

# THERE ARE AT MOST $2^{d+1} - 2$ NEIGHBOURLY SIMPLICES IN DIMENSION $d$

Andrzej P. Kisielewicz & Krzysztof Przesławski

## Abstract

A combinatorial theorem on families of disjoint sub-boxes of a discrete cube, which implies that there are at most  $2^{d+1} - 2$  neighbourly simplices in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , is presented.

## 1 Introduction

A family of  $d$ -dimensional simplices in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is *neighbourly* if the intersection of every two members is  $(d-1)$ -dimensional. It has been repeatedly conjectured that the maximum cardinality of such a family is  $c_d = 2^d$  (see [8] for further references). The conjecture is verified up to dimension 3 only. E. Bagemihl [2] proved that  $8 \leq c_3 \leq 17$ . V. Baston [3] proved  $c_3 \leq 9$ . The final step  $c_3 = 8$  was made by J. Zaks [9]. The same author [8] showed by a clever construction that  $c_d \geq 2^d$ . It was M. Perles [7] who had found  $c_d \leq 2^{d+1}$ . A slightly better estimate  $c_d \leq 2^{d+1} - 1$  is shown in [1, Chapter 14]. (This chapter together with a recent post [5] on G. Kalai's blog are a great introduction to the subject of neighbourly families.) One of our goals is to prove that  $c_d \leq 2^{d+1} - 2$ . Basically, we shall follow Baston's approach with the combinatorial flavour added by Perles.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a neighbourly family in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . Let us arrange all the hyperplanes spanned by the facets of simplices belonging to  $\mathcal{F}$  into a sequence  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ . Each  $H_i$  splits  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into two halfspaces. Let us call them  $H_i^0, H_i^1$ . For every  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$ , let us define a unique word  $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$  of length  $n$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1, *\}$  as follows

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } H_i \text{ is spanned by a facet of } \sigma \text{ and } \sigma \subset H_i^0, \\ 1 & \text{if } H_i \text{ is spanned by a facet of } \sigma \text{ and } \sigma \subset H_i^1, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $V$  be the set of all just defined words  $v$ . As is easily seen,  $V$  satisfies the assumptions of our Theorem 1 with  $k = d + 1$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{F}| = |V| \leq 2^{d+1} - 2$ , as expected.

## 2 Main result

A key observation concerns boxes contained in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . It is a particular case of [6, Lemma 8.1].

Let  $B = B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n$  be a box contained in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . Let

$$\text{prop } B = \{i : B_i \neq \{0, 1\}\}.$$

Two boxes  $B$  and  $C$  contained in  $\{0, 1\}^n$  are said to be *equivalent* if  $\text{prop } B = \text{prop } C$ . We shall need a kind of order relation:  $A \preceq B$  if  $\text{prop } A \supseteq \text{prop } B$ .

LEMMA 1 *Given a family  $\mathcal{B}$  of disjoint boxes contained in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  is minimal with respect to  $\preceq$ . Let  $[A]$  consists of all members  $\mathcal{B}$  that are equivalent to  $A$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a tiling of  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , then*

$$|[A]_e| = |[A]_o|,$$

where  $[A]_e = \{B \in [A] : |\{i \in \text{prop } A : A_i \neq B_i\}| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$  and  $[A]_o = \{B \in [A] : |\{i \in \text{prop } A : A_i \neq B_i\}| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}$ .

*In particular, there is  $B \in [A]$  such that the set  $\{i \in \text{prop } A : A_i \neq B_i\}$  is of odd cardinality.*

*Proof.* Let us define a sequence of functions  $f_i : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , as follows:

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} (-1)^x & \text{for } i \in \text{prop } A, \\ 1 & \text{for } i \notin \text{prop } A. \end{cases}$$

Let  $f = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$ ; that is  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1) \cdots f_n(x_n)$ . For every  $X \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$ , let us set  $\sum_X f = \sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ . It is easy to calculate that  $\sum_A f \neq 0$ . (Namely,  $\sum_A f = (-1)^s 2^{d-|\text{prop } A|}$ , where  $s$  is the cardinality of the set  $\{i : A_i = \{1\}\}$ ). Moreover, by the minimality of  $A$  and the definition of  $f$  we have,

$$\sum_B f = \begin{cases} \sum_A f & \text{if } B \in [A]_e, \\ -\sum_A f & \text{if } B \in [A]_o, \\ 0 & \text{if } B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus [A]. \end{cases}$$

Since also  $\sum_{\{0,1\}^n} f = 0$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a partition of  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_B f = \sum_{B \in [A]} \sum_B f = |[A]_e| \sum_A f - |[A]_o| \sum_A f,$$

which completes the proof. □

Let us emphasize that we shall exploit only the second part of our lemma.

For every  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , one defines the character  $\chi_S : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by

$$\chi_S(x) = (-1)^{\sum_{i \in S} x_i}.$$

Let us remark that the function  $f$  defined in the course of the proof is simply equal to  $\chi_{\text{prop } A}$ . (The reader is referred to [4] for further information on characters.)

Every box  $B \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$  can be encoded as a word  $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1, *\}$  and conversely. The encoding is defined by the correspondence:  $\{0\} \leftrightarrow 0$ ,  $\{1\} \leftrightarrow 1$ ,  $\{0, 1\} \leftrightarrow *$ . From now on, we shall use the terminology of boxes and words interchangeable. All notions considered so far, as for example function  $B \mapsto \text{prop } B$ , translate to words in an obvious manner.

**THEOREM 1** *Let  $3 \leq k < n$  be two integers. Let  $V$  be a set of words of length  $n$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1, *\}$ . Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

- ( $\alpha_1$ )  $|v| = 2^{n-k}$  for every  $v \in V$  (equivalently,  $|\text{prop } v| = k$ );
- ( $\alpha_2$ ) if  $v, u \in V$  are distinct, then there is exactly one  $i$  such that  $\{v_i, u_i\} = \{0, 1\}$ ;
- ( $\alpha_3$ ) if  $v, u \in V$  are distinct, then  $\text{prop } u \neq \text{prop } v$ .

Then  $|V| \leq 2^k - 2$ .

Two cases  $k = 1, 2$  are excluded from our theorem. The first of them is obvious: If  $k = 1$ , then  $|V| \leq 1$ . The following example shows that if  $k = 2$ , then the upper bound for  $|V|$  has to be at least 3:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & * \\ * & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & * & 1 \end{array} .$$

We shall show that it is 3.

Let us start with elementary operations over words. We consider two types of such operations: those induced by permutations, and those induced by complementations:

- ( $\alpha$ ) If  $\sigma$  is a permutation of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , then the operation over words of length  $n$  induced by  $\sigma$  is defined by  $v \mapsto v\sigma = v_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(n)}$ .
- ( $\beta$ ) Let  $c: \{0, 1, *\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, *\}$  be given by  $c(0) = 1, c(1) = 0, c(*) = *$ . Every sequence  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ , where each  $\gamma_i$  is equal to  $c$  or is the identity mapping on  $\{0, 1, *\}$  induces the mapping  $v \mapsto \gamma(v) = \gamma_1(v_1) \cdots \gamma_n(v_n)$  defined on words of length  $n$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1, *\}$ .

It is clear that if  $V$  is a set of words which fulfils conditions ( $\alpha_1$ – $\alpha_3$ ) of our theorem, then any set  $V'$  which results from  $V$  by consecutive applications of elementary operations also fulfils ( $\alpha_1$ – $\alpha_3$ ). The cardinality of  $V'$  is equal to that of  $V$ . Therefore, we can always consider  $V'$  instead of  $V$  when we are looking for an estimate of  $|V|$ . We shall use such a replacement without further comments.

Let us go back to the case  $k = 2$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $u = 00* \cdots *$  belongs to  $V$ . By our assumptions, if  $v \in V$  and  $v \neq u$ , then  $* \in \{v_1, v_2\}$ . We may assume that  $v_1 = *$ . Then  $v_2$  has to be 1, as  $v$  and  $u$  has to fulfil ( $\alpha_2$ ). Moreover, we deduce from ( $\alpha_1$ ) that there is exactly one  $i > 2$  for which  $v_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $v = *10* \cdots *$ . Now, it is easily seen that if  $w \in V$  is distinct from  $u$  and  $v$ , then our assumptions enforce  $w$  to be equal to  $1*1* \cdots *$ .

*Proof of the theorem.*

Let  $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1, *\}$ . Let  $V^{i\varepsilon} = \{v \in V: v_i = \varepsilon\}$ .

**Claim 1.** *If there is  $i$  such that  $|V^{i0}| \neq |V^{i1}|$ , then  $|V| \leq 2^k - 2$ .*

We may assume that  $i = 1$  and  $|V^{i0}| < |V^{i1}|$ . Let us consider two words of length  $n$ :  $\varepsilon * \dots *$ ,  $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ . Let

$$W^\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon * \dots * \cap v : v \in V \text{ and } \varepsilon * \dots * \cap v \neq \emptyset\}.$$

It is easily seen that if  $x \in W^\varepsilon$  is minimal (with respect to  $\preceq$ ), then, by our assumptions,  $W^\varepsilon$  does not contain any other element equivalent to  $x$ . Thus, by Lemma 1, boxes belonging to  $W^\varepsilon$  cannot cover  $\varepsilon * \dots *$ . Since the minimal cardinality of arbitrary box belonging to  $W^\varepsilon$  is at least  $2^{n-k-1}$ , it follows that the uncovered part of  $\varepsilon * \dots *$  is a multiple of that number. The inequality  $|V^{i0}| < |V^{i1}|$  implies that the uncovered part of  $0 * \dots *$  is of greater cardinality than that of  $1 * \dots *$ . Thus, the uncovered by  $V$  part of the box  $* \dots *$  is greater than  $2^{n-k}$  and is a multiple of  $2^{n-k}$ . Consequently, it is at least  $2^{n-k+1}$ , which readily completes the proof of our claim.

Therefore, we can further assume that  $|V^{i0}| = |V^{i1}|$  for every  $i$ . Suppose now that for some  $i$ , one has  $V^{i*} = \emptyset$ . Since  $V^{i\varepsilon}$  cannot cover  $\varepsilon * \dots *$ , for  $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ , it appears that  $|V^{i\varepsilon}| \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$ . Then

$$|V| = |V^{i0}| + |V^{i1}| \leq 2^k - 2.$$

Summing up, we may assume that

$$(A_1) \quad |V^{i0}| = |V^{i1}| \neq 0 \text{ and } V^{i*} \neq \emptyset, \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

We may also assume that  $u = 0 \dots 0 * \dots * \in V$ . Clearly,  $\text{prop } u = \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Let  $\delta$  be an arbitrary word of length  $n - k$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ . Then  $u^\delta = 0 \dots 0 \delta$  is a sub-box of  $u$ . (In fact, it is a singleton of an element of  $u$ ). Consider a new word  $* \dots * \delta$  of length  $n$ . Let

$$A^\delta = \{v \in V : v \cap * \dots * \delta \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$B^\delta = \{v \cap * \dots * \delta : v \in A^\delta\}.$$

Since  $u^\delta$  is an element of  $B^\delta$ , both sets  $A^\delta, B^\delta$  are nonempty. Moreover,  $u^\delta$  is a minimal (with respect to  $\preceq$ ) element of  $B^\delta$ , and there is no other members of the latter set equivalent to  $u^\delta$ . By Lemma 1, there is an element  $w^\delta \subseteq * \dots * \delta$  which is disjoint with all members of  $B^\delta$  so does with  $V$ , has an odd number  $p_\delta$  of occurrences of '1' in first  $k$  positions and is equivalent to  $u^\delta$ . Let

$$U^\delta = * \dots * \delta \setminus \bigcup B^\delta.$$

The set  $U^\delta$  is the uncovered part of the  $* \dots * \delta$ . Therefore  $U = \bigcup_\delta U^\delta$ , where the union extends over all words  $\delta$  of length  $n - k$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ , is the uncovered by  $V$  part of the  $n$ -box  $\{0, 1\}^n = * \dots *$ . Since  $w^\delta \subseteq U^\delta$ , we have  $|U^\delta| \geq 1$ . Clearly, the sets  $U^\delta$  are pairwise disjoint. Therefore,  $|U| \geq 2^{n-k}$ . We have to show that  $|U| > 2^{n-k}$  in order to complete the proof of our theorem. (In other words, we have to find a word  $\tau$  of length  $n - k$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$  so that  $|U^\tau| > 1$ ). Conversely, suppose that  $U^\delta$  is a singleton for every  $\delta$ . Since  $p_\delta$  is odd for every  $\delta$ , we can split our reasoning into two cases: (1) there is  $\delta$  for which  $p_\delta \geq 3$ ; (2)  $p_\delta = 1$  for every  $\delta$ .

**Case 1.** We may assume that the first three symbols of word  $w^\delta$  are '1's. Let us define three words of length  $n$ :  $u^1 = 0 * \dots * \delta$ ,  $u^2 = * 0 * \dots * \delta$  and  $u^3 = ** 0 * \dots * \delta$ . Since  $w^\delta$  is the only member of  $U^\delta$ , it follows that each of these words is disjoint with  $U^\delta$ . As  $u^\delta = u \cap u^i$  for every  $i$ , by Lemma 1, there are elements  $v^i \in V$  so that  $v^i \cap u^i$  are different from but equivalent to  $u^\delta$ . Consequently, every  $v^i$  has at most one star in the first  $k$  positions, and if it occurs, then at position  $i$ . On the other hand, every  $v^i$  must have this star, as otherwise they would be equivalent to  $u$  which is forbidden by  $(\alpha_3)$ . It is also true that every of  $v^i$  has exactly one symbol '1' in the first  $k$  positions (It stems from  $(\alpha_2)$  and the fact that  $u$  has '0' in the first  $k$  positions and stars in the remaining places). Moreover, if for a pair  $v^i, v^j$  there is  $s$  such that  $\{v_s^i, v_s^j\} = \{0, 1\}$ , then necessarily  $s \leq k$ , as the subwords  $v_{k+1}^i \dots v_n^i, v_{k+1}^j \dots v_n^j$  contain  $\delta$ . Observe now that for every pair of different words  $v^i, v^j$  they cannot have '1' at the same position  $i \leq k$ , as if this is the case, then one of them should have two occurrences of '1' in the first  $k$  positions, which is forbidden. It is also easily proved that '1' can occur only in the first three positions for every  $v^i$ . Conversely, suppose  $v^1$  has '1' at position 4, just to fix our attention. As  $v^2$  and  $v^3$  cannot have '1' at the same position, at least one of them, say  $v^2$ , has to have '1' at position  $s$  different from the first and the fourth as well. Then  $v^2$  and  $v^1$  would violate  $(\alpha_2)$ , as  $\{v_t^1, v_t^2\} = \{0, 1\}$  for  $t = s, 4$ . Therefore, '1's can be distributed in one of the following two ways:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & * \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} * & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & * \end{array} .$$

As the reasoning is the same in both cases to be discussed, we shall consider only the first of them. Let  $x$  be a word that belongs to  $V^* = V \setminus \{u, v^1, v^2, v^3\}$ . We already know that  $x$ , as any other element of  $V$  different from  $u$ , has to have a unique '1' in one of the first  $k$  positions. Let us denote this position by  $s$ . If  $s > 3$ , then  $x$  begins with three stars in order to avoid violation of  $(\alpha_2)$ . If  $s \leq 3$ , say for example  $s = 1$ , then  $x_2 = *$ , as otherwise  $x_2 = 0$  and the pair  $x, v^3$  would violate  $(\alpha_2)$ . Consider now pair  $x, v^2$ . There has to exist  $s$  such that  $\{x_s, v_s^2\} = \{0, 1\}$ . Clearly  $s > k$ . Now, from  $(\alpha_1)$  and  $(\alpha_3)$  we deduce that  $x$  has to have an additional star in one of the first  $k$  positions. Summing up, if  $x \in V^*$ , then it has at least two stars in the first  $k$  positions.

Let  $p, q, r > k$  be these positions for which  $v_p^1, v_q^2, v_r^3$  are different from '\*'. Let us pick a word  $\tau$  of length  $n - k$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$  so that

$$(A2) \quad \tau_{p-k} \neq v_p^1, \quad \tau_k \neq v_q^2, \quad \tau_{r-k} \neq v_r^3.$$

Let us consider the intersections of words belonging to  $V$  with  $* \dots * \tau$ , that is, the set  $B^\tau$ . Clearly,  $u^\tau = u \cap * \dots * \tau$  is a singleton. By (A2), none of the  $v^i$  belongs to  $A^\tau$ . If  $x \in V^*$ , then, by the fact that such an  $x$  has at least two stars in the first  $k$  positions, it follows that the cardinality of  $x \cap * \dots * \tau$  is a multiple of 4. Therefore, there is a unique element of  $B^\tau$  which is of cardinality 1, while the others have their cardinalities divisible by 4. Since  $* \dots * \tau$  is a multiple of 8, we conclude that  $|U^\tau|$  is at least 3, which validates our theorem if the first case takes place.

**Case 2.** Recall that every  $w^\delta$  is a singleton of an element of  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . Slightly abusing the terminology, we identify each  $w^\delta$  with its only element. Then

$$U = \{w^\delta : \delta = \delta_1 \cdots \delta_{n-k}, \delta_1 \in \{0, 1\}, \dots, \delta_{n-k} \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

For  $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , let  $U^{i\varepsilon} = \{w \in U : w_i = \varepsilon\}$ . As is easily seen by (A1),  $|U^{i0}| = |U^{i1}|$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Since, by our assumption on  $p_\delta$ , symbol ‘1’ appears only once in  $w_1 \cdots w_k$  for every  $w \in U$ , we conclude that  $|U| = \sum_{i=1}^k |U^{i1}|$ . On the other hand, ‘0’ appears  $k-1$  times in  $w_1 \cdots w_k$  for every  $w \in U$ , which shows that  $(k-1)|U| = \sum_{i=1}^k |U^{i0}|$ . Consequently,  $|U| = (k-1)|U|$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

### 3 Conjecture

Let  $W$  be a set of words of a fixed length over the alphabet  $\{0, 1, *\}$ . Suppose that  $W$  satisfies  $(\alpha_1-\alpha_3)$  with  $k = m$ . We already know that the maximum cardinality of  $W$  if  $k = 2$  is 3. Let us define a new set of words

$$W' = \{w *^n 0 : w \in W\} \cup \{ *^n w 1 : w \in W\},$$

where  $*^n$  is the word consisting of  $n$  stars ‘\*’. Clearly,  $W'$  satisfies  $(\alpha_1-\alpha_3)$  with  $k = m + 1$ . Moreover,  $|W'| = 2|W|$ . Therefore, we deduce by induction that for every  $k \geq 2$  there is  $W$  whose cardinality is  $\frac{3}{4}2^k$ . We conjecture that it is the maximum cardinality; that is, Theorem 1 can be strengthened by replacing  $2^k - 2$  with  $\frac{3}{4}2^k$ . Let us remark that these two numbers coincide for  $k = 3$ . Observe also that this conjecture implies  $c_d \leq \frac{3}{2}2^d$ .

### References

- [1] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler, *Proofs from THE BOOK*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 2010.
- [2] F. Bagemihl, A conjecture concerning neighboring tetrahedra, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **63** (1956), 328–329.
- [3] V. J. D. Baston, *Some Properties of Polyhedra in Euclidean space*, Pergamon Press, Oxford 1965.
- [4] R. O’Donnell, *Analysis of Boolean Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [5] G. Kalai, Touching Simplices and Polytopes: Perles’ argument, *Combinatorics and more*, August 27, 2017, <https://gilkalai.wordpress.com/2017/08/27/touching-simplices-and-polytopes-perles-argument/>
- [6] A. P. Kisielewicz and K. Przesławski, Polyboxes, cube tilings nad rigidity, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **40** (2008), 1–30.

- [7] M. A. Perles, At most  $2^{d+1}$  neighborly simplices in  $E^d$ , *Annals of Discrete Math.* **20** (1984), 253–254.
- [8] J. Zaks, Neighborly families of  $2^d$  simplices in  $E^d$ , *Geometriae Dedicata* **11** (1981), 505–507.
- [9] J. Zaks, No nine neighborly tetrahedra exist, *Memoirs of the AMS* **447**, 1991.

A.K.: Wydział Matematyki, Informatyki i Ekonometrii, Uniwersytet Zielonogórski, ul. Podgórna 50,  
65-246 Zielona Góra, Poland

A.Kisielewicz@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

K.P.: Wydział Matematyki, Informatyki i Ekonometrii, Uniwersytet Zielonogórski, ul. Podgórna 50,  
65-246 Zielona Góra, Poland

K.Przeslawski@wmie.uz.zgora.pl