

A Note on Disk Counting in Toric Orbifolds

Kwokwai CHAN ^{†1}, Cheol-Hyun CHO ^{†2}, Siu-Cheong LAU ^{†3}, Naichung Conan LEUNG ^{†4}
and Hsian-Hua TSENG ^{†5}

^{†1} *Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong*
E-mail: kwchan@math.cuhk.edu.hk

^{†2} *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Research Institute in Mathematics,
Seoul National University, Gwanak-Gu, Seoul, South Korea*
E-mail: chocheol@snu.ac.kr

^{†3} *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA*
E-mail: lau@math.bu.edu

^{†4} *The Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics,
The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong*
E-mail: leung@math.cuhk.edu.hk

^{†5} *Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, 100 Math Tower,
231 West 18th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210, USA*
E-mail: hhtseng@math.ohio-state.edu

Received January 24, 2020, in final form June 11, 2020; Published online June 17, 2020
<https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2020.055>

Abstract. We compute orbi-disk invariants of compact Gorenstein semi-Fano toric orbifolds by extending the method used for toric Calabi–Yau orbifolds. As a consequence the orbi-disc potential is analytic over complex numbers.

Key words: orbifold; toric; open Gromov–Witten invariants; mirror symmetry; SYZ

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53D37; 14J33

1 Introduction

The mirror map plays a central role in the study of mirror symmetry. It provides a canonical local isomorphism between the Kähler moduli and the complex moduli of the mirror near a large complex structure limit. Such an isomorphism is crucial to counting of rational curves using mirror symmetry.

The mirror map is a transformation from the complex coordinates of the Hori–Vafa mirror moduli to the canonical coordinates obtained from period integrals. In [4] and [5], we derived an enumerative meaning of the inverse mirror maps for toric Calabi–Yau orbifolds and compact semi-Fano toric manifolds in terms of genus 0 open (orbifold) Gromov–Witten invariants (or (orbi-)disk invariants). Namely, we showed that coefficients of the inverse mirror map are equal to generating functions of virtual counts of stable (orbi-)disks bounded by a regular Lagrangian moment map fiber. In particular it gives a way to effectively compute all such invariants.

It is interesting to compare this with the mirror family constructed by Gross–Siebert [19], which is written in canonical coordinates [24]. In [19, Conjecture 0.2], it was conjectured that the wall-crossing functions in their construction are generating functions of open Gromov–Witten invariants. Our results verify this conjecture in the toric setting, namely, we showed that the SYZ mirror family [25], constructed using open Gromov–Witten invariants, is written in canonical coordinates.

In this short note we extend our method in [4] to derive an explicit formula for the orbifold invariants in the case of compact Gorenstein semi-Fano toric orbifolds; see Theorem 3.6 for the explicit formulas. This proves [3, Conjecture] for such orbifolds, generalizing [5, Theorem 1.2]:

Theorem 1.1 (open mirror theorem). *For a compact Gorenstein semi-Fano toric orbifold, the orbifold potential is equal to the (extended) Hori–Vafa superpotential via the mirror map.*

See (3.6) for the definition of the orbifold potential. We remark that the open crepant resolution conjecture [3, Conjecture 1] may be studied using this computation and techniques of analytical continuation in [4, Appendix A].

Corollary 1.2. *There exists an open neighborhood around the large volume limit where the orbifold potential converges.*

This generalizes [5, Theorem 7.6] to the orbifold case.

2 Preparation

2.1 Toric orbifolds

2.1.1 Construction

Following [2], a *stacky fan* is the combinatorial data $(\Sigma, \mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1})$, where Σ is a simplicial fan contained in the \mathbb{R} -vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, N is a lattice of rank n , and $\{\mathbf{b}_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq m-1\} \subset N$ are generators of 1-dimensional cones of Σ . \mathbf{b}_i are called the *stacky vectors*.

Choose $\mathbf{b}_m, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m'-1} \in N$ so that they are contained in the support of the fan Σ and they generate N over \mathbb{Z} . An *extended stacky fan* in the sense of [22] is the data

$$(\Sigma, \{\mathbf{b}_i\}_{i=0}^{m-1} \cup \{\mathbf{b}_j\}_{j=m}^{m'-1}). \quad (2.1)$$

The vectors $\{\mathbf{b}_j\}_{j=m}^{m'-1}$ are called *extra vectors*.

The *fan map* associated to an extended stacky fan (2.1) is defined by

$$\phi: \tilde{N} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m'-1} \mathbb{Z}e_i \rightarrow N, \quad \phi(e_i) := \mathbf{b}_i \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, m'-1.$$

ϕ is surjective and yields an exact sequence of groups called the *fan sequence*:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L} := \text{Ker}(\phi) \xrightarrow{\psi} \tilde{N} \xrightarrow{\phi} N \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Clearly $\mathbb{L} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{m'-n}$. Tensoring (2.2) with \mathbb{C}^\times yields the following sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow G := \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \tilde{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^\times \simeq (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m'} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathbb{C}^\times}} \mathbb{T} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.3)$$

which is exact. Note that G is an algebraic torus.

By definition, the set of *anti-cones* is

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ I \subset \{0, 1, \dots, m'-1\} \mid \sum_{i \notin I} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{b}_i \text{ is a cone in } \Sigma \right\}.$$

This terminology is justified because for $I \in \mathcal{A}$, the complement of I in $\{0, 1, \dots, m'-1\}$ indexes generators of a cone in Σ . For $I \in \mathcal{A}$, the collection $\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$ generates an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[Z_0, \dots, Z_{m'-1}]$, which in turn determines a subvariety $\mathbb{C}^I \subset \mathbb{C}^{m'}$. Set

$$U_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{C}^{m'} \setminus \bigcup_{I \notin \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}^I.$$

The map $G \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m'}$ in (2.3) defines a G -action on $\mathbb{C}^{m'}$ and hence a G -action on $U_{\mathcal{A}}$. This action is effective and has finite stabilizers, because N is torsion-free (see [22, Section 2]). The *toric orbifold* associated to $(\Sigma, \{\mathbf{b}_i\}_{i=0}^{m-1} \cup \{\mathbf{b}_j\}_{j=m}^{m'-1})$ is defined to be the following quotient stack:

$$\mathcal{X}_\Sigma := [U_{\mathcal{A}}/G].$$

The standard $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m'}$ -action on $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ induces a \mathbb{T} -action on \mathcal{X}_Σ via (2.3).

The coarse moduli space of the toric orbifold \mathcal{X}_Σ is the toric variety X_Σ associated to the fan Σ . In this paper we assume that X_Σ is *semi-projective*, i.e., X_Σ has a \mathbb{T} -fixed point and the natural map $X_\Sigma \rightarrow \text{Spec } H^0(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma})$ is projective, or equivalently, X_Σ arises as a GIT quotient of a complex vector space by an abelian group (see [20, Section 2]). This assumption is required for the toric mirror theorem of [11] to hold. More detailed discussions on semi-projective toric varieties can be found in [12, Section 7.2].

2.1.2 Twisted sectors

Consider a d -dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ generated by $\mathbf{b}_\sigma = (\mathbf{b}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{i_d})$. Define

$$\text{Box}_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma} := \left\{ \nu \in N \mid \nu = \sum_{k=1}^d t_k \mathbf{b}_{i_k}, t_k \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

$\{\mathbf{b}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{i_d}\}$ generates a submodule $N_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma} \subset N$. One can check that there is a bijection between $\text{Box}_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma}$ and the finite group $G_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma} := (N \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{b}_\sigma) / N_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma}$. Furthermore, if τ is a subcone of σ , then $\text{Box}_{\mathbf{b}_\tau} \subset \text{Box}_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Box}_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma}^\circ &:= \text{Box}_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma} \setminus \bigcup_{\tau \not\leq \sigma} \text{Box}_{\mathbf{b}_\tau}, & \text{Box}(\Sigma) &:= \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(n)}} \text{Box}_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma} = \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \text{Box}_{\mathbf{b}_\sigma}^\circ, \\ \text{Box}'(\Sigma) &= \text{Box}(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma^{(n)}$ is the set of n -dimensional cones in Σ .

Following the description of the inertia orbifold of \mathcal{X}_Σ in [2], for $\nu \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)$, we denote by \mathcal{X}_ν the corresponding component of the inertia orbifold of $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_\Sigma$. Note that $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}_\Sigma$ as orbifolds. Elements $\nu \in \text{Box}'(\Sigma)$ correspond to *twisted sectors* of \mathcal{X} , namely non-trivial connected components of the inertia orbifold of \mathcal{X} .

Following [7], the direct sum of singular cohomology groups of components of the inertia orbifold of \mathcal{X} , subject to a degree shift, is called the *Chen–Ruan orbifold cohomology* $H_{\text{CR}}^*(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ of \mathcal{X} . More precisely,

$$H_{\text{CR}}^d(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{Box}} H^{d-2\text{age}(\nu)}(\mathcal{X}_\nu; \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $\text{age}(\nu)$ is called the *degree shifting number*¹ in [7] of the twisted sector \mathcal{X}_ν . In case of toric orbifolds, age has a combinatorial description [2]: if $\nu = \sum_{k=1}^d t_k \mathbf{b}_{i_k} \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)$ where $\{\mathbf{b}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{i_d}\}$ generates a cone in Σ , then

$$\text{age}(\nu) = \sum_{k=1}^d t_k \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}.$$

¹Following Miles Reid, it is now more commonly called *age*.

Using \mathbb{T} -actions on twisted sectors induced from that on \mathcal{X} , we can define \mathbb{T} -equivariant Chen–Ruan orbifold cohomology $H_{\text{CR},\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ by replacing singular cohomology with \mathbb{T} -equivariant cohomology $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(-)$. Namely

$$H_{\text{CR},\mathbb{T}}^d(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{Box}} H_{\mathbb{T}}^{d-2 \text{age}(\nu)}(\mathcal{X}_\nu; \mathbb{Q}).$$

By general properties of equivariant cohomology, $H_{\text{CR},\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ is a module over $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}, \mathbb{Q})$ and admits a map $H_{\text{CR},\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{\text{CR}}^*(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ called *non-equivariant limit*.

2.1.3 Toric divisors, Kähler cones, and Mori cones

We continue using the notations in Sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.2. Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Z})$ to the fan sequence (2.2), we obtain the following exact sequence:²

$$0 \longrightarrow M := N^\vee = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\phi^\vee} \widetilde{M} := \widetilde{N}^\vee = \text{Hom}(\widetilde{N}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\psi^\vee} \mathbb{L}^\vee = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is called the *divisor sequence*. Line bundles on $\mathcal{X} = [\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}/G]$ correspond to G -equivariant line bundles on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$. In view of (2.3), \mathbb{T} -equivariant line bundles on \mathcal{X} correspond to $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m'}$ -equivariant line bundles on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Because the codimension of $\cup_{I \notin \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}^I \subset \mathbb{C}^{m'}$ is at least 2, the Picard groups satisfy:

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times) \simeq \mathbb{L}^\vee, \quad \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Hom}((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m'}, \mathbb{C}^\times) \simeq \widetilde{N}^\vee = \widetilde{M}.$$

The natural map $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$ is identified with the map $\psi^\vee: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee$ appearing in the divisor sequence.

The elements $\{e_i^\vee \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, m' - 1\} \subset \widetilde{M} \simeq \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X})$ dual to $\{e_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, m' - 1\} \subset \widetilde{N}$ correspond to \mathbb{T} -equivariant line bundle on \mathcal{X} which we denote by $D_i^{\mathbb{T}}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m' - 1$. The collection

$$\{D_i := \psi^\vee(e_i^\vee) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m' - 1\} \subset \mathbb{L}^\vee \simeq \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$$

consists of toric prime divisors corresponding to the generators $\{\mathbf{b}_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq m' - 1\}$ of 1-dimensional cones in Σ . Elements $D_i^{\mathbb{T}}$, $0 \leq i \leq m' - 1$ are \mathbb{T} -equivariant lifts of these divisors. There are natural maps

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{M} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\psi^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \\ & (\widetilde{M} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) / \left(\sum_{j=m}^{m'-1} \mathbb{Q} D_j^{\mathbb{T}} \right) \simeq H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q}) / \left(\sum_{j=m}^{m'-1} \mathbb{Q} D_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Together with the natural quotient maps, they fit into a commutative diagram.

As explained in [21, Section 3.1.2], there is a canonical splitting of the quotient map $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$. For $m \leq j \leq m' - 1$, let $I_j \in \mathcal{A}$ be the anticone of the cone containing \mathbf{b}_j . This allows us to write $\mathbf{b}_j = \sum_{i \notin I_j} c_{ji} \mathbf{b}_i$ for $c_{ji} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

Tensoring the fan sequence (2.2) with \mathbb{Q} , we may find a unique $D_j^\vee \in \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that values of the natural pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ between \mathbb{L}^\vee and \mathbb{L} satisfy

$$\langle D_i, D_j^\vee \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ -c_{ji} & \text{if } i \notin I_j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I_j \setminus \{j\}. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

²The map $\psi^\vee: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee$ is surjective since N is torsion-free.

Using D_j^\vee we get a decomposition

$$\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \text{Ker} \left((D_m^\vee, \dots, D_{m'-1}^\vee) : \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{m'-m} \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=m}^{m'-1} \mathbb{Q} D_j. \quad (2.5)$$

We can view $H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ because $\text{Ker} \left((D_m^\vee, \dots, D_{m'-1}^\vee) \right)$ can be identified with $H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ via the map $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Define *extended Kähler cone* of \mathcal{X} to be

$$\tilde{C}_\mathcal{X} := \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_{>0} D_i \right) \subset \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

The Kähler cone $C_\mathcal{X}$ is the image of $\tilde{C}_\mathcal{X}$ under $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{R})$. The splitting (2.5) of $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ yields a splitting $\tilde{C}_\mathcal{X} = C_\mathcal{X} + \sum_{j=m}^{m'-1} \mathbb{R}_{>0} D_j$.

By (2.2), \mathbb{L}^\vee has rank equal to $r := m' - n$. The rank of $H_2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ is $r' := r - (m' - m) = m - n$. We choose an integral basis

$$\{p_1, \dots, p_r\} \subset \mathbb{L}^\vee,$$

such that p_a is in the closure of $\tilde{C}_\mathcal{X}$ for all a and $p_{r'+1}, \dots, p_r \in \sum_{i=m}^{m'-1} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} D_i$. We get a nef basis $\{\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_{r'}\}$ for $H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ as images of $\{p_1, \dots, p_{r'}\}$ under the quotient map $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$. For $r' + 1 \leq a \leq r$, the images satisfies $\bar{p}_a = 0$.

We choose equivariant lifts of p_a 's, namely $\{p_1^\mathbb{T}, \dots, p_r^\mathbb{T}\} \subset \tilde{M} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\psi^\vee(p_a^\mathbb{T}) = p_a$ for all a . We also require that for $a = r' + 1, \dots, r$ the images $\bar{p}_a^\mathbb{T}$ of $p_a^\mathbb{T}$ under the natural map $\tilde{M} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q})$ satisfies $\bar{p}_a^\mathbb{T} = 0$.

The coefficients $Q_{ia} \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the equations $D_i = \sum_{a=1}^r Q_{ia} p_a$ assemble to a matrix (Q_{ia}) . The images³ \bar{D}_i of D_i under the map $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ can be expressed as

$$\bar{D}_i = \sum_{a=1}^{r'} Q_{ia} \bar{p}_a, \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Their equivariant lifts $\bar{D}_i^\mathbb{T}$ can be expressed as

$$\bar{D}_i^\mathbb{T} = \sum_{a=1}^{r'} Q_{ia} \bar{p}_a^\mathbb{T} + \lambda_i, \quad \text{where } \lambda_i \in H^2(B\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{Q}).$$

For $i = m, \dots, m' - 1$, we have $\bar{D}_i = 0$ in $H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{R})$ and $\bar{D}_i^\mathbb{T} = 0$.

Localization gives the following description of $H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^{\leq 2}$:

$$H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^0(\mathcal{X}, K_{\mathbb{T}}) = K_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbf{1}, \quad H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^2(\mathcal{X}, K_{\mathbb{T}}) = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{r'} K_{\mathbb{T}} \bar{p}_a^\mathbb{T} \oplus \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{Box}, \text{age}(\nu)=1} K_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbf{1}_\nu.$$

Here $K_{\mathbb{T}}$ is the field of fractions of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}, \mathbb{Q})$, $\mathbf{1} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathbf{1}_\nu \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_\nu, \mathbb{Q})$ are fundamental classes.

³ \bar{D}_i is the class of the toric prime divisor D_i .

Let

$$\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\} \subset \mathbb{L}, \quad \gamma_a = \sum_{i=0}^{m'-1} Q_{ia} e_i \in \tilde{N},$$

be the basis dual to $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\} \subset \mathbb{L}^\vee$. $H_2^{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ admits a basis $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{r'}\}$, and we have $Q_{ia} = 0$ when $m \leq i \leq m' - 1$ and $1 \leq a \leq r'$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K} &:= \{d \in \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mid \{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m' - 1\} \mid \langle D_j, d \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\} \in \mathcal{A}\}, \\ \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}} &:= \{d \in \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mid \{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m' - 1\} \mid \langle D_j, d \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \in \mathcal{A}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Elements of \mathbb{K}_{eff} should be interpreted as effective curve classes. Elements of $\mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}} \cap H_2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{R})$ should be viewed as classes of stable maps $\mathbb{P}(1, m) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. See, e.g., [21, Section 3.1] for more details.

Definition 2.1. A toric orbifold \mathcal{X} is called *semi-Fano* if $c_1(\mathcal{X}) \cdot \alpha > 0$ for every effective curve class α , in other words, $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is nef.

For $d \in \mathbb{K}$, put⁴

$$\nu(d) := \sum_{i=0}^{m'-1} [\langle D_i, d \rangle] \mathbf{b}_i \in N,$$

and let $I_d := \{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m' - 1\} \mid \langle D_j, d \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\nu(d) \in \text{Box}$ because

$$\nu(d) = \sum_{i=0}^{m'-1} (\{-\langle D_i, d \rangle\} + \langle D_i, d \rangle) \mathbf{b}_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m'-1} \{-\langle D_i, d \rangle\} \mathbf{b}_i = \sum_{i \notin I_d} \{-\langle D_i, d \rangle\} \mathbf{b}_i.$$

2.2 Genus 0 open orbifold GW invariants according to [9]

Let (\mathcal{X}, ω) be a toric Kähler orbifold of complex dimension n , equipped with the standard toric complex structure J_0 and a toric Kähler structure ω . Denote by (Σ, \mathbf{b}) the stacky fan that defines \mathcal{X} , where $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1})$ and $\mathbf{b}_i = c_i v_i$.

Let $L \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a Lagrangian torus fiber of the moment map $\mu_0: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, and let $\beta \in \pi_2(\mathcal{X}, L) = H_2(\mathcal{X}, L; \mathbb{Z})$ be a relative homotopy class.

2.2.1 Holomorphic orbi-disks and their moduli spaces

A *holomorphic orbi-disk* in \mathcal{X} with boundary in L is a continuous map

$$w: (\mathbb{D}, \partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, L)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

1. $(\mathbb{D}, z_1^+, \dots, z_l^+)$ is an *orbi-disk* with interior marked points z_1^+, \dots, z_l^+ . More precisely \mathbb{D} is analytically the disk $D^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ so that for $j = 1, \dots, l$, the orbifold structure at z_j^+ is given by a disk neighborhood of z_j^+ uniformized by the branched covering map $\text{br}: z \rightarrow z^{m_j}$ for some $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. (If $m_j = 1$, z_j^+ is not an orbifold point.)

⁴For a real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\lceil \lambda \rceil$, $\lfloor \lambda \rfloor$ and $\{\lambda\}$ denote the ceiling, floor and fractional part of λ respectively.

2. For any $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, there is a disk neighborhood of z_0 with a branched covering map $\text{br}: z \rightarrow z^m$, and there is a local chart $(V_{w(z_0)}, G_{w(z_0)}, \pi_{w(z_0)})$ of \mathcal{X} at $w(z_0)$ and a local holomorphic lifting \tilde{w}_{z_0} of w satisfying $w \circ \text{br} = \pi_{w(z_0)} \circ \tilde{w}_{z_0}$.
3. The map w is *good* (in the sense of Chen–Ruan [6]) and *representable*. In particular, for each z_j^+ , the associated group homomorphism

$$h_p: \mathbb{Z}_{m_j} \rightarrow G_{w(z_j^+)}$$

between local groups which makes $\tilde{w}_{z_j^+}$ equivariant, is *injective*.

The *type* of a map w as above is defined to be $\mathbf{x} := (\mathcal{X}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{\nu_l})$. Here $\nu_j \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)$ is the image of the generator $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_j}$ under h_j .

There are two notions of Maslov index for an orbi-disk. The *desingularized Maslov index* μ^{de} is defined by desingularizing the interior singularities of the pull-back bundle $w^*T\mathcal{X}$. Namely, the bundle $w^*T\mathcal{X}$ over an orbi-disk $(\mathbb{D}, z_1^+, \dots, z_l^+)$ cannot be trivialized due to the orbifold structure, but we can obtain another bundle $|w^*T\mathcal{X}|$ by modifying the bundle near orbifold points (see Chen–Ruan [6] for more details). This is called a desingularization of $w^*T\mathcal{X}$ and it is a smooth bundle over the orbi-disk, hence is a trivial bundle. We can compute the Maslov index of the boundary Lagrangian loop relative to this trivialization, and it is called the desingularized Maslov index. See [9, Section 3] for more details and [9, Section 5] for an explicit formula in the toric case.

The *Chern–Weil (CW) Maslov index* μ_{CW} is defined as the integral of the curvature of a unitary connection on $w^*T\mathcal{X}$ which preserves the Lagrangian boundary condition, see [10] (and also [9, Section 3.3] for a relation with μ^{de}). The following lemma, which appeared as [4, Lemma 3.1], computes the CW Maslov indices of disks. This is an orbifold version of the formula in [1, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 2.2. *Let (\mathcal{X}, ω, J) be a Kähler orbifold of complex dimension n . Let Ω be a non-zero meromorphic n -form on \mathcal{X} which has at worst simple poles. Let $D \subset \mathcal{X}$ be the pole divisor of Ω . Suppose also that the generic points of D are smooth. Then for a special Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset \mathcal{X} \setminus D$, the CW Maslov index of a class $\beta \in \pi_2(\mathcal{X}, L)$ is given by*

$$\mu_{\text{CW}}(\beta) = 2\beta \cdot D.$$

Here, $\beta \cdot D$ is defined by writing β as a fractional linear combination of homotopy classes of smooth disks.

The classification of orbi-disks in a symplectic toric orbifold has been worked out in [9, Theorem 6.2]. It is similar to the classification of holomorphic discs in toric manifolds [8]. In the classification, the *basic disks* corresponding to the stacky vectors (and twisted sectors) play a basic role.

Theorem 2.3 ([9, Corollaries 6.3 and 6.4]). *Let \mathcal{X} be a toric Kähler orbifold and let L be a fiber of the toric moment map.*

1. *The smooth holomorphic disks of Maslov index 2 (modulo \mathbb{T}^n -action and reparametrizations of the domain) are in bijective correspondence with the stacky vectors $\{\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1}\}$. Denote the homotopy classes of these disks by $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{m-1}$.*
2. *The holomorphic orbi-disks with one interior orbifold marked point and desingularized Maslov index 0 (modulo \mathbb{T}^n -action and reparametrizations of the domain) are in bijective correspondence with the twisted sectors $\nu \in \text{Box}'(\Sigma)$ of the toric orbifold \mathcal{X} . Denote the homotopy classes of these orbi-disks by β_ν .*

Lemma 2.4 ([9, Lemma 9.1]). *For \mathcal{X} and L as above, the relative homotopy group $\pi_2(\mathcal{X}, L)$ is generated by the classes β_i for $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ together with β_ν for $\nu \in \text{Box}'(\Sigma)$.*

As in [9], these generators of $\pi_2(\mathcal{X}, L)$ are called *basic disk classes*. They are the analogue of Maslov index 2 disk classes in toric manifolds.

Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}^{\text{op,main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})$$

be the moduli space of good representable stable maps from bordered orbifold Riemann surfaces of genus zero with $k+1$ boundary marked points z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k and l interior (orbifold) marked points z_1^+, \dots, z_l^+ in the homotopy class β of type $\mathbf{x} = (\mathcal{X}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{\nu_l})$. The superscript “*main*” is meant to indicate the connected component on which the boundary marked points respect the cyclic order of $S^1 = \partial D^2$. According to [9, Lemma 2.5], $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}^{\text{op,main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})$ has real virtual dimension

$$n + \mu_{\text{CW}}(\beta) + k + 1 + 2l - 3 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^l \text{age}(\nu_j).$$

By [9, Proposition 9.4], if $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}^{\text{op,main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta)$ is non-empty and if $\partial\beta$ is not in the sublattice generated by $\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1}$, then there exist $\nu \in \text{Box}'(\Sigma)$, $k_0, \dots, k_{m-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in H_2^{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\beta = \beta_\nu + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i \beta_i + \alpha$, where α is realized by a union of holomorphic (orbi-)spheres. The

CW Maslov index of β written in this way is given by $\mu_{\text{CW}}(\beta) = 2 \text{age}(\nu) + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i + 2c_1(\mathcal{X}) \cdot \alpha$.

2.2.2 Orbi-disk invariants

Pick twisted sectors $\mathcal{X}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{\nu_l}$ of the toric orbifold \mathcal{X} . Consider the moduli space

$$\mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op,main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})$$

of good representable stable maps from bordered orbifold Riemann surfaces of genus zero with one boundary marked point and l interior orbifold marked points of type $\mathbf{x} = (\mathcal{X}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{\nu_l})$ representing the class $\beta \in \pi_2(\mathcal{X}, L)$. According to [9], $\mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op,main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})$ can be equipped with a virtual fundamental chain, which has an expected dimension n if the following equality holds:

$$\mu_{\text{CW}}(\beta) = 2 + \sum_{j=1}^l (2 \cdot \text{age}(\nu_j) - 2). \quad (2.6)$$

Throughout the paper, we make the following assumptions.

Assumption 2.5. *We assume that the toric orbifold \mathcal{X} is semi-Fano (see Definition 2.1) and Gorenstein.⁵ Moreover, we assume that the type \mathbf{x} consists of twisted sectors with $\text{age} \leq 1$.⁶*

Then the age of every twisted sector of \mathcal{X} is a non-negative integer. Since a basic orbi-disk class β_ν has Maslov index $2 \text{age}(\nu)$, we see that every non-constant stable disk class has at least Maslov index 2.

⁵This means that $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is Cartier.

⁶This assumption does not impose any restriction in the construction of the SYZ mirror over $H_{\text{CR}}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{X})$. We do not discuss mirror construction in this paper.

Moreover, the virtual fundamental chain $[\mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op},\text{main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})]^{\text{vir}}$ has expected dimension n when $\mu_{\text{CW}}(\beta) = 2$, and in fact we get a virtual fundamental *cycle* because β attains the minimal Maslov index, thus preventing disk bubbling to occur. Therefore the following definition of *genus 0 open orbifold GW invariants* (also known as *orbi-disk invariants*) is independent of the choice of perturbations of the Kuranishi structures:⁷

Definition 2.6 (orbi-disk invariants). Let $\beta \in \pi_2(\mathcal{X}, L)$ be a relative homotopy class with Maslov index given by (2.6). Suppose that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op},\text{main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})$ has a virtual fundamental cycle of dimension n . Then we define

$$n_{1,l,\beta}^{\mathcal{X}}([\text{pt}]_L; \mathbf{1}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{\nu_l}) := \text{ev}_{0*}([\mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op},\text{main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})]^{\text{vir}}) \in H_n(L; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q},$$

where $\text{ev}_0: \mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op},\text{main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow L$ is the evaluation map at the boundary marked point, $[\text{pt}]_L \in H^n(L; \mathbb{Q})$ is the point class of L , and $\mathbf{1}_{\nu_j} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{\nu_j}; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_{\text{CR}}^{2 \text{age}(\nu_j)}(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ is the fundamental class of the twisted sector \mathcal{X}_{ν_j} .

Remark 2.7. The Kuranishi structures in this paper are the same as those defined in [14, 15], incorporating the works [6, 7] for the interior orbifold marked points. This has been explained in [9, Section 10]. We also refer the readers to [13, Appendix] and [16] for the detailed construction, and to [23] (and its forthcoming sequels) for a different approach.

The moduli spaces considered here are in fact much simpler than those in [14, 15] (and [13]) because we only need to consider stable disks with just one disk component which is minimal, and hence disk bubbling does not occur. In particular, we do not have codimension-one boundary components, and hence the above definition is independent of choices of Kuranishi perturbations.

For a basic (orbi-)disk with at most one interior orbifold marked point, the corresponding moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}^{\text{op},\text{main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta_i)$ (or $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}^{\text{op},\text{main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta_\nu, \nu)$ when β_ν is a basic orbi-disk class) is regular and can be identified with L . Thus the associated invariants are evaluated as follows [9]:

1. For $\nu \in \text{Box}'$, we have $n_{1,1,\beta_\nu}^{\mathcal{X}}([\text{pt}]_L; \mathbf{1}_\nu) = 1$.
2. For $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$, we have $n_{1,0,\beta_i}^{\mathcal{X}}([\text{pt}]_L) = 1$.

When there are more interior orbifold marked points or when the disk class is not basic, the corresponding moduli space is in general non-regular and virtual theory is involved in the definition, making the invariant much more difficult to compute.

3 Geometric constructions

Let $\beta \in \pi_2(\mathcal{X}, L)$ be a disk class with $\mu_{\text{CW}}(\beta) = 2$. By the discussion in Section 2.2, we can write

$$\beta = \beta_{\mathbf{d}} + \alpha$$

with $\alpha \in H_2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$, $c_1(\mathcal{X}) \cdot \alpha = 0$ and either $\beta_{\mathbf{d}} \in \{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{m-1}\}$ or $\beta_{\mathbf{d}} \in \text{Box}'(\mathcal{X})$ is of age 1. Denote by $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}} \in N$ the element corresponding to $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$.

Recall that the fan polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the convex hull of the vectors $\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1}$. Note that $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathcal{P}$. Denote by $F(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}})$ the minimal face of the fan polytope \mathcal{P} that contains the vector $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let F be a facet of \mathcal{P} that contains $F(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}})$. Let $\Sigma_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}} \subset \Sigma$ be the minimal convex subfan containing all $\{\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1}\} \cap F$. The vectors

$$\{\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1}\} \cap \sum_{\mathbf{b}_j \in \{\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1}\} \cap F} \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{b}_j \quad (3.1)$$

⁷In the general case one may restrict to torus-equivariant perturbations, as did in [14, 15, 17].

determine a fan map $\mathbb{Z}^p \rightarrow N$ (where p is the number of vectors above). Let

$$\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}} \subset \mathcal{X}$$

be the associated toric suborbifold (of the same dimension n).

Lemma 3.1. $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ is a toric Calabi–Yau orbifold.

Proof. All the generators in (3.1) lie in the hyperplane containing F . Since \mathcal{X} is Gorenstein, this hyperplane has a defining equation $\nu = 0$ for some primitive vector $\nu \in M$. Hence $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ is toric Calabi–Yau. \blacksquare

Example 3.2. Consider $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$, whose fan is shown in the left of Fig. 1. If $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$ corresponds to the vector $(1, 0)$ (which is marked as ‘113’ in the figure), then $\Sigma_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ is the cone spanned by $v_2 = (2, -1)$ and $v_3 = (-1, 2)$. If $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$ corresponds to the vector v_3 , then $\Sigma_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ can be taken to be the cone spanned by v_2, v_3 , or the cone spanned by v_1, v_3 . In both cases, the corresponding toric Calabi–Yau orbifold is $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$.

Note that $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ depends on the choice of the face F , not just $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$. We use $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ to compute open Gromov–Witten invariants of \mathcal{X} in class $\beta = \beta_{\mathbf{d}} + \alpha$.

In what follows we show that $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ contains all stable orbi-disks of \mathcal{X} of class β . First, we have the following analogue of [5, Proposition 5.6].

Lemma 3.3. Let $f: \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a stable orbi-disk map in the class $\beta = \beta_{\mathbf{d}} + \alpha$, where \mathcal{D} is a (possibly orbifold) disk and \mathcal{C} is a (possibly orbifold) rational curve such that $f_*[\mathcal{D}] = \beta_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $f_*[\mathcal{C}] = \alpha$ with $c_1(\alpha) = 0$. Then we have

$$f(\mathcal{C}) \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{b}_j \in F(\mathbf{b}_d)} D_j,$$

and $[f(\mathcal{C})] \cdot D_j = 0$ whenever $\mathbf{b}_j \notin F(\mathbf{b}_d)$.

Proof. Since $c_1(\alpha) = 0$, $f(\mathcal{C})$ should lie in toric divisors of \mathcal{X} . Recall that $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$ achieves the minimal Maslov index 2, and hence there is no disc bubbling.

Suppose $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$ is a smooth disk class. Then each sphere component \mathcal{C}_0 meeting the disk component \mathcal{D} maps into the divisor $D_{\mathbf{d}}$ and it should have non-negative intersection with other toric divisors. By [18, Lemma 4.5] which easily extends to the simplicial setting, we have the desired statement for $f(\mathcal{C}_0)$.

If $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$ is an orbi-disk class, then we can write the corresponding $\mathbf{b}_d \in N$ as $\mathbf{b}_d = \sum_{\mathbf{b}_i \in \sigma} c_i \mathbf{b}_i$, with $\sum_i c_i = 1, c_i \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. For a sphere component \mathcal{C}_0 meeting the disk component \mathcal{D} , we have $f(\mathcal{C}_0) \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{b}_i \in \sigma} D_i$ and each $\mathbf{b}_i \in \sigma$ satisfies $\mathbf{b}_i \in F(\mathbf{b}_d)$. Hence $f(\mathcal{C}_0) \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{b}_i \in F(\mathbf{b}_d)} D_i$ and $f(\mathcal{C}_0) \cdot D_j = 0$ for $\mathbf{b}_j \notin F(\mathbf{b}_d)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a sphere component meeting \mathcal{C}_0 , then we have $f(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset F(\mathbf{b}_j)$ for some $\mathbf{b}_j \in F(\mathbf{b}_d)$ by the intersection condition. Now, we can follow the proof of [5, Proposition 5.6] shows that $f(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{b}_i \in F(\mathbf{b}_d)} D_i$. The result follows by repeating this argument for one sphere component at a time. \blacksquare

Partition $\{\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1}\} \cap F(\mathbf{b}_d)$ into the disjoint union of two subsets,

$$\{\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m-1}\} \cap F(\mathbf{b}_d) = F(\mathbf{b}_d)^c \coprod F(\mathbf{b}_d)^{nc},$$

where $\mathbf{b}_i \in F(\mathbf{b}_d)^c$ if $D_i \subset \mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ and $\mathbf{b}_i \in F(\mathbf{b}_d)^{nc}$ if $D_i \not\subset \mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f: \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Then we have $f(\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$.*

Proof. Certainly $f(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$. We claim that

$$f(\mathcal{C}) \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{b}_j \in F(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}})^c} D_j, \quad (3.2)$$

from which the lemma follows.

To see (3.2), we write $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_c \cup \mathcal{C}_{nc}$ where \mathcal{C}_c consists of components of \mathcal{C} which lie in $\bigcup_{\mathbf{b}_j \in F(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}})^c} D_j$, and \mathcal{C}_{nc} consists of the remaining components. Set $A := f_*[\mathcal{C}_c]$ and $B := f_*[\mathcal{C}_{nc}]$. Then $\alpha = A + B$. Since $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is nef and $-K_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \alpha = 0$, we have $-K_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot A = 0 = -K_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot B$. Write $B = \sum_k c_k B_k$ as an effective linear combination of the classes B_k of irreducible 1-dimensional torus-invariant orbits in \mathcal{X} . Again because $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is nef, we have $-K_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot B_k = 0$ for all k . Each B_k corresponds to an $(n-1)$ -dimensional cone $\sigma_k \in \Sigma$. In the expression $B = \sum_k c_k B_k$, there is at least one (non-zero) B_k which is not contained in $\bigcup_{\mathbf{b}_j \in F(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}})^c} D_j$. As a consequence, either σ_k contains a ray $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{b}_j$ with $\mathbf{b}_j \notin F(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}})$, or there exists a $\mathbf{b}_j \notin F(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}})$ such that σ_k and \mathbf{b}_j span an n -dimensional cone in Σ .

Since B_k is not contained in $\bigcup_{\mathbf{b}_j \in F(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}})^c} D_j$, we see that if $\mathbf{b}_i \in F(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{d}})^c$ then $\mathbf{b}_i \notin \sigma_k$. Also, $D \cdot B_k \geq 0$ for every toric prime divisor $D \subset \mathcal{X}$ not corresponding to a ray in σ_k .

By [18, Lemma 4.5] (which easily extends to the simplicial setting), we have $D \cdot B_k = 0$ for every toric prime divisor $D \subset \mathcal{X}$ corresponding to an element in $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m\} \setminus F(\sigma_k)$, where $F(\sigma_k) \subset \mathcal{P}$ is the minimal face of \mathcal{P} containing rays in σ_k . Since the divisors $D \subset \mathcal{X}$ corresponding to $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m\} \setminus F(\sigma_k)$ span $H^2(\mathcal{X})$, we have $B_k = 0$, a contradiction. ■

Let $\mathbf{x} = (\mathcal{X}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{\nu_l})$ be an l -tuple of twisted sectors of $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$. Then Lemma 3.4 implies that the natural inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op,main}}(\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op,main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})$ is a bijection. Since $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is open, the local deformations and obstructions of stable discs in $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ and their inclusion in \mathcal{X} are isomorphic. It follows that

Proposition 3.5. *The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op,main}}(\mathcal{X}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})$ of disks in \mathcal{X} is isomorphic as Kuranishi spaces to the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{1,l}^{\text{op,main}}(\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}, L, \beta, \mathbf{x})$ of disks in $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$. Consequently*

$$n_{1,l,\beta}^{\mathcal{X}}([\text{pt}]_L; \mathbf{1}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{\nu_l}) = n_{1,l,\beta}^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}([\text{pt}]_L; \mathbf{1}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{\nu_l}).$$

Since $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ is a toric Calabi–Yau orbifold, the open Gromov–Witten invariants $n_{1,l,\beta}^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}([\text{pt}]_L; \mathbf{1}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{\nu_l})$ have been computed in [4]. By Proposition 3.5, this gives open Gromov–Witten invariants of \mathcal{X} . Explicitly they are given as follows.

Using the toric data of $\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_j^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}} &:= \{d \in \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}} \mid \nu(d) = 0, \langle D_j, d \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \text{ and} \\ &\quad \langle D_i, d \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall i \neq j\}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \\ \Omega_j^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}} &:= \{d \in \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}} \mid \nu(d) = \mathbf{b}_j \text{ and } \langle D_i, d \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \forall i\}, \quad j = m, m+1, \dots, m'-1, \\ A_j^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}(y) &:= \sum_{d \in \Omega_j^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}} y^d \frac{(-1)^{-\langle D_j, d \rangle - 1} (-\langle D_j, d \rangle - 1)!}{\prod_{i \neq j} \langle D_i, d \rangle!}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \\ A_j^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}(y) &:= \sum_{d \in \Omega_j^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}} y^d \prod_{i=0}^{m'-1} \frac{\prod_{k=\lceil \langle D_i, d \rangle \rceil}^{\infty} (\langle D_i, d \rangle - k)}{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (\langle D_i, d \rangle - k)}, \quad j = m, m+1, \dots, m'-1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log q_a &= \log y_a + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} Q_{ja} A_j^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}(y), \quad a = 1, \dots, r', \\ \tau_{\mathbf{b}_j} &= A_j^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}(y), \quad j = m, \dots, m' - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Theorem 3.6. *If $\beta_{\mathbf{d}} = \beta_{i_0}$ is a basic smooth disk class corresponding to the ray generated by \mathbf{b}_{i_0} for some $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in H_2^{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l \in \text{Box}'(\Sigma_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}})^{\text{age}=1}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^l \tau_{\nu_i}}{l!} n_{1,l,\beta_{i_0}+\alpha}^{\mathcal{X}} \left([\text{pt}]_L; \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbf{1}_{\nu_i} \right) q^\alpha \\ &= \exp(-A_{i_0}^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}(y(q, \tau))) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

via the inverse $y = y(q, \tau)$ of the toric mirror map (3.3).

If $\beta_{\mathbf{d}} = \beta_{\nu_{j_0}}$ is a basic orbifold class corresponding to $\nu_{j_0} \in \text{Box}'(\Sigma)^{\text{age}=1}$ for some $j_0 \in \{m, m+1, \dots, m'-1\}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in H_2^{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l \in \text{Box}'(\Sigma_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}})^{\text{age}=1}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^l \tau_{\nu_i}}{l!} n_{1,l,\beta_{\nu_{j_0}}+\alpha}^{\mathcal{X}} ([\text{pt}]_L; \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbf{1}_{\nu_i}) q^\alpha \\ &= y^{D_{j_0}^\vee} \exp \left(- \sum_{i \notin I_{j_0}} c_{j_0 i} A_i^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}(y(q, \tau)) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

via the inverse $y = y(q, \tau)$ of the toric mirror map (3.3), where $D_{j_0}^\vee \in \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}}$ is the class defined in (2.4), $I_{j_0} \in \mathcal{A}$ is the anticone of the minimal cone containing $\mathbf{b}_{j_0} = \nu_{j_0}$ and $c_{j_0 i} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$ are rational numbers such that $\mathbf{b}_{j_0} = \sum_{i \notin I_{j_0}} c_{j_0 i} \mathbf{b}_i$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, $n_{1,l,\beta}^{\mathcal{X}}([\text{pt}]_L; \mathbf{1}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{\nu_l}) = n_{1,l,\beta}^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}([\text{pt}]_L; \mathbf{1}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{\nu_l})$, and so the l.h.s. of (3.4) is equal to

$$\sum_{\alpha \in H_2^{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}})} \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l \in \text{Box}'(\Sigma_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}})^{\text{age}=1}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^l \tau_{\nu_i}}{l!} n_{1,l,\beta_{i_0}+\alpha}^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}} \left([\text{pt}]_L; \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbf{1}_{\nu_i} \right) q^\alpha,$$

which in turn is equal to $\exp(-A_{i_0}^{\mathcal{X}_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}}(y(q, \tau)))$ by [4, Theorem 1.4]. The deduction for (3.5) is similar. \blacksquare

To combine all the invariants into a single expression, one defines the orbifold potential

$$W = \sum_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}} \sum_{\alpha \in H_2^{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l \in \text{Box}'(\Sigma_{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}})^{\text{age}=1}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^l \tau_{\nu_i}}{l!} q^\alpha n_{1,l,\beta_{\mathbf{d}}+\alpha}^{\mathcal{X}} \left([\text{pt}]_L; \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbf{1}_{\nu_i} \right) Z^{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$ runs over all the basic smooth or orbifold classes, and $Z^{\beta_{\mathbf{d}}}$ are monomials associated to $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$. See [5, Definition 19] for more detail. The above theorem gives an explicit expression of W via the mirror map.

Example 3.7. $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ is a Gorenstein Fano toric orbifold. Its fan and polytope pictures are shown in Fig. 1. It has three toric divisors D_1, D_2, D_3 corresponding to the rays generated by $v_1 = (-1, -1), v_2 = (2, -1), v_3 = (-1, 2)$. By pairing with the dual vectors $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$, the linear equivalence relations are $2D_2 - D_3 - D_1 \sim 0$ and $2D_3 - D_2 - D_1 \sim 0$, and so $D_1 \sim D_2 \sim D_3$. It has three orbifold points corresponding to the three vertices in the polytope picture. Locally it is $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ around each orbifold point.




Figure 1. The fan and polytope picture for $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$.

Fix a Lagrangian torus fiber. $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ has nine basic orbi-disk classes corresponding to the nine lattice points on the boundary of the fan polytope. Three of them are smooth disk classes and denote them by $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$. The basic orbi-disk classes corresponding to the two lattice points $(2v_1 + v_2)/3$ and $(v_1 + 2v_2)/3$ are denoted by β_{112} and β_{122} , which pass through the twisted sectors ν_{112} and ν_{122} respectively. Then $2\beta_1 + \beta_2 - 3\beta_{112}$ (or $2\beta_2 + \beta_1 - 3\beta_{122}$) is the class of a constant orbi-sphere passing through the twisted sector ν_{112} (or ν_{122} resp.). In particular the area of β_{112} equals to $(2\beta_1 + \beta_2)/3$. Other basic orbi-disk classes have similar notations.

Theorem 3.6 provides a formula for the open GW invariants $n_{1,l,\beta_{112}}^{\mathcal{X}} \left([\text{pt}]_L; \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbf{1}_{\nu_i} \right)$ where ν_i is either ν_{112} or ν_{122} for each i . To write down the invariants more systematically, we consider the open GW potential as follows.

Let q be the Kähler parameter of the smooth sphere class $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 \in H_2(\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3)$. The basic orbi-disk classes correspond to monomials in the disk potential $q^\beta z^{\partial\beta}$, where $q^{\beta_1} = q^{\beta_2} = q^{\beta_{112}} = q^{\beta_{122}} = 1$, $q^{\beta_3} = q^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3} = q$, $q^{\beta_{223}} = q^{(2\beta_2 + \beta_3)/3} = q^{\beta_3/3} = q^{1/3}$, and similar for other basic orbi-disk classes. The Kähler parameters corresponding to the twisted sectors ν_{112}, ν_{122} are denoted as τ_{112}, τ_{122} (and similar for other twisted sectors).

By [4, Example 1, Section 6.5], the open GW potential for $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ is given by

$$w(z - \kappa_0(\tau_{112}, \tau_{122}))(z - \kappa_1(\tau_{112}, \tau_{122}))(z - \kappa_2(\tau_{112}, \tau_{122}))$$

where

$$\kappa_k(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \zeta^{2k+1} \prod_{r=1}^2 \exp\left(\frac{1}{3} \zeta^{(2k+1)r} \tau_r\right), \quad \zeta := \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}/3).$$

By Proposition 3.5, the disk invariants of $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ equal to those of $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$. Thus the open GW potential of $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ is given by

$$W = z^{-1} w^{-1} (z - \kappa_0(\tau_{112}, \tau_{122}))(z - \kappa_1(\tau_{112}, \tau_{122}))(z - \kappa_2(\tau_{112}, \tau_{122}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + z^{-1}w^{-1}(q^{1/3}w - \kappa_0(\tau_{113}, \tau_{133}))(q^{1/3}w - \kappa_1(\tau_{113}, \tau_{133}))(q^{1/3}w - \kappa_2(\tau_{113}, \tau_{133})) \\
& + z^2w^{-1}(q^{1/3}z^{-1}w - \kappa_0(\tau_{223}, \tau_{233}))(q^{1/3}z^{-1}w - \kappa_1(\tau_{223}, \tau_{233})) \\
& \times (q^{1/3}z^{-1}w - \kappa_2(\tau_{223}, \tau_{233})) - z^{-1}w^{-1} - z^2w^{-1} - qz^{-1}w^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the generating functions of open orbifold GW for β_{112} and β_{122} are given by the coefficients of w^{-1} and zw^{-1} in W respectively. The first few terms are given by the following table.

| $n_{(a,b)}$ | $a = 0$ | $a = 1$ | $a = 2$ | $a = 3$ | $a = 4$ | $a = 5$ | $a = 6$ |
|-------------|---------|----------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|
| $b = 0$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1/648 | 0 | 0 |
| $b = 1$ | 0 | 0 | -1/18 | 0 | 0 | -1/29160 | 0 |
| $b = 2$ | 1/6 | 0 | 0 | 1/972 | 0 | 0 | 1/3149280 |
| $b = 3$ | 0 | -1/162 | 0 | 0 | -1/104976 | 0 | 0 |
| $b = 4$ | 0 | 0 | 1/11664 | 0 | 0 | 1/18895680 | 0 |
| $b = 5$ | -1/9720 | 0 | 0 | -1/1574640 | 0 | 0 | -1/5101833600 |
| $b = 6$ | 0 | 1/524880 | 0 | 0 | 1/340122240 | 0 | 0 |

In the above table,

$$n_{(a,b)} = n_{1,a+b,\beta_{112}}([\text{pt}]_L; \mathbf{1}_{\nu_{112}}^{\otimes a}, \mathbf{1}_{\nu_{122}}^{\otimes b}) = n_{1,a+b,\beta_{122}}([\text{pt}]_L; \mathbf{1}_{\nu_{112}}^{\otimes b}, \mathbf{1}_{\nu_{122}}^{\otimes a}).$$

We observe that all invariants satisfy ‘reciprocal integrality’, namely their reciprocals are integers. Moreover, all these integers are divisible by 6. $n_{(k,k)} = 0$. Furthermore, the sign is alternating with respect to b .

Acknowledgments

K. Chan was supported by a Hong Kong RGC grant CUHK14314516 and direct grants from CUHK. C.-H. Cho was supported by the NRF grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. 2017R1A22B4009488). S.-C. Lau was partially supported by the Simons collaboration grant #580648. N.C. Leung was supported by Hong Kong RGC grants CUHK14302215 & CUHK14303516 and direct grants from CUHK. H.-H. Tseng was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1506551.

References

- [1] Auroux D., Mirror symmetry and T -duality in the complement of an anticanonical divisor, *J. Gökova Geom. Topol.* **1** (2007), 51–91, [arXiv:0706.3207](#).
- [2] Borisov L.A., Chen L., Smith G.G., The orbifold Chow ring of toric Deligne–Mumford stacks, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), 193–215, [arXiv:math.AG/0309229](#).
- [3] Chan K., Cho C.-H., Lau S.-C., Tseng H.-H., Lagrangian Floer superpotentials and crepant resolutions for toric orbifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **328** (2014), 83–130, [arXiv:1208.5282](#).
- [4] Chan K., Cho C.-H., Lau S.-C., Tseng H.-H., Gross fibrations, SYZ mirror symmetry, and open Gromov–Witten invariants for toric Calabi–Yau orbifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **103** (2016), 207–288, [arXiv:1306.0437](#).
- [5] Chan K., Lau S.-C., Leung N.C., Tseng H.-H., Open Gromov–Witten invariants, mirror maps, and Seidel representations for toric manifolds, *Duke Math. J.* **166** (2017), 1405–1462, [arXiv:1209.6119](#).
- [6] Chen W., Ruan Y., Orbifold Gromov–Witten theory, in *Orbifolds in Mathematics and Physics* (Madison, WI, 2001), *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 310, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, 25–85, [arXiv:math.AG/0103156](#).
- [7] Chen W., Ruan Y., A new cohomology theory of orbifold, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **248** (2004), 1–31, [arXiv:math.AG/0004129](#).
- [8] Cho C.-H., Oh Y.-G., Floer cohomology and disc instantons of Lagrangian torus fibers in Fano toric manifolds, *Asian J. Math.* **10** (2006), 773–814, [arXiv:math.SG/0308225](#).

- [9] Cho C.-H., Poddar M., Holomorphic orbi-discs and Lagrangian Floer cohomology of symplectic toric orbifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **98** (2014), 21–116, [arXiv:1206.3994](#).
- [10] Cho C.-H., Shin H.-S., Chern–Weil Maslov index and its orbifold analogue, *Asian J. Math.* **20** (2016), 1–19, [arXiv:1202.0556](#).
- [11] Coates T., Corti A., Iritani H., Tseng H.-H., A mirror theorem for toric stacks, *Compos. Math.* **151** (2015), 1878–1912, [arXiv:1310.4163](#).
- [12] Cox D.A., Little J.B., Schenck H.K., Toric varieties, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, Vol. 124, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [13] Fukaya K., Oh Y.-G., Ohta H., Ono K., Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction, *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [14] Fukaya K., Oh Y.-G., Ohta H., Ono K., Lagrangian Floer theory on compact toric manifolds. I, *Duke Math. J.* **151** (2010), 23–174, [arXiv:0802.1703](#).
- [15] Fukaya K., Oh Y.-G., Ohta H., Ono K., Lagrangian Floer theory on compact toric manifolds II: bulk deformations, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **17** (2011), 609–711, [arXiv:0810.5654](#).
- [16] Fukaya K., Oh Y.-G., Ohta H., Ono K., Technical details on Kuranishi structure and virtual fundamental chain, [arXiv:1209.4410](#).
- [17] Fukaya K., Oh Y.-G., Ohta H., Ono K., Lagrangian Floer theory and mirror symmetry on compact toric manifolds, *Astérisque* **376** (2016), vi+340 pages, [arXiv:1009.1648](#).
- [18] González E., Iritani H., Seidel elements and mirror transformations, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **18** (2012), 557–590, [arXiv:1103.4171](#).
- [19] Gross M., Siebert B., From real affine geometry to complex geometry, *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 1301–1428, [arXiv:math.AG/0703822](#).
- [20] Hausel T., Sturmfels B., Toric hyperKähler varieties, *Doc. Math.* **7** (2002), 495–534, [arXiv:math.AG/0203096](#).
- [21] Iritani H., An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009), 1016–1079, [arXiv:0903.1463](#).
- [22] Jiang Y., The orbifold cohomology ring of simplicial toric stack bundles, *Illinois J. Math.* **52** (2008), 493–514, [arXiv:math.AG/0504563](#).
- [23] McDuff D., Wehrheim K., Smooth Kuranishi atlases with isotropy, *Geom. Topol.* **21** (2017), 2725–2809, [arXiv:1508.01556](#).
- [24] Ruddat H., Siebert B., Period integrals from wall structures via tropical cycles, canonical coordinates in mirror symmetry and analyticity of toric degenerations, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, to appear, [arXiv:1907.03794](#).
- [25] Strominger A., Yau S.-T., Zaslow E., Mirror symmetry is T -duality, *Nuclear Phys. B* **479** (1996), 243–259, [arXiv:hep-th/9606040](#).