

SSGP TOPOLOGIES ON FREE GROUPS OF INFINITE RANK

DMITRI SHAKHMATOV AND VÍCTOR HUGO YAÑEZ

In memory of W. Wistar Comfort

ABSTRACT. We prove that every free group G with infinitely many generators admits a Hausdorff group topology $\mathcal{T}$ with the following property: for every $\mathcal{T}$ -open neighbourhood U of the identity of G , each element $g \in G$ can be represented as a product $g = g_1 g_2 \dots g_k$ such that the cyclic group generated by each g_i is contained in U . In particular, G admits a Hausdorff group topology with the small subgroup generating property of Gould. This provides a positive answer to a question of Comfort and Gould in the case of free groups with infinitely many generators. The case of free groups with finitely many generators remains open.

1. INTRODUCTION

As usual, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of natural numbers and we let $\mathbb{N}^+ = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let G be a group. For subsets A, B of G , we let

$$AB = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{-1} = \{a^{-1} : a \in A\}.$$

We say that a subset A of G is *symmetric* if and only if $A = A^{-1}$. For a subset A of G , we denote by $\langle A \rangle$ the smallest subgroup of G containing A . To simplify the notation, we write $\langle x \rangle$ instead of $\langle \{x\} \rangle$ for $x \in G$.

A topological group is *minimally almost periodic* [12] if every continuous homomorphism from it to a compact group is trivial. We refer the reader to [9, 1, 8, 6] for a historical overview of examples in this class of groups. Answering a long-standing question of Comfort and Protasov, Dikranjan and the first author gave a complete characterization of abelian groups which admit an introduction of a minimally almost periodic group topology [6].

Following the notation from [8], we define

$$(1) \quad \text{Cyc}(A) = \{x \in G : \langle x \rangle \subseteq A\} \quad \text{for every } A \subseteq G.$$

Definition 1.1. A topological group G has the *small subgroup generating property* (abbreviated to *SSGP*) if and only if $\langle \text{Cyc}(U) \rangle$ is dense in G for every neighbourhood U of the identity of G . We shall say that a topological group G is *SSGP* if G satisfies the small subgroup generating property.

The small subgroup generating property was defined by Gould in [9]. Examples of SSGP groups can be found in [2, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16].

Comfort and Gould [1] asked the following question.

Question 1.2. [1, Question 5.2] What are the (abelian) groups which admit an SSGP group topology?

An “almost complete” characterization of *abelian* topological groups which admit an SSGP group topology was obtained in [8], with the remaining case resolved in [15].

Question 1.2 remains widely open for non-abelian groups. If a set X has at least two elements, then its symmetric group $S(X)$ does not admit an SSGP group topology [8, Example 5.4(c)]. In this paper we essentially resolve Question 1.2 for free groups.

This paper was written as part of the second listed author’s Doctor’s Program at the Graduate School of Science and Engineering of Ehime University. The second listed author was partially supported by the Matsuyama Saibikai Grant.

2. RESULTS

The SSGP property was studied as a generalization of a stronger property utilized by Dierolf and Warken in [2] as a means to prove that the Hartman-Mycielski group [11] is minimally almost periodic. In the following definition we propose a name for this property, as well as state it using the same terminology as in Definition 1.1.

Definition 2.1. A topological group G has the *algebraic small subgroup generating property* (abbreviated to *ASSGP*) if and only if the equality $G = \langle \text{Cyc}(U) \rangle$ holds for every neighbourhood U of the identity of G . We shall say that a topological group G is *ASSGP* if G satisfies the algebraic small subgroup generating property.

It is clear from Definitions 1.1 and 2.1 that ASSGP implies SSGP.

The main goal of this paper is to prove the following two theorems:

Theorem 2.2. *The free group $F(X)$ over a countably infinite set X admits a metric ASSGP group topology.*

Theorem 2.3. *Every free group with infinitely many generators admits an ASSGP group topology.*

The proofs of these two theorems are postponed until Sections 9 and 10, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. Basic facts about free groups are recalled in Section 3. In Section 4 we introduce a notion of a finite neighbourhood system on a free group; this is basically a finite initial segment of a countable family of future neighbourhoods in some group topology on this group. In Section 5, a notion of an extension of a finite neighbourhood system is defined; this is a finite neighbourhood system on a bigger free group whose traces of new neighbourhoods to the smaller free group coincide with the original neighborhoods. In Section 6, we devise a technique for extending a finite neighbourhood system to a finite neighbourhood system on a bigger group, and provide canonical representations of elements of “extended neighbourhoods” by elements from “smaller neighbourhoods” and a fixed set which can be viewed as a base for such an extension. Section 7 contains three auxiliary lemmas, the main of which is Lemma 7.3 responsible for the SSGP property of the topology under construction. In Section 8, we introduce a partially ordered set which is used in the proof of Theorem 2.2 (the countable case); the proof itself is carried out in Section 9. Theorem 2.3 (the general case) is proved in Section 10. Its proof simply provides a reduction of general case to the countable case. Finally, open questions are listed in Section 11.

In the proof of Theorem 2.2, we use a partially ordered set to produce a topology on the free group with a countably infinite set of generators. This technique was used by the authors recently in [13] and [15].

Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 were announced by the authors in [14].

3. THE FREE GROUP $F(X)$ OVER A SET X

Definition 3.1. Let X be a set.

(i) Let $W_0 = \{\emptyset\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let

$$W_n = \{x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n} : x_i \in X, \varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

(ii) For $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in W_n(X)$ and $v = y_1^{\delta_1} \dots y_m^{\delta_m} \in W_m(X)$, we let $w = v$ if and only if $n = m$ and $x_i = y_i$, $\varepsilon_i = \delta_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(iii) Elements of the set

$$W(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n(X)$$

are called *words in alphabet X* . According to (ii), this union consists of pairwise disjoint sets, so for every word $w \in W(X)$, there exists a unique $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w \in W_n(X)$; this n is called the *length* of w and denoted by $l(w)$.

- (iv) Given a word $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in W_n(X)$, a *sub-word* of w is a word $w' = x_k^{\varepsilon_k} \dots x_l^{\varepsilon_l}$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq k \leq l \leq n$. The word w' is said to be an *initial sub-word* of w when $k = 1$ and a *final sub-word* of w when $l = n$.

The *empty word* $\emptyset$ will be denoted also by e . Clearly, we have that $l(e) = 0$.

We can define an operation on the set $W(X)$ as follows:

Definition 3.2. Let X be a set.

- (i) For $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in W_n(X)$ and $v = y_1^{\delta_1} \dots y_m^{\delta_m} \in W_m(X)$, the word $x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n} y_1^{\delta_1} \dots y_m^{\delta_m} \in W_{n+m}(X)$ is called the (result of) *concatenation* of w and v ; we denote this word by $w * v$. We also let $e * w = w * e = w$ for every word $w \in W(X)$.
- (ii) For $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in W_n(X)$, the word $w^{-1} = x_n^{-\varepsilon_n} \dots x_1^{-\varepsilon_1} \in W_n(X)$ is called the *inverse* of w . We also let $e^{-1} = e$.

The set $W(X)$ equipped with the binary operation $*$ is a semigroup with the identity e .

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 3.3. For every initial sub-word w' of a word $w \in W(X)$, there exists a unique final sub-word w'' of w such that $w = w' * w''$. Conversely, for every final sub-word w'' of w , there exists an initial sub-word w' of w such that $w = w' * w''$.

Definition 3.4. Let X be a set. A word $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in W(X)$ is *irreducible* provided that, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, either $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ or $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i+1}$. Observe that the empty word e is considered irreducible too. We shall denote by $F(X)$ the set of all irreducible words $w \in W(X)$.

Lemma 3.5. For every pair of irreducible words $v, w \in F(X)$, there exist unique $v', v'', w', w'' \in W(X)$ such that $v = v' * v''$, $w = w' * w''$, v'' and w' are inverses of each other and $v' * w''$ is an irreducible word.

Proof. Let v'' be the final sub-word of v of maximal length $l(v'')$ such that its inverse $w' = (v'')^{-1}$ is an initial sub-word of w . Use Lemma 3.3 to find a unique initial sub-word v' of v and a unique final sub-word w'' of w such that $v = v' * v''$ and $w = w' * w''$. Finally, note that the word $v' * w''$ is irreducible by the maximality of v'' and Definition 3.4. $\square$

Definition 3.6. For a set X , we define a binary operation $\cdot$ on the set $F(X)$ as follows. For $v, w \in F(X)$, let $v', v'', w', w'' \in W(X)$ be the unique words as in the conclusion of Lemma 3.5. Then we define $v \cdot w = v' * w''$.

The following fact is well-known.

Fact 3.7. The $\cdot$ operation on $F(X)$ is associative.

From this fact, Lemma 3.5 and observing that e behaves as a neutral element, we obtain that $F(X)$ equipped with the operation $\cdot$ is a group:

Lemma 3.8. For every set X , the set $F(X)$ equipped with the binary operation $\cdot$ is a group with the identity e . The inverse of an element $w \in F(X)$ in $F(X)$ coincides with the (irreducible) word w^{-1} defined in item (ii) of Definition 3.2.

Definition 3.9. The group $F(X)$ from Lemma 3.8 is called the *free group over X* .

Let us observe the following fundamental property of the free group:

Lemma 3.10. Let X be a set and G be any group. Every mapping $f : X \rightarrow G$ has an extension to an homomorphism $\hat{f} : F(X) \rightarrow G$ such that $\hat{f}|_X = f$.

Proof. Let X, f and G be as in the hypotheses. Given a non-empty word $w \in F(X)$ there exist unique $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n}$. Define the mapping $\hat{f}$ such that $\hat{f}(w) = f(x_1)^{\varepsilon_1} \dots f(x_n)^{\varepsilon_n}$.

Clearly, this mapping defines an homomorphism and furthermore $\hat{f} \upharpoonright_X = f$ is satisfied by construction. $\square$

Definition 3.11. Let X be a set. For a word $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in W(X)$ with $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{lett}(w) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

denotes the set of all letters x_i appearing in w . We also let $\text{lett}(e) = \text{lett}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

The set $\text{lett}(w)$ from the above definition coincides with the support of the word w in the variety of all groups [5]. It is worth noticing that the notion of the support of an element of a free group was introduced in [5] for arbitrary varieties of groups.

We finish this section with two lemmas which shall be needed in the future.

Lemma 3.12. (i) $\text{lett}(v \cdot w) \subseteq \text{lett}(v) \cup \text{lett}(w)$ for all $v, w \in F(X)$.
(ii) If $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in F(X)$ and $h = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m$, then $\text{lett}(h) \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^m \text{lett}(a_l)$.

Proof. (i) Let $v', v'', w', w'' \in F(X)$ be as in Definition 3.6. Then $v \cdot w = v' * w''$, which implies $\text{lett}(v \cdot w) = \text{lett}(v' * w'') = \text{lett}(v') \cup \text{lett}(w'')$ by Definition 3.11. Since v' is a sub-word of v , we have $\text{lett}(v') \subseteq \text{lett}(v)$ by Definition 3.11. Similarly, since w'' is a sub-word of w , we have $\text{lett}(w'') \subseteq \text{lett}(w)$. This proves item (i).

Item (ii) is proved by induction making use of item (i). $\square$

Lemma 3.13. Suppose that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \in X$, $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_s \in \{-1, 1\}$, $g_0 = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} x_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots x_s^{\varepsilon_s} \in F(X)$, $j = 1, \dots, s$ and $x_j \neq x_p$ for $p = 1, \dots, s$ with $j \neq p$. Then $x_j \in \text{lett}(h)$ for every $h \in \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$.

Proof. Fix $h \in \langle g_0 \rangle$. Then $h = g_0^q$ for some integer q . Consider the map $f : X \rightarrow F(X)$ satisfying

$$(2) \quad f(x_j) = x_j \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = e \quad \text{for } x \in X \setminus \{x_j\}.$$

Let $\hat{f} : F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ be the homomorphism such that $\hat{f} \upharpoonright_X = f$. Since $\hat{f}$ is a homomorphism extending f and (2) holds, we have $\hat{f}(h) = \hat{f}(g_0^q) = x_j^q$.

Suppose that $x_j \notin \text{lett}(h)$. Then $\text{lett}(h) \subseteq X \setminus \{x_j\}$, and so $\hat{f}(h) = e$ by (2). This shows that $x_j^q = e$, which implies $q = 0$. Thus, $h = g_0^q = e$. $\square$

4. FINITE NEIGHBOURHOOD SYSTEMS

Definition 4.1. Let X be a set. Given any subset $A \subseteq F(X)$, we define $A^{-1} = \{a^{-1} : a \in A\}$, and $\bar{A} = A \cup A^{-1} \cup \{e\}$.

Definition 4.2. Let X be a set. A *finite neighbourhood system* of $F(X)$ is a finite sequence $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ (where $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$) satisfying the following conditions:

- (1 $\mathcal{U}$) $U_i \subseteq F(X)$ for every $i \leq n$,
- (2 $\mathcal{U}$) $U_i^{-1} = U_i$ for every $i \leq n$,
- (3 $\mathcal{U}$) $\bigcup_{x \in \bar{X}} x \cdot U_{i+1} \cdot U_{i+1} \cdot x^{-1} \subseteq U_i$ for every $i < n$,
- (4 $\mathcal{U}$) $e \in U_n$.

Remark 4.3. If X is a set and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$, then $e \in U_i$ for every $i \leq n$. This statement is proved by finite reverse induction on $i = n, n-1, \dots, 0$. For $i = n$, the statement holds by (4 $\mathcal{U}$). Suppose now that $i < n$ and we have already proved that $e \in U_{i+1}$. Since $e \in \bar{X}$ by Definition 4.1, $e = e \cdot e \cdot e \cdot e \in \bigcup_{x \in \bar{X}} x \cdot U_{i+1} \cdot U_{i+1} \cdot x^{-1} \subseteq U_i$ by (3 $\mathcal{U}$).

Definition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ be a finite sequence of subsets of $F(X)$ for some set X .

(i) Let $B \subseteq F(X)$. Define

$$(3) \quad V_n = U_n \cup B.$$

By finite reverse induction on $i = n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 0$, define

$$(4) \quad V_i = U_i \cup \bigcup_{x \in \bar{X}} x \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot x^{-1}.$$

We shall call the sequence $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq n\}$ the *B-enrichment of the sequence $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(X)$* .

(ii) For a set $C \subseteq F(X)$, we shall call the $(\bigcup_{c \in C} \langle c \rangle)$ -enrichment of $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(X)$ the *cyclic C-enrichment of $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(X)$* .

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be a set and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ be a finite sequence such that:*

- (a) $U_i \subseteq F(X)$ for all $i \leq n$,
- (b) $U_i^{-1} = U_i$ for every $i \leq n$,
- (c) $e \in U_n$.

Furthermore, let $B \subseteq F(X)$ be a set satisfying

- (d) $B^{-1} = B$.

Then the *B-enrichment of $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(X)$* is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq n\}$ be the *B-enrichment of the sequence $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(X)$* . It suffices to check conditions $(1_{\mathcal{V}})$ – $(4_{\mathcal{V}})$ of Definition 4.2.

$(1_{\mathcal{V}})$ Since U_n and B are subsets of $F(X)$ by our assumption, $V_n \subseteq F(X)$ by (3). Note that $\bar{X} \subseteq F(X)$. Applying finite reverse induction on $i = n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 0$, one concludes from this, (4) and $\bigcup_{i \leq n} U_i \subseteq F(X)$ that $V_i \subseteq F(X)$ for all $i \leq n$.

$(2_{\mathcal{V}})$ We shall prove by finite reverse induction on $i = n, n - 1, \dots, 0$ that $V_i^{-1} = V_i$. First, note that $V_n^{-1} = U_n^{-1} \cup B^{-1} = U_n \cup B = V_n$ by (3), (b) and (d). Assume now that $i < n$ and the equation $V_{i+1}^{-1} = V_{i+1}$ has already been proved. It easily follows from this inductive assumption that

$$(5) \quad \left(\bigcup_{x \in \bar{X}} x \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot x^{-1} \right)^{-1} = \bigcup_{x \in \bar{X}} x \cdot V_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot V_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} = \bigcup_{x \in \bar{X}} x \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot x^{-1}.$$

Since $U_i^{-1} = U_i$ by (b), from (4) and (5) we conclude that $V_i^{-1} = V_i$.

$(3_{\mathcal{V}})$ is straightforward from (4).

$(4_{\mathcal{V}})$ is straightforward from (c) and (3). □

Remark 4.6. *Every finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ for $F(X)$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 4.5. Indeed, item (a) follows from $(1_{\mathcal{U}})$, item (b) follows from $(2_{\mathcal{U}})$, and item (c) follows from $(4_{\mathcal{U}})$.*

Corollary 4.7. *For every symmetric subset B of $F(X)$ and each finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ for $F(X)$, the *B-enrichment of $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(X)$* is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$.*

Proof. By Remark 4.6, $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies items (a)–(c) of Lemma 4.5. Item (d) of this lemma holds because B is symmetric by our assumption. Now the conclusion of our corollary follows from the conclusion of Lemma 4.5. □

Definition 4.8. Let X and Y be sets such that $X \neq \emptyset$ and $X \subseteq Y$. For a finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{U}$ for $F(X)$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{U}_Y$ the cyclic $(Y \setminus X)$ -enrichment of $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(Y)$.

Corollary 4.9. *Let X and Y be sets such that $X \neq \emptyset$ and $X \subseteq Y$. For each finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{U}$ for $F(X)$, its cyclic $(Y \setminus X)$ -enrichment $\mathcal{U}_Y$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(Y)$.*

Proof. Since $F(X) \subseteq F(Y)$, it follows from Remark 4.6 that $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies items (a)–(c) of Lemma 4.5 (with X replaced by Y). Since $B = \bigcup_{y \in Y \setminus X} \langle y \rangle$ is a symmetric subset of $F(Y)$, item (d) of Lemma 4.5 is satisfied as well. Applying this lemma, we conclude that the B -enrichment of $\mathcal{U}$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(Y)$. It remains only to note that this B -enrichment coincides with $\mathcal{U}_Y$ by Definitions 4.4(ii) and 4.8. $\square$

5. EXTENSION OF FINITE NEIGHBOURHOOD SYSTEMS

Definition 5.1. Given two sets X and Y , we shall say that a finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq m\}$ for $F(Y)$ is an *extension* of a finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ for $F(X)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{U}}$ $X \subseteq Y$, so $F(X) \subseteq F(Y)$,
- (ii) $_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{U}}$ $n \leq m$,
- (iii) $_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{U}}$ $V_i \cap F(X) = U_i$ for every $i \leq n$.

A straightforward proof of the next lemma is left to the reader.

Lemma 5.2. Let X be a set, and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ be a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n$. Define $V_i = U_i$ for $i \leq n$ and $V_i = \{e\}$ for $n < i \leq m$. Then $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq m\}$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$ extending $\mathcal{U}$.

Lemma 5.3. Let X be a set and $\mathcal{U}$ be a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$. Then for every set Y containing X and each set $C \subseteq \langle Y \setminus X \rangle$, the cyclic C -enrichment $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ extends it.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ be a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$ and let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq n\}$ be its cyclic C -enrichment in $F(X)$. By Definition 4.4, we have

$$(6) \quad V_n = U_n \cup \bigcup_{c \in C} \langle c \rangle$$

and

$$(7) \quad V_i = U_i \cup \bigcup_{y \in \bar{Y}} y \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot y^{-1}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$.

Let $p_X : Y \rightarrow F(X)$ be the map which sends each $y \in Y \setminus X$ to e and is the identity on X , and let $\pi_X : F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)$ be the homomorphism which extends it. Then

$$(8) \quad \pi_X \upharpoonright_{F(X)} = \text{id}_{F(X)} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_X[\langle Y \setminus X \rangle] = \{e\},$$

where $\text{id}_{F(X)}$ denotes the identity map of $F(X)$. Since $U_i \subseteq F(X)$ by (1) $_{\mathcal{U}}$, the first equation in (8) implies that

$$(9) \quad \pi_X(U_i) = U_i \quad \text{for every } i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Claim 1. $\pi_X[V_i] \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \leq n$.

Proof. We shall prove our claim by reverse induction on $i = n, n-1, \dots, 0$.

Since π_X is a homomorphism and $C \subseteq \langle Y \setminus X \rangle$, from (6), (8), (9) and (4) $_{\mathcal{U}}$, we get

$$\pi_X[V_n] = \pi_X \left[U_n \cup \bigcup_{c \in C} \langle y \rangle \right] = \pi_X[U_n] \cup \{e\} = U_n \cup \{e\} = U_n.$$

Suppose that $i < n$ and the inclusion $\pi_X[V_{i+1}] \subseteq U_{i+1}$ has already been proved. We shall show that $\pi_X[V_i] \subseteq U_i$.

Let $y \in \bar{Y}$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V_{i+1}$ be arbitrary. By inductive hypothesis we have that $\pi_X(v_k) \in \pi_X[V_{i+1}] \subseteq U_{i+1}$ for $k = 1, 2$. Note that $\pi_X(y) \in \bar{X}$ by the definition of the homomorphism π_X and Definition 4.1. Therefore,

$$\pi_X(y \cdot v_1 \cdot v_2 \cdot y^{-1}) = \pi_X(y) \cdot \pi_X(v_1) \cdot \pi_X(v_2) \cdot \pi_X(y)^{-1} \in \bigcup_{x \in \bar{X}} x \cdot U_{i+1} \cdot U_{i+1} \cdot x^{-1} \subseteq U_i$$

by (3 $\mathcal{U}$). This shows that

$$(10) \quad \pi_X \left[\bigcup_{y \in \bar{Y}} y \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot y^{-1} \right] \subseteq U_i.$$

Since π_X is a homomorphism, combining (7), (9), (10), we obtain

$$\pi_X[V_i] = \pi_X \left[U_i \cup \bigcup_{y \in \bar{Y}} y \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot y^{-1} \right] = \pi_X[U_i] \cup \pi_X \left[\bigcup_{y \in \bar{Y}} y \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot V_{i+1} \cdot y^{-1} \right] \subseteq U_i \cup U_i = U_i.$$

This finishes the inductive step. $\square$

Claim 2. $V_i \cap F(X) = U_i$ for every $i \leq n$.

Proof. The inclusion $U_i \subseteq V_i \cap F(X)$ follows from (6), (7) and (1 $\mathcal{U}$). To show the inverse inclusion $V_i \cap F(X) \subseteq U_i$, let $h \in V_i \cap F(X)$ be arbitrary. Then $h = \pi_X(h) \in \pi_X[V_i] \subseteq U_i$ by the first equation in (8) and Claim 1. $\square$

Since $n \leq n$ and $X \subseteq Y$, conditions (i $\mathcal{U}_Y$) and (ii $\mathcal{U}_Y$) of Definition 5.1 are satisfied. Condition (iii $\mathcal{U}_Y$) holds by the previous claim. Since all conditions from Definition 5.1 are met, $\mathcal{V}$ extends $\mathcal{U}$. $\square$

Corollary 5.4. *If X and Y are sets such that $X \neq \emptyset$ and $X \subseteq Y$, then for every finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{U}$ for $F(X)$, its cyclic $(Y \setminus X)$ -enrichment $\mathcal{U}_Y$ extends $\mathcal{U}$.*

6. CANONICAL REPRESENTATIONS FOR ELEMENTS OF NEIGHBOURHOODS OF B -ENRICHMENTS

Definition 6.1. Assume that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ is a finite sequence of subsets of $F(X)$, $B \subseteq F(X)$ and $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq n\}$ is the B -enrichment of $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(X)$. By finite reverse induction on $i = n, n-1, \dots, 0$, we shall define a (not necessarily unique) *canonical representation*

$$(11) \quad h = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m \quad (\text{for a suitable } m \in \mathbb{N}^+)$$

of every element $h \in V_i$ as follows.

Basis of induction. For $h \in V_n$, we let $h = a_1$ be the canonical representation of h .

Inductive step. Suppose that i is an integer satisfying $0 \leq i < n$ and we have already defined a canonical representation of every element $h \in V_{i+1}$. We fix $h \in V_i$ and define its canonical representation as in (11) according to the rules outlined below. By (4), at least one (perhaps both) of the following cases holds.

Case 1. $h \in U_i$. In this case, we let $h = a_1$ be a canonical representation of h .

Case 2. $h = x \cdot u \cdot v \cdot x^{-1}$ for suitable $x \in \bar{X}$ and $u, v \in V_{i+1}$. Suppose also that

$$(12) \quad u = b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_{m_1} \quad \text{and} \quad v = c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot \dots \cdot c_{m_2},$$

are some canonical representations of u and v , respectively. (These canonical representations were already defined, as $u, v \in V_{i+1}$.) Then we call

$$(13) \quad h = x \cdot b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_{m_1} \cdot c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot \dots \cdot c_{m_2} \cdot x^{-1}$$

a canonical representation of h .

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ be a finite sequence satisfying items (a), (b) and (c) of Lemma 4.5. Assume that $B' \subseteq B \subseteq F(X)$ and B' is symmetric. Let $\mathcal{V}' = \{V'_i : i \leq n\}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq n\}$ be the B' -enrichment and the B -enrichment of $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(X)$, respectively. Suppose that*

$$(14) \quad (B \setminus B') \cap \left(\bar{X} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n V'_i \right) \subseteq \{e\}.$$

Let $\eta : F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ be the map defined by

$$(15) \quad \eta(g) = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } g \in B \setminus B' \\ g & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $i \leq n$ and $h = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m$ is a canonical representation of some element $h \in V_i$, then $h' = \eta(a_1) \cdot \eta(a_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(a_m)$ is a canonical representation of $h' \in V'_i$.

Proof. We shall prove this lemma by finite reverse induction on $i = n, n-1, \dots, 0$.

First, we shall prove the statement of our lemma for $i = n$. By Definition 4.4(i), we have

$$(16) \quad V'_n = U_n \cup B' \text{ and } V_n = U_n \cup B.$$

Fix $h \in V_n$. By Definition 6.1, $h = a_1$ is a canonical representation of h .

If $a_1 \in B \setminus B'$, then $\eta(a_1) = e \in U_n \subseteq V'_n$ by (15), (c) and (16). Thus, $h' = \eta(a_1)$ is a canonical representation of the element $h' = e \in V'_n$ by Definition 6.1.

Suppose now that $a_1 \notin B \setminus B'$. Then $\eta(a_1) = a_1$ by (15), so $h = a_1 = \eta(a_1) = h'$. Since $h \in V_n$, (16) implies that either $h \in U_n$ or $h \in B$. In the former case, $h' = h \in U_n \subseteq V'_n$ by (16). In the latter case, from $a_1 = h \in B$ and $a_1 \notin B \setminus B'$, we conclude that $a_1 \in B'$. Since $B' \subseteq V'_n$ by (16), it follows that $h' = a_1 \in V'_n$. Thus, $h' = \eta(a_1)$ is a canonical representation of the element $h' \in V'_n$ by Definition 6.1.

Suppose that $i < n$ and the statement of our lemma has already been proved for $i+1$. Fix an arbitrary $h \in V_i$. We consider two cases as in the inductive step of Definition 6.1.

Case 1. $h \in U_i$. In this case $h = a_1$ is a canonical representation of h by Case 1 of Definition 6.1. Since $U_i \subseteq V'_i$, we have $h = a_1 \in V'_i$.

If $a_1 \in B \setminus B'$, then $a_1 = e$ by (14) and $\eta(a_1) = e$ by (15). In particular, $h' = \eta(a_1) = e = a_1 = h$. Since $h \in U_i$, we conclude that $h' = \eta(a_1)$ is a canonical representation of $h' \in V'_i$ by Case 1 of the inductive step of Definition 6.1.

Suppose now that $a_1 \notin B \setminus B'$. Then $\eta(a_1) = a_1$ by (15), so $h' = \eta(a_1) = a_1 = h \in U_i \subseteq V'_i$. Therefore, $h' = \eta(a_1)$ is a canonical representation of $h' \in V'_i$ by Case 1 of the inductive step of Definition 6.1.

Case 2. $h = x \cdot u \cdot v \cdot x^{-1}$ for some $x \in \bar{X}$ and $u, v \in V_{i+1}$. Consider arbitrary canonical representations of u and v as in (12), so that (13) becomes a canonical representation of h .

The following claim holds by our inductive assumption.

Claim 3. $u' = \eta(b_1) \cdot \eta(b_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(b_{m_1})$ and $v' = \eta(c_1) \cdot \eta(c_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(c_{m_2})$ are canonical representations of elements $u' \in V'_{i+1}$ and $v' \in V'_{i+1}$, respectively.

Claim 4. $h^* = x \cdot u' \cdot v' \cdot x^{-1} \in V'_i$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{V}'$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$ by the assumptions of our lemma and Lemma 4.5. In particular, condition (3'_{\mathcal{V}}) of Definition 4.2 holds. Therefore,

$$h^* = x \cdot u' \cdot v' \cdot x^{-1} \in x \cdot V'_{i+1} \cdot V'_{i+1} \cdot x^{-1} \subseteq V'_i,$$

which implies that $h^* \in V'_i$. □

Claim 5. $h^* = x \cdot \eta(b_1) \cdot \eta(b_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(b_{m_1}) \cdot \eta(c_1) \cdot \eta(c_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(c_{m_2}) \cdot x^{-1}$ is a canonical representation of $h^* \in V'_i$.

Proof. This follows from Claims 3, 4 and Case 2 of the inductive step of Definition 6.1. $\square$

Claim 6. $\eta(x) = x$ and $\eta(x^{-1}) = x^{-1}$.

Proof. Indeed, if $x \notin B \setminus B'$, then $\eta(x) = x$ by (15). If $x \in B \setminus B'$, then $x = e$ by (14), so $\eta(x) = \eta(e) = e = x$ by (15). Similarly, if $x^{-1} \notin B \setminus B'$, then $\eta(x^{-1}) = x^{-1}$ by (15). If $x^{-1} \in B \setminus B'$, then $x^{-1} = e$ by (14), so $\eta(x^{-1}) = \eta(e) = e = x^{-1}$ by (15). $\square$

Since (13) is a canonical representation of h that is now being considered, in order to finish the inductive step, it suffices to show that

$$(17) \quad h' = \eta(x) \cdot \eta(b_1) \cdot \eta(b_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(b_{m_1}) \cdot \eta(c_1) \cdot \eta(c_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(c_{m_2}) \cdot \eta(x^{-1})$$

is a canonical representation of $h' \in V'_i$. This follows from Claims 5, 6 and (17), as $h' = h^* \in V'_i$. The inductive step is now complete. $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *Let X and Y be sets such that $X \neq \emptyset$ and $X \subseteq Y$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ be a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$ and let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq n\}$ be its cyclic $(Y \setminus X)$ -enrichment in $F(Y)$. Then*

$$(18) \quad \sum_{l=1}^m |\text{lett}(a_l)| \leq |X| \cdot 4^{n-i}$$

whenever $i \leq n$ and $h = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m$ is a canonical representation of $h \in V_i$ as in Definition 6.1.

Proof. We shall prove the statement of our lemma by finite reverse induction on $i = n, n-1, \dots, 0$.

First, we shall prove the statement of our lemma for $i = n$. By Definition 4.4, we have

$$(19) \quad V_n = U_n \cup \bigcup_{y \in Y \setminus X} \langle y \rangle.$$

Fix $h \in V_n$. By Definition 6.1, $h = a_1$ is the unique canonical representation of h , so in order to check (18), it suffices to show that $|\text{lett}(h)| \leq |X|$. By (19), we need to consider two cases. If $h \in U_n$, then $\text{lett}(h) \subseteq X$, as $U_n \subseteq F(X)$ by $(1_{\mathcal{U}})$, so $|\text{lett}(h)| \leq |X|$. If $h \in \langle y \rangle$ for some $y \in Y \setminus X$, then $|\text{lett}(h)| \leq 1 \leq |X|$ holds, as X is non-empty.

Suppose that $i < n$ and the statement of our lemma has already been proved for $i+1$. We shall prove the statement of our lemma for i . Fix $h \in V_i$ and consider an arbitrary canonical representation $h = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m$ of h . By Definition 6.1, we need to consider two cases.

If $h \in U_i$, then $m = 1$. Since $U_i \subseteq F(X)$ by $(1_{\mathcal{U}})$, we have $\text{lett}(a_1) = \text{lett}(h) \subseteq X$, which implies $|\text{lett}(a_1)| \leq |X| \leq |X| \cdot 4^{n-i}$ because $i < n$.

Suppose now that there exist $x \in \bar{X}$, $u, v \in V_{i+1}$ and their canonical representations as in (12) such that $m = m_1 + m_2 + 2$, $a_1 = x$, $a_m = x^{-1}$, $a_{1+s} = b_s$ for $1 \leq s \leq m_1$, and $a_{m_1+1+t} = c_t$ for $1 \leq t \leq m_2$. Therefore,

$$(20) \quad \sum_{l=1}^m |\text{lett}(a_l)| = |\text{lett}(x)| + \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} |\text{lett}(b_l)| + \sum_{l=1}^{m_2} |\text{lett}(c_l)| + |\text{lett}(x^{-1})|.$$

By inductive hypothesis,

$$(21) \quad \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} |\text{lett}(b_l)| \leq |X| \cdot 4^{n-(i+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{l=1}^{m_2} |\text{lett}(c_l)| \leq |X| \cdot 4^{n-(i+1)}.$$

Since X is non-empty and $i+1 \leq n$, we have

$$(22) \quad |\text{lett}(x)| + |\text{lett}(x^{-1})| = 2 \leq 2|X| \cdot 4^{n-(i+1)}.$$

Combining (20), (21) and (22), we conclude that

$$\sum_{l=1}^m |\text{lett}(a_l)| \leq 4|X| \cdot 4^{n-(i+1)} = |X| \cdot 4^{n-i}.$$

This finishes the inductive step. □

7. THREE AUXILIARY LEMMAS

Lemma 7.1. *Let X be a set. Suppose that $j, n, s \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \in X$, $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_s \in \{-1, 1\}$,*

$$(23) \quad g_0 = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} x_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots x_s^{\varepsilon_s} \in F(X),$$

$v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_{n+1} \in F(X)$ and

$$(24) \quad h^* = w_1 \cdot v_1 \cdot w_2 \cdot v_2 \cdot \dots \cdot w_n \cdot v_n \cdot w_{n+1}$$

satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $x_j \neq x_p$ for $p = 1, \dots, s$ with $j \neq p$;
- (ii) $x_j \notin \text{lett}(w_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$;
- (iii) $x_j \notin \text{lett}(h^*)$;
- (iv) for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, either $v_i = g_0$ or $v_i = g_0^{-1}$.

Then $h^ = w^*$, where*

$$(25) \quad w^* = w_1 \cdot e \cdot w_2 \cdot \dots \cdot w_n \cdot e \cdot w_{n+1}$$

is the word obtained from h^ by replacing all v_i in it with e .*

Proof. We use the principle of minimal counter-example. Suppose that the conclusion of our lemma fails. Among all counter-examples to our lemma, we choose a counter-example h^* for which the number n is the smallest. The goal is to derive a contradiction from this assumption.

It follows from (23), (i) and (iv) that the variable x_j appears exactly once in each v_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows from (ii) that the variable x_j does not appear in any of $w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}$. Since $x_j \notin \text{lett}(h^*)$ by (iii), all appearances of the terms x_j and x_j^{-1} in (24) cancel out as a result of computation in $F(X)$ on the right-hand side of (24). Therefore, there exist $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\delta \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that the unique term x_j^δ in v_i cancels in (24) with the unique term $x_j^{-\delta}$ in v_{i+1} . From (23), (i) and (iv) one easily concludes that the words v_i and v_{i+1} are inverses of each other and $v_i \cdot w_{i+1} \cdot v_{i+1} = e$. Therefore, $w_{i+1} = v_i^{-1} \cdot v_{i+1}^{-1} = (v_{i+1} \cdot v_i)^{-1} = e^{-1} = e$, and so

$$(26) \quad w = w_i \cdot w_{i+2} = w_i \cdot e \cdot w_{i+2} = w_i \cdot v_i \cdot w_{i+1} \cdot v_{i+1} \cdot w_{i+2}.$$

Claim 7. The product

$$(27) \quad h' = w_1 \cdot v_1 \cdot \dots \cdot w_{i-1} \cdot v_{i-1} \cdot w \cdot v_{i+2} \cdot w_{i+3} \cdot \dots \cdot w_n \cdot v_n \cdot w_{n+1}$$

satisfies conditions (i)–(iv) of our lemma, after an obvious re-labeling of its elements.

Proof. Indeed, conditions (i) and (iv) are not affected by the change from h^* to h' .

Since $\text{lett}(w) \subseteq \text{lett}(w_i) \cup \text{lett}(w_{i+2})$ by the first equation in (26) and Lemma 3.12(i), from item (ii) we conclude that $x_j \notin \text{lett}(w)$. This shows that the representation of h' in (27) satisfies item (ii) of our lemma.

It remains only to check condition (iii). From (24), (26) and (27), we obtain that

$$(28) \quad h^* = h'.$$

Since $x_j \notin \text{lett}(h^*)$ by (iii), from (28) we conclude that $x_j \notin \text{lett}(h')$. □

Since h' is “shorter” than the minimal counter-example h^* to Lemma 7.1, from Claim 7 we conclude that

$$(29) \quad h' = w',$$

where

$$(30) \quad w' = w_1 \cdot e \cdot \dots \cdot w_{i-1} \cdot e \cdot w \cdot e \cdot w_{i+3} \cdot \dots \cdot w_n \cdot e \cdot w_{n+1}$$

is the word obtained from h' by replacing all v_i in it with e .

From (25), (26) and (30), we get $w^* = w'$. Combining this with (28) and (29), we deduce that $h^* = w^*$. However, this contradicts the assumption that h^* is a counter-example to our lemma. $\square$

Lemma 7.2. *Let X be a set. Suppose that $j, m, s \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \in X$, $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_s \in \{-1, 1\}$,*

$$(31) \quad g_0 = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} x_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots x_s^{\varepsilon_s} \in F(X),$$

$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in F(X)$ and

$$(32) \quad L = \{l = 1, \dots, m : x_j \in \text{lett}(a_l)\}$$

satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) $x_j \neq x_p$ for $p = 1, \dots, s$ with $j \neq p$;
- (b) $x_j \notin \text{lett}(a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m)$;
- (c) $a_l \in \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$ for each $l \in L$.

Let $\eta : F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ be the map which sends each element of the cyclic group $\langle g_0 \rangle$ to e and does not move elements of its complement $F(X) \setminus \langle g_0 \rangle$. Then

$$(33) \quad a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m = \eta(a_1) \cdot \eta(a_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(a_m).$$

Proof. Suppose first that $L = \emptyset$. Then $x_j \notin \text{lett}(a_1) \cup \text{lett}(a_2) \cup \dots \cup \text{lett}(a_m)$ by (32). On the other hand, $x_j \in \text{lett}(h)$ for every $h \in \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$ by Lemma 3.13. Therefore, $a_l \notin \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$ for every $l = 1, \dots, m$. By our definition of η , this means that $\eta(a_l) = a_l$ for all $l = 1, \dots, m$. Thus, (33) holds in this case. In the rest of the proof we assume that $L \neq \emptyset$.

For every $l \in L$, use item (c) to fix a non-zero integer q_l such that $a_l = g_0^{q_l}$ and define $\delta_l = q_l/|q_l| \in \{-1, 1\}$. It is clear that

$$(34) \quad a_l = g_0^{\delta_l} \cdot e \cdot g_0^{\delta_l} \cdot e \cdot \dots \cdot e \cdot g_0^{\delta_l},$$

where the term $g_0^{\delta_l}$ appears $|q_l|$ -many times in (34). Note that $\eta(e) = e$. Since $g_0^{q_l}, g_0^{\delta_l} \in \langle g_0 \rangle$, we have $\eta(g_0^{q_l}) = \eta(g_0^{\delta_l}) = e$, and so

$$(35) \quad \eta(a_l) = \eta(g_0^{q_l}) = e = \eta(g_0^{\delta_l}) \cdot \eta(e) \cdot \eta(g_0^{\delta_l}) \cdot \eta(e) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(e) \cdot \eta(g_0^{\delta_l}).$$

Let $b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_t$ be the formal expression obtained from the product $a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m$ by replacing in it every element a_l for $l \in L$ with the formal expression on the right-hand side of (34). Clearly,

$$(36) \quad a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m = b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_t.$$

Since (35) holds for every $l \in L$, we obtain

$$(37) \quad \eta(a_1) \cdot \eta(a_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(a_m) = \eta(b_1) \cdot \eta(b_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(b_t).$$

It follows from our definition of b_r 's that

$$(38) \quad b_r \in \{e, g_0, g_0^{-1}\} \cup \{a_l : l \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus L\} \text{ for every } r = 1, \dots, t.$$

Since $L \neq \emptyset$, we can select $l \in L$. Then a_l has the form as in (34), and so $g_0^{\delta_l}$ appears as one of b_r 's. This shows that the set

$$(39) \quad M = \{r = 1, \dots, t : \text{either } b_r = g_0 \text{ or } b_r = g_0^{-1}\}$$

is non-empty, so we can fix an enumeration $M = \{r_i : i \leq n\}$ of M such that $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_n$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, define $v_i = b_{r_i}$.

If $r_1 = 1$, we let $w_1 = e$; otherwise, we let $w_1 = b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_{r_1-1}$. Similarly, if $r_n = t$, we let $w_{n+1} = e$; otherwise, we let $w_{n+1} = b_{r_n+1} \cdot \dots \cdot b_t$. For $i = 2, \dots, n$, we let $w_i = b_{r_{i-1}+1} \cdot \dots \cdot b_{r_i-1}$. It follows from our definition of v_i and w_i that

$$(40) \quad b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_t = w_1 \cdot v_1 \cdot w_2 \cdot v_2 \cdot \dots \cdot w_n \cdot v_n \cdot w_{n+1}.$$

Let h^* be the element defined in (24). Note that

$$(41) \quad a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m = h^*$$

by (24), (36) and (40).

Claim 8. h^* satisfies conditions (i)–(iv) of Lemma 7.1.

Proof. Condition (i) of Lemma 7.1 coincides with condition (a) of our lemma.

(ii) Let $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ be arbitrary. Combining our definition of w_i with (38) and (39), we conclude that w_i is a finite product of elements of the set $\{e\} \cup \{a_l : l \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus L\}$. Since $\text{lett}(e) = \emptyset$ and $x_j \notin \text{lett}(a_l)$ for $l \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus L$ by (32), applying Lemma 3.12(ii) we obtain that $x_j \notin \text{lett}(w_i)$.

(iii) Note that $x_j \notin \text{lett}(h^*)$ by (41) and (b).

(iv) If $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $v_i = b_{r_i} \in M$ by the choice of our enumeration of M , so (39) implies that either $v_i = g_0$ or $v_i = g_0^{-1}$. $\square$

Applying Lemma 7.1, we conclude that

$$(42) \quad h^* = w_1 \cdot e \cdot w_2 \cdot \dots \cdot w_n \cdot e \cdot w_{n+1}.$$

Note that $\eta(b_r) = e$ for every $r \in M$. Suppose now that $r \in \{1, \dots, t\} \setminus M$. Since $x_j \in \text{lett}(g)$ for every $g \in \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$ and $x_j \notin \text{lett}(b_r)$, it follows that $b_r \notin \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$. Therefore, $\eta(b_r) = b_r$. This argument and our definition of w_r 's implies that

$$(43) \quad w_1 \cdot e \cdot w_2 \cdot \dots \cdot w_n \cdot e \cdot w_{n+1} = \eta(b_1) \cdot \eta(b_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(b_t).$$

Now (33) follows from (41), (42), (43) and (37) (in this order). $\square$

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that X is a non-empty finite set, $g \in F(X)$, $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \leq n\}$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$ and Y is a finite set containing X satisfying the inequality $|Y \setminus X| > |X| \cdot 4^n$. Then there exists a finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq n\}$ for $F(Y)$ extending $\mathcal{U}$ such that $g \in \langle \text{Cyc}(V_n) \rangle$.*

Proof. Let $|Y \setminus X| = k$. By the assumption of our lemma, we have

$$(44) \quad k > |X| \cdot 4^n.$$

Fix faithful enumeration $Y \setminus X = \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ of the set $Y \setminus X$ and define

$$(45) \quad g_0 = (y_1 y_2 \dots y_k) \cdot g.$$

Note that the product in (45) does not undergo any cancellations, as $\text{lett}(g) \subseteq X$ and the set $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\} = Y \setminus X$ is disjoint from X . For the same reason, for every non-zero integer p , the power g_0^p of g_0 does not undergo any cancellations as well. Therefore,

$$(46) \quad \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\} \subseteq \text{lett}(g_0^p) \text{ for every non-zero integer } p.$$

Since $X \subseteq Y$, we have $F(X) \subseteq F(Y)$. Therefore, the finite sequence $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies conditions (a)–(c) of Lemma 4.5, where in condition (a) one has to replace X by Y . Define

$$(47) \quad B' = \bigcup_{y \in Y \setminus X} \langle y \rangle \text{ and } B = B' \cup \langle g_0 \rangle.$$

Clearly, $B' \subseteq B \subseteq F(Y)$ and B' is symmetric.

Claim 9. The B' -enrichment $\mathcal{V}' = \{V'_i : i \leq n\}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(Y)$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(Y)$ extending $\mathcal{U}$.

Proof. From the first equation in (47), Definition 4.4(ii) and definition of $\mathcal{V}'$, it follows that $\mathcal{V}'$ coincides with the cyclic $(Y \setminus X)$ -enrichment $\mathcal{U}_Y$ of $\mathcal{U}$. Now the conclusion of our claim follows from Corollaries 4.9 and 5.4. $\square$

Claim 10. The B -enrichment $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq n\}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ in $F(Y)$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(Y)$.

Proof. It follows from (47) that $B^{-1} = B$. Now the conclusion of our claim follows from Lemma 4.5 (in which one has to replace X by Y). $\square$

Claim 11. $g \in \langle \text{Cyc}(V_n) \rangle$.

Proof. Since $Y \setminus X = \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$, from (47) we conclude that $\langle y_i^{-1} \rangle = \langle y_i \rangle \subseteq B$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Similarly, $\langle g_0 \rangle \subseteq B$ by (47). Since $\mathcal{V}$ is the B -enrichment of $\mathcal{W}$, from equation (3) of Definition 4.4, we conclude that $B \subseteq V_n$. This implies that $y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_k^{-1}, g_0 \in \text{Cyc}(V_n)$. From this and (45), we get $g = y_k^{-1} \cdot y_{k-1}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot y_1^{-1} \cdot g_0 \in \langle \text{Cyc}(V_n) \rangle$. $\square$

Claim 12. The map $\eta : F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ defined in Lemma 7.2 coincides with the map η defined in Lemma 6.2.

Proof. From (47) we get $B \setminus B' = \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$. The conclusion of our claim follows from this observation and our definitions of both maps. $\square$

In the rest of the proof we shall denote both of the maps from the above claim by η .

Claim 13. $(B \setminus B') \cap (\bar{Y} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n V'_i) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that $h \in (B \setminus B') \cap (\bar{Y} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n V'_i)$. From $h \in B \setminus B'$ and (47), we conclude that $h \in \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{0\}$, so $h = g_0^p$ for some non-zero integer p . Then $|\text{lett}(h)| \geq |Y \setminus X| = k$ by (46). Note that $h \notin \bar{Y}$, as $|\text{lett}(y)| \leq 1 < k$ for every $y \in \bar{Y}$. Since $h \in \bar{Y} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n V'_i$, it follows that $h \in V'_i$ for some $i \leq n$. Since $\mathcal{V}'$ is the B' -enrichment of $\mathcal{W}$, the element $h \in V'_i$ has a canonical representation

$$(48) \quad h = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m.$$

Then $\text{lett}(h) \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^m \text{lett}(a_l)$ by (48) and Lemma 3.12(ii). Furthermore,

$$|\text{lett}(h)| \leq \left| \bigcup_{l=1}^m \text{lett}(a_l) \right| \leq \sum_{l=1}^m |\text{lett}(a_l)| \leq |X| \cdot 4^{n-i} \leq |X| \cdot 4^n < k$$

by Lemma 6.3 and (44), in contradiction with $|\text{lett}(h)| \geq k$. $\square$

Claim 14. Suppose $h \in V_i \cap F(X)$ has a canonical representation (48). Then

$$(49) \quad h' = \eta(a_1) \cdot \eta(a_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(a_m)$$

is a canonical representation of $h' \in V'_i$ satisfying the inequality $|\bigcup_{l=1}^m \text{lett}(\eta(a_l))| < k$.

Proof. Let $h \in V_i \cap F(X)$ be arbitrary. From Claim 13, we conclude that (14) holds (with X replaced by Y). Therefore, all the assumptions of Lemma 6.2 are satisfied (with X replaced by Y in this lemma). This implies that $h' = \eta(a_1) \cdot \eta(a_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(a_m)$ is a canonical representation of $h' \in V'_i$. Finally,

$$\left| \bigcup_{l=1}^m \text{lett}(\eta(a_l)) \right| \leq |X| \cdot 4^{n-i} \leq |X| \cdot 4^n < k$$

by Lemma 6.3 and (44). $\square$

Claim 15. If $h \in V_i \cap F(X)$ has a canonical representation (48) and $a_l \neq \eta(a_l)$ for some $l = 1, \dots, m$, then $a_l \in \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $a_l \neq \eta(a_l)$ for some $l = 1, \dots, m$. By (15), this implies that $a_l \neq e$ and furthermore $a_l \in B \setminus B'$. Finally, $B \setminus B' \subseteq \langle g_0 \rangle$ by (47). This establishes that $a_l \in \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$. $\square$

Claim 16. If $h \in V_i \cap F(X)$ has a canonical representation (48), then elements $g_0, a_1, \dots, a_m \in F(X)$ satisfy all assumptions of Lemma 7.2.

Proof. Since $|\bigcup_{l=1}^m \text{lett}(\eta(a_l))| < k$ by Claim 14, we can use (45) to choose some variable $y_j \in \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ such that $y_j \notin \text{lett}(\eta(a_l))$ for all $l = 1, \dots, m$. Let

$$(50) \quad L = \{l = 1, \dots, m : y_j \in \text{lett}(a_l)\}$$

and

$$(51) \quad M = \{l = 1, \dots, m : a_l \neq \eta(a_l)\}.$$

By (46), we have $y_j \in \text{lett}(w)$ for every $w \in \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$. This implies that $M \subseteq L$ by Claim 15. To show the reverse inclusion, let us suppose that $l \in L$. Then $y_j \in \text{lett}(a_l)$ by (50). Since $y_j \notin \text{lett}(\eta(a_l))$ by our choice of a_l , this implies that $a_l \neq \eta(a_l)$; that is, $l \in M$. This shows that $L \subseteq M$. From these two inclusions, we get $L = M$.

We are now ready to check the assumptions (a)–(c) of Lemma 7.2 with y_j taken as x_j .

(a) Suppose that (31) holds. First, observe that $y_j \notin \text{lett}(g_0)$ since $g_0 \in F(X)$ and $y_j \in Y \setminus X$. Next, for every $i = 1, \dots, k$ we have that $y_j \neq y_i$ if $i \neq j$ by our faithful enumeration of $Y \setminus X$. Recalling (45), we conclude that (a) holds.

(b) Recall that $h \in V_i \cap F(X)$ by hypothesis, so $\text{lett}(h) \subseteq X$. Since $y_j \in Y \setminus X$, we have $y_j \notin \text{lett}(h)$. It remains only to note that $h = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m$.

(c) Given a_l with $l \in L$, we have $a_l \neq \eta(a_l)$, as $L = M$. Now $a_l \in \langle g_0 \rangle \setminus \{e\}$ by Claim 15. $\square$

Claim 17. $V_i \cap F(X) \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \leq n$.

Proof. Let $h \in V_i \cap F(X)$ be an arbitrary element, and let (48) be one of its canonical representations. Claim 16 allows us to make use of Lemma 7.2 to show that $h = \eta(a_1) \cdot \eta(a_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(a_m)$. From Claim 14 and (49), we can conclude that $h = h'$, where $h' = \eta(a_1) \cdot \eta(a_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta(a_m)$ is the canonical representation of $h' \in V'_i$. In particular, the equality $h = h'$ shows that $h = h' \in V'_i \cap F(X)$. Since $\mathcal{V}'$ extends $\mathcal{U}$ by Claim 9, $V'_i \cap F(X) = U_i$ by (iii) $_{\mathcal{V}'}$. This shows that $h \in U_i$. $\square$

Claim 18. The finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{V}$ for $F(Y)$ extends $\mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Since $n \leq n$ and $X \subseteq Y$, conditions (i) $_{\mathcal{V}}$ and (ii) $_{\mathcal{V}}$ of Definition 5.1 are both satisfied.

Let $i \leq n$ be arbitrary. Since $\mathcal{V}$ is the B -enrichment of $\mathcal{U}$, $U_i \subseteq V_i$ by equation (4) of Definition 4.4. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X)$, we have $U_i \subseteq F(X)$ by (1) $_{\mathcal{U}}$. This shows that $U_i \subseteq V_i \cap F(X)$. The inverse inclusion holds by Claim 17. Thus, $V_i \cap F(X) = U_i$. Since this equation holds for an arbitrary $i \leq n$, condition (iii) $_{\mathcal{V}}$ also holds. $\square$

The conclusion of our lemma follows from Claims 10, 11 and 18. $\square$

8. THE PARTIALLY ORDERED SET AND DENSITY LEMMAS

Definition 8.1. Let X be an infinite set.

- (a) We denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of all triples $p = \ll X^p, n^p, \mathcal{U}^p \gg$ satisfying the following conditions:
 - (1 $_p$) $X^p \in [X]^{<\omega}$,
 - (2 $_p$) $n^p \in \mathbb{N}$,
 - (3 $_p$) $\mathcal{U}^p = \{U_i^p : i \leq n^p\}$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X^p)$.
- (b) Given triples $p = \ll X^p, n^p, \mathcal{U}^p \gg \in \mathbb{P}$ and $q = \ll X^q, n^q, \mathcal{U}^q \gg \in \mathbb{P}$, we define $q \leq p$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}^q$ is an extension of $\mathcal{U}^p$ in the sense of Definition 5.1.

The following lemma easily follows from item (b) of Definition 8.1.

Lemma 8.2. The pair $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ is a partially ordered set.

Lemma 8.3. There exists $p \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $X^p \neq \emptyset$. In particular, $\mathbb{P} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $X^p = \{x\}$ be a non-empty singleton set. If we let $n^p = 0$ and $U_0^p = \{e\}$, then

$$p = \ll X^p, n^p, \{U_i^p : i \leq 0\} \gg = \ll \{x\}, 0, \{U_0^p\} \gg$$

clearly satisfies conditions (1 $_p$)–(3 $_p$). $\square$

Definition 8.4. Let $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ be a poset. Recall that a set $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is called:

- (i) *dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$* provided that for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$ there exists $q \in D$ such that $q \leq p$;
- (ii) *downward-closed in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$* if $p \in D$, $q \in \mathbb{P}$ and $q \leq p$ imply $q \in D$.

The relation between these two notions is made apparent by the following straightforward lemma.

Lemma 8.5. *If $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ are dense subsets of a poset $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ and A is downward-closed, then $A \cap B$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.*

- Lemma 8.6.**
- (i) *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $A_n = \{q \in \mathbb{P} : n \leq n^q\}$ is dense and downward-closed in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.*
 - (ii) *For every $S \in [X]^{<\omega}$, the set $B_S = \{q \in \mathbb{P} : S \subseteq X^q\}$ is dense and downward-closed in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.*
 - (iii) *For every $g \in F(X) \setminus \{e\}$, the set $C_g = \{q \in \mathbb{P} : g \in F(X^q) \setminus U_{n^q}^q\}$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.*
 - (iv) *For every word $g \in F(X)$ the set $D_g = \{q \in \mathbb{P} : g \in \langle \text{Cyc}(U_{n^q}^q) \rangle\}$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.*

Proof. (i) Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To prove that A_n is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$, we consider an arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If $n \leq n^p$, the inclusion $p \in A_n$ holds trivially. For this reason, we can assume that $n^p < n$. By Lemma 5.2, there exists a finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \leq n\}$ for $F(X^p)$ extending $\mathcal{U}^p$. If we define $X^q = X^p$, $n^q = n$ and $\mathcal{U}^q = \mathcal{V}$, then $q = \ll X^q, n^q, \mathcal{U}^q \gg \in \mathbb{P}$. Since $\mathcal{U}^q$ extends $\mathcal{U}^p$, we have $q \leq p$ by Definition 8.1(b). Finally, $n = n^q$ implies $q \in A_n$ by the definition of A_n , thereby showing that A_n is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.

To check that A_n is downward-closed, consider $p \in A_n$ and $q \in \mathbb{P}$ which satisfy $q \leq p$. Since $\mathcal{U}^q$ extends $\mathcal{U}^p$ by Definition 8.1(b), the inequality $n^p \leq n^q$ holds by item (ii) of Definition 5.1. Since $p \in A_n$, we also have $n \leq n^p$. By transitivity, this implies that $n \leq n^q$, and therefore $q \in A_n$ by definition of A_n . This shows that A_n is downward-closed.

(ii) Let $S \in [X]^{<\omega}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be arbitrary. Then $X^p \in [X]^{<\omega}$ by (1_p) , so $X^q = X^p \cup S \in [X]^{<\omega}$ holds as well. Let $n^q = n^p$. Since $\mathcal{U}^p$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X^p)$ by (3_p) , the cyclic $(S \setminus X^p)$ -enrichment $\mathcal{U}^q$ of $\mathcal{U}^p$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X^q)$ by Corollary 4.9. We have shown that $q = \ll X^q, n^q, \mathcal{U}^q \gg \in \mathbb{P}$. Since $\mathcal{U}^q$ is an extension for $\mathcal{U}^p$ by Corollary 5.4, we have $q \leq p$ by Definition 8.1(b). Finally $S \subseteq X^q$ by definition of X^q , so $q \in B_S$ by definition of B_S . This shows that B_S is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.

To prove that B_S is downward-closed, let $p \in B_S$, $q \in \mathbb{P}$ and $q \leq p$. Then $S \subseteq X^p$ by definition of B_S . By condition (i_q^p) , we have that $X^p \subseteq X^q$, which implies that $S \subseteq X^p \subseteq X^q$. Therefore, $q \in B_S$ by definition, and so B_S is downward-closed.

(iii) Let $g \in F(X) \setminus \{e\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be arbitrary. Since g is generated by the symbols in X , there exists some finite set $X_g \subseteq X$ such that $g \in \langle X_g \rangle$. Since the set B_{X_g} is dense in $\mathbb{P}$ by (ii), we may assume without loss of generality that $p \in B_{X_g}$. Since $p \in B_{X_g}$, this implies that $X_g \subseteq X^p$, so $g \in F(X^p)$. By Lemma 5.2, there exists a finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{U}^q = \{U_i^q : i \leq n^p + 1\}$ on X^p which extends $\mathcal{U}^p$ such that $g \notin U_{n^p+1}^q = \{e\}$. If we define $n^q = n^p + 1$ and $X^q = X^p$, then $q = \ll X^q, n^q, \mathcal{U}^q \gg \in \mathbb{P}$. Since $\mathcal{U}^q$ extends $\mathcal{U}^p$, we have $q \leq p$ by Definition 8.1(b).

(iv) Let $g \in F(X)$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Arguing as in the proof (iii), we may find a finite subset X_g of X such that $g \in F(X_g)$. By (ii), without loss of generality, we may assume that $p \in B_{X_g}$. Since the set $X^p \subseteq X$ is finite, we can fix a set $X^q \subseteq X$ containing X^p such that $|X^q \setminus X^p| = k > |X^p| \cdot 4^{n^p}$. By Lemma 7.3, there exists a finite neighbourhood system $\mathcal{U}^q = \{U_i^q : i \leq n^p\}$ on X^q extending $\mathcal{U}^p$ such that $g \in \langle \text{Cyc}(U_n^q) \rangle$. If we define $n^q = n^p$, then we have that $q = \ll X^q, n^q, \mathcal{U}^q \gg \in \mathbb{P}$. Since $\mathcal{U}^q$ extends $\mathcal{U}^p$, we have $q \leq p$ by Definition 8.1(b). Since the condition $g \in \langle \text{Cyc}(U_n^q) \rangle$ is satisfied, we have $q \in D_g$. $\square$

9. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

We shall need the following folklore lemma an easy proof of which can be found in either [15, Lemma 9.1] or [13, Lemma 14].

Lemma 9.1. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is an at most countable family of dense subsets of a non-empty poset $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$, then there exists an at most countable subset $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{P}$ such that $(\mathbb{F}, \leq)$ is a linearly ordered set and $\mathbb{F} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$.*

Let X be a countably infinite set. Since X algebraically generates the free group $F(X)$, the latter is at most countable.

Let $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ be the poset from Definition 8.1 which uses the set X as its parameter. Clearly, the family

$$(52) \quad \mathcal{D} = \{C_g : g \in F(X) \setminus \{e\}\} \cup \{A_n \cap D_g : n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in F(X)\} \cup \{B_F : F \in [X]^{<\omega}\}$$

of subsets of $\mathbb{P}$ is at most countable.

Let us check that all members of $\mathcal{D}$ are dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$. By Lemma 8.6(iii), each C_g for $g \in F(X) \setminus \{e\}$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in F(X)$ be arbitrary. Since A_n is dense and downward-closed in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ by Lemma 8.6(i), and D_g is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ by Lemma 8.6(iv), by Lemma 8.5 we can conclude that $A_n \cap D_g$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$. Finally, the density in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ of each B_F for $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ follows from Lemma 8.6(ii).

Since we have shown that all members of $\mathcal{D}$ are dense in $\mathbb{P}$, we can apply Lemma 9.1 to find a countable set $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that $(\mathbb{F}, \leq)$ is a linearly ordered set and $\mathbb{F} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$(53) \quad U_n = \bigcup \{U_n^p : p \in \mathbb{F} \text{ and } n \leq n^p\}.$$

Our nearest goal is to show that the family

$$(54) \quad \mathcal{B} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a neighbourhood base at e of a Hausdorff group topology $\mathcal{T}$ on the free group $F(X)$. The verification of this will be split into a sequence of claims.

Claim 19. The equality $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n = \{e\}$ holds.

Proof. Let us show that $e \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$. Take an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $A_n \in \mathcal{D}$ by (52), the choice of $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ allows us to find some $p \in A_n \cap \mathbb{F}$. Then $n \leq n^p$ by the definition of A_n . Since $p \in \mathbb{P}$, the family $\mathcal{U}^p = \{U_i^p : i \leq n^p\}$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X^p)$ by condition (3_p) of Definition 8.1(a). Therefore, we can apply Remark 4.3 to conclude that $e \in U_n^p$. Since $p \in \mathbb{F}$ and $n \leq n^p$, we have $U_n^p \subseteq U_n$ by (53). Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $e \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$.

Suppose that there exists some $g \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ with $g \neq e$. Then $C_g \in \mathcal{D}$ by (52). The choice of $\mathbb{F}$ allows us to find some $p \in C_g \cap \mathbb{F}$. By the definition of C_g , this automatically implies that

$$(55) \quad g \in F(X^p) \setminus U_{n^p}^p.$$

Since $g \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$, the inclusion $g \in U_{n^p}$ holds. By (53), this implies the existence of $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $n \leq n^q$ and $g \in U_{n^p}^q$. Since $\mathbb{F}$ is linearly ordered, either $q \leq p$ or $p \leq q$. We shall show that both of these two conditions lead to a contradiction.

If $q \leq p$ holds, then $\mathcal{U}^q$ is an extension of $\mathcal{U}^p$ by Definition 8.1(b), so conditions $(i_{\mathcal{U}^q}^p)$ and $(iii_{\mathcal{U}^q}^p)$ of Definition 5.1 imply that $F(X^p) \subseteq F(X^q)$ and $U_{n^p}^q \cap F(X^p) = U_{n^p}^p$. Since g belongs to the set on the left-hand side of the last equation, we get $g \in U_{n^p}^p$. This is a direct contradiction to (55), so this case cannot hold.

Suppose that $p \leq q$ holds. Then this time $\mathcal{U}^p$ is an extension of $\mathcal{U}^q$ by Definition 8.1(b), so conditions $(i_{\mathcal{U}^p}^q)$ and $(iii_{\mathcal{U}^p}^q)$ of Definition 5.1 imply that $F(X^q) \subseteq F(X^p)$ and $U_{n^p}^p \cap F(X^q) = U_{n^p}^q$. Since $g \in U_{n^p}^q$, the last equation implies that $g \in U_{n^p}^p$. Once more, this contradicts (55), so this case cannot hold either.

The obtained contradiction finishes the proof of our claim. $\square$

Claim 20. $U_n^{-1} = U_n$ and $U_{n+1} \cdot U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider an arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfying $n \leq n^p$. Then $U_n^p = (U_n^p)^{-1}$ by condition $(2_{\mathcal{U}})$ of Definition 4.2. If we apply this to (53), we obtain that $U_n = U_n^{-1}$.

For the second inclusion, consider some arbitrary $g_1, g_2 \in U_{n+1}$. By (53), there exist $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $n+1 \leq n^{p_j}$ and $g_j \in U_{n+1}^{p_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since $\mathbb{F}$ is linearly ordered, without loss of generality, we may assume that $p_1 \leq p_2$. Then $\mathcal{U}^{p_1}$ extends $\mathcal{U}^{p_2}$ by Definition 8.1(b). Now conditions $(i_{\mathcal{U}^{p_1}})$ and $(iii_{\mathcal{U}^{p_1}})$ of Definition 5.1 imply $F(X^{p_2}) \subseteq F(X^{p_1})$ and $U_{n+1}^{p_1} \cap F(X^{p_2}) = U_{n+1}^{p_2}$. From the last equation, we obtain $g_1, g_2 \in U_{n+1}^{p_1}$. Note that $\mathcal{U}^{p_1}$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X^{p_1})$ by condition (3_{p_1}) of Definition 8.1(a).

Since $e \in \bar{X}^{p_1}$, then by using condition $(3_{\mathcal{U}^{p_1}})$ of Definition 4.2 we obtain that

$$(56) \quad g_1 \cdot g_2 \in U_{n+1}^{p_1} \cdot U_{n+1}^{p_1} = e \cdot U_{n+1}^{p_1} \cdot U_{n+1}^{p_1} \cdot e^{-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \bar{X}} x \cdot U_{n+1}^{p_1} \cdot U_{n+1}^{p_1} \cdot x^{-1} \subseteq U_n^{p_1}.$$

Since $p_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ and $n < n+1 \leq n^{p_1}$, we have $U_n^{p_1} \subseteq U_n$ by (53). From this inclusion and (56), we obtain $g_1 \cdot g_2 \in U_n$. Since $g_1, g_2 \in U_{n+1}$ were arbitrary, this establishes the inclusion $U_{n+1} \cdot U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$. $\square$

Claim 21. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $y \in \bar{X}$, the inclusion $y \cdot U_{n+1} \cdot y^{-1} \subseteq U_n$ holds.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in \bar{X}$ be arbitrary. It follows from Definition 4.1 that $y = x^\varepsilon$ for some $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. Since $B_{\{x\}} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $p \in B_{\{x\}} \cap \mathbb{F}$ by the choice of $\mathbb{F}$. Let $h \in U_{n+1}$. By (53), there exists some $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $n+1 \leq n^q$ and $h \in U_{n+1}^q$. Since $\mathbb{F}$ is linearly ordered, there exists some $r \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $r \leq p$ and $r \leq q$. Then $\mathcal{U}^r$ extends both $\mathcal{U}^p$ and $\mathcal{U}^q$ by Definition 8.1(b). Since $\mathcal{U}^r$ extends $\mathcal{U}^q$, by condition $(iii_{\mathcal{U}^r}^q)$ of Definition 5.1, the equality $U_{n+1}^r \cap F(X^q) = U_{n+1}^q$ holds, implying that $h \in U_{n+1}^r$. Similarly, by condition $(iii_{\mathcal{U}^r}^p)$ of Definition 5.1, we have $n+1 \leq n^q \leq n^r$. Since $\mathcal{U}^r$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X^r)$ by condition (3_r) of Definition 8.1(a), we have $e \in U_{n+1}^r$ by Remark 4.3. Since $p \in B_{\{x\}}$, we have $x \in X^p$ by definition of $B_{\{x\}}$. Since $\mathcal{U}^r$ extends $\mathcal{U}^p$, we have $X^p \subseteq X^r$ by condition $(i_{\mathcal{U}^r}^p)$ of Definition 5.1. Therefore, $x \in X^r$, and so $y = x^\varepsilon \in \bar{X}^r$ by Definition 4.1. Since $\mathcal{U}^r$ is a finite neighbourhood system for $F(X^r)$, by applying condition $(3_{\mathcal{U}^r})$ of Definition 4.2, we get that

$$(57) \quad y \cdot h \cdot y^{-1} = y \cdot h \cdot e \cdot y^{-1} \subseteq y \cdot U_{n+1}^r \cdot U_{n+1}^r \cdot y^{-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{z \in \bar{Z}} z \cdot U_{n+1}^r \cdot U_{n+1}^r \cdot z^{-1} \subseteq U_n^r.$$

Since $r \in \mathbb{F}$ and $n \leq n^r$, we have $U_n^r \subseteq U_n$ by (53). Combining this with (57), we conclude that $y \cdot h \cdot y^{-1} \in U_n$. Since $h \in U_{n+1}$ was chosen arbitrarily, this proves the inclusion $y \cdot U_{n+1} \cdot y^{-1} \subseteq U_n$. $\square$

Claim 22. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $g \in F(X)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g \cdot U_k \cdot g^{-1} \subseteq U_n$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in F(X)$ be arbitrary. Then $g = y_1 \cdot y_2 \cdot \dots \cdot y_m$ for some $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in \bar{X}$. Note that

$$y_m \cdot U_{n+m} \cdot y_m^{-1} \subseteq U_{n+m-1}$$

by Claim 21. Applying this claim once again, we get

$$y_{m-1} \cdot (y_m \cdot U_{n+m} \cdot y_m^{-1}) \cdot y_{m-1}^{-1} \subseteq y_{m-1} \cdot U_{n+m-1} \cdot y_{m-1}^{-1} \subseteq U_{n+m-2}.$$

By inductively applying Claim 21 finitely many times, we obtain the inclusion

$$g \cdot U_{n+m} \cdot g^{-1} = y_1 \cdot \dots \cdot y_m \cdot U_{n+m} \cdot y_m^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot y_1^{-1} \subseteq U_n.$$

Therefore, it suffices to let $k = n + m$. $\square$

Claim 23. The family $\mathcal{B}$ as in (54) is a neighbourhood base at e of some Hausdorff group topology $\mathcal{T}$ on $F(X)$.

Proof. It easily follows from Claims 19 and 20 that $U_m \subseteq U_n$ whenever $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \leq m$. Combined with (54), this implies that $\mathcal{B}$ is a filter base. By (54), Claim 20 and Claim 22, $\mathcal{B}$ has the following three properties.

- For every $U \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $V \cdot V \subseteq U$;
- For every $U \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $V^{-1} \subseteq U$;
- For every $U \in \mathcal{B}$ and each $g \in F(X)$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $g \cdot V \cdot g^{-1} \subseteq U$.

By [3, Theorem 3.1.5], the family

$$\mathcal{T} = \{O \subseteq F(X) : \forall g \in O \exists U \in \mathcal{B} (gU \subseteq O)\}$$

is a topology on the free group $F(X)$ making it into a topological group such that the family $\mathcal{B}$ is a neighbourhood base at e comprised of $\mathcal{T}$ -neighborhoods of e . It follows from Claim 19 and [3, Theorem 4.1.1] that $\mathcal{T}$ is Hausdorff. $\square$

Claim 24. The topological group $(F(X), \mathcal{T})$ has the algebraic small subgroup generating property.

Proof. We are going to check that the topological group $(F(X), \mathcal{T})$ satisfies Definition 2.1.

Let W be a neighbourhood of e in $(F(X), \mathcal{T})$. By Claim 23, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_n \subseteq W$.

Fix $g \in F(X) \setminus \{e\}$ and recall that $A_n \cap D_g \in \mathcal{D}$. By the choice of $\mathbb{F}$, there exists some $q \in A_n \cap D_g \cap \mathbb{F}$. Since $q \in A_n$, the inequality $n \leq n^q$ holds by definition of A_n , and therefore (53) tells us that

$$(58) \quad U_{n^q}^q \subseteq U_n^q \subseteq U_n \subseteq W.$$

Finally, since $q \in D_g$, we get $g \in \langle \text{Cyc}(U_{n^q}^q) \rangle$. From (58) we obtain that

$$(59) \quad g \in \langle \text{Cyc}(U_{n^q}^q) \rangle \subseteq \langle \text{Cyc}(U_n) \rangle \subseteq \langle \text{Cyc}(W) \rangle.$$

Finally, $\langle e \rangle = e \in W$ implies that $e \in \text{Cyc}(W) \subseteq \langle \text{Cyc}(W) \rangle$. Since (59) holds for every $g \in F(X) \setminus \{e\}$, we have proved that $F(X) \subseteq \langle \text{Cyc}(W) \rangle$. Since the converse inclusion clearly holds, we proved that $F(X) = \langle \text{Cyc}(W) \rangle$. Since this equality holds for every neighbourhood W of e in $(F(X), \mathcal{T})$, we conclude that $(F(X), \mathcal{T})$ has the algebraic small subgroup generating property. $\square$

Since $(F(X), \mathcal{T})$ is Hausdorff and has a countable base at e by Claim 23, it is metrizable. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

10. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3

Fix an infinite cardinal κ . We are going to show that the free group with κ many generators admits an ASSGP group topology.

Let H be the free group with a countably infinite set of generators equipped with the ASSGP group topology constructed in Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a countable base of H at e consisting of symmetric neighbourhoods of e .

Define $\mathbb{E} = [\kappa \times \mathbb{N}]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. For every $E \in \mathbb{E}$ fix an injection $\theta_E : E \rightarrow H$ such that $\theta_E(E)$ is an independent subset of H . (It suffices to send E injectively into a subset of the infinite set of generators of H .)

Since κ is infinite, $|\mathbb{E}| = \kappa$ and $|\mathbb{E}|^{<\omega} = \kappa$. Since H and $\mathcal{B}$ are countable, we can fix a listing $\{(\mathcal{E}_\alpha, h_\alpha, B_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of triples $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha, h_\alpha, B_\alpha)$, where $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \in [\mathbb{E}]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, $h_\alpha \in H^{\mathcal{E}_\alpha}$ and $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ for every $\alpha < \kappa$, having the following property:

($\diamond$) If $\mathcal{E} \in [\mathbb{E}]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, $h \in H^{\mathcal{E}}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $(\mathcal{E}_\gamma, h_\gamma, B_\gamma) = (\mathcal{E}, h, B)$ for some $\gamma < \kappa$.

By transfinite induction on $\alpha < \kappa$, we shall define $x_{\alpha,n} \in H^{\mathbb{E}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying two properties:

- (i $_\alpha$) If $(\alpha, n) \in E \in \mathbb{E}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_{\alpha,n}(E) = \theta_E(\alpha, n)$.
- (ii $_\alpha$) There exist $n_0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{\alpha,n_0+i} \in \text{Cyc}(W_\alpha)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$ and $h_\alpha(E) = \prod_{i=0}^k x_{\alpha,n_0+i}(E)$ for every $E \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$, where $W_\alpha = B_\alpha^{\mathcal{E}_\alpha} \times H^{\mathbb{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_\alpha}$.

Suppose that $\alpha < \kappa$ is an ordinal and $x_{\beta,n} \in H^{\mathbb{E}}$ were already defined for all $\beta < \kappa$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in such a way that properties (i $_{\beta}$) and (ii $_{\beta}$) hold. We shall define $x_{\alpha,n} \in H^{\mathbb{E}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (i $_{\alpha}$) and (ii $_{\alpha}$).

Recall that $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha} \in [\mathbb{E}]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, so $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ is a (non-empty) finite subset of $\mathbb{E} = [\kappa \times \mathbb{N}]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Therefore, $\bigcup \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ is a finite subset of $\kappa \times \mathbb{N}$, so we can fix $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(60) \quad (\alpha, n) \notin \bigcup \mathcal{E}_{\alpha} \text{ whenever } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \geq n_0.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha} = \{E_1, \dots, E_l\}$ be a faithfully indexed enumeration, where $E_j \in \mathbb{E}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, l$.

Let $j = 1, \dots, l$ be arbitrary. Since $h_{\alpha} \in H^{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}}$, we have $h_{\alpha}(E_j) \in H$. Since $B_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}$, it is an open neighbourhood of e in H . Since H is ASSGP, we can choose $k_j \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $g_0^j, \dots, g_{k_j}^j \in \text{Cyc}(B_{\alpha})$ such that

$$h_{\alpha}(E_j) = \prod_{i=0}^{k_j} g_i^j.$$

Define $k = \max\{k_j : j = 0, \dots, l\}$.

Let $j = 0, \dots, l$ be arbitrary. For every i with $k_j < i \leq k$, define $g_i^j = e$. Then

$$(61) \quad g_0^j, \dots, g_k^j \in \text{Cyc}(B_{\alpha}) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\alpha}(E_j) = \prod_{i=0}^k g_i^j.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $n_0 \leq n \leq n_0 + k$, define $x_{\alpha,n} \in H^{\mathbb{E}}$ by

$$(62) \quad x_{\alpha,n}(E) = \begin{cases} g_{n-n_0}^j & \text{if } E = E_j \text{ for some } j = 1, \dots, l \\ \theta_E(\alpha, n) & \text{if } (\alpha, n) \in E \\ e & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } E \in \mathbb{E}.$$

It should be noted that the first and the second line of (62) do not contradict each other. Indeed, if $E = E_j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, l$, then $E \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$. Since $n \geq n_0$, we have $(\alpha, n) \notin E$ by (60).

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying either $n < n_0$ or $n > n_0 + k$, define $x_{\alpha,n} \in H^{\mathbb{E}}$ by

$$(63) \quad x_{\alpha,n}(E) = \begin{cases} \theta_E(\alpha, n) & \text{if } (\alpha, n) \in E \\ e & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } E \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Condition (i $_{\alpha}$) is satisfied by (62) and (63).

Let us check the condition (ii $_{\alpha}$). Let $E \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ be arbitrary. Then $E = E_j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, l$. It follows from (62) that $x_{\alpha,n_0+i}(E) = g_i^j$ for all $i = 0, \dots, k$, so

$$(64) \quad x_{\alpha,n_0+i}(E) \in \text{Cyc}(B_{\alpha}) \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, k$$

by (61). Furthermore, (61) also implies that

$$h_{\alpha}(E) = h_{\alpha}(E_j) = \prod_{i=0}^k g_i^j = \prod_{i=1}^k x_{\alpha,n_0+i}.$$

Since $W_{\alpha} = B_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}} \times H^{\mathbb{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}}$ and (64) holds for every $E \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$, we conclude that $x_{\alpha,n_0+i} \in \text{Cyc}(W_{\alpha})$ for every $i = 0, \dots, k$. This finishes the check of condition (ii $_{\alpha}$).

The inductive construction is complete.

Claim 25. $X = \{x_{\alpha,n} : \alpha < \kappa, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an independent subset of $H^{\mathbb{E}}$.

Proof. By [4, Lemma 2.3], it suffices to show that every non-empty finite subset Y of X is independent in $H^{\mathbb{E}}$. There exists a non-empty finite set $E \subseteq \kappa \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $Y = \{x_{\alpha,n} : (\alpha, n) \in E\}$. Let $\eta : E \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective map defined by $\eta(\alpha, n) = x_{\alpha,n}$ for $(\alpha, n) \in E$. Note that $E \in \mathbb{E}$ by our definition of $\mathbb{E}$. Let $\pi_E : H^{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow H$ be the projection on E 'th coordinate. If $(\alpha, n) \in E$,

then $\pi_E(\eta(\alpha, n)) = \pi_E(x_{\alpha, n}) = x_{\alpha, n}(E) = \theta_E(\alpha, n)$ by (i_α). This shows that $\pi_E \upharpoonright_Y \circ \eta = \theta_E$. Since θ_E is an injection, so is η . Since η is also surjective, η is a bijection between E and Y , so it has the inverse map $\eta^{-1} : Y \rightarrow E$. Now $\pi_E \upharpoonright_Y \circ \eta = \theta_E$ implies $\pi_E \upharpoonright_Y = \theta_E \circ \eta^{-1}$. Since both η^{-1} and θ_E are injections, so is $\pi_E \upharpoonright_Y$. Finally, it follows from our choice of θ_E that $\pi_E \upharpoonright_Y (Y) = \theta_E \circ \eta^{-1}(Y) = \theta_E(\eta^{-1}(Y)) = \theta_E(E)$ is an independent subset of H . It follows from [4, Lemma 2.4] that Y is an independent subset of $H^{\mathbb{E}}$. $\square$

Claim 26. The subgroup $G = \langle X \rangle$ of $H^{\mathbb{E}}$ generated by X is ASSGP.

Proof. Let U be an open neighbourhood of e in $H^{\mathbb{E}}$. In order to prove that G is ASSGP, it suffices to show that the equality $\langle \text{Cyc}(U \cap G) \rangle = G$ holds.

Let $g \in G$ be arbitrary. By definition there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_i \in \kappa$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, l$ such that

$$(65) \quad g = \prod_{i=1}^l x_{\alpha_i, n_i}^{\varepsilon_i}$$

for some $\varepsilon_i = 0, 1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, l$. $\square$

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \{(\alpha_i, n_i) : i = 1, \dots, l\}.$$

Now, since U is open in $H^{\mathbb{E}}$ there exists a non-empty finite subset $\mathcal{E}_2$ of $\mathbb{E}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B^{\mathcal{E}_2} \times H^{\mathbb{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_2} \subseteq U$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2$, then $\mathcal{E} \in [\mathbb{E}]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and define $h = g \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{E}} \in H^{\mathcal{E}}$.

By $(\diamond)$, we can find $\alpha \in \kappa$ such that $(\mathcal{E}, h, B) = (\mathcal{E}_\alpha, h_\alpha, B_\alpha)$. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ as in condition (ii_α), then there exist $n_0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{\alpha, n_0+i} \in \text{Cyc}(W_\alpha)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$ and $h_\alpha(E) = h(E) = \prod_{i=0}^k x_{\alpha, n_0+i}(E)$ for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$, where

$$(66) \quad W_\alpha = B_\alpha^{\mathcal{E}_\alpha} \times H^{\mathbb{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_\alpha} = B^{\mathcal{E}} \times H^{\mathbb{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}} \subseteq B^{\mathcal{E}_2} \times H^{\mathbb{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_2} \subseteq U$$

In particular, $\text{Cyc}(W_\alpha) \subseteq \text{Cyc}(U)$, which implies $x_{\alpha, n_0+i} \in \text{Cyc}(U)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$. Since $x_{\alpha, n_0+i} \in X \subseteq G$, we have $x_{\alpha, n_0+i} \in \text{Cyc}(U \cap G)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Define

$$(67) \quad g_1 = \prod_{i=0}^k x_{\alpha, n_0+i} \text{ and } g_2 = g \cdot g_1^{-1}.$$

By definition we have that $g_1, g_2 \in G$ since G is a subgroup of $H^{\mathcal{E}}$. By the construction of g_1 , we also know that $g_1 \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{E}} = g \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Now, observe that $g_2 \in \text{Cyc}(U)$; indeed, if $E \in \mathcal{E}$ then

$$g_2(E) = g(E) \cdot (g_1(E))^{-1} = g(E) \cdot g(E)^{-1} = e_H.$$

Since $\{e_H\}^{\mathcal{E}} \times H^{\mathbb{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}}$ is a subgroup of $H^{\mathcal{E}}$, then by (66) we have that

$$(68) \quad g_2 \in \{e_H\}^{\mathcal{E}} \times H^{\mathbb{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}} \subseteq \text{Cyc}(U).$$

This shows that $g_2 \in \text{Cyc}(U \cap G)$, and so

$$(69) \quad g = g_2 \cdot g_1 = g_2 \cdot \prod_{i=0}^k x_{\alpha, n_0+i}$$

where for every $i = 0, \dots, k$ we have that $x_{\alpha, n_0+i}, g_2 \in \text{Cyc}(U \cap G)$. Thus, $g \in \langle \text{Cyc}(U \cap G) \rangle$. Since this holds for an arbitrary $g \in G$, we conclude that $\langle \text{Cyc}(U \cap G) \rangle = G$.

It follows from Claim 25 that G is a free group with generating set X . Since κ is an infinite cardinal, $|X| = |\kappa \times \mathbb{N}| = \kappa$. By Claim 26, the subspace topology G inherits from $H^{\mathbb{E}}$ is ASSGP.

11. QUESTIONS

The free group with one generator is isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{Z}$ of integer numbers, so it does not admit an SSGP group topology by [1, Corollary 3.14], and therefore it also cannot have an ASSGP group topology. In view of this remark, Theorem 2.3 motivates the following question.

Question 11.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$. Can the free group with n generators admit either an ASSGP or an SSGP group topology?

Comparison of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 suggests the following question:

Question 11.2. Can the ASSGP group topology in Theorem 2.3 be chosen to be metric?

In fact, a more general questions seems quite intriguing.

Question 11.3. If a group G admits an SSGP group topology, must G also admit a metric SSGP group topology? What if G is abelian?

The ASSGP version of this question also makes sense.

Question 11.4. If a group G admits an ASSGP group topology, must G also admit a metric ASSGP group topology? What if G is abelian?

The following problem may be considered as a “heir” of Question 1.2:

Problem 11.5. Describe the algebraic structure of (abelian) groups which admit an ASSGP group topology.

The authors made a substantial progress on this problem in [16].

REFERENCES

- [1] W.W. Comfort and F. R. Gould, *Some classes of minimally almost periodic topological groups*, Appl. Gen. Topol. 16 (2015), 141–165.
- [2] S. Dierolf and S. Warken, *Some examples in connection with Pontryagin’s duality theorem*, Arch. Math. 30 (1978), 599–605.
- [3] D. Dikranjan, *Introduction to Topological Groups*, Reference Notes, University of Udine, 2013.
- [4] D. Dikranjan and D. Shakhmatov, *The algebraic structure of pseudocompact groups*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 133/633 (1998), 83 pp.
- [5] D. Dikranjan and D. Shakhmatov, *Metrizability criteria for compact groups in terms of their dense subgroups*, Fund. Math. 221 (2013), 161–187.
- [6] D. Dikranjan and D. Shakhmatov, *Final solution of Protasov-Comfort’s problem on minimally almost periodic group topologies*, preprint, [arXiv:1410.3313](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.3313).
- [7] D. Dikranjan and D. Shakhmatov, *A complete solution of Markov’s problem on connected group topologies*, Adv. Math. 286 (2016), 286–307.
- [8] D. Dikranjan and D. Shakhmatov, *Topological groups with many small subgroups*, Topology Appl. 200 (2016), 101–132.
- [9] F. Gould, *On certain classes of minimally almost periodic groups*, Thesis (Ph.D.), Wesleyan University. 2009. 136 pp. ISBN: 978-1109-22005-6.
- [10] F. Gould, *An SSGP topology for $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$* , Topology Proc. 44, (2014), 389–392.
- [11] S. Hartman, S. and J. Mycielski, *On the imbedding of topological groups into connected topological groups*, Colloq. Math. 5 (1958), 167–169.
- [12] J. von Neumann, E. Wigner, *Minimally almost periodic groups*, Ann. Math. 41 (1940), 746–750.
- [13] D. Shakhmatov and V. Yañez, *Selectively pseudocompact groups without infinite separable pseudocompact subsets*, Axioms 7 (no. 4): 86 (2018), 1–23.
- [14] D. Shakhmatov and V. Yañez, *Topological groups with many small subgroups revisited*, Kyoto University RIMS Kôkyûroku no. 2064: 6 (2018), 1–7.
- [15] D. Shakhmatov and V. Yañez, *SSGP topologies on abelian groups of positive finite divisible rank*, Fund. Math. 244 (2019), 125–145.
- [16] D. Shakhmatov and V. Yañez, *The algebraic small subgroup generating property*, work in progress.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND EARTH SCIENCES, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING,
EHIME UNIVERSITY, MATSUYAMA 790-8577, JAPAN

E-mail address: `dmitri.shakhmatov@ehime-u.ac.jp`

DOCTOR'S COURSE, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, EHIME UNIVERSITY, MATSUYAMA 790-
8577, JAPAN

E-mail address: `victor.yanez@comunidad.unam.mx`