ON THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF COMPLETE MANIFOLDS WITH ALMOST EUCLIDEAN VOLUME GROWTH

JIANMING WAN

ABSTRACT. It is proved that the fundamental group of a complete Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and certain volume growth conditions is trivial or finite.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper *M* denotes a complete noncompact Riemannian *n*-manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Let $V_p(r)$ be the volume of the metric ball $B_p(r)$ origin at *p* with radius *r* in *M*. By Bishop-Gromov volume comparison, $\frac{V_p(r)}{\omega_n r^n}$ is a decreasing function, where ω_n is the volume of unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . So the limit is existent as *r* goes to infinite. Denote the *volume growth* of *M* by

$$\alpha_M \coloneqq \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{V_p(r)}{\omega_n r^n}.$$

The α_M is independent of p and so a global geometric invariant. Moreover, the volume comparison also implies that $0 \le \alpha_M \le 1$ and $\alpha_M = 1$ if and only if M is isometric to \mathbb{R}^n . We say that M has *Euclidean volume growth* (or large volume growth) if $\alpha_M > 0$.

The volume comparison theorem implies that

$$0 < \frac{V_p(2r)}{V_p(r)} \le 2^n$$

for all r > 0 and Euclidean volume growth condition implies that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{V_p(2r)}{V_p(r)} = 2^n.$$

The main result of this note is

Theorem 1.1. Given *n*, there is a constant $C(n) < 2^n$ such that if an open *n*-manifold *M* satisfies

1)

(1.1)
$$\frac{V_p(2r)}{V_p(r)} > C(n)$$

for some $p \in M$ and **all** r > 0, then M is simple connected. 2)

(1.2)
$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{V_p(2r)}{V_p(r)} > C(n)$$

for some $p \in M$, then the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is finite.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C20.

Key words and phrases. nonnegative Ricci curvature, volume growth, fundamental group.

JIANMING WAN

We should note that even though M has Euclidean volume growth, one can not deduce that M is simple connected. So formula (1.1) holding for all r > 0 is important.

Set $\epsilon(n) = n - \log_2 \frac{C(n) + 2^n}{2}$. We see immediately that 2) of Theorem 1.1 implies the following

Corollary 1.2. Given n, there is a constant $\epsilon(n)$ such that if an open n-manifold M satisfies

(1.3)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{V_p(r)}{r^{n-\epsilon}} > 0,$$

for some $p \in M$, $0 \le \epsilon < \epsilon(n)$, then $\pi_1(M)$ is finite.

This shows a gap phenomenon for a well-known result of Peter Li [3] and Anderson [1] states that $\pi_1(M)$ is finite provided *M* has Euclidean volume growth.

On the other hand, Anderson has proved that (see Theorem 1.1 in [1]) under condition (1.3), every finitely generated subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$ is actually of polynomial growth of order $\leq \epsilon < 1$. In [6] Bingye Wu proved that under condition (1.3) $\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated. But every infinite group of finitely generated has polynomial growth of order at least 1 (I thank the referee for pointing out this fact. See Section 3). So Corollary 1.2 is also a consequence of Anderson and Wu's results.

Acknowledgment: I would like to thank the referee for his (her) invaluable suggestions. The referee's explanation clarify some understanding of mine on Anderson's paper [1].

2. A related volume ratio

In this section we prove an estimate on the volume ratio $V_p(2r)/V_p(r)$ related to certain generated elements of $\pi_1(M)$ (Lemma 2.4 below). The main ingredients are Sormani's uniform cut lemma [5] and some ideas due to Shen [4].

2.1. A uniform estimate. Let $g \in \pi_1(M, p)$ and $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ be the universal cover. Following [5], we say that γ is a *minimal representative geodesic loop* (based at p) of g if $\gamma = \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}$, where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a minimal geodesic connecting \tilde{p} and $g\tilde{p}$. So $L(\gamma) = d_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{p}, g\tilde{p})$.

Given a group G, we say that $\{g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots\}$ is an ordered set of independent generators of G if every g_i can not be expressed as the previous generators and their inverses, g_1, g_1^{-1}, \dots , g_{i-1}, g_{i-1}^{-1} .

In [5] Sormani proved the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. (halfway lemma) There exists an ordered set of independent generators $\{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$ of $\pi_1(M, p)$ with minimal representative geodesic loops γ_k of length d_k such that

$$d_M(\gamma_k(0), \gamma_k(d_k/2)) = d_k/2$$

In particular, we have a sequence of such generators if $\pi_1(M, p)$ is infinitely generated.

Lemma 2.2. (uniform cut lemma) Let M^n ($n \ge 3$) be a complete manifold with $Ric \ge 0$. Let γ be a noncontractible geodesic loop based at p_0 of length $L(\gamma) = 2D$ such that

- (1) If σ based at p_0 is a loop homotopic to γ , then $L(\sigma) \ge 2D$;
- (2) The γ is minimal on [0, D] and [D, 2D].

Then there is a constant S_n depending on n such that if $x \in \partial B_{p_0}(rD)$ where $r \ge 1 + 2S_n$, then

$$d(x, \gamma(D)) \ge (r-1)D + 4S_n D.$$

The main idea of proof of uniform cut lemma is to lift geodesic loop to the universal covering space and research carefully the related excess function. It contains a nice application of Abresch-Gromoll's estimate on excess function [2]. The above two lemmas allow her to show that Milnor conjecture holds for the manifold with so called small linear diameter growth.

Let γ be a minimal representative geodesic loops based at p of $L(\gamma) = d$ satisfying Lemma 2.1. The below estimate is important for our purpose.

Lemma 2.3. Let σ be a geodesic issuing from p such that $\sigma(t)$ is minimal on [0, d). Then there is a constant S(n) such that

$$h \coloneqq d_M(\gamma(d/2), \sigma|_{[0,d)}) \ge S(n)d.$$

Proof. We set $h_1 := d_M(\gamma(d/2), \sigma|_{[0,d/2)})$ and $h_2 := d_M(\gamma(d/2, \sigma|_{[d/2,d)})$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$H = d_M(\gamma(d/2), \sigma(d/2)) \ge 2S(n)d,$$

where S(n) is a universal constant, $S(n) = \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{3^n} (\frac{n-2}{n-1})^{n-1}$. Suppose that $h_1 = d_M(\gamma(d/2), \sigma(r_0))$. By the triangle inequality one has

$$h_1 \ge \frac{d}{2} - r_0$$

and

$$h_1 \ge H - (\frac{d}{2} - r_0).$$

Then

$$h_1 \ge H/2 \ge S(n)d$$

We also note that $d_M(\gamma(d/2), \sigma(d)) \ge d/2$. So similarly one has

$$h_2 \ge H/2 \ge S(n)d.$$

It follows that

$$h = \min(h_1, h_2) \ge S(n)d.$$

Г			ı	
L			l	
-	-	-		

2.2. A volume's ratio. Continuing with notations p, d in Lemma 2.3, we shall prove

Lemma 2.4. We have the following ratio of volume

$$\frac{V_p(2d)}{V_p(d)} \le (1 - (\frac{2S(n)}{3})^n)(2^n - 1) + 1.$$

Before giving the proof of Lemma 2.4, (following [4]) we introduce some necessary notations. Let Σ_p be a close subset of unit tangent sphere $S_pM \subset T_pM$. Let $B_{\Sigma_p}(r)$ be the set of points $x \in B_p(r)$ such that there exists a minimal geodesic γ from p to x with $\gamma'(0) \in \Sigma_p$. We write $V_{\Sigma_p}(r)$ for the volume of $B_{\Sigma_p}(r)$.

We denote by $\Sigma_p(r)$ the set of unit vectors $v \in S_p M$ such that $\gamma(t) = \exp_p(tv)$ is minimal on [0, r).

Proof. of Lemma 2.4. We write $y = \gamma(d/2)$. Since $h \le d/2$, we have $B_p(d) \supset B_y(h) \cup B_{\Sigma_p(d)}(d)$. By the definition of h, this gives $V_p(d) \ge V_y(h) + V_{\Sigma_p(d)}(d)$, i.e.

(2.1)
$$1 \ge \frac{V_{y}(h)}{V_{p}(d)} + \frac{V_{\Sigma_{p}(d)}(d)}{V_{p}(d)}$$

Claim 1:

(2.2)
$$\frac{V_y(h)}{V_p(d)} \ge \left(\frac{2S(n)}{3}\right)^n$$

By the Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem, $\mu^n V_p(r) \ge V_p(\mu r)$ for $\mu \ge 1$. By Lemma 2.3 we have $h \ge S(n)d$. So

$$V_{y}(h) \ge V_{y}(S(n)d) \ge (\frac{2S(n)}{3})^{n}V_{y}(3d/2).$$

Since $B_y(3d/2) \supset B_p(d)$, we have $V_y(3d/2) \ge V_p(d)$. Thus we obtain (2.2). **Claim 2:**

(2.3)
$$\frac{V_{\Sigma_p(d)}(d)}{V_p(d)} \ge \frac{1}{2^n - 1} \left(\frac{V_p(2d)}{V_p(d)} - 1\right)$$

Following the observation of Shen (c.f. [4] Lemma 2.4), we see that

$$B_p(2r) \setminus B_p(r) \subset B_{\Sigma_p(r)}(2r) \setminus B_{\Sigma_p(r)}(r).$$

Then we have

$$V_p(2r) - V_p(r) \leq V_{\Sigma_p(r)}(2r) - V_{\Sigma_p(r)}(r)$$

$$\leq (2^n - 1)V_{\Sigma_p(r)}(r).$$

The second in equality follows from the generalized volume comparison (Lemma 2.2 of [4]). Thus

$$\frac{V_{\Sigma_p(r)}(r)}{V_p(r)} \ge \frac{1}{2^n - 1} (\frac{V_p(2r)}{V_p(r)} - 1).$$

Jointing formulas (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we establish the lemma.

3. A proof of theorem 1.1

We set

$$C(n) = (1 - (\frac{2S(n)}{3})^n)(2^n - 1) + 1.$$

If $\frac{V_p(2r)}{V_p(r)} > C(n)$ for all r > 0, then there is no nontrivial generator satisfying Lemma 2.4. So *M* is simple connected. Thus the first part of Theorem 1.1 is proved.

The proof of second part of Theorem 1.1 is divided into two steps.

Firstly, $\pi_1(M, p)$ is finitely generated. We argue by contradiction. Assume $\pi_1(M, p)$ is infinitely generated, then by Lemma 2.2, there is a sequence $\{d_k\}, d_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ satisfying Lemma 2.4, i.e.

$$\frac{V_p(2d_k)}{V_p(d_k)} \le C(n),$$

for all $k \ge 1$. This contradicts to condition (1.2).

Secondly, condition (1.2) implies that $V_p(r) \ge C \cdot r^{n-\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon < 1$ and sufficiently large *r*. So by Anderson's result [1], $\pi_1(M)$ has polynomial growth of order $\le \epsilon < 1$.

The form of C(n) allows us to write $C(n) = 2^{n-\epsilon}$, $\epsilon < 1$. By condition (1.2), there exists $r_0 > 0$, for all $r \ge r_0$, one has

$$V_p(2r) \ge 2^{n-\epsilon} V_p(r).$$

So

$$V_p(r_0) \le (2^{n-\epsilon})^{-1} V_p(2r_0) \le \dots \le (2^{n-\epsilon})^{-k} V_p(2^k r_0),$$

4

 $k \in N$. For any $r \ge r_0$, we can assume $r \in [2^k r_0, 2^{k+1} r_0]$ for some $k \in N$. Then

$$\begin{split} V_p(r) \ge V_p(2^k r_0) &\ge \frac{V_p(r_0)}{r_0^{n-\epsilon}} (2^k r_0)^{n-\epsilon} \\ &\ge \frac{V_p(r_0)}{r_0^{n-\epsilon}} (2^k r_0 \cdot \frac{r}{2^{k+1} r_0})^{n-\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{V_p(r_0)}{(2r_0)^{n-\epsilon}} r^{n-\epsilon}. \end{split}$$

It follows that $V_p(r) \ge C \cdot r^{n-\epsilon}$ for all $r > r_0$.

An algebraic fact: If Γ is an infinite group with generators $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$, then $\#U(r) \ge r$ for all $r \in N$, where U(r) is the set of elements with word length $\le r$ with respect to S. In particular, Γ has polynomial growth of order at least 1. (This proof is provided by referee) To see this we argue by contradiction. Let r be the smallest integer so that #U(r) < r, then $r-1 \le \#U(r-1) \le \#U(r) < r$. This shows U(r) = U(r-1). In other words, any word of length r can be expressed as a word of length $\le r-1$. It follows that $\Gamma = U(r-1)$, which is finite, a contradiction.

The second part of Theorem 1.1 follows from above immediately.

Remark 3.1. Our proof of finite generation of $\pi_1(M)$ is much different to Wu's arguments under condition (1.3). Wu's proof was based on the estimate of ordered set of independent generators with minimal representative geodesic loops.

References

- 1. Anderson, M.T. On the topology of complete manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature. Topology 29 (1990), no. 1, 41-55.
- 2. Abresch, U. and Gromoll, D. *On complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990), no. 2, 355-374.
- 3. Li, Peter Large time behavior of the heat equation on complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Ann. of Math. (2) 124 (1986), no. 1, 1-21.
- Shen, Zhongmin Complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and large volume growth. Invent. Math. 125 (1996), no. 3, 393-404.
- 5. Sormani, Christina Nonnegative Ricci curvature, small linear diameter growth and finite generation of fundamental groups. J. Differential Geom. 54 (2000), no. 3, 547-559.
- 6. Wu, Bing Ye On the fundamental group of Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Geom. Dedicata 162 (2013), 337-344.

School of Mathematics, Northwest University, XI'an 710127, China *E-mail address:* wanj_m@aliyun.com