

Embeddings of Orlicz-Lorentz spaces into L_1

Joscha Prochno

February 14, 2019

Abstract

In this article, we show that Orlicz-Lorentz spaces $\ell_{M,a}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with Orlicz function M and weight sequence a are uniformly isomorphic to subspaces of L_1 if the norm $\|\cdot\|_{M,a}$ satisfies certain Hardy-type inequalities. This includes the embedding of some Lorentz spaces $d^n(a,p)$. Our approach is based on combinatorial averaging techniques and we prove a new result of independent interest that relates suitable averages with Orlicz-Lorentz norms.

1 Introduction

The classical Banach space L_1 has a very rich structure and plays an important role in many areas of modern mathematics such as Asymptotic Geometric Analysis, Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis, or Probability Theory. It is therefore natural to investigate and seek to understand the geometric structure of this space. This can be done, for instance, by studying its finite-dimensional subspaces. The methods used typically share a lively interplay of geometric, analytic, combinatorial, and probabilistic ideas. While the approach via finite-dimensional subspaces is a purely ‘local’ one, it does however bear significant information on the ‘global’ structure of the space L_1 . We refer the reader to the pioneering work [16] of Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński in which they made Grothendieck’s Résumé [8] accessible to a broader community and launched the Local Theory of Banach spaces.

It comes as no surprise that the influential work of Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński triggered an extensive research activity around the local structure of Banach spaces and L_1 in particular, and that various deep results and powerful methods have been obtained and developed. For instance, Kwapien and Schütt proved that all spaces whose norms are averages of 2-concave Orlicz norms embed into L_1 , which was a local version of a result previously obtained by Bretagnolle and Dacunha-Castelle [6] for the infinite-dimensional setting. This characterization gives, in a certain sense, a complete picture of which spaces with a symmetric basis embed into L_1 , but as can be seen in the case of Lorentz spaces [31] it is far from easy to apply. Therefore, it is desirable to obtain conditions which may be ‘easily’ verified. Let us give some references most relevant to this article. It was shown by Schütt in [32, Corollary 3], using combinatorial tools partly developed in [12] (see also [14]), that every Orlicz space with a 2-concave Orlicz function embeds into L_1 . A similar result was later obtained by Lechner, Passenbrunner, and Prochno showing that a 2-concave Orlicz space ℓ_M^n embeds into $\ell_1^{cn^3}$ with absolute constant $c \in (0, \infty)$ [15] and the embedding for 2-concave Musielak-Orlicz spaces was

proved in [26, Corollary 1.3]. In [31], also using a combinatorial approach, the Lorentz spaces isomorphic to a subspace of L_1 were characterized by Schütt. In the works [2, 27, 29] embeddings of certain matrix spaces into L_1 were obtained.

It is natural to ask whether similar embedding results or characterizations can be shown for more general classes of Banach sequence spaces (with a symmetric basis), keeping in mind that already the cases of Orlicz and Lorentz spaces required sophisticated ideas and technical finesse. This paper is a contribution towards this goal and we shall show that certain Orlicz-Lorentz spaces, hybrids combining both Orlicz and Lorentz spaces, are uniformly isomorphic to subspaces of L_1 . The approach we choose is based on combinatorial methods, which are of independent interest. As powerful as these averaging techniques are, as technical they can typically be, and only few experts are really familiar with them.

In order to present the main result of this article, let us briefly introduce some notation. For details, we refer the reader to Section 2 or the standard literature on Banach space geometry [17, 18, 24, 33]. We shall denote by d_{BM} the Banach-Mazur distance, which is a measure for the geometric similarity or difference of two isomorphic spaces. We define an Orlicz-Lorentz space $\ell_{M,a}^n$ with non-increasing weight sequence $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0$ and Orlicz function M to be \mathbb{R}^n with the norm

$$\|x\|_{M,a} = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^n M \left(\frac{a_i x_i^*}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n$ is the non-increasing rearrangement of the coordinates of the vector x . The standard unit vector basis is a symmetric basis for this space. We shall write \mathfrak{S}_n for the symmetric group of all permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Lastly, we denote by $L_1^{n!3^22^n}$ the space

$$L_1^{n!3^22^n} = \left\{ (x(\pi, \sigma, \varepsilon, \delta))_{\pi, \sigma, \varepsilon, \delta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n!3^22^n} : \varepsilon, \delta \in \{-1, 1\}^n, \pi, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|x\|_1 = \frac{1}{n!3^22^n} \sum_{\pi, \sigma, \varepsilon, \delta} |x(\pi, \sigma, \varepsilon, \delta)|, \quad x = (x(\pi, \sigma, \varepsilon, \delta))_{\pi, \sigma, \varepsilon, \delta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n!3^22^n}.$$

The following theorem shows that for any $p \in (1, 2)$, every Orlicz function M for which $M(t)/t^{p-\epsilon}$ is decreasing ($\epsilon > 0$), and all weight sequences a for which the Orlicz-Lorentz norm satisfies two Hardy-type inequalities, the corresponding sequence of Orlicz-Lorentz spaces $\ell_{M,a}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ embeds uniformly into L_1 .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 < p < 2$. Let $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n > 0$ and for some $\epsilon \in (0, p - 1)$ let M be an Orlicz function such $M(t)/t^{p-\epsilon}$ is non-increasing. Assume that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the Orlicz-Lorentz norm satisfies*

$$\left\| \left(\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i^*|^p \right)^{1/p} \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M,a} \leq C_1 \|x\|_{M,a} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=k+1}^n |x_i^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M,a} \leq C_2 \|x\|_{M,a}, \quad (2)$$

where $C_1, C_2 \in (0, \infty)$ are absolute constants. Then there is a subspace Y_n of $L_1^{n^{3 \cdot 2^{2n}}}$ with $\dim Y_n = n$ such that $d_{\text{BM}}(\ell_{M,a}^n, Y_n) \leq D$, where $D \in (0, \infty)$ is a constant depending only on p .

The parameter p appears in Theorem 1.1, because in our proof we need to pass through an ℓ_p space to embed into L_1 and this seems to be a technical matter. It can be seen as a kind of convexification argument. A condition similar to $M(t)/t^{p-\epsilon}$ is decreasing has already been used in [27]. This condition is satisfied, for instance, if M is an r -concave Orlicz function with $r < p$, i.e., if $t \mapsto M(t^{1/r})$ is a concave function. Roughly speaking this assumption separates the Orlicz function M and the Orlicz function $t \mapsto t^p$. We refer to the discussion in [27] where it is also explained that ‘decreasing’ can be relaxed to ‘pseudo-decreasing’. As we shall see, the rearrangement inequalities (3) and (4) will appear in a natural way. They regulate the interplay of the Orlicz function and the decay of the weight sequence. Such Hardy-type inequalities have been used in a different context in [10] and appeared in disguise already in the work [31]. As we shall see later, in the Lorentz spaces $d(a, q)$ those estimates are valid whenever the weight sequence has a certain regular decay, which is neither too fast nor too slow. This unfortunately also prevents us from recovering Schütt’s result for Orlicz spaces from [32]. However, we add a rich class of new symmetric Banach sequence spaces that embed uniformly into L_1 . The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on averaging techniques and a new combinatorial result related to Orlicz-Lorentz norms, which generalizes results from [12], [31], and [32]. It is interesting to note that such combinatorial tools, classically used to obtain embeddings into L_1 , recently proved to be useful in relation to the variance conjecture on hyperplane projections of ℓ_p^n -balls [1] or in studying the geometry of Banach spaces between polytopes and zonotopes [7] (see also [3] for a randomized version). We therefore believe that they are interesting in their own right. For further applications of these combinatorial methods in Banach space theory, we refer the reader to, e.g., [9], [13], [20], [25], [30] and the references cited therein.

2 Preliminaries

We briefly present the notions and some background material used throughout this text. We split this part into several smaller subsections.

Basic notions from Banach spaces theory

Let X and Y be isomorphic Banach spaces. We say that they are C -isomorphic if there is an isomorphism $T : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq C$. We define the Banach-Mazur distance of X and Y by

$$d_{\text{BM}}(X, Y) = \inf \left\{ \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| : T \in L(X, Y) \text{ isomorphism} \right\}.$$

Let $(X_n)_n$ be a sequence of n -dimensional normed spaces and let Z be another normed space. If there exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a normed space $Y_n \subseteq Z$ with $\dim(Y_n) = n$ and $d_{\text{BM}}(X_n, Y_n) \leq C$, then we say that $(X_n)_n$ embeds uniformly into Z or in short: X_n embeds into Z . For a detailed introduction to the concept of Banach-Mazur distances, we refer the reader to [33].

Let X be a Banach space with basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$. We call the basis C -symmetric if there exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all signs $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, all sequences $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ in \mathbb{R} , and all permutations $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \right\|_X \leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_{\pi(i)} e_i \right\|_X.$$

We shall use the asymptotic notation $a \approx b$ to express that there exist two positive absolute constants c_1, c_2 such that $c_1 a \leq b \leq c_2 a$ and similarly use $a \lesssim b$ or $a \gtrsim b$. If the constants depend on a parameter α , we indicate this by $a \approx_\alpha b$, $a \lesssim_\alpha b$, or $a \gtrsim_\alpha b$. We shall use the notations Ave_π and Ave_ε to denote the averages $(n!)^{-1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n}$ and $2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n}$, respectively. For a parameter p , we shall denote by p^* its conjugate for which the relation $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^*} = 1$ is satisfied.

Orlicz spaces

A convex function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $M(0) = 0$ and $M(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ is called an Orlicz function. An Orlicz function (as we define it) is bijective and continuous on $[0, \infty)$. We assume that an Orlicz function satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(t)}{t} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(t)}{t} = \infty,$$

which is typically called an N -function. The Orlicz space ℓ_M^n is defined as the space \mathbb{R}^n equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\|_M = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^n M \left(\frac{|x_i|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

An Orlicz function M is called r -concave if $t \mapsto M(t^{1/r})$ is a concave function. Given an Orlicz function M , we define its conjugate function M^* by the Legendre-Transform, i.e.,

$$M^*(x) = \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} (xt - M(t)).$$

Again, M^* is an Orlicz function and $M^{**} = M$. For instance, taking $M(t) = \frac{1}{p} t^p$, $p \geq 1$, the conjugate function is given by $M^*(t) = \frac{1}{p^*} t^{p^*}$ with $\frac{1}{p^*} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$. Notice also that the norm of the dual space $(\ell_M^n)^*$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{M^*}$. Moreover, one has the duality relation

$$t \leq M^{-1}(t)(M^*)^{-1}(t) \leq 2t$$

for all $t \geq 0$ (see, e.g., [5]). We say that two Orlicz functions M and N are equivalent if there are positive constants a and b such that for all $t \geq 0$

$$M(at) \leq N(t) \leq M(bt)$$

which is equivalent to

$$aN^{-1}(t) \leq M^{-1}(t) \leq bN^{-1}(t).$$

If two Orlicz functions are equivalent so are their norms. Notice that it is enough for the functions M and N to be equivalent in a neighborhood of 0 for the corresponding sequence spaces ℓ_M and ℓ_N to coincide [17]. For a detailed and thorough introduction to the theory of Orlicz spaces, we refer the reader to [11], [22], or [28].

Lorentz spaces

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0$. We define the Lorentz space $d^n(a, p)$ to be \mathbb{R}^n equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{d^n(a,p)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^{*p} \right)^{1/p},$$

where $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n$ is the non-increasing rearrangement of $(|x_i|)_{i=1}^n$. For $a_i \equiv 1$, we simply obtain the space ℓ_p^n and for the special choice $a_i = i^{p/q-1}$, $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, as a weight sequence, we denote the corresponding Lorentz spaces by $\ell_{q,p}^n$ and write $\|\cdot\|_{q,p}$ for their norms. Using the Cauchy condensation device, one can easily show that for all $1 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq q < \infty$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|x\|_{q,p_2} \leq 2^{1/p_1} \|x\|_{q,p_1}.$$

For more information on the geometry of Lorentz spaces, we refer the reader to [19] or [23], and for a presentation in the context of interpolation theory we refer to [4].

Orlicz-Lorentz spaces

Glueing together Orlicz and Lorentz spaces in an ℓ_M -fashion, we define an Orlicz-Lorentz space $\ell_{M,a}^n$ with weight sequence $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0$ and Orlicz function M to be \mathbb{R}^n with the norm

$$\|x\|_{M,a} = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^n M \left(\frac{a_i x_i^*}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The standard unit vector basis in \mathbb{R}^n is a 1-symmetric basis for these spaces and turns them into symmetric Banach spaces. For the choice $M(t) = t^p$, we obtain the Lorentz space $d^n(a, p)$, and for $a_i \equiv 1$ the space $\ell_{M,a}^n$ is simply the Orlicz space ℓ_M^n . These spaces have a rich structure and we refer the reader to, for instance, the work of Montgomery-Smith [21].

Combinatorial results

As we have already mentioned, our proof is based on a combinatorial approach. We will need the following deep result obtained by the author and Carsten Schütt in their joint work [27, Lemma 2.6].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < r < \infty$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n > 0$. There exists an Orlicz function N such that for all $\ell = 1, \dots, n$*

$$N^{*-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right) \approx \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right)^{1/p^*} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |a_i|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right)^{1/r^*} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n |a_i|^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

For all such Orlicz functions and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$c_1(r, p) \|x\|_N \leq \left(\text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^r \right)^{p/r} \right)^{1/p} \leq c_2(r, p) \|x\|_N,$$

where $c_1(r, p), c_2(r, p) \in (0, \infty)$ are constants depending only on r and p .

For the better understanding of the combinatorial methods involved, let us show how an ℓ_p -norm is generated by averaging over permutations. We will use this result frequently throughout this text and therefore include a proof.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 < p < 2$. The vector $(a_i)_{i=1}^n = \left((n/i)^{1/p}\right)_{i=1}^n$ generates the ℓ_p -norm, i.e., for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$c_1(p) \|x\|_p \leq \text{Ave}_\pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_2(p) \|x\|_p,$$

where $c_1(p), c_2(p) \in (0, \infty)$ are constants depending only on p .

Proof. First, we observe that, for all $m \leq n$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i + \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{1/r^*} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=m+1}^n |a_i|^r\right)^{1/r} \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{n}{i}\right)^{1/p} = \frac{1}{n^{1/p^*}} \sum_{i=1}^m i^{-1/p} = \frac{1}{n^{1/p^*}} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^m i^{-1/p}\right).$$

A standard integral estimate shows that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{n}{i}\right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{2}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{1/p^*}.$$

Furthermore, a similar argument shows that, for all $m \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m i^{-1/p} \geq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \frac{m^{1/p^*}}{2}.$$

Hence, for all $m \leq n$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{n}{i}\right)^{1/p} \geq \frac{1}{2(1 - \frac{1}{p})} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{1/p^*},$$

since the case $m = 1$ is obvious. Therefore, we have for all $m \leq n$

$$\frac{1}{2(1 - \frac{1}{p})} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{1/p^*} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{n}{i}\right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{2}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{1/p^*}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i + \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{1/r^*} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=m+1}^n |a_i|^r\right)^{1/r} \geq \frac{1}{2(1 - \frac{1}{p})} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{1/p^*}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=m+1}^n |a_i|^2\right)^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{m}}{n^{1/p^*}} \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n i^{-2/p}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Again, a simple integral estimate yields

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^n i^{-2/p} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{2}{p}-1} \left(m^{-2/p+1} - n^{-2/p+1} \right),$$

and so we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n i^{-2/p} \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\frac{2}{p}-1} m^{-2/p+1} \right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{2}{p}-1} \right)^{1/2} m^{-1/p+1/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\sqrt{m}}{n^{1/p^*}} \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n i^{-2/p} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{m}}{n^{1/p^*}} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{2}{p}-1} \right)^{1/2} m^{-1/p+1/2} = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{2}{p}-1} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/p^*}.$$

Hence, for all $m \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i + \left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k+1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq \frac{2}{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/p^*} + \left(\frac{1}{\frac{2}{p}-1} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/p^*} \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{1-\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{p}-1}} \right) \left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/p^*}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Another combinatorial tool that we shall use is the following result of Schütt taken from [31, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $k = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$\left(\text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i \leq \frac{n}{k}} |x_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} \approx \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i^*|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=k+1}^n |x_i^*|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where x_i^* , $i = 1, \dots, n$ denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of the numbers $|x_i|$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Readers familiar with interpolation theory might recognize expressions of this type. Indeed, a result of this flavor has also been obtained via real interpolation techniques by Lechner, Passenbrunner, and Prochno in [14].

3 Combinatorial elements of the proof

Before we come to the embedding of Orlicz-Lorentz spaces into L_1 , we require a new combinatorial averaging device. We prove that an abstract Orlicz-Lorentz norm can be generated by permutation-averages of an ℓ_2 -norm of suitably chosen vectors. Recall that to any vector $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ whose coordinates are non-increasing there corresponds an Orlicz function as shown in Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. Let $1 < p < 2$, $z = \left((n/i)^{1/p}\right)_{i=1}^n$, and $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_n > 0$. Let $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n > 0$ and consider for $k = 1, \dots, n$ the vectors $c^k = (a_k, \dots, a_k, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with n/k non-zero entries. Assume that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\left\| \left(\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i^*|^p \right)^{1/p} \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M_d, a} \leq C_1 \|x\|_{M_d, a} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=k+1}^n |x_i^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M_d, a} \leq C_2 \|x\|_{M_d, a}, \quad (4)$$

where M_d is the Orlicz function related to d and $C_1, C_2 \in (0, \infty)$ are absolute constants. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$c_1(p) \|x\|_{M_d, a} \leq \text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma, \eta} \left(\sum_{i, k=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)} z_{\eta(k)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_2(p) \|x\|_{M_d, a},$$

where $c_1(p), c_2(p) \in (0, \infty)$ are constants depending only on p .

Proof. Let us start with the lower bound. As we know from Corollary 2.2, the vector z generates the ℓ_p -norm and so

$$\text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma, \eta} \left(\sum_{i, k=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)} z_{\eta(k)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \approx_p \text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

The triangle inequality yields the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} &\geq \text{Ave}_{\sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left| \text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \text{Ave}_{\sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |d_{\sigma(k)}|^p \left| \text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

An application of Lemma 2.3, where we simply omit the quadratic term, shows that

$$\text{Ave}_{\sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |d_{\sigma(k)}|^p \left| \text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \approx \text{Ave}_{\sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |d_{\sigma(k)}|^p \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^* \right|^p a_k^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 there exists an Orlicz function M_d such that

$$\text{Ave}_{\sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |d_{\sigma(k)}|^p \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^* \right|^p a_k^p \right)^{1/p} \approx_p \left\| \left(a_k \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^* \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M_d} \geq \|(a_k x_k^*)_{k=1}^n\|_{M_d},$$

where we used that $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^* \geq kx_k^*$ for any $k = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, we obtain the desired lower bound

$$\text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma, \eta} \left(\sum_{i, k=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)} z_{\eta(k)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq c_1(p) \|x\|_{M_d, a},$$

where the constant $c_1(p) \in (0, \infty)$ depends only on p .

We now proceed with the upper bound. As we have seen, since z generates the ℓ_p -norm, we have

$$\text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma, \eta} \left(\sum_{i, k=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)} z_{\eta(k)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \approx_p \text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

It follows from Jensen's inequality that

$$\text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} \leq \text{Ave}_{\sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |d_{\sigma(k)}|^p \text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Using again Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$\text{Ave}_{\sigma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |d_{\sigma(k)}|^p \text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} \approx_p \left\| \left(\left(\text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M_d}.$$

An application of Lemma 2.3 and the triangle inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\left(\text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M_d} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left(a_k \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^{*p} \right)^{1/p} \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M_d} + \left\| \left(a_k \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i^{*2} \right)^{1/2} \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M_d}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, conditions (3) and (4) show that

$$\text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma, \eta} \left(\sum_{i, k=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)} z_{\eta(k)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_2(p) \|x\|_{M_d, a},$$

with constant $c_2(p) \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on p . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. Let us note that the first Hardy-type inequality in Theorem 3.1 (and Theorem 1.1) holds, for instance, if the weight sequence a defining the Orlicz-Lorentz space does not decay too slowly, i.e., if for all $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{a_i}{i^{1/p}} \leq C a_k k^{1-1/p}, \quad (5)$$

where $C \in (0, \infty)$ is an absolute constant. Indeed, using the Hahn-Banach theorem and that the norm of the dual space of $\ell_{M_d}^n$ is up to a factor 2 equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{M_d^*}$, we obtain

$$\left\| \left(a_k \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^{*p} \right)^{1/p} \right)_{k=1}^n \right\|_{M_d} \approx \sup_{y \in B_{M_d^*}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \frac{a_k}{k^{1/p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^{*p} \right)^{1/p},$$

where $B_{M_d^*}^n$ is the unit ball of the space $\ell_{M_d^*}^n$. Since we may assume without loss of generality that $y_1 \geq \dots \geq y_n$ and because of the Lorentz-norm estimate $\|\cdot\|_p \leq 2 \|\cdot\|_{p,1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in B_{M^*}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \frac{a_k}{k^{1/p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^{*p} \right)^{1/p} &\leq 2 \sup_{y \in B_{M_d^*}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \frac{a_k}{k^{1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i^{1-1/p}} x_i^* \\ &= 2 \sup_{y \in B_{M_d^*}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{1-1/p}} x_i^* \sum_{k=i}^n y_k \frac{a_k}{k^{1/p}} \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{y \in B_{M_d^*}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{1-1/p}} x_i^* y_i \sum_{k=i}^n \frac{a_k}{k^{1/p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying condition (5) and again the duality relation used before, we find that

$$\sup_{y \in B_{M_d^*}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{1-1/p}} x_i^* y_i \sum_{k=i}^n \frac{a_k}{k^{1/p}} \leq C \sup_{y \in B_{M_d^*}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{1-1/p}} x_i^* y_i i^{1-1/p} a_i \approx \|(x_i^* a_i)_{i=1}^n\|_{M_d}.$$

4 The embedding of Orlicz-Lorentz spaces into L_1

We are now prepared to present the proof of Theorem 1.1, which is based on Theorem 3.1. In fact, we need to prove two things. One is that we can choose the vector d such that it yields an Orlicz function equivalent to the one from Theorem 3.1. The second is that the average over permutations from Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to an L_1 -norm. The latter will be a consequence of Khintchine's inequality.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We consider $z = \left((n/i)^{1/p} \right)_{i=1}^n$ and choose the sequence d such that, for all $\ell = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(M^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} d_i.$$

Let $c^1, \dots, c^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the vectors as chosen in Theorem 3.1. Then Theorem 3.1 shows that

$$\text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma, \eta} \left(\sum_{i,k=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)} z_{\eta(k)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \approx_p \|x\|_{M_d, a},$$

where M_d is an Orlicz function such that for all $\ell \leq n$

$$(M_d^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |d_i| + \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right)^{1/p^*} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n |d_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

We show that M_d^* and M^* are equivalent Orlicz functions. The lower bound is immediate, because of the choice of the sequence d and so we obtain

$$(M_d^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right) \gtrsim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |d_i| = (M^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right).$$

Let us proceed with the upper bound. We have

$$(M_d^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right) \lesssim (M^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right) + \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right)^{1/p^*} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n |d_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

and so it remains to estimate the second term on the right-hand side. First, we observe that for all $\ell = 1, \dots, n$

$$(M^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right) \geq \frac{\ell}{n} d_\ell.$$

Using the relation $t \leq M^{-1}(t)(M^*)^{-1}(t) \leq 2t$ that holds for all $t \geq 0$, we obtain that, for all $\ell = 1, \dots, n$,

$$d_\ell \leq \frac{(M^*)^{-1}(\ell/n)}{\ell/n} \leq \frac{2}{M^{-1}(\ell/n)}.$$

Hence, for all $\ell = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n |d_i|^p \leq \frac{2^p}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n \left| \frac{1}{M^{-1}(i/n)} \right|^p$$

Consider $p_\epsilon := p - \epsilon$ for $\epsilon \in (0, p - 1)$ such that $M(t)/t^{p-\epsilon}$ is decreasing. Then also $t \mapsto \frac{t}{(M^{-1}(t))^{p_\epsilon}}$ is a decreasing function. In particular, the function

$$t \mapsto \left| \frac{t}{(M^{-1}(t))^{p_\epsilon}} \right|^{p/p_\epsilon} = \frac{t^{p/p_\epsilon}}{(M^{-1}(t))^p}$$

is decreasing. Therefore, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n |d_i|^p &\leq \frac{2^p}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n \frac{(i/n)^{p/p_\epsilon}}{(M^{-1}(i/n))^p} \left(\frac{n}{i} \right)^{p/p_\epsilon} \leq \frac{2^p}{n} \frac{(\ell/n)^{p/p_\epsilon}}{(M^{-1}(\ell/n))^p} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n \left(\frac{n}{i} \right)^{p/p_\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{2^p}{n} \frac{\ell^{p/p_\epsilon}}{(M^{-1}(\ell/n))^p} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n i^{-p/p_\epsilon} \lesssim_p \frac{\ell^{p/p_\epsilon}}{n (M^{-1}(\ell/n))^p} \ell^{1-p/p_\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{\ell/n}{(M^{-1}(\ell/n))^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the penultimate step we used that $p_\epsilon < p$. Putting everything together, we arrive at

$$\left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right)^{1/p^*} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^n |d_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \lesssim_p \frac{(\ell/n)^{1/p^*} (\ell/n)^{1/p}}{M^{-1}(\ell/n)} = \frac{\ell/n}{M^{-1}(\ell/n)} \leq (M^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{n} \right),$$

where in the last step we used the duality relation $t/M^{-1}(t) \leq (M^*)^{-1}(t)$ valid for all $t \geq 0$. This shows that the Orlicz functions M_d and M are equivalent and we have

$$\text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma, \eta} \left(\sum_{i,k=1}^n |x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)} z_{\eta(k)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \approx_p \|x\|_{M,a}.$$

We now define our embedding into L_1 as follows,

$$\Psi_n : \ell_{M,a}^n \rightarrow L_1^{n^3 2^{2n}}, \quad x \mapsto \left(\sum_{i,k=1}^n x_i c_{\pi(i)}^k d_{\sigma(k)} z_{\eta(k)} \varepsilon_i \delta_k \right)_{\pi, \sigma, \eta, \varepsilon, \delta}.$$

It is a direct consequence of Khintchine's inequality (see, e.g., [33, Theorem 6.1]) that

$$\|\Psi_n(x)\|_1 \approx \|x\|_{M,a}.$$

This means that there exists a constant $D \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on p such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a subspace Y_n of $L_1^{n^3 2^{2n}}$ with $\dim(Y_n) = n$ such that $d_{\text{BM}}(\ell_{M,a}^n, Y_n) \leq D$. \square

Let us close this article with a comment on the special case of embeddings of Lorentz spaces into L_1 .

Remark 4.1. *To obtain from our result the embeddings of certain Lorentz spaces $d^n(a, r)$ into L_1 , one needs to assure that the Hardy-type inequalities are satisfied. In Remark 3.2, we have already demonstrated how the first inequality (3) can be derived for suitably decaying weights a . In fact, in the case of Lorentz spaces the second inequality (4) follows from a complementary condition. Both assumptions together assure that the decay of a is regular enough. Let us consider the Orlicz function $M(t) = t^r$ with $1 < r < p$ and assume that for all $k = 1, \dots, n$ the sequence a of weights satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i^r}{i^{p/2}} \leq C a_k^r k^{1-p/2} \quad (6)$$

where $C \in (0, \infty)$ is an absolute constant. Then, because of the inequality $\|\cdot\|_2 \leq 2^{1/r} \|\cdot\|_{2,r}$, we obtain from interchanging the order of summation that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^r \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i^{*2} \right)^{r/2} \right)^{1/r} &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k^r}{k^{r/2}} \|(x_i^*)_{i=k+1}^n\|_{2,r}^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k^r}{k^{r/2}} \sum_{i=k+1}^n i^{r/2-1} x_i^{*r} \right)^{1/r} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i^{r/2-1} x_i^{*r} \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{a_k^r}{i^{r/2}} \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C^{1/r} \|x\|_{d^n(a,r)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used condition (6) in the last step of the computation.

It would be nice to obtain complete characterizations describing exactly which Orlicz-Lorentz spaces embed uniformly into L_1 . As already the result in this paper and previous ones like [12], [27], [32], or [31] show, this question is one of considerable difficulty. One main problem is that in general Orlicz functions are not homogeneous for some parameter α , i.e., $M(\lambda t) \neq \lambda^\alpha M(t)$.

Acknowledgement

JP has been supported by a *Visiting International Professor Fellowship* from the Ruhr University Bochum and its Research School PLUS as well as by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Project F5508-N26, which is part of the Special Research Program ‘‘Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications’’.

References

- [1] D. Alonso-Gutiérrez and J. Bastero. The variance conjecture on hyperplane projections of the ℓ_p^n balls. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 34(2):879–904, 2018.
- [2] D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, M. Passenbrunner, and J. Prochno. Probabilistic estimates for tensor products of random vectors. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 144(5):2133–2148, 2016.

- [3] D. Alonso-Gutiérrez and J. Prochno. On the geometry of random convex sets between polytopes and zonotopes. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 450(1):670 – 690, 2017.
- [4] C. Bennett and R.C. Sharpley. *Interpolation of Operators*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Elsevier Science, 1988.
- [5] S. Bloom and R. Kerman. Weighted L_Φ integral inequalities for operators of Hardy type. *Studia Math.*, 110(1):35–52, 1994.
- [6] J. Bretagnolle and D. Dacunha-Castelle. Application de l'étude de certaines formes linéaires aléatoires au plongement d'espaces de Banach dans des espaces L^p . *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 2(4):437–480, 1969.
- [7] Y. Gordon, A. Litvak, C. Schütt, and E. Werner. Geometry of spaces between polytopes and related zonotopes. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 126(9):733 – 762, 2002.
- [8] A. Grothendieck. Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Sao Paulo*, 8:1–79, 1953.
- [9] W. B. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman, and L. Tzafriri. Symmetric structures in Banach spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19(217):v+298, 1979.
- [10] M. Junge. The optimal order for the p -th moment of sums of independent random variables with respect to symmetric norms and related combinatorial estimates. *Positivity*, 10(2):201–230, 2006.
- [11] M. A. Krasnoselskiĭ and J. B. Rutickiĭ. *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*. Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron. P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.
- [12] S. Kwapiień and C. Schütt. Some combinatorial and probabilistic inequalities and their application to Banach space theory. *Studia Math.*, 82(1):91–106, 1985.
- [13] S. Kwapiień and C. Schütt. Some combinatorial and probabilistic inequalities and their application to Banach space theory. II. *Studia Math.*, 95(2):141–154, 1989.
- [14] R. Lechner, M. Passenbrunner, and J. Prochno. Uniform estimates for averages of order statistics of matrices. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 20:1–12, 2015.
- [15] R. Lechner, M. Passenbrunner, and J. Prochno. Estimating averages of order statistics of bivariate functions. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 30(4):1445–1470, 2017.
- [16] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pelczyński. Absolutely summing operators in L_p -spaces and their applications. *Studia Math.*, 29(3):275–326, 1968.
- [17] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. Sequence spaces, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Vol. 92.
- [18] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. II*, volume 97 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. Function spaces.

- [19] G. G. Lorentz. Some new functional spaces. *Ann. Math.*, 51(1):37–55, 1950.
- [20] S. Montgomery-Smith and E. Semenov. Random rearrangements and operators. In *Voronezh Winter Mathematical Schools*, volume 184 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 157–183. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [21] S. J. Montgomery-Smith. Comparison of Orlicz-Lorentz spaces. *Studia Math.*, 103(2):161–189, 1992.
- [22] W. Orlicz. Über eine gewisse Klasse von Räumen vom Typ B. *Bull. Intern. Acad. Pol.*, 8:207–220, 1932.
- [23] A. Pietsch. *Eigenvalues and s-numbers*, volume 13 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [24] G. Pisier. *The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry*, volume 94 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [25] J. Prochno. A combinatorial approach to Musielak-Orlicz spaces. *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, 7(1):132–141, 2013.
- [26] J. Prochno. Musielak-Orlicz spaces that are isomorphic to subspaces of L_1 . *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, 6(1):84–94, 2015.
- [27] J. Prochno and C. Schütt. Combinatorial inequalities and subspaces of L_1 . *Studia Math.*, 211(1):21–39, 2012.
- [28] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren. *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, volume 146 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [29] G. Schechtman. Matrix subspaces of L_1 . *Studia Math.*, 215(3):281–285, 2013.
- [30] C. Schütt. On the positive projection constant. *Studia Math.*, 78(2):185–198, 1984.
- [31] C. Schütt. Lorentz spaces that are isomorphic to subspaces of L^1 . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 314(2):583–595, 1989.
- [32] C. Schütt. On the embedding of 2-concave Orlicz spaces into L^1 . *Studia Math.*, 113(1):73–80, 1995.
- [33] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann. *Banach-Mazur distances and finite-dimensional operator ideals*, volume 38 of *Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.

Joscha Prochno

Institute of Mathematics & Scientific Computing
 University of Graz
 Heinrichstraße 36
 8010 Graz, Austria
 e-mail: joscha.prochno@uni-graz.at