arXiv:1901.10947v2 [astro-ph.CO] 25 Feb 2019

Galaxy clusters and a possible variation of the fine structure constant

L. R. Colaço¹,* R. F. L. Holanda^{1,2},[†] R. Silva^{1,3},[‡] and J. S. Alcaniz^{4,1§}

¹Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, 59300-000, Natal - RN, Brasil

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, 49100-000, Sao Cristóvão - SE, Brasil

³Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual do Rio Grande do Norte, 59120-450, Mossoró - RN, Brasil and

⁴Observatorio Nacional, 20921-400, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, Brasil

(Dated: February 26, 2019)

Galaxy clusters have been used as a cosmic laboratory to verify a possible time variation of fundamental constants. Particularly, it has been shown that the ratio $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XZS}Y_X$, which is expected to be constant with redshift, can be used to probe a variation of the fine structure constant, α . In this ratio, $Y_{SZ}D_A^2$ is the integrated comptonization parameter of a galaxy cluster obtained via Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (SZ) effect observations multiplied by its angular diameter distance, D_A , Y_X is the X-ray counterpart and C_{XSZ} is an arbitrary constant. Using a combination of SZ and X-ray data, a recent analysis found $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XZS}Y_X = C\alpha(z)^{3.5}$, where C is a constant. In this paper, following previous results that suggest that a variation of α necessarily leads to a violation of the cosmic distance duality relation, $D_L/D_A(1+z)^2 = 1$, where D_L is the luminosity distance of a given source, we derive a new expression, $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X = C\alpha^{3.5}\eta^{-1}(z)$, where $\eta(z) = D_L/D_A(1+z)^2$. In particular, considering the direct relation $\eta(z) \propto \alpha(z)^{1/2}$, derived from a class of dilaton runaway models, and 61 measurements of the ratio $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X$ provided by the Planck collaboration, we discuss bounds on a possible variation of α . We also estimate the value of the constant C, which is compatible with the unity at 2σ level, indicating that the assumption of isothermality for the temperature profile of the galaxy clusters used in the analysis holds.

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 95.36.+x, 98.80.Es

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the fundamental hypotheses of standard cosmology is that the physics laws are the same throughout the universe. However, in 1937, Dirac [1] argued that the fundamental constants of Nature may not be pure constants but reflect the state of our Universe. This argument led to the hypothesis of a possible space-time variation of fundamental constants. Since then, the Dirac's hypothesis has motivated several theoretical and experimental investigations (see e.g. [2, 3, 4]). Particularly, according to the general relativity theory (GR), a variation of fundamental constants is prohibited because it would violate the Einstein's equivalence principle. Moreover, a variation of a fundamental constant in space and/or time would indicate the existence of a coupling between matter and an almost massless field [2]. In other words, detecting any variation of the fundamental constants would also indicate the need for new physics.

In the gravitational sector, many grand-unification theories predict that the gravitational constant G is a slowly varying function of low-mass dynamical scalar fields [2, 3]. The Lunar Laser Ranging experiments have provided an upper bound, such as $\dot{G}/G = (0.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-12}$ per year, via the Earth-Moon system [5] (other weaker constraints can also be obtained from cosmologi-

cal data [6, 7, 8]). In the electromagnetic sector, some string theory models at low energy predict the existence of the dilaton field, a scalar partner of the spin-2 graviton. In [9, 10] it was argued that the runaway of the dilaton field towards strong coupling may yield variations of the fine structure constant $\alpha = e^2/c\hbar \approx 1/137$, where e is the unit electron charge, and \hbar and c are the reduced Planck's constant $(h/2\pi)$ and the speed of light, respectively. Moreover, few years ago, there was a controversial debate among some authors claiming for a varying fine structure constant in the redshift range 0.5 < z < 3.5[11, 12] (by using high-redshift quasar absorption systems observed by Keck/HIRES and VLT/UVES) while other authors challenged these results by exploring the instrumental systematic errors of the VLT/UVES [13]. It was then shown that there seems to be no evidence for a space or time variation in α from quasar data. In table I of [4] it is shown the current estimates based on absorption systems and the weighted mean of these estimates is $\Delta \alpha / \alpha = -0.64 \pm 0.65$ parts per million (ppm).

The fine structure constant is also predicted to vary in a class of modified gravity theories that explicitly breaks the Einstein equivalence principle in the electromagnetic sector ([22, 23, 24]). This class of theories has a non minimal multiplicative coupling between the usual electromagnetic part of matter fields and a new scalar field which leads to variations of α (see details in [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]). In this case, the entire electromagnetic sector is affected, leading to a non conservation of photon number and a consequent modification in the luminosity distance of a given source. Actually, in this class of modified gravity theories not only variations of the α may be present e.g. $\alpha(z) = \alpha_0 \phi(z)$,

^{*}Electronic address: colacolrc@gmail.com

[†]Electronic address: holandarfl@fisica.ufrn.br

[‡]Electronic address: raimundosilva@fisica.ufrn.br

[§]Electronic address: alcaniz@on.br

where α_0 is the current value, but also deviations from the cosmic distance duality relation (CDDR) validity $D_L(1+z)^{-2}/D_A = \eta$, where D_L and D_A are, respectively, the luminosity and diameter angular distances, and η is different from the unity. In this context, Refs. [22, 23, 24] obtained the relation $\phi(z) = \eta(z)^2$. Several works have been proposed in order to access a possible deviation from Einstein equivalence principle by using cosmological data, such as: type Ia supernovae, gas mass fraction of galaxy clusters, angular diameter distance of galaxy clus-

ters, $T_{CMB}(z)$ measurements [31, 32, 33, 34]. No signif-

icant deviation from GR was found although the results

do not completely rule out the models under question. On the other hand, constraints on the variation of α in the early universe have also been investigated by using the cosmic microwave background (CMB) data (see [14, 15]) and the abundance of the light elements emerged during the Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) [8]. Considering a flat Λ CDM model, with an almost scale-invariant power spectrum and purely adiabatic initial conditions without primordial gravity waves, the Planck Collaboration (based on 2013 data) found $\Delta \alpha / \alpha \approx 10^{-3}$ [15]. As one may check (see Figs. 5 and 6 of [15]), such limit is weakened by opening up the parameter space to variations of the number of relativistic species or the helium abundance (see also [37] for a investigation of the lithium abundance problem in BBN scenarios with a varying α). Moreover, the value of the Hubble constant shifts to $H_0 = 65.1 \pm 1.8 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ if α is allowed to vary, which exacerbates the tension with the value of the Hubble constant measured recently, $H_0 = 73.45 \pm 1.66 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$, using cepheids and low-z type Ia supernovae [45]. More recently, by using the Planck 2015 data, [16] put limits on a possible variation of α and the electron mass, m_e , obtaining: $1 + \Delta \alpha / \alpha = 0.9993 \pm 0.0025$, $1 + \Delta m_e / m_e = 0.962^{+0.044}_{-0.074}$ and $H_0 = 60^{+7}_{-16} \text{km/s/Mpc}$. By adding BAO data, the results became: $1 + \Delta \alpha / \alpha =$ $0.9989 \pm 0.0026, 1 + \Delta m_e/m_e = 1.0056 \pm 0.0080$ and $H_0 = 68 \pm 1.3 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$. The authors also investigated a possible redshift-dependent variation, such as $\alpha_{EM}(z) =$ $\alpha_{EM}(z_0)[(1+z)/1100]^p$ with $\alpha_{EM}(z_0)$ at $z_0 = 1100$. They obtained $\alpha_{EM}(z)/\alpha_{EM}(z=0) = 0.9998 \pm 0.0036$, $p~=~0.0006 \pm 0.0036$ and $H_0~=~67.3 \pm 1.4~{\rm km/s/Mpc}$ by using only CMB data. These results make clear the importance of investigating possible variations of α from different astrophysical and cosmological data¹.

In recent works, a class of string-inspired models, the so-called runaway dilaton scenario, has been tested with cosmological data. As mentioned earlier, the runaway of the dilaton towards strong coupling may yield a time variation of the fine structure constant. For this scenario, a possible evolution for low and intermediate redshifts is given by $\Delta \alpha / \alpha(z) \approx -\frac{1}{40} \beta_{had,0} \phi'_0 \ln(1+z) \approx -\gamma \ln(1+z)$, where $\beta_{had,0}$ is the current value of the coupling between the dilaton and hadronic matter and ϕ'_0 is $\partial \phi / \partial \ln(a)$ at the present time. Some recent constraints on γ were obtained using recent galaxy clusters observations. For instance, in [38] it was proposed a new method using exclusively galaxy cluster gas mass fraction measurements (see also [39, 40]). Possible spatial variations of the fine structure constant from galaxy cluster data were also analysed in the Ref.[41]. A detailed discussion about the effects of a spatial variation of α on the CMB spectrum can be

In [43] it was proposed a test to search for a spatial variation of α using Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (SZ) and X-ray observations through the $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XZS}Y_X$ ratio, which it is expected to be constant with redshift. In this ratio, $Y_{SZ}D_A^2$ is the integrated comptonization parameter of a galaxy cluster obtained via the SZ effect observations multiplied by its angular diameter distance, D_A , the Y_X parameter is the X-ray counterpart and C_{XSZ} is a constant. As shown, if $\alpha(z) = \alpha_0 \phi(z)$, this ratio becomes $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X = C\phi(z)^{3.5}$, where C is a new constant.

found in the [42].

The analysis of [43] did not take into account a possible departure from the CDDR, which was assumed as valid to obtain the Y_X parameter. However, as shown in [22, 23, 24], for some class of models a variation of α necessarily leads to a violation of the CDDR. In this paper, we extend the method proposed in [43] by taking into account the effect of a departure from the CDDR on Y_X observations. It is shown that, if $\alpha(z) = \alpha_0 \phi(z)$ and $D_L = \eta(z)D_A(1+z)^2$, the $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X$ ratio depends on both $\phi(z)$ and $\eta(z)$ as $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X =$ $C\phi(z)^{3.5}\eta(z)^{-1}$. By considering the class of modified gravity theories explored in [22, 23, 24], we also find that the above relation is rewritten as $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X =$ $C\phi(z)^3$. We use this new expression along with 61 galaxy cluster data taken from [44] to investigate constraints on the variation of α . Our results show no significant evidence for $\alpha \neq 0$, which is in full agreement with other analyses using quasar and different galaxy cluster observations. Moreover, the result obtained for the constant C is compatible within 2σ (C.L.) with the assumption of isothermality for the temperature profile of the galaxy clusters used in our analysis.

II. METHODOLOGY

The scaling-relations in galaxy clusters result from the hierarchical structure formation theory when gravity is the dominant process. For this case, self-similar models predict simple scaling relations between basic galaxy cluster properties and the total mass (see details in [46]). In this paper, we are interested in the scaling-relation involving the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect and X-ray surface

¹ There are also local methods testing possible variation of α , such as: the Oklo natural nuclear reactor and the laboratory measurements of atomic clocks with different atomic numbers, which furnishes the most restrictive limit, $\Delta \alpha / \alpha \approx 10^{-17}$, independent of any assumptions about the constancy or variations of other constants [18, 19, 20, 21].

brightness, i.e., $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X = \text{constant}$ (C), more precisely, in its dependence on the α and η . In what follows, we will discuss this relation in more details.

As is well known, the distortion caused in the CMB spectrum by the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect is proportional to the Compton parameter y, which quantifies the gas pressure of the intracluster medium integrated along the line of sight [47, 48, 49], i.e.,

$$y = \frac{\sigma_T k_B}{m_e c^2} \int n_e T dl, \qquad (1)$$

where m_e is the electron mass, n_e is the electron number density and T is the electron temperature. The quantity σ_T is the Thompson cross section, which can be written in terms of the fine structure constant as

$$\sigma_T = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left(\frac{e^2}{m_e c^2} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{m_e^2 c^2} \right).$$
(2)

By integrating it over the solid angle of a galaxy cluster $(d\Omega = dA/D_A^2)$, it is possible to obtain the integrated Compton parameter Y_{SZ} :

$$Y_{SZ} \equiv \int_{\Omega} y d\Omega, \qquad (3)$$

or, equivalently,

$$Y_{SZ}D_A^2 \equiv \frac{\sigma_T}{m_e c^2} \int P dV, \qquad (4)$$

where $P = n_e K_B T$ is the integrated thermal pressure of the intracluster gas along the line of sight. Here, it is possible to see that $Y_{SZ}D_A^2$ has a dependency on the fine structure constant through the Thompson cross section as [43]:

$$Y_{SZ}D_A^2 \propto \alpha^2. \tag{5}$$

If $\alpha(z) = \alpha_0 \phi(z)$, being α_0 the present value of α , one may show that:

$$Y_{SZ}D_A^2 \propto \phi(z)^2. \tag{6}$$

Another quantity of interest to our work, obtained via X-ray surface brightness observations, is the Y_X parameter, defined as:

$$Y_X = M_g(R)T_X,\tag{7}$$

where T_X is the spectroscopically determined X-ray temperature and $M_g(R) = \mu_e m_p \int n_e dV$ is the gas mass within the radius R, μ_e corresponds to the mean molecular weight of electrons and m_p stands for the proton mass. The essential quantity here is $M_g(R)$, which can be written in terms of the fine structure constant as (see e.g. [43, 51] for details):

$$M_g(< R) = \alpha^{-3/2} \left(\frac{3\pi m_e}{2(1+X)\hbar^2 c} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{3m_e c^2}{2\pi k_B T_e} \right) \times m_H \frac{r_c^{3/2}}{(g_B(T_e))^{1/2}} \left[\frac{I_M(R/r_c,\beta)}{I_L^{1/2}(R/r_c,\beta)} \right] L_X^{1/2}(< R), \quad (8)$$

where $L_X(< R)$ is the X-ray total luminosity, m_H is the hydrogen mass, X is the hydrogen mass fraction, r_c stands for the core radius and

$$I_M(R/r_c,\beta) \equiv \int_0^{R/r_c} (1+x^2)^{-3\beta/2} x^2 dx$$
$$I_L(R/r_c,\beta) \equiv \int_0^{R/r_c} (1+x^2)^{-3\beta} x^2 dx.$$
(9)

However $L_X(< R)$, r_c and R are not observed directly, but depend on the use of a cosmological model as [51]:

$$L_X(< R) = 4\pi [D_L(z; \Omega_i, H_0)]^2 f_X(< \theta), \quad (10)$$

$$r_c = \theta_c D_A(z; \Omega_i, H_0), \tag{11}$$

$$R = \theta D_A(z; \Omega_i, H_0), \tag{12}$$

where $f_X(<\theta)$ is the total bolometric flux within the outer angular radius θ ($\theta = r/D_A$), θ_c is the angular core radius, Ω_i stands for the energy density parameters of the assumed cosmological scenario and H_0 is the current value of the expansion rate. From these expressions, one may see that the gas mass may be written as [52]:

$$M_g(<\theta) \propto \phi(z)^{-3/2} D_L D_A^{3/2}.$$
 (13)

Then, it is worthwhile to emphasize that besides the fine structure constant, $M_g(R)$ also depends on the validity of the CDDR, $D_L = (1+z)^2 D_A$. Besides, a variation of α leads to a violation of the CDDR, as shown in [22]. Thus, if one considers any departure from this latter as, for instance, $D_L = \eta(z)(1+z)^2 D_A$, $M_g(R)$ and, consequently, Y_X , will depend on $\phi(z)$ and $\eta(z)$ as:

$$Y_X \propto M_g(<\theta) \propto \phi(z)^{-3/2} \eta(z). \tag{14}$$

III. THEORETICAL MODEL

As commented earlier, the authors of Refs. [22, 23, 24] investigated cosmological signatures of modified gravity theories when there is the presence of a scalar field with a non minimal multiplicative coupling to the electromagnetic Lagrangian (see also [53, 54, 55, 56, 57]). In this context, the entire electromagnetic sector is affected and $\alpha(z)$ and $\eta(z)$ are intimately and unequivocally linked by:

$$\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha}(z) \equiv \frac{\alpha(z) - \alpha_0}{\alpha_0} = \phi(z) - 1 = \eta(z)^2 - 1.$$
(15)

Then, the equations (6) and (14) depend on $\phi(z)$ as:

$$Y_{SZ}D_A^2(z) \propto \phi^2 \tag{16}$$

$$Y_X \propto \phi^{-1}. \tag{17}$$

The above result is different from the one obtained in [43], i.e., $Y_X \propto \phi(z)^{-3/2}$, in which the dependence of Y_X on the CDDR was not considered.



FIG. 1: The observed $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/Y_XC_{XSZ}$ ratio for the galaxy cluster sample [44] used in our analysis.

The method proposed in [43] was based on the $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X$ quantity, which may be written as:

$$\frac{Y_{SZ}D_A^2}{Y_X} = C_{XSZ}\frac{\int n_e(r)T(r)dV}{T(R)\int n_e(r)dV},$$
(18)

where

$$C_{XSZ} = \frac{\sigma_T}{m_e c^2} \frac{1}{\mu_e m_p} \approx 1.416.10^{-19} \frac{\text{Mpc}^2}{M_{\odot} \text{keV}}.$$
 (19)

As one may see, Y_{SZ} and Y_X are approximations of the thermal energy of the cluster. From numerical simulations and current observations [58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63] this ratio is expected to be constant with redshift since $Y_{SZ}D^2$ and Y_X are expected to scale in the same way with mass and redshift as power-laws. Moreover, if galaxy clusters are isothermal or have a universal temperature profile, this ratio would be exactly constant with redshift and equal to unity. Actually, numerical simulations have shown that this ratio has small scatter, at the level of $\approx 15\%$ [59, 60, 61].

In this paper, we use the $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/Y_XC_{XSZ}$ ratio as well as Eq. (14) to performed a robust analysis and obtain bounds on a possible α variation, assuming $\alpha(z) = \alpha_0 \phi(z)$, i.e.

$$\frac{Y_{SZ}D_A^2}{Y_X C_{XSZ}} = C\phi^3,\tag{20}$$

where C is a constant to be determined.

We are interested in a possible α variation as predicted by a class of dilaton runaway models [9, 10, 64]. For this model, the evolution of α at low and intermediate redshifts can be approximated by the linear relation

$$\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha} \approx -\frac{1}{40} \beta_{0,had} \Phi_{0}^{'} \ln\left(1+z\right) \approx -\gamma \ln\left(1+z\right), \quad (21)$$

where $\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{40}\beta_{0,had}\Phi'_0$ contains all the relevant physical information: $\Phi'_0 \equiv \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \ln a}$ is the present scalar field velocity and $\beta_{0,had}$ is the current value of the coupling between the dilaton and hadronic matter [22, 23, 24]. Since we have considered $\alpha(z) = \alpha_0 \phi(z)$, the quantity of interest is (see also [38, 39, 40])

$$\phi(z) = 1 - \gamma \ln(1+z) .$$
 (22)

IV. GALAXY CLUSTER SAMPLE

In our analysis, we use 61 $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/Y_X$ measurements of nearby galaxy clusters $(z \leq 0.5)$ (see Fig.1). The SZ effect measurements in the direction of these galaxy clusters were detected with high signal-to-noise (S/N > 6)in the first Planck all-sky data set [44]. Nine frequency bands covering 30-857 GHz were observed. On the other hand, their Y_X quantity were obtained with the deep XMM-Newton X-ray data [65]. The $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X$ ratio was obtained for each galaxy cluster considering the R_{500} , the radius corresponding to a total density contrast $500 \times \rho_c(z)$, where $\rho_c(z)$ is the critical density of the Universe at the cluster redshift (see Table I of Ref. [44]). The thermal pressure (P) of the intracluster medium for each galaxy cluster was modelled by using the universal pressure profile [66] and the objects range over approximately a decade in mass, i.e., $M_{500} \approx 2 - 20 \times 10^{14}$ solar masses. As emphasised in [43], observations of a larger sample of galaxy clusters in the X-ray band will be required to properly characterize the Planck galaxy clusters, particularly what concerns the study the intrinsic scatter, Malmquist bias and possible systematics. It is worth to comment that by considering a possible departure from the self-similar evolution for the galaxy clusters in the sample, the authors of [44] added a conservative error to the uncertainty of the observational quantities used here in order to minimize the effects of the isothermal assumption.

It is important to emphasize that modifications of gravity via the presence of a scalar field with a multiplicative coupling to the electromagnetic Lagrangian also cause modifications on the CMB temperature law, such as: $T_{CMB}(z) = T_{0CMB}(1+z) \left[1 + 0.12 \frac{\Delta \alpha}{\alpha} \right]$ (if $\Delta \alpha / \alpha = 0$ the standard result is obtained). At the same time, the SZ effect is redshift independent only if $T_{CMB}(z) = T_{0CMB}(1+z)$. Then, the Y_{SZ} value of a cluster (which it is proportional to the flux of the SZ signal) may also be affected by departures of the standard CMB temperature law. In this line, recent analyses have tested the standard CMB temperature evolution law through different techniques by using the expression: $T_{CMB}(z) = T_{CMB}(z=0)(1+z)^{1-\beta}$. All analyses have confirmed $\beta \approx 0$ within 1σ c.l. [67, 68, 69, 70, 71]. Ref. [71], for instance, obtained $\beta = -0.007 \pm 0.027$ at 1σ by using SZ effect observations and carbon monoxide excitation at high-z. By using 2015 Planck data and BAO,



FIG. 2: a) Contours of 1σ (blue region) and 2σ (red region) on the γ – C plane. The white star corresponds to the pair of points $\gamma = 0$ and C=1, which represents $\alpha = \alpha_0$ and the isothermality assumption, respectively. b and c) Likelihood of γ and C parameters, respectively.

Data set	Reference	Profile	γ
Only Gas Mass Fractions	[38]	Non-Isothermal double β -Model	$+0.065 \pm 0.095$
Angular Diameter Distance plus SNe Ia	[39]	Isothermal Ellipyical β -Model	-0.037 ± 0.157
Gas Mass Fractions plus SNe Ia	[40]	Universal Pressure Profile	$+0.008 \pm 0.035$
Gas Mass Fractions plus SNe Ia	[40]	Virialized ideal gas	$+0.018 \pm 0.032$
Gas Mass Fractions plus SNe Ia	[40]	Non-thermal Pressure and Adiabatic Model	$+0.010 \pm 0.030$
Gas Mass Fractions plus SNe Ia	[40]	Mass Dynamical Estimate from Galaxy Velocity Dispersions	$+0.030 \pm 0.033$
$Y_{SZ}D_A^2/Y_X$ scaling-relation	This paper	Universal pressure profile	-0.15 ± 0.10

TABLE I: A summary of the current constraints on the fine structure constant for a class of dilaton runaway models ($\phi = 1 - \gamma \ln (1 + z)$).

the Ref.[72] obtained $\beta = 0.0004 \pm 0.0011$ at 1σ In our work we do not consider this possible effect on Y_{SZ} .

V. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The constraints on γ are obtained via Eqs. (21) and (22) by evaluating the likelihood distribution function, $\mathcal{L} \propto e^{-\chi^2/2}$, with

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{61} \left[\left(\frac{\phi_{obs,i} - C(1 - \gamma \ln (1 + z_{i}))^{3}}{\sigma_{i}} \right)^{2} \right], \quad (23)$$

where $\phi_{obs,i}$ is given by

$$\phi_{obs,i} = \frac{Y_{SZ,i} D_A^2}{Y_{X,i} C_{XSZ}} , \qquad (24)$$

and

$$\sigma_i = \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{Y_{SZ,i} D_A^2}}{Y_{X,i} C_{XSZ}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_{Y_{X,i} Y_{SZ,i} D_A^2}}{Y_{X,i} C_{XSZ}} \right)^2 + \sigma_{int}^2 \right]^{1/2},$$
(25)

is the total uncertainty inherited from the parameters $Y_{SZ}D_A^2$ and Y_X . Following [43], a $\sigma_{int} = 0.17$ term is added quadratically in order to take into account a possible presence of some unknown intrinsic scatter.

The results are shown in Fig. 2. From our analysis, we find $\gamma = -0.15 \pm 0.17$ and $C = 0.88^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$ at 1σ with the reduced $\chi^2 \simeq 1.2$. The white star in Panel 2a corresponds to the pair of points $\gamma = 0$ and C = 1, which represents $\alpha = \alpha_0$ and the isothermality assumption, respectively. As one may see, it lies within 2σ level (red region).

Marginalizing over the remaining parameter, we also obtain $\gamma = -0.15^{+0.10+0.21}_{-0.10-0.21}$ (Panel 2b) and C = $0.89^{+0.05+0.11}_{-0.05-0.10}$ (Panel 2c) at 1σ and 2σ . As one may see, our results show no significant evidence for $\gamma \neq 0$. Moreover, since C = 1 at 2σ , one may also conclude that the galaxy cluster sample used in our analysis are approximately well described by an isothermal temperature profile. For comparison, we also perform our analysis using the D_A^2 term for each galaxy cluster considering a flat Λ CDM model with $\Omega_M = 0.315 \pm 0.007$ [73] and $H_0 = 73.45 \pm 1.66$ km/s/Mpc [45]. The results are not significantly modified. We also perform an analysis without adding the $\sigma_{int} = 0.17$ term and obtain: $\gamma = -0.10^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$ (1σ) and C = $0.89^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ (1σ), with $\chi^2_{red} \simeq 3.2.$

In Table I, bounds on γ derived in this paper along with other recent constraints obtained from different observables are shown. As one may see, our results are in full agreement with the previous ones and indicate no significant variation of the fine structure constant α .

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we discussed a method to constrain a possible variation of the fine structure constant using the scaling relation $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X$ from galaxy cluster observations. This ratio, which is expected to be constant with redshift from numerical simulations and current observations, is shown to depend not only on the fine structure constant, but also on the cosmic distance duality relation validity, $D_L = (1+z)^2 D_A$. Considering $\alpha(z) = \alpha_0 \phi(z)$ and $D_L = \eta(z)(1+z)^2 D_A$, we obtained $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X = C \phi^{3.5} \eta^{-1}(z)$.

By using a relation between $\eta(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ derived for a class of modified gravity theories that breaks the Einstein's equivalence principle, i.e., $\eta(z)^2 = \phi(z)$, bounds on the $\alpha(z)$ variation and C were obtained considering a class of runaway dilaton models, where $\phi(z) =$ $1 - \gamma \ln(1 + z)$. We used 61 $Y_{SZ}D_A^2/C_{XSZ}Y_X$ measurements reported by the Planck collaboration and found no significant indication for a variation of α . The constant C was found to be compatible with the unity at 2σ level ($C = 0.89^{+0.05+0.11}_{-0.05-0.10}$), indicating that the clusters of the sample used are approximately well described by an isothermal temperature profile. For completeness, we also performed our analysis using an angular distance, D_A^2 , for each galaxy cluster derived from the current concordance Λ CDM model and found that the results remain practically unaltered.

Although not yet competitive with limits from quasar absorption systems, the constraints derived here provide an independent bounds on a possible α variation at low and intermediate redshifts. We expect, however, that with an improved modelling of the physics of the cluster gas and larger galaxy cluster samples – from planned surveys (e.g., eROSITA [74]) – the method presented here may be useful to test a possible α variation with smaller statistical errors as well as to explore assumptions on the universality of temperature profiles of galaxy clusters.

Acknowledgments

LRC acknowledges financial support from CAPES, RFLH acknowledges financial support from CNPq/Brazil (No. 303734/2014-0) and RS acknowledges CNPq for financial support. J. Alcaniz acknowledges support from CNPq (Grants no. 310790/2014-0 and 400471/2014-0) and FAPERJ (grant no. 233906).

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, "The cosmological constants", Nature 139 (1937) 323.
- [2] J.-P. Uzan, "The fundamental constants and their variation: observational and theoretical status", Rev. Mod. Phys. 75 (2003) 403 [arXiv:hep-ph/0205340v1].
- [3] J.-P. Uzan, "Varying Constants, Gravitation and Cosmology", Living Rev. Rel. 14 (2011) 2 [arXiv:1009.5514].
- [4] C. J. A. P. Martins, "The status of varying constants: a review of the physics, searches and implications", Rep. Prog. Phys. 80 (2017) 126902 [arXiv:1709.02923v1].
- [5] J. Muller & L. Biskupek, "Variations of the gravitational constant from lunar laser ranging data", Class. Quantum Grav. 24 (2007) 4533.
- [6] E. Garcia-Berro, Yu. A. Kubyshin, P. Loren-Aguilar & J. Isern, "The variation of the gravitational constant inferred from the Hubble diagram of type Ia supernovae", IJMPD 15 (2006) 08 1163-1174 [arXiv:gr-qc/0512164v2].
- [7] J. Ooba, K. Ichiki, T. Chiba & N. Sugiyama, "Cosmological constraints on scalar-tensor gravity and the variation of the gravitational constant", Prog. of Theor. and Experim. Phys. 2017 (2017) 4 043E03 [arXiv:1702.00742v2].
- [8] M. E. Mosquera & O. Civitarese, "New cosmological constraints on the variation of fundamental constants: The fine structure constant and the Higgs vacuum expectation value", A& A 551 (2013) A122.
- T. Damour, F. Piazza & G. Veneziano, "Violations of the equivalence principle in a dilaton-runaway scenario", PRD 66 (2002) 4 [arXiv:hep-th/0205111v2].
- [10] T. Damour, F. Piazza & G. Veneziano, "Runaway

Dilaton and Equivalence Principle Violations", PRL 89 (2002) 8 [arXiv:gr-qc/0204094v2].

- [11] M. T. Murphy, J. K. Webb, V. V. Flambaum & S. J. Curran, "Does the fine structure constant vary? A detailed investigation into systematic effects", Astrophys. Space Science 283 (2003) 4 [arXiv:astro-ph/0210532v1].
- [12] J. A. King, J. K. Webb, M. T. Murphy, V. V. Flambaum, R. F. Carswell, M. B. Bainbridge, M. R. Wilczynska & F. E. Koch, "Spatial variation in the finestructure constant new results from VLT/UVES", MNRAS 422 (2012) 4 [arXiv:1202.4758v1].
- [13] J. B. Whitmore & M. T. Murphy, "Impact of instrumental systematic errors on fine-structure constant measurements with quasar spectra", MNRAS 447 (2015) 1 [arXiv:1409.4467].
- [14] J. O'Brayan, J. Smidt, F. De Bernardis & A. Cooray, "Constraints on spatial variations in the finestructure constant from PLANCK", ApJ 798 (2015) 18 [arXiv:1306.1232v1].
- [15] PLANCK Collaboration: P. A. R. Ade, et al., "Planck intermediate results. XXIV. Constraints on variations in fundamental constants", A& A 580 (2015) A22 [arXiv:1406.7482v1].
- [16] L. Hart & J. Chluba, "New constraints on time-dependent variations of fundamental constants using Planck data", MNRAS 474 (2018), 1850.
- [17] A. G. Riess, et al., "Milky Way Cepheid Standards for Measuring Cosmic Distances and Application to Gaia DR2: Implications for the Hubble Constant", ApJ 861

(2018) 2 [arXiv:1804.10655].

- [18] T. Damour & F. Dyson, "The Oklo bound on the time variation of the fine-structure constant revisited", NPB 480 (1996) 1-2 [arXiv:hep-ph/9606486v1].
- [19] Y. V. Petrov, A. I. Nazarov, M. S. Onegin & E. G. Sakhnovsky, "Natural nuclear reactor at Oklo and variation of fundamental constants: Computation of neutronics of a fresh core", PRC 74 (2006) 6 [arXiv:hep-ph/0506186v3].
- [20] C. R. Gould, E. I. Sharapov & S. K. Lamoreaux, "Time variability of α from realistic models of Oklo reactors", PRC 74 (2006) 2 [arXiv:nucl-ex/0701019v2].
- [21] M. S. Onegin, M. S. Yudkevich & E. A. Gomin, "Investigation of the fundamental constants stability based on the Reactor Oklo Burn-up analysis", MPLA 27 (2012) 40 [arXiv:1010.6299v1].
- [22] O. Hees, A. Minazzoli & J. Larena, "Breaking of the equivalence principle in the electromagnetic sector and its cosmological signatures", PRD 90 (2014) 12 [arXiv:1406.6187v4].
- [23] O. Minazzoli & A. Hees, "Late-time cosmology of a scalar-tensor theory with a universal multiplicative coupling between the scalar field and the matter Lagrangian", PRD 90 (2014) 2 [arXiv:1404.4266v2].
- [24] A. Hees, O. Minazzoli & J. Larena, "Breaking of the equivalence principle in the electromagnetic sector and its cosmological signatures", PRD 90 (2014) 12-15 [arXiv:1406.6187v4].
- [25] T. Damour & A. M. Polyakov, "The string dilation and a least coupling principle", NPB 423 (1994) 2-3 [arXiv:hep-th/9401069v1]; Kluwer Academic Publishers-Plenum Publishers, "String theory and gravity", Gen. Rel. Grav. 26 (1994) 12.
- [26] J. M. Overduin & P. S. Wesson, "A Classical Field Theory of Gravity and Electromagnetism", Phys. Rept. 283 (1997) 5-6 [arXiv:gr-qc/9805018v1].
- [27] J. D. Bekenstein, "Fine-structure constant: Is it really a constant?", PRD 25 (1982) 6; H. B. Sandvik, J. D. Barrow & M. Magueijo, "A Simple Varying-alpha Cosmology", PRL 88 (2002) 3 [arXiv:astro-ph/0107512v3]; J. D. Barrow & S. Z. W. Lip, "A generalized theory of varying alpha", PRD 85 (2012) 023514 [arXiv:1110.3120v2]; J. D. Barrow & A. A. H. Grahan, "General Dynamics of Varying-Alpha Universes", PRD 88 (2013) 10 [arXiv:1307.6816v2].
- [28] M. Dine, W. Fischler & M. Srednicki, "A simple solution to the strong CP problem with a harmless axion", PLB 104 (1981) 3; D. B. Kaplan, "Opening the axion window", NPB 260 (1985) 1.
- [29] P. Brax, et al., "Detecting dark energy in orbit: The cosmological chameleon", PRD 70 (2004) 12
 [arXiv:astro-ph/0408415v2];P. Brax, C. Van de Bruck & A. C. Davies, "Compatibility of the Chameleon-Field Model with Fifth-Force Experiments, Cosmology, and PVLAS and CAST Results", PRL 99 (2007) 12
 [arXiv:hep-ph/0703243v2]; M. Ahlers, A. Lindner, A. Ringwald, L. Schrempp & C. Weniger, "Alpenglow: A signature for chameleons in axionlike particle search experiments", PRD 77 (2008) 1 [arXiv:0710.1555v1]; J. Khoury & A. Weltman, "Chameleon Cosmology", PRD 69 (2004) 4 [arXiv:astro-ph/0309411v2].
- [30] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo & O. Minazzoli, "Extended $f(R, L_m)$ gravity with generalized scalar field and kinetic term dependences", PRD 87 (2013) 4

[arXiv:1210.4218v3].

- [31] R. F. L. Holanda & K. N. N. O. Barros, "Searching for cosmological signatures of the Einstein equivalence principle breaking", PRD 94 (2016) 2.
- [32] R. F. L. Holanda & S. H. Pereira, "Can galaxy clusters, type Ia supernovae, and the cosmic microwave background rule out a class of modified gravity theories?", PRD 94 (2016) 10 [arXiv:1610.01512v2].
- [33] R. F. L. Holanda, S. H. Pereira & S. Santos-da-Costa, "Searching for deviations from the general relativity theory with gas mass fraction of galaxy clusters and complementary probes", PRD 95 (2017) 084006 [arXiv:1612.09365v3].
- [34] R. F. L. Holanda, S. H. Pereira, V. C. Busti & C. H. G. Bessa, "Improved constraints on violations of the Einstein equivalence principle in the electromagnetic sector with complementary cosmic probes", CQG 34 (2017) 19 [arXiv:1705.05439v2].
- [35] C. J. A. P. Martins & A. M. M. Pinho, "Fine-structure constant constraints on dark energy", PRD 91 (2015) 10 [arXiv:1505.02196v1].
- [36] C. J. A. P. Martins, A. M. M. Pinho, P. Carreira, A. Gusart, J. Ló pes & C. I. S. A. Rocha, "Fine-structure constant constraints on dark energy. II. Extending the parameter space", PRD 93 (2016) 2 [arXiv:1601.02950].
- [37] M. E. Mosquera & O. Civitarese, "Time variation of fundamental constants in nonstandard cosmological models", PRC 96 (2017) 4.
- [38] R. F. L. Holanda, S. J. Landau, J. S. Alcaniz, I. E. Sanchez & V. C. Busti, "Constraints on a possible variation of the fine structure constant from galaxy cluster data", JCAP (2016) 5 047 [arXiv:1510.07240v2].
- [39] R. F. L Holanda., V. C. Busti, L. R. Colaço, J. S. Alcaniz & S. J. Landau, "Galaxy clusters, type Ia supernovae and the fine structure constant", JCAP (2016) 8 055 [arXiv:1605.02578v3].
- [40] R. F. L. Holanda, L. R. Colaço, R. S. Gonçalves & J. S. Alcaniz, "Limits on evolution of the fine-structure constant in runaway dilaton models from Sunyaev-Zeldovich observations", PLB 767 (2017) p. 188-192 [arXiv:1701.07250v1].
- [41] I. de Martino, C. J. A. P. Martins, H.Ebeling & D. Kocevski, "New Constraints on Spatial Variations of the Fine Structure Constant from Clusters of Galaxies", PRD 2 (2016) 034 [arXiv:1612.06739v1].
- [42] T. L. Smith, D. Grin, D. Robinson, D. Qi, "Probing spatial variation of the fine-structure constant using the CMB", arXiv:1808.07486.
- [43] S. Galli, "Clusters of galaxies and variation of the fine structure constant", PRD 87 (2013) 12 [arXiv:1212.1075v1].
- [44] Planck Collaboration: P. A. R. Ade, N. Aghanim, et al., "Planck early results. XI. Calibration of the local galaxy cluster Sunyaev-Zeldovich scaling relations", A& A 536 (2011) A11 [arXiv:1101.2026v3].
- [45] A. G. Riess et al., "Milky Way Cepheid Standards for Measuring Cosmic Distances and Application to Gaia DR2: Implications for the Hubble Constant", ApJ 861 (2018) 2 [arXiv:1804.10655v2].
- [46] N. Kaiser, "Evolution and clustering of rich clusters", MNRAS 222 (1986) 323.
- [47] R. A. Sunyaev & Y. B. Zeldovich, "The Observations of Relic Radiation as a Test of the Nature of X-Ray Radiation from the Clusters of Galaxies", Comments Astro-

phys. and Space Phys. 4 (1972) 173.

- [48] M. Birkinshaw, "The Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect", Phys. Report. 310 (1999) 2-3 [arXiv:astro-ph/9808050].
- [49] J. Carlstrom, G. P. Holder & E. D. Reese, "Cosmology with the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect", ARA& A 40 (2002) 643 [arXiv:astro-ph/0208192].
- [50] N. Itoh, Y. Kohyama & S. Nozawa, "Relativistic Corrections to the Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect for Clusters of Galaxies", ApJ 502 (1998) 1 [arXiv:astro-ph/9712289].
- [51] S. Sasaki, "A New Method to Estimate Cosmological Parameters Using the Baryon Fraction of Clusters of Galaxies", PASJ 48 (1996) L119-L122 [arXiv:astro-ph/9611033].
- [52] R. S. Gonçalves, R. F. L. Holanda & J. S. Alcaniz, "Testing the cosmic distance duality with X-ray gas mass fraction and supernovae data", MNRAS 420 (2012) 1 [arXiv:1109.2790v2].
- [53] C. Brans & R. H. Dicke, "Mach's principle and a relativistic theory of gravitation", Phys. Rev. 124 (1961) 3.
- [54] T. Damour, K. Nordtvedt, "Tensor-scalar cosmological models and their relaxation toward general relativity", PRD 48 (1993) 8.
- [55] T. Damour & A. M. Polyakov, "String theory and gravity", Gen. Rel. Grav. 26 (1994) 12 [arXiv:gr-qc/9411069v1].
- [56] Y. Fujii & K. I. Maeda, "The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation", Cambridge University Press 2004, ISBN 0-521-81159-7.
- [57] J. Laur, K. Piret & S. Margus, "Scalar-tensor cosmologies with dust matter in the general relativity limit", PRD 85 (2012) 6 [arXiv:1112.5308v1].
- [58] A. V. Kravtsov, A. Vikhlinin & D. Nagai, "A New Robust Low-Scatter X-Ray Mass Indicator for Clusters of Galaxies", ApJ 650 (2006) 1 [arXiv:astro-ph/0603205v1].
- [59] R. Stanek, E. Rasia, A. E. Evrard, F. Pearce & L. Gazzola, "Massive Halos in Millennium Gas Simulations: Multivariate Scaling Relations", ApJ 715 (2010) 2 [arXiv:0910.1599].
- [60] D. Fabjan, S. Borgani, E. Rasia, A. Bonafede, K. Dolag & G. Murante, "X-ray mass proxies from hydrodynamic simulations of galaxy clusters - I", MNRAS 416 (2011) 2 [arXiv:1102.2903].
- [61] S. T. Kay, M. W. Peel, C. J. Short, et al., "SunyaevZeldovich clusters in Millennium gas simulations", MNRAS 422 (2012) 3 [arXiv:1112.3769].
- [62] H. Bohringer, K. Dolag & G. Chon, "Modelling selfsimilar appearance of galaxy clusters in X-rays", A& A

539 (2012) A120 [arXiv:1112.5035].

- [63] D. Nagai, A. Vikhlinin & A. V. Kravtsov, "Testing X-Ray Measurements of Galaxy Clusters with Cosmological Simulations", ApJ 655 (2007) 1 [arXiv:astro-ph/0609247v1].
- [64] C. J. A. P. Martins, P. E. Vielzeuf, M. Martinelli, E. Calabrese & S. Pandolfi, "Evolution of the fine-structure constant in runaway dilaton models", PLB 743 (2015) [arXiv:1503.05068v1].
- [65] R. Piffaretti, M. Arnaud, G. W. Pratt, E. Pointecouteau & J.-B. Melin, "The MCXC: a meta-catalogue of x-ray detected clusters of galaxies", A& A 53 (2011) A109 [arXiv:1007.1916].
- [66] M. Arnaud, G. W. Pratt, R. Piffaretti, H. Bohringer, J. H. Croston & E. Pointecouteau, "The universal galaxy cluster pressure profile from a representative sample of nearby systems (REXCESS) and the Y_{SZ} - M₅₀₀ relation", A& A 517 (2010) A92 [arXiv:0910.1234].
- [67] E. S. Battistelli, et al., "Cosmic Microwave Background Temperature at Galaxy Clusters", ApJL 580 (2002) 2 [arXiv:astro-ph/0208027]; G. Luzzi, et al., "Redshift Dependence of the Cosmic Microwave Background Temperature from Sunyaev-Zeldovich Measurements", ApJ 705 (2009) 2 [arXiv:0909.2815].
- [68] A. Avgoustidis, G. Luzzi, C. J. A. P. Martins & A. M. R. V. L. Monteiro, "Constraints on the CMB temperature redshift dependence from SZ and distance measurements", JCAP (2012) 02 013 [arXiv:1112.1862v1].
- [69] J. A. S. Lima, A. I. Silva & S. M. Viegas, "Is the radiation temperature-redshift relation of the standard cosmology in accordance with the data?", MNRAS 312 (2000) 4.
- [70] C. Horellou, M. Nord, D. Johansson & A. Lvy, "Probing the cosmic microwave background temperature using the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect", A& A 441 (2005) 2 [arXiv:astro-ph/0507032].
- [71] P. Noterdaeme, P. Petitjean, R. Srianand, et al., "The evolution of the cosmic microwave background temperature. Measurements of T_{CMB} at high redshift from carbon monoxide excitation", A& A 526 (2011) L7 [arXiv:1012.3164].
- [72] Planck Collaboration: P. A. R. Ade et al., "Planck 2015 results. VIII. Cosmological parameters", A&A 594 (2016) A13.
- [73] Planck Collaboration: N. Aghanim, et al., "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters", (2018) [arXiv:1807.06209v1].
- [74] http://www.mpe.mpg.de/eROSITA