, *On almost-analytic vectors in certain almost-Hermitian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **11** (1959), 351–363.
- [20] H. M. Tastan, *Biwarped product submanifolds of a Kähler manifold*, arXiv:1611.08469 [math.DG] (2017).
- [21] S. Uddin, B.-Y. Chen and F. R. Al-Solamy, *Warped product bi-slant immersions in Kaehler manifolds*, Mediterr. J. Math. **14** (2017), no. 2, Art. 95, 11 pp.
- [22] S. Uddin, F. R. Al-Solamy, M. H. Shahid and A. Saloom, *B.-Y. Chen’s inequality for bi-warped products and its applications in Kenmotsu manifolds*, Mediterr. J. Math. **15** (2018), no. 5, Art.193, 15 pp.
- [23] B. Unal, *Multiply warped products*, J. Geom. Phys. **34** (2000), no. 3, 287-301.
- [24] J. Wolf and A. Gray, *Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms, II*, J. Differential Geometry, **2** (1968), 115–159.

S. UDDIN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, 21589 JEDDAH, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: `siraj.ch@gmail.com`

B.-Y. CHEN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, 619 RED CEDAR ROAD, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824-1027, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `bychen@math.msu.edu`

A. AL-JEDANI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, 21589 JEDDAH, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: `awtfmm@hotmail.com`

A. ALGHANEMI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, 21589 JEDDAH, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: `aalghanemi@kau.edu.sa`