

Some Applications of a Bailey-type Transformation

James Mc Laughlin

Mathematics Department
25 University Ave.,
West Chester University
West Chester, PA 19383, USA

Peter Zimmer

Mathematics Department
25 University Ave.,
West Chester University
West Chester, PA 19383, USA

Abstract

If k is set equal to aq in the definition of a WP Bailey pair,

$$\beta_n(a, k) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(k/a)_{n-j} (k)_{n+j}}{(q)_{n-j} (aq)_{n+j}} \alpha_j(a, k),$$

this equation reduces to $\beta_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j$.

This seemingly trivial relation connecting the α_n 's with the β_n 's has some interesting consequences, including several basic hypergeometric summation formulae, a connection to the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem, some new identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater type, some new expressions for false theta series as basic hypergeometric series, and new transformation formulae for poly-basic hypergeometric series.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 33D15

Keywords: Q-Series, Rogers-Ramanujan Type Identities, Bailey chains, Prouhet-Tarry-Escott Problem, multi-basic identities, false theta series

1 Introduction

In the present paper we show that the simple relationship $\beta_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j$ connecting two sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ has some interesting and surprising consequences. These include several new basic hypergeometric transformation- and summation formulae, a connection to the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem, some new identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater type, some new expressions for false theta series as basic hypergeometric series, and new transformation formulae for poly-basic hypergeometric series. We give some examples

now to illustrate these (details may be found later in the paper). The following identity is one of several new summation formulae.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + q^{n+1}/x)(q/x^2; q)_n x^n (1 - u^{n+1})}{(q; q)_{n+1}} = \frac{1 - u}{1 - x} \frac{(qu/x; q)_{\infty}}{(xu; q)_{\infty}}.$$

We also found the following new transformation for poly-basic series.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_n}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_n} \frac{(ap^2; p^2)_n (bP^2; P^2)_n}{\left(\frac{PQR}{p}, \frac{PQR}{p}\right)_n \left(\frac{apPQ}{cR}, \frac{pPQ}{R}\right)_n} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(cR^2; R^2)_n \left(\frac{aQ^2}{bc}; Q^2\right)_n}{\left(\frac{apQR}{bP}, \frac{pQR}{P}\right)_n \left(\frac{bcPQR}{Q}, \frac{pPR}{Q}\right)_n} x^n = \frac{(1 - xy)(1 - xz)}{(1 - x)(1 - xyz)} \times \\ & \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n}{(xy, xz; q)_n} \frac{(1 - ap^n P^n Q^n R^n) \left(1 - b\frac{p^n P^n}{Q^n R^n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P^n Q^n}{cp^n R^n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{ap^n Q^n}{bcP^n R^n}\right)}{(1 - a)(1 - b) \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{a}{bc}\right)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(a; p^2)_n (b; P^2)_n}{\left(\frac{PQR}{p}, \frac{PQR}{p}\right)_n \left(\frac{apPQ}{cR}, \frac{pPQ}{R}\right)_n} \frac{(c; R^2)_n \left(\frac{a}{bc}; Q^2\right)_n}{\left(\frac{apQR}{bP}, \frac{pQR}{P}\right)_n \left(\frac{bcPQR}{Q}, \frac{pPR}{Q}\right)_n} (xR^2)^n. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_{12}\} \stackrel{11}{=} \{b_1, \dots, b_{11}, 1\}$$

is a solution to the *Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem* (see later for explanations and an explicit set of values for the a_i 's and b_i 's), then

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{12}\phi_{11} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}; q, q^{12} \\ b_1q, b_2q, b_3q, b_4q, b_5q, b_6q, b_7q, b_9q, b_{10}q, b_{11}q \end{matrix}; q, q^{12} \right] \\ & \quad = \frac{(a_1q, a_2q, a_3q, a_4q, a_5q, a_6q, a_7q, a_8q, a_9q, a_{10}q, a_{11}q, a_{12}q; q)_{\infty}}{(b_1q, b_2q, b_3q, b_4q, b_5q, b_6q, b_7q, b_9q, b_{10}q, b_{11}q, q; q)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

New identities of Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater type and new representations for false theta series include the following identities.

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-q; q)_n q^{(n^2-n)/2}}{(q; q)_{n-1}} = \frac{(-1; q)_{\infty} (-q^6, -q^{10}, q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty}}{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}.$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(-1; q)_{n+2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(3n+1)/2} (1 - q^{2n+1}).$$

1.1 Background

We begin by recalling Andrews' construction [1] of a *WP-Bailey chain*. If a pair of sequences $(\alpha_n(a, k), \beta_n(a, k))$ satisfy

$$\beta_n(a, k) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(k/a)_{n-j} (k)_{n+j}}{(q)_{n-j} (aq)_{n+j}} \alpha_j(a, k), \quad (1.1)$$

then so does the pair $(\alpha'_n(a, k), \beta'_n(a, k))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_n(a, k) &= \frac{(\rho_1, \rho_2)_n}{(aq/\rho_1, aq/\rho_2)_n} \left(\frac{k}{c}\right)^n \alpha_n(a, c), \\ \beta'_n(a, k) &= \frac{(k\rho_1/a, k\rho_2/a)_n}{(aq/\rho_1, aq/\rho_2)_n} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(1 - cq^{2j})(\rho_1, \rho_2)_j (k/c)_{n-j} (k)_{n+j}}{(1 - c)(k\rho_1/a, k\rho_2/a)_n (q)_{n-j} (qc)_{n+j}} \left(\frac{k}{c}\right)^j \beta_j(a, c), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

with $c = k\rho_1\rho_2/aq$. A pair of sequences satisfying (1.1) is termed a *WP-Bailey pair*. The process may be iterated to produce a sequence of WP-Bailey pairs from an initial pair, hence the designation ‘‘WP-Bailey chain’’ for this process. Andrews also described a second WP-Bailey chain in [1], and these two constructions allow a ‘‘tree’’ of WP-Bailey pairs to be generated from a single WP-Bailey pair.

The implications of these two branches were further investigated in [2] by Andrews and Berkovich. An elliptic generalization of Andrews first WP-Bailey chain was derived in [18] by Spiridonov. Warnaar [20] added four additional branches to the WP-Bailey tree, two of which had generalizations to the elliptic level. More recently, Liu and Ma [11] introduced the idea of a general WP-Bailey chain, and added one new branch to the WP-Bailey tree. In [12], the authors added three new WP-Bailey chains.

If $k = 0$, the pair of sequences become what is termed a *Bailey pair relative to a*. Bailey [4, 5] used the q -Gauss sum,

$${}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, c/ab) = \frac{(c/a, c/b; q)_\infty}{(c, c/ab; q)_\infty}, \quad (1.3)$$

to get that, if (α_n, β_n) is a Bailey pair relative to a , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (y, z; q)_n \left(\frac{aq}{yz}\right)^n \beta_n = \frac{\left(\frac{aq}{y}, \frac{aq}{z}; q\right)_\infty}{\left(aq, \frac{aq}{yz}; q\right)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n}{\left(\frac{aq}{y}, \frac{aq}{z}; q\right)_n} \left(\frac{x}{yz}\right)^n \alpha_n. \quad (1.4)$$

As might be expected, Andrews generalization of a Bailey pair leads to a generalization of (1.4). Indeed Andrews WP-Bailey chain at (1.2) can easily

be shown to imply the following result (substitute the expression for $\alpha'_n(a, k)$ in (1.1), set the two expressions for $\beta'_n(a, k)$ equal, and let $n \rightarrow \infty$). Note that setting $k = 0$ below recovers Bailey's transformation at (1.4).

Theorem 1. *Under suitable convergence conditions, if $(\alpha_n(a, k), \beta_n(a, k))$ satisfy (1.1), then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - kq^{2n})(\rho_1, \rho_2; q)_n}{(1 - k)(kq/\rho_1, kq/\rho_2; q)_n} \left(\frac{aq}{\rho_1\rho_2} \right)^n \beta_n(a, k) = \frac{(kq, kq/\rho_1\rho_2, aq/\rho_1, aq/\rho_2; q)_{\infty}}{(kq/\rho_1, kq/\rho_2, aq/\rho_1\rho_2, aq; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho_1, \rho_2; q)_n}{(aq/\rho_1, aq/\rho_2; q)_n} \left(\frac{aq}{\rho_1\rho_2} \right)^n \alpha_n(a, k). \quad (1.5)$$

In the present paper we investigate what at first glance may appear to be a trivial special case of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *If $\beta_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \alpha_r$, then assuming both series converge,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_n x^n \beta_n}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_n} = \frac{(1 - xy)(1 - xz)}{(1 - x)(1 - xyz)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n x^n \alpha_n}{(xy, xz; q)_n}. \quad (1.6)$$

Proof. Let $k = xyz$, $a = xyz/q$, $\rho_1 = y$ and $\rho_2 = z$ in Theorem 1. □

This seemingly trivial relation connecting the α_n 's with the β_n 's (of course the pairs of sequences (α_n, β_n) defined by this relation are no longer Bailey pairs or WP-Bailey pairs) has some interesting consequences, including several new basic hypergeometric summation formulae, a connection to the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem, some new identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater type, some new expressions for false theta series as basic hypergeometric series, and new transformation formulae for poly-basic hypergeometric series.

As usual, for a and q complex numbers with $|q| < 1$, define

$$\begin{aligned} (a)_0 &= (a; q)_0 := 1, & (a)_n &= (a; q)_n := \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^j), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \dots (a_k; q)_n &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k; q)_n, \\ (a; q)_{\infty} &:= \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^j), \\ (a_1; q)_{\infty} (a_2; q)_{\infty} \dots (a_k; q)_{\infty} &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k; q)_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

An ${}_r\phi_s$ basic hypergeometric series is defined by

$${}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, x \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1 \dots a_r; q)_n}{(q, b_1 \dots b_s; q)_n} \left((-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \right)^{s+1-r} x^n.$$

For future use we also recall the q -binomial theorem,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} z^n = \frac{(az; q)_{\infty}}{(z; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (1.7)$$

2 Various Transformation- and Summation Formulae for Basic Hypergeometric series

We next derive a number of transformation formulae for basic hypergeometric series, transformations that give rise to summation formulae for particular choices of the parameters. We believe these to be new.

Corollary 2. *For q and x inside the unit disc,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_{2n} x^{2n}}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_{2n}} = \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n (-x)^n}{(xy, xz; q)_n}. \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{2n+1}/x)(q/x^2; q)_{2n} x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} = \frac{1}{1+x} \frac{(q/x; q)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty}}, \quad x \neq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. In Corollary 1 let $\alpha_r = (-1)^r$ to get (2.1). Then set $y = q/x$, $z = q/x^2$, apply (1.7) to the right side, replace x by $-x$ and (2.2) follows. \square

Corollary 3. *For q and x inside the unit disc,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_n x^n (n+1)}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_n} = \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n x^n}{(xy, xz; q)_n}. \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+q^{n+1}/x)(q/x^2; q)_n x^n (n+1)}{(q; q)_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{1-x} \frac{(q/x; q)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Set $\alpha_n = 1$ in Corollary 1 to get (2.3). The identity at (2.4) follows from (2.3) upon setting $y = q/x^2$, $z = q/x$, using (1.7) to sum the right side and then simplifying. \square

Corollary 4. *For q , x and u all inside the unit disc,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+q^{n+1}/x)(q/x^2; q)_n x^n (1-u^{n+1})}{(q; q)_{n+1}} = \frac{1-u}{1-x} \frac{(qu/x; q)_{\infty}}{(xu; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Set $\alpha_n = u^n$, $y = q/x$ and $z = q/x^2$. Now apply the q -binomial theorem (1.7) to the right side. \square

Remark: The identity at (2.4) above also follows from (2.5), upon dividing through by $1 - u$ and letting $u \rightarrow 1$, but we prefer to include both derivations.

Corollary 5.

$${}_5\phi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z, cq \\ \sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz \end{matrix}; q, x \right] = \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} y, z, c \\ xy, xz \end{matrix}; q, xq \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

$${}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -qxy, y, x \\ -xy, qx^2y \end{matrix}; q, x \right] = \frac{1}{1+xy} \frac{(x^2, qxy; q)_\infty}{(qx^2y, x; q)_\infty}. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. We define $\alpha_0 = 1$, and for $n > 0$,

$$\alpha_n = \frac{(cq; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} - \frac{(cq; q)_{n-1}}{(q; q)_{n-1}} = \frac{(c; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} q^n.$$

Substitution into (1.6) immediately gives (2.6). Equation (2.7) follows upon letting $c = x/q$, $z = xy$ and using (1.3) to sum the resulting right side and simplifying. \square

3 Transformation Formulae for basic- and polybasic Hypergeometric Series

Most (possibly all) summation formulae for poly-basic hypergeometric series arise because the series involved telescope. This means that the terms in such an identity may be inserted in (1.6) to produce a transformation formula for polybasic hypergeometric series containing an additional base. Setting all the bases equal to q^m , for some integer m , then gives a transformation formula for basic hypergeometric series. We give one example in the next corollary, which contains a transformation formula connecting polybasic hypergeometric series with five independent bases.

Corollary 6. *Let P, p, Q, q, R and x all lie inside the unit disc, and let a, b, c, y and z be complex numbers such that the denominators below are bounded away from zero. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_n}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_n} \frac{(ap^2; p^2)_n (bP^2; P^2)_n}{\left(\frac{PQR}{p}, \frac{PQR}{p}\right)_n \left(\frac{apPQ}{cR}, \frac{pPQ}{R}\right)_n} \\ & \times \frac{(cR^2; R^2)_n \left(\frac{aQ^2}{bc}; Q^2\right)_n}{\left(\frac{apQR}{bP}, \frac{pQR}{P}\right)_n \left(\frac{bcPR}{Q}, \frac{pPR}{Q}\right)_n} x^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} \times \\
&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n}{(xy, xz; q)_n} \frac{(1-ap^n P^n Q^n R^n) \left(1 - b \frac{P^n P^n}{Q^n R^n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P^n Q^n}{cp^n R^n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{ap^n Q^n}{bcP^n R^n}\right)}{(1-a)(1-b) \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{a}{bc}\right)} \\
&\times \frac{(a; p^2)_n (b; P^2)_n}{\left(\frac{PQR}{p}, \frac{PQR}{p}\right)_n} \frac{(c; R^2)_n \left(\frac{a}{bc}; Q^2\right)_n}{\left(\frac{apPQ}{cR}, \frac{pPQ}{R}\right)_n \left(\frac{apQR}{bP}, \frac{pQR}{P}\right)_n \left(\frac{bcPQR}{Q}, \frac{pPR}{Q}\right)_n} (xR^2)^n; \quad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_n}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_n} \frac{(aq^m, bq^m, cq^m, \frac{aq^m}{bc}; q^m)_n}{\left(\frac{a}{c}q^m, \frac{a}{b}q^m, bcq^m, q^m; q^m\right)_n} x^n = \\
&\frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n}{(xy, xz; q)_n} \frac{(q^m \sqrt{a}, -q^m \sqrt{a}, a, b, c, \frac{a}{bc}; q^m)_n (xq^m)^n}{\left(\sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, \frac{a}{c}q^m, \frac{a}{b}q^m, bcq^m, q^m; q^m\right)_n}. \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the special case $m = 0$, $d = 1$ of the identity of Subbarao and Verma labeled (2.2) in [19], namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(1-ap^k P^k Q^k R^k) \left(1 - b \frac{P^k P^k}{Q^k R^k}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P^k Q^k}{cp^k R^k}\right) \left(1 - \frac{ap^k Q^k}{bcP^k R^k}\right)}{(1-a)(1-b) \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{a}{bc}\right)} \\
&\times \frac{(a; p^2)_k (b; P^2)_k}{\left(\frac{PQR}{p}, \frac{PQR}{p}\right)_k} \frac{(c; R^2)_k \left(\frac{a}{bc}; Q^2\right)_k}{\left(\frac{apPQ}{cR}, \frac{pPQ}{R}\right)_k \left(\frac{apQR}{bP}, \frac{pQR}{P}\right)_k \left(\frac{bcPQR}{Q}, \frac{pPR}{Q}\right)_k} R^{2k} \\
&= \frac{(ap^2; p^2)_n (bP^2; P^2)_n (cR^2; R^2)_n \left(\frac{aQ^2}{bc}; Q^2\right)_n}{\left(\frac{PQR}{p}, \frac{PQR}{p}\right)_n \left(\frac{apPQ}{cR}, \frac{pPQ}{R}\right)_n \left(\frac{apQR}{bP}, \frac{pQR}{P}\right)_n \left(\frac{bcPQR}{Q}, \frac{pPR}{Q}\right)_n}, \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

and then in (1.6) let α_i be the i -th term in the sum above, and let β_n be the quantity on the right side above.

The identity at (3.2) follows upon setting $P = Q = p = R = q^{m/2}$ and simplifying. \square

4 A Connection with the Prouhet - Tarry - Escott Problem

We begin with a simple example.

Corollary 7.

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_6\phi_5 \left[\begin{matrix} q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z, aq, bq \\ \sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz, abq \end{matrix}; q, x \right] \\
&= \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} y, z, a, b \\ xy, xz, abq \end{matrix}; q, xq \right]. \quad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This time, in Corollary 1, define $\alpha_0 = 1$, and for $n > 0$,

$$\alpha_n = \frac{(aq, bq; q)_n}{(abq, q; q)_n} - \frac{(aq, bq; q)_{n-1}}{(abq, q; q)_{n-1}} = \frac{(a, b; q)_n q^n}{(abq, q; q)_n}.$$

The result follows as above. \square

The telescoping approach used in Corollary 7 can be generalized in one direction. We have the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let x, y and q be complex numbers with $|x|, |q| < 1$ and let Z be an indeterminate. Suppose a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-1} are non-zero complex numbers satisfying*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - a_i) = \prod_{i=1}^m (Z - a_i) - (Z - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (Z - b_i). \quad (4.2)$$

Suppose further that $b_i \neq 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_{m+4}\phi_{m+3} \left[\begin{matrix} q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z, a_1q, \dots, a_{m-1}q, a_mq \\ \sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz, b_1q, \dots, b_{m-1}q \end{matrix}; q, x \right] \\
&= \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} {}_{m+2}\phi_{m+1} \left[\begin{matrix} y, z, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m \\ xy, xz, b_1q, \dots, b_{m-1}q \end{matrix}; q, xq^m \right]. \quad (4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define $\alpha_0 = 1$, and for $n \geq 1$, set

$$\alpha_n = \frac{(a_1q, a_2q, \dots, a_{m-1}q, a_mq; q)_n}{(b_1q, b_2q, \dots, b_{m-1}q, q; q)_n} - \frac{(a_1q, a_2q, \dots, a_{m-1}q, a_mq; q)_{n-1}}{(b_1q, b_2q, \dots, b_{m-1}q, q; q)_{n-1}}.$$

By (4.2) with $Z = q^{-n}$,

$$\alpha_n = \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m; q)_n}{(b_1q, b_2q, \dots, b_{m-1}q, q; q)_n} q^{mn}$$

and clearly

$$\beta_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \alpha_r = \frac{(a_1q, a_2q, \dots, a_{m-1}q, a_mq; q)_n}{(b_1q, b_2q, \dots, b_{m-1}q, q; q)_n}. \quad (4.4)$$

The result follows from Corollary 1. \square

It is not clear how to find explicit sets of complex numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-1}$ satisfying (4.2), but a related problem in number theory provides solutions for $m \leq 10$ and $m = 12$.

The *Prouhet-Tarry-Escott* problem asks for two distinct multisets of integers $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^e = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^e, \text{ for } e = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad (4.5)$$

for some integer $k < m$. If $k = m - 1$, such a solution is called *ideal*. We write

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \stackrel{k}{=} \{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \quad (4.6)$$

to denote a solution to the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem.

The connection between the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem and the problem mentioned above is contained in the following proposition (see [6], page 2065).

Proposition 2. *The multisets $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ form an ideal solution to the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem if and only if*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m (Z - a_i) - \prod_{i=1}^m (Z - b_i) = C,$$

for some constant C , where Z is an indeterminate.

Note that the fact that $b_m = 1$ is not a problem, since if

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \stackrel{m-1}{=} \{b_1, \dots, b_m\},$$

then

$$\{Ma_1 + K, \dots, Ma_m + K\} \stackrel{m-1}{=} \{Mb_1 + K, \dots, Mb_m + K\},$$

for constants M and K (see Lemma 1 in [7], for example). Note also that if $b_m = 1$, then setting $Z = 1$ gives $C = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - a_i)$.

Parametric ideal solutions are known for $m = 1, \dots, 8$ and particular numerical solutions are known for $m = 9, 10$ and 12 . Although every ideal solution to the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem gives rise to a transformation between basic hypergeometric series, we will consider just one example. Note also that it is not necessary, for our purposes, that the a_i 's and b_i 's be integers. As above, we assume x, y and q are complex numbers, with $|x|, |q| < 1$.

Corollary 8. *Let m and n be non-zero complex numbers. Set*

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= -3m^2 + 7nm - 2n^2 + 1, & b_1 &= -3m^2 + 8nm + n^2 + 1, \\
a_2 &= -2m^2 + 8nm + 2n^2 + 1, & b_2 &= -2m^2 + 3nm - 3n^2 + 1, \\
a_3 &= -m^2 - n^2 + 1, & b_3 &= -m^2 + 10nm - n^2 + 1, \\
a_4 &= 2m^2 + 3nm + n^2 + 1, & b_4 &= 2m^2 + 2nm - 2n^2 + 1, \\
a_5 &= m^2 + 2nm - 3n^2 + 1, & b_5 &= m^2 + 7nm + 2n^2 + 1, \\
a_6 &= 10mn + 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_{10}\phi_9 \left[\begin{matrix} q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z, a_1q, a_2q, a_3q, a_4q, a_5q, a_6q \\ \sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz, b_1q, b_2q, b_3q, b_4q, b_5q \end{matrix}; q, x \right] \\
&= \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} {}_8\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} y, z, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 \\ xy, xz, b_1q, b_2q, b_3q, b_4q, b_5q \end{matrix}; q, xq^6 \right]. \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have from page 629–30 and Lemma 1 in [7], that if

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= -5m^2 + 4nm - 3n^2 + K, & b_1 &= -5m^2 + 6nm + 3n^2 + K, \\
a_2 &= -3m^2 + 6nm + 5n^2 + K, & b_2 &= -3m^2 - 4nm - 5n^2 + K, \\
a_3 &= -m^2 - 10nm - n^2 + K, & b_3 &= -m^2 + 10nm - n^2 + K, \\
a_4 &= 5m^2 - 4nm + 3n^2 + K, & b_4 &= 5m^2 - 6nm - 3n^2 + K, \\
a_5 &= 3m^2 - 6nm - 5n^2 + K, & b_5 &= 3m^2 + 4nm + 5n^2 + K, \\
a_6 &= m^2 + 10nm + n^2 + K, & b_6 &= m^2 - 10nm + n^2 + K,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

then

$$\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6\} \stackrel{5}{=} \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6\}.$$

We set $b_6 = 1$, solve for K and back-substitute in (4.9). We then replace m by $m/\sqrt{2}$ and n by $n/\sqrt{2}$. This leads to the values for the a_i 's and b_i 's given at (4.7) and the result follows, as before, from Proposition 1. \square

We also note each ideal solution to the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem leads to an infinite summation formula, upon letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.4). We give one example.

Corollary 9. *Let m be a non-zero complex number. Set*

$$\begin{aligned}
\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{12} &= \{1 + 170m, 1 + 126m, 1 + 209m, 1 + 87m, 1 + 234m, 1 + 62m, \\
&\quad 1 + 275m, 1 + 21m, 1 + 288m, 1 + 8m, 1 + 299m, 1 - 3m\}, \\
\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{11} &= \{1 + 183m, 1 + 113m, 1 + 195m, 1 + 101m, 1 + 242m, 1 + 54m, \\
&\quad 1 + 269m, 1 + 27m, 1 + 294m, 1 + 2m, 1 + 296m\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{12}\phi_{11} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12} \\ b_1q, b_2q, b_3q, b_4q, b_5q, b_6q, b_7q, b_9q, b_{10}q, b_{11}q \end{matrix}; q, q^{12} \right] \\ &= \frac{(a_1q, a_2q, a_3q, a_4q, a_5q, a_6q, a_7q, a_8q, a_9q, a_{10}q, a_{11}q, a_{12}q; q)_\infty}{(b_1q, b_2q, b_3q, b_4q, b_5q, b_6q, b_7q, b_9q, b_{10}q, b_{11}q, q; q)_\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. We use a result of Nuutti Kuosa, Jean-Charles Meyrignac and Chen Shuwen (see [16]), namely, that if

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{K + 22m, K - 22m, K + 61m, K - 61m, K + 86m, K - 86m, \\ &\quad K + 127m, K - 127m, K + 140m, K - 140m, K + 151m, K - 151m\}, \\ B &= \{K + 35m, K - 35m, K + 47m, K - 47m, K + 94m, K - 94m, \\ &\quad K + 121m, K - 121m, K + 146m, K - 146m, K + 148m, K - 148m\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

then

$$A \stackrel{11}{=} B.$$

□

Remark: Note that while the K and m are irrelevant in (4.11) in so far as finding integer solutions to the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem (since the solution derived another solution by scaling by m and translating by K is trivially equivalent to the original solution), solving $B_{12} = 1$ for K leaves m as a non-trivial free parameter in (4.10).

5 Identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater Type

We next prove a number of identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater type. We believe these to be new. We first prove two general transformations.

Corollary 10. *For q and x inside the unit disc, and integers $a > 0$ and b ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_n x^n q^{(an^2+bn)/2}}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_n (-q^{(a+b)/2}; q^a)_n} \\ &= \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} \left(1 - q^{(a-b)/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n x^n q^{(an^2+(b-2a)n)/2}}{(xy, xz; q)_n (-q^{(a+b)/2}; q^a)_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. In Corollary 1 set $\alpha_0 = 1$ and, for $n > 0$,

$$\alpha_n = \frac{q^{(an^2+bn)/2}}{(-q^{(a+b)/2}; q^a)_n} - \frac{q^{(a(n-1)^2+b(n-1))/2}}{(-q^{(a+b)/2}; q^a)_{n-1}} = -q^{(a-b)/2} \frac{q^{(an^2+(b-2a)n)/2}}{(-q^{(a+b)/2}; q^a)_n}.$$

□

Remark: We initially derived (5.1) as stated (with the aim of using it to derive new identities of Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater type), but it was subsequently pointed out to us that the same argument leads to a more general bi-basic identity, if $q^{a/2}$ is replaced with any complex number p with $|p| < 1$, and $q^{b/2}$ is replaced with any non-zero complex number B . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_n (Bx)^n p^{n^2}}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_n (-Bp; p^2)_n} \\ &= \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} \left(1 - \frac{p}{B} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n (Bx)^n p^{n^2-2n}}{(xy, xz; q)_n (-Bp; p^2)_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Corollary 11. For q and x inside the unit disc, and integers $a > 0$ and b ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_n x^n q^{an^2+bn}}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_n} = \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} \\ & \times \left(1 - q^{(a-b)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n x^n q^{an^2+(b-2a)n} (1 - q^{2an+b-a})}{(xy, xz; q)_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. In Corollary 1 set $\alpha_0 = 1$ and, for $n > 0$,

$$\alpha_n = q^{an^2+bn} - q^{a(n-1)^2+b(n-1)} = -q^{an^2+(b-2a)n+a-b} (1 - q^{2an+b-a}).$$

□

Remark: As above, we initially derived (5.16) as stated with the aim of using it to derive new identities of Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater type (see below), but a more general bi-basic identity holds, if q^a is replaced with any complex number p with $|p| < 1$, and q^b is replaced with any non-zero complex number B . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q\sqrt{xyz}, -q\sqrt{xyz}, y, z; q)_n (Bx)^n p^{n^2}}{(\sqrt{xyz}, -\sqrt{xyz}, qxy, qxz; q)_n} \\ &= \frac{(1-xy)(1-xz)}{(1-x)(1-xyz)} \left(1 - \frac{p}{B} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(y, z; q)_n (Bx)^n p^{n^2-2n} (1 - Bp^{2n-1})}{(xy, xz; q)_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Corollary 12.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + q^{-2n+3})q^{n^2+6n}}{(q^4; q^4)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_{\infty} (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}. \quad (5.5)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + q^{-2n+1})q^{n^2+4n}}{(q^4; q^4)_n} = \frac{1}{(q, q^4; q^5)_{\infty} (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. In (5.3), set $z = 0$, replace x by x/y and let $y \rightarrow \infty$ to get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^n q^{an^2+bn+n(n-1)/2}}{(xq; q)_n} = (1-x) \times \left(1 - q^{(a-b)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^n q^{an^2+(b-2a)n+n(n-1)/2} (1 - q^{2an+b-a})}{(x; q)_n} \right). \quad (5.7)$$

Next, let $a = -1/4$, $b = 1$, replace q by q^4 and let $x \rightarrow 1$ to get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2+2n}}{(q^4; q^4)_n} = -q^{-5} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2+4n} (1 - q^{-2n+5})}{(q^4; q^4)_{n-1}}.$$

Replace q by $-q$, re-index the right side by replacing n by $n+1$ and (5.5) follows from the following identity of Rogers ([14], page 331):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+2n}}{(q^4; q^4)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_{\infty} (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}.$$

The identity at (5.6) follows similarly, using instead $a = -1/4$, $b = 1/2$ in (5.7) and employing another identity of Rogers ([14], page 330):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q^4; q^4)_n} = \frac{1}{(q, q^4; q^5)_{\infty} (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}.$$

□

Corollary 13.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b, q^3/b; q)_n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q^2; q^2)_{n+1} (q; q)_n} = \frac{(q^4/b, bq; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (5.8)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2n+1})(-q^3; q^2)_n q^{n^2}}{(q^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^3, q^4, q^5; q^8)_{\infty}}. \quad (5.9)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2n-1})(-q^3; q^2)_n q^{n^2-2n}}{(q^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{1}{(q, q^4, q^7; q^8)_{\infty}}. \quad (5.10)$$

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-q; q)_n q^{(n^2-n)/2}}{(q; q)_{n-1}} = \frac{(-1; q)_{\infty} (-q^6, -q^{10}, q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty}}{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}. \quad (5.11)$$

$$-1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-q; q)_n q^{(n^2-n)/2}}{(q; q)_{n-1}} = q \frac{(-1; q)_{\infty} (-q^2, -q^{14}, q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty}}{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}. \quad (5.12)$$

Proof. In (4.1), let $z = 0$, replace x by x/y and let $y \rightarrow \infty$ to get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(aq, bq; q)_n (-x)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(qx, abq, q; q)_n} = (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, b; q)_n (-xq)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(x, abq, q; q)_n}.$$

Then set $x = -q$, $a = b/q$ and then use Andrews' q -Bailey identity,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b, q/b; q)_n c^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(c; q)_n (q^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{(cq/b, bc; q^2)_{\infty}}{(c; q)_{\infty}}$$

with $c = q^2$, to sum the right side. Finally, replace b by b/q and (5.8) follows after a slight manipulation.

For the remaining identities, in (4.1) replace x by x/y , let $y \rightarrow \infty$ and then set $z = x$ and $b = 0$ to get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+xq^n)(aq; q)_n (-x)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n (-x)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q; q)_n}.$$

For (5.9) and (5.10), replace q by q^2 , set $a = -q$ and, respectively, $x = -q$ and $x = -1/q$, and use the Göllnitz-Gordon-Slater identities ([9], [10], [17])

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+2n} (-q; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_n} &= \frac{1}{(q^3; q^8)_{\infty} (q^4; q^8)_{\infty} (q^5; q^8)_{\infty}}, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2} (-q; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_n} &= \frac{1}{(q; q^8)_{\infty} (q^4; q^8)_{\infty} (q^7; q^8)_{\infty}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

to sum the respective right sides.

For (5.11), set $a = x = -1$ and use the following identity of Gessel and Stanton ([8], page 196)

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-q; q)_{n-1} q^{(n^2+n)/2}}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(-q; q)_{\infty} (-q^6, -q^{10}, q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty}}{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}.$$

to sum the resulting right side. The identity at (5.12) follows similarly, again with $a = x = -1$, upon using another identity of Gessel and Stanton ([8], page 196)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q; q)_n q^{(n^2+3n)/2}}{(q; q)_{n+1}} = \frac{(-q; q)_{\infty} (-q^2, -q^{14}, q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty}}{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}.$$

□

Corollary 14.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_{n+1} q^{n^2+2n}}{(q; q)_{2n+3}} = 2(-q^2, -q^{14}, q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty} \frac{(-q; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} - \frac{1}{1-q}. \quad (5.14)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_n q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} = 2 \frac{(q^2, q^{14}, q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty} (q^{12}, q^{20}; q^{32})_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}} - 1. \quad (5.15)$$

Proof. We use (5.1) to prove these identities. First, let $z \rightarrow 0$ and replace q with q^2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y; q^2)_n x^n q^{an^2+bn}}{(q^2 xy; q^2)_n (-q^{a+b}; q^{2a})_n} \\ = \frac{(1-xy)}{(1-x)} \left(1 - q^{a-b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(y; q^2)_n x^n q^{an^2+(b-2a)n}}{(xy; q^2)_n (-q^{a+b}; q^{2a})_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

For (5.14), set $a = 1$, $b = 2$, $y = -q^2$, and $x = -1$. Replace q with $-q$, divide both sides by $(1-q)(1-q^2)$ and use Slater's identity **69** to sum the resulting left side:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_n q^{n^2+2n}}{(q; q)_{2n+2}} = (-q^2, -q^{14}, q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty} \frac{(-q; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}.$$

The result follows after some slight manipulation.

The proof of (5.15) is similar, except we set $a = 1$, $b = 0$, $y = -1$, and $x = -1$, replace q with $-q$, and use Slater's identity **121**:

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_{n-1} q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_{2n}} = \frac{(q^2, q^{14}, q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty} (q^{12}, q^{20}; q^{32})_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}}.$$

□

6 Representation of False Theta Series as basic Hypergeometric Series

In this section we derive some new representations of the false theta series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(3n+1)/2} (1 - q^{2n+1})$, as basic hypergeometric series.

On page 13 of the Lost Notebook [13] (see also [3, page 229]), Ramanujan recorded the following identity (amongst others in a similar vein):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q^2)_n (-1)^n q^{n^2+n}}{(-q; q)_{2n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}. \quad (6.1)$$

On page 37 of the Lost Notebook, he recorded the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(3n+1)/2} (1 - q^{2n+1}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2+n}}{(-q; q)_{2n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(-q; q)_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

The identity that follows from equating the left side to the second right side above also follows as a special case of a more general identity first stated by Rogers [15].

We use these identities in conjunction with (5.16) to prove the following.

Corollary 15.

$$1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q^2)_n (-1)^n q^{n^2-n}}{(-1; q)_{2n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}. \quad (6.3)$$

$$\frac{2}{1+q} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2+3n}}{(-q; q)_{2n+1} (1+q^{2n+3})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(3n+1)/2} (1 - q^{2n+1}). \quad (6.4)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(-1; q)_{n+2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(3n+1)/2} (1 - q^{2n+1}). \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. For (6.3), set $a = b = 1$, $y = q$ and $x = -1$ in (5.16). Then divide both sides of the resulting identity by $1 + q$, so that the left side becomes the left side of (6.1). The result follows after re-indexing the resulting sum on the right side, together with a little manipulation.

For (6.4), replace x with x/y in (5.16) and let $y \rightarrow \infty$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^n q^{(a+1)n^2+(b-1)n}}{(q^2x; q^2)_n (-q^{a+b}; q^{2a})_n} \\ = (1-x) \left(1 - q^{a-b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^n q^{(a+1)n^2+(b-2a-1)n}}{(x; q^2)_n (-q^{a+b}; q^{2a})_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Then set $a = 1$, $b = 2$, $x = -1$, and divide both sides by $1 + q$ so that the left side becomes the first right side of (6.2). The result again follows, upon re-indexing the sum on the right side.

To get (6.3), set $y = 0$ in (5.16), then $a = b = 1/2$ and $x = -1$, so the left side becomes the second right side in (6.2). The result likewise follows after re-indexing the resulting sum on the right side. \square

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, Bailey's transform, lemma, chains and tree. Special functions 2000: current perspective and future directions (Tempe, AZ), 1–22, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., **30**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [2] G.E. Andrews; A. Berkovich. The WP-Bailey tree and its implications. J. London Math. Soc. (2) **66** (2002), no. 3, 529–549.
- [3] G.E. Andrews and B.C. Berndt, Ramanujan's Lost Notebook, Part 1, Springer, New York (2005).
- [4] W. N. Bailey, Some Identities in Combinatory Analysis. Proc. London Math. Soc. **49** (1947) 421–435.
- [5] W. N. Bailey, Identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type. Proc. London Math. Soc., **50** (1949) 1–10.
- [6] P. Borwein; P. Lisoněk; C. Percival. Computational investigations of the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem. Math. Comp. **72** (2003), no. 244, 2063–2070 (electronic).
- [7] J. Chernick; Ideal Solutions of the Tarry-Escott Problem. Amer. Math. Monthly **44** (1937), no. 10, 626–633.
- [8] I. Gessel and D. Stanton. Applications of q -Lagrange inversion to basic hypergeometric series. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), no. 1, 173–201.
- [9] B. Gordon, Some continued fractions of the Rogers-Ramanujan type. Duke Math. J. **32** 1965 741–748.
- [10] H. Göllnitz, Partitionen mit Differenzenbedingungen. J. reine angew. Math. **225** (1967) 154–190.
- [11] Q. Liu and X. Ma, On the Characteristic Equation of Well-Poised Bailey Chains, Ramanujan J. **18** (2009), no. 3, 351–370.
- [12] J. Mc Laughlin and P. Zimmer, General WP-Bailey Chains. Ramanujan J. **22** (2010), no. 1, 11–31.
- [13] S. Ramanujan, The Lost Notebook and Other Unpublished Papers, Narosa, New Delhi, 1988.
- [14] L. J. Rogers, Second memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products, Proc. London Math.Soc. **25** (1894),pp. 318-343.

- [15] L. J. Rogers, On two theorems of combinatory analysis and some allied identities, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **16** (1917) 315–336.
- [16] C. Shuwen, The Prouhet-Tarry-Escott Problem.
<http://euler.free.fr/eslp/TarryPrb.htm>
- [17] L. J. Slater, Further identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type, *Proc. London Math.Soc.* **54** (1952) 147–167.
- [18] V. P. Spiridonov, An elliptic incarnation of the Bailey chain, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2002, no. **37**, 1945–1977.
- [19] M. V. Subbarao and A. Verma, Some summations of q -series by telescoping. *Pacific J. Math.* **191** (1999), no. 1, 173–182.
- [20] S. O. Warnaar, Extensions of the well-poised and elliptic well-poised Bailey lemma, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **14** (2003), no. 3-4, 571–588.

Received: Month xx, 200x