

Nonequilibrium dynamics of noninteracting fermions in a trap

DAVID S. DEAN¹, PIERRE LE DOUSSAL², SATYA N. MAJUMDAR³ and GRÉGORIE SCHEHR³

¹ *Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, Laboratoire Ondes et Matière d'Aquitaine (LOMA), UMR 5798, F-33400 Talence, France*

² *Laboratoire de Physique de l'École Normale Supérieure, PSL University, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France.*

³ *LPTMS, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France*

PACS 05.30.Fk – Fermion systems and electron gas

Abstract –We consider the real time dynamics of N noninteracting fermions in $d = 1$. They evolve in a trapping potential $V(x)$, starting from the equilibrium state in a potential $V_0(x)$. We study the time evolution of the Wigner function $W(x, p, t)$ in the phase space (x, p) , and the associated kernel which encodes all correlation functions. At $t = 0$ the Wigner function for large N is uniform in phase space inside the Fermi volume, and vanishes at the Fermi surf over a scale e_N being described by a universal scaling function related to the Airy function. We obtain exact solutions for the Wigner function, the density, and the correlations in the case of harmonic and inverse square potentials, for several $V_0(x)$. In the large N limit, near the edges where the density vanishes, we obtain limiting kernels (of the Airy or Bessel types) that retain the form found in equilibrium, up to a time dependent rescaling. For non-harmonic traps the evolution of the Fermi volume is more complex. Nevertheless we show that, for intermediate times, the Fermi surf is still described by the same equilibrium scaling function, with a non-trivial time and space dependent width which we compute analytically. We discuss the multi-time correlations and obtain their explicit scaling forms valid near the edge for the harmonic oscillator. Finally, we address the large time limit where relaxation to the Generalized Gibbs Ensemble (GGE) was found to occur in the "classical" regime $\hbar \sim 1/N$. Using the diagonal ensemble we compute the Wigner function in the quantum case (large N , fixed \hbar) and show that it agrees with the GGE. We also obtain the higher order (non-local) correlations in the diagonal ensemble.

There was recent progress in the theoretical study of noninteracting fermions in a confining trap at equilibrium [1–10], motivated in part by progress in manipulating and imaging cold atoms [11–15]. Although they are noninteracting, because of Pauli exclusion they exhibit non trivial quantum correlations. In particular at zero temperature and in one dimension $d = 1$, it was shown that the correlations are universal and related to random matrix theory (RMT) [16,17]. For instance, for N fermions in a harmonic trap $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2$, the positions x_i are in one-to-one correspondence with the eigenvalues of an $N \times N$ random matrix drawn from the Gaussian unitary ensemble. This implies that the average density (with unit normalization) is given for large N by the Wigner semi-circle $\rho_N \simeq \rho_{sc}$,

$$\rho_{sc}(x) = \frac{2}{\pi x_e^2} \sqrt{x_e^2 - x^2} \quad (1)$$

which has edges at $x = \pm x_e$, $x_e = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}} \sqrt{2N}$. In the bulk,

i.e. away from the edges, the density correlations are described by the sine-kernel which can be obtained through the local density approximation (LDA) [2] or in a more controlled way using the connection to RMT [8]. Near the edge, within a scale $w_N \sim N^{-1/6}$, the fluctuations are enhanced and are described by the so-called Airy kernel [4–6]. This result extends to a large class of smooth potentials [8]. In presence of hard edges, similar results were shown in terms of the Bessel kernel [18–20]. Both kernels are well known to describe soft and hard edges in RMT. Extensions to finite temperature $T > 0$, $d > 1$ and interactions were studied in [6, 7, 21–24].

It is natural to ask how these properties behave under real time quantum dynamics. For equilibrium dynamics this was studied in [25], and related to the extended Sine and Airy processes in imaginary time. Here we consider non-equilibrium quantum quenches, first from zero temperature $T = 0$, and later from finite temperature) and

study the time evolution of the density and correlations. In the $T = 0$ quench, the many body system is prepared in the ground state of a given Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_0 and evolves under the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{H}_0$. Such quantum quenches in traps have been studied in a number of works, however most of them focus on interacting bosons, in particular in $d = 1$ in the Tonks-Girardeau limit of infinite repulsion [26–29] and were studied for finite N . Although in this limit there is a formal relation to noninteracting fermions [18, 30], the correlations, beyond the density, are different. For instance, the possible edge universality of the time dependent fermion problem at large N has not been addressed. Very recently the evolution in the bulk was investigated in the limit $\hbar \sim 1/N$, $N \rightarrow \infty$ (which we call here "classical"), and at large time thermalization was found to occur for a one-particle observable [31].

We consider noninteracting fermions in the quantum regime for fixed \hbar . The initial and evolution many body Hamiltonians thus take the forms $\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N H_0(x_i, p_i)$ and $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N H(x_i, p_i)$, in terms of the single particle Hamiltonians (below we work in units where $m = 1$)

$$H_0(x, p) = \frac{p^2}{2} + V_0(x) \quad , \quad H(x, p) = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(x) \quad (2)$$

A convenient way to study noninteracting fermions [32, 33] is via the Wigner function $W(x, p, t)$ (see definition below) which plays the role of a (quasi-)probability density in the phase space (x, p) [34]. In particular $\int dp W(x, p, t) = \tilde{\rho}(x, t) = N\rho_N(x, t)$, the time dependent number density. We choose the initial condition $W(x, p, t = 0)$ as the Wigner function associated to the ground state of \mathcal{H}_0 , studied in [35–37] for various potentials V_0 . It was shown that in the large N limit it takes the form in the bulk

$$W(x, p, t = 0) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(\mu - H_0(x, p)) \quad (3)$$

with $\theta(x)$ the Heaviside step function. It depends on N only through μ , the Fermi energy, i.e. the energy of the highest occupied single particle state, which is an increasing function of N . The curve (x_e, p_e) where W in (3) vanishes, i.e. $\mu = H_0(x_e, p_e)$, defines an edge in phase space (the Fermi surf S_0 , enclosing the Fermi volume Ω_0). At large N , i.e. for large μ the step has a finite width $e_N = e(x_e, p_e) \ll \mu$, i.e. near the edge and for smooth potentials $V_0(x)$, the Wigner function takes the universal scaling form

$$W(x, p, t = 0) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \mathcal{W}(a) \quad , \quad \mathcal{W}(a) = \int_{2^{2/3}a}^{+\infty} \text{Ai}(u) du \quad (4)$$

in terms of the scaled distance a to the Fermi surf

$$a = \frac{H_0(x, p) - \mu}{e(x, p)} \quad , \quad e(x, p) = \frac{\hbar^{2/3}}{2^{1/3}} [p^2 V_0''(x) + V_0'(x)^2]^{1/3} \quad (5)$$

Our goal in this paper is to study the time dependent Wigner function starting from this initial condition, e.g.




Fig. 1: Sketch of the $(\frac{2\pi}{\omega}$ periodic) time evolution of the support of the Wigner function (in blue) for the quench from a half-oscillator to an oscillator. Red: densities in x (ρ) and in p ($\bar{\rho}$).

(3), (4), and to understand how its bulk and edge properties evolve with time, in particular whether their universality is preserved under nonequilibrium dynamics. We obtain exact solutions for time dependent harmonic and inverse square potentials, and calculate the Wigner function and the density for various $V_0(x)$. For large N , near the edges where the density vanishes, we obtain limiting kernels (of the Airy or Bessel types) that retain the form found in the statics, up to a time dependent rescaling. It implies the occurrence of the Tracy-Widom distribution [38] for the position of the rightmost fermion [6]. For generic non-harmonic traps, at large N and intermediate times, the Wigner function is still uniform on the Fermi volume, whose evolution can be more complex. We show that at generic points the Fermi surf is still described by the same universal function as in equilibrium (4), (33), with a space and time dependent width that we calculate. We express multi-point and multi-time correlations in terms of the Wigner function and its associated kernel. In the infinite time limit, we obtain exact formula for the Wigner function under a thermalization hypothesis, which we show coincide with the prediction of the Generalized Gibbs Ensemble (GGE) [39, 40].

The time evolved N body wave function keeps the form of a Slater determinant

$$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det_{1 \leq k, j \leq N} \psi_k(x_j, t) \quad (6)$$

where the $\psi_k(x, t)$ are the solutions of $i\hbar\partial_t\psi = H\psi$ with initial condition $\psi_k(x, t = 0) = \phi_k^0(x)$, where $\phi_k^0(x)$, $k \geq 1$, are the single particles eigenstates of H_0 in increasing order of energies ϵ_k^0 . We are interested in the quantum probability $|\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; t)|^2 = \frac{1}{N!} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} K_\mu(x_i, x_j, t)$, described by the time dependent kernel

$$K_\mu(x, x', t) = \sum_{k=1}^N \psi_k^*(x, t) \psi_k(x', t) \quad (7)$$

where the $\psi_k(x, t)$'s form an orthonormal basis for all t . All m -point correlations $R_m(x_1, \dots, x_m; t) := \frac{N!}{(N-m)!} \prod_{j=m+1}^N \int dx_j |\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; t)|^2$ are determinants, $R_m(x_1, \dots, x_m; t) = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} K_\mu(x_i, x_j, t)$, i.e. the x_i 's form a determinantal point process [41, 42]. One defines the N -body Wigner function

$$W(x, p, t) = \frac{N}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_2 \dots dx_N dy e^{\frac{ipy}{\hbar}} \times \Psi^*(x + \frac{y}{2}, x_2, \dots, x_N; t) \Psi(x - \frac{y}{2}, x_2, \dots, x_N; t) \quad (8)$$

which is related to the kernel via [37]

$$W(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{\frac{ipy}{\hbar}} K_\mu(x + \frac{y}{2}, x - \frac{y}{2}, t) \quad (9)$$

Using the Schrödinger equation for the $\psi_k(x', t)$ in (7) we see that the kernel $K_\mu(x, x', t)$ satisfies

$$\hbar \partial_t K_\mu = -\frac{i\hbar^2}{2} (\partial_x^2 - \partial_{x'}^2) K_\mu + i(V(x) - V(x')) K_\mu \quad (10)$$

which, via Fourier transformation, leads to the evolution equation for $W(x, p, t)$, i.e. the Wigner equation (WE)

$$\partial_t W = \left(-p \partial_x + \frac{i}{\hbar} V(x - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \partial_p) - \frac{i}{\hbar} V(x + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \partial_p) \right) W \quad (11)$$

Although derived here for any N , this equation does not explicitly depend on N , hence it is identical to the equation for $N = 1$ [34]. It was also obtained in second quantized form in [31, 43]. The crucial difference thus lies in the initial condition, discussed above in the large N limit, see (3)-(4)-(5) at $T = 0$. In the classical limit, i.e. setting $\hbar = 0$ in the WE, one obtains the Liouville equation (LE)

$$\partial_t W = (-p \partial_x + V'(x) \partial_p) W \quad (12)$$

It verifies $dW(x(t), p(t), t)/dt = 0$ along the classical trajectories $\dot{x} = p$ and $\dot{p} = -V'(x)$. Denoting $x_0(x, p, t)$, $p_0(x, p, t)$ the initial conditions (at $t = 0$) as functions of the final ones (at t), the general solution of the LE can thus be written as $W(x, p, t) = W_0(x_0(x, p, t), p_0(x, p, t))$ where $W_0(x, p) = W(x, p, 0)$ is the initial Wigner function.

Let us start with the simplest solvable case of the harmonic oscillator, $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2$. Since $V'''(x) = 0$, the WE (11), reduces to the LE (12). Hence the solution is

$$W(x, p, t) = W_0(x \cos(\omega t) - \frac{p}{\omega} \sin(\omega t), p \cos(\omega t) + \omega x \sin(\omega t)) \quad (13)$$

which is a simple rotation in phase space of the initial Wigner function and is valid for any N . Let us specify the initial Hamiltonian H_0 to be a harmonic oscillator, i.e. $V_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2$ in (2). Hence the initial density $\rho_N(x, t = 0)$ is the semi-circle (1) for large N with $x_e = \sqrt{2\mu}/\omega_0 = \sqrt{2N\hbar}/\omega_0$. Substituting the initial condition (3) into (13)

one immediately finds that for large N the density remains a semicircle with $x_e \rightarrow x_e(t)$

$$x_e(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\omega_0 \omega} \sqrt{\omega^2 + \omega_0^2 + (\omega^2 - \omega_0^2) \cos(2\omega t)} \quad (14)$$

and $\mu = N\hbar\omega_0$. In fact a solution of the general time dependent harmonic oscillator, $H(t) = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(t)^2 x^2$, can be obtained for the same initial condition using the ‘rescaling’ method [44, 45]. The wave functions are given by

$$\psi_k(x, t) = \frac{e^{i\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar} x^2 - i\frac{\epsilon_k^0 \tau(t)}{\hbar}}}{\sqrt{L(t)}} \phi_k^0\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}\right), \quad (15)$$

where $\tau(t) = \int_0^t dt'/L^2(t')$ and $L(t)$ satisfies Ermakov’s equation

$$\partial_t^2 L(t) + \omega(t)^2 L(t) = \frac{\omega_0^2}{L(t)^3} \quad (16)$$

with $L(0) = 1$, $L'(0) = 0$. The kernel and the density are thus given in terms of their initial values, for any N by

$$K_\mu(x, x', t) = \frac{e^{i\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar} (x'^2 - x^2)}}{L(t)} K_\mu\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}, \frac{x'}{L(t)}, 0\right) \quad (17)$$

and $\rho_N(x, t) = K_\mu(x, x, t)/N = \frac{1}{L(t)} \rho_N(\frac{x}{L(t)}, 0)$. In addition we obtain [46] the exact formula for

$$W(x, p, t) = W_0\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}, pL(t) - xL'(t)\right) \quad (18)$$

which reduces to (13) for $\omega(t) = \omega$. Hence we see that for large N the density remains a semi-circle with an edge at $x_e(t) = L(t)x_e$, formula (14) being recovered in the case $\omega(t) = \omega$. For the kernel, the scaling forms obtained at equilibrium, namely the sine-kernel (in the bulk) and the Airy kernel (at the edge) [8], are preserved, up to a change in the scale. In particular the density at the edge reads

$$\rho(x, t) = \frac{1}{w_N(t)} F_1\left(\frac{x - x_e(t)}{w_N(t)}\right) \quad (19)$$

with $F_1(y) = \text{Ai}'(y)^2 - y \text{Ai}(y)^2$ and a width $w_N(t) = w_N L(t)$ and $w_N = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_0}} N^{-1/6} \sim \mu^{-1/6}$.

The Wigner function method allows to study a variety of initial conditions. One example is H_0 given by the ω_0 harmonic oscillator restricted to $x > 0$ with an impenetrable wall at $x = 0$. The initial kernel, K_μ^+ , is obtained via the image method $K_\mu^+(x, x') = (K_\mu(x, x') - K_\mu(x, -x'))\theta(x)\theta(x')$. The associated Wigner function in the bulk is unity on the half-ellipse $H_0(x, p) < \mu$ with $x > 0$. Under evolution with $\omega(t) = \omega$, this half ellipse rotates clockwise (see Fig. 1). Consider for simplicity $\omega = \omega_0$. Defining $x = x_e \sin \theta$, $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, where $x_e = \sqrt{2\mu}/\omega_0$, the density reads for $0 < \omega t < \pi/2$

$$\rho_N(x, t) = \frac{2}{\pi x_e} \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad \sin \theta < -\sin \omega t \\ \frac{\sin(\omega t + \theta)}{\sin \omega t} & , \quad |\sin \theta| < \sin \omega t \\ 2 \cos \theta & , \quad \sin \theta > \sin \omega t \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

and in addition satisfies $\rho_N(x, t) = \rho_N(-x, \frac{\pi}{\omega} - t)$ and is time periodic of period $2\pi/\omega$. Hence it is not a semi-circle, and there is now a moving front (e.g. at $x = -x_e \sin \omega t$ for $\omega t < \frac{\pi}{2}$) where the density vanishes linearly (see [46] for details). A formula for W can also be obtained for any $\omega(t)$ and arbitrary initial condition (see [46]).

It is possible to extend the exact solution to the case of an additional $1/x^2$ wall at $x = 0$, following [47]. Consider

$$H(x, p, t) = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(t)^2 x^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\hbar^2}{2x^2}, \quad x > 0 \quad (21)$$

and denote $\omega_0 = \omega(0)$. The initial kernel has an explicit expression [46] in terms of Laguerre polynomials for any N . At large N , the density in the bulk is a time-dependent half semi-circle

$$\rho_N(x, t) \simeq \frac{1}{L(t)} \tilde{\rho}\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}, 0\right), \quad \tilde{\rho}(x) = \frac{4\theta(x)}{\pi x_e^2} \sqrt{x_e^2 - x^2} \quad (22)$$

where $L(t)$ is the same solution of (16), e.g. $L(t) = x_e(t)/x_e$ for $\omega(t > 0) = \omega$. Near the wall the kernel takes the form

$$K_\mu(x, y, t) \simeq \frac{2k_F^2 \sqrt{xx'}}{L(t)^2} K_\nu^{\text{Be}}\left(\frac{k_F^2 x^2}{L(t)^2}, \frac{k_F^2 y^2}{L(t)^2}\right) \quad (23)$$

up to a phase factor, where $\mu = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} k_F^2$, $\nu = \alpha + \frac{1}{2}$, in terms of the Bessel kernel

$$K_\nu^{\text{Be}}(u, v) = \frac{\sqrt{v} J'_\nu(\sqrt{v}) J_\nu(\sqrt{u}) - \sqrt{u} J'_\nu(\sqrt{u}) J_\nu(\sqrt{v})}{2(u-v)} \quad (24)$$

characteristic of the "hard-edge" universality class of RMT [22], thus preserved by the dynamics in this case.

Consider now generic smooth confining potentials $V(x)$, $V_0(x)$, with $V'''(x) \neq 0$. Our strategy is as follows. We first argue that, for large N (or equivalently large μ), W obeys Liouville equation (12) (and at $T = 0$ is a theta function, i.e. takes value in $\{0, 1\}$). We then study the solution to the LE, leading to Burgers equation and fermion hydrodynamics. Finally, we obtain the leading (quantum) corrections to that picture, of foremost importance near the Fermi surf.

Let us expand (11) to the first "quantum" order as

$$(\partial_t + p \partial_x - V'(x) \partial_p + \frac{\hbar^2}{24} V'''(x) \partial_p^3) W = 0 \quad (25)$$

To check that the quantum terms are subdominant, we can evaluate each term at $t = 0$ using the initial condition (4)-(5). The derivatives are non-zero only near the Fermi-surf: consider a generic point there, (x_e, p_e) , such that $p_e^2 \sim V_0(x_e) \sim \mu$, leading to $e_N \sim (\hbar\mu/x_e)^{2/3}$. As t increases, we assume that $V(x)$ and its derivatives still scale the same way as $V_0(x)$, i.e. $V^{(n)}(x_e) \sim \mu/x_e^n$. Each order in \hbar brings ∂_x acting on V and ∂_p acting on W , hence, using the scaling form (4)-(5), a factor either $\sim \hbar/(x_e p_e)$ or $\sim \hbar p_e/(x_e e_N)$. The latter dominates, but is $\sim \hbar/(x_e^{1/3} \mu^{1/6}) \ll 1$ at large μ .

Under the Liouville equation the Fermi volume Ω_t and surf S_t are simply transported by the (phase-space area preserving) classical equation of motion, i.e.

$$W(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \theta\left(\mu - \frac{p_0(x, p, t)^2}{2} - V_0(x_0(x, p, t))\right) \quad (26)$$

There are several parametrizations to describe the transported (and possibly wildly deformed) Fermi volume Ω_t [46]. In the simplest case one can write

$$W(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(p - p_-(x, t)) \theta(p_+(x, t) - p) \quad (27)$$

for $x \in \mathcal{I} = [x_e^-(t), x_e^+(t)]$ the (single) support of the number density $\tilde{\rho}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} (p_+(x, t) - p_-(x, t))$. For $x \in \mathcal{I}$, each p_\pm must then satisfy the Burgers equation [48]

$$\partial_t p(x, t) + p(x, t) \partial_x p(x, t) + V'(x) = 0 \quad (28)$$

It recovers the standard free fermion hydrodynamics with a velocity field $v = \frac{1}{2}(p_+ + p_-)$ with $\tilde{\rho}v = \int dp pW$, and the local kinetic energy density $\int dp \frac{p^2}{2} W = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\rho}v^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{6} \pi^2 \tilde{\rho}^3$ [46]. The equilibrium is recovered for $V(x) = V_0(x)$ with

$$p_\pm(x, t) = \pm p_\mu^0(x), \quad p_\mu^0(x) = \sqrt{2(\mu - V_0(x))_+} \quad (29)$$

and W is time independent. The form (27) using (28) automatically satisfies Liouville equation.

We now study the behavior of the Wigner function near the transported Fermi-surf S_t . We know that at $t = 0$ it is given by (4)-(5) and has a thickness $e_N = e(x_e, p_e)$. We thus look for a solution of (25) for $p \approx p_+(x, t)$ of the form

$$W(x, p, t) \simeq F(\tilde{a}) \quad , \quad \tilde{a} = \frac{p - p_+(x, t)}{D(x, t)} \quad (30)$$

with a time-dependent thickness $D(x, t)$, $F(+\infty) = 0$ and $F(-\infty) = 1$. Remarkably, plugging this form into Eq.(25) allows to determine uniquely [46]

$$D(x, t) = -\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \partial_x^2 p_+(x, t)\right)^{1/3} \quad (31)$$

and $F'''(a) = 4aF'(a)$, which is obeyed by $F(a) = \mathcal{W}(a) = \text{Ai}_1(2^{2/3}a)$. It also provides the correct matching with the initial condition, since $-\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \partial_x^2 p_\mu^0(x)\right)^{1/3} = \frac{e(x, p)}{p} \Big|_{p=p_\mu^0(x)}$ on the Fermi surf. The solution corresponding to $\theta(p - p_-)$ is obtained similarly with $\tilde{a} \rightarrow -\tilde{a}$. Furthermore we show [46] that for smooth potentials, adding the missing higher order terms in \hbar of the WE in Eq.(25) does not change the result. Thus derivatives up to and including V''' determine the dynamical width, while only V'' and V' enter the static width e_N . Hence we have found the universal scaling form near a generic point of the transported Fermi-surf S_t . The width (31) can be calculated for any $V(x)$ solving the Burgers equation (28) with initial condition $p_+(x, t=0) = p_\mu^0(x)$. Note that related results were obtained [49–52] for a single particle in the semi-classical

limit, and for fermions at equilibrium [35, 36]. Other expressions can be obtained [46] at non-generic points, e.g. when $\partial_x^2 p(x, t) = 0$ [37, 51, 53]. We assumed here that the Fermi surf maintained its integrity, which in some cases requires finite time. More generally, the section $\Omega_t(x)$ of Ω_t (such that $p \in \Omega_t(x)$ iff $(x, p) \in \Omega_t$) can contain multiple intervals $\Omega_t(x) = \cup_{j=1}^{n(x)} [p_-^j(x, t), p_+^j(x, t)]$ which merge or disappear as x varies. Locally, however, as in the statics as long as one can find a smooth local parametrization of the Fermi surf, similar scaling form as (30) should hold. This dynamical scenario remains valid over a time scale when the Fermi surf does not change drastically from the initial condition. Beyond this time scale, the dynamics becomes quite different, as discussed later. However, it is hard to estimate precisely this time scale.

The above results can be extended to a quench from an initial system prepared at finite temperature $T = 1/\beta$, in the grand canonical (GC) ensemble with chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}$. The equal time correlations are again determinantal with the GC kernel (overbars denote GC averages)

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, x', t) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \bar{n}_k^0 \psi_k^*(x, t) \psi_k(x', t) \quad (32)$$

with $\bar{n}_k^0 = 1/(1 + e^{\beta(\epsilon_k^0 - \tilde{\mu})})$ the mean occupation number of the energy level ϵ_k^0 of H_0 . The GC Wigner function $W_{\tilde{\mu}}$ (defined in [37]) is again the Fourier transform of the GC kernel, as in (9). It is clear from (32) that it obeys (10) hence W still the solution of (11), albeit with a different initial condition. For large N , in the bulk it reads

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, p, t = 0) \simeq [2\pi\hbar(1 + e^{\beta(H_0(x_0(x, p, t), p_0(x, p, t)) - \tilde{\mu}))}]^{-1}.$$

Introducing the important dimensionless parameter $b = e_N/T$, the (same) Fermi surf is still well defined at low $T = e_N/b \ll \mu$ (with $\tilde{\mu} \simeq \mu$) and Eq. (4) still applies with

$$\mathcal{W}(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_b(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \text{Ai}(y)/(1 + e^{b(a - y2^{-2/3})}) \quad (33)$$

a universal function depending only on the dimensionless parameter b (with $\mathcal{W}_{+\infty}(a) = \mathcal{W}(a)$ in the $T = 0$ limit). Formula (13), (17), (18), readily apply with, for large $N, \tilde{\mu}$, these new initial conditions. Eqs. (20), (24), (19) admit $T > 0$ extensions [46].

From the linearity of the W-equation (11) one can express its solution at $T > 0$ in terms of the $T = 0$ solution as

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, p, t) = \int d\mu' \frac{\partial_{\mu'} W(x, p, t)|_{\mu=\mu'}}{1 + e^{\beta(\mu' - \tilde{\mu})}} \quad (34)$$

since it holds at $t = 0$ (equilibrium) as shown in [37]. At low T near the Fermi surf, it allows to generalize Eq. (30)

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, p, t) \simeq \mathcal{W}_{b(x, t)}(\tilde{a}), \quad b(x, t) = \beta \frac{-(\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \partial_x^2 p_+(x, t, \mu))^{1/3}}{\partial_{\mu} p_+(x, t, \mu)} \quad (35)$$

where the dependence in μ of $p_+(x, t, \mu)$ is indicated explicitly, and the parameter b acquires a dependence in x, t , which however disappears for the harmonic oscillator for which $b(x, t) = b = \beta(\hbar\omega_0)^{2/3} \mu^{1/3}$, as for equilibrium.

We now study the multi-time quantum correlations. As for equilibrium dynamics [25] one shows from the Eynard-Mehta theorem [42] that they are determinants based on the non-equilibrium space-time extended kernel [54]

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, t; x', t') = \sum_k (\bar{n}_k - \theta_+(t' - t)) \psi_k^*(x, t) \psi_k(x', t') \quad (36)$$

with $\theta_+(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$ and $\theta_+(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$. For instance the density-density correlation for $t_1 < t_2$ reads $\langle \hat{\rho}(x_1, t_1) \hat{\rho}(x_2, t_2) \rangle = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x_i, t_i; x_j, t_j)$. Consider now H to be the $\omega(t)$ -harmonic oscillator and use the rescaling method. If H_0 is the ω_0 -harmonic oscillator, one easily obtains, up to a phase factor [46]

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, t; x', t') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L(t)L(t')}} K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{eq}}\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}, \tau(t); \frac{x'}{L(t')}, \tau(t')\right) \quad (37)$$

where $L(t)$ obeys (16), and $\tau(t) = \int_0^t dt'/L(t')^2$. For $\omega(t) = \omega$, then $L(t) = x_e(t)/x_e$ as given in (14) and $\tan \omega_0 \tau(t) = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega} \tan \omega t$. Here $K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{eq}}(x, t; x', t')$ is the equilibrium two time kernel of the ω_0 -harmonic oscillator studied in [25], given by (36) with $\psi_k^*(x, t) \psi_k(x', t') \rightarrow \phi_k^{0*}(x) \phi_k^0(x') e^{-i\omega_0 k(t' - t)}$. This relation between $K_{\tilde{\mu}}$ and $K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{eq}}$ is special to the harmonic oscillator. $K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{eq}}$ is periodic in both times of period $2\pi/\omega_0$, and $K_{\tilde{\mu}}$ of period $2\pi/\omega$. Within a period $K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{eq}}$ decays very fast in $t - t'$ with a time scale $\sim 1/N$ in the bulk and $\sim 1/N^{1/3}$ at the edge [25]. One can thus expand near $t \simeq t'$ and one obtains the following scaling forms for $K_{\tilde{\mu}}$. In the bulk

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, t; x', t') \simeq \frac{1}{\xi_{x, t}} \mathcal{K}^{\text{bulk, r}}\left(\frac{x - x' - v_{x, t}(t - t')}{\xi_{x, t}}, \frac{\hbar(t - t')}{\xi_{x, t}^2}\right) \quad (38)$$

where $\mathcal{K}^{\text{bulk, r}}$ is the real time continuation of the equilibrium extended sine kernel given at $T = 0$ e.g. in Eq. (70-71) of [25] and [46]. The width $\xi_{x, t} = 2\hbar L(t)^2/(\omega_0 \sqrt{x_e(t)^2 - x^2}) \sim N^{-1/2}$ and the velocity $v_{x, t} = xL'(t)/L(t) \sim N^{1/2}$ are new features of the non-equilibrium dynamics ($v_{x, t} = 0$ at equilibrium). Near the edge it takes the scaling form

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, t; x', t') \simeq \frac{1}{w_N(t)} \mathcal{K}_b^{\text{edge, r}}\left(\frac{x - x_e(t)}{w_N(t)}, \frac{x' - x_e(t) + v_e(t)(t - t')}{w_N(t)}, \frac{2\hbar(t - t')}{w_N(t)^2}\right) \quad (39)$$

where $v_e(t) = x_e(t)L'(t)$ is the velocity of the edge and $\mathcal{K}_b^{\text{edge, r}}$ is the equilibrium extended Airy kernel (continued to real time) given e.g. in Eq. (156) of [25] and [46].

Finally we discuss the large time behavior. In the "classical" limit $\hbar \sim 1/N$, $N \rightarrow \infty$, it was shown recently [31] that for generic confining potentials, e.g. different from the HO, the Wigner function has a large time stationary

limit. It was found to coincide with the prediction of the GGE. Here we investigate this question for fixed \hbar . Starting from the time-dependent kernel (32), and expanding on the basis of the eigenfunctions $\phi_\ell(x) = \langle x | \phi_\ell \rangle$ of H (of energies ϵ_ℓ) we obtain

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, y, t) = \sum_{k, \ell, \ell'} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\epsilon_\ell - \epsilon_{\ell'})t} \langle \phi_\ell | \phi_k^0 \rangle \bar{n}_k^0 \langle \phi_k^0 | \phi_{\ell'} \rangle \phi_\ell^*(x) \phi_{\ell'}(y)$$

For a confining potential the spectrum of H is non-degenerate, hence the only non-oscillating terms are $\ell = \ell'$. Keeping only these terms one obtains

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di}}(x, y) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \nu_\ell \phi_\ell^*(x) \phi_\ell(y), \quad \nu_\ell = \langle \phi_\ell | \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(H_0 - \tilde{\mu})}} | \phi_\ell \rangle \quad (40)$$

where the $0 \leq \nu_\ell \leq 1$ are "effective mean occupation numbers", with $\sum_\ell \nu_\ell = \sum_k \bar{n}_k^0 = \bar{N}$ (i.e. N at $T = 0$), as expected from particle number conservation. This is the so-called diagonal approximation (DA) [39] which, in the present case, can also be obtained as a time average, i.e. $K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di}}(x, y) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau dt K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, y, t)$. Under certain conditions, including absence of time periodicity, the large time limit exists $K_{\tilde{\mu}}^\infty(x, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, y, t)$ and then $K_{\tilde{\mu}}^\infty = K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di}}$. Let us define a function $\nu_{\tilde{\mu}}(\epsilon)$ such that $\nu_{\tilde{\mu}}(\epsilon_\ell) = \nu_\ell$ for all ℓ . Then one can obtain the diagonal Wigner function

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di}}(x, p) = \int d\mu' \nu_{\tilde{\mu}}(\mu') \partial_{\mu'} W_{\mu'}^H(x, p) \quad (41)$$

in terms of the Wigner function, $W_{\mu'}^H(x, p)$, associated to the ground state of \mathcal{H} i.e. to the kernel $K_{\mu'}^H(x, y) = \sum_\ell \theta(\mu - \epsilon_\ell) \phi_\ell^*(x) \phi_\ell(y)$ (one first shows that $K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di}}$ and $K_{\mu'}^H$ are related via (41), which implies (41) for their Wigner functions). Note that if one defines $\hat{\nu}_{\tilde{\mu}}(\epsilon) = \sum_\ell \nu_\ell \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_\ell)$, then $\hat{\nu}_{\tilde{\mu}}(\epsilon) = \nu_{\tilde{\mu}}(\epsilon) \rho(\epsilon)$, where $\rho(\epsilon) = \sum_\ell \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_\ell)$ is the density of states. The above holds for arbitrary $N, \tilde{\mu}$.

For large N , we insert in (41) the known form of the Wigner function from (4), (5), (33), i.e. $W^H(x, p) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \mathcal{W}_b(a)$ and obtain one of our main result

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di}}(x, p) \simeq \frac{-1}{2\pi\hbar e(x, p)} \int d\mu' \nu_{\tilde{\mu}}(\mu') \mathcal{W}_b' \left(\frac{H(x, p) - \mu'}{e(x, p)} \right) \quad (42)$$

where at $T = 0$, $\mathcal{W}_{+\infty}'(a) = -2^{1/3} \text{Ai}(2^{2/3}a)$. If one neglects the quantum width of the edge, $\delta\mu' \sim e_N \sim \hbar^{2/3}$ for fixed μ' , it is equivalent to insert instead $W^H(x, p) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(\mu - H(x, p))$ in (41). This leads to

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di}}(x, p) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \nu_{\tilde{\mu}}(H(x, p)) \quad (43)$$

an approximation valid when e_N is much smaller than the width $\delta\mu'$ over which the effective occupation number $\nu_{\tilde{\mu}}(\mu')$ drops from 1 (for $\mu' \ll \tilde{\mu}$) to 0 (for $\mu' \gg \tilde{\mu}$). This is the case in the classical limit $\hbar \sim 1/N$ which we now consider [55]. We note that $\frac{1}{N} \hat{\nu}_{\tilde{\mu}}(\epsilon)$ is the probability density of the energy H of a single particle in the initial state.

In the classical limit it is evaluated through a phase space average over the initial Wigner function $W(x, p, 0)$, which together with (43) leads to

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di}}(x, p) \simeq W_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di,sc}}(x, p) = \frac{\hat{\nu}_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)}{2\pi\hbar \rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)} \Big|_{\epsilon=H(x, p)} \quad (44)$$

$$\simeq \int \frac{dx_0 dp_0 \delta(H(x, p) - H(x_0, p_0))}{2\pi\hbar \rho^{\text{sc}}(H(x, p))} W(x_0, p_0, 0)$$

where $\rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)$ is the semi-classical density of states. This is our result in the classical limit $\hbar \sim 1/N$. In the simplest case considered in [31] (i.e. $T = 0$ and a single orbit at each energy ϵ) one has $\rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon) = \frac{T(\epsilon)}{2\pi\hbar}$ where $T(\epsilon)$ is the period of the classical orbit at energy ϵ . Inserting $W(x_0, p_0, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(\mu - H_0(x_0, p_0))$, integrating over p_0 in (44), and putting all together [46], one recovers the large time limit result of [31] (obtained by a quite different method) valid in the classical case. Our formula (41) is thus a good candidate for the large time limit of the Wigner function in the quantum regime.

The GGE thermalization hypothesis [39, 40, 56] states that for local observables O , $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \langle O(t) \rangle = \text{Tr} \mathcal{D}_{\text{GGE}} O$, where the density matrix \mathcal{D}_{GGE} involves an extensive number of conserved quantities. For non-interacting fermions, as discussed in [31, 39], these are the occupation numbers $c_\ell^\dagger c_\ell$ of single particle energy levels ϵ_ℓ of H . The natural candidate is thus $\mathcal{D}_{\text{GGE}} = \frac{1}{Z_{\text{GGE}}} e^{\sum_\ell f_\ell c_\ell^\dagger c_\ell}$ where the f_ℓ are determined from the initial condition. One has $\langle c_\ell^\dagger c_\ell \rangle_{t=0} = \nu_\ell$, where the ν_ℓ are exactly the ones obtained in (40) [46]. Hence one finds $\langle c_\ell^\dagger c_\ell \rangle_{\text{GGE}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-f_\ell}} = \nu_\ell$. Thus we find that at the level of the single particle observables (the kernel and Wigner function) the result of the GGE is identical to the diagonal approximation.

What about the multi-point time dependent correlations? Since for any initial state equal to an eigenstate of \mathcal{H}_0 , labeled by a set $\mathbf{n}^0 = \{n_k^0\}$ of occupation numbers, $n_k^0 = 0, 1$, the time evolved state is determinantal, correlations in this state have the form

$$R_{m, \mathbf{n}^0}(x_1, \dots, x_m, t) = \frac{1}{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} n_k^0 \psi_k^*(x_i, t) \psi_k(x_j, t)$$

Expanding on the eigenstates of H now involves a sum over two sets of m -fermion eigenstates of \mathcal{H}_m . The DA restricts that sum to identical eigenstates in both sets, and one obtains [46] (after a grand canonical average)

$$R_m^{\text{di}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{1 \leq \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_p} |\det \phi_{\ell_i}(x_j)|^2 \det_{p \times p} \nu_{\ell_i, \ell_j} \quad (45)$$

where $\nu_{\ell, \ell'} = \langle \phi_\ell | (1 + e^{\beta(H_0 - \mu)})^{-1} | \phi_{\ell'} \rangle = \langle c_\ell^\dagger c_{\ell'} \rangle_{t=0}$. This DA for $p \geq 2$ differs from the prediction of the simplest GGE ansatz discussed above. Indeed, the latter predicts that the m -point correlation R_m is equal to the $m \times m$ determinant built from the kernel $K^{\text{GGE}} = K_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\text{di}}$ given in (40). Agreement $R_m^{\text{di}} = R_m^{\text{GGE}}$ would hold only if one could

neglect the off-diagonal terms in the determinant in (45), i.e. replace $\det_{p \times p} \nu_{\ell_i, \ell_j} \rightarrow \nu_{\ell_1} \dots \nu_{\ell_p}$, as implied by the Cauchy-Binet identity. This occurs in some models, see a bosonic example in [40] which has translational invariance and the GGE was shown to hold. Here, connecting R_m^{di} to the GGE (possibly in an inhomogeneous version involving local Lagrange multipliers $f_\ell(x)$) remains open.

In conclusion we studied the evolution of the Wigner function for noninteracting fermions in $d = 1$ after a quantum quench. At times such that the integrity of the Fermi surf is retained, we found that it is described by the same universal function as at equilibrium, although with a space time dependent width that we calculated. We obtained an exact candidate formula for the large time limit of the Wigner function for generic (e.g. non-harmonic) confining potentials, and showed that it agrees with the GGE. We calculated the diagonal approximation to the many point correlations. It would be interesting to explore $d > 1$ and detect these predictions in cold atom experiments.

Note added: at completion of this work we became aware of the recent work in [57] which studies a related problem.

* * *

We thank P. Calabrese for remarks and references, A. Borodin, B. Doyon, A. de Luca and M. Fagotti for discussions, and A. Krajenbrink for useful interactions at the initial stage of this work. We acknowledge support from ANR grant ANR-17-CE30-0027-01 RaMaTraF.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevski, S. Stringari, *Theory of ultracold Fermi gas*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1215 (2008).
- [2] Y. Castin, *Basic theory tools for degenerate Fermi gases in Ultra-cold Fermi Gases*, ed. by M. Inguscio, W. Ketterle, and C. Salomon, (2006), see also arXiv:0612613.
- [3] W. Kohn, A. E. Mattsson, *Edge electron gas*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** 3487 (1998).
- [4] V. Eisler, *Universality in the full counting statistics of trapped fermions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 080402 (2013).
- [5] R. Marino, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, P. Vivo, *Phase transitions and edge scaling of number variance in Gaussian random matrices*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 254101 (2014).
- [6] D. S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Finite-temperature free fermions and the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation at finite time*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 110402 (2015).
- [7] D. S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Universal ground state properties of free fermions in a d -dimensional trap*, Europhys. Lett. **112**, 60001 (2015)
- [8] D. S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Non-interacting fermions at finite temperature in a d -dimensional trap: universal correlations*, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 063622 (2016).
- [9] N. Allegra, J. Dubail, J.-M. Stéphan, J. Viti, *Inhomogeneous field theory inside the arctic circle*, J. Stat. Mech. 053108 (2016).
- [10] J. Dubail, J. M. Stephan, J. Viti, P. Calabrese, *Conformal field theory for inhomogeneous one-dimensional quantum systems: the example of non-interacting Fermi gases*, SciPost Physics **2**, 002 (2017).
- [11] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, W. Zwerger, *Many-body physics with ultracold gases*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885 (2008).
- [12] L. W. Cheuk, M. A. Nichols, M. Okan, T. Gersdorf, R. Vinay, W. Bakr, T. Lompe, M. Zwierlein, *Quantum-Gas Microscope for Fermionic Atoms*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 193001, (2015).
- [13] E. Haller, J. Hudson, A. Kelly, D. A. Cotta, B. Peaudecerf, G. D. Bruce, S. Kuhr, *Single-atom imaging of fermions in a quantum-gas microscope*, Nature Physics **11**, 738 (2015).
- [14] M. F. Parsons, F. Huber, A. Mazurenko, C. S. Chiu, W. Setiawan, K. Wooley-Brown, S. Blatt, M. Greiner, *Site-Resolved Imaging of Fermionic ^6Li in an Optical Lattice*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 213002 (2015).
- [15] A. Omran, M. Boll, T. A. Hilker, K. Kleinlein, G. Salomon, I. Bloch, C. Gross, *Microscopic observation of Pauli blocking in degenerate fermionic lattice gases*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 263001 (2015).
- [16] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 2nd ed. (New York: Academic), (1991).
- [17] P. J. Forrester, *Log-Gases and Random Matrices* (London Mathematical Society monographs, 2010).
- [18] P. J. Forrester, N. E. Frankel, T. M. Garoni, N. S. Witte, *Painlevé transcendent evaluations of finite system density matrices for 1d impenetrable bosons*, Commun. Math. Phys. **238**, 257 (2003).
- [19] B. Lacroix-A-Chez-Toine, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Statistics of fermions in a d -dimensional box near a hard wall*, EPL **120**, 10006 (2017).
- [20] F. D. Cunden, F. Mezzadri, N. O'Connell, *Free fermions and the classical compact groups*, J. Stat. Phys. **171**, 768 (2018).
- [21] K. Liechty, D. Wang, *Asymptotics of free fermions in a quadratic well at finite temperature and the Moshe-Neuberger-Shapiro random matrix model*, preprint arXiv:1706.06653.
- [22] B. Lacroix-A-Chez-Toine, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Non-interacting fermions in hard-edge potentials*, J. Stat. Mech. 123103 (2018).
- [23] A. Scardicchio, C. E. Zachary, S. Torquato, *Statistical properties of determinantal point processes in high-dimensional Euclidean spaces*, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 041108 (2009).
- [24] J.-M. Stéphan, *Free fermions at the edge of interacting systems*, preprint arXiv:1901.02770.
- [25] P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Periodic Airy process and equilibrium dynamics of edge fermions in a trap*, Ann. Phys. **383**, 312 (2017).
- [26] E. Quinn, M. Haque, *Modulated trapping of interacting bosons in one dimension*, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 053609 (2014).
- [27] A. Minguzzi, D. M. Gangardt, *Exact coherent states of a harmonically confined Tonks-Girardeau gas*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 240404 (2005).
- [28] M. Collura, M. Kormos, P. Calabrese, *Quantum quench in a harmonically trapped one-dimensional Bose gas*, Phys. Rev. A **97**, 033609 (2018).
- [29] M. Collura, S. Sotiriadis and P. Calabrese, *Equilibration of a tonks-girardeau gas following a trap release*, Phys.

- Rev. Lett. **110**, 245301 (2013), and Quench dynamics of a tonks-girardeau gas released from a harmonic trap, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2013**(09), P09025 (2013).
- [30] Y. Y. Atas, D. M. Gangardt, I. Bouchoule, K. V. Kheruntsyan, *Exact nonequilibrium dynamics of finite-temperature Tonks-Girardeau gases*, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 043622 (2017).
- [31] M. Kulkarni, G. Mandal, T. Morita, *Quantum quench and thermalization of one-dimensional Fermi gas via phase-space hydrodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 043610 (2018).
- [32] E. Bettelheim, P. B. Wiegmann, *Universal Fermi distribution of semiclassical nonequilibrium Fermi states*, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 085102 (2011).
- [33] E. Bettelheim, L. Glazman, *Quantum ripples over a semiclassical shock*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 260602 (2012).
- [34] W. B. Case, *Wigner functions and Weyl transforms for pedestrians*, *Am. J. Phys.* **76**, 937 (2008).
- [35] N. L. Balazs, G. G. Jr. Zipfel, *Quantum oscillations in the semiclassical fermion μ -space density*, *Ann. Phys.* **77**, 139 (1973).
- [36] N. L. Balazs, G. G. Jr. Zipfel, *The semiclassical fermion μ -space density in three dimensions*, *J. Math. Phys.* **15**, 2086 (1974).
- [37] D. S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Wigner function of noninteracting trapped fermions*, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 063614 (2018).
- [38] C. A. Tracy, H. Widom, *Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **159**, 151 (1994).
- [39] For a review see F. H. Essler, M. Fagotti, *Quench dynamics and relaxation in isolated integrable quantum spin chains*, *J. Stat. Mech.* 064002 (2016).
- [40] S. Sotiriadis, P. Calabrese, *Validity of the GGE for quantum quenches from interacting to noninteracting models*, *J. Stat. Mech.* 07024 (2014).
- [41] See e.g. K. Johansson, *Random matrices and determinantal processes*, in *Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School 2005* (A. Bovier, F. Dunlop, A. van Enter, F. den Hollander, and J. Dalibard, eds.), Elsevier Science, (2006); [arXiv:math-ph/0510038](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0510038).
- [42] A. Borodin, *Determinantal point processes*, in *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, G. Akemann, J. Baik, P. Di Francesco (Eds.), Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).
- [43] A. Dhar, G. Mandal, and S. R. Wadia, *Classical Fermi fluid and geometric action for $c = 1$* , *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **8**, 325 (1993) and [hep-th/9204028](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9204028); A. Dhar, G. Mandal, and S. R. Wadia, *Nonrelativistic fermions, coadjoint orbits of $W(\infty)$ and string field theory at $c = 1$* , *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **7**, 3129 (1992) and [\[hep-th/9207011\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9207011); A. Dhar, G. Mandal, and S. R. Wadia, *$W(\infty)$ coherent states and path integral derivation of bosonization of nonrelativistic fermions in one-dimension*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **8**, 3557 (1993) and [\[hep-th/9309028\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9309028).
- [44] V. S. Popov, A. M. Perelomov, *Parametric excitation of a quantum oscillator II*, *JETP* **30**, 910 (1969).
- [45] V. Gritsev, P. Barmettler, E. Demler, *Scaling approach to quantum non-equilibrium dynamics of many-body systems*, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 113005 (2010).
- [46] D. S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Supplementary Material*.
- [47] H. Kanasugi, H. Okada, *Systematic treatment of general time-dependent harmonic oscillator in classical and quantum mechanics*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **93**, 949 (1995).
- [48] It is also the derivative of the eikonal equation for the semi-classical action (with $p = \partial_x S$), see [\[46\]](#).
- [49] M. V. Berry, *Semi-classical mechanics in phase space: a study of Wigner's function*, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* **287**, 237 (1977).
- [50] M. V. Berry, N. L. Balazs, *Evolution of semiclassical quantum states in phase space*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **12**, 625 (1979).
- [51] S. Filippas, G. N. Makrakis, *Semiclassical Wigner function and geometrical optics*, *Multiscale Model. Sim.* , 674 (2003).
- [52] S. Filippas, G. N. Makrakis, *On the evolution of the semiclassical Wigner function in higher dimensions*, *Eur. J. Appl. Math.* **17**, 33 (2006).
- [53] P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Multicritical edge statistics for the momenta of fermions in non-harmonic traps*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 030603 (2018).
- [54] Also equal to the expectation $\langle 0|Tc_{xt}^+c_{yt}|0\rangle$ (in second quantized notations).
- [55] At $T = 0$ the relation between N and μ is through $2\pi\hbar N = \int dx dp \theta(\mu - \frac{p^2}{2} - V_0(x))$, leading to $\mu \sim (N\hbar)^{\frac{2p}{p+2}}$ for a potential $V_0(x) \sim x^p$. More generally $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ with fixed $N\hbar$ corresponds to fixed μ , in which case $e_N \sim \hbar^{2/3}$.
- [56] C. Murthy, M. Srednicki, *On relaxation to gaussian and generalized gibbs states in systems of particles with quadratic hamiltonians*, [arXiv:1809.03681](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.03681).
- [57] P. Ruggiero, Y. Brun, J. Dubail, *Conformal field theory on top of a breathing one-dimensional gas of hard core bosons*, preprint [arXiv:1901.08132](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.08132).

Supplemental material for "Nonequilibrium dynamics of noninteracting fermions in traps"

David S. Dean

Univ. Bordeaux and CNRS, Laboratoire Ondes et Matière d'Aquitaine (LOMA), UMR 5798, F-33400 Talence, France

Pierre Le Doussal

*Laboratoire de Physique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, PSL University,
CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France*

Satya N. Majumdar and Grégory Schehr

LPTMS, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France

(Dated: March 19, 2019)

CONTENTS

I. Model and dynamical determinantal structure	2
A. Quench from zero temperature	2
B. Quench from finite temperature	3
II. Dynamics for the harmonic oscillator	5
A. The rescaling method	5
1. Harmonic oscillator initial condition	5
2. General initial condition	7
B. Half-oscillator initial condition	9
III. Harmonic oscillator in presence of $1/x^2$ potential	12
IV. Generic smooth confining potentials	13
A. Classical evolution: Liouville equation	13
B. Quantum corrections: width of the Fermi surf	15
V. Evolution and scaling form of the finite temperature Wigner function	18
VI. Multi-point correlations	19
A. Determinantal structure of correlations and Eynard-Mehta theorem	19
B. Bulk and edge two time correlations and extended kernels	21
VII. Large time limit	22
A. Derivation of Eq. (41) of the text	23
B. Classical limit	23
C. Diagonal ensemble and m -point correlations	26
References	27

I. MODEL AND DYNAMICAL DETERMINANTAL STRUCTURE

A. Quench from zero temperature

We consider the real time quantum dynamics of N noninteracting identical fermions evolving in $d = 1$ dimensions with the many body Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N H(x_i, p_i)$. The system is initially prepared at $t = 0$ in the ground state of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N H_0(x_i, p_i)$. We restrict our study to the following choice for the single particle Hamiltonians

$$H_0(x, p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V_0(x) \quad , \quad H(x, p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x) . \quad (1)$$

For simplicity, we work here in units where the mass $m = 1$. More generally, we will also consider the evolution under a time dependent $H(t)$, i.e. (1) with $V(x) \rightarrow V(x, t)$. We will work in term of the eigenstates and eigenenergies of H and H_0 which we denote by

$$H_0|\phi_k^0\rangle = \epsilon_k^0|\phi_k^0\rangle \quad , \quad \phi_k^0(x) = \langle x|\phi_k^0\rangle \quad , \quad H|\phi_\ell\rangle = \epsilon_\ell|\phi_\ell\rangle \quad , \quad \phi_\ell(x) = \langle x|\phi_\ell\rangle . \quad (2)$$

The potentials $V(x)$ and $V_0(x)$ are generally assumed to be confining. In this case the spectra of both H_0 and H are discrete and the eigenstates are non-degenerate. We label them using integers k and ℓ in increasing order $\epsilon_1^0 < \epsilon_2^0 < \dots < \epsilon_k^0 < \dots$ and $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \dots < \epsilon_\ell < \dots$. The initial state, i.e. the ground state of \mathcal{H}_0 , take the form of a Slater determinant

$$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; t = 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \phi_i^0(x_j) . \quad (3)$$

We denote by μ the Fermi energy, i.e. the energy ϵ_N^0 of the highest occupied single particle state. The Slater determinant property (sometimes called ‘‘Gaussian state’’ in the quantum quench literature) is preserved under time evolution. We define by $\psi_k(x, t)$ the solutions of $i\hbar\partial_t\psi = H\psi$ with initial condition $\psi_k(x, t = 0) = \phi_k^0(x)$. The time evolved wave function is thus

$$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \psi_i(x_j, t) . \quad (4)$$

We are interested in the quantum probability density $|\Psi|^2$ which can be written in terms of the time dependent kernel $K_\mu(x, x', t)$ via

$$|\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; t)|^2 = \frac{1}{N!} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} K_\mu(x_i, x_j, t) \quad , \quad K_\mu(x, x', t) = \sum_{k=1}^N \psi_k^*(x, t)\psi_k(x', t) . \quad (5)$$

Note that the $\psi_k(x, t)$ form an orthonormal basis for all t since the Schrödinger unitary evolution conserves all scalar products. Consequently, the kernel is reproducing, i.e. $\int dx' K_\mu(x, x', t)K_\mu(x', x'', t) = K_\mu(x, x'', t)$ and satisfies $\text{Tr}K_\mu = \int dx K_\mu(x, x) = N$. Hence $|\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; t)|^2$ is the joint probability distribution function (JPDF) of a determinantal point process [1, 2]. As a consequence one can show that the m -point correlation functions can be written as determinants

$$R_m(x_1, \dots, x_m, t) = \frac{N!}{(N-m)!} \int dx_{m+1} \dots dx_N |\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; t)|^2 = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} K_\mu(x_i, x_j, t) \quad (6)$$

The m -point time-dependent density-density correlation functions can be obtained easily from these R_m functions [3]. For instance $R_1(x, t) = N\rho_N(x, t)$ where $\rho_N(x, t)$ is the (time-dependent) average density.

Taking time derivative of $K_\mu(x, x', t)$ defined in Eq. (5) and using the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for $\psi_k(x, t)$, it is easy to obtain the equation (10) of the text

$$\partial_t K_\mu = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} (\partial_x^2 - \partial_{x'}^2) K_\mu + \frac{i}{\hbar} (V(x) - V(x')) K_\mu . \quad (7)$$

In addition, one can also express formally the time-dependent kernel in terms of the quantum Euclidean propagator, defined through

$$G(x, x', \tau) = \langle x|e^{-\tau H/\hbar}|x'\rangle \quad , \quad \hbar\partial_\tau G(x, x', \tau) = -H_x G(x, x', \tau) \quad , \quad G(x, x', 0) = \delta(x - x') , \quad (8)$$

where $H_x = H(x, \frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_x)$. Using $\psi_k(x, t) = \int dx' \langle x | e^{-iHt/\hbar} | x' \rangle \phi_k^0(x')$, we can write

$$K_\mu(x, x', t) = \int dy dy' G(x, y, -it) K_\mu(y, y', 0) G(x', y', it) \quad , \quad K_\mu(x, x', 0) = \int_C \frac{d\tau}{2i\pi\tau} e^{\mu\tau/\hbar} G(x, x', \tau) \quad (9)$$

where, in the second equality we have expressed the equilibrium kernel using the Euclidean propagator as shown in [3]. Taking time derivatives we see that the kernel obeys the same evolution equation as in (7).

The N body zero temperature Wigner function, defined in Eq. (8) in the main text, can be written as a Fourier transform of the Kernel K_μ as in Eq. (9) of the main text, this was shown in the Appendix A of Ref. [4]. It is convenient to re-express Eq. (9) of the main text, upon replacing x by X , as

$$W(X, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY e^{i p Y/\hbar} K_\mu(X + Y/2, X - Y/2, t), \quad (10)$$

where $K_\mu(x, x', t)$ evolves via Eq. (7). We make the change of variables, $x = X + Y/2$ and $x' = X - Y/2$, and consequently $\partial_x^2 - \partial_{x'}^2 = 2\partial_X\partial_Y$. Taking the time-derivative of Eq. (10), and using Eq. (7), one obtains

$$\partial_t W(X, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY e^{i p Y/\hbar} \left[-i\hbar \partial_X \partial_Y K_\mu + \frac{i}{\hbar} (V(X + Y/2) - V(X - Y/2)) K_\mu \right], \quad (11)$$

where $K_\mu \equiv K_\mu(X + Y/2, X - Y/2, t)$. The first term on the right hand side (rhs) of Eq. (11) can be evaluated using integration by parts. In the second term (involving V 's), we first formally expand $V(X + Y/2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V^{(n)}(X) (Y/2)^n / n!$ with $V^{(n)}(x) = d^n V(x) / dx^n$ being the n -th derivative. Each term can then be re-expressed as derivatives with respect to p and the series can then be resummed. After straightforward algebra, this then leads to the evolution equation for $W(X, p, t)$

$$\partial_t W(X, p, t) = -p \partial_X W(X, p, t) + \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(V(X - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \partial_p) - V(X + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \partial_p) \right) W(X, p, t). \quad (12)$$

This is precisely Eq. (11) of the main text (with X replacing x). This evolution equation for the Wigner function, which we call the W -equation, is valid for arbitrary N .

B. Quench from finite temperature

To consider a finite temperature initial state, i.e. described by an initial density matrix, we need to consider the evolution of an arbitrary eigenstate of \mathcal{H}_0 . These states are denoted by $|\mathbf{n}_0\rangle$ and are indexed by a set of occupation numbers, introduced in the text, $\mathbf{n}_0 = \{n_k^0\}_{k \geq 1}$ with $n_k^0 \in \{0, 1\}$, with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k^0 = N$. In fact $|\mathbf{n}_0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \mathcal{H}_0 with eigenvalue $\sum_{k \geq 1} n_k^0 \epsilon_k^0$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}_0 |\mathbf{n}_0\rangle = \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} n_k^0 \epsilon_k^0 \right) |\mathbf{n}_0\rangle. \quad (13)$$

Under time evolution they become $|\mathbf{n}_0, t\rangle = e^{-i\mathcal{H}t/\hbar} |\mathbf{n}_0\rangle$ and read

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_N | \mathbf{n}_0, t \rangle = \Psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(x_1, \dots, x_N; t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \psi_{k_i}(x_j, t) \quad (14)$$

with $|\mathbf{n}_0, t = 0\rangle = |\mathbf{n}_0\rangle$. Here $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_N$ denote the occupied states, i.e. $n_k^0 = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{k, k_i}$. Hence for each of these states the quantum probability corresponds to a distinct determinantal process based on a kernel $K(x, x', t; \mathbf{n}_0)$

$$|\Psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(x_1, \dots, x_N; t)|^2 = \frac{1}{N!} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} K(x_i, x_j, t; \mathbf{n}_0) \quad , \quad K(x, x', t; \mathbf{n}_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} n_k^0 \psi_k^*(x, t) \psi_k(x', t) \quad (15)$$

Since each kernel $K(x, x', t; \mathbf{n}_0)$ is reproducing for any set \mathbf{n}_0 (since $n_k^2 = n_k$) with trace equal to N , it implies that the correlations in that state are determinantal

$$R_{m, \mathbf{n}_0}(x_1, \dots, x_m, t) = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} K(x_i, x_j, t; \mathbf{n}_0), \quad (16)$$

and which is zero if $m > \sum_{k \geq 1} n_k^0$.

As discussed in [3] for the equilibrium problem, i.e. for $t = 0$ in our case, to study finite temperature $T = 1/\beta > 0$, it is more convenient to work in the grand canonical (GC) ensemble, at chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}$ (equal to the Fermi energy μ at $T = 0$), where the total number of fermions can fluctuate. This is because in the canonical ensemble (fixed N) the correlations are *not* determinantal. By contrast these correlations (defined below) are determinantal in the GC ensemble [5]. In the large N limit we expect that for local observables the two ensembles are equivalent (see also the discussion in [3, 6]).

The grand canonical measure amounts to attributing a weight to each eigenstate of \mathcal{H}_0 , i.e. to each $|\mathbf{n}_0\rangle$. This weight has a simple product form and the corresponding equilibrium partition sum and initial many body density matrix $\mathcal{D}_{\beta, \tilde{\mu}}(t = 0)$ are defined as

$$Z_{\beta, \tilde{\mu}} = \sum_N e^{\beta \tilde{\mu} N} Z_{\beta, N} \quad , \quad \mathcal{D}_{\beta, \tilde{\mu}}(t = 0) = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta, \tilde{\mu}}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}_0} e^{-\beta(\sum_k \epsilon_k^0 - \tilde{\mu})n_k^0} |\mathbf{n}_0\rangle \langle \mathbf{n}_0| \quad (17)$$

where $Z_{\beta, N}$ is the canonical partition sum $Z_{\beta, N} = \sum_{\mathbf{n}_0} \delta_{\sum_k n_k^0, N} e^{-\beta \sum_k \epsilon_k^0 n_k^0}$. Hence the grand canonical measure amounts to attributing a weight $p_N = e^{\beta \tilde{\mu} N} Z_{\beta, N} / Z_{\beta, \tilde{\mu}}$ for having N particles in the system at canonical equilibrium. Under time evolution, the GC density matrix becomes $\mathcal{D}_{\beta, \tilde{\mu}}(t)$ obtained from $\mathcal{D}_{\beta, \tilde{\mu}}(t = 0)$ by replacing $|\mathbf{n}_0\rangle \langle \mathbf{n}_0| \rightarrow |\mathbf{n}_0, t\rangle \langle \mathbf{n}_0, t|$.

The natural definition of the correlations in the GC ensemble is obtained by averaging the correlation in each state $|\mathbf{n}_0, t\rangle$ over the GC measure (denoted here and what follows by an overbar)

$$R_m(x_1, \dots, x_m, t) = \overline{R_{m, \mathbf{n}_0}(x_1, \dots, x_m, t)} := \frac{1}{Z_{\beta, \tilde{\mu}}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}_0} e^{-\beta(\sum_k \epsilon_k^0 - \tilde{\mu})n_k^0} R_{m, \mathbf{n}_0}(x_1, \dots, x_m, t) \quad (18)$$

Using the property that the n_k^0 are independent Bernoulli random variables in the GC measure, we obtain

$$R_m(x_1, \dots, x_m, t) = \overline{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} n_k^0 \phi_k^{0*}(x_i) \phi_k^0(x_j)} = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x_i, x_j, t) \quad (19)$$

where the time-dependent GC kernel, and its equilibrium initial conditions are given by

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, x', t) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \bar{n}_k^0 \psi_k^*(x, t) \psi_k(x', t) \quad , \quad K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, x', t = 0) = \sum_k \bar{n}_k^0 \phi_k^{0*}(x_i) \phi_k^0(x_j) \quad (20)$$

Here the $\bar{n}_k^0 \equiv \overline{n_k^0}$ are the usual GC averaged occupation number (i.e n_k^0 averaged over the Bernoulli distribution)

$$\bar{n}_k^0 = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(\epsilon_k^0 - \tilde{\mu})}} \quad , \quad \sum_k \bar{n}_k^0 = \bar{N}, \quad (21)$$

where the second relation gives \bar{N} , the mean number of fermions, as a function of the chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}$.

It is important to note that $K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, x', t)$ satisfies exactly the same evolution equation (10) in the text as $K_{\mu}(x, x', t)$, the zero temperature kernel, the only difference being the initial condition. Similarly, one defines the finite temperature Wigner function in the GC ensemble, see Section 3 in [4]

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta, \tilde{\mu}}} \sum_N \frac{N}{2\pi\hbar} e^{\beta \tilde{\mu} N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy dx_2 \dots dx_N e^{ipy/\hbar} \langle x + \frac{y}{2}, x_2, \dots, x_N | \mathcal{D}_{\beta, N}^c(t) | x - \frac{y}{2}, x_2, \dots, x_N \rangle \quad (22)$$

where the canonical density matrix and partition function are defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\beta, N}^c(t) = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta, N}^c} \sum_{\mathbf{n}_0} \delta_{N, \sum_k n_k^0} e^{-\beta \sum_k \epsilon_k^0 n_k^0} |\mathbf{n}_0, t\rangle \langle \mathbf{n}_0, t| \quad , \quad Z_{\beta, N}^c = \sum_{\mathbf{n}_0} \delta_{N, \sum_k n_k^0} e^{-\beta \sum_k \epsilon_k^0 n_k^0} \quad (23)$$

As shown in [4] (see Appendix A) it is related to

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{\frac{ipy}{\hbar}} K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x + \frac{y}{2}, x - \frac{y}{2}, t) \quad (24)$$

and thus satisfies exactly the same evolution equation (11) in the text, although with a different initial condition as T and $\tilde{\mu}$ are varied.

II. DYNAMICS FOR THE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

In this section we study the dynamics of a single particle in a time dependent harmonic potential, with Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(t)^2 x^2 \quad (25)$$

for various initial conditions.

A. The rescaling method

The rescaling method [7] compares the evolution with two different single particle Hamiltonians: (i) the time dependent oscillator $H(t)$ defined in (25) and (ii) the fixed frequency oscillator of Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_1^2 x^2 \quad (26)$$

Let us denote by $\varphi(x, t)$ the solution of $i\hbar\partial_t\varphi = H(t)\varphi$ with an arbitrary initial condition $\varphi(x, t=0) = \varphi_0(x)$, and $\varphi_1(x, t)$ the solution of $i\hbar\partial_t\varphi_1 = H_1\varphi_1$ with the same initial condition $\varphi_1(x, t=0) = \varphi_0(x)$. Then one has the relation valid at all times $t \geq 0$

$$\varphi(x, t) = e^{i\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar}x^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L(t)}} \varphi_1\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}, \tau(t)\right) \quad (27)$$

where $\tau'(t) = 1/L(t)^2$ and $L(t)$ satisfies Ermakov's equation [8]

$$\partial_t^2 L(t) + \omega(t)^2 L(t) = \frac{\omega_1^2}{L(t)^3} \quad (28)$$

with $L(0) = 1$, $L'(0) = 0$. Let us recall that the general solution of the Ermakov equation has the form [9]

$$L(t) = \pm \sqrt{Ax_1(t)^2 + 2Bx_1(t)x_2(t) + Cx_2(t)^2} \quad , \quad AC - B^2 = \omega_1^2 \quad (29)$$

where $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ are two independent solutions of the time dependent harmonic oscillator $\partial_t^2 x_i(t) + \omega(t)^2 x_i(t) = 0$. Let us recall that if $x_1(t)$ is a solution of the time dependent harmonic oscillator, then $x_2(t) = x_1(t) \int^t dt' / x_1(t')^2$ is also a solution of the time dependent harmonic oscillator.

There are two interesting choices for ω_1 to apply this rescaling method. The first one is to choose $\omega_1 = \omega_0$ which directly leads to the solution given in the text for an initial state at equilibrium described by the Hamiltonian $H_0 = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2$ as we now explain. The second choice, $\omega_1 = 0$, corresponding to free evolution, allows the treatment of more general initial conditions and is discussed later below.

1. Harmonic oscillator initial condition

Let us choose first $\omega_1 = \omega_0$ and focus on the evolution of the k -th eigenstate $\phi_k(x, t)$, starting from the initial condition $\phi_k(x, t=0) = \phi_k^0(x)$. In this special case, $\varphi_1(x, t)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\partial_t\varphi_1 = H_0\varphi_1$ whose solution is

$$\varphi_1(x, t) = \phi_k^0(x) e^{-i\frac{\epsilon_k^0 t}{\hbar}} \quad (30)$$

is actually independent of time as this is an eigenstate of $H_1 = H_0$. Plugging this solution (30) in the rhs of Eq. (27) and replacing t by $\tau(t)$ leads to Eq. (15) of the main text. The rescaling method extends also to many body Hamiltonian, as discussed in [10]. To see it here for free fermion, we simply insert Eq. (15) of the text in the expression of the kernel valid for arbitrary N

$$K_{\bar{\mu}}(x, x', t) = \sum_k \bar{n}_k^0 \psi_k^*(x, t) \psi_k^*(x', t) = \frac{e^{i\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar}(x'^2 - x^2)}}{L(t)} \sum_k \bar{n}_k^0 \phi_k^0\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}\right) \phi_k^0\left(\frac{x'}{L(t)}\right) = \frac{e^{i\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar}(x'^2 - x^2)}}{L(t)} K_{\bar{\mu}}\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}, \frac{x'}{L(t)}, 0\right) \quad (31)$$

which holds for any initial state at equilibrium at any arbitrary temperature T , with at $T = 0$, $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$, and $\bar{n}_k^0 = \theta(\mu - \epsilon_k^0)$. This leads to Eq. (17) in the text. A similar result was obtained in [11] together with the same scaling formula for hard core bosons in the Tonks Girardeau limit. There it applies to the one body density matrix [11, 12], which identifies with our kernel only at coinciding points. The density is the same in both models.

It is important to note that the evolution equations (10) and (11) in the text, for the kernel and the Wigner function respectively remain valid for a time dependent potential with $V(x) \rightarrow V(x, t)$. We can thus check explicitly that the kernel given by Eq. (17) in the text obeys the evolution equation (10) in the text with $V(x) \rightarrow V(x, t)$. To perform this check, one must use the fact that the equilibrium kernel $K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, x', t = 0)$ satisfies the same equation (10) in the text with the term $\partial_t K_{\tilde{\mu}}$ set to zero, and $V(x) \rightarrow V_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2$.

Since here the initial condition is a harmonic oscillator, we know that the initial density $\rho_N(x, t = 0)$ for large N is given at $T = 0$ by the semi-circle in Eq. (1) of the text, with $x_e = \sqrt{2\mu}/\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\omega_0}}\sqrt{2N}$. The time evolution of the density is thus quite simple and given at all times by a semi-circle as in Eq. (1) of the text with a moving edge $x_e(t) = L(t)x_e$. In the bulk the time-dependent kernel at the scale of the inter-particle distance and at $T = 0$ retains the form of the the sine kernel which holds at equilibrium

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, x', t) \simeq \frac{1}{\xi_{x,t}} \mathcal{K}^{\text{bulk}}\left(\frac{x - x'}{\xi_{x,t}}\right) \quad , \quad \mathcal{K}^{\text{bulk}}(z) = \frac{\sin 2z}{\pi z} \quad (32)$$

with the width $\xi_{x,t} = 2\hbar L(t)^2/(\omega_0 \sqrt{x_e(t)^2 - x^2}) \sim N^{-1/2}$. The same formula holds for a finite temperature quench, in the regime $T \sim N$, with $\mathcal{K}^{\text{bulk}}(z) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_y^{\text{bulk}}(z)$ given by Eq. (118) in [3] with $y = \mu/T$. Near the moving edge for $x \simeq x_e(t)$ the kernel takes the scaling form given by the Airy kernel

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, t; x', t') \simeq \frac{1}{w_N(t)} \mathcal{K}^{\text{edge}}\left(\frac{x - x_e(t)}{w_N(t)}, \frac{x' - x_e(t)}{w_N(t)}\right) \quad , \quad \mathcal{K}^{\text{edge}}(z, z') = \int_0^{+\infty} du \text{Ai}(z + u) \text{Ai}(z' + u) \quad (33)$$

with a time dependent width $w_N(t) = w_N L(t)$ and $w_N = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_0}} N^{-1/6} \sim \mu^{-1/6}$. Taken at coinciding points, it yields the formula (19) in the text for the form of the density around the edge. The same scaling form (33) holds at finite temperature in the regime $T \sim N^{1/3}$ with $\mathcal{K}^{\text{edge}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_b^{\text{edge}}$ given in Eq. (132) in [3] with $b = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{T} N^{1/3}$.

Once the kernel is known, one can compute the Wigner function from Eq. (9) in the text. For any N one has

$$\begin{aligned} W(x, p, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dy e^{ipy/\hbar} e^{-i\frac{L'(t)}{L(t)\hbar}xy} \frac{1}{L(t)} K\left(\frac{x}{L(t)} + \frac{y}{2L(t)}, \frac{x}{L(t)} - \frac{y}{2L(t)}, 0\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dY e^{i(pL(t) - iL'(t)x)Y/\hbar} K\left(\frac{x}{L(t)} + \frac{Y}{2}, \frac{x}{L(t)} - \frac{Y}{2}, 0\right) = W\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}, pL(t) - L'(t)x, 0\right) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where $Y = y/L(t)$. This leads to the formula (18) in the text. The initial condition $W(x, p, 0)$ is known exactly as a sum over Laguerre polynomials L_k [4]

$$W(x, p, 0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_k \bar{n}_k^0 (-1)^k L_k(2r^2) e^{-r^2} \quad , \quad r^2 = \frac{\omega_0^2 x^2 + p^2}{\hbar\omega_0} \quad , \quad \bar{n}_k^0 = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(\hbar\omega(k+\frac{1}{2}) - \tilde{\mu})}} \quad (35)$$

At $T = 0$ the sum is from $k = 1$ to $k = N$ with $\bar{n}_k^0 = 1$. From this formula one shows that at large N the Wigner function takes the form given in Eqs. (3) and (4) in the text at $T = 0$ (bulk and edge) and in Eq. (33) and (34) at finite T (bulk and edge).

If one writes the last term in (34) using the formula (35), the variable r^2 becomes $r^2 = p^2 B(t)^2 + A(t)(x - x_1)^2$, with $A = L'(t)^2 + \frac{\omega_0^2}{L(t)^2}$ and $x_1 = \frac{pL(t)^3 L'(t)}{L(t)^2 L'(t)^2 + \omega_0^2}$. Integrating over x one thus finds that the density in momentum space, $\bar{\rho}_N(p, t)$, is also given by a change of scale of its initial form, although the scale factor $B(t)$ is more involved

$$\bar{\rho}_N(p, t) = \int dx W(x, p, t) = B(t) \bar{\rho}_N(B(t)p, t = 0) \quad , \quad B(t) = \frac{L(t)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{L(t)^2 L'(t)^2}{\omega_0^2}}} \quad (36)$$

where $\bar{\rho}_N(p, t = 0)$ is a semi-circle QuinnHaque2014. Hence the momentum density retains a a semi-circle form.

The simplest case is when H is a time independent oscillator, $\omega(t) = \omega$. The solution of the Ermakov equation is then $L(t) = x_e(t)/x_e$ where $x_e(t)$ is given formula (14) in the text and $x_e = \sqrt{2\mu/\omega_0}$. One can check in this case that the formula (34), i.e. (18) in the text, for the Wigner function, coincides with Eq. (13) in the text obtained by transporting the Wigner function along the classical trajectories. With this in mind one should note, that for the harmonic oscillator H_0 , the Wigner function from (35) depends only on the combination r^2 (total energy), and one can verify explicitly that $(\frac{x}{L(t)})^2\omega_0^2 + (pL(t) - L'(t)x)^2$ is indeed exactly equal to $(p \cos(\omega t) + \omega X \sin(\omega t))^2 + \omega_0^2(x \cos(\omega t) - \frac{p}{\omega} \sin(\omega t))^2$ using $L(t) = x_e(t)/x_e$ given in Eq. (14) of the text.

Note that the results of this section are valid for any choice of the \bar{n}_k^0 , i.e. they extend to the finite temperature quench. They are also valid if the initial state is any N body eigenstate $|\mathbf{n}_0\rangle$ of the HO.

Finally we note that one can also treat, in a similar way, the case of an applied constant linear potential $E(t)x$, i.e. the evolution Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(t)^2x^2 + E(t)x \quad (37)$$

The equation (27) is replaced by

$$\varphi(x, t) = e^{i\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar}x^2 + \frac{i}{\hbar}x(a'(t) - \frac{a(t)L'(t)}{L(t)}) + \frac{i}{\hbar}F(t)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L(t)}}\varphi_1\left(\frac{x - a(t)}{L(t)}, \tau(t)\right) \quad (38)$$

where $L(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ are given by the same equations as for $E(t) = 0$. There is a shift of the center $a(t)$, which satisfies the classical equation of motion

$$a''(t) + \omega(t)^2a(t) + E(t) = 0 \quad (39)$$

with $a(t) = 0$ and $a'(t) = 0$. The additional phase factor $F(t)$ is determined from $F'(t) = \frac{a(t)a'(t)L'(t)}{L(t)} - \frac{1}{2}a'(t)^2 - \frac{a(t)^2L'(t)^2}{2L(t)^2} + \frac{\omega_0^2a(t)^2}{2L(t)^4}$ with $F(0) = 0$.

The above results (31), (32) and (33) immediately generalize to this case upon the shift $x \rightarrow x - a(t)$ in all the spatial arguments and a modified phase factor. One can also generalize the result Eq. (18) of the text for the Wigner function. The solution now reads

$$W(x, p, t) = W_0\left(\frac{x - a(t)}{L(t)}, (p - a'(t))L(t) - (x - a(t))L'(t)\right) \quad (40)$$

This is obtained either from the kernel, or alternatively (and more simply) by substituting (40) into the (time dependent version of the) Wigner equation (11) in the text, which reads in this case

$$\partial_t W = -p\partial_x W + (\omega(t)^2x + E(t))\partial_p W. \quad (41)$$

Using that the initial condition W_0 obeys the Wigner equation for the ω_0 harmonic oscillator, i.e. $0 = -p\partial_x W_0 + \omega_0^2x\partial_p W_0$, as well as Ermakov's equation for $L(t)$, i.e. Eq. (16) in the text, and Eq. (39) for $a(t)$, one can check directly that indeed (40) is the correct solution.

2. General initial condition

A second way to apply the rescaling method is to choose $\omega_1 = 0$. In this case the evolution under H_1 is simply a free evolution and is straightforward to solve. One obtains now

$$K_{\bar{\mu}}(x, x', t) = e^{i\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar}((x')^2 - x^2)} \frac{1}{L(t)} K_{\bar{\mu}}^{\text{free}}\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}, \frac{x'}{L(t)}, \tau(t)\right) \quad (42)$$

where $K_{\bar{\mu}}^{\text{free}}(z, z', \tau)$ is the time-dependent kernel associated to the free evolution (under $H_1 = \frac{p^2}{2}$) with initial condition $K_{\bar{\mu}}^{\text{free}}(z, z', 0) = K_{\bar{\mu}}(z, z', 0)$ which here can be chosen arbitrarily. Note that the equation which determines $L(t)$ is now the linear oscillator equation

$$\partial_t^2 L(t) + \omega(t)^2 L(t) = 0 \quad , \quad \tau'(t) = 1/L(t)^2 \quad (43)$$

To obtain $K_{\bar{\mu}}^{\text{free}}$ it is easier to consider its associated Wigner function W^{free} (by Fourier transform according to equation (9) in the text). The latter evolves according to Eq. (12) with $V(x) = 0$, i.e. $\partial_t W^{\text{free}} = -p\partial_x W^{\text{free}}$ which leads to

$$W^{\text{free}}(x, p, \tau) = W(x - p\tau, p, 0) \quad (44)$$

Using the inverse Fourier relation to equation (9) in the text, we obtain

$$K_{\bar{\mu}}(x, x', t) = \int dp e^{-ip(x-x')/\hbar} W\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}, p, t\right) \quad (45)$$

Applying this equation to obtain K^{free} from W^{free} and inserting into (42), we obtain the kernel at time t in terms of the initial Wigner function as

$$K_{\bar{\mu}}(x, x', t) = e^{i\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar}((x')^2 - x^2)} \frac{1}{L(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp e^{-ip\frac{x-x'}{\hbar L(t)}} W\left(\frac{x+x'}{2L(t)} - p\tau(t), p, 0\right), \quad (46)$$

which is valid for an arbitrary initial condition.

It is interesting to note that the formula for the corresponding Wigner function is simpler. Indeed, Fourier transforming $K_{\bar{\mu}}(x, x', t)$ according to Eq. (9) in the text, and integrating over y and p , we obtain the solution for the evolution under the $\omega(t)$ -oscillator starting from an arbitrary initial condition as

$$W(x, p, t) = W\left(x\left(\frac{1}{L(t)} + \tau(t)L'(t)\right) - \tau(t)L(t)p, L(t)p - L'(t)x, 0\right), \quad (47)$$

where we recall that $L(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ are solutions of (43) with $\tau(0) = 0$, $L'(0) = 0$, $L(0) = 1$.

Consider as an example the case $\omega(t) = \omega$. One now obtains $L(t) = \cos(\omega t)$ and $\tau(t) = \frac{1}{\omega} \tan(\omega t)$ recovering the equation (13) in the text. Note that in this method $L(t)$ does not remain positive hence there are cancellations which eventually lead to the final physical result.

We can now check that (47) is compatible with the transport of the Wigner function along the classical trajectories

$$W(x, p, t) = W(x_0(x, p, t), p_0(x, p, t), 0) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad W(x(x_0, p_0, t), p(x_0, p_0, t), t) = W(x_0, p_0, 0) \quad (48)$$

where $x(x_0, p_0, t)$, $p(x_0, p_0, t)$ denote the classical trajectories in the $\omega(t)$ -oscillator starting from (x_0, p_0) at $t = 0$. Similarly, $x_0(x, p, t)$ and $p_0(x, p, t)$ denote the reciprocal function (initial condition as a function of the final condition). To this aim we solve Hamilton's equations

$$\dot{x} = p \quad \dot{p} = -\omega(t)^2 x \quad (49)$$

by writing $x(t) = A(t)x_0 + B(t)p_0$ and $p(t) = C(t)x_0 + D(t)p_0$. We obtain the conditions equations

$$\dot{A} = C \quad , \quad \dot{B} = D \quad , \quad \dot{C} = -\omega^2 A \quad , \quad \dot{D} = -\omega^2 B \quad (50)$$

with initial conditions $A(0) = D(0) = 1$ and $B(0) = C(0) = 0$. One can check that for all times $AD - BC = 1$. The inversion is thus

$$x_0 = x_0(x, p, t) = D(t)x - B(t)p \quad , \quad p_0 = p_0(x, p, t) = -C(t)x + A(t)p \quad (51)$$

It is now easy to check that $W(x_0(x, p, t), p_0(x, p, t))$ indeed satisfies the Wigner equation (12) of the text with $V'(x) \rightarrow V'(x, t) = \omega(t)^2 x$. We now identify

$$D(t) = \frac{1}{L(t)} + \tau(t)L'(t) \quad , \quad B(t) = \tau(t)L(t) \quad , \quad A(t) = L(t) \quad , \quad C(t) = L'(t) \quad (52)$$

and it is easy to check that these functions satisfy the equations in (50).

In the presence of an additional external field $E(t)$ the solution of the Wigner equation with an arbitrary initial condition is simply given by (47) where $x \rightarrow x - a(t)$ and $p \rightarrow p - a'(t)$ in the r.h.s. of the equation. The functions $L(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ are again solutions of (43) with $\tau(0) = 0$, $L'(0) = 0$, $L(0) = 1$, and $a(t)$ is solution of (39) with $a(t) = 0$ and $a'(t) = 0$. This is easily checked, for an arbitrary initial condition $W(x, p, 0)$, by inserting that solution into the Wigner equation (41). The reason why the generalization to a time dependent external field is so simple for the Wigner function is because for the oscillator the (time dependent) WE identifies with the Liouville equation. One

can check that if \tilde{W} is solution of the LE with $\partial_x \tilde{V}(x, t)$, then $W(x, p, t) = \tilde{W}(x - a(t), p - a'(t), t)$ is solution of the LE with $\partial_x V(x, t) = \partial_x \tilde{V}(x - a(t), t) - a''(t)$. Thus, if \tilde{W} is the solution for the $\omega(t)$ harmonic oscillator with $E(t) = 0$, i.e. $\partial_x \tilde{V}(x, t) = \omega(t)^2 x$, then W is the solution for the $\omega(t)$ harmonic oscillator in presence of the field $E(t) = -\omega(t)^2 a(t) - a''(t)$ which is exactly Eq. (39).

An interesting question is about what happens for more general initial conditions, specifically where $V_0(x)$ is different from the HO on the full line. For instance we know that the sine-kernel describes correlations in the bulk, for the initial state, for quite general $V_0(x)$. Near the edge, the Airy kernel holds for a smooth $V_0(x)$, while the Bessel kernel holds for hard wall potentials [13]. It is interesting to ask if any aspects of this universality in the initial state survive a subsequent time evolution under a new Hamiltonian, in what follows we address this question.

B. Half-oscillator initial condition

One interesting example of non-harmonic initial condition is the half-harmonic oscillator

$$V_0(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2 & , \quad x > 0 \\ +\infty & , \quad x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

i.e. with an impenetrable wall at $x = 0$. The wall is removed at $t = 0$ and the evolution then occurs with $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2$ on the full line. For simplicity we restrict ourselves to the case $\omega_0 = \omega$ and to $T = 0$.

Let us denote by $\chi_n(x)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ the real eigenfunctions of the ω -harmonic oscillator on the full line. The eigenfunctions of H_0 are then $\sqrt{2}\chi_n(x)$ with n odd (so they are normalized on the half-axis), and which are odd functions of x . The initial kernel associated with the ground state of the oscillator on the half line K_μ^+ can be obtained by the image method, in terms of the kernel K_μ on the full line

$$K_\mu^+(x, x', 0) = 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \theta(\mu - \epsilon_{2k-1}^0) \chi_{2k-1}(x) \chi_{2k-1}(x') \theta(x) \theta(x') = (K_\mu(x, x', 0) - K_\mu(x, -x', 0)) \theta(x) \theta(x') \quad (54)$$

$$K_\mu(x, x', 0) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \theta(\mu - \epsilon_n^0) \chi_n(x) \chi_n(x') = \sum_{k \geq 1} \theta(\mu - \epsilon_{2k-1}^0) \chi_{2k-1}(x) \chi_{2k-1}(x') + \sum_{k \geq 0} \theta(\mu - \epsilon_{2k}^0) \chi_{2k}(x) \chi_{2k}(x')$$

where the even eigenfunctions cancel in the difference. Note that the relation between the Fermi energy μ and N is now

$$\mu = \hbar\omega(2N + \frac{1}{2}) \quad (55)$$

instead of $\mu = \hbar\omega(N + \frac{1}{2})$ for the oscillator on the full line. The initial state corresponds to the model with a hard wall and a smooth potential studied in [14, 15]. One knows that for large N , the image part of the kernel is important only in a width $1/k_F$ near the wall where $k_F = \sqrt{2\mu}/\hbar$. Outside this region, for $x > 0$, i.e. in the bulk, one can neglect the image part of the kernel, hence $K_\mu^+(x, x', 0) \simeq K_\mu(x, x', 0)$ for $x, x' > 0$. The density in the bulk is thus a half semi-circle, with $x_e = \sqrt{2\mu}/\omega$

$$\rho_N(x, t = 0) \simeq \frac{4\theta(x)}{\pi x_e^2} \sqrt{x_e^2 - x^2} \quad (56)$$

normalized to unity on the positive axis. The Wigner function in the bulk is given by equation (3) in the text, i.e.

$$W(x, p, t = 0) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(\mu - \frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2) \theta(x), \quad (57)$$

such that $\int dp W(x, p, 0) = N \rho_N(x)$. It is thus uniform on the initial Fermi volume Ω_0 which is a half ellipse in the (x, p) plane as represented in Fig. 1 of the text. Near $x = 0$, the kernel takes the scaling form [14, 15]

$$K_\mu^+(x, x', 0) = k_F \mathcal{K}^e(k_F x, k_F x') \quad , \quad \mathcal{K}^e(z, z') = \left[\frac{\sin(z - z')}{\pi(z - z')} - \frac{\sin(z + z')}{\pi(z + z')} \right] \theta(z) \theta(z') \quad (58)$$

Note that the first term corresponds to the usual sine kernel, and is consistent (in the absence of a wall) with $k_F = 2/\xi_{x=0, t=0}$ where $\xi_{x,t}$ is defined in the text below Eq. (38).




FIG. 1. Phase space picture in rescaled coordinates (p in units $\sqrt{2\mu}$ and x in units of $x_e = \sqrt{2\mu}/\omega_0$, denoted by \tilde{p} and \tilde{x} in the text). The Fermi volume is delimited by the red straight line and the solid half-circle, which both rotate with time with angle ωt . The edges of the time dependent spatial density are indicated in blue. The Fermi momenta p_+ and p_- given in (61) are indicated in orange.

We now study the dynamics starting from this initial condition, for large N , and focus first on the bulk. From the equation (13) in the text we see that the Wigner function is now uniform on the Fermi volume Ω_t , which is the half ellipse Ω_0 rotated counter-clockwise by an angle ωt in phase space. We now calculate the time dependent density using the relation

$$\tilde{\rho}_N(x, t) = \frac{p_+(x, t) - p_-(x, t)}{2\pi\hbar}, \quad (59)$$

and we denote by $[x_e^-(t), x_e^+(t)]$ the support of the density (which here is a single interval). It is convenient to use the variables $\tilde{p} = p/\sqrt{2\mu}$ and $\tilde{x} = x/x_e$. In these variable Ω_t is just a half circle of unit radius rotated counter-clockwise by ωt .

Let us start by discussing the time interval $0 < \omega t < \pi/2$. In this case, we find that the density $\rho_N(x, t)$ is given exactly by Eq. (20) of the main text which reads

$$\rho_N(x, t) = \frac{2}{\pi x_e} \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad \sin \theta < -\sin \omega t \\ \frac{\sin(\omega t + \theta)}{\sin \omega t} & , \quad |\sin \theta| < \sin \omega t \\ 2 \cos \theta & , \quad \sin \theta > \sin \omega t \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

where $x = x_e \sin \theta$ and $x_e = \sqrt{2\mu}/\omega_0$. The density $\rho_N(x, t)$ vs x for different values of t is shown in Fig. 2. Indeed, as one can see on the Fig. 1, left panel, there are three regions

- (i) for $\tilde{x} < -\sin \omega t$ the density vanishes $\rho_N(x, t) = 0$, as given in the first line of Eq. (20) of the main text.
- (ii) for $-\sin \omega t < \tilde{x} < \sin \omega t$ one has

$$\tilde{p}_+ = \tilde{x} \cot \omega t \quad , \quad \tilde{p}_- = -\sqrt{1 - \tilde{x}^2} \quad (61)$$

Hence the number density is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}_N(x, t) = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu}}{2\pi\hbar} \left(\frac{x}{x_e} \cot \omega t + \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{x_e^2}} \right) \quad (62)$$

Defining $x = x_e \sin \theta$, with $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, the formula for the density $\rho_N(x, t) = \tilde{\rho}(x, t)/N$ can be simplified to yield the formula in the second line of (20) in the text. We have used $\sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{x_e^2}} = \cos \theta$ and that at large N , $N \simeq \frac{\mu}{2\hbar\omega}$ which leads to $\frac{\sqrt{2\mu}}{2\pi\hbar N} = \frac{2}{\pi x_e}$.




FIG. 2. Plots of the dimensionless number density $x_e \tilde{\rho}_N(x, t)$ as a function of x/x_e for various times. The top figures are in the time interval $[0, \pi/(2\omega)]$ and correspond to the formula (62).

(iii) for $\tilde{x} > \sin \omega t$, the density has not changed since $t = 0$ and is still exactly equal to the original semi-circle given by formula (56), which can be rewritten as $\rho_N(x, t) = \frac{4}{\pi x_e} \cos \theta$ as given in the third line of (20) in the text.

The evolution of $\rho_N(x, t)$ in the first quarter period $0 < \omega t < \frac{\pi}{2}$ is shown in Fig. 2, top panel. In this time interval, the density vanishes linearly at the "moving lower edge" $x_e^-(t) = -x_e \sin \omega t$. Writing $\delta \tilde{x} = \frac{x - x_e^-(t)}{x_e}$ we have $\sin \theta \simeq -\sin \omega t + \delta \tilde{x}$ and $\cos \theta \simeq \cos \omega t + \delta \tilde{x} \tan \omega t$ leading to

$$\rho_N(x, t) = \frac{4}{\pi x_e^2 \sin 2\omega t} (x - x_e^-(t)) + O((x - x_e^-(t))^2) \quad (63)$$

Note that the amplitude diverges at $t \rightarrow 0^+$ and $t \rightarrow \pi/2^-$, as the density becomes of semi-circular shape near $x_e^-(t)$ in these limits. The fact that the density vanishes linearly suggests that the limiting kernel which describes the edge is not the Airy kernel.

At the mirror image point $x = x_e \sin \omega t$, i.e. $\theta = \omega t$, the density is continuous (between regimes (ii) and (iii)) with $\rho_N(x = x_e \sin \omega t, t) = \frac{4}{\pi x_e} \cos \omega t$, but with a discontinuity in the first derivative. Beyond this point, for $x_e \sin \omega t < x < x_e$, the initial semi-circle density has survived. It vanishes at the upper edge $x_e^+(t) = x_e$, which is thus time independent in the time interval $0 < \omega t < \pi/2$.

As discussed in the text, once the density is known for $0 < \omega t < \frac{\pi}{2}$ it can be obtained at all t by noting the symmetry (see the Fig. 1 in the text) $\rho_N(x, t) = \rho_N(-x, \frac{\pi}{\omega} - t)$, and the fact that it is time periodic with period $2\pi/\omega$. This implies, for instance, that in the time interval $\frac{\pi}{2} < \omega t < \pi$ the upper edge $x_e^+(t)$ recedes from $x = x_e$ to $x = 0$, and the lower edge is fixed at $x_e^-(t) = -x_e$. This can be seen from the right panel in Fig. 1, and from the bottom panel of Fig. 2 (see also Figure 1 in the text).

To obtain the evolution near the edge, we use Eq. (46), which is valid for an arbitrary initial condition. In this equation we replace the initial Wigner function by its expression in terms of the initial kernel (from (9) in the text). Performing the change of variable $p = \frac{x+x'}{2L(t)\tau(t)} - \frac{q}{\tau(t)}$ it reads

$$K_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, x', t) = \frac{e^{i \frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar} ((x')^2 - x^2)}}{2\pi \hbar L(t)\tau(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq dy e^{i \frac{1}{\hbar} (\frac{x+x'}{2L(t)\tau(t)} - \frac{q}{\tau(t)}) (y - \frac{x-x'}{L(t)})} K_{\mu}(q + \frac{y}{2}, q - \frac{y}{2}, 0) \quad (64)$$

Up to this point the result is exact (and valid for any initial condition H_0). If we take the initial kernel to be the sine kernel, i.e. replace $K_{\mu}(q + \frac{y}{2}, q - \frac{y}{2}, 0) \rightarrow \frac{\sin k_F y}{\pi y}$, we obtain the same result as the rescaling of the initial sine-kernel as in Eq. (31). This is consistent with our previous results for H_0 being the harmonic oscillator, but it holds in fact

in the bulk for essentially any initial condition. Let us also note that if we replace the initial kernel instead with the image kernel, $K_\mu(q + \frac{y}{2}, q - \frac{y}{2}, 0) \rightarrow \frac{\sin 2k_F q}{2\pi q}$, we find again that it evolves by simple rescaling as in Eq. (31).

For the half-harmonic oscillator we must replace $K_\mu \rightarrow K_\mu^+$ and the integration region is limited to that where both arguments of the initial kernel are positive, hence $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq dy \rightarrow \int_0^{+\infty} dq \int_{-2q}^{2q} dy$. One can replace in the r.h.s. the kernel by its edge form (58) whenever the integral is dominated by the region $y \simeq q \simeq 0$. This gives

$$K_{\bar{\mu}}(x, x', t) = \frac{e^{i\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)\hbar}((x')^2 - x^2)}}{2\pi\hbar L(t)\tau(t)} \int_0^{+\infty} dq \int_{-2q}^{2q} dy e^{i\frac{\hbar}{2L(t)\tau(t)} - \frac{q}{\tau(t)}(y - \frac{x-x'}{L(t)})} \left(\frac{\sin k_F y}{\pi y} - \frac{\sin 2k_F q}{2\pi q} \right) \quad (65)$$

It would be interesting to generalize the present study to the case of an initial condition given by a ω_0 harmonic oscillator for $x > 0$ in the presence of a $1/x^2$ wall, which is removed at $t = 0$. This initial condition is described near the wall by a Bessel kernel, as we now discuss.

III. HARMONIC OSCILLATOR IN PRESENCE OF $1/x^2$ POTENTIAL

As claimed in [16] (which we checked explicitly) the rescaling method extends to the following choice of $H(t)$ and H_1

$$H(t) = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(t)^2 x^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\hbar^2}{2x^2} \quad , \quad H_1 = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_1^2 x^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\hbar^2}{2x^2} \quad (66)$$

This system is defined on $x > 0$ in presence of an impenetrable repulsive barrier with $\alpha > 1$, alternatively one can choose $V(x)$ and $V_0(x)$ to be positive infinite for $x \leq 0$. Let us study the case $H_0 = H_1$ with $\omega_1 = \omega_0$. The initial condition is now a harmonic oscillator on the half line $x > 0$, plus a $1/x^2$ wall at $x = 0$. Note that for $\alpha = 1$ it is the half oscillator studied above, but with a different evolution Hamiltonian $H(t)$. Let us calculate the corresponding kernel. The eigenfunctions of H_0 are expressed using Laguerre polynomials and labeled by the integer $n = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\phi_n(x) = A_n e^{-\omega_0 x^2/2\hbar} x^\alpha L_n^{\alpha-1/2}(\omega_0 x^2/\hbar) \quad , \quad \epsilon_n = \hbar\omega_0(2n + \alpha + \frac{1}{2}) \quad , \quad |A_n|^2 = \frac{2(\omega_0/\hbar)^{\alpha+1/2} n!}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1/2)} \quad (67)$$

The relation between the Fermi energy μ and N is now $\mu = \hbar\omega_0(2n + \alpha + \frac{1}{2})$. The initial condition of the dynamics at $t = 0$ is thus the $T = 0$ kernel corresponding to the ground state of \mathcal{H}_0

$$K_\mu(x, y, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \phi_n^*(x) \phi_n(y) = 2 \left(\frac{\omega_0}{\hbar}\right)^{\alpha+1/2} (xy)^\alpha e^{-\frac{\omega_0(x^2+y^2)}{2\hbar}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1/2)} L_k^{\alpha-1/2}\left(\frac{\omega_0 x^2}{\hbar}\right) L_k^{\alpha-1/2}\left(\frac{\omega_0 y^2}{\hbar}\right) \quad (68)$$

We use the identity [17] (see formula 8.974 p. 1002), for $n = 0, 1, \dots$

$$(x-y) \binom{n + \alpha - 1/2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{L_k^{\alpha-1/2}(x) L_k^{\alpha-1/2}(y)}{\binom{k + \alpha - 1/2}{k}} = (n+1) [L_n^{\alpha-1/2}(x) L_{n+1}^{\alpha-1/2}(y) - L_{n+1}^{\alpha-1/2}(x) L_n^{\alpha-1/2}(y)] \quad (69)$$

Hence

$$K_\mu(x, y, 0) = e^{-\frac{\omega_0(x^2+y^2)}{2\hbar}} \frac{2N! \left(\frac{\omega_0}{\hbar}\right)^{\alpha+1/2} x^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(N + \alpha - 1/2)} \frac{L_{N-1}^{\alpha-1/2}(\omega_0 x^2/\hbar) L_N^{\alpha-1/2}(\omega_0 y^2/\hbar) - L_N^{\alpha-1/2}(\omega_0 x^2/\hbar) L_{N-1}^{\alpha-1/2}(\omega_0 y^2/\hbar)}{x^2 - y^2} \quad (70)$$

a formula valid for any N . By construction this kernel is reproducible. The average initial density is thus given, for any N , by

$$\rho_N(x, t = 0) = e^{-\frac{\omega_0 x^2}{\hbar}} \frac{2N! \left(\frac{\omega_0}{\hbar}\right)^{\alpha+1/2} x^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(N + \alpha - 1/2)} \left(L_{N-1}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\omega_0 x^2}{\hbar}\right) L_{N-1}^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\omega_0 x^2}{\hbar}\right) - L_{N-2}^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\omega_0 x^2}{\hbar}\right) L_N^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\omega_0 x^2}{\hbar}\right) \right) \quad (71)$$

This family of kernels indexed by α are well known in RMT. Indeed, there is a one to one correspondence between the $T = 0$ quantum JPDF of the positions of the fermions x_i in this potential and the JPDF of the eigenvalues $\lambda_i = \omega_0 x_i^2/\hbar$ of the Wishart-Laguerre ensemble of random matrices with $\beta = 2$ and $M - N = \nu = \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ [19]

$$P_{\text{joint}}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) = \frac{1}{Z_N} \prod_{i < j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^N \lambda_k^\nu e^{-N\lambda_k} \quad (72)$$

In the large N limit, the mean density of eigenvalues converges to the Marčenko-Pastur distribution [18], which upon the change of variables leads to the half semi-circle given in Eq. (22) of the text.

From the rescaling method we know that for any N the time dependent kernel $K_\mu(x, y, t)$, evolving with $H(t)$ given in (66) keeps the scaling form given by Eq. (17) in the text. where $L(t)$ is the same solution of the Ermakov's equation (16) in the text as for the harmonic oscillator. For the simplest case $\omega(t > 0) = \omega$ one has $L(t) = x_e(t)/x_e$, where $x_e(t)$ is given by Eq. (14) in the text and $x_e = \sqrt{2\mu}/\omega_0$. In the limit of large N there are two regions:

- The bulk, for $x > 0$ and $k_F x \gg 1$ where $k_F = \sqrt{2\mu}/\hbar$. In this region one can in fact neglect the $1/x^2$ potential to leading order at large μ . Hence to leading order the right edge of the initial Fermi gas is at $x \simeq x_e$. The density in the bulk is thus the (time-dependent) half-semi circle given in (22) in the text.
- The second region is the edge $k_F x \sim O(1)$. In that region at large N one shows that the Laguerre kernel becomes the Bessel kernel which describes the "hard edge" universality class of RMT [18]. This is easy to see by neglecting the x^2 term in the potential in (66). In that case the Bessel kernel is the exact kernel (for any N) for $H_1 = H_0$ setting $\omega_1 = \omega_0 = 0$ (see for instance the detailed calculation in [15] Section 6.2). For the time evolution, it leads to the formula (23) in the text for the time-dependent kernel near the edge. Up to a time dependent scale, it remains the Bessel kernel which thus describes the edge at all times for this choice of $H(t)$ and H_0 .

IV. GENERIC SMOOTH CONFINING POTENTIALS

A. Classical evolution: Liouville equation

As discussed in the text, for generic potential $V(x)$ with $V'''(x) \neq 0$ the WE differs from the LE by "quantum terms". In the "classical" limit, $\hbar \sim 1/N$ and N large considered in [20] the Wigner function satisfies two exact properties at $T = 0$

(i) $(2\pi\hbar W)^2 = 2\pi\hbar W$, hence at any point (x, p) in phase space, either $W(x, p, t) = 0$ or $2\pi\hbar W(x, p, t) = 1$. Thus $2\pi\hbar W$ is the characteristic function of Ω_t the Fermi volume.

(ii) The Liouville equation is obeyed exactly, for any $V(x)$. Hence Ω_t is simply transported from the initial Fermi volume by the classical equations of motion.

It is absolutely not obvious that these two properties necessarily apply at large N , i.e. large μ , but for fixed \hbar . We have argued in the text that, when $V(x)$ and $V_0(x)$ are both confining and smooth, and of "similar" scales, the quantum terms in the WE are subdominant at large μ at $t = 0$. This should ensure that it remains true in some time window for $t > 0$.

We thus start by analyzing the Liouville equation

$$\partial_t W(x, p, t) = -p\partial_x W(x, p, t) + \partial_x V(x)\partial_p W(x, p, t) \quad (73)$$

and later will consider the "quantum corrections". Consider the classical trajectories in phase space, $\dot{x}(t) = p(t)$ and $\dot{p}(t) = -V'(x(t))$. The Wigner function is constant along such trajectories $\frac{d}{dt}W(x(t), p(t), t) = \partial_t W(x(t), p(t), t) + \dot{x}(t)\partial_x W(x(t), p(t), t) + \dot{p}(t)\partial_p W(x(t), p(t), t) = 0$ if one uses both the LE and the classical equations of motion. Let us denote $(x(t) = x_c(x_0, p_0, t), p(t) = p_c(x_0, p_0, t))$ the classical trajectory as a function of the initial point (x_0, p_0) . One can thus write the solution of the WE as

$$W(x, p, t) = \int dx_0 dp_0 W(x_0, p_0, 0) \delta(x - x_c(x_0, p_0, t)) \delta(p - p_c(x_0, p_0, t)) \quad (74)$$

Since the phase space volume element $dx dp$ is preserved by the classical evolution and because of the unicity of the solutions, one can also write the general solution of LE as in the text as $W(x, p, t) = W(x_0(x, p, t), p_0(x, p, t), 0)$, where $x_0(x, p, t)$, $p_0(x, p, t)$ denote the initial conditions (at $t = 0$) as functions of the final ones (at t). Note that all the above extends to the case of a time dependent potential $V(x, t)$.

Let us now consider an initial condition prepared at $T = 0$. For large N the initial condition is a theta function $W(x, p, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \theta(\mu - \frac{p^2}{2} - V_0(x))$, hence under the LE the Wigner function

$$W(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \theta(\mu - \frac{p_0(x, p, t)^2}{2} - V_0(x_0(x, p, t))) \quad (75)$$

remains a theta function at all times, which is unity inside the transported Fermi volume Ω_t and zero outside.

There are various parameterizations for the boundary of Ω_t , i.e. the transported Fermi surf S_t . The first one is in terms of the (multiple) roots $p(x, t)$ of the equation

$$\mu = \frac{p_0(x, p(x, t), t)^2}{2} + V_0(x_0(x, p(x, t), t)) \quad (76)$$

Consider for simplicity $V(x)$ to be time independent. One can show that any such root satisfies the Burgers equation Eq. (28) in the text. Indeed, from energy conservation

$$\frac{p_0(x, p, t)^2}{2} + V(x_0(x, p, t)) = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(x) \quad (77)$$

one has the relations

$$p_0 \partial_p p_0 + V'(x_0) \partial_p x_0 = p \quad , \quad p_0 \partial_x p_0 + V'(x_0) \partial_x x_0 = V'(x) \quad (78)$$

On the other hand, taking derivatives of (76) w.r.t. t and w.r.t. x and using the (time reversed) equation of motions $\partial_t p_0 = V'(x_0)$ and $\partial_t x_0 = -p_0$ gives

$$\partial_t p = \frac{p_0(V'_0(x_0) - V'(x_0))}{p_0 \partial_p p_0 + V'_0(x_0) \partial_p x_0} \quad , \quad \partial_x p = -\frac{V'_0(x_0) \partial_x x_0 + p_0 \partial_x p_0}{p_0 \partial_p p_0 + V'_0(x_0) \partial_p x_0} \quad (79)$$

Using the above relations we can show that

$$\partial_t p + p \partial_x p + V'(x) = (1 + \partial_x p_0 \partial_p x_0 - \partial_x x_0 \partial_p p_0) \frac{p_0(V'_0(x_0) - V'(x_0))}{p_0 \partial_p p_0 + V'_0(x_0) \partial_p x_0} \quad (80)$$

Consider now the first factor $J(t) = 1 + \partial_x p_0 \partial_p x_0 - \partial_x x_0 \partial_p p_0$ appearing on the rhs of Eq. (80). One can evaluate the time evolution $dJ(t)/dt$ using the (time reversed) equation of motions $\partial_t p_0 = V'(x_0)$ and $\partial_t x_0 = -p_0$. After a straightforward algebra, we find $dJ(t)/dt = 0$. This reflects the conservation of the volume in phase space. In addition, from the initial condition where $x = x_0$ and $p = p_0$, we see that $J(0) = 0$. Hence $J(t) = 0$ for all time $t \geq 0$. Consequently Eq. (80) reduces to the Burger's equation

$$\partial_t p + p \partial_x p + V'(x) = 0 \quad (81)$$

Hence any root of (76), $p(x, t)$, satisfies the Burgers equation (BE)

$$\partial_t p(x, t) + p(x, t) \partial_x p(x, t) + V'(x) = 0 \quad (82)$$

The transported Fermi volume Ω_t can be parameterized by its "section" in phase space at coordinate x , $\Omega_t(x)$, given as a function of x . It is defined such that $p \in \Omega_t(x)$ iff $(x, p) \in \Omega_t$. In general $\Omega_t(x)$ is made of multiple intervals (we can call $n(x, t)$ its number), i.e. $\Omega_t(x) = \cup_{j=1}^{n(x, t)} [p_-^j(x, t), p_+^j(x, t)]$, where the edges merge and disappear, or appear in pairs, as x spans $]-\infty, +\infty[$. Each of these roots $p^j(x, t)$ satisfies the BE inside its interval of existence.

Let us consider the simplest case, where one can write

$$W(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(p - p_-(x, t)) \theta(p_+(x, t) - p) \quad (83)$$

Since the number density is $\tilde{\rho}(x, t) = \int dp W(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} (p_+(x, t) - p_-(x, t))$, the form (83) is valid for $x \in \mathcal{I} = [x_e^-(t)^-, x_e^+(t)]$, the support of the density, which is assumed here to be a single interval. At $x = x_e^\pm(t)$, in generic situations, the density vanishes smoothly and $p_+(x, t) = p_-(x, t)$. Let us first check that W in (83) satisfies the LE. Inserting (83) in (73) we see that if $p_\pm(x, t)$ satisfy the BE (82) then the LE equation is indeed obeyed.

To recover the standard free fermion hydrodynamics one defines a local velocity field $v(x, t)$ through

$$\tilde{\rho}(x, t) v(x, t) = \int dp p W(x, p, t), \quad (84)$$

and using (83) then leads to the identification $v(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}(p_+(x, t) + p_-(x, t))$. One can also define the local kinetic energy density

$$\epsilon(x, t) = \int dp \frac{p^2}{2} W(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\rho}(x, t) v(x, t)^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{6} \pi^2 \tilde{\rho}(x, t)^3 \quad (85)$$

where in last equality arises from using (83) and (84). The term cubic in the fermion density is often called the pressure term resulting from the Pauli exclusion principle.

We can now add and subtract the two independent Burgers equations (82) for $p_+(x, t)$ and $p_-(x, t)$ and we obtain

$$\partial_t \tilde{\rho}(x, t) + \partial_x [\tilde{\rho}(x, t)v(x, t)] = 0 \quad (86)$$

$$\partial_t v(x, t) + v(x, t)\partial_x v(x, t) + V'(x) = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}(x, t)}\partial_x \left(\frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{3} \tilde{\rho}(x, t)^3 \right) = -\hbar^2 \pi^2 \tilde{\rho}(x, t)\partial_x \tilde{\rho}(x, t) \quad (87)$$

The first is the continuity equation (conservation of particle number) and the second the Newton force equation. These are the standard hydrodynamical equations for fermions [21–24]. They can be obtained from the variation of the action

$$S_f[\tilde{\rho}, v] = \int dx dt \left[\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, t)v^2 - \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{6} \tilde{\rho}^3(\mathbf{x}, t) - V(\mathbf{x})\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \quad (88)$$

under the constraint (86). Here they are simply obtained as a consequence of the Liouville equation.

The equilibrium is recovered for $V(x) = V_0(x)$, in which case

$$p_{\pm}(x, t) = \pm p_{\mu}^0(x) \quad , \quad p_{\mu}^0(x) = \sqrt{2(\mu - V_0(x))} \quad (89)$$

and $W(x, p, t) = W(x, p, 0)$ becomes time independent. This provides a time-independent solution of the above hydrodynamic equations.

In the case of the quantum quench, $V(x) \neq V_0(x)$, Eq. (83) gives the solution for the Wigner function to the LE, where $p_{\pm}(x, t)$ are the solution of the BE (82) with initial conditions at $t = 0$ given by $p_{\pm}(x, t = 0) = \pm p_{\mu}^0(x)$.

As discussed in [25] the more complicated cases where the parameterization using $\Omega_t(x)$ involves more than one interval is called the $2k$ -hydrodynamics. Similar equations can be derived to describe it with hydrodynamic variables. Eventually, the difficulty amounts to find a convenient parameterization to describe the (relatively simple) classical dynamics of the Fermi volume.

B. Quantum corrections: width of the Fermi surf

As discussed in the text, to probe the quantum corrections to the Liouville equation, we look for a solution of the full Wigner equation (WE), Eq. (11) in the text, near the Fermi surf, for $p \approx p_+(x, t)$, of the form

$$W(x, p, t) \simeq F(\tilde{a}) \quad , \quad \tilde{a} = \frac{p - p_+(x, t)}{D(x, t)} \quad (90)$$

with a time-dependent thickness $D(x, t)$ that we will determine below. Far from the Fermi surf, the approximation by theta functions, Eq. (83), should hold, which leads to the boundary conditions $F(+\infty) = 0$ and $F(-\infty) = 1$. A priori the function F can also depend on time, so we will include that term below, but will see that it can be neglected. We first consider the WE truncated to cubic order, Eq. (25) in the text, and discuss higher order corrections below. Inserting (90) into this cubic WE reads

$$(\partial_t + p\partial_x - V'(x)\partial_p + \frac{\hbar^2}{24}V'''(x)\partial_p^3)F\left(\frac{p - p_+(x, t)}{D(x, t)}\right) = 0 \quad (91)$$

Performing the derivatives and substituting $p = p_+(x, t) + \tilde{a}D(x, t)$, where \tilde{a} is the scaling variable of order $O(1)$ we obtain by dividing by $F'(\tilde{a})/D(x, t)$

$$D(x, t)\frac{\partial_t F}{F'(\tilde{a})} = V'(x) + \partial_t p_+(x, t) + p_+(x, t)\partial_x p_+(x, t) \quad (92)$$

$$+ \tilde{a} \left(\partial_t D(x, t) + p_+(x, t)\partial_x D(x, t) + D(x, t)\partial_x p_+(x, t) - \frac{F'''(\tilde{a})}{\tilde{a}F'(\tilde{a})} \frac{\hbar^2}{24D(x, t)^2} V'''(x) \right) + \tilde{a}^2 D(x, t)\partial_x D(x, t), \quad (93)$$

which should be obeyed for any \tilde{a} of order $O(1)$. The r.h.s. in the first line vanishes from the Burgers equation (82). The last term can be argued to be small (see below) and we neglect it. Now if one chooses

$$F'''(\tilde{a}) = 4\tilde{a}F'(\tilde{a}) \quad (94)$$

which is obeyed by $F(\tilde{a}) = \mathcal{W}(\tilde{a}) = \text{Ai}_1(2^{2/3}\tilde{a})$, then we obtain an evolution equation for $D(x, t)$. Amazingly there is a simple solution to this equation

$$D(x, t) = -\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\partial_{xx}p_+(x, t)\right)^{1/3} \quad (95)$$

This can be checked explicitly by plugging (95) into the equation (92) and by using the second derivative of the Burgers equation (82)

$$3\partial_x p_+(x, t)\partial_x^2 p_+(x, t) + \partial_t \partial_x^2 p_+(x, t) + p_+(x, t)\partial_x^3 p_+(x, t) + V'''(x) = 0 \quad (96)$$

Now we can check that this is the (unique) correct solution, since it satisfies the correct initial condition. Indeed, as discussed above, the initial condition for the Burgers equation is $p_{\pm}(x, t=0) = \pm p_{\mu}^0(x)$ where $p_{\mu}^0(x) = \sqrt{2(\mu - V_0(x))}$ is its "equilibrium" solution if one substitute $V(x) \rightarrow V_0(x)$. Thus we have

$$D(x, t=0) = -\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\partial_x^2 p_{\mu}^0(x)\right)^{1/3} = \frac{\hbar^{2/3}}{2^{1/3}p}(V_0'(x)^2 + p^2 V_0''(x))^{1/3}|_{p=p_{\mu}^0(x)} = \frac{e(x, p)}{p}|_{p=p_{\mu}^0(x)} \quad (97)$$

The last expression is precisely equal to $\frac{e(x_e, p_e)}{p_e}$ if (x_e, p_e) denotes a generic point on the Fermi surf with here the parameterization $x_e = x$, $p_e = p_{\mu}^0(x)$ for the half top part of the Fermi surf (note that x_e should be distinguished from x_e defined in this work, which is the upper edge of the semi circle). The factor of p in the numerator of (97) comes from the matching of the two scaling variables in the region near the Fermi surf with $a, \tilde{a} = O(1)$

$$a = \frac{\frac{p^2}{2} + V_0(x) - \mu}{e(x, p)} \simeq p_{\mu}^0(x) \frac{p - p_{\mu}^0(x)}{e(x, p_{\mu}^0(x))} = \frac{p - p_{\mu}^0(x)}{D(x, t=0)} = \tilde{a}|_{t=0}, \quad (98)$$

where a is the scaling variable introduced for the equilibrium result (5) of the text.

The solution corresponding to $\theta(p-p_-)$ is obtained similarly with $\tilde{a} \rightarrow -\tilde{a}$. Hence the complete "quantum" solution corresponding to (83) is

$$W(x, p, t) \simeq \mathcal{W}\left(\frac{p - p_+(x, t)}{D_+(x, t)}\right)\mathcal{W}\left(\frac{p - p_-(x, t)}{D_-(x, t)}\right) \quad (99)$$

where here we denote $D_+(x, t) = D(x, t)$ and $D_-(x, t) = -\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\partial_{xx}p_-(x, t)\right)^{1/3}$. Note that (99) is valid only when the two edges in phase space are well separated $2\pi\hbar\tilde{\rho}(x, t) = p_+(x, t) - p_-(x, t) \gg D_+(x, t) + D_-(x, t)$, i.e. far from the edges in real space where the density vanishes.

We can now justify that higher order terms in the exact WE, Eq. (11) in the text, are subdominant (as compared to the cubic approximation of the WE involving only $V'''(x)$) to predict the scaling form near the Fermi surf in the limit of large μ . We use the same counting as in the text below Eq. (25). The main assumption is that counting in μ and x_e of $V(x)$ and its derivative is similar to $V_0(x)$, i.e. the two potentials are not too dissimilar. In the text we found the higher order terms in the WE are powers of the two small parameters which measure the deviation from the classical limit and the LE

$$\frac{\hbar}{x_e p_e} \ll 1 \quad , \quad \frac{\hbar^{2/3}}{\mu^{1/3} x_e^{2/3}} \ll 1 \quad (100)$$

Using the normalization $\int dx dp W(x, p, t) = N$ we see that the first parameter is simply $\sim 1/N$. The second one is larger but is related to the quantum fluctuations near the edge. We first argue that the term neglected in (92) is small compared to the others proportional to a , i.e.

$$a^2 D(x, t) \partial_x D(x, t) \ll a p_+(x, t) \partial_x D(x, t) \quad (101)$$

Recalling that $e_N \sim (\hbar\mu/x_e)^{2/3}$ (see text), we have $D(x, t) \sim e_N/p_e \sim \hbar^{2/3}\mu^{1/6}/x_e^{2/3}$ and we find that $D(x, t)/p_+(x, t) \sim \hbar^{2/3}/(\mu^{1/3}x_e^{2/3}) \ll 1$ for large μ .

Consider the next order quantum corrections, e.g. a term $-g\hbar^4 V^{(5)} \partial_p^5 W$ in the W equation. The terms proportional to a in (92) and containing the potential now read

$$-\frac{F'''(\tilde{a})}{\tilde{a}F'(\tilde{a})} \frac{\hbar^2}{24D(x, t)^2} V'''(x) - g\hbar^4 \frac{F^{(5)}(\tilde{a})}{\tilde{a}F'(\tilde{a})} V^{(5)}(x) \frac{1}{D(x, t)^4} \quad (102)$$

Since $\tilde{a} = O(1)$ the ratio of these two terms is of order

$$\frac{V^{(5)}(x)}{V'''(x)D(x,t)^2} \sim \frac{\hbar^{2/3}}{\mu^{1/3}x_e^{2/3}} \ll 1 \quad (103)$$

Finally, we note that if we add the term proportional to $\partial_t F$ in the l.h.s. of (92) to the previous analysis, we obtain the constraint that $\partial_t F(\tilde{a}) \sim \tilde{a}F'(\tilde{a})$, hence it corresponds simply to a redefinition of $D(x,t)$. Therefore we can assume simply that this term is absent at this order. Note that one can in principle perform an expansion in the small parameter $\frac{\hbar^{2/3}}{\mu^{1/3}x_e^{2/3}}$ to higher orders, where these neglected terms would play a role.

We note that the above derivation may be used to derive (or at least guess) the scaling form for equilibrium. Curiously, the role of $V'''(x)$ being non zero seems crucial in the argument, and it is unclear to us why that should be so (for equilibrium).

In particular we note that for the harmonic oscillator the above equations do not determine the scaling function $F(\tilde{a})$, since the term containing $V'''(x)$ is absent. Nevertheless we can check independently that our above result holds, in a non-trivial way, for the harmonic oscillator. In that case we can use the general solution of the LE, $W(x,p,t) = W(x_0(x,p,t), p_0(x,p,t), 0)$, and the scaling form Eqs. (4) and (5) of the text, for the initial Wigner function. The calculation for general $V_0(x)$ is quite non-trivial. Hence we choose for simplicity, $V_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2$. In this case

$$W(x,p,t) \simeq \mathcal{W}\left(\frac{p_0^2 + V_0(x_0) - \mu}{(\hbar\omega_0)^{2/3}\mu^{1/3}}\right) \quad , \quad x_0(x,p,t) = x \cos(\omega t) - \frac{p}{\omega} \sin(\omega t) \quad , \quad p_0(x,p,t) = p \cos(\omega t) + \omega x \sin(\omega t). \quad (104)$$

We thus obtain

$$p_+(x,t) = \frac{\omega \left(x(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) \sin(2\omega t) + 2\omega\omega_0 \sqrt{x_e(t)^2 - x^2} \right)}{2(\omega^2 \cos^2(\omega t) + \omega_0^2 \sin^2(\omega t))} \quad , \quad x_e(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\omega_0\omega} \sqrt{\omega^2 + \omega_0^2 + (\omega^2 - \omega_0^2) \cos(2\omega t)}. \quad (105)$$

We can now expand the scaling variable for $p \approx p_+(x,t)$, which simplifies to give

$$a = \frac{p_0^2 + V_0(x_0) - \mu}{(\hbar\omega_0)^{2/3}\mu^{1/3}} \simeq \omega_0^{1/3} \hbar^{-2/3} \mu^{-1/3} \sqrt{x_e(t)^2 - x^2} (p - p_+(x,t)), \quad (106)$$

which implies that

$$D_+(x,t) = \hbar^{2/3} \left(\frac{\mu}{\omega_0} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_e(t)^2 - x^2}} \quad (107)$$

Remarkably, the above prediction for $D_+(x,t)$, Eq. (95), using the formula (105) for $p_+(x,t)$ gives exactly the same result.

Semi-classical saddle point representation. We can sketch here an argument which reproduces the above result using semi-classical wave functions. It generalizes the study of [26–29] for a single particle to N fermions and extends the calculation of [30, 31] at equilibrium to the nonequilibrium problem. It allows one to obtain other expressions at non-generic points e.g. when $\partial_x^2 p(x,t) = 0$ [4, 28, 32]. To study semi-classical wave functions one approximates them as $\psi(x,t) \simeq A(x,t)e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(x,t)}$. In the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ the Schrodinger equation then leads to the eikonal equation

$$\partial_t S + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x S)^2 + V(x) = 0 \quad , \quad 2\partial_t A + 2\partial_x A \partial_x S + A \partial_x^2 S = 0 \quad (108)$$

Consider first the initial condition at $t = 0$. The kernel can be written as

$$K_\mu(x, x', 0) = \int d\epsilon_0 \theta(\mu - \epsilon_0) \psi_{\epsilon_0}^*(x) \psi_{\epsilon_0}(x') \quad , \quad \psi_{\epsilon_0}(x) = A_{\epsilon_0}(x, 0) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_{\epsilon_0}(x, 0)} \quad (109)$$

$$S_{\epsilon_0}(x, 0) = S_{\epsilon_0}(x) = \int^x dx' p_{\epsilon_0}(x') \quad , \quad A(x, 0) = (2\pi\hbar p_{\epsilon_0}(x))^{-1/2} \quad , \quad p_{\epsilon_0}(x) = \sqrt{2(\epsilon_0 - V_0(x))} \quad (110)$$

where $S_{\epsilon_0}(x,t) = -\epsilon_0 t + S_{\epsilon_0}(x)$ and $A(x,t) = A_{\epsilon_0}(x,0)$ are a stationary solution of (108) for $V(x) = V_0(x)$. There is a second one with $S_{\epsilon_0}(x,t) = -\epsilon_0 t - S_{\epsilon_0}(x)$, i.e. $\partial_x S_{\epsilon_0}(x,t) = -\sqrt{2(\epsilon_0 - V_0(x))}$ which is located at a symmetric point along the Fermi surf, not considered here. This choice satisfies the normalization

$$\int dx \psi_{\epsilon_0}(x) \psi_{\epsilon'_0}^*(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int \frac{dx}{[p_{\epsilon_0}(x)p_{\epsilon'_0}(x)]^{1/2}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S_{\epsilon_0}(x) - S_{\epsilon'_0}(x))} \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int \frac{dx}{p_{\epsilon_0}(x)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon'_0)\partial_x S_{\epsilon_0}(x)} = \delta(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon'_0) \quad (111)$$

which ensures the reproducibility of the kernel. We used that $\partial_x S_{\epsilon_0}(x) = p_{\epsilon_0}(x)$ and $\partial_x \partial_{\epsilon_0} S_{\epsilon_0}(x) = 1/p_{\epsilon_0}(x)$. We can now write the time dependent kernel as

$$K_\mu(x, x', t) = \int d\epsilon_0 \theta(\mu - \epsilon_0) \psi_{\epsilon_0}^*(x, t) \psi_{\epsilon_0}(x', t) \quad , \quad \psi_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) = A_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{\epsilon_0}(x, t)} \quad (112)$$

where $S_{\epsilon_0}(x, t)$ and $A_{\epsilon_0}(x, t)$ are the solutions of (108) with $V(x)$ (and not $V_0(x)$) and the above initial conditions. We note that $\partial_x S_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) = p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) = p_+(x, t)$ satisfies the Burgers equation (82) with initial condition $p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) = p_{\epsilon_0}(x)$, hence the eikonal equation is associated to the Liouville equation. It is useful to verify that

$$A_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-1/2} [\partial_{\epsilon_0} p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t)]^{1/2} \quad (113)$$

is the time dependent solution of (108) with the correct initial condition given above. Indeed taking ∂_{ϵ_0} of the Burgers equation (82) yields

$$\partial_t \partial_{\epsilon_0} p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) + \partial_{\epsilon_0} p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) \partial_x p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) + p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) \partial_x \partial_{\epsilon_0} p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) = 0 \quad (114)$$

which is the same equation as obtained by inserting (113) into (108).

Let us now write the associated Wigner function as

$$W(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int d\epsilon_0 \theta(\mu - \epsilon_0) \int dy A_{\epsilon_0}^*(x + \frac{y}{2}, t) A_{\epsilon_0}(x - \frac{y}{2}, t) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} [py - S_{\epsilon_0}(x + \frac{y}{2}, t) + S_{\epsilon_0}(x - \frac{y}{2}, t)]} \quad (115)$$

Let us expand the term in the exponential

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} [py + S_{\epsilon_0}(x + \frac{y}{2}, t) - S_{\epsilon_0}(x - \frac{y}{2}, t)] = \frac{i}{\hbar} (p + \partial_x S_{\epsilon_0}(x, t)) y - \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{1}{24} y^3 \partial_x^3 S_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) + \dots \quad (116)$$

In the integral over y we can neglect the terms of order $O(y^5)$ and higher order terms. Performing the integral we obtain

$$W(x, p, t) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} d\epsilon_0 \partial_{\epsilon_0} p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) \frac{2}{(-\hbar^2 \partial_x^3 S_{\epsilon_0}(x, t))^{1/3}} \text{Ai} \left(2 \frac{p - \partial_x S_{\epsilon_0}(x, t)}{(-\hbar^2 \partial_x^3 S_{\epsilon_0}(x, t))^{1/3}} \right) \quad (117)$$

Noting that the integral over ϵ_0 is dominated by the region around $\epsilon_0 \approx \mu$, performing the expansion around $\epsilon_0 = \mu$ in the argument of the Airy function, $p - \partial_x S_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) = p - p_\mu(x, t) - \partial_\mu p_\mu(x, t)(\epsilon_0 - \mu) + \dots$ and approximating the term $\partial_{\epsilon_0} p_{\epsilon_0}(x, t) \simeq \partial_\mu p_\mu(x, t)$ in the preexponential factor, we see that we can perform the integral over the positive variable $\mu - \epsilon_0$ and recover exactly (90) with $F(\tilde{a}) = \mathcal{W}(\tilde{a}) = \text{Ai}_1(2^{2/3} \tilde{a})$.

The above derivation is valid at a generic point on the Fermi surf. It must be modified if one deals with non generic points, e.g. edges in the real space density where $p_+(x, t)$ and $p_-(x, t)$ coincide and annihilate.

V. EVOLUTION AND SCALING FORM OF THE FINITE TEMPERATURE WIGNER FUNCTION

The finite temperature Wigner function $W_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, p, t = 0)$ associated with the hamiltonian H_0 in the grand canonical (GC) ensemble at chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}$ was defined in [4]. Its definition at arbitrary time is given by (22). It is related to the time dependent GC kernel given in the text in Eq. (32) by Fourier transformation (24). As a consequence, it does satisfy the WE Eq. (11) in the text, albeit with a $T, \tilde{\mu}$ dependent initial condition.

It was shown in [4] that at equilibrium, i.e. here at $t = 0$, the finite temperature Wigner function $W_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, p, t = 0)$ can be obtained from the Wigner function $W(x, p, t = 0)|_\mu$ at $T = 0$ expressed as a function of the Fermi energy μ . The relation reads

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, p, t = 0) = \int d\mu' \frac{\partial_{\mu'} W(x, p, t = 0)|_{\mu=\mu'}}{1 + e^{\beta(\mu' - \tilde{\mu})}} \quad (118)$$

Since the WE is linear, it is then clear that the same relation will hold for any t , leading to Eq. (34) in the text. For large $\tilde{\mu}$, i.e. large \tilde{N} [see Eq. (21)], the integral is controlled by large μ' . In the bulk we can thus insert the form (26) of the text for $W(x, p, t)$ evaluated at $\mu = \mu'$, and we obtain the formula given above (33) in the text for the time dependent Wigner function at finite temperature. We recall that $x_0(x, p, t)$ and $p_0(x, p, t)$ are the starting points (at $t = 0$) of the classical trajectory (which goes from (x_0, p_0) to (x, p) in phase space) expressed as functions of the endpoints (at time t).

Let us now study the edge, i.e. the vicinity of the transported Fermi surf S_t . The regime of temperature where the non trivial scaling arises at equilibrium, i.e. for $t = 0$, is $T \sim e_N/b \ll \mu$, where b is the important dimensionless parameter. We can first assume the same temperature regime for $t > 0$, but we will be more precise below. We insert the scaling form for p near $p_+(x, t, \mu)$ given by Eq. (30) and (31) of the text (valid at $T = 0$) inside Eq. (35) in the text. We obtain

$$W_{\tilde{\mu}}(x, p, t) = \int d\mu' \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(\mu' - \tilde{\mu})}} \partial_{\mu'} \mathcal{W} \left(\frac{p - p_+(x, t, \mu')}{-(\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \partial_x^2 p_+(x, t, \mu'))^{1/3}} \right) \quad (119)$$

with $F(a) = \mathcal{W}(a) = \text{Ai}_1(2^{2/3}a)$. Note that here we have indicated explicitly the dependence in μ , so $p_+(x, t, \mu)$ denotes the solution of Burgers equation (28) in the text, with initial condition $p(x, t = 0, \mu) = p_\mu^0(x) = \sqrt{2(\mu - V_0(x))}$. In the low temperature regime of interest one has $\tilde{\mu} \simeq \mu$ (see [3, 4]). The analysis is quite similar to the one performed at equilibrium in [4] (see end of Section III there). The integral in (119) is then dominated by μ' near μ such that $\beta(\mu' - \mu) = -bu$ and $u = O(1)$. Let us denote $\tilde{a}_\mu = \frac{p - p_+(x, t, \mu)}{D(x, t, \mu)}$ the scaling variable in the function \mathcal{W} in (119). One has

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{a}_\mu = -\frac{1}{D(x, t, \mu)} \partial_\mu p_+(x, t, \mu) - a_\mu \partial_\mu \log D(x, t, \mu) \quad (120)$$

As for equilibrium [4], the second term on the r.h.s. is subdominant at large μ , hence we can write $\tilde{a}_{\mu'} \simeq \tilde{a}_\mu + \frac{b}{\beta} u \frac{\partial_\mu p_+(x, t, \mu)}{D(x, t, \mu)}$. Taking into account all factors we obtain

$$W_\mu(x, p, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{2^{2/3} du}{1 + e^{-bu}} \text{Ai}(2^{2/3}(\tilde{a}_\mu + \frac{bu}{\beta} \frac{\partial_\mu p_+(x, t, \mu)}{-(\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \partial_x^2 p(x, t, \mu))^{1/3}})) = \mathcal{W}_{b(x, t)}(\tilde{a}_\mu), \quad b(x, t) = \beta \frac{-(\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \partial_x^2 p(x, t, \mu))^{1/3}}{\partial_\mu p_+(x, t, \mu)} \quad (121)$$

which recovers Eq. (36) of the text. As noted there it matches the equilibrium result for $t = 0$ since one has $-(\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \partial_x^2 p_\mu^0(x))^{1/3} = \frac{e_N(x, p)}{p} |_{p=p_\mu^0(x)}$ on the Fermi surf and $p_\mu^0(x) \partial_\mu p_\mu^0(x) = 1$, hence $b(x, t = 0) = \beta e_N = b$. In the dynamics, the same scaling function as in equilibrium thus describes the quantum and thermal rounding of the transported Fermi surf S_t . It depends on the parameter b which now becomes time dependent. It is also dependent of the position along the Fermi surf, i.e. on x . The regime of thermal crossover is thus now $b(x, t) = O(1)$.

Finally, as mentionned in the text below Eq. (35), for the harmonic oscillator one has $b(x, t) = b$, i.e. it is constant. This can be verified using that $b(x, t) = \beta D_+(x, t) / \partial_\mu p_+(x, t, \mu) = b$ together with Eq. (107) and

$$\partial_\mu p_+(x, t, \mu) = \frac{1}{\omega_0 \sqrt{x_e(t)^2 - x^2}} \quad (122)$$

which can be checked from (105).

VI. MULTI-POINT CORRELATIONS

A. Determinantal structure of correlations and Eynard-Mehta theorem

Here we address the multi-point, multi-time correlations. We show their extended determinantal structure and obtain Eq. (36) in the text for the two time kernel. We follow closely the presentation in [33] and extend it to the non equilibrium case. We want to calculate, with the notation $X^{(a)} = (x_1^a, \dots, x_N^a)$ the quantum correlations

$$C_{t_1, \dots, t_m}(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)}) = \langle E_0 | e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} t_m \mathcal{H}} | X^{(m)} \rangle \langle X^{(m)} | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (t_m - t_{m-1}) \mathcal{H}} | X^{(m-1)} \rangle \langle X^{(m-1)} | \dots | X^{(2)} \rangle \langle X^{(2)} | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (t_2 - t_1) \mathcal{H}} | X^{(1)} \rangle \langle X^{(1)} | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} t_1 \mathcal{H}} | E_0 \rangle \quad (123)$$

where here $|E_0\rangle$ is the ground state of \mathcal{H}_0 . It is easy to check that each $C_{t_1, \dots, t_m}(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)})$ is normalized to unity. Nevertheless, for $m \geq 2$, they do not have the interpretation of a probability. Indeed they are not necessarily real, since complex conjugation corresponds to time reversal $C_{t_1, \dots, t_m}^*(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)}) = C_{t_m, \dots, t_1}(X^{(m)}, \dots, X^{(1)})$. For $m = 1$ it is the equal time quantum joint probability $C_t(X) = |\Psi(X, t)|^2$, with $\Psi(X, t) = \langle X | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} t \mathcal{H}} | E_0 \rangle$.

For non-interacting fermions, using the determinantal form of the real time many body propagator in terms of the single particle one defined in (8) and the Slater determinant form of $\langle X | E_0 \rangle$

$$\langle X | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} t \mathcal{H}} | Y \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \det_{1 \leq j, k \leq N} G(x_j, y_k; it) \quad , \quad \langle X | E_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det_{1 \leq j, k \leq N} \phi_j^0(x_k) \quad (124)$$

we can rewrite

$$C_{t_1, \dots, t_m}(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)}) = \int dX^{(0)} dX^{(m+1)} C_{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m, t_{m+1}}(X^{(0)}, X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)}, X^{(m+1)})|_{t_0 \rightarrow 0, t_{m+1} \rightarrow 0} \quad (125)$$

where we have inserted two complete sets of states $|X^{(0)}\rangle$ and $|X^{(m+1)}\rangle$ at (fictitious) times t_0 and t_{m+1} (later set to zero) so that (in simplified notation)

$$C_{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m, t_{m+1}}(X^{(0)}, X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)}, X^{(m+1)}) = \frac{1}{N!^{m+2}} \det \phi_j^0(x_k^0) \det G(x_j^0, x_k^1; i(t_1 - t_0)) \det G(x_j^1, x_k^2; i(t_2 - t_1)) \\ \times \dots \times \det G(x_j^{m-1}, x_k^m; i(t_m - t_{m-1})) \det G(x_j^m, x_k^{m+1}; i(t_{m+1} - t_m)) \det \phi_j^0(x_k^{m+1}) \quad (126)$$

where the notation \det stands for $\det_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}$ and where we have used that the eigenfunctions ϕ_j^0 are real for a $1d$ confining potential (which is not a restriction for the present considerations). Note that consequently $G(x, x', \tau)$ is symmetric w.r.t. x, x' . We have reversed the order of the terms for convenience. It is now exactly the form required to apply the Eynard-Mehta theorem, see the formula (4.1) in Ref. [2] (see also Proposition 19 in Ref. [35]). We can thus apply the Theorem (4.2) in [2]. The Gram matrix \mathcal{G}_{jk} defined there becomes trivial in the limit of interest here, $t_0, t_{m+1} \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$. Indeed, using the convolution property of the propagator $\int dy G(x, y, \tau) G(y, x', \tau') = G(x, x', \tau + \tau')$, as well as $G(x, x', 0) = \delta(x - x')$, one has

$$\mathcal{G}_{jk} = \int \prod_{a=0}^{m+1} dx^a \phi_j^0(x^0) \prod_{a=0}^m G(x^a, x^{a+1}; i(t_{a+1} - t_a)) \phi_k^0(x^{m+1})|_{t_0 \rightarrow 0, t_{m+1} \rightarrow 0} = \int dx^0 \phi_j^0(x^0) \phi_k^0(x^0) = \delta_{jk} \quad (127)$$

We thus obtain that $C_{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m, t_{m+1}}(X^{(0)}, X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)}, X^{(m+1)})$ is a determinantal complex valued measure with the kernel in Eq. (4.2) in [2], which becomes, using again the properties of the propagator, for $0 \leq r \leq m+1$, $0 \leq s \leq m+1$ and setting $t_0 = t_{m+1} = 0$

$$K_\mu(x, t_r; y, t_s) = -G(x, y; i(t_s - t_r)) \theta_{0 \leq r < s \leq m+1} + \sum_{j=1}^N \int dz_1 dz_2 G(x, z_1, -it_r) \phi_j^0(z_1) \phi_j^0(z_2) G(z_2, y; it_s) \quad (128)$$

Upon integration over the extra coordinates X^0 and X^{m+1} (which is immediate, since using the determinantal property it simply amounts to removing them and multiply by $N!^2$), we finally obtain the following result. One defines (as in [33]) general multi space-time correlation functions involving an arbitrary number ℓ_j of fermions in each time slice t_j , with $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ as (see Fig. 3 of [33]) [34]

$$R_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m}(\{x_1^1, t_1; \dots; x_{\ell_1}^1, t_1\}, \dots, \{x_1^m, t_m; \dots; x_{\ell_m}^m, t_m\}) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{N!}{(N - \ell_j)!} \int \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=\ell_j+1}^N dx_i^{(j)} C_{t_1, \dots, t_m}(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)}) \quad (129)$$

The EM theorem states that any such correlation equals the determinant

$$R_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m}(\{x_1^1, t_1; \dots; x_{\ell_1}^1, t_1\}, \dots, \{x_1^m, t_m; \dots; x_{\ell_m}^m, t_m\}) = \det_{1 \leq r, s \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq \ell_r, 1 \leq j \leq \ell_s} K_\mu(x_i^r, t_r; x_j^s, t_s) \quad (130)$$

with the following extended real time kernel, for $1 \leq r, s \leq m$

$$K_\mu(x, t_r; y, t_s) = \int dz_1 dz_2 G(x, z_1, -it_r) \left(\sum_k (n_k^0 - \theta_+(t_s - t_r)) \phi_k^0(z_1) \phi_k^0(z_2) \right) G(z_2, y; it_s) \quad (131)$$

$$= \sum_k (n_k^0 - \theta_+(t_s - t_r)) \psi_k^*(x, t_r) \psi(y, t_s) \quad (132)$$

where $\theta_+(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ and $\theta_+(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$. Here we have introduced the occupation numbers of the initial state. It is clear that this derivation can be performed for any eigenstate of \mathcal{H}_0 with arbitrary set of occupation numbers $n_k \in \{0, 1\}$. Performing the GC average at the end, amounts to replacing the n_k^0 by their GC averages \bar{n}_k^0 . It leads to the corresponding determinantal property (130) in the GC ensemble at any temperature with the GC extended kernel given in Eq. (36) in the text.

In the case $H = H_0$, we have $\psi_k(x, t) = e^{-i\omega(k+\frac{1}{2})t} \phi_k^0(x)$ and Eq. (131) identifies with the formula (153) of Ref. [33] (arXiv version) for the kernel at equilibrium.

The simplest application of the above formula is to calculate the correlation functions of the density operator at different times and $T = 0$. We define the density operator

$$\langle X|\hat{\rho}(z)|X'\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(x_i - z)\delta(X - X') \quad , \quad \hat{\rho}(z, t) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{H}t}\hat{\rho}(z)e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{H}t}. \quad (133)$$

Applying the formula (130) with one fermion per time slice ($\ell_1 = \ell_m = 1$) we obtain

$$\langle \Psi_0|\hat{\rho}(x_1, t_1) \dots \hat{\rho}(x_m, t_m)|\Psi_0\rangle = \det K_\mu(x_i, t_i; x_j, t_j) \quad (134)$$

with $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ where $|\Psi_0\rangle$ denotes the ground-state of H_0 .

B. Bulk and edge two time correlations and extended kernels

Consider the case where $H(t)$ is the harmonic oscillator with time dependent frequency $\omega(t)$ and H_0 is the harmonic oscillator with fixed frequency ω_0 . Using the rescaling method, i.e. formula (15) in the text, and the representation of the kernel, Eq (36) in the text, we can relate the nonequilibrium two time kernel to the equilibrium one

$$K_\mu(x, t; x', t') = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(\frac{L'(t)}{2L(t)}x^2 - \frac{L'(t')}{2L(t')}x'^2\right)}}{\sqrt{L(t)L(t')}} K_\mu^{\text{eq}}\left(\frac{x}{L(t)}, \tau(t); \frac{x'}{L(t')}, \tau(t')\right). \quad (135)$$

where $L(t)$ is the solution of the Ermakov equation (28) (setting $\omega_1 = \omega_0$) with $L(0) = 1, L'(0) = 0$ and $\tau'(t) = 1/L(t)^2$. Note that the right hand side only depends on $\tau(t) - \tau(t')$.

Let us now recall the results for the equilibrium two time kernel, K_μ^{eq} , for the ω_0 harmonic oscillator obtained in [33] in the large N limit.

Bulk regime. In the bulk it takes the scaling form

$$K_\mu^{\text{eq}}(x, t; x', t') = e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega_0(t-t')} \frac{1}{\xi_x} \mathcal{K}^{\text{bulk,r}}\left(\frac{|x-x'|}{\xi_x}, \hbar \frac{t-t'}{\xi_x^2}\right) \quad (136)$$

where the scaling function has the simple form at $T = 0$

$$\mathcal{K}^{\text{bulk,r}}(z, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^2 e^{iv^2 t/2} \cos(vz) dv \quad , \quad t \geq 0 \quad (137)$$

$$\mathcal{K}^{\text{bulk,r}}(z, t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_2^{+\infty} e^{iv^2 t/2} \cos(vz) dv \quad , \quad t < 0 \quad (138)$$

where $\xi_x = 2/(\pi N \rho_N(x)) = \frac{2\hbar}{\omega_0 \sqrt{x_e^2 - x^2}}$ where $x_e = \sqrt{2\mu}/\omega_0 = \sqrt{2N\hbar/\omega_0}$. At finite T it can be read off from formula (90-92) in [33].

We note that $\xi_x \sim 1/\sqrt{N}$ at large N , hence the equilibrium kernel decays very fast in $t - t'$. One can thus expand for $t - t'$ small, $t - t' \sim N^{-1}$, and $x - x' \sim N^{-1/2}$. Inserting the scaling form (136) into Eq. (135) and using the expansion

$$\tau(t) - \tau(t') \simeq (t - t')\tau'(t) = \frac{t - t'}{L(t)^2} \quad , \quad \frac{x}{L(t)} - \frac{x'}{L(t')} \simeq \frac{x - x'}{L(t)} - x(t - t') \frac{L'(t)}{L(t)^2} \quad (139)$$

we obtain the formula (38) of the text (where we have discarded the global phase factor) with

$$\xi_{x,t} = L(t)\xi_{x/L(t)} = \frac{2\hbar L(t)^2}{\omega_0 \sqrt{x_e(t)^2 - x^2}} \quad (140)$$

where $x_e(t)$ is the time dependent edge, $x_e(t) = L(t)x_e$. One can thus define a velocity of propagation of correlations $v_{x,t} = xL'(t)/L(t)$, at the edge $x = x_e(t)$ is equal to the velocity of the edge $\frac{d}{dt}x_e(t) = x_e L'(t)$ (since $x_e(t) = x_e L(t)$). Note that this velocity is of order $N^{1/2}$ hence the two terms in the argument of the scaling function in the Eq. (38) of the text, i.e. $x - x'$ and $v_{x,t}(t - t')$, are of the same order, i.e. $N^{-1/2}$.




FIG. 3. Illustration of the two different scales in the nonequilibrium dynamics at the edge [see Eq. (39) for the two time correlation in the text]: the two regions (in red) $x - x_e(t) \sim w_N(t) \sim N^{-1/6}$ and $x' - x_e(t') \sim w_N(t) \sim N^{-1/6}$ (i.e. the scale over which the density vanishes) are separated by a much larger length scale (in blue) which is due to the motion of the edge $x_e(t') - x_e(t) \sim N^{1/6}$.

Edge regime. We recall that near the edge, i.e. for $x, x' \approx x_e$, the equilibrium kernel (at finite temperature) takes the scaling form

$$K_{\mu}^{\text{eq}}(x, t; x', t') = \frac{1}{w_N} \mathcal{K}_b^{\text{edge,r}}\left(\frac{x - x_e}{w_N}, \frac{x' - x_e}{w_N}, (t - t')\omega_0 N^{1/3}\right) \quad (141)$$

where $w_N = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_0}} N^{-1/6} \sim \mu^{-1/6}$ and $b = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{T} N^{1/3}$. The scaling functions are [33]

$$\mathcal{K}_b^{\text{edge,r}}(s, s', u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dv \frac{e^{-ivu}}{e^{-bv} + 1} \text{Ai}(s+v) \text{Ai}(s'+v) \quad , \quad u \geq 0 \quad (142)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_b^{\text{edge,r}}(s, s', u) = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dv \frac{e^{-ivu}}{e^{-bv} + 1} \text{Ai}(s+v) \text{Ai}(s'+v) \quad , \quad u < 0 \quad (143)$$

We now insert the scaling form (141) into Eq. (135) and use the expansion, for small time differences $t' - t \sim N^{-1/3}$

$$(\tau(t) - \tau(t')) \omega_0 N^{1/3} \simeq \frac{t - t'}{L(t)^2} \omega_0 N^{1/3} \quad (144)$$

$$\frac{x'/L(t') - x_e}{w_N} = \frac{1}{w_N L(t)} (x' - x_e(t) - x'(t' - t) \frac{L'(t)}{L(t)}) \simeq \frac{1}{w_N L(t)} (x' - x_e(t) - x_e(t)(t' - t) \frac{L'(t)}{L(t)}). \quad (145)$$

This leads to Eq. (39) in the text (up to a global phase factor which was discarded) where $w_N(t) = w_N L(t)$ and $v_e(t) = x_e L'(t)$ is the velocity of the edge. Note that this velocity is of order $N^{1/2}$ hence now the term $v_e(t - t')$ is of order $N^{1/6}$, while $x - x_e(t) \sim N^{-1/6}$. Hence the two regions $x - x_e(t) \sim N^{-1/6}$ and $x' - x_e(t') \sim N^{-1/6}$ are separated in space by a much larger distance $N^{1/6}$ (see Figure 4).

VII. LARGE TIME LIMIT

As discussed in the text, it was found in [20] that in the classical limit $\hbar = 1/N$ and large N , the Wigner function converges at large time to a limit which was calculated. This was claimed to hold for “generic” potentials $V(x)$, but not for the harmonic oscillator.

In the text we have studied the quantum case, and obtained the formula for the diagonal approximation (DA)

$$K_{\mu}^{\text{di}}(x, x') = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \nu_{\ell} \phi_{\ell}^*(x) \phi_{\ell}(x') \quad (146)$$

where we recall that the $\phi_{\ell}(x)$ are the eigenstates of H and

$$\nu_{\ell} = \sum_k \bar{n}_k^0 |\langle \phi_{\ell} | \phi_k^0 \rangle|^2 = \langle \phi_{\ell} | \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(H_0 - \bar{\mu})}} | \phi_{\ell} \rangle \quad (147)$$

using that $\bar{n}_k^0 = (1 + e^{\beta(\bar{\mu} - \epsilon_k^0)})^{-1}$, implying $\sum_k \bar{n}_k^0 |\phi_k^0\rangle \langle \phi_k^0| = [1 + e^{\beta(H_0 - \bar{\mu})}]^{-1}$. As discussed in the text, the DA gives the exact *time average* of the kernel at large time, $K_{\bar{\mu}}^{\text{di}}(x, x') = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau dt K_{\bar{\mu}}(x, x', t)$. This is an exact statement, a priori valid for any N and \hbar , since the eigenstates of H are non degenerate. If the large time limit of the kernel exists, i.e. $K_{\bar{\mu}}^\infty(x, x') = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\bar{\mu}}(x, x', t)$, then it is given by the DA, i.e. $K_{\bar{\mu}}^\infty(x, x') = K_{\bar{\mu}}^{\text{di}}$. However, showing that this limit exists, e.g. for large N and “generic” potentials, and quantify the decay towards it, is much more delicate and a priori requires further knowledge of the spectrum of H . There are potentials, such as the harmonic oscillator, and, as we have shown here, the harmonic oscillator plus a $1/x^2$ potential (which is a fully quantum case which does not obey the Liouville equation), where for any N the system is time-periodic and the limit thus does not exist. This program was achieved in the classical limit $\hbar = 1/N$ and large N in [20], where a power law decay of the Wigner function to the limit was obtained. This implies the same for the kernel since they are related by simply Fourier transforming. For the quantum case, to our knowledge, the question of large time convergence is open.

Here we derive Eq. (41) in the text for the Wigner function in the DA. Then we take the classical limit and verify that it reproduces the result of [20]. As shown in the text, the DA is also equivalent to the result from the simplest Generalized Gibbs Ensemble (GGE) prediction. This settles the question for correlation embodied in the kernel, e.g. the one point density. Next we derive the formula (45) in the text for multipoint correlations in the DA, which deviates from the predictions of the simplest GGE.

A. Derivation of Eq. (41) of the text

To calculate the Wigner function associated with the DA kernel (146) we define the kernel of the ground state of \mathcal{H}

$$K_\mu^H(x, x') = \sum_\ell \theta(\mu - \epsilon_\ell) |\phi_\ell\rangle \langle \phi_\ell|. \quad (148)$$

Now, let us define a function $\nu_{\bar{\mu}}(\epsilon)$ such that

$$\nu_{\bar{\mu}}(\epsilon_\ell) = \nu_\ell \quad (149)$$

This function will be evaluated only at these points hence its value elsewhere is unimportant. Using $\partial_\mu K_\mu^H(x, x') = \sum_\ell \delta(\mu - \epsilon_\ell) |\phi_\ell\rangle \langle \phi_\ell|$ we see that we can rewrite

$$K_{\bar{\mu}}^{\text{di}}(x, x') = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \nu_{\bar{\mu}}(\epsilon_\ell) \phi_\ell^*(x) \phi_\ell(x') = \int d\mu' \nu_{\bar{\mu}}(\mu') K_{\mu'}^H(x, x') \quad (150)$$

If we define the Wigner function $W_\mu^H(x, x')$ associated to the ground state of \mathcal{H} from $K_\mu^H(x, x')$ using the Eq. (9) of the text, by linearity of the Fourier transform we obtain Eq. (41) of the text.

B. Classical limit

To deal with the classical limit it is convenient to introduce the density of occupation numbers and the density of states of H , together with their relation

$$\hat{\nu}_{\bar{\mu}}(\epsilon) = \sum_\ell \nu_\ell \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_\ell) \quad , \quad \rho(\epsilon) = \sum_\ell \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_\ell) \quad , \quad \hat{\nu}_{\bar{\mu}}(\epsilon) = \nu_{\bar{\mu}}(\epsilon) \rho(\epsilon) \quad (151)$$

In the classical limit $\hbar \sim 1/N$, and large N , we can use the result that the Wigner function $W_\mu^H(x, p)$ becomes $W_\mu^H(x, p) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(\mu - H(x, p))$. Inserting this form in Eq. (41) of the text we obtain Eq. (43) of the text, i.e.

$$W_{\bar{\mu}}^{\text{di}}(x, p) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \nu_{\bar{\mu}}(H(x, p)) \quad , \quad H(x, p) = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(x) \quad (152)$$

We now restrict ourselves to the case $T = 0$, and consider the probability that a measure of the energy operator H of one fermion in the initial state gives a value ϵ , $P(\epsilon)$. In the classical limit we know that this can be evaluated as an average over the Wigner function of the initial state of $H(x, p)$

$$P^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{N} \int dx_0 dp_0 W(x_0, p_0, 0) \delta(\epsilon - H(x_0, p_0)) \quad (153)$$

which is normalized to unity. On the other hand the same observable in the quantum case can be calculated as follows

$$P(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle \Psi(t=0) | \delta(\epsilon - H_i) | \Psi(t=0) \rangle \quad , \quad \langle x_1, \dots, x_n | \Psi(t=0) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det_{1 \leq i, k \leq N} \phi_k^0(x_i) \quad (154)$$

where H_i is the single particle Hamiltonian of the i -th particle, leading to

$$P(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \phi_k^0 | \delta(\epsilon - H) | \phi_k^0 \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \theta(\mu - \epsilon_k^0) \langle \phi_k^0 | \delta(\epsilon - H) | \phi_k^0 \rangle \quad (155)$$

as can be seen e.g. expanding the Slater determinants in sums over permutations and integrating over all coordinates except one. One can check that it is also normalized to unity. This formula can be rewritten as

$$P(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}[\theta(\mu - H_0) \delta(\epsilon - H)] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell} \langle \phi_{\ell} | \theta(\mu - \epsilon_{\ell}) | \phi_{\ell} \rangle \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\ell}) = \frac{1}{N} \hat{\nu}_{\mu}(\epsilon) \quad (156)$$

where in the second equality we expressed the trace in the eigenbasis of H and used that $\nu_{\ell} = \langle \phi_{\ell} | \theta(\mu - \epsilon_{\ell}) | \phi_{\ell} \rangle$.

It is thus clear that in the classical limit at $T = 0$ we have

$$P(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{N} \hat{\nu}_{\mu}(\epsilon) \rightarrow P^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar N} \int dx_0 dp_0 \delta(\epsilon - \frac{p_0^2}{2} - V(x_0)) \theta(\mu - \frac{p_0^2}{2} - V_0(x_0)) \quad (157)$$

We now use our result (152) for the Wigner function in the classical limit

$$W_{\mu}^{\text{di}}(x, p) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \nu_{\mu}(H(x, p)) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{\hat{\nu}_{\mu}^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)}{\rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)} \Big|_{\epsilon=H(x, p)} = \frac{N}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{P^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)}{\rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)} \Big|_{\epsilon=H(x, p)} \quad (158)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho^{\text{sc}}(H(x, p))} \int \frac{dx_0 dp_0}{2\pi\hbar} \delta(H(x, p) - H(x_0, p_0)) W(x_0, p_0, 0) \quad (159)$$

where $\rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)$ is the semi-classical density of states of H . This is our main result for the classical limit of the DA Wigner function, which we recall is exact for the time averaged Wigner function in all cases (i.e. solution of the Liouville solution) and equal to the large time limit when this limit exists. Although derived here from the quantum formula at $T = 0$ it is actually easy to see that it extends to a finite temperature quench, and in fact to any initial condition $W(x_0, p_0, 0)$ (see below).

We can now obtain a more explicit form for the numerator and the denominator of the first line of (158), which will allow us to compare with the result of [20], obtained by a completely different method. Let us consider, again, only the case $T = 0$. If $V(x)$ is a confining potential, there are only periodic classical trajectories. The semi-classical density of states $\rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)$ is related to the period $T(\epsilon)$ of the classical orbits at energy ϵ . Let us assume for simplicity that there is only one periodic orbit at energy ϵ , with turning points $x_{\pm}(\epsilon)$. In the limit of small \hbar , the semi-classical quantization condition for level k at energy $\epsilon = \epsilon_k$ reads $2 \int_{x_{-}(\epsilon)}^{x_{+}(\epsilon)} dx \sqrt{2(\epsilon - V(x))} \Big|_{\epsilon=\epsilon_k} = 2\pi\hbar k$. From this one obtains

$$\rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon) \simeq \frac{dk}{d\epsilon_k} \Big|_{\epsilon_k=\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\hbar\pi} \int_{x_{-}(\epsilon)}^{x_{+}(\epsilon)} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2(\epsilon - V(x))}} = \frac{T(\epsilon)}{2\pi\hbar} \quad (160)$$

In case of multiple classical orbits at the same energy, with periods $T_i(\epsilon)$, as is the case e.g. for a double well potential, a more general formula is $\rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon) \simeq \frac{1}{\hbar\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2(\epsilon - V(x))_+}} = \sum_i T_i(\epsilon)/(2\pi\hbar)$ where $\frac{1}{\sqrt{(x)_+}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \theta(x)$.

Next one can integrate over p_0 in (157) and obtain

$$P^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\pi\hbar N} \int dx_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(\epsilon - V(x_0))_+}} \theta(\mu - \epsilon + V(x_0) - V_0(x_0)) \quad (161)$$

Note that there are two roots for p_0 leading to an overall factor of 2. Putting all together, we find our final formula for the Wigner function in the DA and the classical limit, valid in all cases

$$W_{\mu}^{\text{di}}(x, p) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(\epsilon - V(x_0))_+}} \theta(\mu - \epsilon + V(x_0) - V_0(x_0))}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dx_0}{\sqrt{2(\epsilon - V(x_0))_+}}} \Big|_{\epsilon=H(x, p)} \quad (162)$$

In Ref. [20] the simplest case was studied, i.e. when there are at most two roots to the equation

$$\mu - H_0(x_0, p_0) = \epsilon - H(x_0, p_0) \Leftrightarrow \mu - \epsilon + V(x_0) - V_0(x_0) = 0 \quad (163)$$

denoted there $x_0^\pm(\epsilon)$, and a single periodic orbit per energy. In that case our formula (162) can be rewritten as

$$W_\mu^{\text{di}}(x, p) \simeq \frac{1}{\pi \hbar T(\epsilon)} \int_{x_0^-(\epsilon)}^{x_0^+(\epsilon)} dx_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(\epsilon - V(x_0))}} \Big|_{\epsilon=H(x,p)} \quad (164)$$

which can be compared with Eq. (56) in [20] for the different case of open trajectories in a spatially periodic system which takes a similar form.

In general however there can be more than two roots to the equation (163). Indeed for a given ϵ this equation describes the intersection of the contour line of H defined by the curve $H(x_0, p_0) = \epsilon$, with the initial Fermi surf $H_0(x, p) < \mu$, which may have an arbitrary even number of roots $x_0^{\pm, j}(\epsilon)$. The difference between these situations is illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5. In that case the formula (162) obtained here is likely to be more convenient.




FIG. 4. Case where there are at most two roots to the equation (163). In yellow the initial Fermi surf $H_0(x, p) = \mu$ in the (x, p) phase space. The intersection with the surfaces of fixed energy $H(x, p) = \epsilon$ determine the two roots $x_0^\pm(\epsilon)$. Note that there are in fact 4 intersections of these two surfaces because of the symmetry $p \rightarrow -p$.




FIG. 5. Same as Fig. 4 except now there can be more than two roots to (163), hence there can be more than 4 intersections of the two surfaces.

Let us mention an alternative approach to the above derivation. One defines the Wigner function for a single particle in the eigenstate ϕ_ℓ of H

$$W_\ell(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dp e^{ipy/\hbar} \phi_\ell^*(x + \frac{y}{2}) \phi_\ell(x - \frac{y}{2}) \quad , \quad \sum_\ell \theta(\mu - \epsilon_\ell) W_\ell(x, p) = W^H(x, x') \quad (165)$$

One can then show that $\nu_\ell = 2\pi\hbar \int dy dp W(y, p, 0) W_\ell(y, p)$, which implies that

$$W_\mu^{\text{di}}(x, p) = \sum_\ell \nu_\ell W_\ell(x, p) = 2\pi\hbar \sum_\ell \left[\int dy dp' W(y, p', 0) W_\ell(y, p') \right] W_\ell(x, p) \quad (166)$$

This shows that the N fermion Wigner function in the DA is a sum of single particle Wigner function, weighted by the overlap with the initial Wigner function. This overlap is, heuristically, proportional to the volume of phase space of each single particle state which lies inside the initial Fermi volume. In the semi-classical limit we thus have

$$W_\ell(x, p) \simeq \frac{1}{T(\epsilon_\ell)} \delta(\epsilon_\ell - \frac{p^2}{2} - V(x)) \quad (167)$$

which is clearly normalized to unity $\int dp dx W_\ell(x, p) = 1$. Inserting (167) into (166) we see that it exactly agrees with the final formula in (158) using that

$$\frac{1}{\rho^{\text{sc}}(\epsilon)} = (2\pi\hbar)^2 \sum_\ell \frac{1}{T(\epsilon_\ell)^2} \delta(\epsilon_\ell - \epsilon) \quad (168)$$

which is equivalent to (160).

Finally let us note that in the classical limit $2\pi\hbar W(x, p, t)$ is either 0 or 1 pointwise, as discussed above. However we note that the infinite time limit obtained here and in [20], $2\pi\hbar W(x, p, t = \infty)$ is a smooth function with values in $[0, 1]$. These two facts can be reconciled as follows. As can be seen, e.g. in the leftmost plot in Fig. 2 in [20], it is expected that this limit is indeed distributional and not pointwise. This means that its value is proportional the local density of points where $2\pi\hbar W$ takes the value 1. It would be interesting to understand how this rather unusual feature is modified in presence of the quantum effects, in the light of what we have shown here i.e. that at finite time the quantum corrections (i.e. a finite \hbar) induce a quantum ‘‘width’’ to the Fermi surf.

C. Diagonal ensemble and m -point correlations

We now derive the equation (45) in the text. We consider the evolution from an eigenstate $|\mathbf{n}^0\rangle$ of \mathcal{H}_0 with a given set of occupation numbers $\mathbf{n}_0 = \{n_k^0\}_{k \geq 1}$ with $n_k^0 \in \{0, 1\}$, with $\sum_{k=1}^\infty n_k^0 = N$. As discussed in Section IB the correlations in this state are determinantal and given by

$$R_{m, \mathbf{n}_0}(x_1, \dots, x_m, t) = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} K(x_i, x_j, t; \mathbf{n}_0) \quad , \quad K(x, x', t; \mathbf{n}_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} n_k^0 \psi_k^*(x, t) \psi_k(x', t) = \langle x | K[\mathbf{n}^0, t] | x' \rangle \quad (169)$$

where we define the operator form of the kernel as

$$K[\mathbf{n}^0, t] = \sum_k n_k^0 |\psi_k(t)\rangle \langle \psi_k(t)| \quad , \quad |\psi_k(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt/\hbar} |\phi_k^0\rangle \quad (170)$$

This can also be written as

$$R_{m, \mathbf{n}_0}(x_1, \dots, x_m, t) = \langle A : x_1, \dots, x_m | K[\mathbf{n}^0, t] \otimes \dots \otimes K[\mathbf{n}^0, t] | A : x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle \quad (171)$$

where \otimes is the tensorial product and $|A : x_1, \dots, x_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^\sigma |x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m)}\rangle$. The tensor product evolves as

$$K[\mathbf{n}^0, t] \otimes \dots \otimes K[\mathbf{n}^0, t] = e^{i\mathcal{H}_m t} K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] \otimes \dots \otimes K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m t} \quad (172)$$

where \mathcal{H}_m is the m fermion Hamiltonian, since we retain only antisymmetric states. We can parameterize its orthonormal eigenbasis by $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ where $n_\ell = 0, 1$ are occupation numbers with $\sum_\ell n_\ell = m$. These eigenstates are Slater determinants $\langle x_1, \dots, x_m | \mathbf{n} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \phi_{\ell_i}(x_j)$ (such that $n_\ell = \sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{\ell, \ell_j}$) and thus antisymmetric, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{m, \mathbf{n}_0}(x_1, \dots, x_m, t) \\ &= m! \sum_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}', \sum_\ell n_\ell = m, \sum_\ell n'_\ell = m} \langle x_1, \dots, x_m | \mathbf{n} \rangle \langle \mathbf{n}' | x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle e^{-it \sum_\ell \epsilon_\ell (n'_\ell - n_\ell)} \langle \mathbf{n} | K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] \otimes K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] \otimes \dots \otimes K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] | \mathbf{n}' \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (173)$$

The diagonal ensemble is defined such that we keep only the terms $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}'$ hence

$$R_{m, \mathbf{n}^0}^{\text{di}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = m! \sum_{\mathbf{n}, \sum_{\ell} n_{\ell} = m} |\langle x_1, \dots, x_m | \mathbf{n} \rangle|^2 \langle \mathbf{n} | K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] \otimes \dots \otimes K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] | \mathbf{n} \rangle \quad (174)$$

On the other hand it is easy to see that

$$\langle \mathbf{n} | K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] \otimes \dots \otimes K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] | \mathbf{n} \rangle = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \langle \phi_{\ell_i} | K[\mathbf{n}^0, 0] | \phi_{\ell_j} \rangle. \quad (175)$$

We can now perform the average over the occupation numbers \mathbf{n}^0 with the grand canonical weight and we obtain a first expression for the m -point GC correlation in the DA

$$R_m^{\text{di}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = m! \sum_{\mathbf{n}, \sum_{\ell} n_{\ell} = m} |\langle x_1, \dots, x_p | \mathbf{n} \rangle|^2 \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \langle \phi_{\ell_i} | \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(H_0 - \mu)}} | \phi_{\ell_j} \rangle \quad (176)$$

Defining as in the text $\nu_{\ell, \ell'} = \langle \phi_{\ell} | \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(H_0 - \mu)}} | \phi_{\ell'} \rangle = \langle c_{\ell}^{\dagger} c_{\ell'} \rangle_0$ and using the Slater determinant form of the states $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ given above we obtain the formula (45) in the text. We give here several other equivalent forms

$$\begin{aligned} R_m^{\text{di}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) &= \sum_{\ell_1 < \dots < \ell_m} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \phi_{\ell_k}(x_i) \phi_{\ell_k}(x_j) \right] \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \nu_{\ell_i, \ell_j} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{n}, \sum_{\ell} n_{\ell} = m} \det_{1 \leq r, s \leq m} \left[\sum_{i, j=1}^m \phi_{\ell_i}(x_r) \langle \phi_{\ell_i} | \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(H_0 - \mu)}} | \phi_{\ell_j} \rangle \phi_{\ell_j}(x_s) \right] = \sum_{\mathbf{n}, \sum_{\ell} n_{\ell} = m} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \langle x_i | K_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(H_0 - \mu)}} K_{\mathbf{n}} | x_j \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

This DA for the m point correlation is the exact limit of the time averaged kernel if there are no degeneracies in the m -fermion Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_m . Such degeneracies would happen if $\sum_{\ell} \epsilon_{\ell} (n'_{\ell} - n_{\ell}) = 0$ for some pairs of distincts $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{n}'$.

As mentioned in the text the result for the DA is different from the prediction of the simplest GGE. This prediction amounts making the replacement $\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \nu_{\ell_i, \ell_j} \rightarrow \nu_{\ell_1} \dots \nu_{\ell_m}$, leading to

$$R_m^{\text{di}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \rightarrow \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} [\nu_{\ell_i} \phi_{\ell_i}(x_j)] \det \phi_{\ell_i}(x_j) = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \left[\sum_{\ell} \nu_{\ell} \phi_{\ell}(x_i) \phi_{\ell}(x_j) \right] = R_m^{\text{GGE}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \quad (178)$$

where we have used the Cauchy-Binet identity. This shows that unless one can neglect the off-diagonal elements, the time averaged correlation (i.e. as obtained from the DA) does not coincide with the GGE prediction for $m \geq 2$.

-
- [1] See e.g. K. Johansson, *Random matrices and determinantal processes*, in Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School 2005 (A. Bovier, F. Dunlop, A. van Enter, F. den Hollander, and J. Dalibard, eds.), Elsevier Science, (2006); arXiv:math-ph/0510038.
- [2] A. Borodin, *Determinantal point processes*, arXiv:0911.1153, and in *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, G. Akemann, J. Baik, P. Di Francesco (Eds.), Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).
- [3] D.S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S.N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 063622 (2016).
- [4] D.S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S.N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, Phys. Rev. A, **97**, 063614 (2018).
- [5] K. Johansson, Probab. Theory Rel. **138**, 75 (2007).
- [6] A. Grabsch, S.N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, and C. Texier SciPost Physics, **4**, 014 (2018).
- [7] V.S. Popov and A.M. Perelomov, Soviet Physics JETP, **30**, 910-913 (1969).
- [8] V.P. Ermakov, Univ. Izv. Kiev. **20**, 1 (1880).
- [9] S. Mukherjee, A.G. Choudhury and P. Guha, arXiv:1603.08747
- [10] V. Gritsev, P. Barmettler and E. Demler, New J. Phys. **12**, 113005 (2010).
- [11] E. Quinn and M. Haque, Phys. Rev. A, **90**, 053609 (2014).
- [12] A. Minguzzi and D.M. Gangardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 240404 (2005).
- [13] D.S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, G. Schehr and S.M. Majumdar, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **52**, 144006 (2019).
- [14] B. Lacroix-A-Chez-Toine, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, EPL **120**, 10006 (2017).
- [15] B. Lacroix-A-Chez-Toine, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, J. Stat. Mech. 123103 (2018).
- [16] H. Kanasugi and H. Okada, Progress of Theoretical Physics, **93**, 949 (1995).
- [17] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Seventh Ed., Eds. A. Jeffrey and D. Zwillinger (2007).

- [18] P. J. Forrester, *Log-Gases and Random Matrices* (London Mathematical Society monographs, 2010).
- [19] C. Nadal and S. N. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 061117 (2009).
- [20] M. Kulkarni, G. Mandal and T. Morita, Phys. Rev. A **98**, 043610 (2018).
- [21] A. G. Abanov, *Hydrodynamics of correlated systems. Emptiness Formation Probability and Random Matrices*, in *Applications of Random Matrices in Physics*, Springer (Dordrecht), 139 (2006); arXiv:cond-mat/0504307.
- [22] A. Matytsin, Nuc. Phys. B **411**, 805 (1994).
- [23] J. Bun, J.P. Bouchaud and S.N. Majumdar, M. Potters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 070201 (2014).
- [24] M. Kulkarni and A. Lamacraft, Phys. Rev. A **88** 021603(R) (2013).
- [25] B. Doyon, J. Dubail, R. Konik and T. Yoshimura, Phys. Rev.Lett. **119**, 195301 (2017).
- [26] M.V. Berry Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A **287**, 237 (1977).
- [27] M.V. Berry and N.L. Balazs, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, **12**, 625 (1979).
- [28] S. Filippas and G.N. Makrakis, Multiscale Modeling Simulation, **1**, 674 (2003).
- [29] S. Filippas and G.N. Makrakis, European Journal of Applied Mathematics, **17**, 33 (2006).
- [30] N.L. Balazs and G.G. Zipfel Jr Annals of Physics **77**, 139 (1973).
- [31] N.L. Balazs and G.G. Zipfel Jr, J. Math. Phys. **15**, 2086 (1974).
- [32] P. Le Doussal, S.N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 030603 (2018).
- [33] P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, Ann. Phys. **383**, 312 (2017).
- [34] Note the misprint in formula E2 in [33], n_{ℓ_j} should be replaced by ℓ_j .
- [35] P.L. Ferrari, Lectures at the 2008 Beg Rohu school,
see <https://www-spht.cea.fr/Meetings/BegRohu2008/refsandnotes/Ferrari/Ferrari.pdf>