

Determinants with Bernoulli polynomials and the restricted partition function

Mircea Cimpoeaş

Abstract

Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ a vector of positive integers and let $D \geq 1$ be a common multiple of a_1, \dots, a_r . We study two natural determinants of order rD with Bernoulli polynomials and we present connections with the restricted partition function $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) :=$ the number of integer solutions (x_1, \dots, x_r) to $\sum_{j=1}^r a_j x_j = n$ with $x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_r \geq 0$

Keywords: restricted partition function, Bernoulli polynomial, Bernoulli Barnes numbers.

2010 MSC: Primary 11P81 ; Secondary 11B68, 11P82

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$ be a sequence of positive integers, $r \geq 1$. The *restricted partition function* associated to \mathbf{a} is $p_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) :=$ the number of integer solutions (x_1, \dots, x_r) of $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i = n$ with $x_i \geq 0$. Let D be a common multiple of a_1, \dots, a_r . The restricted partition function $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ was studied extensively in the literature, starting with the works of Sylvester [13] and Bell [3]. Popoviciu [10] gave a precise formula for $r = 2$. Recently, Bayad and Beck [2, Theorem 3.1] proved an explicit expression of $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ in terms of Bernoulli-Barnes polynomials and the Fourier Dedekind sums, in the case that a_1, \dots, a_r are pairwise coprime.

Let D be a common multiple of a_1, \dots, a_r . In [7], we reduced the computation of $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ to solving the linear congruence $a_1 j_1 + \dots + a_r j_r \equiv n \pmod{D}$ in the range $0 \leq j_1 \leq \frac{D}{a_1} - 1, \dots, 0 \leq j_r \leq \frac{D}{a_r} - 1$. In [8], we proved that if a determinant $\Delta_{r,D}$, see (2.5), which depends only on r and D , with entries consisting in values of Bernoulli polynomials is nonzero, then $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ can be computed in terms of values of Bernoulli polynomials and Bernoulli Barnes numbers. In the second section, we outline several construction and results from [8]. In the third section, we study the polynomial

$$F_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{B_1(x_1)}{1} & \dots & \frac{B_1(x_D)}{1} & \dots & \frac{B_r(x_1)}{r} & \dots & \frac{B_r(x_D)}{r} \\ \frac{B_2(x_1)}{2} & \dots & \frac{B_2(x_D)}{2} & \dots & \frac{B_{r+1}(x_1)}{r+1} & \dots & \frac{B_{r+1}(x_D)}{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{B_{rD}(x_1)}{rD} & \dots & \frac{B_{rD}(x_D)}{rD} & \dots & \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(x_1)}{rD+r-1} & \dots & \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(x_D)}{rD+r-1} \end{vmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_D],$$

which is related to $\Delta_{r,D}$ by the identity

$$\Delta_{r,D} = (-1)^{\frac{rD(rD+r)}{2}} D^{\frac{rD(rD+r-2)}{2}} \cdot F_{r,D}\left(\frac{D-1}{D}, \dots, \frac{1}{D}, 0\right).$$

In Theorem 3.4 we prove that

$$F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{D!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i) \sum_{t=0}^D (-1)^t \frac{E_{D,D-t}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{t+1},$$

where $E_{D,0}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = 1$, $E_{D,1}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = x_1 + \dots + x_D$ etc. are the *elementary symmetric polynomials*. In Proposition 3.6, we prove that

$$F_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i)^r \right) G_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D),$$

where $G_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D)$ is a symmetric polynomial, hence $\Delta_{r,D} \neq 0$ iff $G_{r,D}(\frac{D-1}{D}, \dots, 0) \neq 0$.

In the last section, we propose another approach to the initial problem, studied in [8], of computing $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ in terms of values of Bernoulli polynomials and Bernoulli Barnes numbers. In formula (4.3) we show that

$$\sum_{v=0}^{D-1} (-D)^m d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v) = \frac{(-1)^{r-1} D^{m+1}}{m!(r-1-m)!} B_{r-1-m}(\mathbf{a}), \quad (\forall) 0 \leq m \leq r-1.$$

Seeing $d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v)$'s as indeterminates and considering also the identities

$$\sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \sum_{v=1}^D d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v) D^{n+m} \frac{B_{n+m+1}(\frac{v}{D})}{n+m+1} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1} n!}{(n+r)!} B_{r+n}(\mathbf{a}) - \delta_{0n}, \quad (\forall) 0 \leq n \leq rD - r - 1,$$

we obtain a system of rD linear equations with a determinant $\bar{\Delta}_{r,D}$. In Remark 4.1 we note that if $\bar{\Delta}_{r,D} \neq 0$, then $d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v)$, $0 \leq m \leq r-1$, $1 \leq v \leq D$, are the unique solutions of the above system. We consider the polynomial $\bar{F}_{r,D} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_D]$ defined by

$$\bar{F}_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ B_1(x_1) & \cdots & B_1(x_D) & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1)}{r} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_D)}{r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{B_{rD-r}(x_1)}{rD-r} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-r}(x_D)}{rD-r} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(x_1)}{rD-1} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(x_D)}{rD-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

We have that $\bar{\Delta}_{r,D} = (-D)^{D \binom{r}{2} + \binom{rD-r}{2}} \bar{F}_{r,D}(\frac{D-1}{D}, \dots, \frac{1}{D}, 0)$. In formula (4.9) we show that

$$\bar{F}_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = (-1)^{(D+1) \binom{r}{2}} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i)^r \right) G_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D),$$

where $G_{r,D}$ is a symmetric polynomial with $\deg G_{r,D} \leq r \binom{rD-r}{2} + D \binom{r}{2} - r \binom{D}{2}$.

Using the methods of Olson [9], in Proposition 4.2 we prove that for any $D \geq 1$ we have

$$(1) F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{(D-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i), \quad (2) \bar{\Delta}_{1,D} = \frac{1!2! \cdots (D-2)!}{(-D)^D}.$$

By our computer experiments in SINGULAR [6], we expect that the following formula holds

$$\bar{F}_{r,2}(x_1, x_2) = (-1)^{\binom{r}{2}} \frac{[1!2! \cdots (r-1)!]^3}{r!(r+1)! \cdots (2r-1)!} (x_2 - x_1)^r \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} ((x_2 - x_1)^2 - j^2)^{r-j}, \quad (\forall) r \geq 1,$$

some justifications being noted in Remark 4.3. Also, we propose a formula for $\bar{F}_{2,D}$, see Conjecture 4.5, but we are unable to “guess” a formula for $\bar{F}_{r,D}$ in general.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$ be a sequence of positive integers, $r \geq 1$. The *restricted partition function* associated to \mathbf{a} is $p_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$,

$$p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) := \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r : \sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i = n\}, \quad (\forall) n \geq 0.$$

Let D be a common multiple of a_1, \dots, a_r . Bell [3] has proved that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ is a quasi-polynomial of degree $r - 1$, with the period D , i.e.

$$p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = d_{\mathbf{a}, r-1}(n)n^{r-1} + \dots + d_{\mathbf{a}, 1}(n)n + d_{\mathbf{a}, 0}(n), \quad (\forall) n \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $d_{\mathbf{a}, m}(n + D) = d_{\mathbf{a}, m}(n)$, $(\forall) 0 \leq m \leq r - 1, n \geq 0$, and $d_{\mathbf{a}, r-1}(n)$ is not identically zero. The *Barnes zeta* function associated to \mathbf{a} and $w > 0$ is

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(s, w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{(n+w)^s}, \quad \text{Re } s > r,$$

see [1] and [12] for further details. It is well known that $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(s, w)$ is meromorphic on \mathbb{C} with poles at most in the set $\{1, \dots, r\}$. We consider the function

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(s) := \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(s, w) - w^{-s}). \quad (2.2)$$

In [7, Lemma 1.6] we proved that

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(s) = \frac{1}{D^s} \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \sum_{v=1}^D d_{\mathbf{a}, m}(v) D^m \zeta(s - m, \frac{v}{D}), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\zeta(s, w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+w)^s}$, $\text{Re } s > 1$, is the *Hurwitz zeta* function. The *Bernoulli numbers* B_j are defined by

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j \frac{z^j}{j!},$$

$B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, $B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$ and $B_n = 0$ if n is odd and greater than 1. The *Bernoulli polynomials* are defined by

$$\frac{ze^{xz}}{(e^z - 1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

They are related with the Bernoulli numbers by

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_{n-k} x^k.$$

The *Bernoulli-Barnes polynomials* are defined by

$$\frac{z^r e^{xz}}{(e^{a_1 z} - 1) \dots (e^{a_r z} - 1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j(x; \mathbf{a}) \frac{z^j}{j!}.$$

The *Bernoulli-Barnes numbers* are defined by

$$B_j(\mathbf{a}) := B_j(0; \mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = j} \binom{j}{i_1, \dots, i_r} B_{i_1} \cdots B_{i_r} a_1^{i_1-1} \cdots a_r^{i_r-1}.$$

In [8, Formula (2.9)] we proved that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \sum_{v=1}^D d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v) D^{n+m} \frac{B_{n+m+1}(\frac{v}{D})}{n+m+1} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1} n!}{(n+r)!} B_{r+n}(\mathbf{a}) - \delta_{0n}, \quad (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\delta_{0n} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ 0, & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$ is the *Kronecker symbol*. Given values $0 \leq n \leq rD - 1$ in (1.9) and seeing $d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v)$'s as indeterminates, we obtain a system of linear equations with the determinant

$$\Delta_{r,D} := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{B_1(\frac{1}{D})}{1} & \cdots & \frac{B_1(1)}{1} & \cdots & D^{r-1} \frac{B_r(\frac{1}{D})}{r} & \cdots & D^{r-1} \frac{B_r(1)}{r} \\ D \frac{B_2(\frac{1}{D})}{2} & \cdots & D \frac{B_2(1)}{2} & \cdots & D^r \frac{B_{r+1}(\frac{1}{D})}{r+1} & \cdots & D^r \frac{B_{r+1}(1)}{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ D^{rD-1} \frac{B_{rD}(\frac{1}{D})}{rD} & \cdots & D^{rD-1} \frac{B_{rD}(1)}{rD} & \cdots & D^{rD+r-2} \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(\frac{1}{D})}{rD+r-1} & \cdots & D^{rD+r-2} \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(1)}{rD+r-1} \end{vmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

Using basic properties of determinants and the fact that

$$B_n(1-x) = (-1)^n B_n(x) \text{ for all } n \geq 0,$$

it follows that

$$\Delta_{r,D} = (-1)^{\frac{rD(rD+r)}{2}} D^{\frac{rD(rD+r-2)}{2}} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{B_1(\frac{D-1}{D})}{1} & \cdots & \frac{B_1(0)}{1} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(\frac{D-1}{D})}{r} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(0)}{r} \\ \frac{B_2(\frac{D-1}{D})}{2} & \cdots & \frac{B_2(0)}{2} & \cdots & \frac{B_{r+1}(\frac{D-1}{D})}{r+1} & \cdots & \frac{B_{r+1}(0)}{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{B_{rD}(\frac{D-1}{D})}{rD} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD}(0)}{rD} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(\frac{D-1}{D})}{rD+r-1} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(0)}{rD+r-1} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proposition 2.1. (See [8, Proposition 2.1] and [8, Corollary 2.2])

With the above notations, if $\Delta_{r,D} \neq 0$, then

$$d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v) = \frac{\Delta_{r,D}^{m,v}}{\Delta_{r,D}}, \quad (\forall) 1 \leq v \leq D, 0 \leq m \leq r-1,$$

where $\Delta_{r,D}^{m,v}$ is the determinant obtained from $\Delta_{r,D}$, as defined in (2.5), by replacing the $(mD+v)$ -th column with the column $(\frac{(-1)^{r-1} n!}{(n+r)!} B_{n+r}(\mathbf{a}) - \delta_{n0})_{0 \leq n \leq rD-1}$. Consequently,

$$p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = \frac{1}{\Delta_{r,D}} \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \Delta_{r,D}^{m,v} n^m, \quad (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. The first part follows from the Cramer rule applied to the system (2.4). The second part is a consequence of the first part and (2.1). \square

Remark 2.2. In [8] it was conjectured that $\Delta_{r,D} \neq 0$ for any $r, D \geq 1$. An affirmative answer was given in the case $r = 1$, $r = 2$ and $D = 1$. In the general case, an equivalent form was given in [8, Theorem 2.3], which reduced the problem to show that a $r \times r$ determinant is non zero. In the next section we tackle this problem from another point of view, by studying a polynomial $F_{r,D}$ is D indeterminates with the property that $\Delta_{r,D} = F_{r,D}(\frac{D-1}{D}, \dots, \frac{1}{D}, 0)$.

3 Determinants with Bernoulli polynomials

Let $r, D \geq 1$ be two integers. We consider the polynomial

$$F_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{B_1(x_1)}{1} & \dots & \frac{B_1(x_D)}{1} & \dots & \frac{B_r(x_1)}{r} & \dots & \frac{B_r(x_D)}{r} \\ \frac{B_2(x_1)}{2} & \dots & \frac{B_2(x_D)}{2} & \dots & \frac{B_{r+1}(x_1)}{r+1} & \dots & \frac{B_{r+1}(x_D)}{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{B_{rD}(x_1)}{rD} & \dots & \frac{B_{rD}(x_D)}{rD} & \dots & \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(x_1)}{rD+r-1} & \dots & \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(x_D)}{rD+r-1} \end{vmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_D]. \quad (3.1)$$

According to (2.6) and (3.1), using the notations from the previous section, we have that

$$\Delta_{r,D} = (-1)^{\frac{rD(rD+r)}{2}} D^{\frac{rD(rD+r-2)}{2}} \cdot F_{r,D}\left(\frac{D-1}{D}, \dots, \frac{1}{D}, 0\right). \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $r \geq 1$ we have that*

$$\Delta := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \dots & \frac{1}{r} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots & \frac{1}{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{r} & \frac{1}{r+1} & \dots & \frac{1}{2r-1} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{[1!2! \dots (r-1)!]^3}{r!(r+1)! \dots (2r-1)!}.$$

Proof. We let

$$\Delta_\ell := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{r!}{\ell} & \frac{r!}{\ell+1} & \dots & \frac{r!}{r} \\ \frac{(r+1)!}{\ell+1} & \frac{(r+1)!}{\ell+2} & \dots & \frac{(r+1)!}{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{(2r-\ell)!}{r} & \frac{(2r-\ell)!}{r+1} & \dots & \frac{(2r-\ell)!}{2r-\ell} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Note that $\Delta = r!(r+1)! \dots (2r-1)! \Delta_1$. We have $\Delta_r = (r-1)!$. For $1 \leq \ell < r$, we have

$$\Delta_\ell = (r-1)! \begin{vmatrix} \frac{r!}{\ell} & \dots & \frac{r!}{r-1} & 1 \\ \frac{(r+1)!}{\ell+1} & \dots & \frac{(r+1)!}{r} & \frac{r!}{(r-1)!} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{(2r-\ell)!}{r} & \dots & \frac{(2r-\ell)!}{2r-\ell-1} & \frac{(2r-\ell-1)!}{(r-1)!} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Multiplying the first line accordingly and adding to the next lines in order to obtain zeroes on the last column, it follows that

$$\Delta_\ell = (r-\ell)! \det \left(\frac{k(r+k-1)!(r-s)}{s+k} \right)_{\substack{\ell \leq s \leq r-1 \\ 1 \leq k \leq r-\ell}} = (r-1)! \frac{((r-\ell)!)^2}{\ell \dots (r-1)} \Delta_{\ell+1} = ((r-\ell)!)^2 \ell! \Delta_{\ell+1},$$

hence the induction step is complete. \square

Proposition 3.2. *We have that*

$$F_{r,1}(x) = \frac{[1!2! \cdots (r-1)!]^3}{r!(r+1)! \cdots (2r-1)!} x^{r^2} + \text{terms of lower degree.}$$

Proof. We have $B_n(x) = x^n + \text{terms of lower order}$, hence the result follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

Proposition 3.3. *For $r = 1$ and $D \geq 1$ the following hold:*

(1) *There exists a symmetric polynomial $G_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D)$ of degree D such that*

$$F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i) G_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D).$$

(2) $G_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{D!} x_1 x_2 \cdots x_D + \text{terms of lower degree.}$

(3) $G_{1,D}(0, \dots, 0) = \frac{(-1)^D}{(D+1)!}$.

Proof. (1) From (3.1) it follows that

$$F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{D!} \begin{vmatrix} B_1(x_1) & B_1(x_2) & \cdots & B_1(x_D) \\ B_2(x_1) & B_2(x_2) & \cdots & B_2(x_D) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_D(x_1) & B_D(x_2) & \cdots & B_D(x_D) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, for any permutation $\sigma \in S_D$, we have that

$$F_{1,D}(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(D)}) = \varepsilon(\sigma) F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D). \quad (3.4)$$

Since

$$(x_j - x_i) | B_\ell(x_j) - B_\ell(x_i), \quad (\forall) 1 \leq \ell \leq D, 1 \leq i < j \leq D,$$

from (3.3) and (3.4) it follows that

$$F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = G_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i), \quad (3.5)$$

where $G_{1,D} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_D]$ is a symmetrical polynomial of degree D .

(2) The homogeneous component of highest degree of $F_{1,D}$ is

$$\frac{1}{D!} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_D \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_D^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^D & x_2^D & \cdots & x_D^D \end{vmatrix} = \frac{x_1 \cdots x_D}{D!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_D \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^{D-1} & x_2^{D-1} & \cdots & x_D^{D-1} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{x_1 \cdots x_D}{D!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i),$$

hence $G_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{D!} x_1 \cdots x_D + \text{terms of lower order}$.

(3) For any integers $j \geq 0$ and $1 \leq n \leq D$, we let

$$L_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \text{the sum of all monomials of degree } j \text{ in } x_1, \dots, x_n,$$

i.e. $L_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n$, $L_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 + x_1x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}x_n$, etc. It is easy to check that

$$L_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n) - L_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (x_n - x_{n-1})L_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (3.6)$$

We let

$$B_\ell(x_1, x_k) := \frac{B_j(x_k) - B_j(x_1)}{x_k - x_1}, \quad (\forall) 1 < k \leq D, \ell \geq 1.$$

Inductively, for $1 < j \leq k \leq D$ and $\ell \geq 1$, we define

$$B_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_k) := \frac{B_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_{j-2}, x_k) - B_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})}{x_k - x_{j-1}}. \quad (3.7)$$

We prove by induction on $j \geq 1$ that

$$B_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_k) = \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-j+1} \binom{\ell}{t+j-1} B_{\ell-j+1-t} L_t(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_k), \quad (\forall) 1 \leq \ell \leq D. \quad (3.8)$$

Indeed, since $B_\ell(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{t} B_{\ell-t} x^t$, it follows that (3.8) holds for $j = 1$. Now, assume that $j \geq 2$. From the induction hypothesis, (3.7), (3.6) and (3.8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_k) &= \sum_{t=1}^{\ell-j+2} \binom{\ell}{t+j-2} B_{\ell-j+2-t} \cdot \frac{L_t(x_1, \dots, x_{j-2}, x_k) - L_t(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})}{x_k - x_{j-1}} = \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\ell-j+2} \binom{\ell}{t+j-2} B_{\ell-j+2-t} L_{t-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_k) = \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-j+1} \binom{\ell}{t+j-1} B_{\ell-j+1-t} L_t(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_k), \end{aligned}$$

hence the induction step is complete. Using standard properties of determinants, from (3.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) &= \frac{1}{D!} \prod_{2 \leq j \leq D} (x_j - x_1) \begin{vmatrix} B_1(x_1) & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ B_2(x_1) & B_2(x_1, x_2) & \dots & B_2(x_1, x_D) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_D(x_1) & B_D(x_1, x_2) & \dots & B_D(x_1, x_D) \end{vmatrix} = \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{D!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i) \begin{vmatrix} B_1(x_1) & B_1(x_1, x_2) & \dots & B_1(x_1, \dots, x_D) \\ B_2(x_1) & B_2(x_1, x_2) & \dots & B_2(x_1, \dots, x_D) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_D(x_1) & B_D(x_1, x_2) & \dots & B_D(x_1, \dots, x_D) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

hence the last determinant is $D! \cdot G_D(x_1, \dots, x_D)$. Note that (3.8) implies that

$$B_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_j) = 0, \quad (\forall) 1 \leq \ell \leq j-2 \leq D-2, \quad \text{and} \quad B_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell+1}) = 1, \quad (\forall) 1 \leq \ell \leq D-1. \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.9) and (3.10) it follows that $F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) =$

$$= \frac{1}{D!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i) \begin{vmatrix} B_1(x_1) & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ B_2(x_1) & B_2(x_1, x_2) & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{D-1}(x_1) & B_{D-1}(x_1, x_2) & \dots & B_{D-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{D-1}) & 1 \\ B_D(x_1) & B_D(x_1, x_2) & \dots & B_D(x_1, \dots, x_{D-1}) & B_D(x_1, \dots, x_D) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

Also, from (3.8), we have $B_\ell(0, \dots, 0) = \binom{\ell}{j-1} B_{\ell-j+1}$, hence, from (3.5) and (3.11), we get

$$M_D := D!G_{1,D}(0, \dots, 0) = \begin{vmatrix} B_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ B_2 & \binom{2}{1}B_1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{D-1} & \binom{D-1}{1}B_{D-2} & \cdots & \binom{D-1}{D-2}B_1 & 1 \\ B_D & \binom{D}{1}B_{D-1} & \cdots & \binom{D}{D-2}B_2 & \binom{D}{D-1}B_1 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

Since M_D is the determinant of a lower Hessenberg matrix, according to [4, pag.222,Theorem], we have the recursive relation

$$M_D = \binom{D}{D-1} B_1 M_{D-1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{D-1} (-1)^{D-\ell} \binom{D}{D+1-\ell} B_{D+1-\ell} M_{\ell-1}, \quad (\forall) D \geq 1, \quad \text{where } M_0 := 1. \quad (3.13)$$

We prove that

$$M_D = \frac{(-1)^D}{D+1}, \quad (\forall) D \geq 1, \quad (3.14)$$

using induction on $D \geq 1$. For $D = 1$ we have $M_1 = B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, hence the (3.14) holds. If $D \geq 2$ then from induction hypothesis and (3.14) it follows that

$$M_D = (-1)^{D-1} B_1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{D-1} (-1)^{D-\ell} \binom{D}{D-\ell+1} B_{D+1-\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell} = (-1)^{D-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^D \frac{1}{\ell} \binom{D}{\ell-1} B_{D+1-\ell}. \quad (3.15)$$

Since $\binom{D+1}{\ell} = \frac{D+1}{\ell} \binom{D}{\ell-1}$, $(\forall) 1 \leq \ell \leq D$, from (3.15) it follows that

$$M_D = \frac{(-1)^{D-1}}{D+1} \sum_{\ell=1}^D \binom{D+1}{\ell} B_{D+1-\ell} = \frac{(-1)^{D-1}}{D+1} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{D+1} \binom{D+1}{\ell} B_{D+1-\ell} - 1 \right) \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{D+1} \binom{D+1}{\ell} B_{D+1-\ell} = B_{D+1}(1) - B_{D+1}(0) = 0,$$

hence (3.16) completes the induction step. Therefore, we proved (3.14) and thus

$$G_D(0, \dots, 0) = \frac{(-1)^D}{(D+1)!}, \quad \text{as required.}$$

□

For any integer $n \geq 1$, we denote

$$E_{n,0}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := 1, \quad E_{n,1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := x_1 + \cdots + x_n, \quad E_{n,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n,$$

the *elementary symmetric polynomials* in $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Theorem 3.4. *With the above notations, we have that*

$$F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{D!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i) \sum_{t=0}^D (-1)^t \frac{E_{D,D-t}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{t+1}.$$

Proof. We use induction on $D \geq 1$. For $D = 1$ we have

$$F_{1,1}(x_1) = B_1(x) = x_1 - \frac{1}{2} = E_{1,1}(x_1) - \frac{E_{1,0}(x_1)}{2},$$

hence the required formula holds. For $D \geq 2$, from (3.3) it follows that

$$F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{k=1}^D (-1)^{D+k} B_D(x_k) F_{1,D-1}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_k, \dots, x_D), \quad (3.17)$$

where \widehat{x}_k means that the variable x_k is omitted. From the induction hypothesis and (3.17) it follows that

$$F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{D!} \sum_{k=1}^D (-1)^{D+k} B_D(x_k) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq D \\ i, j \neq k}} (x_j - x_i) \sum_{\ell=0}^{D-1} (-1)^\ell \frac{E_{D-1,D-1-\ell}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_k, \dots, x_D)}{\ell+1}. \quad (3.18)$$

The relation (3.18) is equivalent to

$$\frac{D! F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i)} = \sum_{k=1}^D (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \neq k} (x_j - x_k)} B_D(x_k) \sum_{\ell=0}^{D-1} (-1)^\ell \frac{E_{D-1,D-1-\ell}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_k, \dots, x_D)}{\ell+1}. \quad (3.19)$$

From (3.19), in order to complete the proof it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{t=0}^D (-1)^t \frac{E_{D,D-t}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{t+1} = \sum_{k=1}^D \sum_{\ell=0}^{D-1} \frac{(-1)^{D-1-\ell} B_D(x_k) E_{D-1,D-1-\ell}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_k, \dots, x_D)}{(\ell+1) \prod_{j \neq k} (x_j - x_k)}. \quad (3.20)$$

Since $B_D(x_k) = \sum_{s=0}^D \binom{D}{s} B_{D-s} x_k^s$, it follows that (3.20) is equivalent to

$$(-1)^t \frac{E_{D,D-t}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{t+1} = \sum_{k=1}^D \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min\{t, D-1\}} \frac{(-1)^{D-1-\ell} \binom{D}{t-\ell} B_{t-\ell} x_k^{D-t+\ell} E_{D-1,D-1-\ell}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_k, \dots, x_D)}{(\ell+1) \prod_{j \neq k} (x_j - x_k)}, \quad (3.21)$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq D$. Since, by Proposition 3.3(3), we have that

$$\frac{D! F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i)} \Big|_{x_1 = \dots = x_D = 0} = \frac{(-1)^D}{D+1} = \frac{(-1)^D E_{D,0}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{D+1},$$

it is enough to prove (3.21) for $0 \leq t \leq D-1$. Similarly, by Proposition 3.2(2) we can dismiss the case $t = 0$. Assume in the following that $1 \leq t \leq D-1$. As the both sides in (3.21) are symmetric polynomials, it is enough to prove that (3.21) holds when we evaluate it in $x_{D-t+1} = \dots = x_D = 0$.

Moreover, in this case, $E_{D-1, D-1-\ell}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_k, \dots, x_D) = 0$ for any $\ell < t$. Therefore, (3.21) is equivalent to

$$(-1)^t \frac{x_1 \cdots x_{D-t}}{t+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{D-t} \frac{(-1)^t x_k^D x_1 \cdots \widehat{x}_k \cdots x_{D-t}}{(t+1) \prod_{j \neq k, j \leq D-t} (x_k - x_j) x_k^t},$$

hence it is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{D-t} \frac{x_k^{D-t-1}}{\prod_{j \neq k, j \leq D-t} (x_k - x_j)} = 1,$$

which can be easily proved by expanding a Vandermonde determinant of order $D-t$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *We have that*

$$\Delta_{1,D} = (-1)^{\frac{D(D+1)}{2}} \frac{(D-1)!(D-2)! \cdots 1!}{D!} \sum_{t=0}^D (-1)^t \frac{E_{D,D-t}(\frac{D-1}{D}, \dots, \frac{1}{D}, 0)}{t+1}.$$

Proof. From (3.2) and Theorem 3.4 it follows that

$$\Delta_{1,D} = (-1)^{\frac{D(D+1)}{2}} D^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \frac{1}{D!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} \left(\frac{j-i}{D} \right) \sum_{t=0}^D (-1)^t \frac{E_{D,D-t}(\frac{D-1}{D}, \dots, \frac{1}{D}, 0)}{t+1}. \quad (3.22)$$

On the other hand

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} \left(\frac{j-i}{D} \right) = \frac{(D-1)!(D-2)! \cdots 1!}{D^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}}}, \quad (3.23)$$

hence, from (3.22) and (3.23) we get the required result. \square

Unfortunately, in the general, it seems to be very difficult to give an exact formula for $F_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D)$. What it is easy to show is the following generalization of Proposition 3.3(1).

Proposition 3.6. *For any integers $r, D \geq 1$, there exists a symmetric polynomial $G_{r,D}$ of degree $\leq r^2 \binom{D+1}{2} - r \binom{D}{2}$ such that*

$$F_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i)^r G_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D),$$

where, with the notations from (3.7), we have that

$$G_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{B_1(x_1)}{1} & \cdots & \frac{B_1(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{1} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1)}{r} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{r} \\ \frac{B_2(x_1)}{2} & \cdots & \frac{B_2(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{2} & \cdots & \frac{B_{r+1}(x_1)}{r+1} & \cdots & \frac{B_{r+1}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{B_r(x_1)}{r} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{r} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(x_1)}{rD+r-1} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD+r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{rD+r-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Proof. Using standard properties of determinants, as in the proof of formula (3.9), we get the required decomposition. The fact that $G_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D)$ is symmetric follows from the identity

$$F_{r,D}(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(D)}) = \varepsilon(\sigma)^r F_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_r), \quad (\forall) \sigma \in S_D$$

and the decomposition $F_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i)^r G_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D)$. \square

4 An approach to compute $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$

Let $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$ be a sequence of positive integers, $r \geq 1$. Let D be a common multiple of a_1, \dots, a_r . Using the notations and definitions from the second section, according to [7, Proposition 2.4] and (2.3), the function $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(s)$ is meromorphic in the whole complex plane with poles at most in the set $\{1, \dots, r\}$ which are all simple with residues

$$R_{m+1} = \text{Res}_{s=m+1} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(s) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{v=0}^{D-1} d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v), \quad (\forall) 0 \leq m \leq r-1. \quad (4.1)$$

On the other hand, according to [7, Theorem 2.10] or [11, Formula (3.9)] and (2.2), we have that

$$R_{m+1} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1-m}}{m!(r-1-m)!} B_{r-1-m}(a_1, \dots, a_r), \quad (\forall) 0 \leq m \leq r-1. \quad (4.2)$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{v=0}^{D-1} (-D)^m d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v) = \frac{(-1)^{r-1} D^{m+1}}{m!(r-1-m)!} B_{r-1-m}(\mathbf{a}), \quad (\forall) 0 \leq m \leq r-1. \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, from (1.9) it follows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \sum_{v=1}^D d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v) D^{n+m} \frac{B_{n+m+1}(\frac{v}{D})}{n+m+1} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1} n!}{(n+r)!} B_{r+n}(\mathbf{a}), \quad (\forall) 0 \leq n \leq rD - r - 1. \quad (4.4)$$

If we see $d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v)$ as indeterminates, (4.3) and (4.4) form a system of linear equations with the determinant

$$\bar{\Delta}_{r,D} := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & (-D)^{r-1} & \cdots & (-D)^{r-1} \\ B_1(\frac{1}{D}) & \cdots & B_1(1) & \cdots & \frac{D^{r-1} B_r(\frac{1}{D})}{r} & \cdots & \frac{D^{r-1} B_r(1)}{r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{D^{rD-r-1} B_{rD-r}(\frac{1}{D})}{rD-r} & \cdots & \frac{D^{rD-r-1} B_{rD-r}(1)}{rD-r} & \cdots & \frac{D^{rD-2} B_{rD-1}(\frac{1}{D})}{rD-1} & \cdots & \frac{D^{rD-2} B_{rD-1}(1)}{rD-1} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (4.5)$$

From (4.5) and the identity $B_n(1-x) = (-1)^n B_n(x)$ it follows that

$$\bar{\Delta}_{r,D} = (-D)^{D\binom{r}{2} + \binom{rD-r}{2}} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ B_1(\frac{D-1}{D}) & \cdots & B_1(0) & \cdots & \frac{B_r(\frac{D-1}{D})}{r} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(0)}{r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{B_{rD-r}(\frac{D-1}{D})}{rD-r} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-r}(0)}{rD-r} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(\frac{D-1}{D})}{rD-1} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(0)}{rD-1} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (4.6)$$

Remark 4.1. Similarly to Proposition 2.1, if $\bar{\Delta}_{r,D} \neq 0$, then $d_{\mathbf{a},m}(v)$, $0 \leq m \leq r-1$, $1 \leq v \leq D$ are the solutions of the system of linear equations consisting in (4.3) and (4.4).

Now we consider the polynomial $\bar{F}_{r,D} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_D]$ defined as

$$\bar{F}_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ B_1(x_1) & \cdots & B_1(x_D) & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1)}{r} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_D)}{r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{B_{rD-r}(x_1)}{r^{D-r}} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-r}(x_D)}{r^{D-r}} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(x_1)}{r^{D-1}} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(x_D)}{r^{D-1}} \end{vmatrix} \quad (4.7)$$

From (4.6) and (4.7) it follows that

$$\bar{\Delta}_{r,D} = (-D)^{D\binom{r}{2} + \binom{rD-r}{2}} \bar{F}_{r,D}\left(\frac{D-1}{D}, \dots, \frac{1}{D}, 0\right). \quad (4.8)$$

Note that if $D = 1$ then (4.5) and (4.7) implies

$$\bar{\Delta}_{r,1} = (-D)^{\binom{r}{2}} \text{ and } \bar{F}_{r,1}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 1,$$

therefore, in the following we assume $D \geq 2$.

Using elementary operations in (4.7) and the notations (3.7) it follows that $\bar{F}_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (-1)^{(D+1)\binom{r}{2}} \prod_{2 \leq j \leq D} (x_D - x_1)^r \begin{vmatrix} B_1(x_1, x_2) & \cdots & B_1(x_1, x_D) & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1, x_2)}{r} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1, x_D)}{r} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{B_{rD-r}(x_1, x_2)}{r^{D-r}} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-r}(x_1, x_D)}{r^{D-r}} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(x_1, x_2)}{r^{D-1}} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(x_1, x_D)}{r^{D-1}} \end{vmatrix} = \\ &(-1)^{(D+1)\binom{r}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i)^r \begin{vmatrix} B_1(x_1, x_2) & \cdots & B_1(x_1, \dots, x_D) & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1, x_2)}{r} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{r} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{B_{rD-r}(x_1, x_2)}{r^{D-r}} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-r}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{r^{D-r}} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(x_1, x_2)}{r^{D-1}} & \cdots & \frac{B_{rD-1}(x_1, \dots, x_D)}{r^{D-1}} \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

We denote the last determinant in (4.9) with $\bar{G}_{r,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D)$ and we note that $\bar{G}_{r,D}$ is a symmetric polynomial with

$$\deg(\bar{G}_{r,D}) \leq r \binom{rD-r}{2} + D \binom{r}{2} - r \binom{D}{2}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *For any $D \geq 2$ we have that*

$$(1) F_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{(D-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i), \quad (2) \bar{\Delta}_{1,D} = \frac{1!2! \cdots (D-2)!}{(-D)^D}.$$

Proof. (1) Using the method from [9, Page 262], we get

$$\bar{F}_{1,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{(D-1)!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ B_1(x_1) & \cdots & B_1(x_D) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{D-1}(x_1) & \cdots & B_{D-1}(x_D) \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{D!} \left| \begin{array}{cccc|ccc} B_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \binom{2}{1} B_1 & B_0 & \cdots & 0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_D \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{D-1}{1} B_{D-1} & \binom{D-1}{2} B_2 & \cdots & B_0 & x_1^{D-1} & \cdots & x_D^{D-1} \end{array} \right| = \frac{1}{(D-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i). \quad (4.10)$$

(2) The last identity follows (1), (4.8) and (4.9). \square

Remark 4.3. For $D = 2$, according to (4.9) we have that

$$\bar{F}_{r,2}(x_1, x_2) = (-1)^{\binom{r}{2}} (x_2 - x_1)^r \bar{G}_{r,2}(x_1, x_2), \text{ where} \quad (4.11)$$

$$\bar{G}_{r,2}(x_1, x_2) = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} B_1(x_1, x_2) & \frac{B_2(x_1, x_2)}{2} & \cdots & \frac{B_r(x_1, x_2)}{r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{B_r(x_1, x_2)}{r} & \frac{B_{r+1}(x_1, x_2)}{r+1} & \cdots & \frac{B_{2r-1}(x_1, x_2)}{2r-1} \end{array} \right|. \quad (4.12)$$

On the other hand, according to (3.8), we have that

$$B_k(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{t+1} B_{k-1-t} \sum_{s=0}^t x_1^{t-s} x_2^s, \quad (\forall) 1 \leq k \leq 2r-1. \quad (4.13)$$

In particular, from (4.12) and (4.13) it follows that

$$\bar{G}_{r,2}(x_1, 0) = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & \frac{x_1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{x_1^{r-1}}{r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{x_1^{r-1}}{r} & \frac{x_1^r}{r+1} & \cdots & \frac{x_1^{2r-2}}{2r-1} \end{array} \right| + \text{terms of lower degree.} \quad (4.14)$$

From Lemma 3.1 and (4.14) it follows that

$$\bar{G}_{r,2}(x_1, 0) = \frac{[1!2! \cdots (r-1)!]^3}{r!(r+1)! \cdots (2r-1)!} x_1^{r(r-1)} + \text{terms of lower degree.}$$

Our computer experiments in SINGULAR [6] and Remark 4.3 yield us to the following:

Conjecture 4.4. For any $r \geq 1$, it holds that

$$\bar{F}_{r,2}(x_1, x_2) = (-1)^{\binom{r}{2}} \frac{[1!2! \cdots (r-1)!]^3}{r!(r+1)! \cdots (2r-1)!} (x_2 - x_1)^r \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} ((x_2 - x_1)^2 - j^2)^{r-j}.$$

We checked Conjecture 4.4 for $r \leq 4$ and we are convinced that the formula holds in general. Our computer experiments in SINGULAR [6] yield us also to the following:

Conjecture 4.5. For any $D \geq 2$, it holds that

$$\bar{F}_{2,D}(x_1, \dots, x_D) = K(D) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} (x_j - x_i)^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq D} ((x_j - x_i)^2 - 1),$$

where $K(D) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, $K(D) \neq 0$, hence $\bar{\Delta}_{2,D} \neq 0$.

We checked Conjecture 4.5 for $D \leq 4$ and we believe it is true in general. Unfortunately, we are not able to “guess” a general formula for $\bar{F}_{r,D}$, the situation being wild even for $D = r = 3$ as $\bar{G}_{3,3}$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree 18.

References

- [1] E. W. Barnes, *On the theory of the multiple gamma function*, Trans. Camb. Philos. Soc. **19** (1904), 374-425.
- [2] A. Bayad, M. Beck, *Relations for Bernoulli-Barnes Numbers and Barnes Zeta Functions*, International Journal of Number Theory **10** (2014), 1321-1335.
- [3] E. T. Bell, *Interpolated denumerants and Lambert series*, Am. J. Math. **65** (1943), 382-386.
- [4] N. D. Cahill, J. R. D'Errico, D. A. Narayan, J. Y. Narayan, *Fibonacci determinants*, Coll. Math. J. **3**, (2002), 221-225.
- [5] T. Clausen, *Theorem*, Astron. Nachr. **17**, (1840), 351-352.
- [6] W. Decker, G. M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann: SINGULAR 4-1-1 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2018).
- [7] M. Cimpoeaş, F. Nicolae, *On the restricted partition function*, Ramanujan Journal, Ramanujan J. 47, no. 3, (2018), 565-588.
- [8] M. Cimpoeaş, *On the restricted partition function via determinants with Bernoulli polynomials*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.08996>, (2018).
- [9] F. R. Olson, *Some determinants involving Bernoulli and Euler numbers of higher order*, Pacific J. Math. **5**, (1955), 259-268
- [10] T. Popoviciu, *Asupra unei probleme de partiție a numerelor*, Acad. Republicii Populare Române, Filiala Cluj, Studii și cercetări științifice (Romanian) **4** (1953), 7-58.
- [11] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, *On Barnes' Multiple Zeta and Gamma Functions*, Advances in Mathematics **156** (2000), 107-132.
- [12] M. Spreafico, *On the Barnes double zeta and Gamma function*, J. Numb. Theory **129**, no.9 (2009), 2035-2063.
- [13] J. J. Sylvester, *On the partition of numbers*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **1** (1857), 141-152.
- [14] G. C. von Staudt, *Beweis eines Lehrsatzes, die Bernoullischen Zahlen betreffend*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 21 (1840), 372-374.

Mircea Cimpoeaş, Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics, Research unit 5, P.O.Box 1-764, Bucharest 014700, Romania, E-mail: mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro