

INTERPOLATION BETWEEN $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ AND $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$

J. HUANG AND F. SUKOCHEV

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{M} be a semifinite von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite normal trace τ . We show that the symmetrically Δ -normed operator space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ corresponding to an arbitrary symmetrically Δ -normed function space $E(0, \infty)$ is an interpolation space between $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{M} , which is in contrast with the classical result that there exist symmetric operator spaces $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ which are not interpolation spaces between $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{M} . Besides, we show that the \mathcal{K} -functional of every $X \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) + \mathcal{M}$ coincides with the \mathcal{K} -functional of its generalized singular value function $\mu(X)$. Several applications are given, e.g., it is shown that the pair $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ is \mathcal{K} -monotone when \mathcal{M} is a non-atomic finite factor.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall the Calkin correspondence between symmetrically Δ -normed operator spaces and symmetrically Δ -normed function spaces introduced in [17]. Let $E(0, \infty)$ be an arbitrary symmetrically Δ -normed function space equipped with a Δ -norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ (see Section 2) and let \mathcal{M} be an arbitrary semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ . Then,

$$E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \mu(X) \in E(0, \infty)\}, \quad \|X\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} := \|\mu(X)\|_E$$

is a symmetrically Δ -normed operator space, where $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is the space of all τ -measurable operators affiliated with \mathcal{M} . Moreover, if $E(0, \infty)$ is complete, then $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is also complete (see [17, Theorem 3.8], see also [22, 34] for the Banach case and the quasi-Banach case). For brevity, we omit below the term “operator” and refer just to symmetrically Δ -normed spaces.

Let $A(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $B(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be two symmetrically Δ -normed spaces. A symmetrically Δ -normed space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is said to be *intermediate* for $A(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $B(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if the continuous embeddings

$$A(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cap B(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset A(\mathcal{M}, \tau) + B(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$$

hold. Let $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a symmetrically Δ -normed space intermediate between $A(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $B(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. If every linear operator on $A(\mathcal{M}, \tau) + B(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ which is bounded from $A(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to $A(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $B(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to $B(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is also a bounded operator from $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is called an *interpolation* space between the spaces $A(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $B(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Interpolation function spaces have been widely investigated (see e.g. [1–4, 18, 23, 26, 31]) since Mityagin [28] and Calderón [5] gave characterizations of the class of all interpolation spaces with respect to $(L_1(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$ (see also [9, 10] for results

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L10, 46E30, 47A57. Version : February 18, 2019.

Key words and phrases. interpolation; orbits; \mathcal{K} -orbits; symmetrically Δ -normed spaces; von Neumann algebras.

in the noncommutative setting). Among several real interpolation methods, the K-method of interpolation linked to the so-called \mathcal{K} -functional is very important (we refer [2, 26, 27, 36] for applications of \mathcal{K} -functionals in different areas). Calculating the \mathcal{K} -functionals for a given couple of spaces is very important in the K-method [25]. In [18], the \mathcal{K} -functionals for the couple $L_0(0, \infty)$ and $L_\infty(0, \infty)$ are obtained. We give a description of the \mathcal{K} -functional of every element $X \in (L_0 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ in terms of singular value function as well as in terms of its distribution function, showing that the \mathcal{K} -functional of X coincides with the \mathcal{K} -functional of its generalized singular value function $\mu(X)$ (see Section 2), which extends [18, Proposition 3].

It is well-known (see e.g. [5, 28], see also [9, 12, 23]) that the operator space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ corresponding to a fully symmetric (see e.g. Section 5) function space $E(0, \infty)$ is an interpolation space between $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{M} . In particular, there exist symmetric normed spaces which are not interpolation spaces between $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (see [23, Chapter II, § 4.2 and § 5.7]). However, in this paper, it is shown that if we consider $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (the set of all τ -measurable operators having finite-trace support) instead of $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then the operator space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ corresponding to an arbitrary symmetrically Δ -normed function space $E(0, \infty)$ is necessarily an interpolation space between $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{M} , which is a noncommutative version of results in [18] (see also [2]).

As an application of the previous result, we describe the orbits and \mathcal{K} -orbits for an arbitrary $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ in the last section. It is shown that the unit balls of \mathcal{K} -orbits do not coincide with the unit balls of orbits in the pair $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$, which generalises [2, Theorem 4]. In [2], it is asserted that the commutative pair $(L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$ is not \mathcal{K} -monotone, that is, \mathcal{K} -orbits do not necessarily coincide with orbits in the pair $(L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$. However, it is known that this assertion is incorrect and $(L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$ is indeed \mathcal{K} -monotone (see e.g. Section 6). A non-commutative version of this result is established, that is, the pair $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ is \mathcal{K} -monotone in the setting when \mathcal{M} is a non-atomic finite factor. We would like to thank Professor Astashkin for providing us with the proof for the commutative pair $(L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Generalized singular value functions.

In what follows, \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space and $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the $*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} , and $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity operator on \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra on \mathcal{H} . For details on von Neumann algebra theory, the reader is referred to e.g. [7], [19, 20] or [37]. General facts concerning measurable operators may be found in [30], [32] (see also [38, Chapter IX] and the forthcoming book [12]). For convenience of the reader, some of the basic definitions are recalled.

A linear operator $X : \mathfrak{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, where the domain $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ of X is a linear subspace of \mathcal{H} , is said to be *affiliated* with \mathcal{M} if $YX \subseteq XY$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{M}'$, where \mathcal{M}' is the commutant of \mathcal{M} . A linear operator $X : \mathfrak{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is termed *measurable* with respect to \mathcal{M} if X is closed, densely defined, affiliated with \mathcal{M} and there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in the logic of all projections of \mathcal{M} , $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$, such that $P_n \uparrow \mathbf{1}$, $P_n(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}(X)$ and $\mathbf{1} - P_n$ is a finite projection (with respect to \mathcal{M}) for all n . It should be noted that the condition $P_n(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}(X)$ implies that $XP_n \in \mathcal{M}$. The collection of all measurable operators with respect to \mathcal{M} is

denoted by $S(\mathcal{M})$, which is a unital $*$ -algebra with respect to strong sums and products (denoted simply by $X + Y$ and XY for all $X, Y \in S(\mathcal{M})$).

Let X be a self-adjoint operator affiliated with \mathcal{M} . We denote its spectral measure by $\{E^X\}$. It is well known that if X is a closed operator affiliated with \mathcal{M} with the polar decomposition $X = U|X|$, then $U \in \mathcal{M}$ and $E \in \mathcal{M}$ for all projections $E \in \{E^{|X|}\}$. Moreover, $X \in S(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if X is closed, densely defined, affiliated with \mathcal{M} and $E^{|X|}(\lambda, \infty)$ is a finite projection for some $\lambda > 0$. It follows immediately that in the case when \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra of type *III* or a type *I* factor, we have $S(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$. For type *II* von Neumann algebras, this is no longer true. From now on, let \mathcal{M} be a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ .

An operator $X \in S(\mathcal{M})$ is called τ -measurable if there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $P(\mathcal{M})$ such that $P_n \uparrow \mathbf{1}$, $P_n(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}(X)$ and $\tau(\mathbf{1} - P_n) < \infty$ for all n . The collection of all τ -measurable operators is a unital $*$ -subalgebra of $S(\mathcal{M})$ denoted by $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. It is well known that a linear operator X belongs to $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if and only if $X \in S(\mathcal{M})$ and there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\tau(E^{|X|}(\lambda, \infty)) < \infty$. Alternatively, an unbounded operator X affiliated with \mathcal{M} is τ -measurable (see [14]) if and only if

$$\tau\left(E^{|X|}\left(\frac{1}{n}, \infty\right)\right) = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

DEFINITION 2.1. *Let a semifinite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} be equipped with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ and let $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. The generalized singular value function $\mu(X) : t \rightarrow \mu(t; X)$ of the operator X is defined by setting*

$$\mu(s; X) = \inf\{\|XP\|_\infty : P = P^* \in \mathcal{M} \text{ is a projection, } \tau(\mathbf{1} - P) \leq s\}.$$

An equivalent definition in terms of the distribution function of the operator X is the following. For every self-adjoint operator $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, setting

$$d_X(t) = \tau(E^X(t, \infty)), \quad t > 0,$$

we have (see e.g. [14])

$$(1) \quad \mu(t; X) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : d_{|X|}(s) \leq t\}.$$

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(0, \infty)$ of all Lebesgue measurable essentially bounded functions on $(0, \infty)$. Algebra \mathcal{M} can be seen as an abelian von Neumann algebra acting via multiplication on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0, \infty)$, with the trace given by integration with respect to Lebesgue measure m . It is easy to see that the algebra of all τ -measurable operators affiliated with \mathcal{M} can be identified with the subalgebra $S(0, \infty)$ of the algebra of Lebesgue measurable functions which consists of all functions x such that $m(\{|x| > s\})$ is finite for some $s > 0$. It should also be pointed out that the generalized singular value function $\mu(x)$ is precisely the decreasing rearrangement $\mu(x)$ of the function x (see e.g. [23]) defined by

$$\mu(t; x) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : m(\{|x| \geq s\}) \leq t\}.$$

The two-sided ideal $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ in \mathcal{M} consisting of all elements of τ -finite rank is defined by setting

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{X \in \mathcal{M} : \tau(r(X)) < \infty\} = \{X \in \mathcal{M} : \tau(s(X)) < \infty\}.$$

For convenience of the reader we also recall the definition of the measure topology t_τ on the algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For every $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, we define the set

$$V(\varepsilon, \delta) = \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \exists P \in P(\mathcal{M}) \text{ such that } \|X(\mathbf{1} - P)\| \leq \varepsilon, \tau(P) \leq \delta\}.$$

The topology generated by the sets $V(\varepsilon, \delta)$, $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, is called the measure topology t_τ on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ [12, 14, 30]. It is well known that the algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ equipped with the measure topology is a complete metrizable topological algebra [30] (see also [29]). A sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ converges to zero with respect to measure topology t_τ if and only if $\tau(E^{|X_n|}(\varepsilon, \infty)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ [11, 12].

2.2. Δ -normed spaces.

For convenience of the reader, we recall the definition of Δ -norm. Let Ω be a linear space over the field \mathbb{C} . A function $\|\cdot\|$ from Ω to \mathbb{R} is a Δ -norm, if for all $x, y \in \Omega$ the following properties hold:

- (1) $\|x\| \geq 0$, $\|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$;
- (2) $\|\alpha x\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $|\alpha| \leq 1$;
- (3) $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \|\alpha x\| = 0$;
- (4) $\|x + y\| \leq C_\Omega \cdot (\|x\| + \|y\|)$ for a constant $C_\Omega \geq 1$ independent of x, y .

The couple $(\Omega, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a Δ -normed space. We note that the definition of a Δ -norm given above is the same with that given in [21]. It is well-known that every Δ -normed space $(\Omega, \|\cdot\|)$ is metrizable and conversely every metrizable space can be equipped with a Δ -norm [21]. Note that properties (2) and (4) of a Δ -norm imply that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists a constant M such that $\|\alpha x\| \leq M\|x\|$, $x \in \Omega$, in particular, if $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \Omega$, then $\|\alpha x_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

Let $E(0, \infty)$ be a space of real-valued Lebesgue measurable functions on $(0, \infty)$ (with identification m -a.e.), equipped with a Δ -norm $\|\cdot\|_E$. The space $E(0, \infty)$ is said to be *absolutely solid* if $x \in E(0, \infty)$ and $|y| \leq |x|$, $y \in S(0, \infty)$ implies that $y \in E(0, \infty)$ and $\|y\|_E \leq \|x\|_E$. An absolutely solid space $E(0, \infty) \subseteq S(0, \infty)$ is said to be *symmetric* if for every $x \in E(0, \infty)$ and every $y \in S(0, \infty)$, the assumption $\mu(y) = \mu(x)$ implies that $y \in E(0, \infty)$ and $\|y\|_E = \|x\|_E$ (see e.g. [23]).

We now come to the definition of the main object of this paper.

DEFINITION 2.2. *Let a semifinite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} be equipped with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ . Let \mathcal{E} be a linear subset in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ equipped with a Δ -norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$. We say that \mathcal{E} is a symmetrically Δ -normed operator space if $X \in \mathcal{E}$ and every $Y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ the assumption $\mu(Y) \leq \mu(X)$ implies that $Y \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\|Y\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|X\|_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

One should note that a symmetrically Δ -normed space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ does not necessarily satisfy

$$\|AXB\|_E \leq \|A\|_\infty \|B\|_\infty \|X\|_E, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{M}, \quad X \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

It is clear that in the special case, when $\mathcal{M} = L_\infty(0, 1)$, or $\mathcal{M} = L_\infty(0, \infty)$, or $\mathcal{M} = l_\infty$, the definition of symmetrically Δ -normed operator spaces coincides with definition of the symmetric function (or sequence) spaces. In the case, when $\mathcal{M} = B(H)$ and τ is a standard trace Tr , we shall call a symmetrically Δ -normed operator space introduced in Definition 2.2 a symmetrically Δ -normed operator ideal (for the symmetrically normed ideals we refer to [15, 16, 33]).

As mentioned before, the operator space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ defined by

$$E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \mu(X) \in E(0, \infty)\}, \quad \|X\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} := \|\mu(X)\|_E$$

is a complete symmetrically Δ -normed operator space whenever the symmetrically Δ -normed function space $E(0, \infty)$ equipped with a Δ -norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is complete [17].

3. $(L_0 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$

By $L_0(0, \infty)$ we denote the space of all measurable functions on $(0, \infty)$ whose support has finite measure. This space is endowed with the group-norm [18]

$$\|f\|_{L_0} = m(\text{supp}(f)),$$

where $\text{supp}(f) = \{t \in (0, \infty) : f(t) \neq 0\}$. It is clearly that the corresponding operator space $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is the subspace of $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ which consists of all operators X such that $\tau(s(X)) < \infty$. It is easy to see that $(L_0 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ coincides with $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For the sake of completeness, we present a brief proof below.

PROPOSITION 3.1. $(L_0 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Proof. Since $(L_0 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, it suffices to prove $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset (L_0 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For any operator $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $t := \tau(E^{|X|}(\lambda, \infty)) < \infty$. By [12, Chapter III, Eq. (4)], we have

$$d_{\mu(X)}(\lambda) = \tau(E^{|X|}(\lambda, \infty)) = t.$$

This together with [18, Proposition 3] implies that $\mu(X) \in (L_0 + L_\infty)(0, \infty)$. Thus, $X \in (L_0 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. \square

In [18, Proposition 3], the description of the \mathcal{K} -functional (which is a Δ -norm on $S(0, \infty)$) $K_u(f) = \inf\{\|g\|_0 + u\|h\|_\infty : f = g + h, g \in L_0(0, \infty), h \in L_\infty(0, \infty)\}$, $u > 0$, of any $f \in S(0, \infty)$ is given in terms of its distribution function and its singular value function. That is, for every $f \in S(0, \infty)$, we have

$$(2) \quad K_u(f) = \inf_{s>0} [su + d_{\mu(f)}(s)] = \inf_{t>0} [t + u\mu(t; f)].$$

Similarly, for every $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the \mathcal{K} -functional is defined by

$$K_u(X) := \inf\{\|G\|_0 + u\|H\|_\infty : X = G + H, G \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), H \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}, u > 0.$$

In particular, we define a Δ -norm (see e.g. Remark 3.4) on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by

$$\|X\|_S := K_1(X) = \inf\{\|G\|_0 + \|H\|_\infty : X = G + H, G \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), H \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}$$

for any $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. The following result complements an earlier result from [9] for the pair $(L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *For every $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have*

$$K_u(X) = K_u(\mu(X)), \quad u > 0.$$

In particular, $\|X\|_S = \inf_{s>0} [s + d_{\mu(X)}(s)] = \inf_{t>0} [t + \mu(t; X)]$. Moreover, $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a complete Δ -normed space with respect to the Δ -norm K_u for every $u > 0$.

Proof. Firstly, for every $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_u(X) &= \inf\{\|G\|_0 + u\|H\|_\infty : X = G + H, G \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), H \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\} \\
&\leq \inf_{s>0}\{\|XE^{|X|}(s, \infty)\|_0 + u\|XE^{|X|}(0, s]\|_\infty\} \\
&\leq \inf_{s>0}\{d_{|XE^{|X|}(s, \infty)|}(0) + us\} \\
&= \inf_{s>0}\{d_{\mu(XE^{|X|}(s, \infty))}(0) + us\} \quad (\text{by [12, Chapter III, Eq. (4)]}) \\
&= \inf_{s>0}\{d_{\mu(XE^{|X|}(s, \infty))}(s) + us\} \\
&\leq \inf_{s>0}\{d_{\mu(X)}(s) + us\} \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{=} K_u(\mu(X)).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, for every $G \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with $t := \|G\|_0$ and $X - G \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, by [12, Chapter III, Proposition 2.20], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&t + u\mu(t; X) \\
&= t + u \cdot \inf\{\|X - Y\|_\infty : Y \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \|Y\|_0 \leq t, X - Y \in \mathcal{M}\} \\
&\leq \|G\|_0 + u\|X - G\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\inf_{t>0}[t + u\mu(t; X)] \leq \inf_{\substack{G \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \\ X - G \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}} (\|G\|_0 + u\|X - G\|_\infty) = K_u(X).$$

The fact that $S(0, \infty)$ is complete with respect with K_u together with [17, Theorem 3.8] implies the completeness of $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with respect with K_u . \square

REMARK 3.3. Recall that $d_{\mu(X)}(s) = d_{|X|}(s)$ (see e.g. [12, Chapter III, Eq. (4)]). For every $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the K -functional can be also defined by the formula

$$K_u(X) = \inf_{s>0}[su + d_{|X|}(s)], \quad u > 0.$$

REMARK 3.4. It is still unknown whether the Calkin correspondence preserves the constant C_E for an arbitrary symmetrically Δ -normed function space $E(0, \infty)$ (see [17, 34]). However, it is well-known that $(S(0, \infty), K_u(\cdot))$, $u > 0$, is an F -space (i.e., a complete Δ -normed space with $C_E = 1$) and Proposition 3.2 implies that for every $u > 0$, $K_u(\mu(\cdot))$ is not only a Δ -norm but also an F -norm on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Indeed, for every $X, Y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, by Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_u(\mu(X)) + K_u(\mu(Y)) \\
&= K_u(X) + K_u(Y) \\
&= \inf\{\|X_1\|_0 + u\|X_2\|_\infty : X = X_1 + X_2, X_1 \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), X_2 \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\} \\
&\quad + \inf\{\|Y_1\|_0 + u\|Y_2\|_\infty : Y = Y_1 + Y_2, Y_1 \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), Y_2 \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\} \\
&= \inf\{\|X_1\|_0 + \|Y_1\|_0 + u\|X_2\|_\infty + u\|Y_2\|_\infty : \\
&\quad X = X_1 + X_2, Y = Y_1 + Y_2, X_1, Y_1 \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), X_2, Y_2 \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\} \\
&\geq \inf\{\|X_1 + Y_1\|_0 + u\|X_2 + Y_2\|_\infty : \\
&\quad X = X_1 + X_2, Y = Y_1 + Y_2, X_1, Y_1 \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), X_2, Y_2 \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\} \\
&\geq \inf\{\|Z_1\|_0 + u\|Z_2\|_\infty : X + Y = Z_1 + Z_2, Z_1 \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), Z_2 \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\} \\
&= K_u(X + Y) = K_u(\mu(X + Y)),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\|X\|_0 + \|Y\|_0 = \tau(\text{supp}(X)) + \tau(\text{supp}(Y)) \geq \tau(\text{supp}(X + Y)) = \|X + Y\|_0$ for every $X, Y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

4. AN EMBEDDING THEOREM

It is well-known that for every symmetrically normed function space $E(0, \infty)$, the corresponding operator space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is symmetrically normed [22] and is an intermediate space for the noncommutative pair $(L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ [11, 12]. In this section, we prove an analogue for the Δ -normed case, that is, every operator space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ corresponding to a Δ -normed function space $E(0, \infty)$ is an intermediate space for the noncommutative pair $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$.

Before we proceed to the proof of the embedding theorem, we show that the topology given by $\|\cdot\|_S$ is equivalent with the measure topology.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then, $\|X_n\|_S \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $X_n \rightarrow_{t_\tau} 0$.*

Proof. By [17, Lemma 2.4], it suffices to show that $\|X_n\|_S \rightarrow 0$ whenever $X_n \rightarrow_{t_\tau} 0$. By [12, Chapter II, Proposition 5.7], we have $\tau(E^{|X_n|}(\varepsilon, \infty)) \rightarrow_n 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. By Proposition 3.2, we have $\|X_n\|_S \leq \varepsilon + \tau(E^{|X_n|}(\varepsilon, \infty))$, which completes the proof. \square

Notice that the two-sided ideal $\mathcal{F}(\tau)$ in \mathcal{M} coincides with $(L_0 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For every $X \in \mathcal{F}(\tau)$, we define the group-norm $\|X\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ by

$$\|X\|_{\mathcal{F}} := \max\{\|X\|_0, \|X\|_\infty\}.$$

The following embedding theorem is the main result of this section, which extends [18, Theorem 1] to the non-commutative case.

THEOREM 4.2. *If $E(0, \infty)$ is a nontrivial symmetrically Δ -normed function space, then*

$$\mathcal{F}(\tau) \subset E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset S(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

Moreover, the embeddings are continuous. That is, $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is an intermediate space between $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Since $E(0, \infty)$ is not empty, there is a non-zero element $x_0 \in E(0, \infty)$. Then, there is a scalar $t > 0$ such that $\mu(t; x_0) > 0$. It is clear that $\mu(t; x_0)\chi_{(0, t]} \leq \mu(x_0)$, which implies that $\chi_{(0, t]} \in E(0, \infty)$. Since $m(\chi_{(0, t]}) = m(\chi_{(t, 2t]}) = \dots = m(\chi_{((n-1)t, nt]})$ and $E(0, \infty)$ is a linear space, it follows that $\chi_{(0, nt]} \in E(0, \infty)$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}(0, \infty) \subset E(0, \infty)$.

Let $\{X_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{F}(\tau)$ be a sequence such that $\|X_n\|_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0$. For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we can find an N such that for every $n \geq N$, we have $\|X_n\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \varepsilon$, that is,

$$\text{supp}(\mu(X_n)) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|X_n\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence, $\mu(X_n) \leq \varepsilon\chi_{(0, \varepsilon]} \leq \varepsilon\chi_{(0, 1]}$ and therefore $\|X_n\|_E \leq \|\varepsilon\chi_{(0, 1]}\|_E$. By the continuity of Δ -norm $\|\cdot\|_E$, we obtain that $\|X_n\|_E \rightarrow_n 0$.

Lemma 2.4 in [17] together with Proposition 4.1 implies that $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is continuously embedded into $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. \square

The set of all self-adjoint elements in $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is denoted by $E_h(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then, [12, Chapter II, Proposition 6.1] together with Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 implies the following results immediately.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let $E(0, \infty)$ is a symmetrically Δ -normed function space. The following statements hold.*

- (1) *The positive cone $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^+$ is closed in $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_E$.*
- (2) *If $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $X, Y \in E_h(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are such that $\|X_n - X\|_E \rightarrow_n 0$ and $X_n \leq Y$ for all n , then $X \leq Y$.*
- (3) *If $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence in $E_h(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $X \in E_h(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with $\|X_n - X\|_E \rightarrow_n 0$, then $X_n \uparrow_n X$.*

5. INTERPOLATION IN THE PAIR $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$

Introduce the dilation operator σ_s on $S(0, \infty)$, $s > 0$, by setting

$$(\sigma_s(x))(t) = x\left(\frac{t}{s}\right), \quad t > 0.$$

It is well-known that $\mu(X + Y) \leq \sigma_2(\mu(X) + \mu(Y))$, $X, Y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ [24]. We note also that $\sigma_{2^k}x \in E(0, \infty)$ with

$$(3) \quad \|\sigma_{2^k}x\|_E \leq (2C_E)^k \|x\|_E$$

for all $x \in E(0, \infty)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g. [23], see also [18]).

Recall that $(L_0 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (see Proposition 3.1). Let $T : S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a homomorphism, i.e.,

$$T(X + Y) = TX + TY \quad \text{and} \quad T(-X) = -TX$$

for any $X, Y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Let $E(0, \infty)$ be a Δ -normed function space. A homomorphism $T : E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is called **continuous** if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $\|X\|_E < \delta(\varepsilon)$ implies that $\|TX\|_E < \varepsilon$ [21, Chapter I, Section 4]. A homomorphism is called **bounded** if

$$\|T\|_{E \rightarrow E} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|TX\|_E}{\|X\|_E} < \infty.$$

The homomorphism T is said to be bounded on the pair $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ if T is a bounded mapping from $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and from \mathcal{M} into \mathcal{M} .

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $E(0, \infty)$ be a symmetrically Δ -normed function space and $T : S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a homomorphism which is bounded on $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ with*

$$\|TX\|_0 \leq M_0 \|X\|_0, \quad \forall X \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau),$$

$$\|TX\|_\infty \leq M_1 \|X\|_\infty, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{M}$$

for some constants $M_0, M_1 > 0$. Then, T maps $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into itself and

$$(4) \quad \mu(M_0 t; TX) \leq \mu(t; M_1 X), \quad X \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

Proof. For any $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ with $\tau(\mathbf{1} - P) < t$, we have $\|TX(\mathbf{1} - P)\|_0 \leq M_0 \|X(\mathbf{1} - P)\|_0 \leq M_0 t$. By [24, Theorem 2.3.13], for every $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(M_0 t; TX) &= \inf\{\|TX - B\|_\infty : B \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \|B\|_0 \leq M_0 t\} \\ &\leq \|TX - TX(\mathbf{1} - P)\|_\infty = \|TXP\|_\infty \leq M_1 \|XP\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 2.1, we have

$$\mu(M_0 t; TX) \leq M_1 \mu(t; X).$$

This implies that $\sigma_{1/M_0} \mu(TX) \in E(0, \infty)$ and therefore, by appealing to (3), we conclude that $\mu(TX) = \sigma_{M_0}(\sigma_{1/M_0} \mu(TX)) \in E(0, \infty)$. \square

If $X, Y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then X is said to be submajorized by Y , denoted by $X \prec\prec Y$, if

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; X) ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s; Y) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

A linear subspace E of $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ equipped with a complete norm $\|\cdot\|_E$, is called *fully symmetric space* (of τ -measurable operators) if $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $Y \in E$ and $X \prec\prec Y$ imply that $X \in E$ and $\|X\|_E \leq \|Y\|_E$ [11, 12, 24].

For a symmetric normed function space $E(0, \infty)$, by [12, Theorem 10.13] (see also [9] and [23]), $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is an interpolation space between $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{M} if $E(0, \infty)$ is fully symmetric. In particular, one can find symmetric normed spaces $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ which are not interpolation spaces between $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ [23, Chapter II, § 5.7]. However, for an arbitrary symmetrically Δ -normed function space $E(0, \infty)$, $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is, in fact, an interpolation space between $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{M} .

COROLLARY 5.2. *Let $E(0, \infty)$ be a symmetrically Δ -normed function space and $T : S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a homomorphism which is bounded on $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \|TX\|_0 &\leq M_0 \|X\|_0, \quad \forall X \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \\ \|TX\|_\infty &\leq M_1 \|X\|_\infty, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{M} \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $M_0, M_1 > 0$. Then, T is a bounded homomorphism from $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into itself. In particular, $\sup_{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \frac{\|TX\|_S}{\|X\|_S} < \infty$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, for any $X \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have $TX \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with

$$(5) \quad \mu(M_0 t; TX) \leq M_1 \mu(t; X).$$

Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer such that $2^k \geq M_0$. Noticing that $\sigma_{2^k}(\mu(X)) \geq \sigma_{M_0}(\mu(X))$. By (3), we have

$$(6) \quad \|\sigma_{M_0}(\mu(X))\|_E \leq \|\sigma_{2^k} \mu(X)\|_E \leq (2C_E)^k \|\mu(X)\|_E$$

for any $X \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|TX\|_E &= \|\mu(TX)\|_E \stackrel{(6)}{\leq} (2C_E)^k \|\sigma_{1/M_0}(\mu(TX))\|_E \stackrel{(5)}{\leq} (2C_E)^k \|M_1 \mu(X)\|_E \\ &\leq (2C_E)^k \|([M_1] + 1) \mu(X)\|_E \leq (2C_E)^k \sum_{i=1}^{[M_1]+1} C_E^i \|\mu(X)\|_E, \end{aligned}$$

where $[M_1]$ is the integer part of M_1 . The proof is complete. \square

The results in this section are applied to the study of orbits and \mathcal{K} -orbits in the pair of $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ in the next section.

6. ORBITS AND \mathcal{K} -ORBITS

For an element $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the orbit $\text{Orb}(X; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ of X is the set of all $Y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $Y = TX$ for some homomorphism T which is *bounded* on the pair $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$. Furthermore, we define

$$\|Y\|_{\text{Orb}} := \inf_{Y=TX} \|T\|_{S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)},$$

where the infimum is taken over all bounded homomorphisms T such that $TX = Y$ and $\|T\|_{S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} = \max\{\|T\|_{L_0 \rightarrow L_0}, \|T\|_{L_\infty \rightarrow L_\infty}\}$.

By Theorem 5.1, we have the following proposition, which is an analogue of [2, Theorem 1].

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then, for every $Y \in \text{Orb}(X; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$, we have*

$$(7) \quad \mu(t; Y) \leq \|Y\|_{\text{Orb}} \mu\left(\frac{t}{\|Y\|_{\text{Orb}}}; X\right), \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. Notice for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a T such that $Y = TX$ with $\|T\|_{S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq \|Y\|_{\text{Orb}} + \varepsilon$. Then, by (4), we have

$$\mu(\|T\|_{S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} t; Y) = \mu(\|T\|_{S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} t; TX) \leq \|T\|_{S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \mu(t; X).$$

Hence, $\mu((\|Y\|_{\text{Orb}} + \varepsilon)t; Y) \leq (\|Y\|_{\text{Orb}} + \varepsilon)\mu(t; X)$ for every $t > 0$. By the right-continuity of singular value functions, we have

$$\mu(\|Y\|_{\text{Orb}} t; Y) \leq \|Y\|_{\text{Orb}} \mu(t; X)$$

for every $t > 0$, which completes the proof. \square

Let (X_0, X_1) be a pair of symmetrically Δ -normed spaces. The \mathcal{K} -orbit of $A \in X_0 + X_1$ is defined by the set $\mathcal{KO}(A; X_0, X_1)$ of all $X \in X_0 + X_1$ such that

$$\|X\|_{\mathcal{KO}} := \sup_{t > 0} \frac{\mathcal{K}(t, X; X_0, X_1)}{\mathcal{K}(t, A; X_0, X_1)} < \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{K}(t, Z; X_0, X_1) := \inf\{\|Z_0\|_{X_0} + t\|Z_1\|_{X_1} : Z = Z_0 + Z_1, Z_0 \in X_0, Z_1 \in X_1\}$.

A pair (X_0, X_1) is called \mathcal{K} -monotone if $\mathcal{KO}(A; X_0, X_1) = \text{Orb}(A; X_0, X_1)$ for all $A \in X_0 + X_1$. The pair $(L_1(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$ is a classical example of a \mathcal{K} -monotone pair (see e.g. [5]). Moreover, the noncommutative pair $(L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ is \mathcal{K} -monotone (see [9, Proposition 2.5, Theorem 4.7]).

It follows from the definition that the unit ball of $\text{Orb}(A; X_0, X_1)$ is a subset of the unit ball of $\mathcal{KO}(A; X_0, X_1)$. Moreover, [9, Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 4.7] imply that the the closed unit ball of $\text{Orb}(A; L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ coincides with the unit ball of $\mathcal{KO}(A; L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$. However, it is known that the reverse inclusion may fail for certain element in the pair $L_0(0, \infty) + L_\infty(0, \infty)$ [2]. One of the main results of this section is a non-commutative version of [2, Theorem 4].

By Proposition 3.2, the \mathcal{K} -orbit $\mathcal{KO}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ of every $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is the set of all $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that

$$\|X\|_{\mathcal{KO}} := \sup_{t > 0} \frac{K_t(\mu(X))}{K_t(\mu(A))} < \infty.$$

THEOREM 6.2. *If \mathcal{M} is a non-trivial von Neumann algebra ($\mathcal{M} \neq \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathcal{M} \neq 0$), then there exist $A, X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that*

$$K_t(A) = K_t(X)$$

whereas $\mu(t; A) < \mu(t; X)$, $t \in E$, for some measurable set E , $m(E) > 0$. In particular, the unit ball of the $\mathcal{KO}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ does not coincide with the unit ball of $\text{Orb}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathbb{C}1$, there exist two τ -finite projections $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $P_1 \perp P_2$. Let $\tau_1 := \tau(P_1) > 0$ and $\tau_2 := \tau(P_2) > 0$.

Let $k_1, k_2 > 0$ be such that

$$(8) \quad k_1 > k_2 > \frac{\tau_2 k_1}{\tau_1 + \tau_2}.$$

Define

$$X := k_1(P_1 + P_2).$$

Then, $\mu(X) = k_1 \chi_{(0, \tau_1 + \tau_2)}$. By (2), we have

$$K_t(X) = \min\{tk_1, \tau_1 + \tau_2\}.$$

Then, we have

$$K_t(X) = \begin{cases} tk_1, & t < \frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{k_1}, \\ \tau_1 + \tau_2, & t \geq \frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{k_1}. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$A := k_1 P_1 + k_2 P_2.$$

Then, $\mu(A) = k_1 \chi_{(0, \tau_1)} + k_2 \chi_{[\tau_1, \tau_1 + \tau_2)}$. By (2), we have

$$K_t(A) = \min\{tk_1, \tau_1 + tk_2, \tau_1 + \tau_2\}.$$

However, (8) implies that there is no such a t such that $\tau_1 + tk_2 \leq \min\{tk_1, \tau_1 + \tau_2\}$. Hence, we have

$$K_t(A) = \begin{cases} tk_1, & t < \frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{k_1}, \\ \tau_1 + \tau_2, & t \geq \frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{k_1}. \end{cases}$$

That is, $K_t(A) = K_t(X)$. However, it is clear that

$$(9) \quad \mu(t; A) < \mu(t; X), \quad \tau_1 \leq t < \tau_1 + \tau_2.$$

Assume that X lies in the unit ball of $\text{Orb}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$. By (7)

$$\mu(t; X) \leq \|X\|_{\text{Orb}} \mu\left(\frac{t}{\|X\|_{\text{Orb}}}; A\right) \leq \mu(t; A), \quad t > 0,$$

which is a contradiction with (9). Hence, X lies in the unit ball of $\mathcal{KO}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ but not in the unit ball of $\text{Orb}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$. \square

In [2], it is asserted incorrectly that the $\text{Orb}(A; L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty)) \neq \mathcal{KO}(A; L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$. The following proposition together [2, Theorem 1] explains why $\text{Orb}(A; L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty)) = \mathcal{KO}(A; L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$, i.e., the pair $(L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$ is \mathcal{K} -monotone. We would like to thank Professor Astashkin for providing the proof for the special case when $\mathcal{M} = L_\infty(0, \infty)$.

PROPOSITION 6.3. *Let $A, X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists $C > 0$ such that $\mu(s; X) \leq C\mu(\frac{s}{C}; A)$ for every $s > 0$.*
- (2) $\sup_{t>0} \frac{K_t(X)}{K_t(A)} < \infty$.

Proof. (i) For every A, X satisfying condition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t>0} \frac{K_t(X)}{K_t(A)} &= \sup_{t>0} \frac{\inf_s \{s + t\mu(s; X)\}}{\inf_s \{s + t\mu(s; A)\}} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{\inf_s \{s + tC\mu(s/C; A)\}}{\inf_s \{s + t\mu(s; A)\}} \\ &= \sup_{t>0} \frac{\inf_s \{Cs + tC\mu(s; A)\}}{\inf_s \{s + t\mu(s; A)\}} = C < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the validity of condition (2).

(ii) Conversely, assume that

$$\sup_{t>0} \frac{\inf_s \{s + t\mu(s; X)\}}{\inf_s \{s + t\mu(s; A)\}} \leq C$$

for some $C > 0$. For every $Z \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we define

$$M_t(Z) := \inf_s \{\max\{s, t\mu(s; Z)\}\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Clearly, we have

$$M_t(Z) \leq K_t(Z) \leq 2M_t(Z), \quad Z \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \quad t > 0 \quad [27].$$

Therefore,

$$(10) \quad M_t(X) \leq 2CM_t(A), \quad t > 0.$$

Let $s \in (0, \infty)$ and let $t := \frac{s}{\mu(s; X)}$ (without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mu(s; X) > 0$). Notice that $s = t\mu(s; X) \leq t\mu(s - \Delta_1; X)$ and $s = t\mu(s; X) \leq s + \Delta_2$ for any $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 > 0$ with $s - \Delta_1 > 0$. We have,

$$(11) \quad M_t(X) = s = t\mu(s; X).$$

Let $s_1 := M_t(A)$. Then, we have

$$(12) \quad t\mu(s_1^-; A) := t \lim_{k \uparrow s_1} \mu(k; A) \geq s_1$$

(otherwise, we have $\max\{t\mu(s_1 - \varepsilon; A), s_1 - \varepsilon\} < s_1 = M_t(A)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, which is a contradiction to the definition of $M_t(A)$).

Since $s \stackrel{(11)}{=} M_t(X) \stackrel{(10)}{\leq} 2CM_t(A) = 2Cs_1$, it follows that

$$(13) \quad \mu(s_1^-; A) \leq \mu\left(\left(\frac{s}{2C}\right)^-; A\right).$$

Then, we obtain

$$t\mu(s; X) \stackrel{(11)}{=} M_t(X) \stackrel{(10)}{\leq} 2CM_t(A) = 2Cs_1 \stackrel{(12)}{\leq} 2Ct\mu(s_1^-; A) \stackrel{(13)}{\leq} 2Ct\mu\left(\left(\frac{s}{2C}\right)^-; A\right),$$

that is,

$$\mu(s; X) \leq 2C\mu\left(\left(\frac{s}{2C}\right)^-; A\right).$$

Since $s > 0$ is arbitrary taken, it follows that

$$\mu(s; X) \leq 3C\mu\left(\frac{s}{3C}; A\right)$$

for every $s > 0$, which completes the proof. \square

By the above proposition and [2, Theorem 1], we obtain the following result immediately, which implies that the commutative pair $(L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty))$ is indeed \mathcal{K} -monotone.

COROLLARY 6.4. *For every $a \in S(0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\text{Orb}(a; L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty)) = \mathcal{KO}(a; L_0(0, \infty), L_\infty(0, \infty)).$$

It is known that there cannot in general be a conditional expectation from $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ onto a subalgebra of $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (see e.g. [13, Appendix B]), which is the main obstacle in extending [2, Theorem 1] to the non-commutative case. The following theorem is the last result of this section, giving a non-commutative version of [2, Theorem 1] in the setting of non-atomic finite factors by approaches which are completely different from those used in [2].

For the sake of convenience, we denote $L_\infty(0, \tau(s(X)))$ by $M_{\mu(X)}$. If \mathcal{M} is a non-atomic semifinite von Neumann algebra, then for every $X \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, there exists a non-atomic commutative von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{M}_{|X|}$ in $s(|X|)\mathcal{M}s(|X|)$ and a trace-preserving $*$ -isomorphism J from $S(\mathcal{M}_{|X|}, \tau)$ onto the algebra $S(M_{\mu(X)}, m)$ [6, 8].

THEOREM 6.5. *If \mathcal{M} is a non-atomic finite von Neumann factor with a faithful normal finite trace τ , then for every $0 \neq A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $\text{Orb}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ is the set of all $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for which there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(14) \quad \mu(t; X) \leq C\mu\left(\frac{t}{C}; A\right), \quad t > 0.$$

In particular, $\text{Orb}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)) = \mathcal{KO}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$.

Proof. (i) It follows from Proposition 6.1 that for every $X \in \text{Orb}(A; L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$, there exists such a $C > 0$ satisfying (14).

(ii) Conversely, assume that $A, X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ satisfies (14).

Then, by [6, Lemma 1.3], there are isomorphisms J_A between $S(M_{\mu(A)}, m)$ and $S(\mathcal{M}_{|A|}, \tau)$ such that $J_A\mu(A) = |A|$ and J_X between $S(M_{\mu(X)}, m)$ and $S(\mathcal{M}_{|X|}, \tau)$ such that $J_X\mu(X) = |X|$.

Then, by (14), we have

$$2C\mu\left(\frac{t}{2C}; A\right) - \mu(t; x) \geq C\mu\left(\frac{t}{2C}; A\right) + (C\mu\left(\frac{t}{2C}; A\right) - \mu(t; x)) \geq C\mu\left(\frac{\tau(s(X))}{2C}; A\right)$$

for every $t \in (0, \tau(s(X)))$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that C is an integer which is large enough such that

$$(15) \quad 2C\mu\left(\frac{t}{2C}; A\right) - \mu(t; X) \geq C\mu\left(\frac{\tau(s(X))}{2C}; A\right) \geq 1$$

for every $t \in (0, \tau(s(X)))$.

Let $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2C}$. Since $J_A\mu(A) = |A|$, we can define $A_n := [a_n, b_n]$, $n \geq 0$, by

$$\chi_{A_n} = \chi_{[a_n, b_n]} = J_A^{-1}(E^{|A|}(n\varepsilon, (n+1)\varepsilon)).$$

For every $0 \leq j \leq 2C - 1$, we set

$$[a_{nj}, b_{nj}] := [2Ca_n + j(b_n - a_n), 2Ca_n + (j+1)(b_n - a_n)].$$

By [6, Lemma 1.3], for every $[a_{nj}, b_{nj}] \subset [0, \tau(s(X))]$, we have

$$(16) \quad \tau(E^{|A|}(n\varepsilon, (n+1)\varepsilon)) = \tau(J_A\chi_{[a_n, b_n]}) = \tau(J_X\chi_{[a_{nj}, b_{nj}]})$$

Since \mathcal{M} is a finite factor, due to (16), there exist partial isometries $U_{nj} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $U_{nj}U_{nj}^* = E^{|A|}(n\varepsilon, (n+1)\varepsilon) = J_A\chi_{[a_n, b_n]}$ and $U_{nj}^*U_{nj} = J_X\chi_{[a_{nj}, b_{nj}]}$. If $[a_{nj}, b_{nj}] \cap [0, \tau(s(X))] = \emptyset$, we define $U_{nj} := 0$. In the case when $[a_{nj}, b_{nj}] \not\subset [0, \tau(s(X))]$ but $[a_{nj}, b_{nj}] \cap [0, \tau(s(X))] \neq \emptyset$, we define U_{nj} as the partial isometry

such that $U_{nj}U_{nj}^* = J_A\chi_{[a_n, a_n - a_{nj} + \tau(s(X))]} \leq E^{|A|}(n\varepsilon, (n+1)\varepsilon]$ and $U_{nj}^*U_{nj} = J_X\chi_{[a_{nj}, \tau(s(X))]}$.

Denote $U_j := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{nj}$, $0 \leq j \leq 2C-1$ (note that $U_{kj}^*U_{lj} = 0$ and $U_{lj}U_{kj}^* = 0$ whenever $k \neq l$). Note that every U_j is a partial isometry. Let

$$B_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\varepsilon E^{|A|}(n\varepsilon, (n+1)\varepsilon] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\varepsilon J_A\chi_{[a_n, b_n]} \in S(\mathcal{M}|_A, \tau)$$

and

$$B_2 := \sum_{n,j} n\varepsilon J_X(\chi_{[a_{nj}, b_{nj}]} \chi_{[0, \tau(s(X))]})) \in S(\mathcal{M}|_X, \tau).$$

Then, noting that $U_{n,j}^* E^{|A|}(n\varepsilon, (n+1)\varepsilon] U_{n,j} = U_{n,j}^* J_A\chi_{[a_n, b_n]} U_{n,j} = J_X(\chi_{[a_{nj}, b_{nj}]} \chi_{[0, \tau(s(X))]}))$ for every n, j , we have

$$B_2 = \sum_{n,j} U_{n,j}^* n\varepsilon E^{|A|}(n\varepsilon, (n+1)\varepsilon] U_{n,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{2C-1} U_j^* B_1 U_j.$$

Since $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2C}$, it follows that $0 \leq \mu(A) - \mu(B_1) < \frac{1}{2C}$ and therefore, by (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2C\mu(t; B_2) - \mu(t; X) &= 2C\mu\left(\frac{t}{2C}; B_1\right) - \mu(t; X) \\ (17) \qquad \qquad \qquad &> 2C\left(\mu\left(\frac{t}{2C}; A\right) - \frac{1}{2C}\right) - \mu(t; X) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in [0, \tau(s(X))]$ (note that the $\mu(t; B_2) = \mu\left(\frac{t}{2C}; B_1\right)$ follows immediately from the definitions of B_1 and B_2).

Let $A_\Delta := \int \frac{[\lambda/\varepsilon]\varepsilon}{\lambda} dE^{|A|}(\lambda)$ and $B_\Delta := J_X \frac{\mu(X)}{\mu(B_2)}$. Here, $[\lambda/\varepsilon]$ is the integer part of λ/ε . Note that (17) implies that B_Δ is a bounded operator. Clearly, we have $A_\Delta|A| = B_1$ and $|X| = J_X \frac{\mu(X)}{\mu(B_2)} J_X \mu(B_2) = B_\Delta B_2$ (notice that $\sum_{n,j} n\varepsilon \chi_{[a_{nj}, b_{nj}]} \chi_{[0, \tau(s(X))]} = \mu(B_2)$). Let $U_A|A| = A$ and $U_X|X| = X$ be the polar decompositions. Define a homomorphism $T : S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by setting

$$TZ = U_X B_\Delta \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2C-1} U_j^* (A_\Delta U_A^* Z) U_j \right), \quad Z \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

It is easy to verify that $TA = X$ and T is a bounded homomorphism on the pair $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ (one should note that operators of multiplication by U_j , $0 \leq j \leq 2C-1$, A_Δ and B_Δ are bounded homomorphisms on the pair $(L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \mathcal{M})$ by Corollary 5.2).

The last statement follows immediately from Proposition 6.3. \square

REMARK 6.6. *The assumption that \mathcal{M} is a finite factor plays a crucial role in the above proof. The authors did not succeed in extending the result to the case for general semifinite von Neumann algebras.*

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Sergei Astashkin and Dima Zanin for helpful discussions.

The first author acknowledges the support of University International Postgraduate Award (UIPA). The second author was supported by the Australian Research Council.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Acosta, A. Kamińska, *Weak neighborhoods and the Daugavet property of the interpolation spaces $L^1 + L^\infty$ and $L^1 \cap L^\infty$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (1) (2008), 77–96.
- [2] S.V. Astashkin, *Interpolation of operators in quasinormed groups of measurable functions*, Siberian Math. J. 35 (6) (1994), 1075–1082.
- [3] S.V. Astashkin, L. Maligranda, *Interpolation between L_1 and L_p , $1 < p < \infty$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (10) (2004), 2929–2938.
- [4] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces, an introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1976.
- [5] A. Calderón, *Spaces between L^1 and L^∞ and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz*, Studia Math., 26 (1966), 273–299.
- [6] V. Chilin, A. Krygin, F. Sukochev, *Local uniform and uniform convexity of non-commutative symmetric spaces of measurable operators*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 111 (1992), 355–368.
- [7] J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'Espace Hilbertien, 2nd ed.*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [8] P. Dodds, T. Dodds, B. de Pagter, *Fully symmetric operator spaces*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 15 (1992), 942–972.
- [9] P. Dodds, T. Dodds, B. de Pagter, *Noncommutative Köthe duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 339 (2) (1993), 717–750.
- [10] P. Dodds, T. Dodds, F. Sukochev, *On p -convexity and q -concavity in non-commutative symmetric spaces*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 78 (2014), 91–114.
- [11] P. Dodds, B. de Pagter, *Normed Köthe spaces: A non-commutative viewpoint*, Indag. Math. 25 (2014), 206–249.
- [12] P. Dodds, B. de Pagter, F. Sukochev, *Theory of noncommutative integration*, unpublished manuscript.
- [13] K. Dykema, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *An upper triangular decomposition theorem for some unbounded operators affiliated to II_1 -factors*, Israel J. Math. 222 (2) (2017) 645–709.
- [14] T. Fack, H. Kosaki, *Generalized s -numbers of τ -measurable operators*, Pacific J. Math. 123 (2) (1986), 269–300.
- [15] I. Gohberg, M. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1969.
- [16] I. Gohberg, M. Krein, *Theory and applications of Volterra operators in Hilbert space*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 24 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1970.
- [17] J. Huang, G. Levitina, F. Sukochev, *Completeness of symmetric Δ -normed spaces of τ -measurable operators*, Studia Math. 237 (3) (2017), 201–219.
- [18] H. Hudzik, L. Maligranda, *An interpolation theorem in symmetric function F -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (1) (1990), 89–96.
- [19] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1983.
- [20] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras II*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1986.
- [21] N. Kalton, N. Peck, J. Rogers, *An F -space Sampler*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol.89, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [22] N. Kalton, F. Sukochev, *Symmetric norms and spaces of operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 621 (2008), 81–121.
- [23] S. Krein, Yu. Petunin, E. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*. Translated from Russian by J. Szűcs. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 54. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [24] S. Lord, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *Singular traces: Theory and applications*, De Gruyter studies in Mathematical Physics 46 (2012).
- [25] L. Maligranda, *The K -functional for symmetric spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1070 (1984), 169–182.
- [26] L. Maligranda, V. Ovchinnikov, *On interpolation between $L_1 + L_\infty$ and $L_1 \cap L_\infty$* , J. Funct. Anal. 107 (1992), 342–351.

- [27] L. Maligranda, L. Persson, *The E -functional for some pairs of groups*, Results in Math. 20 (1991), 538–553.
- [28] B. Mitjagin, *An interpolation theorem for modular spaces*, Mat. Sb. 66 (108) (1965), 473–482.
- [29] M. Muratov, V. Chilin, *Algebras of measurable and locally measurable operators*, Kyiv, Pratsi In-ty matematiki NAN Ukraini 69 (2007) (in Russian).
- [30] E. Nelson, *Notes on non-commutative integration*, J. Funct. Anal. 15 (1974), 103–116.
- [31] S. Rotfel'd, *Analogues of the interpolation theorems of Mitjagin and Semenov for operators in non-normed symmetric spaces*, Problems of mathematical analysis, Izdat. Leningrad. Univ., Leningrad, 1973.
- [32] I. Segal, *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Ann. Math. 57 (1953), 401–457.
- [33] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 120. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [34] F. Sukochev, *Completeness of quasi-normed symmetric operator spaces*, Indag. Math. 25 (2014), 376–388.
- [35] F. Sukochev, V. Chilin, *Symmetric spaces over semifinite von Neumann algebras*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 313 (1990), no. 4, 811–815 (Russian). English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. 42 (1991), 97–101.
- [36] F. Sukochev, K. Tulenov, D. Zanin, *Nehari-type Theorem for non-commutative Hardy spaces*, J. Geom. Anal 27 (2017), 1789–1802.
- [37] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [38] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2003.

(Jinghao Huang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, KENSINGTON, 2052, NSW, AUSTRALIA *E-mail* : jinghao.huang@unsw.edu.au

(Fedor Sukochev) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, KENSINGTON, 2052, NSW, AUSTRALIA *E-mail* : f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au