

A new class of special functions arising from the solution of differential equations involving multiple proportional delays

Jayvant Patade^{a1}, Sachin Bhalekar^b

^aAshokrao Mane group of Institution Kolhapur - 416112, India.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Shivaji University, Kolhapur - 416004, India.

Email: ^adr.jayvantpatade@gmail.com, ^bsbb_maths@unishivaji.ac.in,

Abstract

Proportional delay is a particular case of time dependent delay. In this article, we consider differential equations involving multiple delays. The series solution of this equation leads to a class of special functions. This class of special functions is independent from all the existing special functions obtained as a solution of differential equations. We analyze the basic properties of this class and discuss various identities and relations.

Keywords: Special functions, Successive approximation, proportional delay.

1 Introduction

Special functions are playing a vital role in the solutions of differential equations. Exponential, sine, cosine, hypergeometric and Mittag-Leffler are important classes of special functions arising as solutions of various classical and fractional differential equations. Though there is a huge literature devoted to the special functions arising from ordinary differential equations (ODEs), there is a lack of corresponding literature in delay differential equations (DDE). In [1, 2] we discussed the solutions of a class of DDE viz. proportional delay differential equations and provided the solutions in terms of new special functions. In this article, we generalize the DDEs considered in [2] to involve multiple delays. Since the differential equations without delay are inequivalent to the differential equations involving delay, the special functions proposed in this article are independent from the existing special functions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we discuss some basic definitions and results [3, 4].

Definition 2.1. *The upper and lower incomplete gamma functions are defined as*

$$\Gamma(n, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{n-1} e^{-t} dt \quad \text{and} \quad (2.1)$$

¹Corresponding author

$$\gamma(n, x) = \int_0^x t^{n-1} e^{-t} dt \quad \text{respectively.} \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.2. Kummer's confluent hyper-geometric functions ${}_1F_1(a; c; x)$ and $U(a; c; x)$ are defined as below

$${}_1F_1(a; c; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n x^n}{(c)_n n!}, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots \text{ and} \quad (2.3)$$

$$U(a; c; x) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi c)} \left(\frac{{}_1F_1(a; c; x)}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(1+a-c)} - x^{1-c} \frac{{}_1F_1(1+a-c; 2-c; x)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(2-c)} \right), \quad (2.4)$$

$$-\pi < \arg(x) \leq \pi.$$

Definition 2.3. The generalized Laguerre polynomials are defined as

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \binom{n+\alpha}{n-m} \frac{x^m}{m!} \quad (2.5)$$

$$= \binom{n+\alpha}{n} {}_1F_1(-n; \alpha+1; x). \quad (2.6)$$

Definition 2.4. A real function $f(x)$, $x > 0$, is said to be in space C_α , $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, if there exists a real number $p(> \alpha)$, such that $f(x) = x^p f_1(x)$ where $f_1(x) \in C[0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.5. A real function $f(x)$, $x > 0$, is said to be in space C_α^m , $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, if $f^{(m)} \in C_\alpha$.

Definition 2.6. Let $f \in C_\alpha$ and $\alpha \geq -1$, then the (left-sided) Riemann-Liouville integral of order μ , $\mu > 0$ is given by

$$I^\mu f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\mu-1} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Definition 2.7. The (left sided) Caputo fractional derivative of f , $f \in C_{-1}^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, is defined as:

$$D^\mu f(t) = \frac{d^m}{dt^m} f(t), \quad \mu = m$$

$$= I^{m-\mu} \frac{d^m}{dt^m} f(t), \quad m-1 < \mu < m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that for $0 \leq m-1 < \alpha \leq m$ and $\beta > -1$

$$I^\alpha (x-b)^\beta = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha+1)} (x-b)^{\beta+\alpha},$$

$$(I^\alpha D^\alpha f)(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{(k)}(0) \frac{t^k}{k!}. \quad (2.9)$$

Definition 2.8. Mittag-Leffler function of order $\alpha > 0$ is defined by the series

$$E_\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} \quad (2.10)$$

2.1 Daftardar-Gejji and Jafari Method

Daftardar-Gejji and Jafari Method (DJM) [5] is one of the popular methods applied to solve nonlinear equations of form

$$u = f + L(u) + N(u), \quad (2.11)$$

where L and N are linear and nonlinear operators respectively and f is known function.

In this case, the DJM provides the solution in the form of series

$$u = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i = f + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} L(u_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} G_i \quad (2.12)$$

where $G_0 = N(u_0)$ and $G_i = \left\{ N \left(\sum_{j=0}^i u_j \right) - N \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_j \right) \right\}, i \geq 1$.

From Eq.(2.12), the DJM series terms are generated as bellow:

$$u_0 = f, \quad u_{m+1} = L(u_m) + G_m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.13)$$

3 Existence, uniqueness and convergence:Nonlinear Case

First, we consider the nonlinear equation

$$y'(x) = f(x, y(q_0x), y(q_1x), \dots, y(q_nx)), \quad q_0 = 1 \text{ and } 0 < q_i < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.1)$$

The Eq. (3.1) is a particular case of time dependent delay differential equation (DDE)

$$y'(x) = f(x, y(x - \tau_0(x)), y(x - \tau_1(x)), y(x - \tau_2(x)) \dots y(x - \tau_n(x)))$$

with $\tau_i(x) = (1 - q_i)x, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. The DJM series solution of Eq. (3.1) is of the form

$$y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i. \quad (3.2)$$

We present convergence result of this series solution motivated from [6].

Theorem 3.1. Let f be a continuous function defined on a $(n + 2)$ dimensional rectangle $R = \{(x, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n) | 0 \leq x \leq b, -\delta_i \leq y_i \leq \delta_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $|f| \leq M$ on R . Suppose that f satisfies Lipschitz type condition

$$|f(x, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n) - f(x, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^n L_i |y_i - u_i|. \quad (3.3)$$

Then the DJM series solution (3.2) of DDE (3.1) converges uniformly in the interval $[0, b]$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $y(0) = 1$. The equivalent integral equation of (3.1) is

$$y(x) = 1 + \int_0^x f(x, y(q_0t), y(q_1t), y(q_2t), \dots, y(q_nt)) dt.$$

Using DJM, we get

$$\begin{aligned} y_0(x) &= 1, \\ y_1(x) &= \int_0^x f(t, y_0(q_0t), y_0(q_1t), y_0(q_2t), \dots, y_0(q_nt)) dt. \\ \Rightarrow |y_1(x)| &\leq Mx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q_0 = 1, 0 < q_i < 1, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n., q_ib \leq b$.

$$\Rightarrow |y_1(q_ix)| \leq Mq_ix, \quad \forall x \in [0, b].$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(x) &= \int_0^x (f(t, y_1(q_0t) + y_0(q_0t), y_1(q_1t) + y_0(q_1t), y_1(q_2t) + y_0(q_2t), \dots, y_1(q_nt) + y_0(q_nt)) \\ &\quad - f(t, y_0(q_0t), y_0(q_1t), y_0(q_2t), \dots, y_0(q_nt))) dt. \\ \Rightarrow |y_2(x)| &\leq \int_0^x \left(\sum_{i=0}^n L_i |y_1(q_it)| \right) dt \\ &\leq M \left(\sum_{i=0}^n L_i q_i \right) \frac{x^2}{2!} \\ &\leq M \left(\sum_{i=0}^n L_i \right) \frac{x^2}{2!}. \\ \Rightarrow |y_2(q_ix)| &\leq Mq_i^2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^n L_i q_i \right) \frac{x^2}{2!}, \quad x \in [0, b] \\ &\leq M \left(\sum_{i=0}^n L_i \right) \frac{x^2}{2!}. \end{aligned}$$

Using induction, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |y_m(x)| &\leq M \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n L_i q_i^j \right) \frac{x^m}{m!} \\ &\leq M \left(\sum_{i=0}^n L_i \right)^{m-1} \frac{x^m}{m!}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Taking summation over m , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} y_m \right| &\leq \frac{M}{\sum_{i=0}^n L_i} \left(e^{\sum_{i=0}^n L_i x} - 1 \right) + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{M e^{\sum_{i=0}^n L_i b}}{\sum_{i=0}^n L_i} + 1, \quad x \in [0, b]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by [7], we can conclude that the series solution of (3.1) converges uniformly in the interval $[0, b]$. Hence existence of (3.1) is proved. The uniqueness of solution is obvious by condition (3.3). \square

4 Stability analysis

The following definitions and theorems are generalization of corresponding definition and theorems given in [8].

Definition 4.1. Consider the autonomous time-dependent delay differential equation (DDE),

$$y'(x) = g(y(x), y(x - \tau_1(x)), y(x - \tau_2(x)) \cdots y(x - \tau_n(x))), \quad (4.1)$$

- where $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The flow $\phi_x(x_0)$ is the solution $y(x)$ of (4.1) with initial condition $y(x) = x_0, x \leq 0$. The point y^* is called equilibrium solution of (4.1) if $g(y^*, y^*, \dots, y^*) = 0$.
- (a) If, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $|x_0 - y^*| < \delta \Rightarrow |\phi_x(x_0) - y^*| < \epsilon$, then the system (4.1) is stable (in the Lyapunov sense) at the equilibrium y^* .
- (b) If the system (4.1) is stable at y^* and moreover, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_x(x_0) - y^*| = 0$ then the system (4.1) is said to be asymptotically stable at y^* .
- (c) If the system (4.1) is not stable then it is called unstable.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that the equilibrium solution y^* of the equation

$$y' = g(y(x), y(x - \tau_1^*), y(x - \tau_2^*), \dots, y(x - \tau_n^*)), \quad \tau_1^* = \tau_1(x_0), \tau_2^* = \tau_2(x_0), \dots, \tau_n^* = \tau_n(x_0)$$

is stable at equilibrium y^* and

$$\|g(y(x), y(x - \tau_1(x)), \dots, y(x - \tau_n(x))) - g(y(x), y(x - \tau_1(x_1)), \dots, y(x - \tau_n(x_n)))\| < \sum_{i=0}^n \epsilon_i |x - x_i|,$$

for some $\epsilon_i > 0$ and $x, x_i \in [x_0, x_0 + c] (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, c is a positive constant, then there exists $\bar{x} > 0$ such that the equilibrium solution y^* of Eq. (4.1) is stable at equilibrium y^* on finite time interval $[x_0, \bar{x}]$.

Corollary 1. If the real parts of all roots of $\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i e^{-\lambda \tau_i^*}$ are negative, where $a_i = \partial_i f$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ evaluated at equilibrium y^* , then Eq. (4.1) is stable at y^* on finite time interval $[x_0, \bar{x}]$.

5 Linear equation: Exact solution

Consider the differential equation involving multiple proportional delays,

$$y'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i y(q_i x), \quad y(0) = 1, \quad (5.1)$$

where $q_0 = 1$, $0 < q_i < 1$ and $a_0, a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. The equation (5.1) has applications in Science and Engineering [9, 10].

Integrating (5.1), we get

$$y(x) = 1 + \int_0^x \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i y(q_i t) \right) dt$$

Using successive approximation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_0(x) &= 1, \\ y_1(x) &= \int_0^x \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i y_0(q_i t) \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \frac{x}{1!}, \\ y_2(x) &= \int_0^x \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i y_1(q_i t) \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^x \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \left(\sum_{j=0}^n a_j q_j t \right) dt \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i \right) \frac{x^2}{2!}, \\ y_3(x) &= \int_0^x \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i y_2(q_i t) \right) dt \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^2 \right) \frac{x^3}{3!}, \\ &\vdots \\ y_m(x) &= \frac{x^m}{m!} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right), \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

∴ The exact solution of (5.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &= y_0(x) + y_1(x) + y_2(x) + \cdots \\ &= 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

If we define

$$\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right) = 1 \quad \text{for } m = 0,$$

then

$$y(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right) \quad (5.3)$$

This solution of (5.1) provides a novel special function

$$\mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m. \quad (5.4)$$

Note: We use a brief notations $\mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x)$ for the special function $\mathcal{R}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n; q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n, x)$ and $(\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m$ for $\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right)$.

6 Analysis

Theorem 6.1. *The power series*

$$\mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m, \quad (6.1)$$

has infinite radius of convergence.

Proof. Suppose

$$A_m = \frac{1}{m!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If R is radius of convergence of (6.1) then by using ratio test [11]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{A_{m+1}}{A_m} \right| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{1}{(m+1)!} \prod_{j=0}^m \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right)}{\frac{1}{m!} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right)} \right| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^m \right)}{(m+1)} \right| \\ &\Rightarrow R = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the series has infinite radius of convergence. □

Corollary 2. The power series (5.4) is absolutely convergent for all x and hence it is uniformly convergent on any compact interval on \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 6.2. For $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have

$$\frac{d^r}{dx^r} \mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x) = \mathcal{R}^{(r)}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x) = \sum_{m=r}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m-r}}{(m-r)!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m.$$

Theorem 6.3. (Addition Theorem)

$$\mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x + y) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^r}{r!} \mathcal{R}^{(r)}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; y)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x + y) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x + y)^m}{m!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^m \frac{x^r}{r!} \frac{y^{m-r}}{(m-r)!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=r}^{\infty} \frac{x^r}{r!} \frac{y^{m-r}}{(m-r)!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^r}{r!} \sum_{m=r}^{\infty} \frac{y^{m-r}}{(m-r)!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m \\ \mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x + y) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^r}{r!} \mathcal{R}^{(r)}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Note: If $x + iy = z \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-ix)^r}{r!} \mathcal{R}^{(r)}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; iy)$$

Theorem 6.4. If $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; z) = \mathcal{R}_c(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; z) + i\mathcal{R}_s(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; z),$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_c(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; z) &= \text{Real part of } \mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^m \cos \theta}{m!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m \\ \mathcal{R}_s(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; z) &= \text{Imaginary part of } \mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^m \sin \theta}{m!} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_m, \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.5. For $q_0 = 1$, $0 < q_i < 1$ and $a_0, a_i \geq 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. The function $\mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x)$ satisfies the following inequality

$$e^{a_0 x} \leq \mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x) \leq e^{(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i)x}, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty.$$

Proof. Since $q_0 = 1$, $0 < q_i < 1$ and $a_0, a_i \geq 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right) &\leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \right)^m \\ \Rightarrow \frac{x^m}{m!} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right) &\leq \frac{x^m (\sum_{i=0}^n a_i)^m}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking summation over m , we get

$$\mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x) \leq e^{(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i)x}, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty. \quad (6.2)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^m &\leq \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^j \right) \\ \Rightarrow e^{a_0 x} &\leq \mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x), \quad 0 \leq x < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

From (6.2) and (6.3), we get

$$e^{a_0 x} \leq \mathcal{R}(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x) \leq e^{(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i)x}, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty.$$

□

7 Generalization to fractional order DDE

Consider the fractional delay differential equation involving multiple proportional delays,

$$D_0^\alpha y(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i y(q_i x), \quad y(0) = 1, \quad (7.1)$$

where $q_0 = 1$, $0 < q_i < 1$ and $a_0, a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

The exact solution of (7.1) is

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha m}}{\Gamma(\alpha m + 1)} (\bar{a}; \bar{q})_{\alpha, m}$$

where $(\bar{a}; \bar{q})_{\alpha, m} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\sum_{i=0}^n a_i q_i^{\alpha j})$

Theorem 7.1. *The power series (7) is convergent for all finite values of x .*

Theorem 7.2. *For $q_0 = 1$, $0 < q_i < 1$ and $a_0, a_i \geq 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. The function $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x)$ satisfies the following inequality*

$$E_\alpha(a_0 x^\alpha) \leq \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\bar{a}; \bar{q}; x) \leq E_\alpha\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i\right) x^\alpha\right), \quad 0 \leq x < \infty.$$

8 Conclusions

In this paper, we have obtained a new special function arising from differential equation involving multiple proportional delays. The solution is obtained by applying the successive approximation method. The existence, uniqueness, stability and convergence results for the time dependent delay differential equations are presented in this paper. The new special function exhibit different properties and relations. The generalization to fractional order case is also presented.

Acknowledgements:

S. Bhalekar acknowledges the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), New Delhi, India for the Research Grant (Ref. MTR/2017/000068) under Mathematical Research Impact Centric Support (MATRICS) Scheme.

References

- [1] Bhalekar, S., Patade, J. Series Solution of the Pantograph Equation and Its Properties. *Fractal and Fractional*, 1(1) (2017), p.16.
- [2] Bhalekar, S., Patade, J. Analytical Solution of Pantograph Equation with Incommensurate Delay. *Physical Sciences Reviews*, (2017) 2(9).
- [3] Magnus, Wilhelm, Fritz Oberhettinger, Raj Soni.: *Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics*. Springer Science & Business Media **52**, (2013)
- [4] Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
- [5] V. Daftardar-Gejji and H. Jafari, An iterative method for solving non linear functional equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **316** (2006) 753–763.
- [6] Bhalekar, S., Patade, J. Analytical solutions of nonlinear equations with proportional delays, *Appl. Comput. Math.*, **15**(3) (2016) 331–345.
- [7] Rudin, W. *Principles of mathematical analysis*, New York, McGraw-Hill, 1964.

- [8] Deng W, Wu Y, Li C. Stability analysis of differential equations with time-dependent delay. *Int J Bifurcation Chaos*. 2006;16(02):465-472.
- [9] J. Patade, S. Bhalekar, On Analytical Solution of Ambartsumian Equation. *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett.* **40**(4) (2017) 291-293.
- [10] Ockendon. J., Tayler A. B. The dynamics of a current collection system for an electric locomotive." *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, The Royal Society. **322**(1551) (1971)
- [11] Apostol, T. M.: *Mathematical analysis*. Reading: Addison-Wesley (1964)