

AN UPPER BOUND ON PACHNER MOVES RELATING GEOMETRIC TRIANGULATIONS

TEJAS KALELKAR AND ADVAIT PHANSE

ABSTRACT. We show that any two geometric triangulations of a hyperbolic, spherical or Euclidean manifold are related by a sequence of Pachner moves of bounded length. This bound is in terms of the dimension of the manifold, the number of top dimensional simplexes and upper and lower bounds on the lengths of edges of the triangulation. This gives an algorithm to check if two geometrically triangulated compact hyperbolic or low dimensional spherical manifolds are isometric.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of determining if two given manifolds are homeomorphic has been extensively studied. Using ideas from Perelman's proof of the geometrization of closed irreducible 3 dimensional manifolds, Scott and Short[35] have built on work by Manning, Jaco, Oertel and others to give an algorithm for the homeomorphism problem of such manifolds. More recently, Kuperberg[18] has given a self-contained proof using only the statement of geometrization to show that the homeomorphism problem for 3-manifolds has computational complexity that is bounded by a bounded tower of exponentials in the number of tetrahedra.

Pachner[30] has shown that any two simplicial triangulations of a manifold which have a common subdivision are related by a finite sequence of local combinatorial transformation called bistellar or Pachner moves. A bound on the number of such moves required to go from one triangulation of an n -manifold to another gives an algorithm to solve the homeomorphism problem for n -manifolds. Mijatovic in a series of papers gives such a bound for a large class of 3-manifolds [23] [24] [25] [26]. The bounds he obtains are in terms of bounded towers of exponentials on the number of tetrahedra. In 1958, Markov[21] had shown that the homeomorphism problem is unsolvable for manifolds of dimension greater than 3. This curtailed the search for a general algorithm applicable to manifolds of all dimension. For closed hyperbolic manifolds, the fundamental group is a complete invariant but it is not easy to algorithmically check if two Kleinian groups are isomorphic.

In this paper, we give an algorithmic solution for the homeomorphism problem on the restricted class of geometrically triangulated constant curvature manifolds, by obtaining a bound on the number of Pachner moves needed to relate them. While this is a restricted class of manifolds, every closed irreducible atoroidal 3-manifold is either spherical or hyperbolic, and an important way of studying hyperbolic 3-manifolds is via their geometric triangulations.

Date: December 15, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57Q25, 57R05.

Key words and phrases. Hauptvermutung, geometric triangulation, Pachner moves, combinatorial topology.

A geometric triangulation of a Riemannian manifold is a triangulation where the interior of every simplex is a totally geodesic disk. Every constant curvature manifold has a geometric triangulation. Conversely, Cartan has shown that if for every point p in a Riemannian manifold M and every subspace V of T_pM there exists a totally geodesic submanifold S through p with $T_pS = V$, then M must have constant curvature; which seems to suggest that the only manifolds which have sufficiently many geometric triangulations are the constant curvature ones.

A weakly ideal triangulation of a cusped hyperbolic manifold is a geometric triangulation where some of the vertices may be on the sphere at infinity. The main result we prove in this paper states that geometric triangulations of a compact manifold are related by a sequence of Pachner moves of a length which is polynomial in the number of top dimensional simplexes and exponential in the upper bound on the length of edges, when we have a lower injectivity radius bound.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be either a compact spherical, Euclidean or hyperbolic n -manifold with geometric simplicial triangulations K_1 and K_2 or a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold with finite weakly ideal geometric triangulations K_1 and K_2 , which agree on ∂M (which may be empty). Then K_1 and K_2 are related by Pachner moves which do not remove vertices that are common to both.*

When M is compact, let K_1 and K_2 have p_n and q_n many n -simplexes respectively with lengths of edges bounded above by Λ and let $inj(M)$ be the injectivity radius of M . When M is spherical, we insist that $\Lambda \leq \pi/2$. Then K_1 and K_2 are related by $c(m, n)p_nq_n(p_n + q_n)$ many Pachner moves where $c(m, n) = (2n + 2)!^2(n + 1)!^{3m}$ and m is an integer greater than $\mu \ln(2\Lambda/inj(M))$ where μ is as follows:

- (1) When M is Euclidean, $\mu = n + 1$
- (2) When M is Spherical, $\mu = 2n + 1$
- (3) When M is Hyperbolic, $\mu = ncosh^{n-1}(\Lambda) + 1$

The injectivity radius can be bounded by a lower volume bound and upper diameter bound for the manifold. A naive lower volume bound and upper diameter bound of the manifold is given in terms of upper and lower bounds on the lengths of the edges and the number of top dimensional simplexes. The below result follows from Corollary 3.14 and Theorem 1.1:

Corollary 1.2. *With notations as in Theorem 1.1, let λ be a lower bound on the length of edges of K_1 , let Δ_λ^n be the regular n -simplex with edge length λ . Let \mathbb{S}^n be the round sphere. Then the bound $c(m, n)p_nq_n(p_n + q_n)$ on the number of Pachner moves relating K_1 and K_2 holds if we take m to be an integer greater than $\mu \ln(2\Lambda\delta vol(\mathbb{S}^n)/(\pi vol(M)))$ or $\mu \ln(2\Lambda\eta vol(\mathbb{S}^n)/(\pi p_n vol(\Delta_\lambda^n)))$, with δ and η as follows:*

- (1) When M is Euclidean, $\delta = diam(M)$ and $\eta = \Lambda p_n$
- (2) When M is Spherical, $\delta = 1$ and $\eta = 1$
- (3) When M is Hyperbolic, $\delta = sinh^{n-1}(diam(M))$ and $\eta = sinh^{n-1}(\Lambda p_n)$

Remark 1.3. As $vol(\mathbb{S}^n) \leq vol(\mathbb{S}^6)$ for all n , we can replace \mathbb{S}^n with \mathbb{S}^6 in the lower bounds for m . The minimum volume closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold is the Weeks manifold with volume greater than $w = 0.9427$ [11]. So for such manifolds we can take $m > \mu \ln(2\Lambda\delta vol(\mathbb{S}^3)/(\pi w))$ or $m > \mu \ln(2\Lambda\eta vol(\mathbb{S}^3)/(\pi w))$. For even

dimensional closed hyperbolic manifolds, Hopf's generalised Gauss Bonnet formula gives us $\text{vol}(M) = (-1)^{n/2} \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^n) \chi(M)/2$ where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M , so we can take $m > \mu \ln(4\Lambda\delta/\pi)$ or $m > \mu \ln(4\Lambda\eta/\pi)$. In general, for any n greater than 3, it is known that there are only finitely many closed hyperbolic n -manifolds with volume less than a fixed number. So for closed hyperbolic manifolds, in most cases we do not need the volume term in the lower bound of m .

We must point out that as Pachner moves are combinatorial in nature, the intermediate triangulations we obtain may not be geometric. But as they are just local combinatorial operations, such a bound gives a naive algorithm to check if the given hyperbolic or low dimensional spherical manifolds are isometric.

Corollary 1.4. *Let (M, K_M) and (N, K_N) be geometrically triangulated compact hyperbolic, spherical or Euclidean manifolds or cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds with finite weakly ideal geometric triangulations. When they are spherical we further assume that each edge has length at most $\pi/2$. Let K_M and K_N have p_n and q_n many n -simplexes respectively. If (M, K_M) is isometric relative boundary triangulation to (N, K_N) then K_M and K_N are related by $c(m, n)p_nq_n(p_n + q_n)$ many Pachner moves followed by a simplicial isomorphism, where $c(m, n)$ is as defined in Theorem 1.1. Conversely, when M and N are both closed spherical manifolds of dimension at most 6 or both compact hyperbolic manifolds of dimension at least 3, then they are isometric if K_M and K_N are related by a sequence of Pachner moves and a simplicial isomorphism.*

Remark 1.5. In the algorithm above, if we allow barycentric subdivisions as well as Pachner moves then we can instead take m barycentric subdivisions of any geometric triangulation of M (which may not be simplicial to start with) and then just look at all possible Pachner sequences of length $(2n + 2)!^2 p_n q_n (p_n + q_n)$.

1.1. Outline of Proof. Given geometric triangulations K_1 and K_2 of M , we first take repeated barycentric subdivisions till each simplex lies in a strongly convex ball. This is where we need a bound on the length of edges to handle tall thin 'needle-shaped' tetrahedra. The factor by which these subdivisions scale simplexes is worked out in Section 4. Next we consider the geometric polyhedral complex $K_1 \cap K_2$ obtained by intersecting the simplexes of K_1 and K_2 , which we further subdivide to a common geometric subdivision K' . As simplexes of K_1 and K_2 are strongly convex they intersect at most once, which gives a bound on the number of simplexes in K' . Our original approach was to appeal to Pachner's theorem at this stage to relate K_i and K' with Pachner moves, however it is not clear to the authors if geometric subdivisions are in fact subdivisions in the sense of simplicial complexes.

A subdivision L of a simplicial complex K is defined as a simplicial complex such that in some \mathbb{R}^N they have a common realisation $|L| = |K|$ where each simplex of K and L is a linear simplex and each simplex of L lies in some simplex of K . A geometric subdivision K' of a geometric triangulation K of a manifold M is a geometric triangulation of M such that every simplex of K' lies in some simplex of K . For K' to be a simplicial subdivision of K we would need an embedding of M in some \mathbb{R}^N which simultaneously takes each simplex of K and of K' to linear simplexes in \mathbb{R}^N . Pachner's proof[30][19] relies on inductively subdividing K by slicing it with hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^N along faces of K' to get a common slice

subdivision of both K and K' and then proving that a slice subdivision can be realised via stellar, and eventually, bistellar moves.

There do exist topological subdivisions of simplicial triangulations which are not subdivisions as simplicial complexes. Lickorish[20] has shown that there exists a simplicial triangulation K' of the 3-simplex Δ which contains in its 1-skeleton a trefoil with just 3 edges. If K' were a simplicial subdivision of Δ there would exist an embedding of Δ in \mathbb{R}^N which takes both Δ and simplexes of K' to linear simplexes in \mathbb{R}^N . As the stick number of a trefoil is 6, there can exist no such embedding.

We use instead the property of shellability of polytopes, introduced in the seminal 1971 paper by Bruggesser and Mani[4]. It is easy to see that 2 dimensional polytopes are shellable. Higher dimensional PL polytopes are not in general shellable. The earliest example of nonshellable topological subdivisions of 3-polytopes were given by Newman[29] way back in 1926. Later Rudin[34] showed that even linear subdivisions of a 3-simplex may not be shellable. For spheres, Lickorish[20] has given several examples of unshellable triangulations. These examples illustrate that even in the simplest of cases, the property of shellability may not hold. Recently though Adiprasito and Benedetti[1] have shown that linear subdivisions of convex polytopes are shellable up to subdivision.

Theorem 1.6 (Theorem A of [1]). *If C is any subdivision of a convex polytope, the second derived subdivision of C is shellable. If $\dim C = 3$, already the first derived subdivision of C is shellable.*

Given a geometric subdivision αK of K , we define partial barycentric subdivisions $\beta_r^\alpha K$ as a subdivision which is the given subdivision αA on simplexes A of dimension at most r and the barycentric subdivision βA on the rest. By Theorem 1.6, αA is shellable up to subdivisions and we show that the link of A in $\beta_r^\alpha K$ is also shellable so that we can extend shellability to 'star neighbourhoods' of αA in $\beta_r^\alpha K$. When a polytope is shellable it is easy to see that it is starrable, i.e., there exists a sequence of Pachner moves which takes the subdivision of a star neighbourhood to the cone on its boundary. Using this, we get a sequence of Pachner moves which takes a star neighbourhood of αA to a cone on its boundary and therefore varying A over all r simplexes of K , a sequence of moves from $\beta_r^\alpha K$ to $\beta_{r-1}^\alpha K$. This gives a sequence of moves from $\beta_n^\alpha K = \alpha K$ to $\beta_0^\alpha K = \beta K$. Lastly, taking $\alpha K = K$ as the trivial subdivision we get a sequence from K to βK to complete the proof.

2. SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

In this section we fix notation and prove results about starring and taking partial barycentric subdivisions of abstract simplicial complexes. The books by Rourke and Sanderson[33] and Ziegler[41] are good sources of introduction to the theory of piecewise linear topology.

Definition 2.1. An abstract simplicial complex consists of a finite set K^0 (the vertices) and a family K of subsets of K^0 (the simplexes) such that if $B \subset A \in K$ then $B \in K$. If the maximal simplex is of size $n + 1$, we call n the dimension of K . A simplicial isomorphism between simplicial complexes is a bijection between their vertices which induces a bijection between their simplexes. A realisation of a simplicial complex K is a subspace $|K|$ of some \mathbb{R}^N , where K^0 is represented by a finite subset of \mathbb{R}^N and vertices of each simplex are in general position and




FIGURE 1. Induction step with $A \star \partial B \in \partial K_1$ and $\partial A \star B \in \partial K$

represented by the linear simplex which is their convex hull. We call K a simplicial triangulation of a manifold M if there exists a homeomorphism from a realisation $|K|$ of K to M . The simplexes of this triangulation are the images of simplexes of $|K|$ under this homeomorphism.

Every simplicial complex has a realisation in \mathbb{R}^N where N is the size of K_0 , by representing K_0 as a basis of \mathbb{R}^N . Any two realisations of a simplicial complex are simplicially isomorphic.

For A a simplex of K , we denote by ∂A the boundary complex of proper faces of A . When the context is clear, we shall use the same symbol A to denote the simplex and the simplicial complex $A \cup \partial A$.

Definition 2.2. For A and B simplexes of a simplicial complex K , we denote their join $A \star B$ as the simplex $A \cup B$. The link of a simplex A in a simplicial complex K is the simplicial complex defined by $lk(A, K) = \{B \in K : A \star B \in K\}$. The (closed) star of A in K is the simplicial complex defined by $st(A, K) = A \star lk(A, K)$.

Definition 2.3. [19] Suppose that A and B are simplexes of a simplicial triangulation of an n -manifold M with boundary ∂M , that $A \star B$ is an n -simplex of M , that $A \cap \partial M = \partial A$ and that $B \star \partial A \subset \partial M$. Then the manifold M' obtained from M by elementary shelling along B is the closure of $M \setminus (A \star B)$. Closure here means adding the simplexes of $A \star \partial B$. The relation between M and M' will be denoted by $M \xrightarrow{(shB)} M'$. An n -ball is said to be shellable if it can be reduced to an n -simplex by a sequence of elementary shellings. An n -sphere is shellable if removing some n -simplex from it gives a shellable n -ball.

Definition 2.4. [19] Suppose that A is an r -simplex in a simplicial complex K of dimension n and that $lk(A, K) = \partial B$ for some $n - r$ simplex $B \notin K$. Then the Pachner move $\kappa(A, B)$ consists of changing K by removing $A \star \partial B$ and inserting $\partial A \star B$.

We reproduce the proof of the statement that shellable balls are starrable from [19] for readability and to record the number of Pachner moves required in the starring process.

Lemma 2.5 (Lemma 5.7 of [19]). *Let K be a shellable triangulation of an n -ball with r many n -simplexes, then $v \star \partial K$ is related to K by a sequence of r Pachner moves.*




FIGURE 2. When $K = A \star B$, βB is isomorphic to $lk(A, \beta_1 K)$

Proof. We prove this by induction on the number r of n -simplexes of K . If $r = 1$, then K is a n -simplex and a single Pachner move changes K to $v \star \partial K$.

Suppose that the first elementary shelling of K is $K \xrightarrow{(shB)} K_1$, where $A \star B$ is a n -simplex of X , $A \cap \partial K = \partial A$ and $B \star \partial A \in \partial K$ (see Figure 1). By the induction on r , K_1 is simplicially isomorphic to $v \star \partial K_1$ after at most $r - 1$ Pachner moves. Observe that $v \star \partial K_1 \cup A \star B$ is changed to $v \star \partial K$ by the single Pachner move $\kappa(A, v \star B)$. \square

Definition 2.6. Given an n -dimensional simplicial complex K let $\beta_r K$ be the simplicial complex such that, if A is a simplex in K and $\dim(A) \leq r$, then $\beta_r A = A$. If $\dim(A) > r$ then replace A with the complex $\beta_r A = a \star \beta_r \partial A$, i.e. it is subdivided as the cone on the already defined subdivision of its boundary. Observe that $\beta_n K = K$ and we call $\beta_0 K = \beta K$ the barycentric subdivision of K .

The following lemma relates the links of simplexes in a partial barycentric subdivision with the barycentric subdivision of the links in the original simplicial complex, as can be seen in Figure 2.

Lemma 2.7. *Let A be a r -simplex in a simplicial complex K . Then $lk(A, \beta_r K)$ is simplicially isomorphic to $\beta lk(A, K)$.*

Proof. Observe that as A is r -dimensional, $\beta_r A = A$ and we can take A to be a simplex of both $\beta_r K$ and K .

Let B be a simplex in $lk(A, K)$. The barycentric subdivision βB of B is given by $b \star \beta \partial B$. So the vertices of $\beta lk(A, K)$ are exactly such points b , one for each simplex B in $lk(A, K)$. As $A \star B$ has dimension greater than r , so $\beta_r(A \star B) = b' \star \beta_r(\partial(A \star B))$. And as A is unchanged by β_r , so $A \in \beta_r(\partial(A \star B))$ and consequently $b' \star A \in \beta_r(A \star B) \subset \beta_r K$. So given $B \in lk(A, K)$, we obtain a vertex b' of $lk(A, \beta_r K)$. Conversely, given a vertex b' of $lk(A, \beta_r K)$, $b' \star A$ is a simplex in $\beta_r K$ of dimension more than r . So there exists some $B \in lk(A, K)$ such that $\beta_r(A \star B) = b' \star \beta_r(\partial(A \star B))$.

Define ϕ as this bijection from the vertex set of $\beta lk(A, K)$ to the vertex set of $lk(A, \beta_r K)$ which sends the vertex b corresponding to $B \in lk(A, K)$ to the vertex b' of $\beta_r(A \star B)$. We claim that ϕ extends to a simplicial isomorphism from $\beta lk(A, K)$ to $lk(A, \beta_r K)$. See Figure 2 for the case when $K = A \star B$ and $r = 1$.

As ϕ is a bijection on the vertices it is a simplicial isomorphism on the 0-skeleton of $\beta lk(A, K)$. Let $B \in lk(A, K)$ be m dimensional and assume that ϕ is a simplicial

isomorphism on the $m - 1$ skeleton of $\beta lk(A, K)$. As $\beta_r(A \star B) = b' \star \beta_r \partial(A \star B) = (b' \star \beta_r(\partial A \star B)) \cup (b' \star \beta_r(A \star \partial B))$ so each simplex of $\beta_r(A \star B)$ lies entirely in $(b' \star \beta_r(\partial A \star B))$ or $(b' \star \beta_r(A \star \partial B))$ (or both). So if $A \star C \in \beta_r(A \star B)$ then as A belongs to $b' \star \beta_r(A \star \partial B)$ so C belongs to it as well, and we get $lk(A, \beta_r(A \star B)) = lk(A, b' \star \beta_r(A \star \partial B))$. As $A \in \beta_r(A \star \partial B)$ so $lk(A, b' \star \beta_r(A \star \partial B)) = b' \star lk(A, \beta_r(A \star \partial B))$. By assumption, ϕ restricted to $\beta(\partial B)$ is simplicially isomorphic to $lk(A, \beta_r(A \star \partial B))$. So $\beta B = b \star \beta(\partial B)$ is simplicially isomorphic via ϕ to $b' \star lk(A, \beta_r(A \star \partial B)) = lk(A, \beta_r(A \star B))$. Varying B over all m -simplexes, shows that ϕ a simplicial isomorphism on the m -skeleton of $\beta lk(A, K)$. So by induction taking $m = n$, we get a simplicial isomorphism from $\beta lk(A, K)$ to $lk(A, \beta_r K)$. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let K be a simplicial complex such that the link of each vertex is shellable. Let A be an r -simplex in K , then $lk(A, \beta_r K)$ is shellable.*

Proof. When A is a vertex v , its link in K is given to be shellable. Theorem 5.1 of [2] says that the barycentric subdivision of a shellable complex is shellable, so $\beta lk(v, K)$ is shellable and by Lemma 2.7, $lk(v, \beta K) = \beta lk(v, K)$.

When $A = w \star B$ has positive dimension r then by Lemma 2.7, $lk(A, \beta_r K) = \beta lk(A, K) = \beta lk(B, lk(w, K))$. Proposition 10.14 of [3] states that links of simplexes of shellable complexes are shellable and by Theorem 5.1 of [2] again, barycentric subdivisions of shellable complexes are shellable. As link of w in K is given to be shellable so we get $lk(A, \beta_r K)$ is shellable as required. \square

We end this section with a count on the number of simplexes in a barycentric subdivision of a simplicial complex that lie in the corresponding skeleton of the simplicial complex.

Lemma 2.9. *When K has p_i many i -simplexes, βK has $(i + 1)!p_i$ many i -simplexes in the i -skeleton of K .*

Proof. To obtain the barycentric subdivision βK of K we replace each simplex of K with the cone on its boundary, starting with vertices and inductively going up to simplexes of dimension n .

For an i -simplex A , let a_i be the number of i simplexes in βA . As there are $i + 1$ many codimension one faces of A so $a_i = (i + 1)a_{i-1}$ and $a_0 = 1$. This gives $a_i = (i + 1)!$. So if there are p_i many i -simplexes in K , there are $(i + 1)!p_i$ many i -simplexes of βK in the i -skeleton of K . \square

3. GEOMETRIC TRIANGULATIONS

Given two abstract simplicial complexes, there is no canonical notion of a common subdivision. In this section we use the geometry of the manifold to get a common geometric subdivision of two geometric triangulations. This allows us to relate them via a bounded sequence of Pachner moves through the common subdivision. We must caution here that even though the terminal triangulations of this sequence are geometric in nature, the intermediate triangulations we obtain are merely topological triangulations.

Definition 3.1. We call a subset C of a Riemannian manifold M convex, if any two points in C are connected by a unique geodesic in C . We call it strongly convex, if any two points in C are connected by a unique minimising geodesic in M which also happens to lie entirely in C .

A hyperbolic, spherical or Euclidean k -simplex in \mathbb{H}^n , \mathbb{S}^n or \mathbb{E}^n is the convex hull of a generic set of $k + 1$ points. In the spherical case, we further assume that the diameter of the simplex is at most $\pi/2$.

Definition 3.2. A geometric simplicial triangulation K of a hyperbolic, spherical or Euclidean manifold M is a simplicial triangulation of M where each simplex is isometric to a hyperbolic, spherical or Euclidean simplex respectively. We say a geometric simplicial triangulation K' of M is a geometric subdivision of K if each simplex of K' is isometrically embedded in some simplex of K .

When M is a closed spherical, Euclidean or hyperbolic manifold then M has a geometric triangulation. See Theorem 7.3 of [14] where a strongly essential geometric triangulation is obtained. For cusped finite volume hyperbolic manifolds, a canonical ideal polyhedral decomposition has been obtained by Epstein and Penner[9]. Decomposing this into an ideal triangulation without introducing vertices may result in degenerate flat tetrahedra. If however we allow genuine vertices, simply taking a barycentric subdivision of the polyhedral decomposition gives a geometric triangulation with some ideal vertices.

We henceforth fix the notation (M, K) to refer to the geometric simplicial triangulation K of a compact hyperbolic, spherical or Euclidean manifold M of dimension n with a possibly empty totally geodesic boundary.

The following simple observation allows us to treat the geometric triangulation of a convex polytope in M as the linear triangulation of a convex polytope in \mathbb{E}^n .

Lemma 3.3. *Let P be a k -dimensional convex polytope in M . When M is spherical, assume P has diameter less than π . There exists an embedding of P in \mathbb{E}^k which takes geodesics to straight lines (as sets).*

Proof. When P is hyperbolic, let $\phi : P \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k$ be the lift of P to the hyperbolic space in the Klein model. As geodesics in the Klein model are Euclidean straight lines (as sets) so ϕ is the required embedding.

When M is spherical, let D be the southern hemisphere of $\mathbb{S}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, let T be the hyperplane $x_{k+1} = -1$ and let $p : D \rightarrow T$ be the radial projection map (gnomonic projection) which takes spherical geodesics to Euclidean straight lines. As P is small enough, lift P to D and compose with the projection p to obtain the required embedding ϕ from P to $T \simeq \mathbb{E}^k$.

When P is Euclidean let ϕ be the lift of P to \mathbb{R}^k , which is an isometry. \square

Using convexity of star neighbourhoods up to scaling, we now show that the links of vertices are shellable.

Lemma 3.4. *The link of every vertex of K is shellable.*

Proof. Let v be a vertex of K . For a small enough radius r let $S(v, r)$ be a sphere (or hemisphere if $v \in \partial K$) centered at v that lies entirely in $st(v, K)$. For each vertex $w \in lk(v, K)$ let w' be the intersection of the geodesic edge vw in $st(v, K)$ with $S(v, r)$. By taking the geometric simplexes with vertices w' instead of the corresponding simplexes with vertices w and sending v to itself, we get a simplicial isomorphism from $st(v, K)$ to a starred polytope B with all its boundary vertices at a constant distance from v . Such a geometric polytope is convex so by Lemma 3.3 it is simplicially isomorphic to a convex polytope in \mathbb{E}^n .

Brugesser and Mani have shown in Corollary at the end of Section 4 of [4] that the boundary complex of a convex polytope in \mathbb{E}^n is shellable (see Theorem 8.11 in

[41]). As the link of v in K is simplicially isomorphic to the boundary complex of the convex polytope B , so $lk(v, K)$ is shellable. \square

Similar to partial barycentric subdivisions that we introduced in Definition 2.6 we now define a partial subdivision of a given geometric subdivision.

Definition 3.5. Let αK be a geometric subdivision of K . Let $\beta_r^\alpha K$ be the geometric subdivision of K such that, if A is a simplex in K and $\dim(A) \leq r$, then $\beta_r^\alpha A = \alpha A$ and if $\dim(A) > r$ then $\beta_r^\alpha A = a \star \beta_r^\alpha \partial \alpha A$, i.e. it is subdivided as the geometric cone on the already defined subdivision of its boundary. In other words, fix a point $a \in A$ and for each geometric simplex $B \in \beta_r \partial \alpha A$, introduce the geometric simplex $a \star B$ by taking the union of geodesics in A which start at a and end at some point in B . As M is of constant curvature the geometric join of a point with a totally geodesic disk is again a totally geodesic disk, so $\beta_r^\alpha K$ is a geometric triangulation of M . Observe that $\beta_n^\alpha K$ is αK while $\beta_0^\alpha K = \beta K$ is the geometric barycentric subdivision of K . When $\alpha K = K$, we denote $\beta_r^\alpha K$ by $\beta_r K$.

The following is an effective version of Lemma 4.4 of [19] using the stonger notion of shellability instead of starrability, to get bistellar equivalence in place of stellar equivalence.

Lemma 3.6. *Let αK be a geometric subdivision of K . Let p_i be the number of i -simplexes of K for $i > 0$, with $p_0 = 2$ and $p_{-1} = 1$. Let s_i be the number of i -simplexes of αK in the i -skeleton of K . For each simplex $A \in K$, assume that the complex αA is shellable. Then αK is related to K by $\sum_{i=1}^n (n-i)! p_{n-i-1} (s_i + p_i)$ Pachner moves. Furthermore, none of these Pachner moves remove any vertex of K .*

Proof. Our aim is to bound the number of Pachner moves needed to go from $\beta_r^\alpha K$ to $\beta_{r-1}^\alpha K$ for $1 \leq r \leq n$. This would give us a bound on the number of moves relating $\beta_n^\alpha K = \alpha K$ and $\beta_0^\alpha K = \beta K$. Next, taking $\alpha K = K$ we will obtain a bound on the number of moves relating K and βK .

Let $A \in K$ be a simplex of dimension $r > 0$. When $n > 3$, by Lemma 3.4, the links of vertices in $\beta_r K$ are shellable so by Lemma 2.8, $lk(A, \beta_r K)$ is shellable. When $n \leq 3$, links of all positive dimensional simplexes are shellable without having to appeal to Lemma 3.4. We point this out for later reference when we extend our results to cusped 3-manifolds. As αA is given to be shellable so $S(A) = \alpha A \star lk(A, \beta_r K)$, the join of shellable complexes, is shellable as well. $S(A)$ should morally be thought of as the star neighbourhood of αA in $\beta_r K$.

Let m be the number of r -simplexes of αA in A . The number of $(n-r-1)$ simplexes in $lk(A, K)$ is at most p_{n-r-1} , so by Lemma 2.9 the number of $(n-r-1)$ simplexes in $\beta lk(A, K)$ is at most $(n-r)! p_{n-r-1}$. By Lemma 2.7, $\beta lk(A, K) = lk(A, \beta_r K)$, so $S(A)$ has at most $(n-r)! p_{n-r-1} m$ many n -simplexes.

By Lemma 2.5, there is a sequence of as many Pachner moves which changes $S(A)$ to $a \star \partial S(A) = a \star \partial \alpha A \star lk(A, \beta_r K)$, for a a point in the interior of A . Making this change for each r -simplex A of K replaces each αA with $a \star \partial \alpha A = a \star \beta_{r-1}^\alpha \partial \alpha A$ while higher dimensional simplexes of K remain subdivided as cones on their boundary. This gives us $\beta_{r-1}^\alpha K$ from $\beta_r^\alpha K$ with at most $(n-r)! s_r p_{n-r-1}$ Pachner moves, where s_r is the total number of r -simplexes of αK in the r -skeleton of K (and $p_{-1} = 1$). So $\beta_n^\alpha K = \alpha K$ is related to $\beta_0^\alpha K = \beta K$ by $\sum_{r=1}^n (n-r)! s_r p_{n-r-1}$ Pachner moves. Note that when A is $n-1$ dimensional, the $lk(A, \beta_{n-1} K)$ has at

most two 0-simplexes and hence $S(A)$ has $2m$ many n -simplexes, so we can replace the term $p_0 s_{n-1}$ for $r = n - 1$ with $2s_{n-1}$ instead, and hence assign $p_0 = 2$ in the formula. Also note that as none of these Pachner moves remove any vertices of A , for any $A \in K$, they never remove any vertex of K .

Next we put $\alpha K = K$ and apply the above arguments again with $s_r = p_r$ so that we can go from K to βK by $\sum_{r=1}^n (n-r)! p_r p_{n-r-1}$ Pachner moves. And K and αK are related by at most $\sum_{r=1}^n (n-r)! p_{n-r-1} (s_r + p_r)$ many Pachner moves, which never remove any vertex of K . \square

Lemma 3.7. *The m -th barycentric subdivision $K' = \beta^m K$ is related to K by $(n+1)!^{2m} p_n^2$ Pachner moves.*

Proof. Taking $\alpha K = K$ in Lemma 3.6, each simplex $\alpha A = A$ is trivially shellable and $s_i = p_i$, so that K is related to βK by $\sum_{i=1}^n (n-i)! p_{n-i-1} p_i$ many Pachner moves. Bounding p_i by $\binom{n+1}{i+1} p_n$ we get the bound $(n+1)! 2^{n+1} p_n^2$.

By Lemma 2.9, the number of n -simplexes p_n changes to $(n+1)! p_n$ on taking a barycentric subdivision. So on taking m subdivisions the bound on the number of moves relating K and $\beta^m K$ becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{n+1} (n+1)! p_n^2 [1 + ((n+1)!)^2 + ((n+1)!)^4 \dots + ((n+1)!)^{2(m-1)}] \\ &= 2^{n+1} (n+1)! p_n^2 \frac{((n+1)!)^{2m} - 1}{((n+1)!)^2 - 1} \\ &< p_n^2 (n+1)!^{2m} \end{aligned}$$

\square

We now use Theorem A of [1] to bound the number of Pachner moves needed to relate a geometric triangulation with its subdivision.

Theorem 3.8. *Let K' be a geometric subdivision of K . Let p_i be the number of i -simplexes of K for $i > 0$, with $p_0 = 2$ and $p_{-1} = 1$. Let s_i be the number of i -simplexes of K' that lie in the i -skeleton of K . Then $\beta^2 K'$ is related to K by $\sum_{i=1}^n (n-i)! p_{n-i-1} ((i+1)!(i+1)! s_i + p_i)$ many Pachner moves none of which remove any vertex of K .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, $\beta K'$ has less than $(i+1)! s_i$ many i -simplexes in the i -skeleton of K' and applied a second time, $\beta^2 K'$ has less than $(i+1)!(i+1)! s_i$ many i -simplexes in the i -skeleton of K' .

Let $\alpha K = K'$. For each simplex A of K , by Lemma 3.3 there is a simplicial isomorphism from αA to a linear subdivision of a convex polytope in \mathbb{E}^n . By Theorem 1.6 (Theorem A of [1]), its second barycentric subdivision $\beta^2 \alpha A$ is shellable and so replacing s_i in Lemma 3.6 with $(i+1)!(i+1)! s_i$ we get the required bounds. \square

In the rest of this section, we obtain a common subdivision with a controlled number of simplexes from a given pair of geometric triangulations.

Definition 3.9. Given a Riemannian manifold M , a geometric polytopal complex C of M is a finite collection of geometric convex polytopes in M whose union is all of M and such that for every $P \in C$, C contains all faces of P and the intersection of two polytopes is a face of each of them.

When each simplex of the geometric triangulations is strongly convex, any two simplexes intersect at most once. We can therefore bound the number of simplexes in the common geometric subdivision $K' = \beta(K_1 \cap K_2)$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let K_1 and K_2 be geometric triangulations of M , then they have a common geometric subdivision K' . When K_1 and K_2 are strongly convex geometric triangulations with p_i and q_i many i -simplexes respectively, then K' has s_i many i -dimensional simplexes that lie in the i -skeleton of K_1 where $s_i \leq \sum_{j=i}^n \frac{2(i+j+2)!}{(j+3)!} p_i q_j$ for $i > 0$.*

Proof. Let A be a linear k -simplex and B a linear l -simplex in \mathbb{R}^N . Suppose that B intersects A in a k -dimensional polytope P . So $l \geq k$ and the interiors of A and B intersect transversally inside a subspace $V(A+B)$ of \mathbb{R}^N spanned by vectors in A and B (assume $0 \in A \cap B$). As their intersection P is k dimensional, so $V(P) = V(A) \cap V(B)$ is a k -dimensional space and by the Rank-Nullity theorem $V(A+B)$ is l -dimensional. Therefore any $(k-1)$ face of P is obtained by intersecting an $(l-1)$ simplex of B with the k -simplex of A or by intersecting a $(k-1)$ -simplex of A with the l -simplex of B . There are therefore at most $(k+1) + (l+1)$ codimension one faces of P .

The barycentric subdivision βP of P is a simplicial complex. Observe that P has at most $k+l+2$ codimension one faces, each of which has $(k-1)+l+2$ codimension one faces by above reasoning, and so on down to $k=1$ which has exactly 2 codimension one faces (the end points of the edge). So the number of k dimensional simplexes of βP is bounded by $(k+l+2)((k-1)+l+2)\dots(2+l+2)(2) = 2(k+l+2)!/(l+3)!$ by reasoning similar to that of Lemma 2.9.

Note that strongly convex geometric triangulations are simplicial triangulations. Let $K_1 \cap K_2$ be a geometric polytopal complex of M obtained by intersecting the geometric simplexes of K_1 and K_2 . Observe that as the polytopes of $K_1 \cap K_2$ are obtained by the intersection of convex simplexes so they are convex in M and their barycentric subdivision $K' = \beta(K_1 \cap K_2)$ is a geometric simplicial complex which is a common geometric subdivision of both K_1 and K_2 .

Let s_i be the number of i -dimensional simplexes of K' that lie in K_1 . As each i -polytope P of $K_1 \cap K_2$ that lies in the i -skeleton of K_1 is the intersection of a i -simplex of K_1 with some j simplex of K_2 for $j \geq i$, so by above arguments its barycentric subdivision βP has $2(i+j+2)!/(j+3)!$ many i -dimensional simplexes. As each simplex of K_1 and K_2 is strongly convex, their intersection is convex and hence connected. So there are at most $\sum_{j=i}^n p_i q_j$ many i -polytopes of $K_1 \cap K_2$ that lie in the i -skeleton of K_1 . We therefore get $s_i \leq \sum_{j=i}^n \frac{2(i+j+2)!}{(j+3)!} p_i q_j$. \square

We now present some relations between the convexity radius and other invariants of the manifold.

Definition 3.11. [8] For a Riemannian manifold M , the injectivity radius at $p \in M$ is given by $inj(p) = \max\{R > 0 \mid exp_p|_{B(0,s)}$ is injective for all $0 < s < R\}$, the convexity radius at p is given by $r(p) = \max\{R > 0 \mid B(p, s)$ is strongly convex for all $0 < s < R\}$ where $B(0, s) \subset T_p M$ denotes the Euclidean ball of radius s around the origin and $B(p, s) \subset M$ denotes the ball of radius s around p . The focal radius at p is defined as $r_f(p) = \min\{T > 0 \mid \exists$ a non-trivial normal Jacobi field J along a unit speed geodesic γ with $\gamma(0) = p$, $J(0) = 0$, and $\|J\|'(T) = 0\}$. If such a Jacobi field does not exist, then the focal radius is defined to be infinite. Globally, let $inj(M) =$

$\inf_{p \in M} inj(p)$, $r(M) = \inf_{p \in M} r(p)$ and let $r_f(M) = \inf_{p \in M} r_f(p)$ respectively be the injectivity radius, convexity radius and focal radius of the manifold M .

Applying the results of Dibble[8] and Klingenberg[17] to constant curvature manifolds, we get the following relation between convexity radius, injectivity radius and l_c , the length of smallest closed geodesic.

Lemma 3.12. *For M a spherical, Euclidean or hyperbolic closed manifold*

$$r(M) = \frac{1}{2}inj(M) = \frac{1}{4}l_c(M)$$

Proof. Theorem 2.6 of [8] shows that when M is compact, the convexity radius $r(M)$ equals $\min\{r_f(M), \frac{1}{4}l_c(M)\}$. When M is hyperbolic or Euclidean, $r_f(M) = \infty$. When M is spherical $r_f(M) = \pi/2$ and $l_c(M)/4 \leq 2diam(M)/4 \leq \pi/2$. So in either case, $r(M) = \frac{1}{4}l_c(M)$. Klingenberg[17] has shown that $inj(M) = \min\{r_c(M), \frac{1}{2}l_c(M)\}$. For hyperbolic and Euclidean manifolds $r_c(M) = \infty$ and for spherical manifolds $r_c(M) = \pi$ and $\frac{1}{2}l_c(M) \leq \pi$, so in either case $inj(M) = \frac{1}{2}l_c(M)$. \square

Cheeger's inequality roughly says that when we have an upper diameter bound, lower section curvature bound and lower volume bound we get a lower injectivity radius bound. The following is a sharper bound by Heintze and Karcher (Corollary 2.3.2 of [13]) which we state here only for constant curvature manifolds:

Theorem 3.13. [13] *Let M be a complete spherical, Euclidean or hyperbolic n -manifold and let γ be a closed geodesic in M . Then $l(\gamma) \geq 2\pi vol(M)/(\delta vol(\mathbb{S}^n))$ where \mathbb{S}^n is the the round n -sphere and*

$$\delta = \begin{cases} diam(M) & \text{for } M \text{ Euclidean} \\ \sin^{n-1}(diam(M)) & \text{for } M \text{ spherical} \\ \sinh^{n-1}(diam(M)) & \text{for } M \text{ hyperbolic} \end{cases}$$

We can therefore obtain a lower bound on the convexity radius in terms of the number of top dimensional simplexes p_n and upper and lower bounds of the lengths of edges Λ and λ .

Corollary 3.14. *The convexity radius of M is bounded below by the following:*

$$r(M) \geq \frac{\pi vol(M)}{2\delta vol(\mathbb{S}^n)} \geq \frac{\pi p_n vol(\Delta_\lambda^n)}{2\eta vol(\mathbb{S}^n)}$$

where Δ_λ^n is the regular n -simplex with edge length λ , δ is as defined in Theorem 3.13 and

$$\eta = \begin{cases} \Lambda p_n & \text{for } M \text{ Euclidean} \\ 1 & \text{for } M \text{ spherical} \\ \sinh^{n-1}(\Lambda p_n) & \text{for } M \text{ hyperbolic} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let p and q be a pair of points in M with $d(p, q) = diam(M)$. We can choose a path γ joining p and q which intersects each simplex at most once. By Lemma 4.4, the diameter of a simplex is bounded above by the maximum length of edges, so $diam(M) \leq l(\gamma) \leq p_n \Lambda$. The volume of M is bounded below by $p_n vol(\Delta_\lambda^n)$. Combining Lemma 3.12 with Theorem 3.13 we get the required result. \square

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we first subdivide the given geometric triangulations sufficiently many times so that each simplex lies in a strongly convex ball. To

bound the rate at which barycentric subdivisions scale the diameter of the simplex, we need the following theorem which we prove in Section 4.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $\beta^m \Delta$ be the m -th geometric barycentric subdivision of an n simplex Δ with new vertices added at the centroid of simplexes. Let Λ be an upper bound on the length of edges of Δ . Then the diameter of simplexes of $\beta^m \Delta$ is at most $\kappa^m \Lambda$ where*

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{n+1} & \text{for } M \text{ Euclidean} \\ \frac{2n}{2n+1} & \text{for } M \text{ spherical} \\ \frac{n \cosh^{n-1}(\Lambda)}{n \cosh^{n-1}(\Lambda) + 1} & \text{for } M \text{ hyperbolic} \end{cases}$$

We finally prove the main Theorem of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First assume that K_1 and K_2 are strongly convex geometric triangulations. By Lemma 3.10, there exists a common geometric subdivision K' of K_1 and K_2 with s_i many i -simplexes in the i -skeleton of K_1 . Using Lemma 3.8 next we get a bound on the number of Pachner moves relating K_1 and K' and similarly a bound on the number of moves relating K' and K_2 . Vertices that are common to both K_1 and K_2 are not removed by these Pachner moves. When M is a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold the ideal vertices of K_1 and K_2 are the same and so they remain fixed under all Pachner moves.

Plugging in the bounds for s_i from Lemma 3.10 in the formula obtained in Lemma 3.8, and then bounding p_i with $\binom{n+1}{i+1} p_n$ and q_i similarly, we get the following bound for the number of moves relating K_1 and K' . The first of the following inequality is because $2(i+1)/(j+3)! < 2(i+1)/(i+3)! < 1$ and $(i+j+2)! < (i+n+2)!$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i)! p_{n-i-1} p_i ((i+1)!(i+1)! \sum_{j=i}^n \frac{2(i+j+2)!}{(j+3)!} q_j + 1) \\ & < \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i)! p_{n-i-1} p_i ((i+1)!(i+n+2)! \sum_{j=i}^n \binom{n+1}{j+1} q_n + 1) \\ & < \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i)!(i+1)!(n+i+2)! 2^{n+1} p_{n-i-1} p_i q_n \\ & < 2^{n+1} q_n p_n^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (n+i+2)!(n+1)! \binom{n+1}{i+1} \\ & < 2^{2n+2} (2n+2)!(n+1)! p_n^2 q_n \end{aligned}$$

Exchanging the roles of p_i and q_i we get a bound on the number of moves relating K_2 and K' . Summing them up we get the total number of moves needed to go from K_1 to K_2 as $2^{2n+2} (2n+2)!(n+1)! p_n q_n (p_n + q_n)$.

Given geometric triangulations K_1 and K_2 which may not be strongly convex, we need an integer m such that $\beta^m K_i$ is strongly convex. That is, we need m such that each simplex in $\beta^m K_i$ lies in a strongly convex ball or by Theorem 3.15, $\kappa^m \Lambda \leq r(M)$ where Λ is an upper bound on the length of edges of K_1 and K_2 . So we take m to be any integer greater than $(\ln(r(M)) - \ln(\Lambda)) / \ln(\kappa)$, as $\ln(\kappa) < 0$. As \log is a convex function so for any $x > 0$, $\ln(x+1) - \ln(x) \geq 1/(x+1)$. Applying this to $\ln(\kappa)$ we get $-1/\ln(\kappa) \leq \mu$ and we can take m an integer greater than $\mu(\ln(\Lambda) - \ln(r(M)))$ or by Lemma 3.12 we can take m an integer greater than $\mu \ln(2\Lambda/inj(M))$. As the simplexes of the subdivision are strongly convex, it is a simplicial geometric triangulation.

By Lemma 2.9, $\beta^m K_1$ has $((n+1)!)^m p_n$ many n simplexes and $\beta^m K_2$ has $((n+1)!)^m q_n$ many n simplexes. So to go between $\beta^m K_i$ we need $2^{2n+2} (2n+2)!(n+1)!^{3m+1} p_n q_n (p_n + q_n)$ moves. And by Lemma 3.7, K_1 and $\beta^m K_1$ are related by $(n+1)!^{2m} p_n^2$ moves. Similarly, K_2 and $\beta^m K_2$ are related by $(n+1)!^{2m} q_n^2$ moves.

This gives a bound on moves to go from K_1 to K_2 as $(n+1)!^{2m}(p_n^2+q_n^2)+2^{2n+2}(2n+2)!(n+1)!^{3m+1}p_nq_n(p_n+q_n) < (2n+2)!^2(n+1)!^{3m}p_nq_n(p_n+q_n)$. \square

Definition 3.16. Let (M, K_M) and (N, K_N) be geometric manifolds with geometric triangulations. We call an isometry $f : (M, K_M) \rightarrow (N, K_N)$ an isometry relative to the boundary triangulation if $f|_{\partial M}$ is a simplicial isomorphism from ∂K_M to ∂K_N .

Proof of Corollary 1.4. If $F : M \rightarrow N$ is an isometry then $F^{-1}(K_N)$ is a geometric triangulation of M . So by Theorem 1.1, $K_1 = K_M$ and $K_2 = F^{-1}(K_N)$ are related by the given bounded number of Pachner moves. As F is a simplicial isomorphism relative to the boundary triangulation from $F^{-1}(K_N)$ to K_N , we get the required result.

When M and N are complete finite volume hyperbolic manifolds of dimension more than 2, then by Mostow-Prasad [28][31] rigidity, M homeomorphic to N implies it is isometric to N (see [10] for the totally geodesic boundary case). So if K_M and K_N are related by Pachner moves and simplicial isomorphisms, then M and N are PL-homeomorphic and hence isometric.

For dimensions up to 6, the PL and DIFF categories are isomorphic and by a theorem of De Rham [7] diffeomorphic spherical manifolds are isometric, so the converse also holds for spherical manifolds of dimension at most 6.

The converse is not true in the Euclidean case in any dimension as there are simplicially isomorphic flat tori which are not isometric. \square

4. SUBDIVISIONS IN CONSTANT CURVATURE GEOMETRIES

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 3.15 which gives the scaling factor for diameter of simplexes in the model geometries upon taking barycentric subdivisions.

Definition 4.1. Let $\Delta = [v_0, \dots, v_n]$ be a geometric n -simplex. We define medians and centroids of faces of Δ inductively. Each vertex v_i is defined to be its own centroid. We define the centroid of an edge of Δ as the midpoint of the edge. Having defined centroids of k dimensional faces of Δ , we define the medians of a $k+1$ dimensional face σ as the geodesics in σ joining a vertex of σ to the centroid of its opposing k dimensional face in σ . We define the centroid $c(\sigma)$ of σ as the common intersection of all medians of σ . We shall show that such a common intersection exists for hyperbolic, spherical and Euclidean tetrahedra. Given simplexes A and B such that $\sigma = A * B$, we define the medial segment joining A and B as the geodesic in σ that connects the centroids $c(A)$ of A and $c(B)$ of B . When A or B is a vertex the medial segment is a median.

Lemma 4.2. *Let Δ be a Euclidean, hyperbolic or spherical n dimensional simplex. All medial segments of Δ intersect at a common point $c(\Delta)$. Furthermore if Λ is an upper bound for the length of the edges of Δ (with $\Lambda \leq \pi/2$ for Δ spherical) and $\Delta = a * B$ for a vertex a and B an $n-1$ dimensional face, then $d(a, c(\Delta))/d(a, c(B)) \leq \kappa$ where κ is as in Theorem 3.15.*

Proof. Case I: Δ is Euclidean. Realise Δ as a linear combination of basis vectors (v_i) in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . For each face $\sigma = [v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_k}]$ of Δ , let $c(\sigma) = (v_{i_0} + \dots + v_{i_k})/(k+1)$. By inducting on the dimension of σ , we shall show that $c(\sigma)$ is the centroid of σ .




FIGURE 3. An n -simplex $\Delta = a * a' * B$ with $x = c(a * a')$, $y = c(B)$, $z = c(a * B)$, $z' = c(a' * B)$ and $o = c(\Delta)$, points on $\delta = [aa']$.

When σ is a vertex or an edge, $c(\sigma)$ is by definition the centroid of σ . Assume that the centroid is well defined for all faces of Δ of dimension less than k . After relabeling the vertices, assume that $\sigma = [v_0, \dots, v_k]$ and $\sigma = A * B$ with $A = [v_0, \dots, v_p]$ and $B = [v_{p+1}, \dots, v_k]$. The dimensions of A and B are p and $q = k - (p + 1)$. We can express $c(\sigma)$ as a convex linear combination of $c(A)$ and $c(B)$ as below:

$$\begin{aligned} c(\sigma) &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^k v_i}{k+1} \\ &= \frac{p+1}{k+1} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^p v_i}{p+1} + \frac{(k+1)-(p+1)}{k+1} \frac{\sum_{i=p+1}^k v_i}{k-p} \\ &= \frac{p+1}{k+1} c(A) + \frac{q+1}{k+1} c(B) \end{aligned}$$

The point $c(\sigma)$ therefore lies on the medial segment connecting the centroids of A and B . Furthermore it divides the medial segment $[c(A), c(B)]$ in the ratio $(q + 1)/(p + 1)$. Taking $\sigma = \Delta$ and A as a vertex a we get $d(a, c(\Delta))/d(c(\Delta), c(B)) = n$, so that taking reciprocals and adding one on both sides gives $d(a, c(\Delta))/d(a, c(B)) = n/n + 1$ as required.

Case II: Δ is hyperbolic. Let $E^{(n,1)}$ be the $(n, 1)$ Minkowski space, i.e. \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with the inner product $u \cdot v = u_1 v_1 + \dots + u_n v_n - u_{n+1} v_{n+1}$. The n dimensional hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n has a natural embedding in $E^{(n,1)}$ as the component of the hyperboloid $\|x\|^2 = -1$ which lies in the upper half space of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Let $T = \{v \in \mathbb{E}^{(n,1)} : \|v\| < 0\}$ and let (Euclidean) line segments in T with endpoints on \mathbb{H}^n be called the chords of \mathbb{H}^n . Let $p : T \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ be the radial projection $x \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\|x\|} x$. It is easy to see that p takes chords to hyperbolic geodesic segments in \mathbb{H}^n . To see that p takes midpoints of chords to midpoints of the corresponding geodesic segment take x and y in \mathbb{H}^n and let $r \in O^+(n, 1)$ restrict to an isometry of \mathbb{H}^n that exchanges x and y . Let $m = (x + y)/2$ be the midpoint of the chord joining x and y and let $z = p(m)$ be its image on the geodesic segment $[x, y]$. As there is a unique geodesic segment between pairs of points in \mathbb{H}^n , the isometry r reflects the geodesic segment $[x, y]$ fixing only the mid point of $[x, y]$. But as r is linear in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $r(z) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\|m\|} r(m) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\|m\|} m = z$, so z is the midpoint of $[x, y]$.

Given a hyperbolic simplex Δ in \mathbb{H}^n with vertices v_i , let Δ_0 be the Euclidean convex linear combination of v_i in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . As the homeomorphism $p|_{\Delta_0} : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta$, fixes the vertices and takes midpoints of edges to midpoints of edges, by induction, it takes medial segments to medial segments and hence takes centroids to centroids.

In particular, all the medial segments of Δ intersect at the common point $c(\Delta)$ as in the Euclidean case.

For points a, x, b in Δ , define the ratio $h(a, x, b) = \sinh(d(a, x))/\sinh(d(x, b))$. By inducting on the dimension of Δ we shall prove that if $\Delta = a * B$ with a a vertex and B an $n - 1$ face, then $1 \leq h(a, c(\Delta), c(B)) \leq n \cosh^{n-1}(\Lambda)$. When $\Delta = a * b$ is an edge, then $h(a, c(\Delta), b) = 1$. Let $\Delta = a * a' * B$ be an n dimensional simplex. Let δ be the geodesic triangle $[a, a', c(B)]$ in Δ . Let $x = c(a * a')$, $y = c(B)$, $z = c(a * B)$, $z' = c(a' * B)$ and $o = c(\Delta)$ be points of δ as in Figure 3. As the medial segments of Δ all intersect at the centroid o , the segments $[a, z']$, $[a', z]$ and $[x, y]$ of δ have a common intersection at o . By the hyperbolic version of van Obel's Theorem,

$$h(a, o, z') = \cosh(d(a', z'))h(a, x, a') + \cosh(d(z', y))h(a, z, y)$$

As x is the midpoint of $[a, a']$ so $h(a, x, a') = 1$ and by induction applied to the $n - 1$ simplex $a * B$, $1 \leq h(a, z, y) = h(a, c(a * B), c(B)) \leq (n - 1) \cosh^{n-2}(\Lambda)$. As $1 \leq \cosh$, $1 \leq h(a, o, z') \leq n \cosh^{n-1}(\Lambda)$ as required.

Define $f(x) = \sinh(x)/x$ for $x > 0$ and $f(0) = 1$. Then $f'(x) = (x \cosh(x) - \sinh(x))/x^2$ has positive numerator because it takes value 0 at 0 and it's derivative is positive. So f is an increasing function. For $0 < x \leq y$, $\sinh(x)/x \leq \sinh(y)/y$, i.e, $y/x \leq \sinh(y)/\sinh(x)$. As $h(a, o, z') \geq 1$, so $\sinh(d(a, o)) \geq \sinh(d(o, z'))$ and as \sinh is a strictly increasing function so $d(a, o) \geq d(o, z')$. By above arguments then $d(a, o)/d(o, z') \leq h(a, o, z') \leq n \cosh^{n-1}(\Lambda)$. Taking reciprocals and adding one on both sides we get the required bound κ .

Case III: Δ is spherical. Taking the standard embedding of \mathbb{S}^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with $p : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ as the radial projection $p(x) = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ we can show that medial segments of a spherical simplex Δ have a common intersection at the centroid, as in the hyperbolic case.

Proceeding as in the hyperbolic case, using $s(a, x, b) = \sin(d(a, x))/\sin(d(x, b))$ instead of $h(a, x, b)$ and using the spherical van Obel theorem

$$s(a, o, z') = \cos(d(a', z'))s(a, x, a') + \cos(d(z', y))s(a, z, y)$$

we get the bound $s(a, o, z') \leq n$.

Suppose that for $0 < p, q \leq \pi/2$, we are given $\sin(p)/\sin(q) \leq n$. Then we shall show that $p/q \leq 2n$. As $\sin(q) \leq q$ for $q > 0$, so $\sin(p)/q \leq \sin(p)/\sin(q) \leq n$. Let $0 < t_0 < \pi/2$ be the point where $\sin(t_0) = \pi/4$. When $t_0 \leq p \leq \pi/2$, $\sin(t_0) \leq \sin(p)$ so $\sin(t_0)/q \leq \sin(p)/q \leq n$ and we get $p/q \leq n\pi/(2\sin(t_0)) = 2n$. When $0 < p \leq t_0$, $\cos(t_0) \leq \cos(p)$ and as $p \leq \tan(p)$ (see the power series expansion of \tan for this relation) so $p\cos(p)/q \leq \sin(p)/q \leq n$. We therefore get $p/q \leq n/\cos(t_0) \leq 2n$ as $\cos(t_0) \geq 1/2$. Taken together we conclude that $p/q \leq 2n$ as required. As $s(a, o, z') \leq n$, $d(a, o)/d(o, z') \leq 2n$ and adding one and taking reciprocals gives the required bound κ in the spherical case. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let ABC be a hyperbolic, Euclidean or spherical triangle. When ABC is spherical we assume that the length of edges of ABC is at most $\pi/2$. Then for any point D on the segment $[B, C]$, $d(A, D) \leq \max(d(A, B), d(A, C))$.*

Proof. Suppose that ABC is a hyperbolic or Euclidean triangle for which the lemma is not true. Then the angle ADB is less than angle B and angle ADC is less than

angle C which would imply that the sum of angles B and C is greater than π , a contradiction.

Let ABC be a spherical isosceles triangle in $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with A at the north pole and with base BC having z coordinate $z_0 \geq 0$. The plane containing the origin, B and C intersects S^2 in the spherical geodesic segment $[B, C]$ which lies in the half space $z \geq z_0$. So for any point $D \in [B, C]$, $d(A, D) \leq d(A, B)$. When ABC is an arbitrary spherical triangle with A at the north pole, side AB longer than side AC and z_0 as the z -coordinate of B , we extend the side AC to the point C' which has z coordinate z_0 so that ABC' is an isosceles triangle. For any point $D \in [B, C]$, extend the segment $[A, D]$ to $D' \in [BC']$, then by the above argument $d(A, D) \leq d(A, D') \leq d(A, B)$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let Δ be a hyperbolic, spherical or Euclidean simplex. If Δ is spherical we assume the length of its edges is at most $\pi/2$. Then the diameter of Δ is the length of the longest edge of Δ .*

Proof. Let $[x, y]$ be a maximal segment in Δ and assume that it does not lie in any proper simplex of Δ . Let $x \in A$, $y \in B$ for simplexes A and B in $\partial\Delta$ then $\Delta = A * B$. If both x and y are vertices then trivially, $d(x, y) = l([x, y])$ is at most length of longest edge of Δ . If x is not a vertex, then let $A = a * A'$ with a a vertex of A . Extend the segment $[a, x]$ to $x' \in A'$. Applying Lemma 4.3 to the triangle $[ax'y]$, $d(y, x) \leq \max(d(y, a), d(y, x'))$. As dimensions of $a * B$ and $A' * B$ are both less than dimension of Δ , so by induction $d(y, x)$ is at most the length of the longest edge of Δ . \square

Note that Lemma 4.4 is not true for spherical triangles with edges longer than $\pi/2$ as can be seen by taking an isosceles triangle with base length less than $\pi/2$ and the equal length edges of length more than $\pi/2$. The diameter of such a triangle is the length of the altitude on the base, which is greater than the length of all the edges.

We are finally in a position to prove the main Theorem of this section:

Proof of Theorem 3.15. We shall first show, by induction on the dimension of faces A of Δ , that $d(c(A), c(\Delta)) \leq \kappa\Lambda$. When A is a vertex, by Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4, $d(a, c(\Delta)) \leq \kappa d(a, c(B)) \leq \kappa \text{diam}(\Delta) \leq \kappa\Lambda$. For $A = a * A'$, consider the triangle $T = [a, c(A'), c(\Delta)]$. As the medial segment $[a, c(A')]$ passes through $c(A)$, the segment $[c(\Delta), c(A)]$ lies in T and by Lemma 4.3, $d(c(\Delta), c(A))$ is at most $\max(d(c(\Delta), a), d(c(\Delta), c(A')))$ which is in turn bounded by $\kappa\Lambda$ by induction.

Each edge of $\beta\Delta$ is a medial segment in some simplex $\delta \in \Delta$, of the kind $[c(\delta), c(A)]$ for $A \in \delta$. By above arguments, length of such edges is bounded by $\kappa\Lambda$. Repeating the argument for $\beta\Delta$ in place of Δ , taking $\kappa\Lambda$ as the upper bound for length of edges, we get the bound $\kappa^2\Lambda$ for edges of $\beta^2\Delta$. Repeating the argument m times and applying Lemma 4.4, we get the required upper bound for the diameter of simplexes of $\beta\Delta$. \square

To see that the constant κ in the hyperbolic case can not be made independent of the length of the edges, consider a hyperbolic isosceles triangle $\Delta = ABC$ with base BC . Let a and b be the length of the sides opposite to vertices A and B , let m be the length of the median from A and let x be the distance from A to the centroid of ABC . Assume that $m = ya$ for some $y > 0$. By the hyperbolic version

of Pythagoras theorem, $\cosh(b) = \cosh(a/2)\cosh(m)$ which gives the following for all $a > 0$:

$$1 \leq b/m = \frac{\cosh^{-1}(\cosh(a/2)\cosh(ya))}{ya} \leq \frac{\cosh^{-1}(\cosh(ya + a/2))}{ya} = 1 + \frac{1}{2y}$$

So for any fixed base length a and isosceles triangle as above with $m = ya$, $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} m/b \rightarrow 1$. Also, as $\sinh(x)/\sinh(m) = 2\cosh(a/2)/(2\cosh(a/2) + 1) \rightarrow 1$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$. So for large enough a and y , $x/b = (x/m)(m/b)$ is as close to 1 as required. In other words, the diameter of simplexes in $\beta\Delta$ can be made arbitrarily close to the diameter of Δ .

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported by the MATRICS grant of Science and Engineering Research Board, GoI, Sanction No. MTR/2017/ 000285 and the second author was supported by NBHM award number 2/39(8)/ 2014/R&D-II/13474.

REFERENCES

1. Adiprasito, Karim A.; Benedetti, Bruno *Subdivisions, shellability, and collapsibility of products*, *Combinatorica* 37 (2017), no. 1, 130.
2. Bjorner, Anders *Shellable and Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 260 (1980), no. 1, 159–183
3. Bjorner, Anders; Wachs, Michelle L. *Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. II*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349 (1997), no. 10, 3945–3975
4. Bruggesser, H.; Mani, P. *Shellable decompositions of cells and spheres*, *Math. Scand.* 29 (1971), 197–205 (1972).
5. Burton, Benjamin A. *A duplicate pair in the SnapPea census*, *Exp. Math.* 23 (2014), no. 2, 170–173.
6. Coward, Alexander; Lackenby, Marc *An upper bound on Reidemeister moves* *Amer. J. Math.* 136 (2014), no. 4, 10231066.
7. de Rham, G. *Complexes a automorphismes et homeomorphie differentiable*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* 2 (1950), 51–67 (1951).
8. Dibble, James *The convexity radius of a Riemannian manifold*, *Asian J. Math.* 21 (2017), no. 1, 169174.
9. Epstein, D. B. A.; Penner, R. C. *Euclidean decompositions of noncompact hyperbolic manifolds*, *J. Differential Geom.* 27 (1988), no. 1, 67–80.
10. Frigerio, R.; Petronio, C. *Construction and recognition of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with geodesic boundary*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356 (2004), no. 8, 3243–3282.
11. Gabai, David; Meyerhoff, Robert; Milley, Peter *Minimum volume cusped hyperbolic three-manifolds*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 22 (2009), no. 4, 1157–1215.
12. Gordon, C. McA.; Luecke, J. *Knots are determined by their complements*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2 (1989), no. 2, 371–415.
13. Heintze, Ernst; Karcher, Hermann *A general comparison theorem with applications to volume estimates for submanifolds*, *Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4)* 11 (1978), no. 4, 451–470.
14. Hodgson, Craig D.; Rubinstein, J. Hyam; Segerman, Henry; Tillmann, Stephan *Triangulations of 3-manifolds with essential edges.*, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)* 24 (2015), no. 5, 1103–1145.
15. Horvath, Akos G. *Hyperbolic plane geometry revisited* *J. Geom.* 106 (2015), no. 2, 341–362.
16. Hoste, Jim; Thistlethwaite, Morwen; Weeks, Jeff *The first 1,701,936 knots* *Math. Intelligencer* 20 (1998), no. 4, 33–48.
17. Klingenberg, W. *Contributions to Riemannian geometry in the large* *Ann. of Math. (2)* 69 1959 654–666.
18. Kuperberg G. *Algorithmic homeomorphism of 3-manifolds as a corollary of geometrization* arXiv:1508.06720
19. Lickorish, W. B. R. *Simplicial moves on complexes and manifolds*, *Proceedings of the Kirbyfest (Berkeley, CA, 1998)*, 299–320, *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, 2, *Geom. Topol. Publ.*, Coventry, 1999.

20. Lickorish, W. B. R. *Unshellable triangulations of spheres*, European J. Combin. 12 (1991), no. 6, 527–530.
21. Markov A. A., *The unsolvability of the homeomorphy problem*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 121 (2) (1958) 218–220
22. Meyerhoff, Robert *A lower bound of the volume of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Canad. J. Math. 39 (1987), no. 5, 1038–1056
23. Mijatovic, A. *Simplifying triangulations of S^3* , Pacific J. Math. 208 (2003), no. 2, 291–324.
24. Mijatovic, A. *Triangulations of Seifert fibred manifolds*, Math. Ann. 330 (2004), no. 2, 235–273.
25. Mijatovic, A. *Triangulations of fibre-free Haken 3-manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. 219 (2005), no. 1, 139–186.
26. Mijatovic, A. *Simplicial structures of knot complements*, Math. Res. Lett. 12 (2005), no. 5-6, 843–856.
27. Moise, Edwin E. *Geometric topology in dimensions 2 and 3*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 47.
28. Mostow, G. D. *Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces*, Annals of mathematics studies, 78, Princeton University Press
29. Newman, M. *A property of 2-dimensional elements*, Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, Amsterdam, Afdeling voor de wis- en natuurkundl. Wetenschappen (Royal Academy of Sciences, Proceedings of the Section of Sciences), Series A 29 (1926), 1401–1405.
30. Pachner, Udo *P.L. homeomorphic manifolds are equivalent by elementary shellings*, European J. Combin. 12 (1991), no. 2, 129–145.
31. Prasad, G. *Strong rigidity of Q -rank 1 lattices*, Inventiones Mathematicae, 21: 255–286
32. Provan, J. Scott; Billera, Louis J. *Decompositions of simplicial complexes related to diameters of convex polyhedra*, Math. Oper. Res. 5 (1980), no. 4, 576–594.
33. Rourke, C. P.; Sanderson B. J. *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 69.
34. Rudin, Mary Ellen *An unshellable triangulation of a tetrahedron*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 1958 90–91.
35. Scott, P; Short, H *The homeomorphism problem for closed 3-manifolds*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 14 (2014), no. 4, 2431–2444.
36. Shalen, Peter *A generic Margulis number for hyperbolic 3-manifolds* Topology and geometry in dimension three, Comtemp. Math., vol. 560, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 103–109
37. Shalen, Peter *Small optimal Margulis numbers force upper volume bounds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), no. 2, 973–999
38. Thurston, W. P. *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1.*, Edited by Silvio Levy. Princeton Mathematical Series, 35. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. x+311 pp.
39. Weeks, J. *SnapPea*, <http://www.geometrygames.org/SnapPea/>
40. Ziegler, G. M. *Shelling polyhedral 3-balls and 4-polytopes*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 19 (1998), no. 2, 159–174.
41. Ziegler, G. M. *Lectures on Polytopes*, Revised first ed., (Graduate Texts in Mathematics) Springer

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, PUNE
411008, INDIA

E-mail address: tejas@iiserpune.ac.in

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, PUNE
411008, INDIA

E-mail address: advait.phanse@students.iiserpune.ac.in