

GENERALIZED WATSON TRANSFORMS II: THE COMPLEMENTARY SERIES OF $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$

QIFU ZHENG

ABSTRACT. We apply the theory of generalized Watson transforms developed in [5] to construct the complementary series of $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This article is the second in a series of articles in which we develop the theory of generalized Watson transforms and make applications of those results to the representation theory of the general linear groups over \mathbb{R} . It is well known [1, 2] that the irreducible representations of $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$, the general linear group of 2×2 real matrices, are classified according to three distinct constructions: (1) The principal series are usually constructed by unitary induction from its parabolic subgroup. (2) The complementary series are constructed by a form of analytic continuation from the principal series. (3) The (relative) discrete series are usually constructed in spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit disk or upper half complex plane.

On the other hand, by applying the results developed in [3], we can obtain all three series using the method of generalized Watson transforms. That this method is able to achieve these results is due to the fact that the group $G = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is generated by its upper triangular (Borel) subgroup Q and the Weyl reflection,

$$(1.1) \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

then, any irreducible unitary representation π of G is determined by its restriction to Q and p , which in fact corresponding to a generalized Watson transform. In this paper, we will illuminate this approach by applying the method of generalized Watson transforms to construct the complementary series of G , and in a subsequent article [6], we will use the generalized Watson transform method to construct unitary representations of higher rank groups.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we review briefly some concepts and theorems related to generalized Watson transforms from [5]. In Section 4, we will describe the subgroups of G and its non-unitary representations realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^s dx)$. Then, in Section 3 we will use Pitt's theorem [3] to realize the representations on the space $H_s = L^2(\mathbb{R}, |x|^{-s} dx)$ where $0 < s < 1$. Finally, in Section 5 we will show that the representations realized on H_s in Section 4 are unitary.

Date: January 21, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E30, 43A32, 44A15; Secondary 43A65, 42A38.

Key words and phrases. Complementary series, unitary representations, Watson transform.

2. SOME REMARKS ON THE GENERALIZED WATSON TRANSFORMS

Let G_0 be a topological group, R and L be unitary representations of G_0 on a Hilbert space H , and let I denote the identity operator on H . A unitary operator W that intertwines R and L is called a *generalized Watson transform with respect to R and L* if $W^2 = I$. The operator W is called a *generalized skew Watson transform with respect to R and L* if $W^2 = -I$. The results in [5] provide several theorems on the construction of generalized Watson transforms. Here, we list one corollary that is needed in the proof of the unitarity of the complementary series.

Proposition 2.1. (Zheng [5]) *Suppose that G_0 is Abelian, let R be a unitary representation of G_0 on a Hilbert space H , and set $L(g) = R(g^{-1})$ for all $g \in G_0$. For $\phi \in H$, suppose that $\phi^\circ = \{R(g)\phi : g \in G_0\}$ spans a dense subspace of H . Then there exists a generalized Watson transform W on H with respect to R and L such that $W\phi = \pm\phi$ if and only if $\langle \phi | R(g)\phi \rangle$ is real for all $g \in G_0$.*

3. SUBGROUPS OF G AND ITS NON-UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS

Denote the elements of G by

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$. Let Q be the full upper-triangular subgroup of matrices

$$(3.1) \quad q := q(a, c, d) = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix},$$

and Q^\dagger be the analogous full lower-triangular subgroup. Then Q is the semi-direct product of the normal Abelian subgroup N of unipotent matrices

$$(3.2) \quad n := n(c) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$c \in \mathbb{R}$, and the diagonal subgroup D of matrices

$$(3.3) \quad \gamma(a, d) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix},$$

with $a \neq 0, d \neq 0$. The matrix p in (1.1), called the Weyl element, plays a special role in the representation theory of G . Since $p^2 = -I$, the generalized Watson transforms are operators associated by representations to p . This explains the importance of generalized Watson transforms in the representation theory of G , and more generally, of reductive Lie groups.

The following result is well known [2].

Proposition 3.1. *The subgroups N and D and the Weyl element p generate the group G .*

The proof of the above result rests on two identities. First, when $b = 0$,

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} = q(a, c, d) = \gamma(a, d) n\left(\frac{c}{a}\right);$$

and when $b \neq 0$,

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} = n(a/b) p \gamma(-b, -(\det g)/b) n(d/b).$$

Therefore, any representation of the group G is completely determined by its restrictions to N, D and p .

For $d \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\sigma(d)$ denote the sign of d ; also, let ϵ equal 0 or 1. Starting from the one-dimensional character of Q^t ,

$$\tau_s \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = \sigma(d)^\epsilon |a|^{-(\Re(s)+1)/2} |d|^{(\Re(s)+1)/2}$$

we can obtain the bounded representation π_s , of G on the weighted norm space $V_s = L^2(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^{\Re(s)} dx)$, defined by

$$(3.4) \quad (\pi_s(g)f)(x) = (\sigma(\det g))^\epsilon (\sigma(\det(a+xb)))^\epsilon \\ \times |\det g|^{(\Re(s)+1)/2} |\det(a+xb)|^{-(\Re(s)+1)} f\left(\frac{c+xd}{a+xb}\right),$$

The representation π_s on V_s is unitary if and only if s is pure imaginary, i.e., $\Re(s) = 0$. Since in this article we concentrate on the complementary series, we will only consider the case in which $\epsilon = 0$ and $0 < s < 1$, and in that case, (3.4) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad (\pi_s(g)f)(x) = |\det g|^{(s+1)/2} |\det(a+xb)|^{-(s+1)} f\left(\frac{c+xd}{a+xb}\right)$$

4. NEW REALIZATIONS OF NON-UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF G

In this section, we will make use of a well-known theorem of Pitt [3] to realize the non-unitary representation π_s on the weighted norm space $H_s = L^2(\mathbb{R}, |x|^s dx)$ when $0 < s < 1$. We first state a special case of Pitt's theorem and refer readers to Stein [4] for more general versions of Pitt's theorem.

Theorem 4.1. (Pitt [3]) *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and let \hat{f} denote the Fourier transform of a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a constant C such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(x)|^2 |x|^{-s} dx \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 |x|^s dx$$

for every function $f \in H_s$ for which the integral on the right side of (4.1) is convergent.

By applying Proposition 4.1, we can define in terms of π_s a representation ρ_s on H_s . Indeed, denoting by \mathcal{F} the Fourier transform, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *Define, for $g \in G$, the operator*

$$(4.2) \quad \rho_s(g) = \mathcal{F}\pi_s(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1}.$$

Then ρ_s is a well-defined representation of G on H_s , $0 < s < 1$.

Proof. By Pitt's theorem, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in V_s$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(\mathcal{F}f)(x)|^2 |x|^{-s} dx \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 |x|^s dx \\ \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 |1+x^2|^s dx,$$

$0 < s < 1$. Hence, the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is a continuous map from V_s to H_s , and this shows that $\mathcal{F}V_s \subset H_s$.

Define the functions $\lambda, \mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\lambda(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$ and $\mu(x) = xe^{-x^2/2}$. Then it is a simple calculation to show that both λ and μ are in V_s and H_s , and also that $\mathcal{F}\lambda = \mu, \mathcal{F}\mu = -i\lambda$. Define a homomorphism T of \mathbb{R}^\times , the multiplicative group of non-zero real numbers, by

$$(T(a)f)(x) = a^{1/2}f(ax)$$

for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and any function f on \mathbb{R} . Then for $f \in V_s$, we obtain the relation,

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{F}T(a) = T(a^{-1})\mathcal{F}.$$

By the uniqueness property of Laplace transform, it follows that if $f \in V_s$ is such that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)(T(a)\lambda)(x)(1+x^2)^s dx = 0$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)(T(a)\mu)(x)(1+x^2)^s dx = 0$$

then $f = 0$. Hence, $\text{Span}\{T(a)\lambda, T(a)\mu | a \in \mathbb{R}^\times\}$ is a dense subspace of V_s . Similarly, the space generated by its image, *viz.*, $\text{Span}\{T(a^{-1})\lambda, T(a^{-1})\mu | a \in \mathbb{R}^\times\}$, is dense in H_s . Therefore, it follows from the continuity of \mathcal{F} that $\mathcal{F}V_s = H_s$.

Consequently, for any $f \in H_s$ and $g \in G$, we obtain $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f \in V_s$, $\pi_s(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1}f \in V_s$, and $\mathcal{F}\pi_s(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1}f \in H_s$. Therefore, we have proved that $\rho_s(g) = \mathcal{F}\pi_s(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is well-defined for any $g \in G$. Finally, since π_s is a representation of G on V_s then it follows immediately that ρ_s also is a well-defined representation of G on H_s . \square

5. UNITARITY OF THE COMPLEMENTARY SERIES

Throughout this section, we will use the notation defined in (3.1)-(3.3) for the elements of the subgroups of G . The main of this section is to establish the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *For $s \in (0, 1)$, the operator ρ_s defined in (4.2) is a unitary representation of G on H_s .*

Before embarking on the proof of Theorem 5.1, we shall establish several preliminary results.

Lemma 5.2. *The subgroup Q of upper-triangular subgroup preserves the norm of H_s when ρ_s is restricted to Q .*

Proof. Notice that if $q = q(a, c, d) \in Q$ then, by (3.5),

$$(5.1) \quad (\pi_s(q)f)(x) = |a|^{-(s+1)/2}|d|^{(s+1)/2}f(a^{-1}(c+xd)).$$

Applying the Fourier transform, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_s(q)f)(x) &= (\mathcal{F}\pi_s(q)\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(x) \\ &= e^{icd^{-1}x}|a|^{(1-s)/2}|d|^{(s-1)/2}f(d^{-1}xa). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows by a simple calculation. \square

Define $R(\gamma) = \rho_s(\gamma)$ for $\gamma = \gamma(a, d) \in D$. Then, it follows from the above lemma that R is a unitary representation of D on H_s and

$$(R(\gamma)f)(x) = |a|^{(1-s)/2}|d|^{(s-1)/2}f(d^{-1}xa).$$

Lemma 5.3. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < \Re(s) < 1$, define

$$(5.2) \quad \phi_s(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixy} (1+y^2)^{-(s+1)/2} dy.$$

Then $\phi_s \in H_s$, and the set $\Phi^\circ = \{R(\gamma)\phi_s : \gamma \in D\}$ spans a dense subspace of $H_s^+ = \{f \in H_s : f \text{ is even}\}$.

Proof. Since $0 < \Re(s) < 1$ then the functions $(1+y^2)^{-(s+1)/2}$ and $(1+y^2)^{-(s+1)}$ are elements of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, by the L^1 and L^2 properties of the Fourier transform, it follows that $\phi_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_s | \phi_s \rangle_s &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi_s(x)|^2 |x|^{-s} dx \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq 1} |\phi_s(x)|^2 |x|^{-s} dx + \int_{|x| > 1} |\phi_s(x)|^2 |x|^{-s} dx \\ &\leq \|\phi_s\|_\infty \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x|^{-s} dx + \int_{|x| > 1} |\phi_s(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2(1-s)^{-1} \|\phi_s\|_\infty + \|\phi_s\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\phi_s\|_\infty$ and $\|\phi_s\|_2$ are the norms of ϕ_s in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. Therefore $\phi_s \in H_s$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_s(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixy} (1+y^2)^{-(s+1)/2} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma((1+s)/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixy} \left[\int_0^{\infty} \xi^{(s-1)/2} e^{-\xi(1+y^2)} d\xi \right] dy. \end{aligned}$$

As these integrals are absolutely convergent, we now apply Fubini's theorem to reverse the order of integration; then the inner integral with respect to y is seen to be the Fourier transform of the Gaussian; on evaluating that integral we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_s(x) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma((1+s)/2)} \int_0^{\infty} \xi^{(s/2)-1} e^{-\xi} e^{-x^2/4\xi} d\xi \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma((1+s)/2)} |x/2|^{s/2} \int_0^{\infty} \xi^{(s/2)-1} \exp(-|x|(\xi + \xi^{-1})/2) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove that Φ° spans a dense subspace in H_s^+ , it suffices to show that if $f \in H_s$ is even and is such that $\langle R(\gamma(a, 1))\phi_s | f \rangle_s = 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ then $f = 0$, almost everywhere. It follows from the condition $\langle R(\gamma(a, 1))\phi_s | f \rangle_s = 0$ that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |a|^{(1-s)/2} \phi_s(xa) \overline{f(x)} |x|^{-s} dx = 0$$

and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_s(xa) \overline{f(x)} |x|^{-s} dx = 0$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. From (5.3), we have

$$\phi_s(xa) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma((1+s)/2)} |xa/2|^{s/2} \int_0^{\infty} \xi^{(s/2)-1} \exp(-|xa|(\xi + \xi^{-1})/2) d\xi,$$

and replacing ξ by $\xi/|x|$ in the latter integral, we obtain

$$\phi_s(xa) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma((1+s)/2)} |a|^s \int_0^{\infty} \xi^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \exp\left(-|a|\xi - \frac{x^2}{4\xi}\right) d\xi.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{f(x)} |x|^{-s} \left[\int_0^{\infty} \xi^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \exp\left(-|a|\xi - \frac{x^2}{4\xi}\right) d\xi \right] dx = 0$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. Again applying Fubini's theorem to interchange the order of integration, we obtain

$$\int_0^{\infty} \xi^{(s/2)-1} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{f(x)} |x|^{-s} \exp(-x^2/4\xi) dx \right] e^{-|a|\xi} d\xi = 0.$$

As the latter integral is a Laplace transform, it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{f(x)} |x|^{-s} \exp(-x^2/4\xi) dx = 0$$

ξ -almost everywhere. Since f is even, it follows that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \overline{f(x)} |x|^{-s} \exp(-x^2/4\xi) dx$$

almost everywhere in $\xi > 0$; equivalently,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \overline{f(\sqrt{x})} |x|^{-(s+1)/2} \exp(-x/4\xi) dx = 0$$

almost everywhere in $\xi > 0$. Hence, the Laplace transform of $\overline{f(\sqrt{x})} |x|^{-(s+1)/2}$ is zero almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}^\times . Therefore $\overline{f(\sqrt{x})} = 0$ for almost every $x > 0$, which implies that $f(x) = 0$, a.e. $x > 0$. Because f is even, we deduce that $f(x) = 0$ a.e. This completes the proof of the Lemma. \square

Lemma 5.4. For $0 < \Re(s) < 1$, define

$$(5.4) \quad \psi_s(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y e^{-ixy} (1+y^2)^{-(s+1)/2} dy$$

Then $\psi_s \in H_s$, and the set $\Psi^\circ = \{R(\gamma)\psi_s : \gamma \in D\}$ spans a dense subspace of $H_s^- = \{f \in H_s : f \text{ is odd}\}$.

The proof of this result is similar to that of Lemma 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. By Lemma 5.2 it follows that ρ_s is unitary when restricted to Q . Also, by Lemma 3, we need to prove that $\rho_s(p)$ is a unitary operator on H_s .

Denoting $\rho_s(p)$ by W , it is a straightforward calculation to verify the following properties for W :

- (i) $W^2 = I$, the identity operator of H_s .
- (ii) $WR(\gamma) = R(\gamma^{-1})W$ for $\gamma = \gamma(a, d) \in D$.
- (iii) $W\phi_s = \phi_s$.

It is also a simple calculation to verify that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_s(x) \overline{(R(\gamma)\phi_s)(x)} |x|^{-s}$ is real for any $\gamma \in D$. Hence by Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 5.3, W is a generalized Watson transform of H_s^+ with respect to the unitary representations $R(\gamma)$ and $R(\gamma^{-1})$. Therefore, W is unitary on H_s^+ .

Similarly, from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 5.4, it follows that W is unitary on H_s^- . Consequently, W is unitary on $H_s = H_s^+ \oplus H_s^-$. This completes the proof of the unitarity of the complementary series of G . \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my deep gratitude to my research advisors, the late Professor Kenneth I. Gross (University of Vermont) and the late Professor Ray A. Kunze (University of Georgia), who introduced me to the subject of group representations and suggested that I study the generalized Watson transforms. I also thank Donald Richards (Penn State University) for his continual patience and encouragement. Their help and support have been invaluable.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bargmann, Representations of the Lorentz group, *Ann. Math.*, **48** (1947), 568–640.
- [2] A. W. Knap, *Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups: An Overview Based on Examples*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986.
- [3] H. R. Pitt, A note on bilinear forms, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **11** (1936), 174–180.
- [4] E. M. Stein, Interpolation of linear operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1956), 482–492.
- [5] Q. Zheng, Generalized Watson transforms I: General theory, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128** (2000), 2777–2787.
- [6] Q. Zheng, Generalized Watson transforms III: Hankel transforms on symmetric cones, *in preparation*.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE COLLEGE OF NEW JERSEY, EWING,
NJ 08618

E-mail address: zheng@tcnj.edu