

τ -Tilting Finite Cluster-Tilted Algebras

Stephen Zito*

October 16, 2019

Abstract

We prove if B is a cluster-tilted algebra, then B is τ_B -tilting finite if and only if B is representation-finite.

1 Introduction

The theory of τ -tilting was introduced by Adachi, Iyama and Reiten in [1] as a far-reaching generalization of classical tilting theory for finite dimensional associative algebras. One of the main classes of objects in the theory is that of τ -rigid modules: a module M over an algebra Λ is τ_Λ -rigid if $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \tau_\Lambda M) = 0$, where $\tau_\Lambda M$ denotes the Auslander-Reiten translation of M ; such a module M is called τ_Λ -tilting if the number $|M|$ of non-isomorphic indecomposable summands of M equals the number of isomorphism classes of simple Λ -modules. Recently, a new class of algebras were introduced by Demonet, Iyama, Jasso in [10] called τ_Λ -tilting finite algebras. They are defined as finite dimensional algebras with only a finite number of isomorphism classes of basic τ_Λ -tilting modules.

An obvious sufficient condition for an algebra to be τ_Λ -tilting finite is for it to be representation-finite. In general, this condition is not necessary. The aim of this note is to prove for *cluster-tilted algebras*, this condition is in fact necessary.

Tilted algebras are the endomorphism algebras of tilting modules over hereditary algebras, introduced by Happel and Ringel in [11]. Cluster-tilted algebras are the endomorphism algebras of cluster-tilting objects over cluster categories of hereditary algebras, introduced by Buan, Marsh and Reiten in [8]. The similarity in the two definitions lead to the following precise relation between tilted and cluster-tilted algebras, which was established in [2] by Assem, Brüstle, and Schiffler.

There is a surjective map

$$\{\text{tilted algebras}\} \mapsto \{\text{cluster-tilted algebras}\}$$

$$C \mapsto B = C \ltimes E$$

where E denotes the C - C -bimodule $E = \text{Ext}_C^2(DC, C)$ and $C \ltimes E$ is the trivial extension.

This result allows one to define cluster-tilted algebras without using the cluster category. Using this construction, we show the following.

*2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16G60, 16G70 *Key words and phrases*: tilted algebras, cluster-tilted algebras, τ -tilting finite algebras.

Theorem 1.1. *Let B be a cluster-tilted algebra. Then B is τ_B -tilting finite if and only if B is representation-finite.*

2 Notation and Preliminaries

We now set the notation for the remainder of this paper. All algebras are assumed to be finite dimensional over an algebraically closed field k . If Λ is a k -algebra then denote by $\text{mod } \Lambda$ the category of finitely generated right Λ -modules and by $\text{ind } \Lambda$ a set of representatives of each isomorphism class of indecomposable right Λ -modules. We denote by $\text{add } M$ the smallest additive full subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ containing M , that is, the full subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ whose objects are the direct sums of direct summands of the module M . Given $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, the projective dimension of M is denoted $\text{pd}_\Lambda M$. We let τ_Λ and τ_Λ^{-1} be the Auslander-Reiten translations in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. We let D be the standard duality functor $\text{Hom}_k(-, k)$. Finally, $\Gamma(\text{mod } \Lambda)$ will denote the Auslander-Reiten quiver of Λ .

2.1 Tilted Algebras

Tilting theory is one of the main themes in the study of the representation theory of algebras. Given a k -algebra A , one can construct a new algebra B in such a way that the corresponding module categories are closely related. The main idea is that of a tilting module.

Definition 2.1. Let A be an algebra. An A -module T is a *partial tilting module* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\text{pd}_A T \leq 1$.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_A^1(T, T) = 0$.

A partial tilting module T is called a *tilting module* if it also satisfies the following additional condition:

- (3) There exists a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow T' \rightarrow T'' \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } A$ with T' and $T'' \in \text{add } T$.

We now state the definition of a tilted algebra.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a hereditary algebra with T a tilting A -module. Then the algebra $B = \text{End}_A T$ is called a *tilted algebra*.

2.2 Cluster categories and cluster-tilted algebras

Let $C = kQ$ be the path algebra of the quiver Q and let $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } C)$ denote the derived category of bounded complexes of C -modules. The *cluster category* \mathcal{C}_C is defined as the orbit category of the derived category with respect to the functor $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}[1]$, where $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the Auslander-Reiten translation in the derived category and $[1]$ is the shift. Cluster categories were introduced in [7], and in [9] for type \mathbb{A} .

An object T in C_C is called *cluster-tilting* if $\text{Ext}_{C_C}^1(T, T) = 0$ and T has $|Q_0|$ non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands where $|Q_0|$ is the number of vertices of Q . The endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_{C_C} T$ of a cluster-tilting object is called a *cluster-tilted algebra* [8].

2.3 Relation extensions

Let C be an algebra of global dimension at most 2 and let E be the C - C -bimodule $E = \text{Ext}_C^2(DC, C)$.

Definition 2.3. The *relation extension* of C is the trivial extension $B = C \ltimes E$, whose underlying C -module structure is $C \oplus E$, and multiplication is given by $(c, e)(c', e') = (cc', ce' + ec')$.

Relation extensions were introduced in [2]. In the special case where C is a tilted algebra, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.4. [2, Theorem 3.4]. *Let C be a tilted algebra. Then $B = C \ltimes \text{Ext}_C^2(DC, C)$ is a cluster-tilted algebra. Moreover all cluster-tilted algebras are of this form.*

2.4 Slices and local slices

Definition 2.5. A *slice* Σ in $\Gamma(\text{mod } A)$ is a set of indecomposable A -modules such that

- (1) Σ is sincere.
- (2) Any path in $\text{mod } A$ with source and target in Σ consists entirely of modules in Σ .
- (3) If M is an indecomposable non-projective A -module then at most one of M , $\tau_A M$ belongs to Σ .
- (4) If $M \rightarrow S$ is an irreducible morphism with $M, S \in \text{ind } A$ and $S \in \Sigma$, then either M belongs to Σ or M is non-injective and $\tau_A^{-1} M$ belongs to Σ .

The existence of slices is used to characterize tilted algebras in the following way.

Theorem 2.6. [12] *Let $B = \text{End}_A T$ be a tilted algebra. Then the class of B -modules $\text{Hom}_A(T, DA)$ forms a slice in $\text{mod } B$. Conversely, any slice in any module category is obtained in this way.*

The following notion of local slices was introduced in [3] in the context of cluster-tilted algebras. We say a path $X = X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_s = Y$ in $\Gamma(\text{mod } A)$ is *sectional* if, for each i with $0 < i < s$, we have $\tau_A X_{i+1} \neq X_{i-1}$.

Definition 2.7. A *local slice* Σ in $\Gamma(\text{mod } A)$ is a set of indecomposable A -modules inducing a connected full subquiver of $\Gamma(\text{mod } A)$ such that

- (1) If $X \in \Sigma$ and $X \rightarrow Y$ is an arrow in $\Gamma(\text{mod } A)$, then either Y or $\tau_A Y \in \Sigma$.
- (2) If $Y \in \Sigma$ and $X \rightarrow Y$ is an arrow in $\Gamma(\text{mod } A)$, then either X or $\tau_A^{-1} X \in \Sigma$.

- (3) For every sectional path $X = X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_s = Y$ in $\Gamma(\text{mod } A)$ with $X, Y \in \Sigma$, we have $X_i \in \Sigma$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$.
- (4) The number of indecomposable A -modules in Σ equals the number of non-isomorphic summands of T , where T is a tilting A -module.

There is a relationship between tilted and cluster-tilted algebras given in terms of slices and local slices.

Theorem 2.8. [3, Corollary 20] *Let C be a tilted algebra and B the corresponding cluster-tilted algebra. Then any slice in $\text{mod } C$ embeds as a local slice in $\text{mod } B$ and any local slice Σ in $\text{mod } B$ arises in this way.*

The existence of local slices in a cluster-tilted algebra gives rise to the following definition. The unique connected component of $\Gamma(\text{mod } B)$ that contains local slices is called the *transjective component*.

The next result says a slice in a tilted algebra together with its τ and τ^{-1} translates full embeds in the cluster-tilted algebra.

Proposition 2.9. [4, Proposition 3] *Let C be a tilted algebra, Σ a slice, $M \in \Sigma$, and B the corresponding cluster-tilted algebra.*

- (1) $\tau_C M \cong \tau_B M$.
- (2) $\tau_C^{-1} M \cong \tau_B^{-1} M$.

In [3], the authors gave an example of an indecomposable transjective module over a cluster-tilted algebra that does not lie on a local slice. It was proved in [5] the number of such modules is finite.

Proposition 2.10. [5, Corollary 3.8] *Let B be a cluster-tilted algebra. Then the number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable transjective B -modules that do not lie on a local slice is finite.*

2.5 τ -tilting finite algebras

Following [1] we state the following definition.

Definition 2.11. A C -module M is τ_C -rigid if $\text{Hom}_C(M, \tau_C M) = 0$. A τ_C -rigid module M is τ_C -tilting if the number of pairwise, non-isomorphic, indecomposable summands of M equals the number of isomorphism classes of simple C -modules.

It follows from the Auslander-Reiten formulas that any τ_C -rigid module is rigid and the converse holds if the projective dimension is at most 1. In particular, any partial tilting module is a τ_C -rigid module, and any tilting module is a τ_C -tilting module. Thus, we can regard τ_C -tilting theory as a generalization of classic tilting theory. Following [10], we have the following definition.

Definition 2.12. Let A be a finite dimensional algebra. We say that A is τ_A -tilting finite if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of basic τ_A -tilting A -modules.

The authors provide several equivalent conditions for an algebra A to be τ_A -tilting finite. In particular, we need the following.

Lemma 2.13. [10, Corollary 2.9.] *A is τ_A -tilting finite if and only if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable τ_A -rigid A -modules.*

2.6 A criterion for representation-finiteness

We will need the following criterion for an algebra to be representation-finite.

Theorem 2.14. [6, IV Theorem 5.4.] *Assume A is a basic and connected finite dimensional algebra. If $\Gamma(\text{mod } A)$ admits a finite connected component C , then $C = \Gamma(\text{mod } A)$. In particular, A is representation-finite.*

3 Main Result

We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let B be a cluster-tilted algebra. Then B is τ_B -tilting finite if and only if B is representation-finite.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious so we prove the necessity. Assume B is τ_B -tilting finite but representation-infinite. By Theorems 2.6 and 2.8, we know the transjective component of $\Gamma(\text{mod } B)$ exists. Since B is representation-infinite, Theorem 2.14 guarantees the transjective component must be infinite. By Proposition 2.10 and the fact that the transjective component is infinite, we must have an infinite number of indecomposable transjective B -modules which lie on a local slice. Let M be such a B -module. Theorem 2.8 guarantees there exists a tilted algebra C and a slice Σ such that M is a C -module and $M \in \Sigma$. It follows from parts (2) and (3) of the definition of a slice that M is τ_C -rigid. By Proposition 2.9, we know $\tau_C M \cong \tau_B M$. This implies M is τ_B -rigid. Since M was arbitrary, we have shown there exists an infinite number of indecomposable transjective B -modules which are τ_B -rigid. This is a contradiction to our assumption that B was τ_B -tilting finite and Lemma 2.13. We conclude B must be representation-finite. □

References

- [1] T. Adachi, O. Iyama and I. Reiten, τ -tilting theory, *Compos. Math.* **150** (2014), no. 3, 415–452.
- [2] I. Assem, T. Brüstle and R. Schiffler, Cluster-tilted algebras as trivial extensions, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **40** (2008), 151–162.
- [3] I. Assem, T. Brüstle and R. Schiffler, Cluster-tilted algebras and slices, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 3464–3479.

- [4] I. Assem, T. Brüstle and R. Schiffler, Cluster-tilted algebras without clusters, *J. Algebra* **324** (2010), 2475–2502.
- [5] I. Assem, R. Schiffler, and K. Serhiyenko, Modules over cluster-tilted algebras that do not lie on local slices, *Archiv der Math* **110** (2018), no. 1, 9-18.
- [6] I. Assem, D. Simson and A. Skowronski, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras, 1: Techniques of Representation Theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 65, Cambridge University Press, 2006
- [7] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten and G. Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, *Adv. Math.* **204** (2006), no. 2, 572–618.
- [8] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh and I. Reiten, Cluster-tilted algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), no. 1, 323–332.
- [9] P. Caldero, F. Chapoton and R. Schiffler, Quivers with relations arising from clusters (A_n case), *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), no. 4, 359–376.
- [10] L. Demonet, O. Iyama, and G. Jasso. τ -tilting finite algebras, bricks, and g -vectors. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, page rnx135, 2017
- [11] D. Happel and C. M. Ringel, Tilted algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **274** (1982), no. 2, 399–443
- [12] C.M. Ringel, Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1099, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut-Waterbury, Waterbury, CT 06702,
USA

E-mail address: stephen.zito@uconn.edu