

Rectangles in latin squares

Ivan I. Deriyenko

Abstract. To get another from a given latin square, we have to change at least 4 entries. We show how to find these entries and how to change them.

1. The *distance* between two latin squares $||a_{ij}||$ and $||b_{ij}||$ of the same size $n > 2$ is equal to the number of cells in which the corresponding elements a_{ij} and b_{ij} are not equal. The minimal distance between two latin squares is equal 4. Moreover, for any $n > 3$ there exists two latin squares of size n which differ in precisely four entries [9, Theorem 3.3.4]. In the case of latin squares defining groups the situation is more complicated. If two different Cayley table of order $n \neq \{4, 6\}$ represent groups (not necessary distinct), then they differ from each other in at least $2n$ places. An arbitrary Cayley table of the cyclic group of order 4 differs in at least four places from an arbitrary Cayley table of Klein's 4-group (cf. [5, 6, 8, 9]).

The interesting question is: *When two latin squares which differ in precisely four entries are isomorphic or isotopic.* To solve this problem we will use autotopies of the corresponding quasigroups, i.e., three bijections α, β, γ of a quasigroup Q such that $\alpha(x) \cdot \beta(y) = \gamma(x \cdot y)$ for all $x, y \in Q$.

2. Let $Q = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ be a finite set. The multiplication (composition) of permutations φ and ψ of Q is defined as $\varphi\psi(x) = \varphi(\psi(x))$. All permutations will be written in the form of cycles and cycles will be separated by points, e.g.

$$\varphi = \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 7 \end{array} \right) = (132.45.6.78.)$$

Permutations L_i ($i \in Q$) of Q such that $L_i(x) = i \cdot x$ for all $x \in Q$, are called *left translations* of an element i . Such permutations were firstly investigated by V. D. Belousov (cf. [1]) in connection with some groups associated with quasigroups. Next, such translations were used by many authors to describe various properties of quasigroups, see for example [2], [4] or [10]. Left translations are applied in [3] to the construction of some polynomials that can be used to determine which quasigroups are isotopic. Namely, the main results of [3] shows that isotopic quasigroups have the same polynomials.

3. We say that elements $x, y, z, u \in Q$, $x \neq z$, $y \neq u$, determine a *rectangle* in a quasigroup Q if $xy = zu = a$ and $xu = zy = b$ for some $a, b \in Q$. Vertices of such rectangle have the form xy , xu , zx and zu (see example below). Such

determined rectangle will be denoted by $\langle x, y, z, u \rangle$ or by $\langle x, u, z, y \rangle$. It is clear that $\langle x, u, z, y \rangle = \langle x, x \setminus a, a / (x \setminus b), x \setminus b \rangle$.

Example 1. The following quasigroup

\cdot	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	2	1	4	3	7	5	6
3	3	6	5	1	4	7	2
4	4	5	2	7	6	1	3
5	5	4	7	6	2	3	1
6	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
7	7	3	6	5	1	2	4

has the rectangle $\langle 2, 3, 7, 7 \rangle$. Other rectangles of this quasigroup are calculated in Example 2.

Theorem 1. *A group has a rectangle if and only if it has an element of order two.*

Proof. Let x be an element of a group G such that $x^2 = e$ and $x \neq e$. Then $ee = xx = e$, $ex = xe = x$, so $\langle e, e, x, x \rangle$ is a rectangle (square).

Conversely, let $\langle x, y, z, u \rangle$ be a rectangle in a group G . Then $xyu^{-1} = xuy^{-1}$, and consequently $(yu^{-1})^2 = e$. So $a = yu^{-1} \neq e$ has order two. \square

Lemma 1. *Isotopic (antiisotopic) quasigroups have the same number of rectangles.*

Proof. Let (Q, \cdot) and (Q, \circ) be isotopic quasigroups, i.e., $\gamma(x \cdot y) = \alpha(x) \circ \beta(y)$ for some bijections of Q and all $x, y \in Q$. Then, as it is not difficult to see, $\langle x, y, z, u \rangle$ is a rectangle of (Q, \cdot) if and only if $\langle \alpha(x), \beta(y), \alpha(z), \beta(u) \rangle$ is a rectangle of (Q, \circ) . \square

4. Each quasigroup $Q = (Q, \cdot)$ determines five new quasigroups $Q_i = (Q, \circ_i)$ with the operations \circ_i defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ_1 y = z &\iff x \cdot z = y \\ x \circ_2 y = z &\iff z \cdot y = x \\ x \circ_3 y = z &\iff z \cdot x = y \\ x \circ_4 y = z &\iff y \cdot z = x \\ x \circ_5 y = z &\iff y \cdot x = z \end{aligned}$$

Such defined (not necessarily distinct) quasigroups are called *parastrophes* or *conjugates* of Q . Traditionally they are denoted as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 = Q^{-1} = (Q, \setminus), \quad Q_2 = {}^{-1}Q = (Q, /), \quad Q_3 = {}^{-1}(Q^{-1}) = (Q_1)_2, \\ Q_4 = ({}^{-1}Q)^{-1} = (Q_2)_1 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_5 = ({}^{-1}(Q^{-1}))^{-1} = ((Q_1)_2)_1 = ((Q_2)_1)_2. \end{aligned}$$

From the above results and results obtained in [7] it follows that a *fixed IP-quasigroup and all its parastrophes have the same number of rectangles. Similarly, a quasigroup isotopic to a group and its parastrophes.*

5. Note that if a commutative quasigroup has a rectangle $\langle x, y, z, u \rangle$ with $x = y$, then also $z = u$. Similarly, $z = u$ implies $x = y$. So, if in a commutative quasigroup Q one of vertices of the rectangle $\langle x, y, z, u \rangle$ lies on the diagonal of the multiplication table of Q , then this rectangle is a square and its diagonal coincides with the diagonal of the multiplication table.

In Boolean groups each two elements x, y determine the rectangle $\langle x, y, xy, e \rangle$; each three elements x, y, z determine the rectangle $\langle x, y, z, xyz \rangle$.

An interesting question is how to find rectangles in a given quasigroup. Direct calculation of $\langle x, x \setminus a, a / (x \setminus b), x \setminus b \rangle$ is rather trouble. Below we present simplest method based on left translations.

Let $\langle x, y, z, u \rangle$ be a rectangle in a quasigroup (Q, \cdot) . Then, according to the definition, $xy = zu = a$ and $xu = zy = b$. Thus the left translations L_x and L_z have the form

$$L_x = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & y & \dots & u & \dots \\ \dots & a & \dots & b & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_z = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & y & \dots & u & \dots \\ \dots & b & \dots & a & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$L_x L_z^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & b & \dots & a & \dots \\ \dots & a & \dots & b & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that the permutation $L_x L_z^{-1}$ used in the construction of indicators (for details see [3]) has the cycle (a, b) . Thus vertices a, b of this rectangle are located in the x -th row, vertices b, a in the $a / (x \setminus b)$ -th row. Since $L_z L_x^{-1} = (L_x L_z^{-1})^{-1}$, the permutation $L_x L_z^{-1}$ and $L_z L_x^{-1}$ have the same cycles of the length 2. So, it is sufficient to calculate $L_x L_z^{-1}$ for $x < z$ only.

Example 2. The quasigroup presented in Example 1 has the following left translations: $L_1 = (1.2.3.4.5.6.7.)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= (12.34.576.), & L_3 &= (1354.267.), & L_4 &= (1473256.), \\ L_5 &= (1524637.), & L_6 &= (1642753.), & L_7 &= (1745.236.). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 L_2^{-1} &= (12.34.576.), & L_2 L_4^{-1} &= (15.24.367.), & L_3 L_4^{-1} &= (17.25643.), \\ L_4 L_5^{-1} &= (13.267.54.), & L_2 L_7^{-1} &= (17253.46.). \end{aligned}$$

In other $L_x L_z^{-1}$ with $x < z$, there are no cycles of the length 2.

Below we present cycles (a, b) and rectangles generated by these cycles.

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 2) &: \langle 1, 1, 2, 2 \rangle, & (3, 4) &: \langle 1, 3, 2, 4 \rangle, & (1, 5) &: \langle 2, 2, 4, 6 \rangle, \\ (2, 4) &: \langle 2, 1, 4, 3 \rangle, & (1, 7) &: \langle 3, 4, 4, 6 \rangle, & (1, 3) &: \langle 4, 6, 5, 7 \rangle, \\ (5, 4) &: \langle 4, 1, 5, 2 \rangle, & (4, 6) &: \langle 2, 3, 7, 7 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence this quasigroup has eight rectangles.

Note that one pair (a, b) can determine several rectangles.

Example 3. In the quasigroup

\cdot	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	2	1	4	5	6	3
3	3	4	2	6	1	5
4	4	5	6	2	3	1
5	5	6	1	3	2	4
6	6	3	5	1	4	2

the pair $(1, 2)$ determines three rectangles: $\langle 1, 1, 2, 2 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 5, 5, 3 \rangle$, $\langle 4, 6, 6, 4 \rangle$. Other rectangles are: $\langle 3, 3, 4, 4 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 3, 6, 6 \rangle$, $\langle 4, 4, 5, 5 \rangle$ and $\langle 5, 5, 6, 6 \rangle$.

6. A quasigroup (Q, \circ) is a *rectangle transformation* of a quasigroup (Q, \cdot) if in (Q, \cdot) there exists a rectangle $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ such that

$$x \circ y = \begin{cases} a \cdot d & \text{if } x = a, y = b, \\ a \cdot b & \text{if } x = c, y = b, \\ c \cdot b & \text{if } x = c, y = d, \\ c \cdot d & \text{if } x = a, y = d, \\ x \cdot y & \text{in other cases.} \end{cases}$$

Example 4. This quasigroup is obtained from the quasigroup given in Example 1 as a rectangle transformation by $\langle 2, 3, 7, 7 \rangle$:

\circ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	2	1	6	3	7	5	4
3	3	6	5	1	4	7	2
4	4	5	2	7	6	1	3
5	5	4	7	6	2	3	1
6	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
7	7	3	4	5	1	2	6

Two rectangles $\langle x, y, z, u \rangle$ and $\langle x', y', z', u' \rangle$ of a quasigroup (Q, \cdot) are *equivalent* if there exists an autotopism (α, β, γ) of (Q, \cdot) such that $\alpha(x) = x'$, $\beta(y) = y'$, $\alpha(z) = z'$ and $\beta(u) = u'$.

Theorem 2. A rectangle transformation by equivalent rectangles gives isotopic quasigroups.

Proof. Let (Q, \circ) and $(Q, *)$ be quasigroups obtained from (Q, \cdot) as a rectangle transformation by $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha(a), \beta(b), \alpha(c), \beta(d) \rangle$, where (α, β, γ) is an autotopy of a quasigroup (Q, \cdot) . Then $\gamma(a \circ b) = \gamma(a \cdot d) = \alpha(a) \cdot \beta(d) = \alpha(a) * \beta(b)$. Analogously $\gamma(a \circ d) = \alpha(a) * \beta(d)$, $\gamma(c \circ b) = \alpha(c) * \beta(b)$ and $\gamma(c \circ d) = \alpha(c) * \beta(d)$. In other cases $x \circ y = x \cdot y = x * y$. So, quasigroups (Q, \circ) and $(Q, *)$ are isotopic. \square

Example 5. Applying the condition $\gamma L_i \beta^{-1} = L_{\alpha(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$, to the quasigroup defined in Example 1, after long computations, we can see that this quasigroup has only one non-trivial autotopism. It has the form (α, β, γ) , where $\alpha = (15.24.37.6.)$, $\beta = (12.36.47.5.)$, $\gamma = (14.25.67.3.)$. Thus, the rectangle $\langle 1, 1, 2, 2 \rangle$ is equivalent to the rectangle $\langle \alpha(1), \beta(1), \alpha(2), \beta(2) \rangle = \langle 5, 2, 4, 1 \rangle = \langle 4, 1, 5, 2 \rangle$. Also rectangles $\langle 1, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$ and $\langle 4, 6, 5, 7 \rangle$, $\langle 2, 1, 4, 3 \rangle$ and $\langle 2, 2, 4, 6 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 4, 4, 6 \rangle$ and $\langle 2, 3, 7, 7 \rangle$ are pairwise equivalent. So, the quasigroup defined in Example 1 has four non-equivalent rectangles. Thus, by rectangle transformations, from this quasigroup we obtain four non-isotopic quasigroups.

Observe that by the converse rectangle transformations we obtain the same quasigroup. So, by the rectangle transformation from two non-isotopic quasigroups we can obtain isotopic quasigroups. Hence, the converse of Theorem 2 is not true.

References

- [1] **V. D. Belousov**, *On group associated with a quasigroup* (Russian), *Mat. Issled.* **4** (1969), no. 3, 21 – 39.
- [2] **I. I. Deriyenko**, *Necessary conditions of the isotopy of finite quasigroups*, (Russian) *Mat. Issled.* **120** (1991), 51 – 63.
- [3] **I. I. Deriyenko**, *Indicators of quasigroups*, *Quasigroups Related Systems* **19** (2011), 223 – 226.
- [4] **I. I. Deriyenko, W. A. Dudek**, *D-loops*, *Quasigroups Related Systems* **20** (2012), 183 – 196.
- [5] **D. Donovan, S. Oates-Williams and Ch. E. Praeger**, *On the distance between distinct group latin squares*, *J. Combin. designs* **5** (1997), 235 – 248.
- [6] **A. Drápal**, *Hamming distances of groups and quasigroups*, *Discrete Math.* **235** (2001), 189 – 197.
- [7] **W. A. Dudek**, *Parastrophes of quasigroups*, *Quasigroups Related Systems* **23** (2015), 221 – 230.
- [8] **S. A. Frisch**, *On the minimal distance between group tables*, *Acta Sci. Math.* (Szeged), **63** (1997), 341 – 351.
- [9] **D. Keedwell, J. Dénes**, *Latin squares and their applications*, North-Holland, 2015.
- [10] **V. Shcherbacov**, *Elements of quasigroup theory and applications*, CRC Press, 2017.