

A polynomial spectral method for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation.

Gerhard Kitzler and Joachim Schöberl

February 18, 2019

Abstract

We present a spectral Petrov-Galerkin method for the Boltzmann collision operator. We expand the density distribution f to high order orthogonal polynomials multiplied by a Maxwellian. By that choice, we can approximate on the whole momentum domain \mathbb{R}^3 resulting in high accuracy at the evaluation of the collision operator. Additionally, the special choice of the test space naturally ensures conservation of mass, momentum and energy. By numerical examples we demonstrate the convergence (w.r.t. time) to the exact stationary solution. For efficiency we transfer between nodal and Maxwellian weighted Spherical Harmonics which are orthogonal w.r.t. the innermost integrals of the collision operator. Combined with efficient transformations between the bases and the calculation of the outer integrals this gives an algorithm of complexity $\mathcal{O}(N^7)$ and a storage requirement $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$ for the evaluation of the non linear Boltzmann collision operator. The presented method is applicable to a general class of collision kernels, among others including Maxwell molecules, hard and variable hard spheres molecules. Although faster methods are available, we obtain high accuracy even for very low expansion orders.

Key words. Boltzmann equation, Petrov-Galerkin method, spectral method

AMS subject classifications. 35Q20, 65M70

1 The Boltzmann equation

The Boltzmann equation is a statistical model for transport phenomena in a sufficiently dilute gas. In addition to gas dynamics, kinetic models as the Boltzmann equation have among others, attracted a wide range of applications including plasma physics, electron transport in semiconductors and also disciplines from biology. The unknown in kinetic equations is the density distribution in phase space, typically denoted by $f = f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}$$

gives the mass density at time t and position \mathbf{x} . Similar, the momentum density, energy density and temperature are defined in terms of moments of f :

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t, \mathbf{x}) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{V}(t, \mathbf{x}) &:= \frac{1}{\rho(t, \mathbf{x})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{v} f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \\ E(t, \mathbf{x}) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{v}|^2 f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \\ T(t, \mathbf{x}) &:= \frac{1}{3\rho(t, \mathbf{x})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}.\end{aligned}$$

The Boltzmann equation which governs the time evolution of f is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{v}f) = Q(f),$$

where the Boltzmann collision operator $Q(f)(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = Q(f(t, \mathbf{x}, \cdot))(\mathbf{v})$ is

$$Q(f(t, \mathbf{x}, \cdot))(\mathbf{v}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{S^2} B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}') [f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}') f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}') - f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})] d\mathbf{e}' d\mathbf{w}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\mathbf{v}' = \frac{\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}}{2} + \mathbf{e}' \frac{|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|}{2}$ and $\mathbf{w}' = \frac{\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}}{2} - \mathbf{e}' \frac{|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|}{2}$ are the pre collisional velocities. The collision operator is non linear, local in time and position, but global in velocity. It satisfies mass, momentum and energy conservation, expressed by the equations

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{v} \\ |\mathbf{v}|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2)$$

The functions $1, \mathbf{v}, |\mathbf{v}|^2$ are consequently termed collision invariants. Also, each ϕ satisfying $\int Q(f)\phi d\mathbf{v} = 0$ is a linear combination of the basic collision invariants [1].

Another important property of Q we use in our numerical method is the kernel of the collision operator $\ker Q = \{f : f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \rho(t, \mathbf{x}) e^{-\left|\frac{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}(t, \mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{T(t, \mathbf{x})}}\right|^2}\}$. In context of the Boltzmann equation these Gaussian peaks are termed Maxwellians. Note that $\ker Q$ also characterizes stationary solutions of the homogeneous Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = Q(f). \quad (3)$$

The function $B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}') = B(|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|, \frac{(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{e}'}{|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|})$ is called collision kernel. It is the probability density that two particles with velocity \mathbf{v}' and \mathbf{w}' collide and result in velocities \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} . In many relevant cases, B factorizes $B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}') = b_r(|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|) b_\theta(\frac{(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{e}'}{|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|})$, with a power law for $b_r(|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|) = |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^\beta$, with $\beta \in [0, 1]$. We refer to [1] for the mathematical theory of rarefied gas dynamics.

Numerical methods have to tackle several problems. That is the high dimensionality: $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$. The collision operator Q has a quadratic non linearity and requires typically $\mathcal{O}(\text{ndof}^3)$ operations for application, additionally its conservation properties shall hold on the discrete level.

Due to the complexity and the high dimensionality, stochastic methods such as Direct Simulation Monte Carlo methods [2–5] are attractive from a computational point of view. Turning to deterministic methods, discrete velocity models are among the most popular ones. Simplified speaking, particles are only allowed to have velocities on a finite grid. Consequently, the collision mechanism needs to be discretized in such a way, that pre and post collisional velocities are nodes of the grid, while maintaining the main physical properties of the collisional process. We refer to [6–8].

Widely used deterministic approaches are spectral methods [9–18]. Usually the density distribution is approximated by a trigonometric Fourier expansion on some bounded domain. These methods benefit from the orthogonality of the trigonometric expansion, giving efficient algorithms for the collision operator. On the other hand, the integrals defining the collision operator, as well as the approximation domain have to be truncated at the expense of accuracy. The periodicity of the trigonometric basis combined with the larger support of Q regarding the density distribution f may additionally produce aliasing errors.

The current work is the natural extension from 2 velocity dimensions, discussed in [19,20] to 3. Closely related to these papers is the spectral method by Hiptmair et al. [21,22], being the extension of [23] from radially symmetric solutions to general ones. This method was conducted in parallel to our 2d paper. To the best of our knowledge this approach is not yet available for three velocity dimensions.

In a recent work by I. Gamba and S. Rjasanow [24] a similar expansion basis consisting of Spherical Harmonics and generalized Laguerre polynomials is constructed and used in a Petrov-Galerkin projection. A different scaling in the polynomial argument results in a non diagonal mass matrix. Efficiency considerations for the collision operator are not presented therein. The extension to spatially inhomogeneous problems is treated in [25]. Let us additionally state that in several situations, the non linear Boltzmann collision operator may be replaced by the BGK approximation, resulting in a simpler evolution equation for the unknown density f . We refer to [26] and the references therein for the BGK model. Numerical treatment of the BGK approximation can be found in [27].

Our paper is specifically devoted to efficient application of the collision integrals. In contrast to spectral methods based on trigonometric expansion (which require a domain truncation), there is – to the best of our knowledge – no publication yet dealing with efficient evaluation of the collision operator in a polynomial spectral method on the unbounded velocity space. The presented algorithm impresses by low storage requirements of only $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$, where N is the number of unknowns per direction, what is a lower storage requirement as in Fourier spectral methods [18]. Calculations with 32 degrees of freedom for each Cartesian direction require less than 3 Megabytes. Combined with the good approximation properties of the trial space, lower expansion orders already yield highly accurate results (see sections 4.2,4.3) and therefore the higher numerical complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N^7)$ is compensated. In addition, using our algorithm for the evaluation of the collision integral, matrix-matrix multiplications are performed almost exclusively, what enables the usage of highly optimized Lapack routines [28].

2 A spectral projection for the homogeneous equation

We consider the homogeneous Boltzmann equation (3) for some $f = f(t, \mathbf{v})$. In the sequel our spectral Petrov Galerkin projection for that equation is presented. Let us first motivate the choice of the trial space. Since Q is global in \mathbf{v} , we propose a global trial space. In order to have the kernel and consequently the stationary solution in the trial space we choose the following space for approximating the density f :

$$V_{\bar{T}, \bar{V}, N} := \{f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^3) : f(\mathbf{v}) = e^{-\left|\frac{\mathbf{v}-\bar{\mathbf{v}}}{\sqrt{\bar{T}}}\right|^2} p(\mathbf{v}) : p \in P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)\}.$$

$P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the space of polynomials of total degree N . The parameters \bar{V} and \bar{T} are the macroscopic velocity and temperature of the Maxwellian in the expansion. If they are chosen in accordance to f 's mean velocity and temperature we expect excellent approximation properties. Due to the conservation properties of Q , \bar{V} and \bar{T} can be chosen a priori. A motivation for the test space are the conservation properties of Q , which lead to $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{V}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}T(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$. These conservations are naturally satisfied as soon as $1, \mathbf{v}, |\mathbf{v}|^2$ are in the test space. This leads to our test space, which is

$$V_N := P^N(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

The Petrov-Galerkin projection now reads

$$\text{Find } f \in V_{\bar{T}, \bar{V}, N}, \text{ s.t. } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \phi d\mathbf{v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f) \phi d\mathbf{v} \quad \forall \phi \in V_N. \quad (4)$$

To obtain (2) on the discrete level, the collision invariants need to be in V_N . Consequently $N = 2$ is a minimum requirement.

2.1 Polynomial bases in $P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $Q^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$

In this section we present the polynomial basis of $P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $Q^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we use. $Q^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the space of polynomials of partial degree N . To define the basis of $Q^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we denote by $(v_{\text{ip}}, \omega_{\text{ip}})$, $i = 0 \dots N$ the nodes and weights of a Gauss-Hermite quadrature [29] satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-v^2} p(v) dv = \sum_{\text{ip}=0}^N \omega_{\text{ip}} p(v_{\text{ip}}), \quad \forall p \in P^{2N+1}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The basis polynomials are defined as Lagrange collocation polynomials to the Gauss-Hermite quadrature nodes and are denoted by l :

$$l_j(v) := \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^N \frac{v - v_i}{v_j - v_i}.$$

The three dimensional basis is constructed as the tensor product of the 1D polynomials and its elements are denoted by $L_j^{(N)}$, $j = 0 \dots \text{ndof}_{\mathbf{v}} - 1 = (N + 1)^3 - 1$:

$$L_j^{(N)}(\mathbf{v}) = l_l(\mathbf{v}_1) l_m(\mathbf{v}_2) l_n(\mathbf{v}_3),$$

with $j = (N + 1)^2 l + (N + 1)m + n$. This is an orthogonal basis of $Q^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ resulting in a diagonal mass matrix:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} L_m^{(N)}(\mathbf{v}) L_n^{(N)}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\text{ip}=0}^{\text{ndof}_{\mathbf{v}}-1} \omega_{\text{ip}}^{(3)} L_m^{(N)}(\mathbf{v}_{\text{ip}}^{(3)}) L_n^{(N)}(\mathbf{v}_{\text{ip}}^{(3)}) = \delta_{m,n} \omega_n^{(3)} \quad (5)$$

In (5), $\mathbf{v}_{\text{ip}}^{(3)}$ and $\omega_{\text{ip}}^{(3)}$ correspond to a Cartesian product of Gauss Hermite formula with nodes $\mathbf{v}_{\text{ip}}^{(3)} = (v_i, v_j, v_k)$ and $\omega_{\text{ip}}^{(3)} = \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k$ with $\text{ip} = (N + 1)^2 i + (N + 1)j + k$.

The basis of $P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are scaled Hermite polynomials:

$$H_{i,j,k}(\mathbf{v}) := h_i(\mathbf{v}_1) h_j(\mathbf{v}_2) h_k(\mathbf{v}_3), \quad 0 \leq i + j + k \leq N, \quad (6)$$

with h_i denoting the scaled 1D Hermite polynomial of degree i [29, 30]. The scaling is such that $\int e^{-|v|^2} h_j(v)^2 dv = 1$. The polynomial degree of $H_{i,j,k}$ is $i + j + k$, the polynomial degree w.r.t. $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$ is $i + j$. The mass matrix in the Hermite basis is also diagonal, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} H_{i,j,k}(\mathbf{v}) H_{i',j',k'}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{k,k'}, \quad i + j + k \text{ and } i' + j' + k' = 0 \dots N.$$

For given \bar{V} and \bar{T} , the polynomials are scaled and shifted in the argument to incorporate the above orthogonality relations in the basis. Thus, we have

$$V_N = \text{span}\left\{H_{i,j,k}\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}-\bar{V}}{\sqrt{\bar{T}}}\right), i + j + k = 0 \dots N\right\}, \quad V_{\bar{T},\bar{V},N} = e^{-\left|\frac{\mathbf{v}-\bar{V}}{\sqrt{\bar{T}}}\right|^2} V_N.$$

With the above notation we additionally emphasize the scaling of the basis functions we use in practice.

3 Efficient evaluation of the collision integral

For the collision algorithm we need some additional preparations. First of all, we use the translational invariance of Q to make the collision integrals $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f)\phi d\mathbf{v}$ independent of \bar{V} . We also rescale \mathbf{v} to obtain an explicit dependency on \bar{T} . Let $\frac{\mathbf{v}-\bar{V}}{\sqrt{\bar{T}}} = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\frac{\mathbf{w}-\bar{V}}{\sqrt{\bar{T}}} = \tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ in $\int Q(f)\phi d\mathbf{v}$, remove the tilde signs to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f)\phi d\mathbf{v} = \bar{T}^{3+\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f^{1,0})\phi^{1,0} d\mathbf{v},$$

with centred density function $f^{0,1}(t, \mathbf{v}) := f(t, \sqrt{\bar{T}}\mathbf{v} + \bar{V})$. Thus, our considerations concerning the collision operator can be done without shifted and scaled velocity, i.e. with centred bases.

Next we provide a representation for $\int Q(f)\phi d\mathbf{v}$ needed for our algorithm.

Lemma 1. *The collision operator $Q(f)$ defined in (1) satisfies [1]*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f)\phi(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{S^2} B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}') f(\mathbf{v}) f(\mathbf{w}) [\phi(\mathbf{v}') - \phi(\mathbf{v})] d\mathbf{e}' d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{v}$$

Remark 2. *A straight forward evaluation of the collision integrals for $f \in V_{\bar{T},\bar{V},N}$ requires evaluation of*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f)\phi d\mathbf{v} = \sum_{n=0}^{\text{ndof}_{\mathbf{v}}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\text{ndof}_{\mathbf{v}}-1} c_n c_m q_{n,m,j} \quad j = 0 \dots \text{ndof}_{\mathbf{v}} - 1,$$

where $q_{n,m,j} = \int \int \int B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}') [L_n^{(N)}(\mathbf{v}') L_m^{(N)}(\mathbf{w}') - L_n^{(N)}(\mathbf{v}) L_m^{(N)}(\mathbf{w})] L_j^{(N)}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{e}' d\mathbf{v} d\mathbf{w}$. Evaluating the above double sum for each j requires $\text{ndof}_{\mathbf{v}}^3 = (N + 1)^9$ operations.

3.1 Collision integrals in mean and relative velocity

Next, we express the collision integral in mean and relative velocities. We start with the representation from lemma 1. If we let $\bar{\mathbf{v}} := \frac{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{w}}{2}$, $\hat{\mathbf{v}} := \frac{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w}}{2}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f(\mathbf{v}))\phi(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \\ &= 8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{S^2} b_r(2|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|) b_\theta\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{e}'}{|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|}\right) f(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}}) f(\bar{\mathbf{v}} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}) [\phi(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mathbf{e}'|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|) - \phi(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}})] d\mathbf{e}' d\hat{\mathbf{v}} d\bar{\mathbf{v}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Throughout the integrand, f and ϕ are evaluated centered at $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$. This is a shift of the coordinate origin. Thus, we approximate f not on the grid associated with the Lagrange polynomials, but on a grid shifted by the mean velocity $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$. We denote this by $f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) := f(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}})$, the shifted test function is $\phi^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \phi(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}})$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f)(\mathbf{v})\phi(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \\ &= 8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{S^2} b_r(2|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|) b_\theta\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{e}'}{|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|}\right) f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}) [\phi^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\mathbf{e}'|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|) - \phi^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}})] d\mathbf{e}' d\hat{\mathbf{v}} d\bar{\mathbf{v}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Finally, letting $f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) := f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}})$, we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f(\mathbf{v}))\phi(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = 8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{S^2} b_r(2|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|) b_\theta\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{e}'}{|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|}\right) f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) [\phi^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\mathbf{e}'|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|) - \phi^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}})] d\mathbf{e}' d\hat{\mathbf{v}} d\bar{\mathbf{v}} \quad (9)$$

$$= \sqrt{2}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{S^2} b_r(|\sqrt{2}\hat{\mathbf{v}}|) b_\theta\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{e}'}{|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|}\right) f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) [\phi^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\mathbf{e}'|\frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}{\sqrt{2}}|) - \phi^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)] d\mathbf{e}' d\hat{\mathbf{v}} d\bar{\mathbf{v}} \quad (10)$$

$:= Q^I(b_r f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \phi^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}))$

$$= \sum_{\text{ip}=0}^{n_{\text{ip}}-1} \omega_{\text{ip}} S^{\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\text{ip}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \phi} Q^I(b_r f_2^{\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\text{ip}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \phi^{\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\text{ip}}}{\sqrt{2}}}}(\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\text{ip}}}{\sqrt{2}})). \quad (11)$$

The scaling by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is to obtain Maxwellians with temperature 1 w.r.t. $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$. As before, $(\omega_i^{(3)}, \mathbf{v}_i^{(3)})$, $i = 0 \dots n_{\text{ip}} - 1$ are weights and nodes of a 3D Gauss-Hermite quadrature rule. We refer to Q^I as the inner collision operator.

3.1.1 Calculating $f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}$

The point wise multiplication $f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}})$ can be done efficient in the Lagrange basis, so we start with $f(\mathbf{v}) = e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \sum c_j L_j(\mathbf{v})$. From

$$f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = e^{-|\bar{\mathbf{v}}+\hat{\mathbf{v}}|^2} p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}}) e^{-|\bar{\mathbf{v}}-\hat{\mathbf{v}}|^2} p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}), \quad p \in P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

we deduce that the appropriate Maxwellian for the $f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}$ is $e^{-2|\bar{\mathbf{v}}|^2 - 2|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|^2}$ and that it's polynomial degree is $2N$. Thus, we expand

$$f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = e^{-2|\bar{\mathbf{v}}|^2 - 2|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\text{ndof}_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}^{(2)}-1} e_i L_i^{(2N)}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}), \quad \text{ndof}_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}^{(2)} := (2N + 1)^3.$$

The Lagrange polynomials collocation properties lead to

$$e_j = p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mu_j)p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} - \mu_j), \quad j = 0 \dots \text{ndof}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}^{(2)} - 1, \quad (12)$$

where μ_j are the collocation nodes for $L^{(2N)}$. Incorporating the scaling from (11) we use $\mu_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{v}_j$, with \mathbf{v}_j the Gauss Hermite nodes of order $2N$. The values $p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mu_j)$ are obtained from

$$f(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = e^{-|\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}}|^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\text{ndof}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}-1} c_i L_i^{(N)}(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = e^{-|\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}}|^2} p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}}).$$

Using $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \mu_j$ results in

$$p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mu_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{\text{ndof}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}-1} c_i L_i^{(N)}(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mu_j), \quad j = 0 \dots \text{ndof}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}^{(2)} - 1. \quad (13)$$

Due to symmetry of the nodes, $p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} - \mu_j) = p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mu_{\text{ndof}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}^{(2)}-1-j})$. A factorization into one dimensional shifts can be applied to the sum in (13), resulting in $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$ complexity for $p(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mu_j)$, $j = 0 \dots \text{ndof}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}^{(2)} - 1$. We denote the shift matrix for a given $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ by $S^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}$, $S_{ji}^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} = L_i(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mu_j)$.

The calculation of the test functions $\mathbf{L}_j(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ from the shifted Lagrange polynomials $\mathbf{L}^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}})$ is achieved by the transposed matrix $S^{\bar{\mathbf{v}t}}$, i.e. $\mathbf{L}(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = S^{\bar{\mathbf{v}t}} \mathbf{L}^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(\hat{\mathbf{v}})$, see [19, 20] for more details.

3.2 The $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ integral

For the inner collision operator Q^I we transform $f_2^{\frac{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}{\sqrt{2}}}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ to a polynomial basis which gives a diagonal Q^I . We first introduce the involved polynomial bases and then show how to obtain efficient transformations. We use the hierarchical Hermite polynomial basis from (6), a hierarchical basis in cylinder and finally a hierarchical basis in spherical coordinates.

3.2.1 Cylinder Hermite basis

We extend the polar basis from [19, 20] by a component in z direction. To that end we use the shorthand notation $I(i, j) := 2j + i \pmod{2}$. We define the 2D Polar Laguerre basis of order i :

Definition 3. *The Polar-Laguerre polynomials $\{\Psi_{i,j}^r, j = 0 \dots \lfloor 0.5i \rfloor, r \in \{\cos, \sin\}\}$ are defined via*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{i,j}^{\cos}((\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)) &:= \gamma_{i,j} \cos(I(i, j)\phi) r^{I(i,j)} \mathcal{L}_{\frac{i-I(i,j)}{2}}^{I(i,j)}(r^2) \\ \Psi_{i,j}^{\sin}((\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)) &:= \gamma_{i,j} \sin(I(i, j)\phi) r^{I(i,j)} \mathcal{L}_{\frac{i-I(i,j)}{2}}^{I(i,j)}(r^2). \end{aligned}$$

(r, ϕ) are the polar coordinates of $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$, The normalization is $\gamma_{0,2j} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ and $\gamma_{i,j} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}, i \neq 0 \vee j \notin 2\mathbb{N}$. $\mathcal{L}_k^\alpha(x)$ is the (scaled) associated Laguerre polynomial satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-x} x^\alpha \mathcal{L}_i^\alpha(x) \mathcal{L}_j^\alpha(x) dx = \delta_{i,j}$ [29, 30].

Now we construct a basis of V_N in cylinder coordinates.

Definition 4. The Cylinder Hermite polynomials are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{k,i,j}^{\cos}(\mathbf{v}) &:= \Psi_{i,j}^{\cos}((\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2))h_{k-i}(\mathbf{v}_3) \\ \Theta_{k,i,j}^{\sin}(\mathbf{v}) &:= \Psi_{i,j}^{\sin}((\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2))h_{k-i}(\mathbf{v}_3),\end{aligned}$$

with (r, ϕ, \mathbf{v}_3) being the cylinder coordinates of \mathbf{v} . The indices are $j = 0 \dots \lfloor 0.5i \rfloor$, $i = 0 \dots k$ and $k = 0 \dots N$.

Remark 5. Note that in definitions 3 and 4, the sin basis functions only appear when $I(i, j) \neq 0$. We keep that in mind, but for simplicity we do not explicitly distinguish this special case in the notation.

As is shown in [19,20], $\Psi_{i,j}^t$, $t \in \{\cos, \sin\}$ is a polynomial of total degree i w.r.t. \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 . Multiplied by $h_{k-i}(\mathbf{v}_3)$ gives a polynomial of total degree k w.r.t. to \mathbf{v} .

In the subsequent lemmata we show that the Cylinder Hermite polynomials form a basis of $P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and are orthogonal w.r.t. to the Maxwellian weighted L_2 -inner product.

Lemma 6. The Cylinder Hermite polynomials are orthonormal w.r.t. to the Maxwellian weighted L_2 -inner product, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \Theta_{k,i,j}^t(\mathbf{v}) \Theta_{k',i',j'}^{t'}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{t,t'}, \quad r \in \{\cos, \sin\}.$$

Proof. By the orthogonality of the Hermite polynomials we write

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \Theta_{k,i,j}^t(\mathbf{v}) \Theta_{k',i',j'}^{t'}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \\ &= \delta_{k-i,k'-i'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\mathbf{v}_1^2 - \mathbf{v}_2^2} \Psi_{i,j}^t((\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)) \Psi_{i',j'}^{t'}((\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)) d(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2).\end{aligned}$$

The value of the remaining integral is $\delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{t,t'}$ as is shown in [19,20] what concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 7. The Cylinder Hermite polynomials $\Theta_{k,i,j}^t(\mathbf{v})$, $k = 0 \dots N$, $i = 0 \dots k$, $j = 0 \dots \lfloor 0.5i \rfloor$ and $t \in \{\sin, \cos\}$ from definition 4 form a basis of $P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Since the Cylinder Hermite polynomials are orthogonal, they are also linearly independent. Now for fixed i there are $i + 1$ basis polynomials. Summing over all i and k gives $\frac{1}{6}(N + 1)(N + 2)(N + 3)$ linear independent polynomials. \square

3.2.2 Spherical Laguerre Basis

Let $Y_i^{j,t}(\theta, \varphi)$, $|j| \leq i$, $(\theta, \varphi) \in [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$,

$t \in \{\cos, \sin\}$ denote the real valued Spherical Harmonic of degree i and order j [31]. These are defined as

$$Y_i^{j,t}(\theta, \varphi) = c_{i,j} t(j\varphi) P_i^{|j|}(\cos(\theta)), \quad c_{i,j} = s_j \sqrt{\frac{2i+1}{4\pi} \frac{(i-|j|)!}{(i+|j|)!}},$$

with $s_0 = 1$ and $s_j = \sqrt{2}$, $j \neq 0$. P_i^j is the Legendre function of degree i and order j [31]. From these we construct a 3D basis in spherical coordinates as given below.

Definition 8. The Spherical Laguerre polynomials $\Phi_{k,i,j}^t$ are defined via

$$\Phi_{2k,i,j}^{\cos}(r, \theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{2} Y_{2i}^{j,\cos}(\theta, \varphi) r^{2i} \mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+0.5}(r^2), \quad i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq 2i \quad (14)$$

$$\Phi_{2k,i,j}^{\sin}(r, \theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{2} Y_{2i}^{j,\sin}(\theta, \varphi) r^{2i} \mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+0.5}(r^2), \quad i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2i \quad (15)$$

$$\Phi_{2k+1,i,j}^{\cos}(r, \theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{2} Y_{2i+1}^{j,\cos}(\theta, \varphi) r^{2i+1} \mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+1.5}(r^2), \quad i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq 2i+1 \quad (16)$$

$$\Phi_{2k+1,i,j}^{\sin}(r, \theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{2} Y_{2i+1}^{j,\sin}(\theta, \varphi) r^{2i+1} \mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+1.5}(r^2), \quad i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2i+1. \quad (17)$$

\mathcal{L}_i^j is the (scaled) generalized Laguerre polynomial satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-x} x^\alpha \mathcal{L}_i^\alpha(x) \mathcal{L}_j^\alpha(x) = \delta_{i,j}$ [29, 30].

Lemma 9. The Spherical Laguerre polynomials are orthonormal on \mathbb{R}^3 w.r.t. the Maxwellian weighted inner product, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \Phi_{k,i,j}^t \Phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'} d\mathbf{v} = \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{t,t'}.$$

Proof. We start considering the case of even k and k' . For simplicity we write $2k$ and $2k'$. Using spherical coordinates, $\mathbf{v} = r\mathbf{e}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \Phi_{2k,i,j}^t \Phi_{2k',i',j'}^{t'} d\mathbf{v} \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} e^{-r^2} r^{2i+2i'+2} \mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+0.5}(r^2) \mathcal{L}_{k'-i'}^{2i'+0.5}(r^2) Y_{2i}^{j,t}(\mathbf{e}) Y_{2i'}^{j',t'}(\mathbf{e}) d\mathbf{e} dr \\ &= 2 \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{t,t'} \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r^{2i+2i'+2} \mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+0.5}(r^2) \mathcal{L}_{k'-i'}^{2i'+0.5}(r^2) dr \\ &\stackrel{r^2=\tilde{r}}{=} \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{t,t'} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tilde{r}} \tilde{r}^{2i+2i'+2} \mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+0.5}(\tilde{r}) \mathcal{L}_{k'-i'}^{2i'+0.5}(\tilde{r}) d\tilde{r} \\ &= \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{t,t'}. \end{aligned}$$

The deltas w.r.t. i, j and t are due to the Spherical Harmonics forming an orthonormal basis on S^2 . The delta in k is due to the orthogonality of the generalized Laguerre polynomials \mathcal{L}_k^α . For k and k' both odd, the proof is the same. For even k only $Y_{2i}^{j,t}$ arise in $\Phi_{k,i,j}^t$ and $Y_{2i+1}^{j,t}$ arise for odd k' . Thus, such combinations – and vice versa – yield 0 contribution. \square

Lemma 10. The Spherical Laguerre polynomials $\Phi_{k,i,j}^t$, $k \leq N$ form a basis of $P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Since $Y_{2i}^{j,t}$ and $Y_{2i+1}^{j,t}$ are polynomials of total degree $2i$ and $2i+1$, multiplication with $\mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+0.5}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+1.5}(r^2)$ gives a polynomial of total degree $2k$ and $2k+1$ w.r.t. \mathbf{v} . So each $\Phi_{k,i,j}^t \in P^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The linear independence follows from lemma 9. For a fixed k , there are $\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ polynomials. Summing k from 0 to N yields $\frac{1}{6}(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)$ linear independent polynomials. \square

Similar to the proof of lemma 9 one obtains

Lemma 11. *The Spherical Laguerre polynomials are orthogonal w.r.t. the Maxwellian weighted inner collision operator, i.e.*

$$Q^I(e^{-|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|^2} \Phi_{k,i,j}^t, \Phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'}) = d_{k,i,j} \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'}.$$

The constant $d_{k,i,j}$ is

$$d_{k,i,j} = \begin{cases} 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 b_\theta(\mu) (P_{2i}(\mu) - 1) d\mu & k \in 2\mathbb{N} \\ 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 b_\theta(\mu) (P_{2i+1}(\mu) - 1) d\mu & k \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. We consider again the case of even k and k' and again write $2k$ and $2k'$ instead of k and k' . As in the proof of lemma 9, $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ is transformed to spherical coordinates.

$$\begin{aligned} Q^I(e^{-|\hat{\mathbf{v}}|^2} \Phi_{k,i,j}^t, \Phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'}) &= 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r^{2+2i+2i'} \mathcal{L}_{k-i}^{2i+0.5}(r^2) \mathcal{L}_{k'-i'}^{2i'+0.5}(r^2) dr \\ &\quad \times \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} b_\theta(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}') Y_{2i}^{j,t}(\mathbf{e}) (Y_{2i'}^{j',t'}(\mathbf{e}') - Y_{2i'}^{j',t'}(\mathbf{e})) d\mathbf{e}' d\mathbf{e}. \end{aligned}$$

The surface integral can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} b_\theta(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}') Y_{2i}^{j,t}(\mathbf{e}) (Y_{2i'}^{j',t'}(\mathbf{e}') - Y_{2i'}^{j',t'}(\mathbf{e})) d\mathbf{e}' d\mathbf{e} \\ &= \underbrace{\int_{S^2} \left(\int_{S^2} b_\theta(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}') Y_{2i'}^{j',t'}(\mathbf{e}') d\mathbf{e}' \right) Y_{2i}^{j,t}(\mathbf{e}) d\mathbf{e}}_{:=A} - \underbrace{\int_{S^2} \left(\int_{S^2} b_\theta(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}') d\mathbf{e}' \right) Y_{2i'}^{j',t'}(\mathbf{e}) Y_{2i}^{j,t}(\mathbf{e}) d\mathbf{e}}_{:=B}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Funk-Hecke theorem [31] we evaluate A to

$$A = \lambda_{2i'} \int_{S^2} Y_{2i'}^{j',t'}(\mathbf{e}) Y_{2i}^{j,t}(\mathbf{e}) d\mathbf{e} = \lambda_{2i'} \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{t,t'}, \quad \text{with } \lambda_{2i'} = 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 b_\theta(\mu) P_{2i'}(\mu) d\mu.$$

For B we obtain

$$B = \lambda_0 \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{t,t'}.$$

Combining the values for A and B and using as before the orthogonality of the Laguerre polynomials completes the proof. \square

3.3 Transforming to a sparse inner collision operator

In the present subsection we write f instead of $f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}/\sqrt{2}}$ to simplify notation. We assume that this function is given as an expansion to Lagrange polynomials $L_j^{(N)}$ with collocation nodes $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{v}_j$, where \mathbf{v}_j is the j -th Gauss Hermite node.

3.3.1 Nodal to Hermite representation

We use $\sum_{l,m,n}$ to denote $\sum_{l=0}^{2N} \sum_{m=0}^{2N} \sum_{n=0}^{2N}$, as well as $\sum_{i,j,k}$ to denote $\sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^{N-i} \sum_{k=0}^{N-i-j}$. Transforming nodal to hierarchical requires

$$f\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \sum_{l,m,n} c_{l,m,n} l_l\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) l_m\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_2}{\sqrt{2}}\right) l_n\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_3}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \sum_{i,j,k} h_{i,j,k} h_i(\mathbf{v}_1) h_j(\mathbf{v}_2) h_k(\mathbf{v}_3),$$

where the equal sign has to be understood in terms of an L_2 projection. Projecting onto $\text{span}\{H_{i,j,k} : i + j + k \leq N\}$ gives

$$h_{i',j',k'} = \sum_{l,m,n} c_{l,m,n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} h_{i'}(\mathbf{v}_1) h_{j'}(\mathbf{v}_2) h_{k'}(\mathbf{v}_3) l_l\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) l_m\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_2}{\sqrt{2}}\right) l_n\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_3}{\sqrt{2}}\right) d\mathbf{v}.$$

We define the 1D projection matrix $\mathcal{P}^{L \rightarrow H} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1) \times (2N+1)}$, with $\mathcal{P}_{i,j}^{L \rightarrow H} := \int e^{-v^2} h_i(v) l_j\left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) dv$ and obtain

$$h_{i',j',k'} = \sum_{l,m,n} c_{l,m,n} \mathcal{P}_{i',l}^{L \rightarrow H} \mathcal{P}_{j',m}^{L \rightarrow H} \mathcal{P}_{k',n}^{L \rightarrow H} = \underbrace{\sum_l \mathcal{P}_{i',l}^{L \rightarrow H} \sum_m \mathcal{P}_{j',m}^{L \rightarrow H} \underbrace{\sum_n c_{l,m,n} \mathcal{P}_{k',n}^{L \rightarrow H}}_{:=h_{l,m,k'}^1}}_{:=h_{i',j',k'}^2}.$$

We evaluate the triple sum via 3 single sums, corresponding to 3 1D transformations. Each of them requires N^4 operations for evaluation, giving $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$ complexity. Additionally, these transforms are executed as matrix multiplications, using efficient Lapack routines [28].

The entries of the transformation matrix evaluate to

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,j}^{L \rightarrow H} = \int e^{-v^2} h_i(v) l_j\left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) dv = \sum_{\text{ip}} \omega_{\text{ip}} h_i(v_{\text{ip}}) l_j\left(\frac{v_{\text{ip}}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \omega_j h_i(v_j).$$

3.3.2 Hermite to Cylinder Hermite representation

In this subsection we use $\sum_{k,i,j,t}$ to denote $\sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor 0.5i \rfloor} \sum_{t \in \{\cos, \sin\}}$. Note that we do not overload notation by explicitly deducing the cases ($j = 0 \wedge i \in 2\mathbb{N}$), where no sin basis polynomial exists. Transforming to Cylinder Hermite polynomials requires

$$f\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \sum_{i+j+k \leq N} h_{i,j,k} h_i(\mathbf{v}_1) h_j(\mathbf{v}_2) h_k(\mathbf{v}_3) = e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \sum_{k,i,j,r} \theta_{k,i,j,t} \Theta_{k,i,j}^t(\mathbf{v}).$$

Projecting the Hermite polynomial representation onto the Cylinder Hermite polynomials results in

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq N} h_{i,j,k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} H_{i,j,k}(\mathbf{v}) \Theta_{k',i',j'}^{t'}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \theta_{k',i',j',t'}.$$

On the left side we obtain:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} H_{i,j,k}(\mathbf{v}) \Theta_{k',i',j'}^{t'}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \overbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mathbf{v}_3^2} h_k(\mathbf{v}_3) h_{k'-i'}(\mathbf{v}_3) d\mathbf{v}_3}^{=\delta_{k,k'-i'}} \times \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\mathbf{v}_1^2 - \mathbf{v}_2^2} h_i(\mathbf{v}_1) h_j(\mathbf{v}_2) \Psi_{i',j'}^{t'}((\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)) d(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)}_{:=\delta_{i+j,i'} p_{i,j,i',j',t'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta}}$$

The two deltas can be written as $\delta_{k,k'-i'} \delta_{i+j,i'} = \delta_{i+j+k,k'} \delta_{i+j,i'}$. Consequently, only equal degree polynomials ($i+j+k$ and k') interact. The second delta $\delta_{i+j,i'}$ is discussed in [19, 20]. Again, only equal degree polynomials w.r.t. $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$ interact ($i+j$ and i'). Using the deltas we can write

$$\sum_{i=0}^{i'} h_{i,i'-i,k'-i'} \mathcal{P}_{i,i'-i,i',j',t'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} = \theta_{k',i',j',t'}, \quad j' = 0 \dots \lfloor 0.5i \rfloor, i' = 0 \dots k', k' = 0 \dots N.$$

This gives a very structured transformation from Hermite to Cylinder Hermite polynomials: We consider a fixed $0 \leq k' \leq N$ and $0 \leq i' \leq k'$ and denote $\mathbf{h}_{k',i'} := (h_{0,i',k'-i'}, h_{1,i'-1,k'-i'}, \dots, h_{i',0,k'-i'})^t$ and $\theta_{k',i'} := (\theta_{k',i',\cos}, \theta_{k',i',\sin})^t$ with $\theta_{k',i',t'} := (\theta_{k',i',0,t'}, \theta_{k',i',1,t'}, \dots, \theta_{k',i',\lfloor 0.5i \rfloor, t'})^t$. Let us now define the projection matrix $\mathcal{P}_{i'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{(i'+1) \times (i'+1)}$ with

$$\mathcal{P}_{i'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{i',\cos}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} \\ \mathcal{P}_{i',\sin}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{i',t'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} := \begin{pmatrix} p_{0,i',i',0,t'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} & \cdots & p_{i',0,i',0,t'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} \\ p_{0,i',i',1,t'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} & \cdots & p_{i',0,i',1,t'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_{0,i',i',\lfloor 0.5i \rfloor, t'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} & \cdots & p_{i',0,i',\lfloor 0.5i \rfloor, t'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

to write the transformation as $\theta_{k',i'} = \mathcal{P}_{i'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} \mathbf{h}_{k',i'}$, $0 \leq i' \leq k'$, $0 \leq k' \leq N$. The matrix $\mathcal{P}_{i'}^{H \rightarrow \Theta}$ is independent of k' such that it can be recycled for different total polynomial degrees k' . Thus, we arrange the Hermite and Cylinder Hermite coefficients as

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_{0,0} & \mathbf{h}_{1,0} & \mathbf{h}_{2,0} & \cdots & \mathbf{h}_{N,0} \\ 0 & \mathbf{h}_{1,1} & \mathbf{h}_{2,1} & \cdots & \mathbf{h}_{N,1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{h}_{2,2} & \cdots & \mathbf{h}_{N,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{h}_{N,N} \end{pmatrix} \quad \Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{0,0} & \theta_{1,0} & \theta_{2,0} & \cdots & \theta_{N,0} \\ 0 & \theta_{1,1} & \theta_{2,1} & \cdots & \theta_{N,1} \\ 0 & 0 & \theta_{2,2} & \cdots & \theta_{N,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & 0 & \theta_{N,N} \end{pmatrix},$$

and define the block diagonal matrix $\mathcal{P}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} := \text{diag}(\mathcal{P}_0^{H \rightarrow \Theta}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_N^{H \rightarrow \Theta})$ (see figure 1) to obtain

$$\Theta = \mathcal{P}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} \mathbf{H}.$$

The required operations for the transformation for a fixed (k', i') is $(i'+1)^2$. Thus, summing over $i' = 0 \dots k'$ and $k' = 0 \dots N$ results in $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$ operations. The arising matrix multiplications are again performed with highly optimized Lapack routines [28].




Figure 1: The structure of the projection matrix $\mathcal{P}^{H \rightarrow \Theta}$. The gray shaded blocks are the only non zero entries, the i' -th block is of size $(i' + 1) \times (i' + 1)$.

3.3.3 Cylinder Hermite to Spherical Laguerre representation

As before we use the shorthand notation $\sum_{k,i,j,t}$ to denote

$$\sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor 0.5i \rfloor} \sum_{t \in \{\cos, \sin\}},$$

the sum over the Cylinder Hermite polynomials. Additionally we use $\sum_{k',i',j',t'}$ instead of

$$\sum_{k'=0}^N \sum_{i'=0}^{k'} \sum_{j'=0}^{2i'+I_{2\mathbb{N}}(k')} \sum_{t' \in \{\cos, \sin\}}$$

for the sum over the Spherical Laguerre polynomials. $I_{2\mathbb{N}}(k')$ is the indicator function of the set $2\mathbb{N}$ at k' . We require

$$f\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \sum_{k,i,j,t} \theta_{k,i,j}^t \Theta_{k,i,j}^t(\mathbf{v}) = e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \sum_{k',i',j',t'} \phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'} \Phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'}(\mathbf{v}).$$

Projecting onto the Spherical Laguerre polynomials results in

$$\sum_{k,i,j,t} \theta_{k,i,j}^t \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \Theta_{k,i,j}^t(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}}_{:= \mathcal{P}_{(k',i'),(i,j)}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi}} = \phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'}.$$

Since the Cylinder Hermite and the Spherical Laguerre bases are orthogonal w.r.t. the same inner product and are hierarchical, the only interaction is when $k' = k$. Accordingly we can simplify the left hand side to

$$\sum_{i,j,t} \theta_{k',i,j}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} \Theta_{k',i,j}^t(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'}. \quad (18)$$

Spherical coordinates for \mathbf{v} and $x^2 + y^2 = r^2 \sin^2(\theta)$, as well as the abbreviation $2i + (k \bmod 2) =: K(i, k)$ transform the integral in (18) into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{(k', i'), (i, j)}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi} &= \delta_{j', I(i, j)} \delta_{t, t'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]} e^{-|r|^2} (r \sin(\theta))^{I(i, j)} \mathcal{L}_{\frac{i - I(i, j)}{2}}^{I(i, j)} (r^2 \sin^2(\theta)) \times \\ &h_{k' - i}(r \cos(\theta)) P_{K(i', k')}^{j'}(\cos(\theta)) r^{K(i', k')} \mathcal{L}_{k' - i'}^{K(i', k') + 0.5}(r^2) r^2 \sin(\theta) d\theta dr. \end{aligned}$$

The deltas in the above integral simplify the sum in (18) to

$$\phi_{k', i', j'}^{t'} = \sum_i \theta_{k', i, \frac{j' - (i \bmod 2)}{2}}^{t'} \mathcal{P}_{(k', i'), (i, \frac{j' - (i \bmod 2)}{2})}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi}.$$

We need to specify the range for i , i' and j' . From $\delta_{j', I(i, j)}$ we deduce that for non vanishing integrals either $j' \in 2\mathbb{N} \wedge i \in 2\mathbb{N}$ or $j' \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1 \wedge i \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$. Using $I(i, j) = j'$ we obtain the bounds for i :

$$i = 0 \dots k' \wedge j = 0 \dots \lfloor 0.5i \rfloor \Leftrightarrow j = 0 \dots \lfloor 0.5k' \rfloor \wedge i = j' \dots k'.$$

The bounds for the j' and i' result in

$$i' = 0 \dots \lfloor \frac{k'}{2} \rfloor \wedge j' = 0 \dots K(i', k') \Leftrightarrow j' = 0 \dots k' \wedge i' = \lfloor \frac{j' - k \bmod 2 + 1}{2} \rfloor \dots \lfloor \frac{k'}{2} \rfloor.$$

With these two equations, we can state the transformation, which – for $k' \in 2\mathbb{N}$ – is

$$\phi_{k', i', 0}^{\text{cos}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k'}{2}} \theta_{k', 2i, 0}^{\text{cos}} \mathcal{P}_{(k', i'), (2i, 0)}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi}, \quad i' = 0 \dots \frac{k'}{2}$$

for $j' = 1 \dots \frac{k'}{2}$:

$$\phi_{k', i', 2j' - 1}^{t'} = \sum_{i=j'}^{\frac{k'}{2}} \theta_{k', 2i - 1, j' - 1}^{t'} \mathcal{P}_{(k', i'), (2i - 1, j' - 1)}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi}, \quad t' \in \{\cos, \sin\}, \quad i' = j' \dots \frac{k'}{2}$$

$$\phi_{k', i', 2j'}^{t'} = \sum_{i=j'}^{\frac{k'}{2}} \theta_{k', 2i, j'}^{t'} \mathcal{P}_{(k', i'), (2i, j')}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi}, \quad t' \in \{\cos, \sin\}, \quad i' = j' \dots \frac{k'}{2}.$$

This is a block diagonal matrix, with the first block of size $(k'/2 + 1) \times (k'/2 + 1)$ followed by 4 blocks of size $(k'/2 - j + 1) \times (k'/2 - j + 1)$, $j = 1 \dots k'/2$. For odd k' we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{k',i',0}^{\cos} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k'-1}{2}} \theta_{k',2i,0}^{\cos} \mathcal{P}_{(k',i'),(2i,0)}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi}, \quad i' = 0 \dots \frac{k'-1}{2} \\ \phi_{k',i',1}^{t'} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k'-1}{2}} \theta_{k',2i+1,0}^{t'} \mathcal{P}_{(k',i'),(2i+1,0)}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi}, \quad t' \in \{\cos, \sin\}, \quad i' = 0 \dots \frac{k'-1}{2} \\ \text{for } j' = 1 \dots \frac{k'-1}{2} : \\ \phi_{k',i',2j'}^{t'} &= \sum_{i=j'}^{\frac{k'-1}{2}} \theta_{k',2i,j'}^{t'} \mathcal{P}_{(k',i'),(2i,j')}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi}, \quad t' \in \{\cos, \sin\}, \quad i' = j' \dots \frac{k'-1}{2} \\ \phi_{k',i',2j'+1}^{t'} &= \sum_{i=j'}^{\frac{k'-1}{2}} \theta_{k',2i+1,j'}^{t'} \mathcal{P}_{(k',i'),(2i-1,j'-1)}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi}, \quad t' \in \{\cos, \sin\}, \quad i' = j' \dots \frac{k'-1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

In the beginning, there are 3 blocks of size $\frac{k'-1}{2} \times \frac{k'-1}{2}$. Then there are again 4 blocks of equal sizes $(\frac{k'-1}{2} - j + 1) \times (\frac{k'-1}{2} - j + 1)$, $j = 0 \dots \frac{k'-1}{2}$.

For a fixed k' the computational work is $(\frac{k'}{2} + 1)^2 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{k'/2} (\frac{k'}{2} - j + 1)^2 = \mathcal{O}(k'^3)$ for even k' and for odd k' this is $3(\frac{k'}{2} + 1)^2 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k'/2 \rfloor} (\frac{k'-1}{2} - j + 1)^2 = \mathcal{O}(k'^3)$. Summing over $k' = 0 \dots N$ gives a transformation of complexity $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$.

3.3.4 Multiplication with b_r

Now that we arrived at $f_2^{(\hat{v}/\sqrt{2})}$ in the Spherical Laguerre basis, we consider the multiplication with $b_r(r)$. We define $f_{2,\beta}^{(\hat{v}/\sqrt{2})}(\hat{v}/\sqrt{2}) := b_r(\sqrt{2}r) f_2^{(\hat{v}/\sqrt{2})}(\hat{v}/\sqrt{2})$ and project onto V_N :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-r} (f_{2,r}^{(\frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}})}) (\frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}}) \Phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'} (\frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}}) d\hat{v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-r} b_r(\sqrt{2}r) f_2^{(\frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}})} (\frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}}) \Phi_{k',i',j'}^{t'} (\frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}}) d\hat{v}. \quad (19)$$

Now, expand $f_2^{(\hat{v}/\sqrt{2})}(\frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}}) = \sum \phi_{k,i,j}^t \Phi_{k,i,j}(\hat{v})$ and $(f_{2,\beta}^{(\hat{v}/\sqrt{2})})(\frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}}) = \sum \phi_{k,i,j}^{\beta t} \Phi_{k,i,j}(\hat{v})$, use $b_r(r) = r^\beta$ and plug everything into (19) to arrive at:

$$\phi_{k',i',j'}^{\beta t'} = \sum_k \phi_{k,i',j'}^{t'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-r} r^{2i'+0.5(1+\beta)} \mathcal{L}_{k-i'}^{2i'+0.5}(r) \mathcal{L}_{k'-i'}^{2i'+0.5}(r) dr.$$

The integral on the right hand side is exactly evaluated by Gauss Laguerre quadratures with weight function $\omega(x) = e^{-r} r^{2i'+0.5(1+\beta)}$ [29, 30].

3.4 The transformation of the test functions

In order to consider the transformation of the test functions, we denote by $\mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow \Phi} : Q^N \rightarrow P^N$ the transformation from nodal to hierarchical polynomials. For $f \in P^N \subset Q^N$ there holds for each

$\Phi \in P^N$

$$\int e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} f(\mathbf{v}) \Phi(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \int e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} (\mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow \Phi} f)(\mathbf{v}) \Phi(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \int e^{-|\mathbf{v}|^2} f(\mathbf{v}) \mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow \Phi^*} \Phi(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}.$$

Thus, testing with Lagrange polynomials is obtained by applying the transposed matrix $\mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow \Phi^t}$ to $Q^I(f_2^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}, \Phi)$. Since $\mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow \Phi} = \mathcal{P}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi} \mathcal{P}^{H \rightarrow \Theta} \mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow H}$, the transposed matrix becomes

$$\mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow \Phi^t} = \mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow H^t} \mathcal{P}^{H \rightarrow \Theta^t} \mathcal{P}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi^t}.$$

As a consequence it has the same asymptotic computational costs as the forward transformation. Finally, using the transformation of the test functions we obtain for the inner collision operator Q^I

$$Q^I(f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}/\sqrt{2}}, \mathbf{L}^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}/\sqrt{2}})(\bar{\mathbf{v}}/\sqrt{2}) = \mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow H^t} \mathcal{P}^{H \rightarrow \Theta^t} \mathcal{P}^{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi^t} Q^I(f^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}/\sqrt{2}}, \Phi)(\bar{\mathbf{v}}/\sqrt{2}).$$

3.5 The collision algorithm

In this section we present the algorithm for the calculation of the collision operator.

```

N =  $\sqrt[3]{\text{ndof}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} - 1}$ 
( $\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \omega$ ) = TensorGaussHermiteRule(N + 1)
q = 0
for all ( $\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \omega$ ) do
   $c^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} = \text{Shift}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(c)$ 
  for  $j = 0$  to  $\text{ndof}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}^{(2)} - 1$  do
     $e_j = c_j^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} c_{\text{ndof}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}^{(2)} - 1 - j}^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}$ 
  end for
   $h = \text{Nodal2Hermite}(c^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}})$ 
   $\theta = \text{Hermite2CylinderHermite}(h)$ 
   $\psi = \text{CylinderHermite2SphericalLaguerre}(\theta)$ 
   $\psi^\beta = \text{Multr}(\psi)$ 
   $p_{\text{coll}} = \text{DiagCollision}(\psi^\beta)$ 
   $\theta_{\text{coll}} = \text{CylinderHermite2SphericalLaguerreT}(\psi_{\text{coll}})$ 
   $h_{\text{coll}} = \text{Hermite2CylinderHermiteT}(\theta_{\text{coll}})$ 
   $n_{\text{coll}} = \text{Nodal2HermiteT}(h_{\text{coll}})$ 
   $q_+ = \omega \text{ShiftT}_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}(n_{\text{coll}})$ 
end for

```

Remark 12. Note that testing in V_N is obtained from $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f) \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v}$ via

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f) \mathbf{H} d\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{P}^{N \rightarrow H^{-t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f) \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v},$$

with \mathbf{H} denoting the vector of the Hermite polynomials.

To summarize the computational complexity and memory requirements, we note that the complexity for each $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ is $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$. There are N^3 different $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ nodes such that the complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N^7)$ in total. A reduction of computational costs can be obtained by the use of low order integration rules w.r.t. $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$. The complexity of our algorithm in terms of unknowns per direction is higher than for Fourier-spectral

methods [18], but the better approximation properties of the weighted Hermite polynomials outweigh the higher effort. This is documented in examples 4.2 and 4.3.

The storage requirements are $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ for the shift matrices and for the transformation from Hermite to Cylinder Hermite. $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ is required for the transformation from Lagrange to Hermite polynomials and finally $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$ for the transformation from Cylinder Hermite to Spherical Laguerre. To take a concrete example, let $N = 64$, then only 22 Megabytes of memory are required to store the transformations and the solution vector. This very low memory requirements are a direct consequence of reducing the transformations to several 1d transforms.

4 Numerical results

All our computations were done on Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E7-8867 v3 CPUs. The computation times for a single evaluation of the collision operator are documented in table 1. We note good scalability when the parallelization is done w.r.t. the $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ nodes.

4.1 Maxwell molecules - BKW solution

In a first example we consider a constant kernel $B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}') = \frac{1}{4\pi}$ and an initial condition $f_0(\mathbf{v}) = f_{\text{BKW}}(t_0, \mathbf{v})$, where

$$f_{\text{BKW}}(t, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2(2\pi K(t))^{3/2}} \left(\frac{5K(t) - 3}{K(t)} + \frac{1 - K(t)}{K(t)^2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{2K(t)}}. \quad (20)$$

This is one of the few analytically available solutions of the non linear Boltzmann equation [32–34]. $K(t)$ is given by $K(t) = 1 - e^{-t/6}$. To obtain a non negative initial condition, t_0 has to be greater than $6 \ln(5/2)$, what is satisfied if $t_0 = 5.5$. For a given $t \geq t_0$, f_{BKW} has a density $\rho(t) = 1$, a mean velocity $V(t) = 0$ and a temperature $T = 1$. Thus, to have the stationary solution exactly in our trial space we use $V_{2,(0,0,0)^t, N}$. Figure 4 shows the error w.r.t. time for different numbers of integration nodes w.r.t. $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$. In sub figures a and b we use $n_{\text{ip}} = N$ integration points for each direction to integrate w.r.t. $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$. In sub figures c and d we chose less integration points w.r.t. the mean velocity, $n_{\text{ip}} = 0.75N$. This gives a similar result as for the exact integration w.r.t. $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$. However, sub figures e and f show that a too low order quadrature for $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ yields worse convergence ($n_{\text{ip}} = 0.5N$). In figure 2 we show the maximum of the errors from figure 4 over time. This numerically confirms exponential convergence w.r.t. N . We like to point out that in case of radially symmetric solutions, the fast algorithm proposed in [18] is able to exploit this symmetry and consequently becomes very efficient in that case. The errors at the same number of unknowns per direction are comparable.

4.2 Maxwell molecules - moments

Consider again the constant collision kernel $B = \frac{1}{4\pi}$. The initial condition is a sum of 2 Maxwellians:

$$f_0(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\rho_1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{v}-V_1|^2}{\sqrt{2T_1}}} + \frac{\rho_2}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{v}-V_2|^2}{\sqrt{2T_2}}} \quad (21)$$

where $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $T_1 = T_2 = 1$ and $V_1 = (2, 2, 0)^t$ and $V_2 = (-2, 0, 0)^t$. This is an initial condition with density $\rho = 1$, $V = (0, 1, 0)^t$ and $T = \frac{8}{3}$. Consequently we use $V_{\frac{16}{3},(0,1,0)^t, N}$ for approximation.

There is no exact solution known, but formulas for the momentum flow P and the energy flux q exist.

$$P_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j f(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}, \quad q_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{v}_j |\mathbf{v}|^2 f(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}.$$

For the initial condition (21) the non zero entries of these are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{11} &= \frac{7}{3}e^{-t/2} + \frac{8}{3} & P_{22} &= -\frac{2}{3}e^{-t/2} + \frac{11}{3} \\ P_{33} &= -\frac{5}{3}e^{-t/2} + \frac{8}{3} & P_{12} &= -2e^{-t/2} \\ q_1 &= -2e^{-t/2} & q_2 &= -\frac{2}{3}e^{-t/2} + \frac{43}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

We present the results in figures 3 and 5. In figure 3a we depict the maximum error in the moments over time from which we deduce exponential convergence for the moments. Figure 5 shows the error in second and third order moments over time. Note that already very low expansion order $N = 8$ gives a reasonable accuracy of $1e - 4$ for the moments.

4.3 hard sphere molecules - moments

We consider again the initial condition (21), but now for a different collision kernel

$$B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}') = \frac{1}{4\pi} |v - w|.$$

The moments and their errors are shown in figures 3 and 6. The errors were calculated using a reference solution of order $N = 22$ with a time step $dt = 0.001$. The maximal errors over time are shown in figure 3b, from which we again deduce exponential convergence of the method. A comparison with the results presented in [18] shows also a good agreement.

4.4 angular dependent collision kernel - moments

Now the collision kernel is given by

$$B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}') = \frac{1}{4\pi} |v - w|^{0.38} (1 + \cos(\theta))^{0.4}, \quad \text{with } \cos(\theta) = \frac{(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{e}'}{|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|}.$$

We consider once more the initial condition (21). The time evolution of the moments is depicted in figure 7. They are in good agreement with those published in [18]. We note that in the case of anisotropic solutions, the method proposed in [18] requires a higher number of unknowns per direction (33), to reach a similar error in the approximation of the moments than we do with order 9 polynomials. Without taking the constants into account, we require 9^7 operations compared to $74 \cdot 33^4$ operations.

5 Conclusion

In the present paper we developed an efficient algorithm for the Boltzmann collision operator in the unbounded velocity space. This enables us to include the collision invariants in the test space. Consequently, the conservation properties of the collision operator are naturally carried forward to the discrete

level. For the evaluation of the collision operator we proposed an algorithm requiring $\mathcal{O}(N^7)$ floating operations and a storage of $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$. Almost all numerical tasks we need to perform are matrix- matrix multiplications allowing the usage of highly optimized Lapack routines [28]. Additionally, parallelization w.r.t. the \bar{v} integration nodes is straight forward. Although there are faster methods available, the approximation with weighted Hermite polynomials shows high accuracy when evaluating moments of higher order, see sections 4.2, 4.3.

6 Acknowledgments

Gerhard Kitzler is funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project F 65.

N	1 thread	8 threads	16 threads
4	0.0013	0.0009 (1.44)	0.0008 (1.63)
8	0.1129	0.0189 (5.97)	0.0092 (12.27)
16	10.3471	1.3001 (7.96)	0.7255 (14.26)
24	153.2798	19.2243 (7.97)	10.3800 (14.77)
32	1196.0663	158.7430 (7.53)	99.0189 (12.10)

Table 1: Computation times [s] for a single application of the collision operator. The values in brackets show the speedup w.r.t. 1 thread.




Figure 2: BKW solution. $\|e_{L_2}(t)\|_{L_\infty(5.5,8.5)}$, $\|e_{L_\infty}(t)\|_{L_\infty(5.5,8.5)}$ for different numbers n_{ip} of integration points for \bar{v} . Time stepping was done with Runge Kutta 4, $dt = 0.1$.




Figure 3: Maxwellian sum. The maximum of the error in the moments over time for Maxwell molecules **a**, and hard spheres **b**.




Figure 4: **a,c,e**: L_∞ -error $e_{L_\infty}(t) = \|f(t) - f_h(t)\|_{L_\infty}$, **b,d,f**: L_2 -error $e_{L_2}(t) = \|f(t) - f_h(t)\|_{L_2}$ over time for different polynomial orders and different numbers n_{ip} of integration nodes w.r.t. $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$. **a, b**: $n_{ip} = N$. **c** and **d**: $n_{ip} = 0.75N$. **e, f**: $n_{ip} = 0.5N$. Time stepping with a Runge Kutta 4 scheme $dt = 0.1$.




Figure 5: Second and third order moments (red) and difference to exact values (blue: $N = 8$, green: $N = 16$) for Maxwell molecules. Time stepping with a Runge Kutta 4-step method, $dt = 0.1$ for $N = 8$ and $dt = 0.01$ for $N = 16$.




Figure 6: Time evolution of second and third order moments (red) for hard sphere molecules and their difference to a reference solution (blue: $N = 8$, green: $N = 16$). Time stepping with a Runge Kutta 4-step method, $dt = 0.01$. The reference solution was calculated with $N = 22$ and $dt = 0.001$.




Figure 7: Time evolution of second and third order moments for argon molecules. Numerical solution with $N = 16$. Time stepping with a Runge Kutta 4-step method, $dt = 0.01$.

References

- [1] C. Cercignani, R. Illner, and M. Pulvirenti. *The Mathematical Theory of Dilute Gases*, volume 106 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [2] Kenichi Nanbu. Direct Simulation Scheme Derived from the Boltzmann Equation. II. Multicomponent Gas Mixtures. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 49(5):2050–2054, 1980.
- [3] H. Babovsky. On a Simulation Scheme for the Boltzmann Equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 8(2):223–233, 1986.
- [4] G. A. Bird. *Molecular Gas Dynamics and the Direct Simulation of Gas Flows*, volume 42 of *Oxford Engineering Science Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. Corrected reprint of the 1994 original, With 1 IBM-PC floppy disk (3.5 inch; DD), Oxford Science Publications.
- [5] S. Rjasanow and W. Wagner. *Stochastic Numerics for the Boltzmann Equation*, volume 37 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [6] Alexander Bobylev, Andrzej Palczewski, and Jacques Schneider. On approximation of the boltzmann equation by discrete velocity models. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences. Serie I*, 320, 01 1995.
- [7] C. Buet. A Discrete-Velocity Scheme for the Boltzmann Operator of Rarefied Gas Dynamics. *Transport Theory and Statistical Physics*, 25(1):33–60, 1996.
- [8] Vladislav A. Panferov and Alexei G. Heintz. A new consistent-discrete velocity model for the Boltzmann equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 25(7):571–593, 2002.
- [9] L. Pareschi and B. Perthame. A Fourier Spectral Method for Homogeneous Boltzmann Equations. *Transport Theory and Statistical Physics*, 25(3-5):369–382, 1996.
- [10] A. Bobylev and S. Rjasanow. Difference scheme for the Boltzmann equation based on the Fast Fourier Transform. *European J. Mech. B Fluids*, 16(2):293–306, 1997.
- [11] A. V. Bobylev and S. Rjasanow. Fast deterministic method of solving the Boltzmann equation for hard spheres. *Eur. J. Mech. B Fluids*, 18(5):869–887, 1999.
- [12] Lorenzo Pareschi and Giovanni Russo. Numerical Solution of the Boltzmann Equation I: Spectrally Accurate Approximation of the Collision Operator. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 37(4):1217–1245, 2000.
- [13] I. Ibragimov and S. Rjasanow. Numerical solution of the boltzmann equation on the uniform grid. *Computing*, 69(2):163–186, Oct 2002.
- [14] Clément Mouhot and Lorenzo Pareschi. Fast algorithms for computing the Boltzmann collision operator. *Math. Comp.*, 75(256):1833–1852, 2006.
- [15] Irene M. Gamba and Sri Harsha Tharkabhushanam. Spectral-lagrangian methods for collisional models of non-equilibrium statistical states. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 228(6):2012 – 2036, 2009.

- [16] Irene M. Gamba and Sri Harsha Tharkabhushanam. Shock and boundary structure formation by spectral-lagrangian methods for the inhomogeneous boltzmann transport equation. *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, 28(4):430–460, 2010.
- [17] Francis Filbet and Clément Mouhot. Analysis of spectral methods for the homogeneous Boltzmann equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(4):1947–1980, 2011.
- [18] Irene M. Gamba, Jeffrey R. Haack, Cory D. Hauck, and Jingwei Hu. A fast spectral method for the boltzmann collision operator with general collision kernels. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 39(4):B658–B674, 2017.
- [19] G. Kitzler. *A high order discontinuous Galerkin method for the Boltzmann equation*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Wien, 2016.
- [20] G. Kitzler and J. Schöberl. A high-order space momentum discontinuous Galerkin method for the Boltzmann equation. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 70(7):1539 – 1554, 2015. High-Order Finite Element and Isogeometric Methods.
- [21] E. Fonn, P. Grohs, and R. Hiptmair. Polar Spectral Scheme for the Spatially Homogeneous Boltzmann Equation. Technical Report 2014-13, Seminar for Applied Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Switzerland, 2014.
- [22] P. Grohs, R. Hiptmair, and S. Pintarelli. Tensor-product discretization for the spatially inhomogeneous and transient boltzmann equation in 2d. Technical Report 2015-38, Seminar for Applied Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Switzerland, 2015.
- [23] A. Ya. Ender and I. A. Ender. Polynomial expansions for the isotropic Boltzmann equation and invariance of the collision integral with respect to the choice of basis functions. *Phys. Fluids*, 11(9):2720–2730, 1999.
- [24] Irene M. Gamba and Sergej Rjasanow. Galerkin-petrov approach for the boltzmann equation, 2017.
- [25] T. Keßler and J. S. Rjasanow. Fully conservative spectral Galerkin-Petrov method for the inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation. Technical Report Preprint Nr. 396, Fachrichtung Mathematik, Universitt des Saarlandes, 2017.
- [26] C. Cercignani. *Mathematical methods in kinetic theory*. Plenum Press, 1969.
- [27] Hudong Chen, Satheesh Kandasamy, Steven Orszag, Rick Shock, Sauro Succi, and Victor Yakhot. Extended boltzmann kinetic equation for turbulent flows. *Science*, 301(5633):633–636, 2003.
- [28] E. Anderson, Z. Bai, C. Bischof, S. Blackford, J. Demmel, J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, A. Greenbaum, S. Hammarling, A. McKenney, and D. Sorensen. *LAPACK Users' Guide*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, third edition, 1999.
- [29] Jie Shen, Tao Tang, and Li-Lian Wang. *Spectral Methods: Algorithms, Analysis and Applications*, volume 41. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [30] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun. *Handbook of mathematical functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, volume 55 of *National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series*. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.

- [31] Nail A. Gumerov and Ramani Duraiswami. *Fast Multipole Methods for the Helmholtz Equation in Three Dimensions*. Elsevier Series in Electromagnetism. Elsevier Science, 2004.
- [32] R. S. Krupp. *A Nonequilibrium Solution of the Fourier Transformed Boltzmann Equation*. PhD thesis, MIT, 1967.
- [33] A. V. Bobylev. The method of the Fourier transform in the theory of the Boltzmann equation for Maxwell molecules. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 225(6):1041–1044, 1975.
- [34] M. H. Ernst. Exact Solutions of the Nonlinear Boltzmann Equation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 34(5-6):1001–1017, 1984.