Logolinear series expansions with applications to primordial cosmology

Will Handley,^{1,2,3,*} Anthony Lasenby,^{1,2,†} and Mike Hobson^{1,‡}

¹Astrophysics Group, Cavendish Laboratory, J.J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK

²Kavli Institute for Cosmology, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA, UK

³Gonville & Caius College, Trinity Street, Cambridge, CB2 1TA, UK

(Dated: June 17, 2019)

We develop a method for computing series expansions for solutions to ordinary differential equations when the asymptotic form contains both linear and logarithmic terms. Such situations are common in primordial cosmology when considering series expansions out of a singularity in the equations arising from a pre-inflationary phase of the universe. We develop mathematical techniques for generating these series expansions, and apply them to polynomial and Starobinsky inflationary potentials with kinetic initial conditions. Code for analytic and numerical computation of logolinear series is provided on GitHub.

I. INTRODUCTION

Even in the latest cosmic microwave background data [1–4], tensions still exist at low multipoles with unexpected features and a suppression of power on large spatial scales both visible by eye in the C_{ℓ} spectra [5– 8]. Beyond the possibility that these effects are due just to chance, there are numerous possible physical explanations for these small anomalies [9], including inflationary models with singularities and discontinuities [10–12], multi-field phase-transitions [13–17], M-theory [18, 19], supergravity [20], just-enough inflation models [21] or kinetic dominance [22–24]. In this paper, we focus on the last of these.

It has been shown in general [22] that classical solutions to the cosmic evolution equations begin in a bigbang singularity with the kinetic energy of the inflaton dominating over its potential energy. For this phase of kinetic dominance the solutions to the background equations take a generic and asymptotically simple form. After this phase of early kinetic dominance, evolution settles into its traditional slow-roll form. There is some evidence for kinetic dominance in current data [24, 25], although issues on how to set quantum initial conditions in such a phase, and the nature of their imprint on the cosmic microwave background are still under theoretical investigation [26, 27]. More importantly, there is more than enough wiggle-room in the currently observed primordial power spectrum of curvature perturbations to allow for these extensions, as well as the capacity for future experiments to constrain them [28].

The asymptotic solutions examined in [22] are merely the first terms in a series expansion. To compute higher order terms in the kinetic dominance approximation, we must consider a more general type of power series, which we term logolinear expansions. In this paper we generalise the results in [29] from the closed case to open, closed and flat cases, and from a quadratic potential to a field with general $V(\phi)$. We then apply this methodology to some example potentials. These higher order terms may prove useful for improving the stability of numerical codes, for example those computing mode functions of primordial power spectra [30, 31]. It should be noted that whilst it remains true that flat universes are most preferred by current observational data [32], latetime curvature is only weakly coupled to primordial curvature, and thus does not preclude effects at inflation which could be of interest.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Sec. II we review the critical equations of inflationary cosmology to which we will apply our series expansion methodology. Sec. III establishes notation and identities for logolinear expansions. In Sec. IV we apply logolinear expansions to the equations of the early universe. Secs. V and VI provide concrete applications to specific potentials and we conclude in Sec. VII.

II. BACKGROUND

The background equations for a homogeneous Friedmann-Robertson-Walker spacetime with material content defined by a scalar field are:

$$\dot{H} + H^2 = -\frac{1}{3m_{\rm p}^2} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) \right), \tag{1}$$

$$0 = \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\phi}V(\phi), \qquad (2)$$

where $H = \frac{d}{dt} \log a$ is the Hubble parameter, ϕ is the homogeneous value of the scalar field, $V(\phi)$ is the scalar potential, a is the scale factor and dots indicate derivatives with respect to cosmic time t. One may supplement Eqs. (1) and (2) with a third non-independent equation:

$$H^{2} + \frac{K}{a^{2}} = \frac{1}{3m_{\rm p}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^{2} + V(\phi)\right),\tag{3}$$

where $K \in \{+1, 0, -1\}$ is the sign of the curvature of the universe. For the remainder of this paper we will

^{*} wh260@mrao.cam.ac.uk

[†] a.n.lasenby@mrao.cam.ac.uk

[‡] mph@mrao.cam.ac.uk

set the Planck mass to unity $m_{\rm p} = 1$, but note that one may reintroduce $m_{\rm p}$ at any time by replacing $\phi \to \phi/m_{\rm p}$, $V \to V/m_{\rm p}^2$.

Under general conditions [22] the solutions to Eqs. (1) and (2) begin in a singularity at t = 0 with $\dot{\phi}^2 \gg V(\phi)$. In this kinetically dominated regime, solutions take the asymptotic forms:

$$H = \frac{1}{3t}, \qquad \phi = \phi_{\rm p} \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \log t, \qquad (4)$$

where $\phi_{\rm p}$ is a constant of integration. The approximate solutions in Eq. (4) typically serve to set initial conditions for the numerical solution of differential Eqs. (1) and (2). Applying this prescription naively leads to difficulties, since Eq. (3) along with initial conditions in Eq. (4) effectively set the curvature to be slightly positive. This additional curvature can lead to problems with numerical stability where the (supposedly negligible) curvature terms can come to dominate before inflation begins, leading to collapsing solutions. More importantly, one expects Eqs. (1) and (2) to encompass flat (K = 0), open (K = -1) and closed (K = +1) cases. To avoid confusion, note that the sign of K is opposite in sense to the usually defined curvature density parameter Ω_K , for example in a closed universe, $K = +1 \Rightarrow \Omega_K < 0$.

It is therefore natural to seek higher order terms to the kinetic dominance solutions in Eq. (4). The singular nature of Eq. (4) as $t \to 0$ renders a Taylor expansion inappropriate, as one requires negative powers of t to describe H terms. A more general Laurent expansion allowing for negative powers of t will also not be applicable, as these do not include log t terms. By examining Eqs. (1) and (2) one can see that expanding with Eq. (4) as leading order terms, one would need higher order terms such as $t \log t$. We are led therefore to consider the more general series expansions introduced by Lasenby and Doran [29] which we term *logolinear expansions*.

III. LOGOLINEAR EXPANSIONS

We will consider series expansions for a general function x(t) in the form:

$$x(t) = \sum_{j,k} [x_j^k] t^j (\log t)^k,$$
(5)

where $[x_j^k]$ are twice-indexed real constants defining the series, with square brackets used to disambiguate powers from superscripts. We make convenient definitions for upper and lower indexed functions of t via partial summation:

$$x_j(t) = \sum_k [x_j^k] (\log t)^k, \qquad [x^k](t) = \sum_j [x_j^k] t^j, \quad (6)$$

$$x(t) = \sum_{j} x_{j}(t) t^{j} = \sum_{k} [x^{k}](t) (\log t)^{k}.$$
 (7)

In this paper we are deliberately lax with bounds on the limits, as in general the bounds of k depend on j in a non-trivial way, and j will be required to range over non-integer values. This approach was heavily influenced by Graham et al. [33].

Care must be taken with logolinear expansions, as in general they are underdetermined. Writing out the first few terms of Eq. (5) for clarity:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= [x_0^0] &+ [x_1^0] t &+ [x_2^0] t^2 & \dots \\ & [x_0^1] \log t &+ [x_1^1] t \log t &+ [x_2^1] t^2 \log t & \dots \\ & [x_0^2] (\log t)^2 &+ [x_1^2] t (\log t)^2 &+ [x_2^2] t^2 (\log t)^2 & \dots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots , \\ & (8) \end{aligned}$$

and given that $t = \exp(\log t)$:

$$t = 1 + \log t + \frac{1}{2!} (\log t)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} (\log t)^3 + \dots, \quad (9)$$

then adding and subtracting α times Eq. (9) from Eq. (8) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= ([x_0^0] + \alpha) &+ ([x_1^0] - \alpha) t & \dots \\ &([x_0^1] + \alpha) \log t &+ [x_1^1] t \log t & \dots \\ &([x_0^2] + \frac{\alpha}{2!}) (\log t)^2 &+ [x_1^2] t (\log t)^2 & \dots \\ &([x_0^3] + \frac{\alpha}{3!}) (\log t)^3 &+ [x_1^3] t (\log t)^3 & \dots \\ &\vdots &\vdots &\ddots &(10) \end{aligned}$$

By setting $\alpha = [x_1^0]$ we can completely remove the t term via a redefinition $[x_0^j] + \frac{1}{j!}[x_1^0] \to [x_0^j]$. Inspection shows that we can use a similar procedure to remove higherorder t terms. Similarly, setting $\alpha = -[x_0^1]$, we may remove the log t term by redefining $[x_1^0] + [x_0^1] \to [x_1^0]$, $[x_0^j] - \frac{1}{j!}[x_0^1] \to [x_0^j]$, and again this may be performed for any term.

One may think of the underdetermination of logolinear series as a rather non-trivial gauge freedom which must be carefully controlled when using such series. In practice, we can avoid much of this difficulty by requiring the partial sums in Eq. (6) over log t to truncate.

We may formally differentiate and integrate Eq. (5):

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{j,k} \left((j+1)[x_{j+1}^k] + (k+1)[x_{j+1}^k] \right) t^j (\log t)^k, \quad (11)$$
$$\int x \, \mathrm{d}t = \sum_{j>0,k} \left[\sum_{p\geq k} \frac{-p![x_{j-1}^p]}{k!(-j)^{p-k+1}} \right] t^j (\log t)^k + c. \quad (12)$$

Care must be taken in general with the limits of j in Eq. (11), as negative j indices have been introduced during the differentiation, and in deriving Eq. (12) we have used the identity:

$$\int t^{j} (\log t)^{k} dt = t^{j+1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} \frac{-k! (\log t)^{p}}{p! (-(j+1))^{k-p+1}} + c. \quad (13)$$

Exponentials of the series in Eq. (5) may also be taken. We first define complete ordinary Bell polynomials [34] via:

$$\exp\left(\sum_{j} x_{j} t^{j}\right) = e^{x_{0}} \sum_{j} C_{j}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{j}) t^{j}.$$
(14)

We may define and compute C_j recursively via:

$$C_0 = 1,$$

$$C_j(x_1, \dots, x_j) = \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{k}{j} C_{j-k}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-k}) x_k.$$
 (15)

To derive the recursion in Eq. (15) from Eq. (14), take logarithms, differentiate with respect to and then multiply by t, multiply the consequent denominator and compare t^{j} coefficients. The first few terms of Eq. (14) are:

$$e^{x_0}\left(1+x_1t+\left[\frac{x_1^2}{2}+x_2\right]t^2+\left[\frac{x_1^3}{6}+x_1x_2+x_3\right]t^3\right)$$

Note that for Eq. (15), indices should range over integer values, but for Eq. (14) j is allowed to be non-integer. For more detail, see Wilf [35]. To exponentiate a logolinear series therefore, one simply applies the Bell polynomial expansion in Eq. (14) to the lower indexed series expansion in Eq. (6). Care must be taken with the leading term, for example, if $x_0 = [x_0^0] + [x_0^1] \log t$ then

$$e^{x_0} = e^{[x_0^0] + [x_0^1] \log t} = e^{[x_0^0]} \cdot t^{[x_0^1]}, \tag{16}$$

we can see that exponentiating a logolinear series in this case will add a constant x_0^1 -dependent shift to the powers of j indices.

IV. METHODOLOGY

We now apply logolinear series to the evolution equations of an inflating universe. We first examine the approach developed in [29], before advocating a clearer approach that allows one to control gauge freedoms with more precision.

A. The Lasenby-Doran approach (log-splitting)

The approach espoused by Lasenby and Doran [29] is to substitute the partially summed expansions in Eq. (6) $H(t) = \sum_{k} [H^{k}](t)(\log t)^{k}$ and $\phi(t) = \sum_{k} [\phi^{k}](t)(\log t)^{k}$ into master Eqs. (1) and (2) to generate a set of recursion relations:

$$\begin{split} [H^{k+1}] &= \frac{t}{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{3} V(\phi) |^k - [\dot{H}^k] - \sum_{p+q=k} [H^p] [H^q] + \frac{1}{3} [\phi^p] [\phi^q] \right) \\ [\phi^{k+2}] &= \frac{t^2}{(k+1)(k+2)} \left([\phi^{k+1}] \frac{k+1}{t^2} - 2[\dot{\phi}^{k+1}] \frac{k+1}{t} - [\ddot{\phi}^k] \right) \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}\phi} \Big|^k - 3 \sum_{p+q=k} [H^p] \left[[\dot{\phi}^q] + [\phi^{q+1}] \frac{q+1}{t} \right] \right). \end{split}$$
(17)

When $[H^0]$, $[\phi^0]$ and $[\phi^1]$ are specified, all higher order functions $[H^k]$ may be calculated recursively. Note that in Lasenby and Doran [29] only the k = 0 case of recursion relations in Eq. (17) is explicitly stated.

We term this approach *log-splitting* since it involves substituting in partially summed series from Eq. (6), which are series in log t modulated by functions $x^{k}(t)$.

All that remain to be defined are initial power series $[H^0]$, $[\phi^0]$ and $[\phi^1]$, which should be: (a) power series in $t^{1/3}$ "in order to generate curvature" and (b) chosen so that "successive terms in the series get progressively smaller". The first of these statements can be seen by examining Eq. (3), but the second is highly non-trivial, and intimately connected to the gauge freedoms indicated in Sec. III. Indeed, the method presented in Lasenby and Doran [29] is only manually applied to the first few terms, as a log-splitting approach is extremely challenging to apply systematically.

B. The lin-splitting approach

Given aforementioned issues with the approach outlined in the previous section, we now pursue an orthogonal methodology, which instead uses the lower-indexed partial sums from Eq. (6). This presents an easier way to systematically compute higher order terms whilst controlling gauge freedoms.

We begin by defining $N = \log a$, such that N = H, so that Eqs. (1) and (2) become:

$$\ddot{N} + \dot{N}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) \right) = 0, \qquad (18)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3\dot{N}\dot{\phi} + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\phi}V(\phi) = 0.$$
(19)

Using N rather than H puts Eqs. (1) and (2) on a more equal footing, as it renders both evolution equations second order.

Given that we intend to work with power series in log t, we now transform Eqs. (18) and (19) to logarithmic time, with $x' = \frac{d}{d \log t} x$:

$$N'' - N' + {N'}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \left({\phi'}^2 - t^2 V(\phi) \right) = 0, \qquad (20)$$

$$\phi'' - \phi' + 3N'\phi' + t^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\phi} V(\phi) = 0.$$
 (21)

Converting to a first order system yields the definitions and equations:

$$N' = h, \qquad \phi' = v,$$

$$h' = h - h^2 - \frac{1}{3}v^2 + \frac{1}{3}t^2V(\phi),$$

$$v' = v - 3vh - t^2\frac{d}{d\phi}V(\phi).$$
(22)

We now substitute in our series definition from Eq. (6), and note that:

$$x(t) = \sum_{j} x_j(t) t^j \quad \Rightarrow \quad x'(t) = \sum_{j} (x'_j + jx_j) t^j.$$
(23)

We find that after equating coefficients of t^{j} , Eq. (22) becomes:

$$N'_{j} + jN_{j} = h_{j}, \qquad \phi'_{j} + j\phi_{j} = v_{j},$$

$$h'_{j} + jh_{j} = h_{j} + \frac{1}{3} V(\phi)|_{j-2} - \sum_{p+q=j} h_{p}h_{q} + \frac{v_{p}v_{q}}{3},$$

$$v'_{j} + jv_{j} = v_{j} - \frac{\mathrm{d}V(\phi)}{\mathrm{d}\phi}\Big|_{j-2} - 3\sum_{p+q=j} v_{p}h_{q}.$$
(24)

It is also useful to consider the equivalent of Eq. (3):

$$\frac{1}{3} V(\phi)|_{j-2} + \sum_{p+q=j} \frac{1}{6} v_p v_q - h_p h_q = \frac{K}{e^{2N_p}} e^{\sum_{q>0} N_q(t)t^q}|_{j-\frac{4}{3}},$$
(25)

where exponentiation of logolinear series was discussed in Sec. III.

For j = 0, Eq. (24) is a non-linear differential equation in zero-indexed functions. Further inspection shows it to be equivalent to the starting equations Eq. (22) with V =0. Hence we may solve using the kinetically dominated solutions:

$$N_{0} = N_{\rm p} + \frac{1}{3} \log t, \qquad h_{0} = \frac{1}{3},$$

$$\phi_{0} = \phi_{\rm p} \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \log t, \qquad v_{0} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \qquad (26)$$

where N_p and ϕ_p are constants of integration. Whilst we expect there to be four constants of integration *a-priori*, one of them is fixed by defining the singularity to be at t = 0. As there are only two constants, it is clear that Eq. (26) does not span the full set of solutions to Eq. (24) with j = 0. In fact, Eq. (26) represents a complete solution to j = 0 for only the flat case, as K = 0 effectively sets another integration constant. Nevertheless, we will discover that we may still use Eq. (26) as the base term for the logolinear series, and that the final constant of integration effectively emerges from a consideration of higher order terms.

For $j \neq 0$, we transfer terms involving j in the summations from the right hand side to the left, giving a first order linear inhomogeneous vector differential equation:

$$x'_j + A_j x_j = F_j, (27)$$

where $x = (N, \phi, h, v)$, A_j is a (constant) matrix:

$$A_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & j & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & j-1+2h_{0} & \frac{2}{3}v_{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 3v_{0} & j-1+3h_{0} \end{pmatrix}, \\ = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & j & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & j-\frac{1}{3} & \pm \frac{2}{9}\sqrt{6} \\ 0 & 0 & \pm\sqrt{6} & j \end{pmatrix},$$
(28)

and F_j is a vector polynomial in log t depending only on earlier series $x_{p < j}$:

$$F_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} V(\phi)|_{j-2} - \sum_{\substack{p+q=j \\ p \neq j, q \neq j}} h_{p}h_{q} + \frac{1}{3}v_{p}v_{q} \\ - \frac{dV(\phi)}{d\phi}|_{j-2} - 3\sum_{\substack{p+q=j \\ p \neq j, q \neq j}} v_{p}h_{q} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (29)

Note that the limits on summations in Eq. (29) now have strict bounds, in contrast with Eq. (24).

At each j, the linear differential Eq. (27) may be solved in terms of a complementary function with four free parameters and a particular integral. These free parameters correspond to the degrees of gauge freedom mentioned in Sec. III.

C. Complementary function

We may solve the homogeneous version of Eq. (27) exactly, since A_j is a constant matrix:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_j^{\mathrm{cf}}}{\mathrm{dlog}\,t} + A_j x_j^{\mathrm{cf}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_j^{\mathrm{cf}} = e^{-A_j \log t} [x_j^0], \quad (30)$$

where $[x_j^0]$ is a constant vector parametrising initial conditions. To compute the matrix exponential, we first compute eigenvectors and eigenvalues of A_j :

$$e_{\beta} = \left(1 \ \pm \sqrt{6} \ -1 \ \mp \sqrt{6} \right), \quad A_{j}e_{\beta} = (j+1) \cdot e_{\beta},$$

$$e_{b} = \left(1 \ \mp \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{4} \ \frac{4}{3} \ \mp \sqrt{6} \right), \quad A_{j}e_{b} = (j-\frac{4}{3}) \cdot e_{b},$$

$$e_{n} = \left(1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \right), \qquad A_{j}e_{n} = j \cdot e_{n},$$

$$e_{\phi} = \left(0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \right), \qquad A_{j}e_{\phi} = j \cdot e_{\phi}. \quad (31)$$

Parametrising initial conditions $[x_j^0]$ using the eigenbasis in Eq. (31) with parameters $\tilde{N}, \tilde{\phi}, b, \beta$, one finds:

$$x_{j}^{\text{cf}} = e^{-A_{j} \log t} (\tilde{N}e_{n} + \tilde{\phi}e_{\phi} - \frac{9}{14}be_{b} + \beta e_{\beta}) = \left(\tilde{N}e_{n} + \tilde{\phi}e_{\phi} - \frac{9}{14}be_{b}t^{4/3} + \beta e_{b}t^{-1}\right)t^{-j}.$$
 (32)

Equation (32) is very interesting. First, all complementary functions (i.e. degrees of gauge freedom) are identical up to a t^{-j} term, which cancels with t^j in the power series in Eq. (6). We may set $\beta = 0$ without loss of generality, as it grows faster than our leading term as $t \to 0$. Choosing $\beta = 0$ therefore amounts to setting the singularity to be at t = 0 as an initial condition. We may absorb all \tilde{N} and $\tilde{\phi}$ into our definitions of $N_{\rm p}$ and $\phi_{\rm p}$. The only remaining undetermined integration constant is b, which amounts to the third integration constant that was missing from Eq. (26). The constant b is controlled by the curvature of the universe via Eq. (25):

$$K = be^{2N_{\rm P}},\tag{33}$$

where the somewhat cryptic $-\frac{9}{14}$ coefficient in Eq. (32) was chosen so that the curvature relation in Eq. (33) takes a simple form.

Of particular importance is the fact that the curvature of the universe depends on a term in $t^{4/3}$. We should therefore be expanding as power series in $t^{2/3}$ rather than t. In our formalism, as espoused in [33], there is nothing preventing j and its summation indices from being noninteger, so we happily do so.

D. Particular integral

All that remains to be determined is a particular integral of Eq. (27), given that one has the form of F_j at each stage of recursion. The trial solution is $x_j(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_j} [x_j^k] (\log t)^k$. Defining $F_j = \sum_{k=0}^{N_j} [F_j^k] (\log t)^k$ and equating coefficients of $(\log t)^k$ yields

$$(k+1)[x_j^{k+1}] + A_j[x_j^k] = [F_j^k],$$
(34)

giving a descending recursion relation in k:

$$[x_k^{N_j+1}] = 0, \quad [x_j^{k-1}] = A_j^{-1}([F_j^{k-1}] - k[x_j^k]).$$
(35)

The recursion relation in Eq. (35) fails when A_j is noninvertible, which occurs when any of the eigenvalues in Eq. (31) are zero (j = -1, 0, 4/3). For these cases, the system is underdetermined, with an infinity of solutions parameterised along the directions of relevant eigenvectors. This infinity of solutions can therefore be carefully absorbed into a corresponding constant of integration.

Similarly, if we were to define an alternative base to the recursion in Eq. (35), then infinite series would be generated. However, all but a finite number of terms would merely contribute to a re-definition of constants $N_{\rm p}$, $\phi_{\rm p}$, b, or an introduction of nonzero β , which we disallow due to the consequent shift of the singularity to a non-zero time t.

V. EXAMPLES

We now apply these methods to two examples; first to that of a polynomial potential exemplified by a selfinteracting field with a cosmological constant, and second to Starobinsky inflation as an example of a potential containing exponential terms.

The analytical calculations in this section were performed with the aid of the MapleTM (2017) computer algebra package [36, 37]. The numerical calculations were performed using Python 3.6.4, for which the NumPy Polynomial package [38] was particularly useful. All code can be found on GitHub [39].

A. Polynomial potentials

Consider a non-trivial polynomial potential:

$$V(\phi) = m_{\rm p}^2 \Lambda + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{24} \lambda \phi^4, \qquad (36)$$

which incorporates both a cosmological constant Λ and a self-interacting ϕ^4 term. The application of the methods of Sec. IV are straightforward, since we may extract the potential coefficients:

$$V(\phi)|_{j} = m_{\rm p}^{2}\Lambda\delta_{0j} + \frac{1}{2}m_{p+q=j}^{2}\phi_{p}\phi_{q} + \frac{1}{24}\lambda\sum_{p+q+r+s=j}\phi_{p}\phi_{q}\phi_{r}\phi_{s},$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V(\phi)}{\mathrm{d}\phi}\Big|_{j} = m^{2}\phi_{j} + \frac{1}{6}\lambda\sum_{p+q+r=j}\phi_{p}\phi_{q}\phi_{r},$$
(37)

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. As suggested in Sec. IV C, our logolinear series must be in powers of $t^{2/3}$, so that $j = 0, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 2, \ldots$ Substituting Eq. (37) into the definition of F_j in Eq. (29), we define j = 0 solutions via Eq. (26), j = 4/3 solutions are computed using the complementary function as:

$$N_{\frac{4}{3}} = -\frac{9}{14}b, \qquad \phi_{\frac{4}{3}} = \pm \frac{27\sqrt{6}}{56}b, h_{\frac{4}{3}} = -\frac{6}{7}b, \qquad v_{\frac{4}{3}} = \pm \frac{9\sqrt{6}}{14}b, \qquad (38)$$

and all remaining stages $\frac{2}{3}$, 2, $\frac{8}{3}$, $\frac{10}{3}$, ... are computed from the recursion relation in Eq. (35). We find that the first few terms are:

$$\begin{split} H &= \frac{1}{3t} - \frac{6}{7} bt^{1/3} \\ &+ \left[\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \left(\frac{4}{2187} \mp \frac{2\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{729} + \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^2}{81} \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}^3}{162} + \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^4}{72} \right) \lambda + \left(\frac{2}{81} \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{27} + \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^2}{6} \right) m^2 \\ &+ \left(\left(-\frac{4}{729} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{243} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^2}{27} \pm \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}^3}{54} \right) \lambda + \left(-\frac{2}{27} \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{9} \right) m^2 \right) \log t \\ &+ \left(\left(\left(\frac{2}{243} \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{81} + \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^2}{18} \right) \lambda + \frac{1}{9} m^2 \right) (\log t)^2 + \left(-\frac{2}{243} \pm \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{81} \right) \lambda (\log t)^3 + \frac{\lambda}{162} (\log t)^4 \right] t \\ &- \frac{702b^2}{539} t^{5/3} \\ &+ \left[\frac{50b\Lambda}{91} + \left(\frac{43210901b}{1894708179} \mp \frac{4001293b\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{194329044} + \frac{152143b\phi_{\rm p}^2}{3321864} \mp \frac{1507\sqrt{6}b\phi_{\rm p}^3}{511056} + \frac{25b\phi_{\rm p}^4}{1092} \right) \lambda \\ &+ \left(\frac{152143b}{1660932} \mp \frac{1507b\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{85176} + \frac{25b\phi_{\rm p}^2}{91} \right) m^2 \\ &+ \left(\left(-\frac{4001293b}{97164522} \pm \frac{152143b\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{4982796} - \frac{1507b\phi_{\rm p}^2}{85176} \pm \frac{25\sqrt{6}b\phi_{\rm p}^3}{819} \right) \lambda + \left(-\frac{1507b}{42588} \pm \frac{50b\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{273} \right) m^2 \right) \log t \\ &+ \left(\left(\frac{152143b}{4982796} \mp \frac{1507b\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{2753} + \frac{25b\phi_{\rm p}^2}{273} \right) \lambda + \frac{50bm^2}{273} \right) (\log t)^2 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1507b}{383292} \pm \frac{50b\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{255528} + \frac{25b\phi_{\rm p}^2}{273} \right) \lambda + \frac{50bm^2}{273} \right) (\log t)^2 \end{split} \right] t^{7/3}, \tag{39}$$

$$\begin{split} \phi &= \phi_{\rm p} \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \log t \pm \frac{27\sqrt{6b}}{56} t^{4/3} \\ &+ \left[\mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\Lambda}{12} + \left(\pm \frac{179\sqrt{6}}{34992} - \frac{49\phi_{\rm p}}{1944} \pm \frac{11\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}^2}{1296} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^3}{216} \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}^4}{288} \right) \lambda + \left(\pm \frac{11\sqrt{6}}{648} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}}{36} \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}^2}{24} \right) m^2 \\ &+ \left(\left(\pm \frac{49\sqrt{6}}{5832} + \frac{11\phi_{\rm p}}{324} \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}^2}{216} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^3}{36} \right) \lambda + \left(\mp \frac{\sqrt{6}}{108} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}}{6} \right) m^2 \right) \log t \\ &+ \left(\left(\pm \frac{11\sqrt{6}}{1944} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}}{108} \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}^2}{72} \right) \lambda \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}}{36} m^2 \right) (\log t)^2 + \left(\mp \frac{\sqrt{6}}{972} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}}{54} \right) \lambda (\log t)^3 \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}\lambda}{648} (\log t)^4 \right] t^2 \\ &\pm \frac{14337\sqrt{6b}}{34496} t^{8/3} \\ &+ \left[\mp \frac{531\sqrt{6}b\Lambda}{3640} + \left(\mp \frac{655319064583\sqrt{6}b}{42104626200000} + \frac{3268631599b\phi_{\rm p}}{3598860000} \mp \frac{13989847\sqrt{6}b\phi_{\rm p}^2}{369096000} \pm \frac{177\sqrt{6}b\phi_{\rm p}^3}{364000} \mp \frac{177\sqrt{6}b\phi_{\rm p}^4}{29120} \right) \lambda \\ &+ \left(\left(\pm \frac{13989847\sqrt{6}b}{107960580000} - \frac{13989847b\phi_{\rm p}}{192274000} \pm \frac{27091\sqrt{6}b\phi_{\rm p}^2}{946400} - \frac{177b\phi_{\rm p}^3}{3640} \right) \lambda + \left(\pm \frac{27091\sqrt{6}b}{473200} - \frac{531b\phi_{\rm p}}{473200} \right) m^2 \right) \log t \\ &+ \left(\left(\left(\mp \frac{13989847\sqrt{6}b}{1553644000} + \frac{27091b\phi_{\rm p}}{473200} \mp \frac{177\sqrt{6}b\phi_{\rm p}^2}{7280} \right) \lambda \mp \frac{177\sqrt{6}bm^2}{3640} \right) \left(\log t \right)^2 \\ &\pm \left(\frac{27091\sqrt{6}b}{4258800} - \frac{59b\phi_{\rm p}}{1820} \right) \lambda (\log t)^3 \mp \frac{59\lambda\sqrt{6}b}{21840} (\log t)^4 \right] t^{10/3}. \end{split}$$



FIG. 1. Inflation using $V = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$. We see generic features of kinetic initial conditions; the universe initially expands out of a singularity in kinetic dominance with $\dot{\phi}^2 \gg V$, $a \propto t^{1/3}$. At later times, the potential comes to dominate $\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V$, and a period of inflation begins at $t \sim m^{-1}$. Inflation then exits as the field ϕ oscillates about the minimum of the potential with the scale factor consequently expanding as some power law. For this example, we choose $m = 10^{-5} m_{\rm p}$, consistent with current observations. As initial conditions at t = 1 we set $\phi_{\rm p} = -23m_{\rm p}$, $N_{\rm p} = 0$, in order to give 50–60 e-folds of inflation, and choose a slightly closed universe $b = 2 \times 10^{-6}$. Using only the first term in the kinetic dominance solution in order to start the numerical integration at $t = m_{\rm p}^{-1}$ gives the dotted line, whilst using the correction for curvature provided by the next term proves sufficient to match the true solution, which is indistinguishable from the solid curve.

The series in Eqs. (39) and (40) above exhibit some comment-worthy properties. The curvature term b does not begin mixing with potential terms λ , m, and $\phi_{\rm p}$ until the sixth term in each series. If one wishes to consider flat models therefore, a reasonable approach is to simply take t and t^2 terms from the above as corrections to Hand ϕ as described in initial conditions in Eq. (4), which effectively sets b = K = 0 to first order.

One can see the importance of including higher-order terms in Fig. 1. For numerical codes aiming to provide constraints on the initial curvature of the universe, these corrections will be essential. Fig. 2 shows the accuracy of the logolinear series as the number of terms is increased. In general, they are asymptotic series which provide an excellent approximation to the true solutions before inflation begins.

We may compare Eqs. (39) and (40) with corresponding results from [29] by setting $\lambda = 0$, reintroducing $m_{\rm p}$ via transformations $\phi \rightarrow \phi/m_{\rm p}$, $\phi_{\rm p} \rightarrow \phi_p/m_{\rm p}$, $m \rightarrow \mu/m_{\rm p}$, setting $m_{\rm p}^2 = (8\pi)^{-1}$, changing variable definitions via t = u, $\phi_{\rm p} = b_0$, $b = -\frac{28\sqrt{6}}{81}\frac{b_4}{m_{\rm p}}$ and extracting



FIG. 2. Absolute error in the expansion of ϕ for differing numbers of terms. Before $t \leq 17000m_{\rm p}$ the expansion becomes more accurate as the number of terms is increased, whereas for $t \gtrsim 17000m_{\rm p}$ the reverse is true. We use $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$ with $m = 10^{-5}m_{\rm p}, b = 0, \phi_{\rm p} = -23m_{\rm p}$ on the positive branch and compare to a numerical solution defined by using the highest order approximation at t = 0 as initial conditions. Plots for H and N are similar in quality.

relevant $\log t$ terms:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{0} &= \frac{1}{3u} + \frac{32\sqrt{3\pi}b_{4}}{27}u^{1/3} - \frac{6656\pi b_{4}^{2}}{891}u^{5/3} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{2\mu^{2}}{81} \mp \frac{4\sqrt{3\pi}b_{0}\mu^{2}}{27} + \frac{4\pi b_{0}^{2}\mu^{2}}{3}\right)u, \\ \phi^{0} &= b_{0} \mp b_{4}u^{4/3} \pm \frac{118\sqrt{3\pi}b_{4}^{2}}{99}u^{8/3} \\ &+ \left(\mp \frac{3\Lambda}{24\sqrt{3\pi}} \pm \frac{33\mu^{2}}{1296\sqrt{3\pi}} - \frac{b_{0}\mu^{2}}{36} \mp \frac{\sqrt{3\pi}b_{0}^{2}\mu^{2}}{6}\right)u^{2}, \\ \phi^{1} &= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{12\pi}} + \left(\mp \frac{3\mu^{2}}{216\sqrt{3\pi}} - \frac{b_{0}\mu^{2}}{6}\right)u^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(41)

Eq. (41) match precisely with results from [29], up to \pm branches, for which Lasenby and Doran [29] only consider the negative branch.

B. Starobinsky inflation

Starobinsky [40] proposed a modified theory of gravity $(R + R^2)$ as a mechanism for inflation, which remains one of the inflationary theories most consistent with observations [1, 2]. After a conformal transformation to the Einstein frame the theory is equivalent to using a potential:

$$V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 \left(e^{-\phi\sqrt{2/3}} - 1 \right)^2, \tag{42}$$



FIG. 3. The Starobinsky potential for $R + R^2$ inflation in the Einstein frame. Its shape is typified by a plateau region at positive ϕ , with an exponential slope at negative ϕ .

which is plotted in Fig. 3. In light of discussions in Sec. III of exponentiation of logolinear series, the factor of $\sqrt{2/3}$ in the Starobinsky potential is particularly convenient, as it allows us to keep indices as rational numbers (and is likely not a coincidence). If one were to consider more general exponential inflationary potentials, such as power law inflation [41] ($V \propto e^{-\lambda\phi}$), then we would be forced to introduce additional t^q terms in the power series, where q will not in general be a rational number.

From Eq. (26) we have $\phi_0 = \phi_p \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \log t$, and Eq. (42) becomes:

$$V(\phi) = \Lambda^{4} + 2\Lambda^{4} \Phi_{p} e^{-\sqrt{2/3} \sum_{q \neq 0} \phi_{q} t^{q}} t^{\mp 2/3}$$

+ $\Lambda^{4} \Phi_{p}^{2} e^{-2\sqrt{2/3} \sum_{q \neq 0} \phi_{q} t^{q}} t^{\mp 4/3}, \qquad (43)$

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{p}} = e^{-\phi_{\mathbf{p}}\sqrt{2/3}}.\tag{44}$$

We can see that exponential terms in general will shift the usual j-2 action of the potential terms in Eq. (29) to $j-2\pm\frac{2}{3}$ and $j-2\pm\frac{4}{3}$ for the latter two terms in Eq. (43).

ġ

Proceeding as for the polynomial case, j = 0 terms are defined as in Eq. (26), $j = \frac{2}{3}$ terms follow from the recursion relation in Eq. (35). For $j = \frac{4}{3}$ terms, the negative branch is defined as for the polynomial case in Eq. (38), but the positive branch must be handled with care, since the $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{4}{3}$ terms now have contributions from the potential. In this case, we find:

$$N_{\frac{4}{3}}^{+} = -\frac{9b}{14} - \frac{9\Lambda^{4}\Phi_{\rm p}}{14} - \frac{27\Lambda^{8}\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}}{50},$$

$$\phi_{\frac{4}{3}}^{+} = \frac{27\sqrt{6}}{56} \left(b + \frac{2\Lambda^{4}\Phi_{\rm p}}{9} + \frac{49\Lambda^{8}\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}}{300} \right),$$

$$h_{\frac{4}{3}}^{+} = -\frac{6}{7}b - \frac{6\Lambda^{4}\Phi_{\rm p}}{7} - \frac{18\Lambda^{8}\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}}{25},$$

$$v_{\frac{4}{3}}^{+} = \frac{9\sqrt{6}}{14} \left(b + \frac{2\Lambda^{4}\Phi_{\rm p}}{9} + \frac{49\Lambda^{8}\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}}{300} \right), \qquad (45)$$

where the definition of b has been judiciously chosen so that the curvature relation in Eq. (33) holds true. All remaining terms are defined via the recursion relation from Eq. (35), and the first few terms for the two branches are:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{+} = &\frac{1}{3t} + \frac{3\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}\Lambda^{4}}{5}t^{-1/3} + \left(-\frac{6}{7}b - 3\Phi_{\rm p}\Lambda^{4} - \frac{18\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}\Lambda^{8}}{25}\right)t^{1/3} + \left(\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{111b\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}}{70}\right)\Lambda^{4} + \frac{38\Phi_{\rm p}^{3}\Lambda^{8}}{35} + \frac{819\Phi_{\rm p}^{6}\Lambda^{12}}{1000}\right)t \\ &+ \left(-\frac{702b^{2}}{539} - \frac{135\Phi_{\rm p}b\Lambda^{4}}{98} + \left(-\frac{1942\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}}{2695} - \frac{10377b\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}}{3850}\right)\Lambda^{8} - \frac{25023\Phi_{\rm p}^{5}\Lambda^{12}}{15400} - \frac{52029\Phi_{\rm p}^{8}\Lambda^{16}}{55000}\right)t^{5/3} \\ &+ \left[\left(\frac{50b}{91} + \frac{539199b^{2}\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}}{112112}\right)\Lambda^{4} + \left(\frac{29\Phi_{\rm p}}{91} + \frac{23169b\Phi_{\rm p}^{3}}{5096}\right)\Lambda^{8} + \left(\frac{470859\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}}{280280} + \frac{535761b\Phi_{\rm p}^{6}}{114400}\right)\Lambda^{12} \\ &+ \frac{288081\Phi_{\rm p}^{7}\Lambda^{16}}{114400} + \frac{133494399\Phi_{\rm p}^{10}\Lambda^{20}}{114400000}\right]t^{7/3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$H^{-} = \frac{1}{3t} - \frac{6b}{7}t^{1/3} + \frac{\Lambda^{4}}{3}t + \left[-\frac{216b^{2}}{539} - \frac{6\Phi_{\rm p}\Lambda^{4}}{11}\right]t^{5/3} + \left[\frac{2b}{91} + \frac{3\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}}{13}\right]\Lambda^{4}t^{7/3},\tag{47}$$

$$\begin{split} \phi^{+} = \phi_{\rm p} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \log t + \frac{3\Lambda^{4}\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}}{20} t^{2/3} + \left(\frac{27\sqrt{6}b}{56} + \frac{3\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}\Lambda^{4}}{28} + \frac{63\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}\Lambda^{8}}{800}\right) t^{4/3} \\ + \left(\left(-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{12} - \frac{33\sqrt{6}b\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}}{35}\right)\Lambda^{4} - \frac{41\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{3}\Lambda^{8}}{140} - \frac{441\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{6}\Lambda^{12}}{2000}\right) t^{2} \\ + \left(\frac{14337\sqrt{6}b^{2}}{34496} + \frac{891\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}b\Lambda^{4}}{1568} + \left(\frac{20047\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}}{86240} + \frac{52263\sqrt{6}b\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}}{35200}\right)\Lambda^{8} + \frac{19599\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{5}\Lambda^{12}}{35200} + \frac{2386773\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{8}\Lambda^{16}}{7040000}\right) t^{8/3} \\ + \left[\left(-\frac{531\sqrt{6}b}{3640} - \frac{2863431\sqrt{6}b^{2}\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}}{2242240}\right)\Lambda^{4} + \left(-\frac{41\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}}{455} - \frac{168813\sqrt{6}b\Phi_{\rm p}^{3}}{101920}\right)\Lambda^{8} \\ + \left(-\frac{1549161\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{4}}{2802800} - \frac{4855977\sqrt{6}b\Phi_{\rm p}^{6}}{2288000}\right)\Lambda^{12} - \frac{2065941\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{7}\Lambda^{16}}{2288000} - \frac{1025277831\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{10}\Lambda^{20}}{228800000}\right]t^{10/3}, \tag{48} \\ \phi^{-} = \phi_{\rm p} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\log t + \frac{27\sqrt{6}b}{56}t^{4/3} + \frac{\sqrt{6}\Lambda^{4}}{12}t^{2} + \left[\frac{6075\sqrt{6}b^{2}}{34496} - \frac{15\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}\Lambda^{4}}{88}\right]t^{8/3} + \left[\frac{21\sqrt{6}\Phi_{\rm p}^{2}}{260} - \frac{9\sqrt{6}b}{520}\right]\Lambda^{4}t^{10/3}. \tag{49}$$

The most striking feature of Eqs. (46) to (49) is that there are no higher-order log t terms. This is somewhat to be expected, as under a variable transformation such as $\varphi = e^{\phi}$, the evolution Eqs. (1) and (2) can be shown to no longer have any exponential terms. Since φ is also powerlaw at early times, this means that one would not expect series expansions to require higher-order log t terms. It is reassuring that our methodology for logolinear series expansions is robust enough to recover this result without modification.

For the positive branch of the Starobinsky solutions in Eqs. (46) and (48), unlike the polynomial case in Eqs. (39) and (40), we find that there are $t^{-1/3}$ and $t^{2/3}$ terms, and potential terms $\Phi_{\rm p}$, Λ mix with curvature *b* at lower order. At negative ϕ the Starobinsky potential grows faster than curvature as $t \to 0$, in contrast with the polynomial case. For the negative branch of the Starobinsky solutions in Eqs. (47) and (49), in comparison with the polynomial case much higher order is required before potential terms become included. At positive ϕ , the effect of the potential is weak at early times due to its plateau-like nature. Numerical solutions are plotted in Fig. 4. For the positive branch in particular, higher order terms are essential for numerical stability.

VI. NUMERICAL CONSIDERATIONS

We now demonstrate how such series may be used to verify the numerical accuracy of kinetically dominated approximations. Scacco and Albrecht [25] consider a $V = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$ potential with kinetic initial conditions. In their work, they parameterise the initial conditions in terms of a dominance factor r = 100, so that at some early time:

$$\phi = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{rm} = \phi_0, \ h = \frac{H}{a} = \sqrt{\frac{r^2 + 1}{6}} m\phi_0, \ a = a_0, \ (50)$$

where h now denotes the conformal Hubble factor. Substituting Eq. (50) into Eq. (3) shows that h has been cho-



FIG. 4. Evolution of the field ϕ in a Starobinsky potential. The two branches of the kinetically dominated solutions eventually come to rest in a slow roll inflating phase at $\phi \sim 5m_{\rm P}$, before exiting inflation and dropping into tight oscillations about $\phi = 0$. Here we choose $\Lambda^2 = 10^{-5}m_{\rm p}$ for consistency with current observations, and $\phi_{\rm p}^+ = -4.2m_{\rm p}$, $\phi_{\rm p}^- = 14.9m_{\rm p}$, b = 0, to give 50–60 e-folds of inflation in both cases.

sen to be consistent with a flat universe. Setting initial conditions in this way is common, as it does not require one to specify a time at which to set them. Nevertheless when Scacco and Albrecht [25] go on to solve the Mukhanov-Sazaki equation, they implicitly assume that the initial conditions are set at a conformal time $\eta = \frac{a}{2h}$ after the start of the universe, where $\eta = \int_0^t \frac{dt}{a}$. To generate series consistent with Scacco and Albrecht [25] we take the negative branch and $\Lambda = \lambda = b = 0$ in Eqs. (39) and (40), yielding:

$$\phi = \phi_{\rm p} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\log t \qquad + \left[\left(-\frac{11\sqrt{6}}{648} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}}{36} + \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}^2}{24} \right) m^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{108} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}}{6} \right) m^2 \log t + \frac{\sqrt{6}m^2}{36} \log t^2 \right] t^2, \tag{51}$$

$$H = \frac{1}{3t} \qquad + \left[\left(\frac{2}{81} + \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{27} + \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^2}{6} \right) m^2 + \left(-\frac{2}{27} - \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{9} \right) m^2 \log t + \frac{m^2}{9} (\log t)^2 \right] t, \qquad (52)$$

$$ae^{-N_{\rm p}} = t^{1/3} \qquad \qquad + \left[\left(\frac{19}{324} + \frac{5\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{108} + \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^2}{12} \right) m^2 + \left(-\frac{5}{54} - \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{18} \right) m^2 \log t + \frac{m^2}{18} (\log t)^2 \right] t^{7/3}, \quad (53)$$

$$\eta e^{N_{\rm p}} = \frac{3}{2} t^{2/3} \qquad \qquad + \left[\left(-\frac{565}{13824} - \frac{29\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{1152} - \frac{\phi_{\rm p}^2}{32} \right) m^2 + \left(\frac{29}{576} + \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_{\rm p}}{48} \right) m^2 \log t - \frac{m^2}{48} (\log t)^2 \right] t^{8/3}. \tag{54}$$

We compute a in Eq. (53) by exponentiating the series for N as detailed in Sec. III. The series in Eq. (54) for η is computed by performing a negative exponential on Nand then integrating the consequent series via Eq. (12). These provide a set of relations which may be solved numerically to transform the conditions between (ϕ_0, a_0, r) in Eq. (50) and $(\phi_{\rm p}, N_{\rm p}, t)$. We may then use these to test the validity of the assumption that the conditions are effectively set at $\eta = \frac{a}{2h}$. Taking $m = 6 \times 10^{-6}$ as in Eq. (50), a typical set of

parameters transforms as:

$$(\phi_0, a_0, r) = (20.7, 1, 100), \quad \frac{a}{2h} = 98.606$$

 $\Rightarrow (\phi_p, N_p, t) = (23.4176, -1.3952, 65.7395)$
 $\Rightarrow \eta = 98.610.$ (55)

We can therefore see that $\eta \approx \frac{a}{2h}$, accurate to within a fractional error of 10^{-4} . Scacco and Albrecht [25] have indeed set their initial conditions with sufficient accuracy, and having access to these power series makes for simple cross-checking of numerical stability.

VII. CONCLUSION

We developed techniques required for applying logolinear power series to the background differential equa-

[1] Planck Collaboration. Planck 2015 results. XX. Constraints on inflation. A&A, 594:A20, September 2016. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201525898.

- [2] Planck Collaboration. Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation. arXiv *e-prints*, art. arXiv:1807.06211, July 2018.
- [3] Planck Collaboration, N. Aghanim, Y. Akrami, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, M. Ballardini, A. J. Banday, and et al. Planck intermediate results. LI. Features in the cosmic microwave background temperature power spectrum and shifts in cosmological param-

tions of a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe, paying particular attention to details of how to control the gauge freedoms inherent in these expansions. We then applied our methodology to specific cases of polynomial and Starobinsky inflationary potentials, showing that our approach can be successfully applied to finite polynomial and exponential potentials. Future work will involve applying these series to a programme investigating conformally constrained closed universes.

Logolinear expansions could prove useful for improvement of stability of numerical integration codes requiring accurate background solutions such as those codes that solve for mode functions in the Mukhanov-Sazaki equation. The series we derive are particularly relevant to researchers aiming to observationally constrain just enough inflation models, as a lack of attention to higher order terms can lead to systematic errors in parameter constraints, particularly in the case of curvature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W.H. would like to thank Gonville & Caius College for their ongoing support, and Robert Knighton & Panagiotis Mavrogiannis for their preliminary investigations into these series solutions.

eters. A&A, 607:A95, November 2017. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201629504.

- [4] J. W. Henning, J. T. Sayre, C. L. Reichardt, P. A. R. Ade, and et al. Measurements of the Temperature and E-Mode Polarization of the CMB from 500 Square Degrees of SPTpol Data. ArXiv e-prints, July 2017.
- [5]G. Hinshaw, D. N. Spergel, L. Verde, R. S. Hill, S. S. Meyer, C. Barnes, C. L. Bennett, M. Halpern, N. Jarosik, A. Kogut, E. Komatsu, M. Limon, L. Page, G. S. Tucker, J. L. Weiland, E. Wollack, and E. L. Wright. First-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Ob-

servations: The Angular Power Spectrum. ApJS, 148: 135–159, September 2003. doi:10.1086/377225.

- [6] D. N. Spergel, L. Verde, H. V. Peiris, E. Komatsu, M. R. Nolta, C. L. Bennett, M. Halpern, G. Hinshaw, N. Jarosik, A. Kogut, M. Limon, S. S. Meyer, L. Page, G. S. Tucker, J. L. Weiland, E. Wollack, and E. L. Wright. First-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Determination of Cosmological Parameters. ApJS, 148:175–194, September 2003. doi:10.1086/377226.
- [7] H. V. Peiris, E. Komatsu, L. Verde, D. N. Spergel, C. L. Bennett, M. Halpern, G. Hinshaw, N. Jarosik, A. Kogut, M. Limon, S. S. Meyer, L. Page, G. S. Tucker, E. Wollack, and E. L. Wright. First-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Implications For Inflation. ApJS, 148:213–231, September 2003. doi: 10.1086/377228.
- [8] M. J. Mortonson, C. Dvorkin, H. V. Peiris, and W. Hu. CMB polarization features from inflation versus reionization. Phys. Rev. D, 79(10):103519, May 2009. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.79.103519.
- [9] D. J. Schwarz, C. J. Copi, D. Huterer, and G. D. Starkman. CMB anomalies after Planck. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 33(18):184001, September 2016. doi: 10.1088/0264-9381/33/18/184001.
- [10] A. A. Starobinskij. Spectrum of adiabatic perturbations in the universe when there are singularities in the inflationary potential. Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters, 55:489–494, May 1992.
- [11] J. Adams, B. Cresswell, and R. Easther. Inflationary perturbations from a potential with a step. Phys. Rev. D, 64(12):123514, December 2001. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.64.123514.
- [12] M. Joy, V. Sahni, and A. A. Starobinsky. New universal local feature in the inflationary perturbation spectrum. Phys. Rev. D, 77(2):023514, January 2008. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.77.023514.
- [13] J. Silk and M. S. Turner. Double inflation. Phys. Rev. D, 35:419–428, January 1987. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.35.419.
- [14] R. Holman, E. W. Kolb, S. L. Vadas, and Y. Wang. Plausible double inflation. *Physics Letters B*, 269:252–256, October 1991. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(91)90165-M.
- [15] D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky. Spectra of perturbations produced by double inflation with an intermediate matter-dominated stage. *Nuclear Physics B*, 385:623– 650, October 1992. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(92)90062-G.
- [16] J. A. Adams, G. G. Ross, and S. Sarkar. Multiple inflation. *Nuclear Physics B*, 503:405–425, February 1997. doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00431-8.
- [17] P. Hunt and S. Sarkar. Multiple inflation and the WMAP "glitches". Phys. Rev. D, 70(10):103518, November 2004. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.103518.
- [18] J. Lesgourgues. Features in the primordial power spectrum of double D-term inflation. Nuclear Physics B, 582:593–626, August 2000. doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00301-1.
- [19] C. P. Burgess, R. Easther, A. Mazumdar, D. F. Mota, and T. Multamäki. Multiple inflation, cosmic string networks and the string landscape. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 5:067, May 2005. doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/05/067.
- [20] A. Ashoorioon and A. Krause. Power Spectrum and Signatures for Cascade Inflation. ArXiv High Energy Physics

- Theory e-prints, June 2006.

- [21] D. J. Schwarz and E. Ramirez. Just enough inflation. ArXiv e-prints, December 2009.
- [22] W. J. Handley, S. D. Brechet, A. N. Lasenby, and M. P. Hobson. Kinetic initial conditions for inflation. Phys. Rev. D, 89(6):063505, March 2014. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.89.063505.
- [23] L. T. Hergt, W. J. Handley, M. P. Hobson, and A. N. Lasenby. A case for kinetically dominated initial conditions for inflation. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2018.
- [24] L. T. Hergt, W. J. Handley, M. P. Hobson, and A. N. Lasenby. Constraining the kinetically dominated Universe. ArXiv e-prints, September 2018.
- [25] A. Scacco and A. Albrecht. Transients in finite inflation. Phys. Rev. D, 92(8):083506, October 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.083506.
- [26] U. H. Danielsson. Note on inflation and trans-Planckian physics. Phys. Rev. D, 66(2):023511, July 2002. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.66.023511.
- [27] W. J. Handley, A. N. Lasenby, and M. P. Hobson. Novel quantum initial conditions for inflation. Phys. Rev. D, 94 (2):024041, July 2016. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.024041.
- [28] CORE Collaboration. Exploring cosmic origins with CORE: Inflation. Journal of Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics, 2018:016, April 2018. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/04/016.
- [29] A. Lasenby and C. Doran. Closed universes, de Sitter space, and inflation. Phys. Rev. D, 71(6):063502, March 2005. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.063502.
- [30] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman, and R. H. Brandenberger. Theory of cosmological perturbations. Phys. Rep., 215:203–333, June 1992. doi:10.1016/0370-1573(92)90044-Z.
- [31] W. I. J. Haddadin and W. J. Handley. Rapid numerical solutions for the Mukhanov-Sazaki equation. arXiv eprints, September 2018.
- [32] Planck Collaboration. Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. arXiv e-prints, art. arXiv:1807.06209, July 2018.
- [33] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik. Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., Boston, MA, USA, 2nd edition, 1994. ISBN 0201558025.
- [34] E. T. Bell. Exponential polynomials. Annals of Mathematics, 35(2):258-277, 1934. ISSN 0003486X. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/1968431.
- [35] Herbert S. Wilf. Generatingfunctionology. A. K. Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, USA, 2006. ISBN 1568812795.
- [36] Maplesoft, a division of Waterloo Maple Inc., Waterloo, Ontario. Maple 2017, 2017.
- [37] Michael B. Monagan, Keith O. Geddes, K. Michael Heal, George Labahn, Stefan M. Vorkoetter, James McCarron, and Paul DeMarco. *Maple 10 Programming Guide*. Maplesoft, Waterloo ON, Canada, 2005.
- [38] Eric Jones, Travis Oliphant, Pearu Peterson, et al. SciPy: Open source scientific tools for Python, 2001–. URL http://www.scipy.org/. [Online; accessed June 17, 2019].
- [39] W. J. Handley. github.com/williamjameshandley/logolinear, 2018. URL https://github.com/ williamjameshandley/logolinear. [Online; accessed June 17, 2019].
- [40] A. A. Starobinsky. A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity. *Physics Letters B*, 91:99–102,

March 1980. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90670-X.

[41] J. Yokoyama and K.-I. Maeda. On the dynamics of the power law inflation due to an exponential potential. Physics Letters B, 207:31–35, June 1988. doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(88)90880-5.