

QUASI-LINEAR FUNCTIONALS ON LOCALLY COMPACT SPACES

S. V. BUTLER, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

ABSTRACT. This paper has two goals: to present some new results that are necessary for further study and applications of quasi-linear functionals, and, by combining known and new results, to serve as a convenient single source for anyone interested in quasi-linear functionals on locally compact non-compact spaces or on compact spaces. We study signed and positive quasi-linear functionals paying close attention to singly generated subalgebras. The paper gives representation theorems for quasi-linear functionals on $C_c(X)$ and for bounded quasi-linear functionals on $C_0(X)$ on a locally compact space, and for quasi-linear functionals on $C(X)$ on a compact space. There is an order-preserving bijection between quasi-linear functionals and compact-finite topological measures, which is also "isometric" when topological measures are finite. Finally, we further study properties of quasi-linear functionals and give an explicit example of a quasi-linear functional.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quasi-linear functionals generalize linear functionals. They were first introduced on a compact space by J. Aarnes in [1]. Since then many works devoted to quasi-linear functionals and corresponding set functions have appeared; the application of these functionals and set functions to symplectic topology has been studied in numerous papers (beginning with [12]) and in a monograph ([13]).

In [1] Aarnes proved a representation theorem for quasi-integrals and studied their properties. A much simplified proof of the representation theorem in the compact case was given by D. Grubb in a series of lectures, but it was never published. A. Rustad in [14] first gave a proof of a representation theorem for positive quasi-integrals on functions with compact support when X is locally

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 46E27, 46G99, 28A25; Secondary: 28C15.

Key words and phrases. quasi-linear functional, signed quasi-linear functional, singly generated subalgebra, topological measure.

compact. For a compact space, D. Grubb proved a representation theorem for bounded signed quasi-linear functionals in [9].

This paper, influenced by the abovementioned works, has two goals: to present improved versions of some known results and some new results that are necessary for further study and applications of quasi-linear functionals, and, combining known and new results, to serve as a single source for anyone interested in learning about quasi-linear functionals on locally compact non-compact spaces or on compact spaces. The paper (a) gives properties of signed and positive quasi-linear functionals; (b) presents in a unified way representation theorems for quasi-linear functionals on functions with compact support on a locally compact space, for bounded quasi-linear functionals on functions vanishing at infinity on a locally compact space, and for quasi-linear functionals on continuous functions on a compact space; (c) gives an explicit example of a quasi-linear functional on a locally compact space. We use new and improved known results, including the description of singly generated subalgebras on locally compact spaces; a representation theorem for bounded quasi-linear functionals on functions vanishing at infinity; continuity with respect to topology of uniform convergence on compacta of quasi-integrals on functions with compact support, and uniform continuity of bounded quasi-integrals. These results are necessary for further study of quasi-linear functionals, signed quasi-linear functionals, and other related non-linear functionals.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we define signed and positive quasi-linear functionals on locally compact spaces, singly generated subalgebras, and topological measures. In Section 3, Lemma 19 and Lemma 20, we describe situations when functions belong to the same subalgebras, and, hence, signed and positive quasi-linear functionals possess some linearity. Then in Theorem 30 we show how to construct quasi-linear functionals from topological measures and discuss some properties of quasi-linear functionals. Our main focus is on two situations: quasi-linear functionals on $C_0(X)$ when the topological measure is finite, and on $C_c(X)$ when the topological measure is compact-finite (see Definition 13). In Section 4 we build a topological measure from a quasi-linear functional (Theorem 37), and then prove Representation Theorem 39. We show (Theorem 42) that there is an order-preserving bijection between quasi-linear functionals and compact-finite topological measures, which is also "isometric"

when topological measures are finite. In Section 5 we further study properties of quasi-linear functionals, including uniform continuity and continuity with respect to topology of uniform convergence on compacta, and we give an explicit example of a quasi-linear functional on \mathbb{R}^2 .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper X is a locally compact, connected space.

By $C(X)$ we denote the set of all real-valued continuous functions on X with the uniform norm, by $C_b(X)$ the set of bounded continuous functions on X , by $C_0(X)$ the set of continuous functions on X vanishing at infinity, and by $C_c(X)$ the set of continuous functions with compact support.

We denote by \overline{E} the closure of a set E , and by E° the interior of E . We denote by \bigsqcup a union of disjoint sets. When we consider set functions into extended real numbers, they are not identically ∞ .

Several collections of sets will be used often. They include: $\mathcal{O}(X)$, the collection of open subsets of X ; $\mathcal{C}(X)$ the collection of closed subsets of X ; $\mathcal{K}(X)$ the collection of compact subsets of X ; $\mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{C}(X) \cup \mathcal{O}(X)$.

We denote by 1 the constant function $1(x) = 1$, by id the identity function $id(x) = x$, and by 1_K the characteristic function of a set K . By $\text{supp } f$ we mean $\overline{\{x : f(x) \neq 0\}}$.

Given a measure m on X and a continuous map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ we denote by ϕ^*m the measure on Y defined by $\phi^*m = m \circ \phi^{-1}$ on open subsets of Y . In this case $\int_Y g d\phi^*m = \int_X g \circ \phi dm$ for any $g \in C(Y)$.

Here is an easy observation. Suppose m_1, m_2 are measures on \mathbb{R} such that $|m_1(\{0\})|, |m_2(\{0\})| < \infty$ and $m_1(W) = m_2(W)$ for any open interval $W \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then for any integrable function g with $g(0) = 0$

$$(1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} g dm_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g dm_2.$$

We recall the following fact (see, for example, [7], Chapter XI, 6.2):

Lemma 1. *Let $K \subseteq U$, $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ in a locally compact space X . Then there exists a set $V \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ with compact closure such that*

$$K \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U.$$

Remark 2. The space X is connected, so for a bounded continuous function f we have $\overline{f(X)} = [a, b]$ for some real numbers a and b . $\overline{f(X)} = f(X)$ when X is compact. Let X be locally compact but not compact. For $f \in C_0(X)$ we have $0 \in \overline{f(X)} = [a, b]$. It is easy to see that if $f \in C_0(X)$ and $\phi \in C([a, b])$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ then $\phi \circ f \in C_0(X)$; on the other hand, if $\phi \in C([a, b])$ and we were to ask that $\phi \circ f \in C_0(X)$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$ such that $\overline{f(X)} \subseteq [a, b]$ then we would have $\phi(0) = 0$.

Definition 3. Let X be locally compact.

- (a) Let $f \in C_b(X)$. Define $A(f)$ to be the smallest closed subalgebra of $C_b(X)$ containing f and 1. Hence, when X is compact, we take $f \in C(X)$ and define $A(f)$ to be the smallest closed subalgebra of $C(X)$ containing f and 1. We call $A(f)$ the singly generated subalgebra of $C(X)$ generated by f .
- (b) Let \mathcal{B} be a subalgebra of $C_b(X)$, for example, $C_c(X)$ or $C_0(X)$. Define $B(f)$ to be the smallest closed subalgebra of \mathcal{B} containing f . We call $B(f)$ the singly generated subalgebra of \mathcal{B} generated by f .

Remark 4. When X is compact $A(f)$ for $f \in C(X)$ contains all polynomials of f . It is not hard to see that $A(f)$ has the form:

$$A(f) = \{\phi \circ f : \phi \in C(f(X))\}.$$

Taking into account Remark 2 we see that when X is locally compact and $\mathcal{B} = C_0(X)$ (or $\mathcal{B} = C_c(X)$) for $f \in C_0(X)$ (respectively, for $f \in C_c(X)$) its singly generated subalgebra has the form:

$$B(f) = \{\phi \circ f : \phi(0) = 0, \phi \in C(\overline{f(X)})\}.$$

We consider functionals on various subalgebras of $C(X)$, such as $C_c(X)$, $C_0(X)$, $C_b(X)$ or $C(X)$.

Definition 5. Let X be locally compact, and let \mathcal{B} be a subalgebra of $C(X)$ containing $C_c(X)$. A real-valued map ρ on \mathcal{B} is called a signed quasi-linear functional on \mathcal{B} if

$$(QI1) \quad \rho(af) = a\rho(f) \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(QI2) \quad \text{for each } h \in \mathcal{B} \text{ we have: } \rho(f + g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g) \text{ for } f, g \text{ in the singly generated subalgebra } B(h) \text{ generated by } h.$$

We say that ρ is a quasi-linear functional (or a positive quasi-linear functional) if, in addition,

$$(QI3) \quad f \geq 0 \implies \rho(f) \geq 0.$$

When X is compact, we call ρ a quasi-state if $\rho(1) = 1$.

Remark 6. If X is compact, each singly generated subalgebra contains constants. Suppose ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C(X)$. Then

$$\rho(f + c) = \rho(f) + \rho(c) = \rho(f) + c\rho(1)$$

for every constant c and every $f \in C(X)$. If ρ is a quasi-state then $\rho(f + c) = \rho(f) + c$.

Remark 7. A quasi-linear functional is also called a quasi-integral for reasons that will be apparent later; see Definition 31 below.

Remark 8. There are situations when the whole algebra $C_b(X)$ is generated by one function, in which case every quasi-linear functional is linear. This happens, for example, if $X = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$: the whole algebra $C_b(X)$ is singly generated by the identity function:

$$C_b(X) = C(X) = \{\phi \circ id : \phi \in C(X)\} = A(id(X)).$$

Example 9. The following example is due to D. Grubb, [8]. Let $X = S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. We shall show that $C(S^1)$ is not singly generated by any $f \in C(S^1)$. Suppose to the contrary that $C(S^1)$ is singly generated by some function $f \in C(S^1)$. Let π_1 and π_2 be the projections of X onto the first and the second coordinates. Then $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in C(S^1)$, and so $\pi_1 = \phi \circ f$, $\pi_2 = \psi \circ f$ for some functions $\phi, \psi \in C(f(S^1))$. Choose $x \in S^1$ such that $f(x) = f(-x)$. If $x \neq \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ then $\pi_1(x) \neq \pi_1(-x)$, while also

$$\pi_1(x) = \phi \circ f(x) = \phi(f(x)) = \phi(f(-x)) = \phi \circ f(-x) = \pi_1(-x).$$

If $x = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ then $\pi_2(x) \neq \pi_2(-x)$, but also $\pi_2(x) = \psi \circ f(x) = \psi \circ f(-x) = \pi_2(-x)$. In either case we get a contradiction. Therefore, $C(S^1)$ is not singly generated by any function $f \in C(S^1)$.

Even though $C(S^1)$ is not singly generated, every quasi-linear functional on $C(S^1)$ is linear. This is because every topological measure on a compact space with the covering dimension ≤ 1 is a measure. (See [16], [15], and [10]).

Definition 10. If X is locally compact and ρ is a positive quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ we define

$$\|\rho\| = \sup\{\rho(f) : f \in C_0(X), 0 \leq f \leq 1\}.$$

Similarly, if ρ is a positive quasi-linear functional on $C_c(X)$ we define

$$\|\rho\| = \sup\{\rho(f) : f \in C_c(X), 0 \leq f \leq 1\}.$$

If $\|\rho\| < \infty$ we say that ρ is bounded.

Remark 11. $\|\rho\|$ satisfies the following properties: $\|\alpha\rho\| = \alpha\|\rho\|$ for $\alpha > 0$, $\|\rho\| = 0$ iff $\rho = 0$, and $\|\rho + \eta\| \leq \|\rho\| + \|\eta\|$. Thus, $\|\rho\|$ has properties similar to properties of an extended norm, but it is defined on a positive cone.

Definition 12. A topological measure on X is a set function $\mu : \mathcal{C}(X) \cup \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (TM1) if $A, B, A \sqcup B \in \mathcal{K}(X) \cup \mathcal{O}(X)$ then $\mu(A \sqcup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$;
- (TM2) $\mu(U) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}(X), K \subseteq U\}$ for $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$;
- (TM3) $\mu(F) = \inf\{\mu(U) : U \in \mathcal{O}(X), F \subseteq U\}$ for $F \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.

For a closed set F , $\mu(F) = \infty$ iff $\mu(U) = \infty$ for every open set U containing F .

Definition 13. A topological measure μ on a locally compact space X is:

- finite if $\mu(X) < \infty$.
- compact-finite if $\mu(K) < \infty$ for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$.
- τ -smooth on open sets if $U_\alpha \nearrow U, U_\alpha, U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ implies $\mu(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \mu(U)$.

We denote $\|\mu\| = \mu(X)$. If $\mu(X) < \infty$ we say that μ is finite.

Remark 14. $\|\mu\|$ has the following properties: $\|\mu\| = 0$ iff $\mu = 0$; $\|\alpha\mu + \nu\| = \alpha\|\mu\| + \|\nu\|$ for $\alpha > 0$. Again, $\|\mu\|$, defined on a positive cone of all topological measures, has properties similar to properties of an extended norm.

We denote by $TM(X)$ the collection of all topological measures on X , and by $M(X)$ the collection of all Borel measures on X that are inner regular on open sets and outer regular (restricted to $\mathcal{O}(X) \cup \mathcal{C}(X)$).

Remark 15. Let X be locally compact. We have:

$$(2) \quad M(X) \subsetneq TM(X)$$

For more information on proper inclusion, criteria for a topological measure to be a measure from $M(X)$, and examples of finite, compact-finite, and infinite topological measures, see Sections 5 and 6 in [5], Section 9 in [3], and [4]. When X is compact the proper inclusion in (2) was first demonstrated in [1]; in fact, $M(X)$ is nowhere dense in $TM(X)$ (see [2]).

Remark 16. If X is locally compact, (TM1) of Definition 12 is equivalent to the following two conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(K \sqcup C) &= \mu(K) + \mu(C), \quad C, K \in \mathcal{K}(X), \\ \mu(U) &\leq \nu(C) + \mu(U \setminus C), \quad C \in \mathcal{K}(X), \quad U \in \mathcal{O}(X).\end{aligned}$$

This result follows from Theorem 28 in [5], but it was first observed in an equivalent form for compact-finite topological measures in [14], Proposition 2.2.

Remark 17. It is easy to check that a topological measure μ is monotone on $\mathcal{O}(X) \cup \mathcal{C}(X)$ and that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$. If ν and μ are topological measures that agree on $\mathcal{K}(X)$, then $\nu = \mu$. If ν and μ are topological measures such that $\nu \leq \mu$ on $\mathcal{K}(X)$ (or on $\mathcal{O}(X)$) then $\nu \leq \mu$.

The following properties of topological measures are proved (for a wider class of set functions) in [5], section 3; they generalize known properties of topological measures on a compact space.

Lemma 18. *Let X be a locally compact space.*

- (a) *A topological measure is τ -smooth on open sets. In particular, a topological measure is additive on open sets.*
- (b) *A topological measure μ is superadditive, i.e. if $\bigsqcup_{t \in T} A_t \subseteq A$, where $A_t, A \in \mathcal{O}(X) \cup \mathcal{C}(X)$, and at most one of the closed sets is not compact, then $\mu(A) \geq \sum_{t \in T} \mu(A_t)$.*

3. QUASI-LINEAR FUNCTIONALS

If ρ is a quasi-linear functional we can not say that $\rho(f + g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g)$ for arbitrary functions f and g . However, we have the following two lemmas. When X is compact we take $\mathcal{B} = C(X)$. If X is locally compact we may take \mathcal{B} to be $C_b(X)$, or $C_c(X)$, or $C_0(X)$. By singly generated subalgebra we mean $A(f)$ if X is compact and $B(f)$ if X is locally compact, as in Definition 3 and Remark 4.

Lemma 19. *Let X be locally compact.*

- s1. *For any $f \geq 0$ and any const $\delta > 0$ the function $f_\delta = \inf\{f, \delta\}$ is in the subalgebra generated by f .*
- s2. *If $f \cdot g = 0$, $f, g \geq 0$, $f, g \in C_b(X)$ then f, g are in the subalgebra generated by $f - g$, and if $f \cdot g = 0$, $f \geq 0, g \leq 0$ then f, g are in the subalgebra generated by $f + g$. In particular, for any $f \in C_b(X)$ the functions f^+, f^- and $|f|$ are in the subalgebra generated by f .*
- s3. *If $f \in C_0(X)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ then there is $h \in C_c(X)$ such that h is in the subalgebra generated by f and $\|f - h\| \leq \epsilon$.*
- s4. *If $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq c$ and $f = c$ on $\{x : g(x) > 0\}$ then g, f belong to the same subalgebra generated by $f + g$.*

Proof. s1. Note that $f_\delta = (id \wedge \delta) \circ f$.

s2. Assume that $f, g \geq 0$, $f \cdot g = 0$, $f, g \in C_b(X)$. Consider $h = f - g$, and notice that $f = (id \vee 0) \circ h$ and $g = ((-id) \vee 0) \circ h$. Thus f, g belong to the subalgebra singly generated by h . If $f \geq 0, g \leq 0$, $f \cdot g = 0$, then f, g are in the subalgebra generated by $h = f + g$, since $f = (id \vee 0) \circ h$, $g = (id \wedge 0) \circ h$.

s3. Assume first that $f \in C_0(X)$ and $f \geq 0$. For $\epsilon > 0$ by part s1 the function f_ϵ belongs to the subalgebra generated by f , and then so does $h = f - f_\epsilon$. Note that h is supported on the compact set $\{x : f(x) \geq \epsilon\}$. So $h \in C_c(X)$ and $\|f - h\| = \|f_\epsilon\| \leq \epsilon$.

Now take any $f \in C_0(X)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $h^+ \in C_c(X)$ such that $\|f^+ - h^+\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and h^+ is in the subalgebra generated by f^+ , and hence, by part s2, is in the subalgebra generated by f . Similarly, choose $h^- \in C_c(X)$ such that $\|f^- - h^-\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and h^- is in the subalgebra generated by f . Let $h = h^+ - h^-$. Then $h \in C_c(X)$, h is in the subalgebra generated by f , and

$$\|f - h\| = \|f^+ - f^- - h^+ + h^-\| \leq \|f^+ - h^+\| + \|f^- - h^-\| \leq \epsilon.$$

s4. Note that $c \geq 0$ and $f = (id \wedge c) \circ (f + g)$, $g = (0 \vee (id - c)) \circ (f + g)$. □

Lemma 20. *Let X be locally compact. Let ρ be a signed quasi-linear functional on a subalgebra of $C_b(X)$.*

- q1. If $\phi_i \in C(\overline{f(X)})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ (if X is locally compact but not compact we also require $\phi_i(0) = 0$) and $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i = \text{id}$ then $\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(\phi_i \circ f) = \rho(f)$.
- q2. If $f \cdot g = 0$, $f, g \in C_b(X)$ then $\rho(f + g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g)$. In particular, $\rho(f) = \rho(f^+) - \rho(f^-)$ for any $f \in C_b(X)$.
- q3. If $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq c$ and $f = c$ on $\{x : g(x) > 0\}$ then $\rho(af + bg) = a\rho(f) + b\rho(g)$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- q4. Suppose each singly generated subalgebra contains constants, and $\rho(1) \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $f, g \in C_b(X)$ and $f = c$ on the set $\{x : g(x) \neq 0\}$. Then $\rho(f + g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g)$.

If ρ is a positive quasi-linear functional then we have:

- (i) If f and g are from the same singly generated subalgebra and $f \geq g$ then $\rho(f) \geq \rho(g)$.
- (ii) If $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq c$ and $f = c$ on $\{x : g(x) > 0\}$ then $\rho(f) \geq \rho(g)$ and $\rho(af + bg) = a\rho(f) + b\rho(g)$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) If $f \geq g$, $f, g \in C_c(X)$ then $\rho(f) \geq \rho(g)$.
- (iv) Suppose each singly generated subalgebra contains constants. Suppose $f, g \in C_b(X)$ and $f = c$ on the set $\{x : g(x) \neq 0\}$. Then $\rho(f + g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g)$. If $f \geq g$ then $\rho(f) \geq \rho(g)$. If $f \leq g$ then $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$.

Proof. q1. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\phi_i \in C(\overline{f(X)})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i = \text{id}$. (If X is locally compact but not compact, we also require $\phi_i(0) = 0$.) Since $\phi_i \circ f$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ belong to the singly generated subalgebra generated by f , we use the additivity of ρ on the singly generated subalgebra:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(\phi_i \circ f) = \rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \circ f\right) = \rho(\text{id} \circ f) = \rho(f).$$

- q2. From part s2 of Lemma 19 it follows that $\rho(f + g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g)$ when $f, g \geq 0$, $f \cdot g = 0$, $f, g \in C_b(X)$. In the general case, if $f \cdot g = 0$ then $(f + g)^+ = f^+ + g^+$, $(f + g)^- = f^- + g^-$, and $f^+ \cdot g^+ = 0$, $f^- \cdot g^- = 0$. Thus, for example, $\rho(f^+ + g^+) = \rho(f^+) + \rho(g^+)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f + g) &= \rho((f + g)^+) - \rho((f + g)^-) = \rho(f^+ + g^+) - \rho(f^- + g^-) \\ &= \rho(f^+) + \rho(g^+) - \rho(f^-) - \rho(g^-) = \rho(f) + \rho(g) \end{aligned}$$

- q3. Follows from part s4 of Lemma 19.

q4. Note that $(f - c) \cdot g = 0$. Using Remark 6 and part q2 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f + g) &= \rho(f + g - c) + \rho(c) = \rho((f - c) + g) + \rho(c) \\ &= \rho(f - c) + \rho(g) + \rho(c) = \rho(f) - \rho(c) + \rho(g) + \rho(c) \\ &= \rho(f) + \rho(g) \end{aligned}$$

(i) Using additivity of ρ on singly generated subalgebras and the positivity of ρ we have:

$$\rho(f) - \rho(g) = \rho(f - g) \geq 0.$$

(ii) Follows from part (i) and Lemma 19, part s4.

(iii) Given $\delta > 0$, suppose first that $g \geq 0$ and that $f(x) \geq g(x) + \delta$ when $g(x) > 0$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n\delta > 2 \max f$ and define functions ϕ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ by

$$\phi_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq (i-1)\delta \\ x - (i-1)\delta & \text{if } (i-1)\delta < x < i\delta \\ \delta & \text{if } x \geq i\delta. \end{cases}$$

Then $\phi_i(g(x)) > 0$ implies $\phi_i(f(x)) = \delta$, so by part (ii) we have $\rho(\phi_i(g)) \leq \rho(\phi_i(f))$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i = \text{id}$, by part q1 we have:

$$\rho(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(\phi_i(g)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(\phi_i(f)) = \rho(f).$$

Suppose now that $0 \leq g \leq f$. Let $c = \max f$. Choose $h \in C_c(X)$ such that $0 \leq h(x) \leq c$ and $h(x) = c$ when $f(x) > 0$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose δ such that $\delta\rho(h) = \rho(\delta h) < \epsilon$. Then by part s4 of Lemma 19 f and h (and also δh) belong to the same singly generated subalgebra, so $\rho(f + \delta h) = \rho(f) + \rho(\delta h)$. By the argument above we have:

$$\rho(g) \leq \rho(f + \delta h) = \rho(f) + \rho(\delta h) < \rho(f) + \epsilon.$$

So $\rho(g) \leq \rho(f)$.

Now suppose that $g \leq f$. Since $g^+ \leq f^+$, $f^- \leq g^-$, using part q2 we have:

$$\rho(g) = \rho(g^+) - \rho(g^-) \leq \rho(f^+) - \rho(f^-) = \rho(f).$$

- (iv) By part q4 we have $\rho(f + g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g)$. Now assume that $f \geq g$. We have $f = c$ on the set $\{x : -g(x) \neq 0\}$, so $\rho(f - g) = \rho(f) + \rho(-g) = \rho(f) - \rho(g)$. Since ρ is positive, we have $\rho(f) - \rho(g) = \rho(f - g) \geq 0$, i.e. $\rho(f) \geq \rho(g)$.

□

Remark 21. The proofs of part s4 of Lemma 19 and part (iii) of Lemma 20 follow Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 3.4 in [14]. The proof of part s2 of Lemma 19 is from [8].

Remark 22. In Lemma 44 below we will improve part (iii) of Lemma 20.

Let μ be a topological measure on X . Our goal is to construct a quasi-linear functional on X using μ .

Definition 23. Let X be locally compact and μ be a topological measure on X . Define F , a distribution function of f with respect to μ , as follows:

- (A) If $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $f \in C(X)$, let

$$F(a) = \mu(f^{-1}(a, \infty)).$$

- (B) If μ is compact-finite and $f \in C_c(X)$, let

$$F(a) = \mu(f^{-1}((a, \infty) \setminus \{0\})).$$

Lemma 24. *The function F on \mathbb{R} in Definition 23 has the following properties:*

- (i) F is real-valued, and in case (A) $0 \leq F \leq \mu(X)$, while in case (B) $0 \leq F \leq \mu(\text{supp } f)$.
- (ii) If f is bounded then $F(a) = 0$ for all $a \geq \|f\|$.
- (iii) F is non-increasing.
- (iv) F is right-continuous.

Proof. The right continuity of F follows from Lemma 18. The rest is easy. □

Lemma 25. *Let μ be a topological measure on a locally compact space X .*

- (A) *If $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $f \in C_0(X)$ then there exists a finite measure m_f on \mathbb{R} such that*

$$m_f(W) = \mu(f^{-1}(W)) \text{ for every open set } W \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(B) If μ is compact-finite and $f \in C_c(X)$ then there exists a compact-finite measure m_f on \mathbb{R} such that

$$m_f(W) = \mu(f^{-1}(W \setminus \{0\})) \text{ for every open set } W \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus,

$$m_f(W) = \mu(f^{-1}(W)) \text{ for every open set } W \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

In either case, m_f is the Stieltjes measure on \mathbb{R} associated with F given by Definition 23, and $\text{supp } m_f \subseteq \overline{f(X)}$.

Proof. We will give the proof for case (B). The argument for case (A) is similar but simpler.

Let $f \in C_c(X)$. Let the function F on \mathbb{R} be as in Definition 23 and Lemma 24. Let m_f be the Stieltjes measure on \mathbb{R} associated with F . We shall show that m_f is the desired measure. First, consider open subsets of \mathbb{R} of the form (a, b) . Note that $m_f((a, b)) = F(a) - F(b^-)$. i.e. we shall show that

$$F(a) - F(b^-) = m_f((a, b)) = \mu(f^{-1}((a, b) \setminus \{0\})).$$

For any $t \in (a, b)$ we have by Lemma 18:

$$\mu(f^{-1}((a, \infty) \setminus \{0\})) \geq \mu(f^{-1}((a, t) \setminus \{0\})) + \mu(f^{-1}((t, \infty) \setminus \{0\})),$$

i.e.

$$F(a) \geq F(t) + \mu(f^{-1}((a, t) \setminus \{0\})).$$

By Lemma 18 we see that $\mu(f^{-1}((a, t) \setminus \{0\})) \rightarrow \mu(f^{-1}((a, b) \setminus \{0\}))$ as $t \rightarrow b^-$. Therefore, as $t \rightarrow b^-$ we have:

$$F(a) - F(b^-) \geq \mu(f^{-1}((a, b) \setminus \{0\})).$$

Now we shall show the opposite inequality. Note that in

$$f^{-1}((a, \infty) \setminus \{0\}) = f^{-1}((a, b) \setminus \{0\}) \sqcup f^{-1}(\{b\} \setminus \{0\}) \sqcup f^{-1}((b, \infty) \setminus \{0\}),$$

all the sets are open except for the middle set on the right hand side, which is compact since $f \in C_0(X)$. Applying μ we obtain by (TM1) of Definition 12

(3)

$$F(a) = \mu(f^{-1}((a, b) \setminus \{0\})) + \mu(f^{-1}(\{b\} \setminus \{0\})) + \mu(f^{-1}((b, \infty) \setminus \{0\}))$$

Since for any $t < b$

$$f^{-1}((b, \infty) \setminus \{0\}) \sqcup f^{-1}(\{b\} \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq f^{-1}((t, \infty) \setminus \{0\}),$$

by Lemma 18 we have:

$$\mu(f^{-1}(\{b\} \setminus \{0\})) + \mu(f^{-1}((b, \infty) \setminus \{0\})) \leq \mu(f^{-1}((t, \infty) \setminus \{0\})) = F(t).$$

Thus, from (3) we see that

$$F(a) \leq \mu(f^{-1}((a, b) \setminus \{0\})) + F(t).$$

As $t \rightarrow b^-$ we obtain:

$$F(a) - F(b^-) \leq \mu(f^{-1}((a, b) \setminus \{0\})).$$

Therefore, the result is true for finite open intervals in \mathbb{R} . Since both μ and m_f are τ -smooth and additive on open sets (see Lemma 18), the result holds for any arbitrary open set in \mathbb{R} .

Let $V = \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{f(X)}$, an open set. By Remark 2 $\overline{f(X)}$ is compact and $0 \in \overline{f(X)}$. Then $m_f(V) = \mu(f^-(V)) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$. Thus, $\text{supp } m_f \subseteq \overline{f(X)}$. For any $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ we have $|m_f([a, b])| = |F(b) - F(a^-)| < \infty$ by part (i) of Lemma 24, so m_f is compact-finite. \square

Remark 26. Our proof of part (B) is very similar to one in [14], Proposition 3.1, even though in [14] a different distribution function (which is left-continuous) is used. In particular, it follows that whether one defines a distribution function for a topological measure based on right semi-infinite intervals (as our function F) or a distribution function based on left semi-infinite intervals (as in [14]), one obtains the same measure m_f on $\overline{f(X)}$. In [6] we explore more the question of when different distribution functions for a topological measure (and more generally, for a deficient topological measure) produce the same measure on \mathbb{R} .

Definition 27. Let μ be a topological measure on a locally compact space X . If

(A) $\mu(X) < \infty$ define a functional ρ_μ on $C_0(X)$

or

(B) if μ is compact-finite define a functional ρ_μ on $C_c(X)$ by:

$$(4) \quad \rho_\mu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} id \, dm_f.$$

Here measure m_f is as in Lemma 25. If X is compact, ρ_μ is a functional on $C(X)$.

Remark 28. If μ is a measure then $\rho_\mu(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ in the usual sense.

Proposition 29. *Let μ be a topological measure on a locally compact space X .*

If

(A) $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $f \in C_0(X)$ or

(B) μ is compact-finite and $f \in C_c(X)$

then for every $\phi \in C(\overline{f(X)})$ (with $\phi(0) = 0$ if X is locally compact but not compact) we have:

$$\rho_\mu(\phi \circ f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \, dm_f = \int_{[a,b]} \phi \, dm_f,$$

where $[a, b] = \overline{f(X)}$.

Proof. Assume first that $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $f \in C_0(X)$. Let $\phi \in C(\overline{f(X)})$, $\phi(0) = 0$. By Remark 2 $\phi \circ f \in C_0(X)$. Consider measures $m_{\phi \circ f}$ and $\phi^* m_f$ defined as in Lemma 25 and in Section 2. For an open set U in \mathbb{R} , by Lemma 25 we have:

(5)

$$m_{\phi \circ f}(U) = \mu((\phi \circ f)^{-1}(U)) = \mu(f^{-1}\phi^{-1}(U)) = m_f(\phi^{-1}(U)) = \phi^* m_f(U).$$

Then $\mu_{\phi \circ f} = \phi^* m_f$ as measures on \mathbb{R} and

$$\rho_\mu(\phi \circ f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} id \, dm_{\phi \circ f} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} id \, d\phi^* m_f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \, dm_f = \int_{[a,b]} \phi \, dm_f.$$

Now let μ be compact-finite and $f \in C_c(X)$. From Lemma 25 and reasoning as in (5) it follows that $m_{\phi \circ f} = \phi^* m_f$ on open sets in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and both measures are compact-finite. By formula (1) we have:

$$\rho_\mu(\phi \circ f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} id \, dm_{\phi \circ f} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} id \, d\phi^* m_f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \, dm_f = \int_{[a,b]} \phi \, dm_f.$$

□

Theorem 30. *Let μ be a topological measure on a locally compact space X .*

(A) *If $\mu(X) < \infty$ then ρ_μ defined in Definition 27 is a quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ with $\|\rho_\mu\| \leq \mu(X)$.*

(B) *If μ is compact-finite then ρ_μ defined in Definition 27 is a quasi-linear functional on $C_c(X)$ such that $\rho_\mu(f) \leq \|f\| m_f(\text{supp } m_f)$.*

Proof. Let μ be a topological measure on X . The proof (which is close to Corollary 3.1 in [1]) is similar for both cases, and we will demonstrate it for case (B). If $f \geq 0$ then by Lemma 25 $m_f(-\infty, 0) = \mu(f^{-1}(-\infty, 0)) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$. Then

$$\rho_\mu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} id \, dm_f = \int_0^\infty id \, dm_f \geq 0.$$

Thus, (QI3) of Definition 5 holds. To show (QI2), let $f \in C_c(X)$. If $\phi \circ f, \psi \circ f \in B(f)$ as in Remark 4, using the fact that m_f is a measure on \mathbb{R} , we have by Proposition 29:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\mu(\phi \circ f + \psi \circ f) &= \rho_\mu((\phi + \psi) \circ f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\phi + \psi) dm_f \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi dm_f + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi dm_f = \rho_\mu(\phi \circ f) + \rho_\mu(\psi \circ f). \end{aligned}$$

For any constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we also have $\rho_\mu(cf) = \rho_\mu((c \text{id}) \circ f) = \int c \text{id} dm_f = c\rho_\mu(f)$, so (QI1) holds.

In case (A) for any $0 \leq f \leq 1$ from (4) we see that $\rho_\mu(f) \leq m_f(\text{supp } m_f) \leq m_f(\mathbb{R}) = \mu(X)$, so $\|\rho_\mu\| \leq \mu(X)$. In case (B) from (4) and Lemma 25 it is clear that $\rho_\mu(f) \leq \|f\| m_f(\text{supp } m_f)$. \square

Definition 31. We call a quasi-linear functional ρ_μ as in Definition 27 and Theorem 30 a quasi-integral and write

$$\int_X f d\mu = \rho_\mu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{id} dm_f.$$

It is understood that ρ_μ is a quasi-linear functional on $C(X)$ when X is compact; ρ_μ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ when X is locally compact and $\mu(X) < \infty$; ρ_μ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_c(X)$ when X is locally compact and μ is compact-finite.

Lemma 32. For the functional ρ_μ we have:

- i. If $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $f \in C_c(X)$ is such that $\text{supp } f \subseteq U$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$ then $\rho_\mu(f) \leq \mu(U)$.
- ii. If $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ and f is such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $f = 1$ on K , then $\rho_\mu(f) \geq \mu(K)$.

Proof. (1) Using Lemma 25 we have: $\rho_\mu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{id} dm_f \leq 1 \cdot m_f(\{t : t > 0\}) = \mu(f^{-1}(0, \infty)) \leq \mu(U)$.

(2) We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\mu(f) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{id} dr \geq 1 \cdot m_f(\{t : t = 1\}) = m_f(\{t : t \geq 1\}) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} m_f((1 - \alpha, \infty)) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \mu(f^{-1}((1 - \alpha, \infty))) \geq \mu(K). \end{aligned}$$

\square

4. REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR A LOCALLY COMPACT SPACE

We shall establish a correspondence between topological measures and quasi-linear functionals.

Definition 33. Let X be locally compact, and let ρ be a quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ or $C_c(X)$. Define a set function $\mu_\rho : \mathcal{O}(X) \cup \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ as follows: for an open set $U \subseteq X$ let

$$\mu_\rho(U) = \sup\{\rho(f) : f \in C_c(X), 0 \leq f \leq 1, \text{supp } f \subseteq U\},$$

and for a closed set $F \subseteq X$ let

$$\mu_\rho(F) = \inf\{\mu_\rho(U) : F \subseteq U, U \in \mathcal{O}(X)\}.$$

Remark 34. If ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ then $\mu_\rho(X) \leq \|\rho\|$; if ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_c(X)$ then $\mu_\rho(X) = \|\rho\|$.

Lemma 35. For the set function μ_ρ from Definition 33 the following holds:

p1. μ_ρ is non-negative.

p2. μ_ρ is monotone, i.e. if $A \subseteq B$, $A, B \in \mathcal{O}(X) \cup \mathcal{C}(X)$ then $\mu_\rho(A) \leq \mu_\rho(B)$.

p3. Given an open set U , for any compact $K \subseteq U$

$$\mu_\rho(U) = \sup\{\rho(g) : 1_K \leq g \leq 1, g \in C_c(X), \text{supp } g \subseteq U\}$$

p4. For any $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$

$$\mu_\rho(K) = \inf\{\rho(g) : g \in C_c(X), g \geq 1_K\}$$

p5. For any $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$

$$\mu_\rho(K) = \inf\{\rho(g) : g \in C_c(X), 0 \leq g \leq 1, g = 1 \text{ on } K\}$$

p6. μ_ρ is compact-finite.

p7. Given $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, for any open U such that $K \subseteq U$

$$\mu_\rho(K) = \inf\{\mu_\rho(V) : V \in \mathcal{O}(X), K \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U\}$$

p8. For any $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$

$$\mu_\rho(U) = \sup\{\mu_\rho(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}(X), K \subseteq U\}$$

p9. For any disjoint compact sets K and C

$$\mu_\rho(K \sqcup C) = \mu_\rho(K) + \mu_\rho(C)$$

- p10. If $K \subseteq U$, $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ then $\mu_\rho(U) = \mu_\rho(K) + \mu_\rho(U \setminus K)$.
- p11. If $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, and $f \in C_c(X)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $\text{supp } f \subseteq K$, then $\rho(f) \leq \mu_\rho(K)$.
- p12. If $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ and $f_i \in C_c(X)$, $0 \leq f_i \leq 1$, $\text{supp } f_i \subseteq K$ for $i = 1, 2$ then $|\rho(f_1) - \rho(f_2)| \leq \mu_\rho(K)$.

Proof.

- p1. μ_ρ is non-negative since ρ is positive.
- p2. From Definition 33 we have monotonicity on $\mathcal{O}(X)$; then monotonicity on $\mathcal{O}(X) \cup \mathcal{C}(X)$ easily follows.
- p3. From Definition 33 we see that

$$\sup\{\rho(g) : 1_K \leq g \leq 1, g \in C_c(X), \text{supp } g \subseteq U\} \leq \mu_\rho(U).$$

To show the opposite inequality, assume first that $\mu_\rho(U) < \infty$. For $\epsilon > 0$ choose $f \in C_c(X)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $\text{supp } f \subseteq U$, and $\rho(f) > \mu_\rho(U) - \epsilon$. Choose Urysohn function $g \in C_c(X)$ such that $g = 1$ on the compact set $K \cup \text{supp } f$ and $\text{supp } g \subseteq U$. By part (iv) of Lemma 20

$$\rho(g) \geq \rho(f) > \mu_\rho(U) - \epsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_\rho(U) = \sup\{\rho(g) : 1_K \leq g \leq 1, g \in C_c(X), \text{supp } g \subseteq U\}.$$

When $\mu_\rho(U) = \infty$ we replace f by functions $f_n \in C_c(X)$ such that $\rho(f_n) \geq n$, $\text{supp } f_n \subseteq U$, and use a similar argument to show that $\sup\{\rho(g) : 1_K \leq g \leq 1, g \in C_c(X), \text{supp } g \subseteq U\} = \infty$.

- p4. Take any $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $K \subseteq U$. By part p3 we see that $\inf\{\rho(g) : g \in C_c(X), g \geq 1_K\} \leq \mu_\rho(U)$. Taking infimum over all open sets containing K we have:

$$\inf\{\rho(g) : g \in C_c(X), g \geq 1_K\} \leq \mu_\rho(K).$$

To prove the opposite inequality, take any $g \in C_c(X)$ such that $g \geq 1_K$. Let $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $U = \{x : g(x) > 1 - \delta\}$. Then U is open and $K \subseteq U$. Consider continuous function $h = \inf\{g, 1 - \delta\}$. By part (s1) of Lemma 19 and part (i) of Lemma 20 $\rho(h) \leq \rho(g)$. Since $\frac{h}{1 - \delta} = 1$

on U , for any function $f \in C_c(X)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $\text{supp } f \subseteq U$ we have $f \leq \frac{h}{1-\delta}$ and so by part (ii) of Lemma 20

$$\rho(f) \leq \rho\left(\frac{h}{1-\delta}\right) = \frac{\rho(h)}{1-\delta}.$$

Then $(1-\delta)\rho(f) \leq \rho(h) \leq \rho(g)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\delta)\mu_\rho(K) &\leq (1-\delta)\mu_\rho(U) \\ &= (1-\delta) \sup\{\rho(f) : 0 \leq f \leq 1_U, \text{supp } f \subseteq U\} \leq \rho(g) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $g \in C_c(X)$ such that $g \geq 1_K$ and any $0 < \delta < 1$

$$(1-\delta)\mu_\rho(K) \leq \rho(g).$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_\rho(K) \leq \inf\{\rho(g) : g \in C_b(X), g \geq 1_K\}.$$

p5. Essentially identical to the proof for part p4.

p6. Follows from part p4.

p7. The proof uses Lemma 1 and is left to the reader.

p8. From Definition 33 we see that $\mu_\rho(K) \leq \mu_\rho(U)$ for any $K \subseteq U$, $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, hence,

$$\sup\{\mu_\rho(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}(X), K \subseteq U\} \leq \mu_\rho(U).$$

For the opposite equality, assume first that $\mu_\rho(U) < \infty$. For $\epsilon > 0$ find a function $f \in C_c(X)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $\text{supp } f \subseteq U$ for which $\mu_\rho(U) - \epsilon < \rho(f)$. Let $K = \text{supp } f$. Choose $V \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $K \subseteq V$, $\mu_\rho(V) < \mu_\rho(K) + \epsilon$. We may take $V \subseteq U$. Pick Urysohn function $g \in C_c(X)$ such that $g = 1$ on K and $\text{supp } g \subseteq V$. Note that $\rho(g) \leq \mu_\rho(V)$. Using part (iii) of Lemma 20 we have $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$, and so

$$\mu_\rho(U) - \epsilon < \rho(f) \leq \rho(g) \leq \mu_\rho(V) < \mu_\rho(K) + \epsilon.$$

This gives us

$$\sup\{\mu_\rho(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}(X), K \subseteq U\} \geq \mu_\rho(U).$$

When $\mu_\rho(U) = \infty$ we replace f by functions $f_n \in C_c(X)$ such that $\rho(f_n) \geq n$, $K_n = \text{supp } f_n \subseteq U$, and use a similar argument to show that $\sup\{\mu_\rho(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}(X), K \subseteq U\} = \infty$.

p9. Let $K = K_1 \sqcup K_2$, $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. It is enough to consider the case when both $\mu_\rho(K_1)$ and $\mu_\rho(K_2)$ are finite. There are disjoint open sets V_1, V_2 such that $K_i \subseteq V_i$. For $\epsilon > 0$ by part p5 pick functions $g_1, g_2 \in C_c(X)$ such that $\text{supp } g_i \subseteq V_i$, $1_{K_i} \leq g_i \leq 1$ and $\rho(g_i) - \mu_\rho(K_i) < \epsilon$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $g_1 + g_2 = 1$ on K and $g_1 g_2 = 0$, by part p4 and Lemma 20, part q2

$$\mu_\rho(K) \leq \rho(g_1 + g_2) = \rho(g_1) + \rho(g_2) < \mu_\rho(K_1) + \mu_\rho(K_2) + 2\epsilon,$$

showing that $\mu_\rho(K) \leq \mu_\rho(K_1) + \mu_\rho(K_2)$. Now for $\epsilon > 0$ by part p4 let $f \in C_c(X)$ be such that $f \geq 1_K$ and $\rho(f) - \mu_\rho(K) < \epsilon$. For the functions g_1, g_2 as above $g_1 + g_2 \leq 1$, $(g_1 f)(g_2 f) = 0$, $g_i f \geq 0$, and $g_i f \geq 1$ on K_i . Then by parts q2 and (iii) of Lemma 20

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\rho(K_1) + \mu_\rho(K_2) &\leq \rho(g_1 f) + \rho(g_2 f) = \rho((g_1 + g_2)f) \leq \rho(f) \\ &\leq \mu_\rho(K) + \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

giving $\mu_\rho(K_1) + \mu_\rho(K_2) \leq \mu_\rho(K)$.

p10. Let $K \subseteq U$, $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. First we shall show that

$$(6) \quad \mu_\rho(U \setminus K) + \mu_\rho(K) \geq \mu_\rho(U).$$

By Lemma 1 let V be an open set with compact closure such that

$$K \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U.$$

If $\mu_\rho(K) = \infty$, inequality (6) trivially holds by monotonicity of μ_ρ , so we assume that $\mu_\rho(K) < \infty$. For $\epsilon > 0$ choose $W_1 \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $K \subseteq W_1$ and $\mu_\rho(W_1) < \mu_\rho(K) + \epsilon$. We may assume that $W_1 \subseteq V$, so

$$K \subseteq W_1 \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U.$$

Also, there exists an open set W with compact closure such that

$$K \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq W_1 \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U.$$

Choose Urysohn function $g \in C_c(X)$ such that $1_{\overline{W}} \leq g \leq 1$, $\text{supp } g \subseteq W_1$. Then

$$\rho(g) \leq \mu_\rho(W_1) < \mu_\rho(K) + \epsilon.$$

First assume that $\mu_\rho(U) < \infty$. By part p3 choose $f \in C_c(X)$ such that $1_{\overline{V}} \leq f \leq 1$, $\text{supp } f \subseteq U$, and

$$\rho(f) > \mu_\rho(U) - \epsilon.$$

Note that $0 \leq f - g \leq 1$, and, since $f - g = 0$ on \overline{W} , we have $\text{supp}(f - g) \subseteq U \setminus K$. Also, by part q3 of Lemma 20 we have $\rho(f - g) = \rho(f) - \rho(g)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\rho(U \setminus K) &\geq \rho(f - g) = \rho(f) - \rho(g) \\ &\geq \mu_\rho(U) - \epsilon - \mu_\rho(K) - \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us inequality (6). If $\mu_\rho(U) = \infty$, use instead of f functions f_n with $1_{\overline{V}} \leq f_n \leq 1$, $\text{supp } f_n \subseteq U$, $\rho(f_n) \geq n$ in the above argument to show that $\mu_\rho(U \setminus K) = \infty$. Then inequality (6) holds.

Now we would like to show

$$(7) \quad \mu_\rho(U) \geq \mu_\rho(U \setminus K) + \mu_\rho(K).$$

When $\mu_\rho(U \setminus K) = \infty$, inequality (7) holds trivially, so we assume that $\mu_\rho(U \setminus K) < \infty$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $g \in C_c(X)$, $0 \leq g \leq 1$ such that $C = \text{supp } g \subseteq U \setminus K$ and

$$\rho(g) > \mu_\rho(U \setminus K) - \epsilon.$$

Note that $K \subseteq U \setminus C$. If $\mu_\rho(U \setminus C) = \infty$, then $\mu_\rho(U) = \infty$, so (7) holds. So assume that $\mu_\rho(U \setminus C) < \infty$. By part p3 choose $f \in C_c(X)$ such that $1_K \leq f \leq 1$, $\text{supp } f \subseteq U \setminus C$, and $\rho(f) > \mu_\rho(U \setminus C) - \epsilon$. Then

$$\rho(f) > \mu_\rho(U \setminus C) - \epsilon \geq \mu_\rho(K) - \epsilon.$$

Since $fg = 0$, applying part q2 of Lemma 20 we obtain $\rho(f + g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g)$. Since $f + g \in C_c(X)$ with $\text{supp}(f + g) \subseteq U$, we obtain:

$$\mu_\rho(U) \geq \rho(f + g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g) \geq \mu_\rho(K) + \mu_\rho(U \setminus K) - 2\epsilon.$$

Therefore, $\mu_\rho(U) \geq \mu_\rho(U \setminus K) + \mu_\rho(K)$.

p11. For any open set U containing K we have $\rho(f) \leq \mu_\rho(U)$. Then $\rho(f) \leq \mu_\rho(K)$.

p12. Using part p11 we see for $i = 1, 2$ that $0 \leq \rho(f_i) \leq \mu(K)$, and the statement follows.

□

Remark 36. In part p4 we basically follow a proof given by D. Grubb in [8]. Proof of inequality (6) is essentially from [14].

Theorem 37. *Let X be locally compact. If ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ or on $C_c(X)$, then μ_ρ defined in Definition 33 is a compact-finite topological measure. If $\|\rho\| < \infty$ then μ_ρ is finite with $\mu_\rho(X) \leq \|\rho\|$.*

Proof. By part p1 of Lemma 35 μ_ρ is non-negative. Definition 33 and part p8 of Lemma 35 give conditions (TM2) and (TM3) of Definition 12. Parts p9 and p10 of Lemma 35 and Remark 16 give condition (TM1). Thus, μ_ρ is a topological measure. The remaining statements are part p6 of Lemma 35 and Remark 34. \square

Remark 38. When X is compact we use $A(f)$. For an open set U we may define $\mu_\rho(U) = \sup\{\rho(f) : f \in C(X), 0 \leq f \leq 1, \text{supp } f \subseteq U\}$ or $\mu_\rho(U) = \sup\{\rho(f) : f \in C(X), 0 \leq f \leq 1_U\}$, and for a closed set C define $\mu_\rho(C) = \mu_\rho(X) - \mu_\rho(X \setminus C)$. One may show that μ_ρ is a topological measure.

Theorem 39 (Representation theorem). *Let X be locally compact.*

(A) *If ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ such that $\|\rho\| < \infty$*
or

(B) *ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_c(X)$*

then there exists a unique topological measure μ on X such that $\rho = \rho_\mu$. In fact, $\mu = \mu_\rho$. In case (A) μ is finite with $\mu(X) = \|\rho\|$, and in case (B) μ is compact-finite.

Proof. The proof is similar in both cases, and we will provide it for case (A). Given a quasi-linear functional ρ on $C_0(X)$, by Theorem 37 construct a finite topological measure $\mu = \mu_\rho$ with $\mu(X) \leq \|\rho\|$. By Theorem 30 obtain from μ a quasi-linear functional ρ_μ with $\|\rho_\mu\| \leq \mu(X)$. We shall show that $\rho = \rho_\mu$. (This will also imply that $\|\rho_\mu\| = \mu(X)$.) Fix $f \in C_0(X)$. Recall from Definition 27 that

$$\rho_\mu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} id \, dm_f$$

where m_f is a measure on \mathbb{R} (supported on $\overline{f(X)}$) such that $m_f(W) = \mu(f^{-1}(W))$ for every open set $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ by Lemma 25. For a continuous function ϕ on $\overline{f(X)}$ let $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(x) - \phi(0)$. Consider the map $L : C(\overline{f(X)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$L(\phi) = \rho(\tilde{\phi} \circ f)$ for each $\phi \in C(\overline{f(X)})$. From Remark 4 and linearity of ρ on the subalgebra generated by f we see that L is a positive linear functional. Therefore, there exists a measure m on the compact $\overline{f(X)} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$L(\phi) = \rho(\tilde{\phi} \circ f) = \int_{\overline{f(X)}} \phi \, dm$$

for each $\phi \in C(\overline{f(X)})$. Thus, for each $\phi \in C(\overline{f(X)})$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ we have:

$$(8) \quad \rho(\phi \circ f) = \int_{\overline{f(X)}} \phi \, dm.$$

We shall show now that $m_f = m$ on open intervals in $\overline{f(X)} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $W = (\alpha, \beta)$ be such an interval. Choose a sequence of compact sets $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $C_n \subseteq C_{n+1}^0 \subseteq W$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C_n = W$. For each n choose an Urysohn function ϕ_n such that $0 \leq \phi_n \leq 1$, $\phi_n = 1$ on C_n and $\text{supp } \phi_n \subseteq W$. Note that $0 \leq \phi_n \circ f \leq 1$, and $\phi_n \circ f$ has compact support contained in $f^{-1}(W)$. By Definition 33 applied to $\mu = \mu_\rho$ and Lemma 25 applied to an open set $f^{-1}(W)$ we see that

$$(9) \quad \rho(\phi_n \circ f) \leq \mu(f^{-1}(W)) = m_f(W).$$

Since m is a measure, using (8) and (9) we have:

$$(10) \quad m(W) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_n \, dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\phi_n \circ f) \leq m_f(W).$$

On the other hand, given $\epsilon > 0$, choose compact set $K \subseteq f^{-1}(W)$ such that $\mu(K) > \mu(f^{-1}(W)) - \epsilon$. The set $f(K)$ is compact, and by choice of $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ there exists $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(K) \subseteq C_n^0 \subseteq C_n$ for all $n \geq n'$. Then for all $n \geq n'$ we have $1_K \leq \phi_n \circ f$ and using part p4 of Lemma 35 we see that

$$\mu(f^{-1}(W)) - \epsilon < \mu(K) \leq \rho(\phi_n \circ f).$$

Then as in (10)

$$m(W) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\phi_n \circ f) \geq \mu(f^{-1}(W)) - \epsilon = m_f(W) - \epsilon.$$

Therefore, $m_f(W) = m(W)$. Then $m_f = m$ on all open sets in $\overline{f(X)} \setminus \{0\}$. By formula (1),

$$\rho(f) = \rho(\text{id} \circ f) = \int_{\overline{f(X)}} \text{id} \, dm = \int_{\overline{f(X)}} \text{id} \, dm_f = \rho_\mu(f),$$

so $\rho = \rho_\mu$.

Now we need to show uniqueness of μ . Suppose there are topological measures μ and ν such that $\rho_\mu = \rho_\nu = \rho$, and $\mu \neq \nu$. Then there exists $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ with $\mu(U) < \nu(U)$. Pick a compact set K such that $K \subseteq U$, $\mu(U) < \nu(K)$. Let $f \in C_c(X)$ be a function such that $1_K \leq f \leq 1_U$. Then using Lemma 35

$$\rho_\mu(f) \leq \mu(U) < \nu(K) \leq \rho_\nu(f),$$

i.e. $\rho_\mu \neq \rho_\nu$. This contradiction shows the uniqueness of μ , and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 40. Our proof of Theorem 39 is a combination of techniques from [1], [14], [8], and [9].

Remark 41. Theorem 39 and existence of topological measures that are not measures (see Remark 15) indicate that there exist quasi-linear functionals that are not linear. Also, in Example 56 below we construct a quasi-linear functional on \mathbb{R}^2 which is not linear.

Let X be locally compact. Let $TM_c(X)$ be the collection of compact-finite topological measures on X , $\mathbf{TM}(X)$ the collection of finite topological measures on X .

Let $\mathbf{QI}_0(X)$ denote the collection of all quasi-linear functionals on $C_0(X)$ with $\|\rho\| < \infty$ and let $QI_c(X)$ be the collection of all quasi-linear functionals on $C_c(X)$.

Theorem 42. *Let $\mathbf{QI} = \mathbf{QI}_0(X)$ or functionals from $QI_c(X)$ of finite norm.*

- (I) *The map $\Pi : TM_c(X) \longrightarrow QI_c(X)$ where $\Pi(\mu) = \rho_\mu$, is an order-preserving bijection with Π^{-1} given by $\Pi^{-1}(\rho) = \mu_\rho$, and μ is a measure iff $\Pi(\mu)$ is a linear functional.*
- (II) *The map $\Pi : \mathbf{TM}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{QI}$ (where $\Pi(\mu) = \rho_\mu$, and $\Pi^{-1}(\rho) = \mu_\rho$) is an order-preserving bijection such that $\|\rho\| = \|\mu\|$.*

Proof. (I) Given $\mu \in TM_c(X)$, obtain by Theorem 30 a quasi-linear functional ρ_μ on $C_c(X)$. By Theorem 39 obtain from ρ_μ a compact-finite topological measure μ_{ρ_μ} . We shall show that $\mu = \mu_{\rho_\mu}$. For open sets by Definition 33 and Lemma 32 we have:

$$\mu_{\rho_\mu}(U) = \sup\{\rho_\mu(f) : f \in C_c(X), 0 \leq f \leq 1, \text{supp } f \subseteq U\} \leq \mu(U),$$

so by Remark 17 $\mu_{\rho_\mu} \leq \mu$. For compact sets by part p5 of Lemma 35 and Lemma 32 we have:

$$\mu_{\rho_\mu}(K) = \inf\{\rho_\mu(g) : g \in C_c(X), 0 \leq g \leq 1, g = 1 \text{ on } K\} \geq \mu(K),$$

so by Remark 17 $\mu_{\rho_\mu} \geq \mu$. Thus, $\mu = \mu_{\rho_\mu}$. It follows that $\Pi^{-1} \circ \Pi = id$ and $\Pi \circ \Pi^{-1} = id$.

From formula (4) and the relationship between m_f and μ in Lemma 25 it is easy to see that Π is order-preserving. The rest follows from Remark 28.

(II) Given $\mu \in \mathbf{TM}(X)$ obtain by Theorem 30 a quasi-linear functional ρ_μ with $\|\rho_\mu\| \leq \mu(X)$. By Theorem 39 obtain from ρ_μ a finite topological measure μ_{ρ_μ} with $\mu_{\rho_\mu}(X) = \|\rho_\mu\|$. As in part (I), $\mu = \mu_{\rho_\mu}$, and we see that $\mu(X) = \mu_{\rho_\mu}(X) = \|\rho_\mu\| \leq \mu(X)$, so $\|\rho_\mu\| = \mu(X) = \|\mu\|$.

□

5. PROPERTIES OF QUASI-INTEGRALS

Remark 43. From Theorem 39 it follows that on a locally compact space X any quasi-linear functional ρ on $C_0(X)$ with $\|\rho\| < \infty$ or any quasi-linear functional ρ on $C_c(X)$ is given by

$$\rho(f) = \int f d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} id dm_f = \int_{[a,b]} id dm_f,$$

where m_f is a measure obtained from topological measure μ and the function f , and supported on $[a, b] = \overline{f(X)}$ as in Lemma 25. We may also take $[a, b]$ to be any closed interval containing $\overline{f(X)}$. The integral

$$\int_{[a,b]} id dm_f = \int_{[a,b]} id dF$$

is the Riemann-Stieltjes integral over $[a, b]$ with respect to the function F given by Definition 23. It is easy to see (apply, for example, theorem (21.67) in [11]) that

$$\rho(f) = \int_{[a,b]} id dm_f = \int_{[a,b]} F(t) dt + aF(a^-).$$

If $\|\rho\| < \infty$ then $\mu(X) < \infty$, and we have

$$(11) \quad \rho(f) = \int_{[a,b]} id dm_f = \int_{[a,b]} F(t) dt + a\mu(X).$$

If $\|\rho\| < \infty$ and $f \geq 0$ then $a = 0$ and

$$(12) \quad \rho(f) = \int_{[a,b]} id \, dm_f = \int_{[a,b]} F(t) \, dt.$$

Lemma 44. *Let X be locally compact. Suppose*

(A) ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ such that $\|\rho\| < \infty$ or

(B) ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_c(X)$.

If $f \geq g$ then $\rho(f) \geq \rho(g)$.

Proof. Case (B) is proved in Lemma 20, part (iii). For case (A), define distribution functions F and G for f and g as in Definition 23. Let $[a, b]$ contain both $\overline{f(X)}$ and $\overline{g(X)}$. Since $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $F \geq G$, the assertion follows from formula (11). \square

Definition 45. We say a functional ρ is monotone if $f \leq g \implies \rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$.

Remark 46. From Lemma 44 we see that if $\rho \in \mathbf{QI}_0(X)$ or $\rho \in QI_c(X)$ then

$$\|\rho\| = \sup\{\rho(f) : f \in C_0(X), 0 \leq f \leq 1\} = \sup\{\rho(f) : f \in C_0(X), \|f\| \leq 1\},$$

and

$$\|\rho\| = \sup\{\rho(f) : f \in C_c(X), 0 \leq f \leq 1\} = \sup\{\rho(f) : f \in C_c(X), \|f\| \leq 1\}.$$

Theorem 47. *Suppose X is locally compact and ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_c(X)$ or on $C_0(X)$.*

(i) *Suppose μ is compact-finite. If $f, g \in C_c(X)$, $f, g \geq 0$, $\text{supp } f, \text{supp } g \subseteq K$, where K is compact, then*

$$|\rho(f) - \rho(g)| \leq \|f - g\| \mu(K).$$

In particular, for any $f \in C_c(X)$

$$|\rho(f)| \leq \|f\| \mu(\text{supp } f).$$

If $f, g \in C_c(X)$, $\text{supp } f, \text{supp } g \subseteq K$, where K is compact, then

$$|\rho(f) - \rho(g)| \leq 2 \|f - g\| \mu(K).$$

Thus, ρ is continuous with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.

(ii) Suppose $\mu(X) < \infty$ (i.e. $\|\rho\| < \infty$.) If $f \in C_c(X)$ then

$$(13) \quad |\rho(f)| \leq \|f\| \mu(X) = \|f\| \|\rho\|.$$

If $f, g \in C_c(X)$, $f, g \geq 0$ then

$$|\rho(f) - \rho(g)| \leq \|f - g\| \mu(X) = \|f - g\| \|\rho\|.$$

For arbitrary $f, g \in C_c(X)$

$$|\rho(f) - \rho(g)| \leq 2 \|f - g\| \mu(X) = 2 \|f - g\| \|\rho\|.$$

Thus, ρ is uniformly continuous.

(iii) Let X be compact. Let ρ be a quasi-linear functional on $C(X)$. Then for any $f, g \in C(X)$

$$|\rho(f) - \rho(g)| \leq \rho(\|f - g\|) = \|f - g\| \rho(1).$$

In particular, ρ is uniformly continuous. If $f + g = c = \text{const}$ then $\rho(f) + \rho(g) = \rho(c)$.

Proof. (i) It is enough to consider $K = \text{supp } f \cup \text{supp } g$. Suppose first that $f, g \in C_c(X)$, $f, g \geq 0$. Let $h \in C_c(X)$ be such that $h \geq 0$, $h = 1$ on K . Since $f - g \leq \|f - g\| h$, i.e. $f \leq g + \|f - g\| h$, by Lemma 44 $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g + \|f - g\| h)$. Using part q3 of Lemma 20 we have:

$$\rho(f) \leq \rho(g + \|f - g\| h) = \rho(g) + \|f - g\| \rho(h)$$

Similarly, $\rho(g) \leq \rho(f) + \|f - g\| \rho(h)$. Thus,

$$|\rho(f) - \rho(g)| \leq \|f - g\| \rho(h).$$

Using part p4 of Lemma 35 we have:

$$(14) \quad |\rho(f) - \rho(g)| \leq \|f - g\| \mu(K).$$

If $f \in C_c(X)$ then

$$|\rho(f)| = |\rho(f^+) - \rho(f^-)| \leq \|f^+ - f^-\| \mu(\text{supp } f) = \|f\| \mu(\text{supp } f).$$

For arbitrary $f, g \in C_c(X)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho(f) - \rho(g)| &= |\rho(f^+) - \rho(f^-) - \rho(g^+) + \rho(g^-)| \\ &\leq |\rho(f^+) - \rho(g^+)| + |\rho(g^-) - \rho(f^-)| \\ &\leq \|f^+ - g^+\| \mu(K) + \|g^- - f^-\| \mu(K) \leq 2 \|f - g\| \mu(K). \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Apply part (i) and equality $\|\rho\| = \mu(X)$ from Theorem 42.
- (iii) Since $f \leq g + \|f - g\|$ and $g \leq f + \|f - g\|$ by Lemma 44 and Remark 6 we have $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g) + \rho(\|f - g\|)$ and $\rho(g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(\|f - g\|)$, which gives the first assertion. The last assertion follows from Remark 6 since $f = -g + c$.

□

Remark 48. The first assertion of part (i) in Theorem 47 is related to Corollary 3.5 in [14].

Remark 49. Let X be locally compact. Suppose $\rho \in \mathbf{QI}_0(X)$, $f \in C_0(X)$. Let $\|f\| = b$. From Remark 43 $\rho(f) = \int_{[-b,b]} id \, dm_f$, so using Lemma 25 and Theorem 42 we obtain inequality (13) for functions from $C_0(X)$:

$$|\rho(f)| \leq bm_f(\mathbb{R}) = b\mu(X) = \|f\| \mu(X) = \|f\| \|\rho\|.$$

Proposition 50. *Suppose X is locally compact. A quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ is monotone iff it is bounded.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) is part (A) of Lemma 44. (\Rightarrow): The proof follows that of Lemma 2.3 in [14]. Suppose to the contrary that $\rho(f) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $f \in C_0(X)$ but $\|\rho\| = \infty$. There are functions $f_k \in C_0(X)$, $0 \leq f_k \leq 1$ such that $\rho(f_k) \geq 2^{2k}$. Consider $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} f_k$, so $f \in C_0(X)$ and $0 \leq f \leq 1$. For each k we have $f \geq 2^{-k} f_k$, and so $\rho(f) \geq \rho(2^{-k} f_k) = 2^{-k} \rho(f_k) \geq 2^k$, i.e. $\rho(f) = \infty$. This gives a contradiction. □

Theorem 51. *Suppose X is locally compact. (I) A bounded quasi-integral on $C_c(X)$ extends uniquely to a bounded quasi-integral on $C_0(X)$ with the same norm. (II) A bounded quasi-integral on $C_0(X)$ is the unique extension of a bounded quasi-integral on $C_c(X)$.*

Proof. (I) Let ρ be a quasi-linear functional on $C_c(X)$ with $\|\rho\| < \infty$. Let $f \in C_0(X)$. Choose a sequence of function $f_n \in C_c(X)$ converging uniformly to f . Since by Theorem 47

$$|\rho(f_n) - \rho(f_m)| \leq 2 \|f_n - f_m\| \|\rho\|,$$

the sequence $\rho(f_n)$ is Cauchy. Let $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n)$. Suppose g_n is another sequence of functions from $C_c(X)$ converging to f . By Theorem 47

$$|\rho(f_n) - \rho(g_n)| \leq 2 \|\rho\| \|f_n - g_n\| \rightarrow 0,$$

so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(g_n)$, and the limit L is well defined. We extend ρ from $C_c(X)$ to $C_0(X)$ by defining $\rho(f) = L$.

Let $\phi \circ f \in B(f)$, $\phi(0) = 0$. Since $\phi \circ f_n$ converges uniformly to $\phi \circ f$, it is easy to check that ρ is a quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$. Using part s1 of Lemma 19 we see that the norm of an extended functional stays the same.

(II). Let ρ be a bounded quasi-integral on $C_0(X)$. The restriction of ρ to $C_c(X)$ is a bounded quasi-integral. Now let $f \in C_0(X)$, and let $f_n \in C_c(X)$ converge to f . By part s3 of Lemma 19 we may assume that f_n and f are in the subalgebra generated by f and $\|f - f_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Let $L = \lim \rho(f_n)$ as in part (I). We only need to show that $L = \rho(f)$. But since f_n and f are in the same subalgebra, using Remark 49 we have:

$$|\rho(f) - \rho(f_n)| = |\rho(f - f_n)| \leq \|f - f_n\| \|\rho\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \|\rho\|,$$

so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n) = \rho(f) = L$. □

Remark 52. Part (I) was first proved (in a different way) in [14], Corollary 3.10.

Using Proposition 50, Remark 49, and Theorem 51 we may extend part (ii) of Theorem 47 to functions vanishing at infinity:

Corollary 53. *Suppose ρ is a bounded quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$. If $f \in C_0(X)$ then*

$$|\rho(f)| \leq \|f\| \mu(X) = \|f\| \|\rho\|.$$

If $f, g \in C_0(X)$, $f, g \geq 0$ then

$$|\rho(f) - \rho(g)| \leq \|f - g\| \mu(X) = \|f - g\| \|\rho\|.$$

For arbitrary $f, g \in C_0(X)$

$$|\rho(f) - \rho(g)| \leq 2 \|f - g\| \mu(X) = 2 \|f - g\| \|\rho\|.$$

Remark 54. In symplectic topology, a functional η on $C_c(X)$ is Lipschitz continuous if for every compact $K \subseteq X$ there is a number $N_K \geq 0$ such that $|\eta(f) - \eta(g)| \leq N_K \|f - g\|$ for all f, g with support contained in K . Our Theorem 47 and Corollary 53 say that ρ is Lipschitz continuous.

Remark 55. Although we do not always state this explicitly, all results in this paper remain valid (with algebras $B(f)$ replaced by $A(f)$ and simpler proofs) for quasi-linear functionals on a compact space. In particular, we obtain all known

properties and the representation theorem for quasi-linear functionals on $C(X)$ when X is compact.

We conclude with an example of a quasi-integral on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Example 56. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$. Let K be the closed rectangle with vertices $(1, 5), (1, 7), (7, 7), (7, 5)$, and C be the closed rectangle with vertices $(5, 1), (5, 7), (7, 7), (7, 1)$. Choose five points as follows: three points in the interior of the square $K \cap C$, one point in the interior of $K \setminus C$, and one point in the interior of $C \setminus K$. Let μ be the topological measure as in Example 18 in [4] based on the five chosen points, i.e. for a compact solid or a bounded open solid set A we have $\mu(A) = 0$ if A contains no more than 1 of the chosen points, $\mu(A) = 1/2$ if A contains 2 or 3 of the chosen points, and $\mu(A) = 1$ if A contains at least 4 of the chosen points. (A set is called solid, if it is connected and its complement has only unbounded components.) Let ρ be the quasi-linear functional on $C_0(X)$ corresponding to μ according to Theorem 39. Let $b > 0$ and let $f \in C_c(X)$ be the function such that $f = b$ on K and $\text{supp } f \subseteq U$, where U is an open rectangle containing K but not the chosen point in $C \setminus K$. Similarly, let $g \in C_c(X)$ be the function such that $g = b$ on C and $\text{supp } g \subseteq V$, where V is an open rectangle containing C but not the chosen point in $K \setminus C$. Let $h = f + g$. Let F and H be the distribution functions of f and h respectively as in Definition 23. Since $f(X) = [0, b]$ and $h(X) = [0, 2b]$, from formula (12) we have:

$$\rho(f) = \int_0^b F(t) dt, \quad \rho(h) = \int_0^{2b} H(t) dt.$$

Observe that $F(t) = 1$ for $t \in (0, b)$. Then $\rho(f) = b$. In the same way, $\rho(g) = b$. Since $H(t) = 1$ for $t \in (0, b)$ and $H(t) = 1/2$ for $t \in [b, 2b)$, we have $\rho(f+g) = \rho(h) = 1.5b$. Thus, $\rho(f) + \rho(g) \neq \rho(f+g)$, and the functional ρ is not linear.

Acknowledgments: This work was conducted at the Department of Mathematics at the University of California Santa Barbara. The author would like to thank the department for its hospitality and supportive environment.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aarnes, *Quasi-states and quasi-measures*, Adv. Math. 86, no. 1 (1991), 41–67.
- [2] S. Butler, *Density in the space of topological measures*, Fund. Math. 174 (2002), 239–251.

- [3] S. Butler, *Solid-set functions and topological measures on locally compact spaces*, arXiv:1902.01957
- [4] S. Butler, *Ways of obtaining topological measures on locally compact spaces*, Bull. Irkutsk State Univ., Series "Mathematics", no. 25 (2018), 33–45.
- [5] S. Butler, *Deficient topological measures on locally compact spaces*, arXiv:1902.02458
- [6] S. Butler, *Non-linear functionals, deficient topological measures, and representation theorems on locally compact spaces*, preprint.
- [7] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1966.
- [8] D. Grubb, *Lectures on quasi-measures and quasi-linear functionals on compact spaces*, unpublished, 1998.
- [9] D. Grubb, *Signed Quasi-measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349, no. 3 (1997), 1081-1089.
- [10] D. Grubb, *Signed Quasi-measures and Dimension Theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128, no. 4 (2000), 1105-1108.
- [11] E. Hewitt, K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1965.
- [12] M. Entov, L. Polterovich, *Quasi-states and symplectic intersections*, arXiv, 2004.
- [13] L. Polterovich, D. Rosen *Function theory on symplectic manifolds* CRM Monograph series, vol. 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2014.
- [14] A. Rustad, *Unbounded quasi-integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129, no. 1 (2000), 165-172.
- [15] D. Shakmatov, *Linearity of quasi-states on Commutative C^* algebras of stable rank 1*, unpublished.
- [16] R. Wheeler, *Quasi-measures and dimension theory*, Topology Appl. 66 (1995), 75-92.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA, 552
UNIVERSITY RD., ISLA VISTA, CA 93117, USA
E-mail address: svbutler@ucsb.edu