

DEGREE OF IRRATIONALITY OF VERY GENERAL ABELIAN SURFACES

Nathan Chen

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a projective variety X of dimension n which is not rational, one can try to quantify how far it is from being rational. When $n = 1$, the natural invariant is the *gonality* of a curve C , defined to be the smallest degree of a branched covering $C' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ (where C' is the normalization of C). One generalization of gonality to higher dimensions is the *degree of irrationality*, defined as:

$$\text{irr}(X) = \min\{\delta > 0 \mid \exists \text{ a degree } \delta \text{ rational dominant map } X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n\}.$$

Recently, there has been significant progress in understanding the case of hypersurfaces of large degree (cf. [2], [3], [4]). The history behind the development of these ideas is described in [4]. The results of [2], [3], [4] depend on the positivity of the canonical bundles of the varieties in question, so it is interesting to consider what happens in the K_X -trivial case. Our purpose here is to prove the somewhat surprising fact that the degree of irrationality of a very general polarized abelian surface is uniformly bounded above, independently of the degree of the polarization.

To be precise, let $A = A_d$ be an abelian surface carrying a polarization $L = L_d$ of type $(1, d)$ and assume that $\text{NS}(A) = \mathbb{Z}[L]$. An argument of Stapleton [9] showed that there is a constant C such that

$$\text{irr}(A) \leq C \cdot \sqrt{d}$$

for $d \gg 0$, and it was conjectured in [4] that equality holds asymptotically. Our main result shows that this is maximally false:

Theorem 1.1. *For an abelian surface $A = A_d$ with Picard number $\rho = 1$, one has*

$$\text{irr}(A) \leq 4.$$

We conjecture that in general equality holds. However, as far as we can see, the conjecture of [4] for polarized K3 surfaces (S_d, B_d) of genus d - namely, that there exist constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1 \cdot \sqrt{d} \leq \text{irr}(S_d) \leq C_2 \cdot \sqrt{d}$ for $d \gg 0$ - remains plausible.¹

For an abelian variety A of dimension n , it has been shown in [1] that $\text{irr}(A) \geq n + 1$ (for $n = 2$, one can also see this via Lemma 3.5). When $n = 2$, Yoshihara proved that $\text{irr}(A) = 3$ for abelian surfaces A containing a smooth curve of genus 3 (cf. [11]). On a related note, Voisin [10] showed that the covering gonality of a very general abelian variety A of dimension n is bounded from below

¹In other words, B_d is an ample line bundle on S_d with $B_d^2 = 2d - 2$.

by $f(n)$, where $f(n)$ grows like $\log(n)$, and this lower bound was subsequently improved to $\lceil \frac{1}{2}n + 1 \rceil$ by Martin [8].²

In the proof of our theorem, assuming as we may that L is symmetric, we consider the space $H^0(A, \mathcal{O}_A(2L))^+$ of even sections of $\mathcal{O}_A(2L)$. By imposing suitable multiplicities at the two-torsion points of A , we construct a subspace $V \subset H^0(A, \mathcal{O}_A(2L))^+$ which numerically should define a rational map from A to a surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Using bounds on the degree of the map and the degree of S , as well as projection from linear subspaces, we construct a degree 4 rational covering $A \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. The main difficulty is to deal with the possibility that $\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(V)$ has a fixed component; this approach was inspired in part by the work of Bauer in [5], [6].

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my advisor Robert Lazarsfeld for suggesting the conjecture and for his encouragement and guidance throughout the formulation of the results in this paper. I would also like to thank Frederik Benirschke, Mohamed El Alami, François Greer, Samuel Grushevsky, Ljudmila Kamenova, Yoon-Joo Kim, Radu Laza, John Sheridan, and Ruijie Yang for engaging in valuable discussions.

2. SET-UP

Let $A = A_d$ be an abelian surface with $\rho(A) = 1$. Assume $\text{NS}(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}[L]$ where L is a polarization of type $(1, d)$ for some fixed $d \geq 1$, so that $L^2 = 2d$ and $h^0(L) = d$. Let

$$\iota : A \rightarrow A, \quad x \mapsto -x$$

be the inverse morphism, and let $Z = \{p_1, \dots, p_{16}\}$ be the set of two-torsion points of A (fixed points of ι). We may assume that L is symmetric – that is, $\iota^*\mathcal{O}_A(L) \cong \mathcal{O}_A(L)$ – by replacing L with a suitable translate. In particular, the cyclic group of order two acts on $H^0(A, \mathcal{O}_A(2L))$. The space of *even* sections $H^0(A, \mathcal{O}_A(2L))^+$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_A(2L)$ (sections s with the property that $\iota^*s = s$) has dimension

$$h^0(A, 2L)^+ = 2d + 2$$

(see [7, Corollary 4.6.6]). An even section of $\mathcal{O}_A(2L)$ vanishes to even order at any two-torsion point, so we need to impose at most

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2m - 1) = m^2$$

conditions for every even section to vanish to order $2m$ at any fixed point $p \in Z$ (see [5] for more details).

Fix any integer solutions $a_1, \dots, a_{16} \geq 0$ to the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{16} a_i^2 = 2d - 2,$$

with $a_{15} = 0 = a_{16}$.³ This is possible by Lagrange's four-squares theorem. Let $V \subset H^0(A, \mathcal{O}_A(2L))^+$ be the space of even sections vanishing to order at least $2a_i$ at each point p_i , such that

$$\dim V \geq 2d + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^{16} a_i^2 \geq 4.$$

²Covering gonality is defined as the minimum integer $c > 0$ such that given a general point $x \in A$, there exists a curve C passing through x with gonality c .

³This assumption will be useful in Corollary 3.4. For larger values of d , note that there are many solutions.

Let $\mathfrak{d} = \mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(V) \subseteq |2L|^+$ be the corresponding linear system of divisors, whose dimension is $N := \dim \mathfrak{d} \geq 3$. Write

$$d_i := \text{mult}_{p_i} D$$

for a general divisor $D \in \mathfrak{d}$, so that $d_i \geq 2a_i$.

Remark 2.1. From [7, Section 4.8], it follows that sections of V are pulled back from the singular Kummer surface A/ι , so any divisor $D \in \mathfrak{d}$ is symmetric, i.e. $\iota(D) = D$.

Let $\varphi : A \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be the rational map given by the linear system \mathfrak{d} above, and write $S := \overline{\text{Im}(\varphi)}$ for the image of φ . Regardless of whether or not \mathfrak{d} has a fixed component, we find that:

Proposition 2.2. *$S \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is an irreducible and nondegenerate surface.*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\overline{\text{Im}(\varphi)}$ is a nondegenerate curve C . Then $\deg C \geq 3$ since $N \geq 3$, and a hyperplane section of $C \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ pulls back to a divisor with at least three irreducible components. This contradicts the fact that any divisor $D(\sim_{\text{lin}} 2L) \in \mathfrak{d}$ has at most two irreducible components since $\text{NS}(A) = \mathbb{Z}[L]$. So the image of φ is a surface. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be a rational map from a surface X to a projective space of dimension $n \geq 2$, and suppose that its image $S := \overline{\text{Im}(\varphi)} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ has dimension 2. Let \mathfrak{d} be the linear system corresponding to φ (assuming \mathfrak{d} has no base components). Then for any $D \in \mathfrak{d}$,*

$$\deg \varphi \cdot \deg S \leq D^2.$$

Proof. The indeterminacy locus of φ is a finite set. \square

3. DEGREE BOUNDS

We now study the numerical properties of the linear series \mathfrak{d} constructed above. Keeping the notation as in §2:

Lemma 3.1. *If \mathfrak{d} has no fixed components, then*

$$\deg \varphi \cdot \deg S \leq 8.$$

Proof. By applying Proposition 2.2 and blowing-up A along the collection of two-torsion points Z to resolve some of the base points of \mathfrak{d} , we arrive at the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{A} := \text{Bl}_Z A & & \\ \pi \downarrow & \dashrightarrow \psi & \\ A & \dashrightarrow \varphi & S \subset \mathbb{P}^N \end{array}$$

The linear system corresponding to ψ has no fixed components, so its divisors are of the form

$$\widehat{D} \sim_{\text{lin}} \pi^* D - \sum_{i=1}^{16} d_i E_i,$$

where \widehat{D} denotes the strict transform of D . By Lemma 2.3 applied to ψ ,

$$\deg \varphi \cdot \deg S = \deg \psi \cdot \deg S \leq \widehat{D}^2 = 4L^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{16} d_i^2 \leq 4 \left(2d - \sum_{i=1}^{16} a_i^2 \right) = 8. \quad (1) \quad \square$$

The main work is to treat the case when \mathfrak{d} has a fixed divisor $F \neq 0$. In this situation, we may write:

$$D = F + M \in \mathfrak{d} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{d} = F + \mathfrak{b},$$

where F and M are the fixed and movable components of \mathfrak{d} , respectively. By definition, $\dim \mathfrak{d} = \dim \mathfrak{b}$. Note that $D \sim_{lin} 2L$ implies $F, M \sim_{alg} L$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{b}$. Choose a general divisor $M \in \mathfrak{b}$ and write

$$m_i := \text{mult}_{p_i} M \quad \text{and} \quad f_i := \text{mult}_{p_i} F,$$

so that $d_i = m_i + f_i \geq 2a_i$ for all i . We claim that F must be symmetric as a divisor. If not, then

$$\iota(M) + \iota(F) = \iota(D) = D = M + F \quad \text{for all} \quad D \in \mathfrak{d}.$$

This implies that $M = \iota(F)$ and $F = \iota(M)$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{b}$, which would mean that M must also be fixed, leading to a contradiction. Hence, F must be symmetric, and likewise for all $M \in \mathfrak{b}$.

We first need an intermediate estimate:

Proposition 3.2. *Assume \mathfrak{d} has a fixed component $F \neq 0$. Keeping the notation as above,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{16} m_i^2 \geq 2d - 8.$$

Proof. The idea here is to use the Kummer construction to push our fixed curve F onto a K3 surface and apply Riemann-Roch. This is analagous to a proof of Bauer's in [6, Theorem 6.1]. Consider the smooth Kummer K3 surface K associated to A :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \subset \widehat{A} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \widehat{A}/\{1, \sigma\} =: K \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ Z \subset A & & \end{array}$$

where π is the blow-up of A along the collection of two-torsion points Z . Since the points in Z are ι -invariant, ι lifts to an involution σ on \widehat{A} and the quotient K is a smooth K3 surface. Let E_i denote the exceptional curve over $p_i \in Z$, so that $E = \sum_{i=1}^{16} E_i$ is the exceptional divisor of π . Since F is symmetric, its strict transform

$$\widehat{F} = \pi^* F - \sum_{i=1}^{16} f_i E_i,$$

descends to an irreducible curve $\bar{F} \subset K$. We claim that

$$h^0(K, \mathcal{O}_K(\bar{F})) = 1.$$

In fact, if the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_K(\bar{F})|$ were to contain a pencil, then this would give us a pencil of symmetric curves in $|\mathcal{O}_A(F)|$ with the same multiplicities at the two-torsion points, which contradicts F being a fixed component of \mathfrak{d} .

From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K(-\bar{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{F}} \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $H^i(K, \mathcal{O}_K(\bar{F})) = 0$ for $i > 0$, so by Riemann-Roch

$$1 = h^0(K, \mathcal{O}_K(\bar{F})) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{O}_K(\bar{F})) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{F})^2 + 2$$

and therefore $(\bar{F})^2 = -2$. On the other hand, the equality

$$-4 = 2(\bar{F})^2 = (\pi^* \bar{F})^2 = (\widehat{F})^2 = F^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{16} f_i^2 = 2d - \sum_{i=1}^{16} f_i^2$$

combined with $\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_i m_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{16} (\frac{d_i}{2})^2$ yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{16} d_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{16} (f_i^2 + m_i^2 + 2f_i m_i) \leq 2d + 4 + \sum_{i=1}^{16} m_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{16} d_i^2.$$

After rearranging the terms, we find that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{16} m_i^2 \geq -2d - 4 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{16} d_i^2 \geq -2d - 4 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{16} a_i^2 \geq 2d - 8$$

for a general divisor $D = F + M \in \mathfrak{d}$, which is the desired inequality. \square

As an immediate consequence:

Theorem 3.3. *Assume \mathfrak{d} has a fixed component $F \neq 0$, and let $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d} - F$ be the linear system defining $\varphi : A \dashrightarrow S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$. Then*

$$\deg \varphi \cdot \deg S \leq 8.$$

Proof. As we saw in the proof of Lemma 3.1,

$$\deg \varphi \cdot \deg S \leq M^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{16} m_i^2 \leq 2d - (2d - 8) = 8. \quad (2)$$

\square

Corollary 3.4. *There exists a 4-to-1 rational map $\varphi : A \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. Recall that we chose the a_i so that $a_{15} = 0 = a_{16}$. From Remark 2.1, it follows that $\varphi : A \dashrightarrow S \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ factors through the quotient $A \rightarrow A/\iota$, so $\deg \varphi$ must be even. The surface S is nondegenerate, so $\deg S \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.5 below, it is impossible for S to be rational together with $\deg \varphi = 2$, so $\{\deg \varphi = 2, \deg S = 2, 3\}$ is ruled out by the classification of quadric and cubic surfaces (using the fact that $\rho(A) = 1$).

Together with the upper bound $\deg \varphi \cdot \deg S \leq 8$ given by Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, there are two possibilities:

$$\{\deg \varphi = 2, \deg S = 4\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\deg \varphi = 4, \deg S = 2\}.$$

Either of these imply equality throughout (1) or (2), so that there is a morphism $\text{Bl}_Z A \rightarrow S$ which fits into the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E_i \subset \text{Bl}_Z A & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & K \supset G_i \\
\downarrow \pi & \searrow & \downarrow \alpha \\
A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \subset \mathbb{P}^3
\end{array}$$

where K is the smooth Kummer K3 surface, γ is a branched cover of degree 2, and $G_i := \gamma(E_i)$.

In the first case where $\deg \varphi = 2$ and $\deg S = 4$, from (1) and (2) it follows that $d_{15} = 0 = d_{16}$ or $m_{15} = 0 = m_{16}$. This implies that the curves G_{15}, G_{16} are contracted and their images q_{15}, q_{16} under α are double points on S since α is a birational morphism. Projection from a general $(N-3)$ -plane containing one but not both of the q_i defines a rational map $A \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree 2 (if q_{15} is a cone point of S , pick a general plane passing through q_{16} , and vice versa). In the second case where $\deg \varphi = 4$ and $\deg S = 2$, note that S is rational. \square

This immediately leads to Theorem 1.1. It is natural to ask what $\text{irr}(A_d)$ is equal to for a very general polarized abelian surface. At least one can see geometrically:

Lemma 3.5. *There are no rational dominant maps $A \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree 2.*

Proof. Suppose there exists such a map. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & A^{[2]} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & A \\
& & & \uparrow & & \\
& & g & \nearrow & & \\
A & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{P}^2 & \xrightarrow{h} & K^{[2]}(A) =: \Sigma^{-1}(0) & \\
& & & & &
\end{array}$$

where g is the pullback map on 0-cycles and $A^{[2]}$ is the Hilbert scheme of 2 points on A . Since the rational map $\Sigma \circ g$ can be extended to a morphism, it must be constant. So $\overline{\text{Im}(g)}$ is contained in a fiber $\Sigma^{-1}(0)$, which is a smooth Kummer K3 surface $K^{[2]}(A)$. Since g is injective, it descends to an injective (and hence birational) map $h : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow K^{[2]}(A)$, yielding a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Alberto Alzati and Gian Pietro Pirola, On the holomorphic length of a complex projective variety, *Arch. Math.* **59** (1992), 398 – 402.
- [2] Francesco Bastianelli, On irrationality of surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 , *J. Algebra* **488** (2017), 349 – 361.
- [3] Francesco Bastianelli, Renza Cortini and Pietro De Poi, The gonality theorem of Noether for hypersurfaces, *J. Alg. Geom.* **23** (2014), 313 – 339.
- [4] Francesco Bastianelli, Pietro De Poi, Lawrence Ein, Robert Lazarsfeld and Brooke Ullery, Measures of irrationality for hypersurfaces of large degree, *Compos. Math.* **153** (2017), 2368 – 2393.
- [5] Thomas Bauer, Projective images of Kummer surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **299** (1994), 155 – 170.
- [6] Thomas Bauer, Seshadri constants on algebraic surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **313** (1999), 547 – 583.
- [7] Christina Birkenhake and Herbert Lange, *Complex Abelian Varieties*, volume 302 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.
- [8] Olivier Martin, On a conjecture of Voisin on the gonality of very general abelian varieties, 2019, arXiv:1902.01311.

- [9] David Stapleton, The degree of irrationality of very general hypersurfaces in some homogeneous spaces, Ph.D. thesis, Stony Brook University, 2017.
- [10] Claire Voisin, Chow rings and gonality of general abelian varieties, 2018, arXiv:1802.07153.
- [11] Hisao Yoshihara, Degree of irrationality of a product of two elliptic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 1371–1375.