

Antipodal Hadwiger numbers of finite-dimensional Banach spaces

S.K.Mercourakis and G.Vassiliadis

Abstract

Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space; we introduce and investigate a natural generalization of the concepts of Hadwiger number $H(X)$ and strict Hadwiger number $H'(X)$. More precisely, we define the antipodal Hadwiger number $H_\alpha(X)$ as the largest cardinality of a subset $S \subseteq S_X$, such that $\forall x \neq y \in S \exists f \in B_{X^*}$ with

$$1 \leq f(x) - f(y) \text{ and } f(y) \leq f(z) \leq f(x) \text{ for } z \in S.$$

The strict antipodal Hadwiger number $H'_\alpha(X)$ is defined analogously. We prove that $H'_\alpha(X) = 4$ for every Minkowski plane and estimate (or in some cases compute) the numbers $H_\alpha(X)$ and $H'_\alpha(X)$, where $X = \ell_p^n, 1 < p \leq +\infty$ and $n \geq 2$. We also show that the number $H'_\alpha(X)$ grows exponentially in $\dim X$.

Introduction

If X is any (real) Banach space, then B_X and S_X denote its closed unit ball and unit sphere respectively. A subset S of a normed space X is said to be δ -separated, if $\|x - y\| \geq \delta$ for $x \neq y \in S$. Specifically S is called *equilateral*, if there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that for $x \neq y \in S$ we have $\|x - y\| = \lambda$; we also call S a λ -equilateral set. Any equilateral set in an n -dimensional space is of cardinality at most 2^n and the maximum is attained only when $X = \ell_\infty^n$ (see [17]).

Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space. The *Hadwiger number* $H(X)$ of X is the largest cardinality of a set $S \subseteq S_X$ such that $\|x - y\| \geq 1$, for $x \neq y \in S$. Also the *strict Hadwiger number* $H'(X)$ of X is the largest cardinality of a set $S \subseteq S_X$ such that $\|x - y\| > 1$, for $x \neq y \in S$. It is clear that $H'(X) \leq H(X)$. There exists an extensive bibliography on the above concepts (see [19]).

A subset S of a normed space X is said to be *antipodal* if for every $x, y \in S$ with $x \neq y$ there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f(x) < f(y)$ and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46B20; Secondary 52C99.
Key words and phrases: Hadwiger number, antipodal set, Banach-Mazur distance .

$f(x) \leq f(z) \leq f(y) \forall z \in S$. That is, for every $x, y \in S$ with $x \neq y$ there exist closed distinct parallel support hyperplanes $P(= \{z \in X : f(z) = f(x)\})$ and $Q(= \{z \in X : f(z) = f(y)\})$ with $x \in P$ and $y \in Q$. Every antipodal subset of an n -dimensional real vector space has cardinality at most 2^n by a result of Danzer and Grünbaum (see [2], also [17]) and this is attained only when the points of the antipodal set are the vertices of an n -dimensional parallelotope.

A *bounded and separated antipodal subset* of a normed space X is a subset $S \subseteq B_X$, for which there is $d > 0$ such that $\forall x \neq y \in S$ there is $f \in B_{X^*}$ with $d \leq f(x) - f(y)$ and $f(y) \leq f(z) \leq f(x)$ for $z \in S$. If X is a finite-dimensional real vector space then this concept of antipodality coincides with the classical one (see [2], [15]). The generalization of antipodality stated above was defined in [14] where the following Theorem (Th. 3 of [14]) was proved

Theorem. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $S \subseteq X$ be a bounded and separated antipodal set with constant d . Then we have:*

1. *There is an equivalent norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ on X , such that S is an equilateral set in $(X, \|\|\cdot\|\|)$.*
2. *The Banach-Mazur distance between $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(X, \|\|\cdot\|\|)$ satisfies the inequality $d((X, \|\cdot\|), (X, \|\|\cdot\|\|)) \leq \frac{2}{d}$.*

The *Banach-Mazur distance* between two isomorphic Banach spaces X and Y is $d(X, Y) = \inf\{\|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\|, \text{ where } T : X \rightarrow Y \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$. It is easy to see that the dual spaces also have the same Banach-Mazur distance $d(X, Y) = d(X^*, Y^*)$. An equivalent (geometric) definition of the Banach-Mazur distance is (in finite dimensions), for $K, L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ symmetric convex bodies $d(K, L) = \inf\{r > 0 : L \subseteq T(K) \subseteq r \cdot L, \text{ where } T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is a linear transformation}\}$.

Let X be an n -dimensional Banach space. An *Auerbach basis* of X is a biorthogonal system $\{(e_i, e_i^*) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ in $X \times X^*$ (i.e. $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) such that $\{e_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a basis of X and $\|e_i\| = \|e_i^*\| = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It is well known that any finite-dimensional Banach space admits an Auerbach basis (see [3]).

In the present paper we introduce and study some interesting analogues of the Hadwiger and the strict Hadwiger number for a finite-dimensional Banach space, which we call antipodal Hadwiger ($H_\alpha(X)$) and strict antipodal Hadwiger number ($H'_\alpha(X)$). An analogue of the equilateral number $e(X)$, denoted by $e_c(X)$ is defined, which roughly is the largest cardinality of an equilateral subset of X with center. The main results are the following:

1. We prove that $H'_\alpha(X) = 4$ for every Minkowski plane (Prop.4, Remarks 3(1)).

2. We estimate and in some cases find exact values of the numbers $H_\alpha(X)$ and $H'_\alpha(X)$, when $X = \ell_p^n$, $1 < p \leq +\infty$ and $n \geq 2$ (Th.1). We also show that for an n -dimensional Banach space X the number $H'_\alpha(X)$ increases exponentially with n (Th.4) and the number $e_c(X)$ is bounded below by an unbounded function $\varphi(n)$ (Th.3).
3. We compute the numbers $H_\alpha(X)$ and $H'_\alpha(X)$ when $X = \ell_1^3$ or X is the Petty space, i.e. $X = (\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|)$, where $\|(x, y, z)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + |z|$ (Prop.10).

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions and remarks, which helped us to complete our initial investigation and greatly contributed to the final form of this paper.

Antipodal Hadwiger number of a finite-dimensional Banach space

We will always assume that X is a finite-dimensional Banach space. Given the definition of Hadwiger number and its variants (see [19]) it is natural to introduce the following definitions:

Definition 1. 1. The *antipodal Hadwiger number* $H_\alpha(X)$ is the largest cardinality of a set $S \subseteq S_X$ such that $\forall x \neq y \in S$ there is $f \in B_{X^*}$ with

$$1 \leq f(x) - f(y) \text{ and } f(y) \leq f(z) \leq f(x) \text{ for } z \in S.$$

Clearly S is a bounded and separated antipodal set of unit vectors with $d = 1$. In particular $S \subseteq S_X$ and $\|x - y\| \geq 1$ for $x \neq y \in S$, hence $H_\alpha(X) \leq H(X)$.

2. The *strict antipodal Hadwiger number* $H'_\alpha(X)$ is the largest cardinality of a set $S \subseteq S_X$ such that $\forall x \neq y \in S$ there is $f \in B_{X^*}$ with

$$1 < f(x) - f(y) \text{ and } f(y) \leq f(z) \leq f(x) \text{ for } z \in S.$$

As above, $S \subseteq S_X$ and $\|x - y\| > 1$ for $x \neq y \in S$, hence $H'_\alpha(X) \leq H'(X)$.

Remarks 1

- (1) Since every antipodal subset of an n -dimensional real vector space has cardinality at most 2^n , we get that $H'_\alpha(X) \leq H_\alpha(X) \leq 2^n$.
- (2) If Y is a subspace of X , then obviously $H_\alpha(Y) \leq H_\alpha(X)$ and $H'_\alpha(Y) \leq H'_\alpha(X)$.
- (3) Let S be an antipodal subset of S_X such that $\|x - y\| \geq 1$ for $x \neq y \in S$. Since the space is finite-dimensional, S is a bounded and separated antipodal set, but the constant d (see Definition 1) may be smaller than 1.

A simple example is a set of three consecutive vertices of a regular hexagon inscribed in the unit circle of ℓ_2^2 . These form an isosceles and obtuse triangle (with an angle of 120°) with 2 equal sides of length 1. Any functional $f \in B_{\ell_2^2}$ separating vertices x, y which are at distance 1 gives an evaluation $|f(x) - f(y)| < 1$.

(4) Any λ -equilateral set $S \subseteq B_X$ is a bounded and separated antipodal set with $d = \lambda$ (see [14], Proposition 2). Since the usual basis $S = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of ℓ_p^n , $1 < p < \infty$ is a $2^{\frac{1}{p}}$ -equilateral set and $2^{\frac{1}{p}} > 1$, it follows in particular that $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) \geq n$. If $p = 1$, then the set $\{\pm e_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is 2-equilateral, hence $H'_\alpha(\ell_1^n) \geq 2n$.

In the sequel we will obtain lower estimates for the antipodal and the strict antipodal Hadwiger numbers of a finite-dimensional Banach space.

Proposition 1. *Let X be an n -dimensional Banach space. Also let $\{(e_i, e_i^*) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be an Auerbach basis of X . Then the set $A = \{\pm e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bounded and separated antipodal subset of X with constant $d = 1$ and hence $H_\alpha(X) \geq 2n$.*

Proof. We check that $\forall x \neq y \in A$ there is $f \in B_{X^*}$ with $1 \leq f(x) - f(y)$ and $f(y) \leq f(z) \leq f(x)$ for $z \in A$. We have the following cases:

(1) Let $x = e_i$ and $y = e_j$, $i \neq j$. Set $f = \frac{e_i^* - e_j^*}{2}$, then $\|f\| \leq 1$ and

$$-\frac{1}{2} = f(e_j) \leq f(\pm e_k) \leq f(e_i) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

(2) Let $x = e_i$ and $y = -e_i$. Set $f = e_i^*$, then $\|f\| = 1$ and

$$-1 = f(-e_i) \leq f(\pm e_k) \leq f(e_i) = 1 \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

(3) Let $x = e_i$ and $y = -e_j$, $i \neq j$. Set $f = \frac{e_i^* + e_j^*}{2}$, then $\|f\| \leq 1$ and

$$-\frac{1}{2} = f(-e_j) \leq f(\pm e_k) \leq f(e_i) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

(4) Let now $x = -e_i$ and $y = -e_j$, $i \neq j$. This case is similar to (1) and the proof is complete. \square

In case when the space is smooth we have the following stronger result, which was proved in [7] (Prop. 3.9); see also [8] (Prop. 1.6):

Proposition 2. *Let X be an n -dimensional smooth Banach space and let $\{(e_i, e_i^*) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be an Auerbach basis of X . Then the set $A = \{\pm e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bounded and separated antipodal subset of B_X with constant $d = 1 + \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. So when X is smooth we have $H'_\alpha(X) \geq 2n$.*

Strengthening our assumption about the basis (supposing it is 1-suppression unconditional) we can prove the following:

Proposition 3. *Let X be an n -dimensional Banach space and let $\{e_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a 1-suppression unconditional normalized basis of X . If the norm of X is strictly convex (or smooth), then the set $A = \{\pm e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bounded and separated antipodal subset of B_X with constant $d = 1 + \varepsilon$, hence $H'_\alpha(X) \geq 2n$.*

Proof. Recall that the basis $\{e_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of X is 1-suppression unconditional, if for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have $\|\sum_{k \in F} \alpha_k e_k\| \leq \|\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k\|$. Since the basis is normalized, we get that the biorthogonal functionals $\{e_i^*, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ are also normalized. In particular $\{(e_i, e_i^*) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is an Auerbach basis of X .

In both cases we use the fact that $\|e_i \pm e_j\| > 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. In any case we have $\|e_i \pm e_j\| \geq 1$, since $\{(e_i, e_i^*) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is an Auerbach basis of X .

Let X be strictly convex and assume that $\|e_i + e_j\| = 1$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then $e_i^*(e_i + e_j) = e_i^*(e_i) = 1$, hence the normalized functional e_i^* attains its maximum at two distinct points of the unit ball, a contradiction (see [12] §3.2). So $\|e_i + e_j\| > 1$ and similarly $\|e_i - e_j\| > 1$.

Let $d = \min\{\|e_i \pm e_j\| : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Then $d = 1 + \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. We prove the following:

(I) Given $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ there are $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the functional $f = \lambda e_i^* + \mu e_j^*$ satisfies $\|f\| \leq 1$, $f(e_i - e_j) = \|e_i - e_j\| = \lambda - \mu \geq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $\lambda = f(e_i) \geq f(\pm e_k) \geq f(e_j) = \mu$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

To prove this, note that the set $\{e_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is also 1-suppression unconditional normalized basis of X^* and thus $\|e_i - e_j\| = \sup\{(xe_i^* + ye_j^*)(e_i - e_j) : \|xe_i^* + ye_j^*\| \leq 1\}$. So there are $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the functional $f = \lambda e_i^* + \mu e_j^*$ gives

$$f(e_i - e_j) = \|e_i - e_j\| = \lambda - \mu \geq d = 1 + \varepsilon.$$

It follows that

- (a) $-1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq 1$ (since $f(e_i) = \lambda, f(e_j) = \mu, \|f\| \leq 1$ and $\|e_i\| = \|e_j\| = 1$).
- (b) $-1 \leq \mu < 0 < \lambda \leq 1$ (since $\lambda - \mu \geq 1 + \varepsilon$).

Consequently $\lambda = f(e_i) \geq f(\pm e_k) \geq f(e_j) = \mu$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

(II) Given $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ there are $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the functional $g = \lambda e_i^* + \mu e_j^*$ satisfies $\|g\| \leq 1$, $g(e_i + e_j) = \|e_i + e_j\| = \lambda + \mu \geq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $-\lambda = g(-e_i) \leq g(\pm e_k) \leq g(e_j) = \mu$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

The proof of this is similar.

(III) For $1 \leq i \leq n$ set $f = e_i^*$. Then we have $-1 = f(-e_i) \leq f(\pm e_k) \leq f(e_i) = 1$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, which completes the proof that A is a bounded and separated antipodal subset of X .

Let now X be a smooth space. Assume that $\|e_i + e_j\| = 1$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then $e_i^*(e_i + e_j) = e_j^*(e_i + e_j) = 1$, hence the normalized support functional of the vector $e_i + e_j$ is not unique, which contradicts the




Figure 1:

smoothness of X . So $\|e_i + e_j\| > 1$ and similarly $\|e_i - e_j\| > 1$. The rest of the proof proceeds as in the strictly convex case. \square

Remarks 2

(1) It is clear that the assumption of strict convexity or smoothness in Prop.3 can be replaced by $\|e_i \pm e_j\| > 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

(2) An obvious example realizing Prop.3 is the set $\{\pm e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ in ℓ_p^n , $1 < p < \infty, n \geq 2$.

(3) If X is a Minkowski plane and $x, y \in S_X$ with $\|x - y\| = \|x + y\| = 1$ then the points $\pm(x + y)$ and $\pm(x - y)$ lie on S_X and are the vertices of a parallelogram inscribed in the unit circle. Since $\|\pm x\| = \|\pm y\| = 1$, by Lemma 5, p.8 of [12] we get that all the segments joining neighbouring vertices must lie on the unit circle and hence the unit circle itself coincides with the parallelogram with vertices $\pm(x + y), \pm(x - y)$. It follows that X is isometric to ℓ_∞^2 . So if X is not isometric to ℓ_∞^2 and we have $x, y \in S_X$ with $\|x - y\| = 1$, then necessarily $\|x + y\| > 1$. Similarly when $x, y \in S_X$ with $\|x - y\| = \|x + y\| = 2$, it follows that X is isometric to ℓ_∞^2 .

Proposition 4. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Minkowski plane. Then $H'_\alpha(X) = 4$.*

Proof. Let $\{(e_i, e_i^*) : i = 1, 2\}$ be an Auerbach basis of X . Since the vectors e_1 and e_2 are mutually orthogonal, the unit ball B_X is supported at e_1 by a line $L_1 = \{z \in X : e_1^*(z) = 1\}$ parallel to e_2 and also supported at e_2 by a line $L_2 = \{z \in X : e_2^*(z) = 1\}$ parallel to e_1 . The lines L_1, L_2 are (non-parallel) sides of the parallelogram with vertices $\{\pm(e_1 - e_2), \pm(e_1 + e_2)\}$ (and $\pm e_1, \pm e_2$ are the midpoints of these sides). Since $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an Auerbach basis, we get that $1 \leq \|e_1 \pm e_2\|$ (and of course $\|e_1 \pm e_2\| \leq 2$). If all the vertices of this parallelogram are of norm 2, then X is isometric to ℓ_∞^2 (see Remark 2(3)) and the result follows.

Otherwise there is a pair of opposite vertices of norm less than 2 (say $\|\pm(e_1 + e_2)\| < 2$). Now draw the diagonals of this parallelogram and take

the points of intersection of these diagonals with the unit sphere S_X (see Fig.1). We get 4 points (the points $\pm \frac{e_1 - e_2}{\|e_1 - e_2\|}, \pm \frac{e_1 + e_2}{\|e_1 + e_2\|}$) which constitute a new parallelogram $ABCD$. This is a bounded and separated antipodal set of 4 points lying on the unit sphere. Consider the norm one functionals f and g whose kernels are lines parallel to AB and BC .

Let $A'B'C'D'$ be the homothetic copy of $ABCD$ of factor 2 with respect to the origin. Since $A'B'C'D'$ does not touch the unit sphere, f and g give an evaluation $> \frac{1}{2}$ and $< -\frac{1}{2}$ on opposite sides of $ABCD$. Indeed, let $x \in S_X$ such that $f(x) = 1$, then $x \notin \text{Ker} f$, so the half-line $L^+ = \{\lambda x : \lambda \geq 0\}$ either intersects the line $A'B'$ or the line $D'C'$. Let for instance L^+ intersect $A'B'$ at $y = \lambda x$ and AB at z . Then clearly $\lambda > 1$ and $z = \frac{\lambda}{2}x$, thus $f(z) = \frac{\lambda}{2}f(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly we get that also g has the desired property. This way we obtain the constant $d > 1$ in the definition of bounded and separated antipodal subset of X . \square

Remarks 3

(1) It is easy to see that in any Minkowski plane $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, there is an Auerbach basis $\{(e_i, e_i^*) : i = 1, 2\}$ of X such that $\|e_1 - e_2\| > 1$ and $\|e_1 + e_2\| > 1$. Since any Auerbach basis of a 2-dimensional Banach space is 1-suppression unconditional, Prop.3 and Remark 2(1) imply that the set $A = \{\pm e_1, \pm e_2\}$ is bounded and separated antipodal with $d > 1$, so we get still another proof of Prop.4. It is not known to us if in any n -dimensional Minkowski space ($n \geq 3$) there is an Auerbach basis satisfying $\|e_i \pm e_j\| > 1, \forall i \neq j$.

In order to prove the 2-dimensional case, one can consider the inscribed centrally symmetric parallelogram of maximum area. If we call two non-opposite vertices e_1 and e_2 , and if $e_1 + e_2$ is on the unit circle, then, by area maximality, the line through e_2 parallel to e_1 supports the unit circle, so the segment from e_2 to $e_1 + e_2$ lies on the boundary of the unit ball and then the parallelogram with vertices $\pm e_1$ and $\pm(e_1 + e_2)$ also has maximum area. It follows that the segment from e_1 to $-e_2$ is also on the unit circle (because of a supporting line parallel to $e_1 + e_2$) and the unit ball is an affine regular hexagon. We may now pick any vertice α in the interior of the segment from e_1 to $-e_2$; then the parallelogram with vertices $\pm\alpha$ and $\pm(e_1 + e_2)$ (also of maximum area) yields a basis with the desired property.

(2) If X is an n -dimensional space with $n \geq 3$ then $H'_\alpha(X) \geq 4$, since any Minkowski plane admits a bounded and separated antipodal set establishing this fact (see Prop.4). Moreover one can obtain an equilateral set of 4 points yielding the same result. By a result of V.V. Makeev, in any 3-dimensional space there are 4 equidistant points which are also equidistant from their common barycenter (see [11]). Assuming that the distance of a vertice from the barycenter is 1 (and that the barycenter coincides with the origin) we obtain a λ -equilateral set of 4 points lying on the unit sphere with $\lambda > 1$ (note that the extreme points of the convex hull of a λ -equilateral set are

exactly the points of the equilateral set and the common barycenter has distance $< \lambda$ from each of the extreme points). Taking into account Remarks 1(4) we have the result.

Antipodal Hadwiger number of ℓ_p spaces, $1 < p \leq \infty$ and the exponential growth of $H'_\alpha(X)$

In this chapter we evaluate the antipodal and strict antipodal Hadwiger numbers of many (finite dimensional) ℓ_p spaces. Let $\alpha_n = \frac{\log n}{\log 2} = \log_2 n$, $n \geq 2$. This sequence is strictly increasing: $1 = \frac{\log 2}{\log 2} = \alpha_2 < \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} = \alpha_3 < \frac{\log 4}{\log 2} = \alpha_4 = 2 < \dots < \alpha_n < \dots$.

Proposition 5. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $1 < p \leq \infty$, then the following hold:*

1. *When $p > \alpha_n$, then $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) = 2^n$ (hence also $H_\alpha(\ell_p^n) = 2^n$).*
2. *When $p \geq \alpha_n$, then $H_\alpha(\ell_p^n) = 2^n$.*

Proof. Consider the set $S = \{-1, 1\}^n$ and note that it is an antipodal subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

Assume first that $1 < p < \infty$. Then $\|x\|_p = n^{1/p}$, for every $x \in S$. Since $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/p} = 1$ and $1 < n^{1/p}$, $n \geq 2$ and $p > 1$, we get that there is $p_0(n)$ such that

$$p > p_0(n) \Rightarrow 1 < n^{1/p} < 2 \quad (1).$$

We will show that the least number $p_0(n)$ such that inequality (1) holds is α_n . Indeed, for $n \geq 2$ and $p > 1$ we have

$$n^{1/p} < 2 \Leftrightarrow \log n^{1/p} < \log 2 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{p} \log n < \log 2 \Leftrightarrow p > \alpha_n = \frac{\log n}{\log 2}.$$

Set $S' = \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \cdot S$, for $p \geq \alpha_n$ and $n \geq 2$ and observe that S' is a bounded and separated antipodal subset of $S_{\ell_p^n}$ with constant $d = \frac{2}{n^{1/p}} \geq 1$. This is true because, given $x \neq y \in S'$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, there is $1 \leq k \leq n$ with $x_k \neq y_k$ such that the numbers x_k, y_k have different signs and $|x_k| = |y_k| = \frac{1}{n^{1/p}}$. Without loss of generality, let $x_k > 0$ and $y_k < 0$. Then

$$e_k^*(y) = -\frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \leq e_k^*(z) \leq e_k^*(x) = \frac{1}{n^{1/p}}, \text{ for } z \in S'.$$

It is clear from these inequalities that $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) = 2^n$ for $p \in (\alpha_n, \infty)$ (since then $d = \frac{2}{n^{1/p}} > 1$) and $H_\alpha(\ell_p^n) = 2^n$ for $p = \alpha_n$ (since then $n^{1/p} = 2$ and thus $d = 1$).

In case when $p = \infty$, it is easily verified that the set S itself is bounded and separated antipodal subset of $S_{\ell_\infty^n}$ with constant $d = 2$ (actually S is a 2-equilateral set, cf. Remark 1(4)), hence $H'_\alpha(\ell_\infty^n) = 2^n$.

The proof of the Proposition is now complete. \square

Remarks 4

(1) For $n = 2$ we already have the stronger result $H'_\alpha(X) = 4$ for any Minkowski plane, by Proposition 4.

(2) For $n = 3$ we get that, when $p > \alpha_3 = \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} \simeq 1.58$, then $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^3) = 2^3 = 8$. In particular $H'_\alpha(\ell_2^3) = 2^3 = 8$.

The following Proposition settles the situation in case of a 3-dimensional space for the remaining $1 < p \leq \alpha_3 \simeq 1.58$:

Proposition 6. *When $1 < p < \infty$, then $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^3) = 2^3 = 8$.*

Proof. Let $2 < \beta < 2p$, it then holds that $\frac{2}{\beta^{1/p}} > 1$. Take $\alpha > 0$ (and $\alpha < 2$) such that $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \frac{\beta}{\beta-1}$. We consider the points

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}}, 0, \frac{1}{\beta^{1/p}} \right) & x_2 &= \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}}, 0, \frac{1}{\beta^{1/p}} \right) \\ x_3 &= \left(0, \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}}, \frac{1}{\beta^{1/p}} \right) & x_4 &= \left(0, -\frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}}, \frac{1}{\beta^{1/p}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also set $x_5 = -x_1$, $x_6 = -x_2$, $x_7 = -x_3$ and $x_8 = -x_4$. Since $x_4 - x_1 = \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}}, -\frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}}, 0 \right) = x_2 - x_3$, the points x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are vertices of a parallelogram (actually an orthogonal parallelogram). Thus, the points $\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \pm x_3, \pm x_4$ are vertices of an orthogonal parallelepiped. Observe that $\|x_k\|_p = 1$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, so $\pm x_k \in S_{\ell_p^3}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and also $\|x_k - x_l\|_p > 1$, $1 \leq k < l \leq 8$.

Obviously $S = \{x_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ is an antipodal subset of $S_{\ell_p^3}$. We will show that it is bounded and separated antipodal with constant $d > 1$. Consider the functionals

$$f_1 = e_3^*, f_2 = \frac{e_1^* + e_2^*}{2^{1/q}} \text{ and } f_3 = \frac{e_1^* - e_2^*}{2^{1/q}},$$

where q satisfies $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the usual basis of ℓ_p^3 , $1 < p < \infty$. Note that $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in S_{\ell_q^3}$ (the unit sphere of the dual space $\ell_q = \ell_p^*$). Geometrically, the kernels of f_1, f_2, f_3 are the planes $z = 0$, $y = -x$ and $y = x$ of \mathbb{R}^3 respectively.

The following are easy to check:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1) = f_1(x_2) = f_1(x_3) = f_1(x_4) &= \frac{1}{\beta^{1/p}} \text{ and} \\ f_1(x_5) = f_1(x_6) = f_1(x_7) = f_1(x_8) &= -\frac{1}{\beta^{1/p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the points $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $\{x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8\}$ are separated by planes parallel to $z = 0$ and the difference is $\frac{1}{\beta^{1/p}} - \left(-\frac{1}{\beta^{1/p}}\right) = \frac{2}{\beta^{1/p}} > 1$. Also

$$f_2(x_1) = f_2(x_3) = f_2(x_6) = f_2(x_8) = \frac{1}{2^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}} > \frac{1}{2^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{1/p}} = \frac{1}{2^{1/p+1/q}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{and } f_2(x_2) = f_2(x_4) = f_2(x_5) = f_2(x_7) = -\frac{1}{2^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}} < -\frac{1}{2}.$$

So the separation now is achieved by planes parallel to $y = -x$ and the difference is $\frac{1}{2^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}} - \left(-\frac{1}{2^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}}\right) = \frac{2}{2^{1/q} \cdot \alpha^{1/p}} > 1$. We also have

$$f_3(x_1) = f_3(x_4) = f_3(x_6) = f_3(x_7) = \frac{1}{2^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}} > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$f_3(x_2) = f_3(x_3) = f_3(x_5) = f_3(x_8) = -\frac{1}{2^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}} < -\frac{1}{2}.$$

The separation of the points is now achieved by planes parallel to $y = x$ and the corresponding difference is $\frac{1}{2^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}} - \left(-\frac{1}{2^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}}\right) = \frac{2}{2^{1/q} \cdot \alpha^{1/p}} > 1$.

From the above calculations, we conclude that $d = \min\left\{\frac{2}{\beta^{1/p}}, \frac{2}{2^{1/q} \cdot \alpha^{1/p}}\right\} > 1$ and thus $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^3) = 2^3 = 8, \forall p > 1$. □

Using the same method of proof, one can prove the following generalization:

Proposition 7. *When $n \geq 3$ and $1 < p < \infty$, then $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) \geq 4n - 4$.*

Proof. Assigning to the last coordinate the value $\pm \frac{1}{\beta^{1/p}}$ and placing $\pm \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/p}}$ each time in one of the first $n - 1$ coordinates with 0 in every other place, one obtains the $4n - 4$ required vectors. □

Remark 5

It is clear from Remark 4(1) that the above result also holds true for $n = 2$. Since the Banach space $\ell_p^n, 1 < p < \infty, n \geq 2$ is smooth, we get from Prop. 2 that $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) \geq 2n$. Proposition 7 though provides us with a better lower bound, $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) \geq 4n - 4$.

Proposition 8. *Let $n \geq 4$ ($\alpha_n \geq 2$), then the following hold:*

1. *When $2 \leq p \leq \alpha_n$, then $4n - 4 \leq H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) < 2^n$.*
2. *When $2 \leq p < \alpha_n$, then $4n - 4 \leq H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) \leq H_\alpha(\ell_p^n) < 2^n$.*
3. *When $p = \alpha_n$, then $4n - 4 \leq H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) < H_\alpha(\ell_p^n) = 2^n$*

Proof. To prove (1), given p, n satisfying $2 \leq p \leq \alpha_n$ assume that the contrary holds, i.e. $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) = 2^n$. Then $B_{\ell_p^n}$ contains a bounded and separated antipodal subset S with constant $d > 1$ and cardinality $|S| = 2^n$. By Theorem 3 of [14] stated in the Introduction, there is an equivalent norm $||| \cdot |||$ on \mathbb{R}^n which admits an equilateral set of cardinality 2^n , hence $(\mathbb{R}^n, ||| \cdot |||)$ is isometric to ℓ_∞^n . Moreover the same Theorem yields for the Banach-Mazur distance of the norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $|||\cdot|||$ that

$$d(\ell_p^n, \ell_\infty^n) \leq \frac{2}{d} < 2, \text{ since } d > 1.$$

But for $p \geq 2$ we know that $d(\ell_p^n, \ell_\infty^n) = n^{1/p}$, see [4]. Hence

$$n^{1/p} = d(\ell_p^n, \ell_\infty^n) \leq \frac{2}{d} < 2 \Rightarrow p > \frac{\log n}{\log 2} = \alpha_n$$

which contradicts our assumption. Taking into account Proposition 7, the proof of (1) is complete.

The proof of (2) is similar. Concerning (3), the inequalities follow from (1) and the equality follows from Proposition 5(2). \square

The following Theorem summarizes all the previous results about ℓ_p^n , $1 < p \leq +\infty$ spaces:

Theorem 1. *Let $1 < p \leq +\infty$, then the following hold:*

1. *When $n = 2$ or 3 , then $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) = 4n - 4 = 2^n = H_\alpha(\ell_p^n)$.*
2. *When $n \geq 4$, then we have:*
 - (a) $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) \geq 4n - 4$
 - (b) *when $2 \leq p < \alpha_n$, then $4n - 4 \leq H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) \leq H_\alpha(\ell_p^n) < 2^n$*
 - (c) *when $p = \alpha_n$, then $4n - 4 \leq H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) < 2^n = H_\alpha(\ell_p^n)$, in particular for $n = 4$ we get that $p = \alpha_4 = 2$ and $12 \leq H'_\alpha(\ell_2^4) < 16 = H_\alpha(\ell_2^4)$ and*
 - (d) *when $p > \alpha_n$, then $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n) = 2^n = H_\alpha(\ell_p^n)$.*

We now introduce the number $e_c(X)$ for a finite-dimensional Banach space X and show that it is bounded below by an unbounded function of the dimension of X . Since $H'_\alpha(X) \geq e_c(X)$, we have that $H'_\alpha(X)$ also has this property.

Recall that $e(X)$ (=the equilateral number of X) denotes the largest size of an equilateral subset of X . We define analogously the number $e_c(X)$ to be the largest size of a λ -equilateral subset of S_X , where $1 < \lambda \leq 2$. It is clear that

$$e_c(X) \leq e(X)$$

and also by Remark 1(4) that

$$H'_\alpha(X) \geq e_c(X).$$

Now, one can prove by similar arguments (we omit the details) the following variant of a significant Theorem of Brass and Dekster (see Theorem 8 of [17]):

Theorem 2. *Let X be an n -dimensional Banach space ($n \geq 2$) with Banach-Mazur distance $d(X, \ell_2^n) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{3(n+1)}$. Then any λ -equilateral set in S_X , where $\lambda \in \left(1, 1 + \frac{1}{3(n+1)}\right)$, of at most $n - 1$ points can be extended to a λ -equilateral set in S_X of n points.*

We can prove using Dvoretzky's Theorem and Theorem 2, in the same way as Theorem 7 of [17] is proved, that if $\dim X = n$ then $e_c(X) \geq \kappa(\log n)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ for some constant $\kappa > 0$ and n sufficiently large.

So from the above observations we get the following:

Theorem 3. *Let X be an n -dimensional Banach space. Then*

$$H'_\alpha(X) \geq e_c(X) \geq \kappa(\log n)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Note. Using the techniques of Swanepoel and Villa in [18] (see also Th.4.3 of [9]) one can show that $H'_\alpha(X) \geq e_c(X) \geq e^{\kappa_1 \sqrt{\log n}}$, for some constant $\kappa_1 > 0$. We also note that for $n = 2$ or 3 the inequality $e_c(X) \geq n + 1$ holds; for the case $n = 2$ we refer the reader to [10], Prop. 1.2 and for $n = 3$ to Remarks 3(2). It is not difficult to check that $e_c(\ell_p^n) \geq n + 1$ for $1 < p < +\infty$, $n \geq 1$ and in particular that $e_c(\ell_2^n) = n + 1$. Also (obviously) $e_c(\ell_1^n) \geq 2n$ and $e_c(\ell_\infty^n) = 2^n$.

In the sequel we will prove that $H'_\alpha(X)$ actually increases exponentially in the dimension of X . We need the following Lemma (see [17], Lemma 2), which according to [17] is a special case of the Johnson-Lindenstrauss flattening Lemma and also results from the Gilbert-Varshamov lower bound for binary codes, see also [16] Th.13.

Lemma 1. *For each $\delta > 0$ there exist $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta)$ and $n_0 = n_0(\delta) \geq 1$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ there exist $m > (1 + \varepsilon)^n$ vectors $w_1, \dots, w_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($w_i = \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{n}}$, $x_i \in \{-1, 1\}^n$) satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \langle w_i, w_i \rangle = 1, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ |\langle w_i, w_j \rangle| < \delta, & \text{for all distinct } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \end{cases}$$

We may take $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta^2}{2}$ and $n_0 \geq \frac{120 \log 2}{25\delta^4 - \delta^6}$.

We first prove the result for the spaces ℓ_2^n . The essential part of this proof is contained in the proof of Th.2 in [17].

Proposition 9. *Let $X = \ell_2^n$, then the number $H'_\alpha(X)$ increases exponentially in the dimension of X .*

Proof. Let $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{3}$, then by Lemma 1 there exist $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) > 0$ and $n_0 = n_0(\delta) \geq 1$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ there exist $m > (1 + \varepsilon)^n$ vectors $w_1, \dots, w_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the two conclusions. We will prove that the set $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ is bounded and separated antipodal subset of $S_{\ell_2^n}$ with constant $d > \sqrt{2 - 2\delta} (\geq \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} > 1)$.

By the first conclusion of Lemma 1 we have $\|w_i\|_2 = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. For any $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ we define the linear functional

$$f(x) = \langle x, w_i - w_j \rangle, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

with $\|f\|_2 = \|w_i - w_j\|_2$.

Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with $k \neq i, j$, we will prove that

$$f(w_j) \leq f(w_k) \leq f(w_i) \quad (1).$$

It suffices to prove that

$\max\{|f(w_i - w_k)|, |f(w_j - w_k)| : k = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and } k \neq i, j\} \leq f(w_i - w_j) = \|w_i - w_j\|_2^2$. Setting $\alpha = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle$ (and taking into account Lemma 1 and the fact that $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{3}$) we have $f(w_i - w_k) = \langle w_i - w_k, w_i - w_j \rangle = \langle w_i, w_i \rangle - \langle w_i, w_j \rangle - \langle w_k, w_i \rangle + \langle w_k, w_j \rangle < 1 - \alpha + \frac{2}{3} = \frac{5}{3} - \alpha$.

Also $f(w_i - w_j) = \langle w_i - w_j, w_i - w_j \rangle = \langle w_i, w_i \rangle + \langle w_j, w_j \rangle - 2 \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = 2 - 2\alpha$. Since $|\alpha| = |\langle w_i, w_j \rangle| < \delta \leq \frac{1}{3}$, it holds that $\frac{5}{3} - \alpha < 2 - 2\alpha$. Similarly $-f(w_i - w_k) = \alpha - \frac{5}{3} < 2 - 2\alpha$, hence $|f(w_i - w_k)| < f(w_i - w_j)$. The other inequality required can be proved analogously and so (1) holds true.

Now setting $g = \frac{f}{\|f\|_2}$ we have $\|g\|_2 = 1$ and $g(w_i - w_j) = \frac{1}{\|f\|_2} f(w_i - w_j) = \frac{1}{\|w_i - w_j\|_2} \|w_i - w_j\|_2^2 = \|w_i - w_j\|_2 = \sqrt{2 - 2 \langle w_i, w_j \rangle} > \sqrt{2 - 2\delta} \geq \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} > 1$ and the proof of the Proposition is complete. \square

Remark 6

The proof of Proposition 9 implies that, choosing $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{3}$ small enough, the distance $\|w_i - w_j\|_2 = \sqrt{2 - 2 \langle w_i, w_j \rangle} > \sqrt{2 - 2\delta}$ (for $i \neq j$) becomes arbitrarily close to $\sqrt{2}$. Also note that the set $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ is strictly antipodal, since the inequalities (1) of Prop.9 are strict.

Using the previous Proposition and an important result of Milman (the Quotient of Subspace Theorem, see [13], also [6]) the way it was used by Bourgain in [5], Th. 4.3, we are now in a position to prove the general result:

Theorem 4. *Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space, then the number $H'_\alpha(X)$ increases exponentially in the dimension of X .*

Proof. From Milman's result there is a function $\psi : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, such that for all $\delta > 0$ and for every Banach space X with $\dim X = n$, there exist subspaces $Z \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ satisfying $\dim Z \geq \psi(\delta)n$ and there is an ellipsoid $L \subseteq Z$, with

$$L \subseteq \pi(B_Y) \subseteq (1 + \delta)L \quad (1)$$

where $\pi : Y \rightarrow Z$ is the orthogonal projection of Y onto Z . (There exists a proper euclidean structure on X used in the proof of Milman's Theorem. We consider the orthogonal projection $P_Z : Y \rightarrow Z$ with respect to this structure, which algebraically coincides with the quotient map $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y/Z^\perp$; hence $\pi(B_Y)$ induces the quotient norm $\|z\|_{qt} = \inf\{\|z - z_0\| : z_0 \in$

Z^\perp on Z which is isomorphic with Y/Z^\perp , see [6] Ths. 5.2.1, 5.3.1 and [20], Prop. 2.3).

Assume that $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$. Then by the previous Proposition there is $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) > 0$, such that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough there exist $m \geq (1 + \varepsilon)^{\psi(\delta)n}$ vectors z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m on the boundary of the euclidean unit ball L in Z with the set $S = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\}$ being a bounded and separated antipodal set with constant $d > \sqrt{2 - 2\delta}$. This means that for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ there is a linear functional $f \in Z^*$ with $\|f\|_L = 1$ and such that

$$f(z_i) - f(z_j) \geq d \text{ and } f(z_j) \leq f(z_k) \leq f(z_i), \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m. \quad (2)$$

Now (1) is equivalent to

$$\|z\|_{qt} \leq \|z\|_L \leq (1 + \delta)\|z\|_{qt}, \quad z \in Z \quad (3)$$

which implies for the dual norms that

$$\|f\|_L \leq \|f\|_{qt} \leq (1 + \delta)\|f\|_L, \quad f \in Z^*. \quad (4)$$

It is clear that for $i \leq m$ there is $y_i \in B_Y$ such that $\pi(y_i) = z_i$. We note that we can select $y_i \in S_Y$ so that $\pi(y_i) = z_i$ holds (if $z_i \in S_Y$ then set $y_i = z_i$, otherwise for any $z_0 \in Z^\perp \setminus \{0\}$, there is $\lambda > 0$ so that $y_i = z_i + \lambda z_0 \in S_Y$ and $\pi(y_i) = z_i$).

Next, we will prove that the set $S' = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$ is a bounded and separated antipodal subset of S_Y (hence also of S_X) with constant $\geq \frac{\sqrt{2-2\delta}}{1+\delta}$. Let $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$. Take an $f \in Z^*$ with $\|f\|_L = 1$ such that the inequalities in (2) hold and set $g = f \circ \pi$. Then (using (4) and $\|\pi\| = 1$) we have

$$\|g\| \leq \|\pi\| \cdot \|f\|_{qt} = \|f\|_{qt} \leq (1 + \delta)\|f\|_L \leq 1 + \delta \quad (5).$$

Observe that, since $g(y_k) = f(\pi(y_k)) = f(z_k)$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, from (2) we have

$$g(y_j) \leq g(y_k) \leq g(y_i), \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Also $g(y_i) - g(y_j) = f(\pi(y_i)) - f(\pi(y_j)) = f(z_i) - f(z_j) \geq d$. Relation (5) implies that

$$\frac{g}{\|g\|}(y_i) - \frac{g}{\|g\|}(y_j) = \frac{1}{\|g\|}(f(z_i) - f(z_j)) \geq \frac{d}{1 + \delta} > \frac{\sqrt{2 - 2\delta}}{1 + \delta}.$$

For $0 < \delta < \sqrt{5} - 2$ we have that $\frac{\sqrt{2-2\delta}}{1+\delta} > 1$ (and $\frac{\sqrt{2-2\delta}}{1+\delta} \rightarrow \sqrt{2}$ when $\delta \rightarrow 0, \delta > 0$). The proof is now complete. \square

We also investigated the spaces ℓ_1^3 and the Petty space on \mathbb{R}^3 (see [17], [19]) with respect to their (strict) antipodal Hadwiger numbers. In both spaces, the strict antipodal Hadwiger numbers are as big as possible:

Proposition 10. 1. $H_\alpha(\ell_1^3) = H'_\alpha(\ell_1^3) = 2^3 = 8$.

2. If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is the Petty space on \mathbb{R}^3 , where $\|(x, y, z)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + |z|$, then $H_\alpha(X) = H'_\alpha(X) = 2^3 = 8$.

Proof. To prove (1), set $x_1 = (1, 1, -\frac{1}{3})$, $x_2 = (1, -\frac{1}{3}, 1)$, $x_3 = (-\frac{1}{3}, 1, 1)$ and $O = \text{conv} \{\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \pm x_3\}$. The Minkowski functional of O defines a norm and the corresponding space is isometric to ℓ_1^3 through the linear isometry designated by $T(e_i) = x_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ ($\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the usual basis of ℓ_1^3). Let also $C_3 = B_{\ell_\infty^3} = [-1, 1]^3$. In [21] Fei Xue observed that the octahedron O and the cube C_3 satisfy

$$\frac{5}{9}C_3 \subseteq O \subseteq C_3$$

and obtained for the Banach-Mazur distance of the spaces ℓ_1^3 and ℓ_∞^3 the upper bound $d(\ell_1^3, \ell_\infty^3) \leq \frac{9}{5} < 2$.

The set $S = \frac{5}{9}\{-1, 1\}^3$ is the set of vertices of a parallelepiped and all of its points belong to the boundary of the octahedron O (hence are vectors of ℓ_1 -norm 1). One can readily check that

$$\frac{5}{9}(1, 1, 1) = \frac{1}{3}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3), \quad \frac{5}{9}(1, 1, -1) = \frac{2}{3}x_1 + \frac{1}{6}(-x_2) + \frac{1}{6}(-x_3)$$

$$\frac{5}{9}(-1, 1, 1) = \frac{2}{3}x_3 + \frac{1}{6}(-x_1) + \frac{1}{6}(-x_2), \quad \frac{5}{9}(-1, 1, -1) = \frac{2}{3}(-x_2) + \frac{1}{6}x_3 + \frac{1}{6}x_1$$

and the other points are the symmetric of these 4. We will show that S is a bounded and separated antipodal subset of $S_{\ell_1^3}$ with constant $d = \frac{10}{9} > 1$.

The functionals separating opposite faces of the parallelepiped are the e_i^* , $i = 1, 2, 3$ (since $O \subseteq C_3$, we have that $|e_i^*(x, y, z)| \leq 1$ for any $(x, y, z) \in O$, hence $e_i^* \in B_{(\ell_1^3)^*}$). For instance

$$e_1^* \left(\frac{5}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{5}{9} \right) = e_1^* \left(\frac{5}{9}, -\frac{5}{9}, \frac{5}{9} \right) = e_1^* \left(\frac{5}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, -\frac{5}{9} \right) = e_1^* \left(\frac{5}{9}, -\frac{5}{9}, -\frac{5}{9} \right) = \frac{5}{9} \text{ while}$$

$$e_1^* \left(-\frac{5}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{5}{9} \right) = e_1^* \left(-\frac{5}{9}, -\frac{5}{9}, \frac{5}{9} \right) = e_1^* \left(-\frac{5}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, -\frac{5}{9} \right) = e_1^* \left(-\frac{5}{9}, -\frac{5}{9}, -\frac{5}{9} \right) = -\frac{5}{9}$$

and the evaluations for the other faces are similar (see also the proof of Proposition 6), which implies that $d = \frac{5}{9} - (-\frac{5}{9}) = \frac{10}{9} > 1$.

Now for the proof of (2) one may consider the points $A(-0.18, 0, 0.82)$, $B(0.82, 0, -0.18)$, $C(0.32, 0.6, 0.32)$ and $D(0.32, -0.6, 0.32)$. These points lie on the unit sphere of the Petty space and form a parallelogram, as $\overrightarrow{AC} = \overrightarrow{DB} = (0.5, 0.6, -0.5)$. So these points together with the symmetric points

A', B', C', D' with respect to the origin form a parallelepiped with all vertices on the unit sphere. To find the three functionals separating opposite faces, we first calculate the equations of three planes, each one defined by three vertices of the parallelepiped. We have:

$$ADB : x + z = 0.64$$

$$ADC' : 0.6x + y - 0.6z = -0.6 \text{ and}$$

$$C'DB : 0.6x - y - 0.6z = 0.6.$$

Taking into account the dual norm $\|(x, y, z)\|_* = \max\{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, |z|\}$ and normalizing, each of these planes yields a functional which, of course, evaluates all points on a face the same way. So set $f_1 = (1, 0, 1)$, $f_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1.36}}(0.6, 1, -0.6)$ and $f_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1.36}}(0.6, -1, -0.6)$ which are all of norm 1. The separation of the faces goes as follows:

$$f_1(A) = f_1(D) = f_1(B) = f_1(C) = 0.64$$

$$f_1(A') = f_1(D') = f_1(B') = f_1(C') = -0.64$$

with $f_1(A) - f_1(A') = 1.28 > 1$.

$$f_2(A) = f_2(D) = f_2(B') = f_2(C') = -\frac{0.6}{\sqrt{1.36}}$$

$$f_2(A') = f_2(D') = f_2(B) = f_2(C) = \frac{0.6}{\sqrt{1.36}}$$

also

$$f_3(A') = f_3(D) = f_3(B) = f_3(C') = \frac{0.6}{\sqrt{1.36}}$$

$$f_3(A) = f_3(D') = f_3(B') = f_3(C) = -\frac{0.6}{\sqrt{1.36}}$$

with $f_2(A') - f_2(A) = f_3(A') - f_3(A) = \frac{1.2}{\sqrt{1.36}} \simeq 1.02899 > 1$. Hence $d \simeq 1.02899 > 1$ and the conclusion follows. \square

Remarks 7

(1) Using the Theorem stated in the Introduction (Th. 3 of [14]) we obtain an upper bound for the Banach-Mazur distance of the Petty space X from ℓ_∞^3 . We apply the Theorem as in the proof of Proposition 8 and conclude that $d(X, \ell_\infty^3) < 2$. One can find a better upper bound by direct calculation. Since $d(X, \ell_\infty^3) = d(X^*, \ell_1^3)$, it suffices to evaluate the distance between the dual spaces, which is easier. The ball of X^* is the right cylinder $B_{X^*} = \{(x, y, z) : \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq 1 \text{ and } |z| \leq 1\}$. Consider the points $A(0, -1, 1)$, $B(0.8, 0.6, 1)$ and $C(-0.8, 0.6, 1)$ of S_{X^*} along with

the symmetric points A', B', C' with respect to the origin. Let $O = \text{conv} \{ \pm \overrightarrow{OA}, \pm \overrightarrow{OB}, \pm \overrightarrow{OC} \}$ (an octahedron producing a space linearly isometric to ℓ_1^3). We calculate the largest $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$\alpha \cdot B_{X^*} \subseteq O \subseteq B_{X^*}.$$

The right-hand inclusion is obvious. To find the optimal value of α one has to check which homothetic copy of B_{X^*} touches some of the faces of the octahedron in a single point (it suffices to check the upper 4 faces due to symmetry). The upper 4 faces define the planes

$$ABC : z = 1$$

$$CAB' : 10x + 5y - 3z + 8 = 0$$

$$BAC' : 10x - 5y + 3z - 8 = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$AB'C' : 5y + z = -4.$$

Note that if the upper half of the octahedron touches a homothetic copy $\alpha \cdot B_{X^*}$ of the cylinder, then the common point must lie on the circle $x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2$, $z = \alpha$. Solving the systems of equations of each of the above 4 planes together with $x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2$ and $z = \alpha$ we find that for $\alpha \simeq 0.56416$ there is at most one common point of a face with the corresponding homothetic copy of B_{X^*} , hence $0.56416 \cdot B_{X^*} \subseteq O \subseteq B_{X^*}$ and thus $d(X, \ell_\infty^3) = d(X^*, \ell_1^3) \leq \frac{1}{0.56416} \simeq 1.77254$.

(2) Concerning the original Hadwiger number of the Petty space X , we obtain a lower bound of 14 by using the 1-separated set of 14 points on the unit sphere of X :

$$\alpha_1 = e_1 = (1, 0, 0), \alpha_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6} \right), \alpha_3 = \left(0, \frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3} \right), \alpha_4 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6} \right),$$

$$\alpha_5 = -e_1, \alpha_6 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6} \right), \alpha_7 = \left(0, -\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3} \right), \alpha_8 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6} \right),$$

$$b_1 = e_3 = (0, 0, 1), b_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2} \right), b_3 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2} \right), b_4 = -e_3,$$

$$b_5 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2} \right), b_6 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Observe that the points α_1, α_2 are the symmetric of α_5, α_4 with respect to the YZ-plane, the points $\alpha_8, \alpha_7, \alpha_6$ are the symmetric of $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ with respect to the XZ-plane and the points b_1, b_2, b_3 are the symmetric of b_4, b_5, b_6 with respect to the XY-plane.

Also the set of points

$$c_1 = \left(\frac{2}{3}, 0, -\frac{1}{3} \right), c_2 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0 \right), c_3 = \left(0, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right),$$

$$c_4 = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0 \right), c_5 = \left(-\frac{2}{3}, 0, -\frac{1}{3} \right), c_6 = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0 \right),$$

$$c_7 = \left(0, -\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right), c_8 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0 \right), b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4,$$

$$b'_5 = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right), b'_6 = \left(0, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right),$$

shows that $H(X) \geq 14$. Both of the above pointsets are maximal 1-separated subsets of S_X . Furthermore the subset $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_8\} \cup \{b_1, b_4\}$ of the second pointset yields the lower bound of 10 for the strict Hadwiger number of X , i.e. $H'(X) \geq 10$. It seems likely that $H(X) = 14$, but the details of such a proof are not yet clear.

(3) About the Hadwiger number of the euclidean spaces the exact values are known in case when $n = 2, 3, 4, 8$ and 24 (see [1], also [19]). When $2 \leq n \leq 6$, the best-known lower bounds are larger than 2^n and for $n = 7$ we have $126 \leq H(\ell_2^7) \leq 134$. Unlike that, when $8 \leq n \leq 24$, the best-known upper bounds are smaller than 2^n .

We conclude with some open problems and questions:

1. Find better upper and lower bounds for the numbers:

- (a) $H'_\alpha(\ell_p^n)$ and $H_\alpha(\ell_p^n)$, for $n \geq 4$ and $1 \leq p < 2$,
- (b) $H'(\ell_{\alpha_n}^n)$, for $n \geq 4$ and
- (c) $H'_\alpha(\ell_2^n)$ and $H_\alpha(\ell_2^n)$, for $5 \leq n \leq 24$

Of particular interest is the case $n = 4$ (then $\alpha_4 = 2$) and $n = 8, 24$, where the exact values $H(\ell_2^n)$ are known.

- 2. Is there a 3-dimensional Banach space X , with $H_\alpha(X) < 8$? Such a space would be a candidate to have Banach-Mazur distance $d(X, \ell_\infty^3) \geq 2$ (see the proof of Prop.8).
- 3. Let X be an n -dimensional Banach space with $n \geq 4$. Does the inequality $e_c(X) \geq n+1$ (or at least $H'_\alpha(X) \geq n+1$) hold? (See Theorem 3 and the Note following it).

References

- [1] P. Boyvalenkov, S. Dodunekov and O. Musin, A survey on the kissing numbers, *Serdica Math. J.* **38** (2012), 507-522.
- [2] L. Danzer and B. Grünbaum Über zwei Probleme bezüglich konvexer Körper von P.Erdős und von V.L.Klee, *Math.Z.* **79** (1962), 95-99.
- [3] M.M. Day, Polygons circumscribed about closed convex curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **62** (1947), 315-319.

- [4] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos and V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory, The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Canadian Mathematical Society, Springer, 2011.
- [5] Z.Füredi and P.A.Loeb, On the best constant for the Besicovitch covering theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **121** (1994), no.4, 1063–1073.
- [6] A. Giannopoulos and V. D. Milman, Euclidean structure in finite dimensional normed spaces, *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces*, 1: 707-779, 2001.
- [7] E. Glakousakis, Ph.D. Thesis, Athens University, 2018.
- [8] E. Glakousakis and S.K. Mercourakis, Antipodal sets in infinite dimensional Banach spaces, *Bull. of the Hell. Math. Soc.* **63** (2019), 1-12.
- [9] J. Kilbane, On embeddings of finite subsets of ℓ_2 , arXiv:1609.08971v2 (2016), 12 p.
- [10] T. Kobos, An alternative proof of Petty’s theorem on equilateral sets, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **109** (2013), no. 2, 165-175.
- [11] V. V. Makeev, Equilateral simplices in a four-dimensional normed space, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) Geom. i Topol.* **329** (2005), no. 9, 88-91, 197; English translation in *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* **140** (2007), no. 4, 548-550.
- [12] H. Martini, K.J. Swanepoel and G. Weiß, The geometry of Minkowski spaces—a survey. I, *Expo. Math.* **19** (2001), no. 2, 97-142.
- [13] V. D. Milman, Almost Euclidean quotient spaces of subspaces of a finite-dimensional normed space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **94** (1985), no. 3, 445-449.
- [14] S.K. Mercourakis and G. Vassiliadis, Equilateral sets in infinite dimensional Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142** (2014), 205–212.
- [15] C.M. Petty, Equilateral sets in Minkowski spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (1971), 369–374.
- [16] G. Robins and J. S. Salowe, Low-degree minimum spanning trees, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **14** (1995), 151-165.
- [17] K.J. Swanepoel, Equilateral sets in finite-dimensional normed spaces, *Seminar of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 71, Univ. Sevilla Ser. Publ. (2004), 195–237.
- [18] K. J. Swanepoel and R. Villa, A lower bound for the equilateral number of normed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 127-131.
- [19] K.J. Swanepoel, *Combinatorial distance geometry in normed spaces*, *New Trends in Intuitive Geometry*, Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies **27**, 407-458, Springer, 2018.
- [20] R.Vershynin, *Lectures in geometric functional analysis* (2009).
- [21] F. Xue, On the Banach-Mazur distance between the cube and the crosspolytope, *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, **21** (2018), no.3, 931–943.

S.K.Mercourakis, G.Vassiliadis
 University of Athens
 Department of Mathematics
 15784 Athens, Greece
 e-mail: smercour@math.uoa.gr
 georgevassil@hotmail.com