

ON INDUCTIVE CONSTRUCTION OF PROCESI BUNDLES

IVAN LOSEV

ABSTRACT. A Procesi bundle, a rank $n!$ vector bundle on the Hilbert scheme H_n of n points in \mathbb{C}^2 , was first constructed by Mark Haiman in his proof of the $n!$ theorem by using a complicated combinatorial argument. Since then alternative constructions of this bundle were given by Bezrukavnikov-Kaledin and by Ginzburg. In this paper we give a geometric/representation-theoretic proof of the inductive formula for the Procesi bundle that plays an important role in Haiman's construction. Then we use the inductive formula to prove a weaker version of the $n!$ theorem: the normalization of Haiman's isospectral Hilbert scheme is Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein, and the normalization morphism is bijective. This improves an earlier result of Ginzburg.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **$n!$ theorem.** The Hilbert scheme $H_n := \text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ parameterizes the codimension n ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. It is known to be a smooth irreducible algebraic variety of dimension $2n$. It comes with the Hilbert-Chow morphism $\rho_n : H_n \rightarrow V_n/S_n$, where we write V_n for $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\oplus n}$ and the symmetric group S_n acts by permuting the n copies of \mathbb{C}^2 . The morphism sends a point in H_n – a codimension n ideal in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ – to its support counted with multiplicities. This morphism is known to be a resolution of singularities.

Following Haiman, we define the isospectral Hilbert scheme IH_n as the fiber product $V_n \times_{V_n/S_n} H_n$ with reduced scheme structure. By definition, there is a finite morphism $\eta : IH_n \rightarrow H_n$. It is the quotient morphism for the natural action of S_n on IH_n .

Here is a geometric version Haiman's $n!$ theorem, [H1, Theorem 3.1], (there is also an elementary version that has to do with the spaces of partial derivatives of certain two-variable generalizations of the Vandermonde determinant, that version follows from the geometric one).

Theorem 1.1. *The variety IH_n is Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein.*

Note that, in order for IH_n to be Cohen-Macaulay, we do need to consider $V_n \times_{V_n/S_n} H_n$ with its reduced scheme structure: the fiber product with the natural scheme structure can be shown to be non-reduced while generically reduced. This can never happen for Cohen-Macaulay schemes.

Let us explain a motivation behind Theorem 1.1. Note that the two-dimensional torus $T := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2$ acts on \mathbb{C}^2 in a natural way: $(t_1, t_2) \cdot (x, y) = (t_1^{-1}x, t_2^{-1}y)$. The action induces an action on V_n , on $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ by algebra automorphisms and hence an action on H_n . The Hilbert-Chow map $H_n \rightarrow V_n/S_n$ is T -equivariant so we get a T -action on IH_n as well. The T -fixed points in H_n precisely correspond to the monomial codimension n ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. The latter are naturally labelled by Young diagrams with n boxes: the diagram corresponding to a monomial ideal indicates which monomials do not lie in the ideal. Let us write x_λ for the fixed point labelled by the Young diagram λ .

Now consider the sheaf $\mathcal{P}_n^H := \eta_* \mathcal{O}_{IH_n}$ (the superscript ‘‘H’’ is for Haiman). Since IH_n is Cohen-Macaulay and η is finite, η is flat. The degree is easily seen to be $n!$. So \mathcal{P}_n^H is a degree $n!$ vector bundle. The idea to look for such a bundle was due to Procesi, so Haiman called \mathcal{P}_n^H the *Procesi bundle*. The bundle \mathcal{P}_n^H is T -equivariant. In particular, its fibers at the fixed points, $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^H := (\mathcal{P}_n^H)_{x_\lambda}$ are bigraded S_n -modules. Another hard theorem from [H1] says that the Frobenius character of the bigraded S_n -module $(\mathcal{P}_n^H)_{x_\lambda}$ is the modified Macdonald polynomial $\tilde{H}_\lambda(q, t)$. This, in particular, proves the famous Macdonald positivity conjecture that says that the Macdonald polynomials are Schur positive.

1.2. Inductive construction. Let us say a few words about Haiman’s proof of Theorem 1.1, see [H1, Sections 3,4] and also [H3, Sections 5.6] for an overview. The construction is inductive in nature and utilizes the *nested Hilbert scheme* $H_{n,n-1}$. This scheme parameterizes pairs of ideals $J \subset J'$ in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}[x,y]} J = n$ and $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}[x,y]} J' = n - 1$. It turns out, [C], that $H_{n,n-1}$ is an irreducible smooth variety of dimension $2n$. It comes with two morphisms $\beta : H_{n,n-1} \rightarrow H_{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ sending $(J' \subset J)$ to $(J', \text{Supp}(J'/J))$ and $\alpha : H_{n,n-1} \rightarrow H_n$ forgetting J' .

Set $IH_{n,n-1} := H_{n,n-1} \times_{H_{n-1}} IH_{n-1}$. This scheme comes with a natural morphism to IH_n , denote it by $\hat{\alpha}$, it is induced by α . Haiman deduced Theorem 1.1 from the following equality

$$(1.1) \quad \hat{\alpha}_* \mathcal{O}_{IH_{n,n-1}} = \mathcal{O}_{IH_n}.$$

Here and below we will use the notation like $\hat{\alpha}_*$ for the *derived* functor.

The proof of (1.1) is based on Haiman’s *polygraph* theorem, [H1, Theorem 4.1], which is proved in [H1] by explicit combinatorial/ commutative-algebraic computations. Since these computations are extremely complicated, there were several attempts to understand various parts of Haiman’s construction more conceptually. The present paper contributes to this goal.

1.3. Related developments. Before explaining results of this paper we want to discuss some subsequent developments related to Haiman’s work starting with a construction that ours is based upon: a construction of the Procesi bundle due to Bezrukavnikov and Kaledin, [BK2].

An important property of the Procesi bundle \mathcal{P}_n^H observed by Haiman in [H2] is the derived McKay equivalence

$$R \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{H_n}}(\mathcal{P}_n^H, \bullet) : D^b(\text{Coh } H_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}] \text{-mod}^{S_n}),$$

where in the target we write \underline{x} for x_1, \dots, x_n , \underline{y} for y_1, \dots, y_n , and the superscript ‘‘ S_n ’’ means that we consider the category of S_n -equivariant modules. This equivalence sends \mathcal{P}_n^H to the smash-product algebra $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}] \# S_n$ (whose category of modules is $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}] \text{-mod}^{S_n}$). A consequence (and, in fact, an equivalent condition thanks to [BK2, Proposition 2.2]) is that

$$(1.2) \quad R \text{End}(\mathcal{P}_n^H) = \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}] \# S_n.$$

On the other hand, derived equivalences of this sort are classical in geometric Representation theory, where they come from the derived Beilinson-Bernstein type localization theorem. Inspired by the version of this theorem in positive characteristic proved in [BMR], in [BK2] Bezrukavnikov and Kaledin established a derived McKay equivalence and constructed an analog of a Procesi bundle on any symplectic resolution of any symplectic quotient singularity, a basic example is the resolution H_n of V_n/S_n . The latter variety admits a standard quantization, $\mathbf{W}(V_n)^{S_n}$, where we write $\mathbf{W}(V_n)$ for the Weyl algebra of the symplectic vector space V_n . Over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} of positive characteristic, the algebra

$W(V_n)$ is an Azumaya algebra over the Frobenius twist $V_n^{(1)}$. The restrictions of $W(V_n)^{S_n}$ and $\mathbb{F}[V_n^{(1)}] \# S_n$ to the formal neighborhood of 0 in $V_n^{(1)}$ are Morita equivalent provided the characteristic is large enough. Further, one can find a ‘‘Frobenius constant’’ quantization $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ of H_n whose algebra of (derived) global sections (automatically a quantization of V_n/S_n) is $W(V_n)^{S_n}$. Being Frobenius constant means, in particular, that $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ can be viewed as an Azumaya algebra on $H_n^{(1)}$. The restriction of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ to the formal neighborhood of the zero fiber of $H_n^{(1)} \rightarrow V_n^{(1)}/S_n$ splits. From the indecomposable summands of a splitting bundle one can form an analog of a Procesi bundle. Then thanks to the rigidity (the absence of higher self-extensions) one can first extend this analog of a Procesi bundle to the whole variety $H_n^{(1)}$ and then lift to characteristic 0. One gets a vector bundle \mathcal{P}_n^{BK} on H_n satisfying (1.2).

The bundle \mathcal{P}_n^{BK} can be shown to coincide with \mathcal{P}_n^H thanks to results of [L2], where ‘‘abstract’’ Procesi bundles were classified: it was shown that there are exactly two abstract *normalized* Procesi bundles that are dual to one another. In this paper we reprove Theorem 1.1 using the bundle \mathcal{P}_n^{BK} . Let us also point out that the Macdonald positivity was reproved (and generalized) in [BF] by using the construction of \mathcal{P}_n^{BK} from [BK2].

We would also like to mention several other related developments, although they do not play any role in our proofs. Ginzburg proved that the normalization of IH_n is Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein using the Hodge filtration on the Hotta-Kashiwara D-module, see [Gi, Proposition 8.2.4]. The variety IH_n was shown to be normal by Haiman but presently there is no independent proof of the normality. Gordon, [Go], used Ginzburg’s construction to deduce the Macdonald positivity. There are also other proofs of the Macdonald positivity, e.g., [GH].

1.4. Results and ideas of proof. We now proceed to explaining the ideas of our proof. First, let us record a consequence of (1.1):

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha_*(\beta^*(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^H \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2})) = \mathcal{P}_n^H.$$

Our goal is to establish an analog of this equality for the Procesi bundle constructed by Bezrukavnikov and Kaledin:

Theorem 1.2. *We have a $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}] \# S_{n-1}$ -linear isomorphism*

$$(1.4) \quad \alpha_*(\beta^*(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{BK} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2})) = \mathcal{P}_n^{BK},$$

We will use this theorem to strengthen a result of Ginzburg, [Gi, Proposition 8.2.4].

Theorem 1.3. *The normalization of IH_n is Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein. Moreover the normalization morphism for IH_n is bijective.*

In the remainder of this section we will discuss the ideas of our proof of (1.4).

We will prove a ‘‘quantum analog’’ of (1.4) in characteristic $p \gg 0$. Namely, note that $H_{n,n-1}$ is a lagrangian subvariety in $H_n \times (H_{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^2)$. We will show that, over \mathbb{C} , the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{H_{n,n-1}}$ can be quantized to a filtered $\mathcal{D}_{n-1, \diamond}$ - \mathcal{D}_n -bimodule $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}$ (where \mathcal{D}_n is the characteristic 0 version of the quantization of H_n used in [BK2] to produce the Procesi bundle and $\mathcal{D}_{n-1, \diamond}$ is an analogous quantization of $H_{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^2$). We will show that $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}) = W(V_n)^{S_{n-1}}$, while the higher cohomologies of $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}$ vanish.

Then we will show that the quantization $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}$ can be reduced mod p for $p \gg 0$. Let us denote corresponding quantization by $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$. We still have $R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}) = W(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{S_{n-1}}$. Also we will show that $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ gives rise to a splitting bundle for the restriction to $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F},(1)}$

of the Azumaya algebra arising from $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{F}, opp} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{n-1, \diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$. Using this splitting bundle and the Bezrukavnikov-Kaledin construction of the Procesi bundle (and some additional work) we deduce (1.4) over \mathbb{F} from here. Using this, we will prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 over \mathbb{C} .

1.5. Conventions and notation. In this paper we work with various base fields and rings. They include \mathbb{C} , $\mathbb{F} := \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for p sufficiently large, a large finite localization \mathbb{R} of \mathbb{Z} and some others. The base ring is indicated as a superscript, unless it is \mathbb{C} , in which case we skip the superscript \mathbb{C} .

All pullback and pushforward functors are derived, while Γ denotes the usual non-derived global section functor.

Notation for varieties and algebras. Throughout the paper we use the following notation for varieties and (commutative and noncommutative) algebras:

- $V_n := (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\oplus n}$. By $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n$ we denote the natural basis in V_n so that $\mathbb{C}[V_n] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$.
- V_n^0 is the regular locus in V_n consisting of n -tuples of pairwise distinct points.
- V_n^1 is the open locus in V_n consisting of all points whose stabilizer in S_n is either trivial or is generated by a single transposition.
- H_n is the Hilbert scheme of n points in the plane.
- $H_{n, n-1}$ is the nested Hilbert scheme parameterizing inclusions $J' \subset J$ with $J \in H_n, J' \in H_{n-1}$.
- IH_n is the isospectral Hilbert scheme, i.e., $V_n \times_{V_n/S_n} H_n$ with reduced scheme structure.
- U_n is the universal degree n family over H_n .
- $\mathbb{W}(V_n)$ is the Weyl algebra of the symplectic vector space V_n .
- $\mathcal{A}_n := \mathbb{W}(V_n)^{S_n}$.
- $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n := \mathbb{C}[V_n] \# S_n$.
- X_n is the relative spectrum of the Procesi bundle on H_n , constructed in Section 6.
- $X_{n, n-1} := X_{n-1} \times_{H_{n-1}} H_{n, n-1}$.

We also often use the subscript \diamond . The meaning is the multiplication by \mathbb{C}^2 for varieties or by $\mathbb{C}[V_2]$ or $\mathbb{W}(V_2)$ for the algebras above. For example, $V_{n-1, \diamond}^0$ stands for $V_{n-1}^0 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ (where the second factor corresponds to the last two coordinates) and $\mathcal{A}_{n-1, \diamond}$ stands for $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{W}(V_2)$.

As usual, the superscript “⁽¹⁾” indicates the Frobenius twist.

Notation for morphisms:

- α_n is the natural morphism $H_{n, n-1} \rightarrow H_n$.
- $\bar{\alpha}_n$ is the natural morphism $H_{n, n-1} \rightarrow U_n$.
- $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ is the natural morphism $X_{n, n-1} \rightarrow X_n$ defined in Section 6.
- β_n is the natural morphism $H_{n, n-1} \rightarrow H_{n-1, \diamond}$.
- $\tilde{\beta}_n$ is the natural morphism $X_{n, n-1} \rightarrow X_{n-1, \diamond}$.
- η_n is the natural morphism $IH_n \rightarrow H_n$.
- μ stands for a moment map.
- π_n is the natural morphism $X_n \rightarrow H_n$ introduced in Section 6. Similarly, $\pi_{n, n-1} : X_{n, n-1} \rightarrow H_{n, n-1}$ is the natural morphism.
- $\rho_n : H_n \rightarrow V_n/S_n$ is the Hilbert-Chow morphism. Similarly, we write $\tilde{\rho}_n, \tilde{\rho}_{n, n-1}, \bar{\rho}_n$ for the natural morphisms $X_n \rightarrow V_n, X_{n, n-1} \rightarrow V_n, U_n \rightarrow V_n/S_{n-1}$, respectively.
- τ_n is also the natural morphism $U_n \rightarrow H_n$.

Finally, let us list our notation for various sheaves.

- \mathcal{D}_n denotes a certain microlocal quantization of H_n recalled in Section 3.1.
- $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ denotes a Frobenius constant quantization of $H_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ obtained from $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$.
- $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}$ denotes a certain microlocal quantization of the lagrangian subvariety $H_{n,n-1} \subset H_n \times H_{n-1, \diamond}$ constructed in Section 4, and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is the ‘‘Frobenius constant’’ version.
- K_Y denotes the canonical bundle of a smooth variety Y .
- $\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}$ denote the structure sheaves of $H_n, H_{n,n-1}$. More generally, we write $\mathcal{O}_n(k)$ for the line bundle on H_n corresponding to $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}(k, \ell)$ for $\beta_n^* \mathcal{O}_{n-1}(k) \otimes \alpha_n^* \mathcal{O}_n(\ell)$, a line bundle on $H_{n,n-1}$.
- \mathcal{P}_n is the Procesi bundle on H_n whose construction is recalled in Section 3.2.
- $\mathcal{T}_n := \tau_{n*} \mathcal{O}_{U_n}$ is the tautological bundle on H_n .

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Roman Bezrukavnikov, Pavel Etingof, Victor Ginzburg, Evgeny Gorsky, Andrei Negut, Alexei Oblomkov, and Lev Rozansky for stimulating discussions. I would also like to thank Roman Bezrukavnikov for the discussion that allowed me to find a fatal mistake in a previous version of this paper that claimed a proof of the $n!$ theorem. This work has been funded by the Russian Academic Excellence Project ‘5-100’.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON HILBERT SCHEMES

2.1. Hilbert scheme H_n . First of all, let us recall a construction of H_n via the Hamiltonian reduction. Consider the space $R := \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$. This space has a natural action of $G := \text{GL}_n$.

Consider the cotangent bundle $T^*R = R \oplus R^*$. The group G acts on T^*R and the action is Hamiltonian. The moment map can be described as follows. First, we can identify $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ with $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ via the trace form. Then we can view an element of T^*R as a quadruple (A, B, i, j) for $A, B \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n), i \in \mathbb{C}^n, j \in \mathbb{C}^{n*}$. The moment map $\mu : T^*R \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is given by $\mu(A, B, i, j) = AB + ij$. Now we are going to describe the pull-back map $\mu^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[T^*R]$. Consider the velocity vector field map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(R), \xi \mapsto \xi_R$. We can view $\text{Vect}(R)$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{C}[T^*R] = \mathbb{C}[R \oplus R^*]$ that consists of all functions that have degree 1 in R^* . It is easy to show that $\mu^*(\xi) = \xi_R$.

Consider the character $\theta := \det^{-1}$. By definition, the θ -stable locus in T^*R consists of the quadruples (A, B, i, j) such that $\mathbb{C}\langle A, B \rangle i = \mathbb{C}^n$. This locus will be denoted by $(T^*R)^{\theta-s}$. Note that the action of G on $(T^*R)^{\theta-s}$ is free. For $(A, B, i, j) \in \mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s} := \mu^{-1}(0) \cap (T^*R)^{\theta-s}$ we necessarily have $j = 0$ and hence $[A, B] = 0$.

By definition, H_n is the GIT Hamiltonian reduction of T^*R by the action of G with character θ . Equivalently, H_n is the GIT quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s}/G$. Below we will write \mathcal{O}_n for the structure sheaf of H_n . We have an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_n(1)$ on H_n given by $\varphi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s}} \otimes \det)^G$, where we write φ for the quotient morphism $\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s} \rightarrow H_n$.

We have a natural morphism of quotients $\rho_n : H_n \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$. The target variety is identified with V_n/S_n and the morphism is the Hilbert-Chow map that sends a codimension n ideal to its support with multiplicities. Also recall that H_n is a resolution of singularities of V_n/S_n . The morphism ρ_n is an isomorphism over the smooth locus $(V_n/S_n)^{reg}$. This locus coincides with V_n^0/S_n , where we write V_n^0 for the locus of n different points in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Example 2.1. It is easy to see that $H_2 = \text{Bl}_{\Delta}(V_2/S_2)$, the blow-up of the diagonal.

Also note that H_n comes with several additional structures. For examples, as a Hamiltonian reduction of a smooth symplectic variety by a free G -action, H_n carries a natural

symplectic form, ω . Since H_n is a symplectic resolution of a normal variety, by the Grauert-Riemenschneider theorem, we have the following claim.

Lemma 2.2. *We have $H^i(H_n, \mathcal{O}_n) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_n) = \mathbb{C}[V_n]^{S_n}$.*

The variety H_n also comes with an action of the two-dimensional torus T . It is induced from the T -action on T^*R given by $(t_1, t_2)(r, \alpha) = (t_1^{-1}r, t_2^{-1}\alpha)$ for $r \in R, \alpha \in R^*$. For the induced action on H_n we have $(t_1, t_2).\omega = t_1 t_2 \omega$. In particular, the action of $T_h := \{(t, t^{-1})\} \subset T$ preserves ω and, moreover, is Hamiltonian. The action of $T_c := \{(t, t)\} \subset T$ is contracting and rescales the symplectic form ω by t^2 . Below this action will be called *contracting*.

The T -fixed points correspond to the monomial ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and hence are labelled by the partitions of n : for a partition λ of n , we write x_λ for the corresponding fixed point.

Now let us recall how to compute the group $\text{Pic}(H_n)$ and the space $H^2(H_n, \mathbb{C})$. Pick the subtorus of the form $\{(t, t^N)\} \subset T$ for $N \gg 0$, it is contracting and has finitely many fixed points. The open cell for the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition corresponds to the fixed point $x_{(n)}$. And there is one codimension 1 cell corresponding to $x_{(n-1,1)}$. It follows that $\text{Pic}(H_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, in fact, this group is generated by the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_n(1)$ constructed above. And $H^2(H_n, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ is generated by $c_1(\mathcal{O}_n(1))$. Note that the closure of the codimension 1 cell coincides with $H_n \setminus \rho_n^{-1}(V_n^0/S_n)$.

Let us describe the structure of H_n over a neighborhood of a generic point in $(V_n/S_n)^{\text{sing}}$. The following lemma is classical.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $b \in V_n/S_n$ be an n -tuple with precisely one pair of repeated points and $(V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b}$ denote its formal neighborhood in V_n/S_n . Then $\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})$ is isomorphic to the preimage of $(V_2/S_2 \times V_{n-2})^{\wedge 0}$ in $\text{Bl}_\Delta(V_2/S_2) \times V_{n-2}$.*

To finish the section, let us discuss possible rings of definition. The scheme H_n is defined over \mathbb{Z} . For general reasons, the corresponding \mathbb{R} -form $H_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ is smooth and symplectic over a finite localization \mathbb{R} of \mathbb{Z} . Further it is a resolution of singularities of $V_n^{\mathbb{R}}/S_n$. We will also change the base from \mathbb{R} to $\mathbb{F} := \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for $p \gg 0$ and all results in the previous paragraphs of this section continue to hold.

2.2. Nested Hilbert scheme $H_{n,n-1}$. Recall the nested Hilbert scheme $H_{n,n-1}$ parameterizing pairs of ideals $J \subset J' \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that $\dim \mathbb{C}[x, y]/J = n, \dim \mathbb{C}[x, y]/J' = n-1$. We have the following fundamental result on $H_{n,n-1}$, [C, Section 0.2] (the theorem was actually proved earlier by A. Tikhomirov in an unpublished preprint).

Proposition 2.4. *The scheme $H_{n,n-1}$ is smooth and irreducible of dimension $2n$.*

Here and below to simplify the notation we will write $H_{n-1, \diamond}$ for $H_{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^2$. As was mentioned in the introduction, the variety $H_{n,n-1}$ comes with two morphisms: $\alpha_n : H_{n,n-1}$ that sends $(J \subset J')$ to J and $\beta_n : H_{n,n-1} \rightarrow H_{n-1, \diamond}$, which sends $(J \subset J')$ to the pair of J' and the support of J'/J .

Consider the morphism $\rho_{n,n-1} : H_{n,n-1} \rightarrow V_n/S_{n-1}$ given by $\rho_{n-1} \circ \beta_n$. Note that it is an isomorphism over V_n^0/S_{n-1} .

Lemma 2.5. *The morphism $\rho_{n,n-1}$ gives rise to an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[V_n]^{S_{n-1}} \cong \mathbb{C}[H_{n,n-1}]$. Moreover, the composition $\rho_n \circ \alpha_n : H_{n,n-1} \rightarrow V_n/S_n$ factors as $H_{n,n-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_{n,n-1}} V_n/S_{n-1} \rightarrow V_n/S_n$.*

Proof. The first claim holds because V_n/S_{n-1} is normal and the morphism $\rho_{n,n-1}$ is birational and projective. The second claim is straightforward. \square

Example 2.6. Let us consider the case of $n = 2$. Here $H_{1,\diamond} = V_2$ and $H_2 = \text{Bl}_\Delta(V_2/S_2)$. In fact, $H_{n,n-1} = \text{Bl}_\Delta(V_2)$. The morphism β_2 is the natural projection $\text{Bl}_\Delta(V_2) \rightarrow V_2$. The morphism α_2 is the quotient morphism for the action of S_2 on $\text{Bl}_\Delta(V_2)$ that is induced from S_2 acting on V_2 .

The morphism $\alpha_n \times \beta_n : H_{n,n-1} \hookrightarrow H_n \times H_{n-1,\diamond}$ is an embedding.

Lemma 2.7. *The subvariety $H_{n,n-1} \subset H_n \times H_{n-1,\diamond}$ is lagrangian, where we consider H_n with the opposite symplectic form.*

Proof. Note that V_n^0/S_{n-1} is lagrangian in $V_n^0/S_n \times V_n^0/S_{n-1}$. Recall that $\rho_{n,n-1}$ is an isomorphism over V_n^0/S_{n-1} . Since $H_{n,n-1}$ is irreducible, we see that it is lagrangian in $H_n \times H_{n-1,\diamond}$. \square

Thanks to Example 2.6, we have the following analog of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $b \in V_n/S_n$ be as in Lemma 2.3. Then $\alpha_n^{-1}(\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b}))$ is the disjoint union of the following schemes:*

- (i) $n - 2$ copies of the preimage of $(V_2/S_2 \times V_{n-2})^{\wedge 0}$ in $\text{Bl}_\Delta(V_2/S_2) \times V_{n-2}$, each mapping to $\rho^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge p})$ isomorphically,
- (ii) and one copy of the preimage of $V_n^{\wedge 0}$ in $\text{Bl}_\Delta(V_2) \times V_{n-2}$, which maps to $\rho^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge p})$ via the quotient map for the S_2 -action.

Now we compute $\text{Pic}(H_{n,n-1})$ and $H^2(H_{n,n-1}, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $n > 2$. Then the group $\text{Pic}(H_{n,n-1})$ is a free abelian group with basis $\alpha_n^* \mathcal{O}_n(1)$ and $\beta_n^* \mathcal{O}_{n-1,\diamond}(1)$. The space $H^2(H_{n,n-1}, \mathbb{C})$ is two-dimensional with basis*

$$c_1(\beta_n^* \mathcal{O}_{n-1,\diamond}(1)), c_1(\alpha_n^* \mathcal{O}_n(1)).$$

Proof. Consider the divisors D_1, D_2 in $H_{n,n-1}$ defined as follows: D_1 is the locus of $(J' \subset J)$ such that the support of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/J'$ has repeated points, while D_2 is the locus, where the support of J'/J is contained in that of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/J'$. Note that $D_1 \cap D_2$ has codimension 2, while $D_1 \cup D_2 = H_{n,n-1} \setminus \rho_{n,n-1}^{-1}(V_n^0/S_{n-1})$, the locus of $(J' \subset J)$ such that the support of J has repeated points. Both D_1, D_2 are irreducible.

Note that β_n is smooth at a generic point of D_1 , while from Lemma 2.8 it follows that α_n is smooth at the generic points of D_1, D_2 . Therefore $\beta_n^* \mathcal{O}_{H_{n-1,\diamond}}(1) = \mathcal{O}(D_1)$ and $\alpha_n^* \mathcal{O}_{H_n}(1) = \mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2)$. So what remains to prove is that $\text{Cl}(H_{n,n-1})$ is generated by D_1, D_2 . Then it is freely generated.

Consider the natural action of T on $H_{n,n-1}$. It contains a contracting torus and has finitely many fixed points (because the actions of T on H_{n-1} and H_n have these properties and we have $H_{n,n-1} \hookrightarrow H_n \times H_{n-1}$). The points in $H_{n,n-1}^T$ are labelled by Young diagrams with n boxes and fixed corner box (so that we get a diagram with $n - 1$ boxes by removing the fixed box). The T -action on the tangent spaces was computed in [C, Section 2]. In particular, take the one-parameter subgroup of T of the form $t \mapsto (t, t^N)$ for $N \gg 0$. From [C, Proposition 2.6.4] it follows that the open cell for the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition corresponds to the unique fixed point with diagram (n) and there are two codimension 1 cells corresponding to the two possible corner boxes of $(n - 1, 1)$. Similarly to the case of usual Hilbert schemes, the closures of these cells are D_1 and D_2 . So these divisors freely

generate $\mathrm{Cl}(H_{n,n-1})$ and the proof for the Picard group is finished. The proof for the second cohomology space follows. \square

Following Haiman, we will write

$$\mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}(k, l) := \beta_n^*(\mathcal{O}_{n-1, \diamond}(k)) \otimes \alpha_n^* \mathcal{O}_n(l).$$

The following result is due to Haiman, [H1, Proposition 3.6.4].

Lemma 2.10. *For $n > 2$, the canonical bundle $K_{H_{n,n-1}}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}(1, -1)$.*

To finish the section, let us note that Proposition 2.4, Example 2.6, Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, 2.8, 2.10, as well as the computation of the Picard group in Lemma 2.9 still hold if we replace \mathbb{C} with a sufficiently large finite localization \mathbb{R} of \mathbb{Z} . Hence they also hold over $\mathbb{F} := \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for $p \gg 0$.

2.3. Universal family U_n . The variety H_n parameterizes the codimension n ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. In particular, it comes with the sheaf of algebras whose fiber at $I \in H_n$ is $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/I$. We denote this sheaf of algebras by \mathcal{T}_n , this is a rank n vector bundle.

Let U_n denote the relative spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)$. By the construction, U_n is a closed subscheme of $H_n \times \mathbb{C}^2$. It admits a finite degree n morphism to H_n , to be denoted by τ_n so that $\mathcal{T}_n := \tau_{n*} \mathcal{O}_{U_n}$. We have $\mathcal{T}_n := \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)^G$, so, in particular, $\mathcal{O}_n(1) = \Lambda^n \mathcal{T}_n$.

Example 2.11. For $n = 2$, we have $U_2 = \mathrm{Bl}_{\Delta}(V_2)$ and τ_2 is the quotient morphism for the S_2 -action. In particular, $U_2 = H_{2,1}$.

We see that, in general, U_n is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme. Note also that $\tau_n^{-1}(V_n^0/S_n) = V_n^0/S_{n-1}$. In particular, U_n is generically reduced and since it is Cohen-Macaulay, we see that U_n is reduced.

Let us write V_n^1 for the locus of points in V_n with no more than one pair of repeated points.

Lemma 2.12. *The following claims are true:*

- (1) *We have $\alpha_n = \tau_n \circ \bar{\alpha}_n$ for a projective birational morphism $\bar{\alpha}_n : H_{n,n-1} \rightarrow U_n$.*
- (2) *$\bar{\alpha}_n$ is an isomorphism over V_n^1/S_n .*
- (3) *U_n is normal and $\alpha_n = \tau_n \circ \bar{\alpha}_n$ is the Stein factorization of α_n .*

Proof. To prove (1) we note that α_n naturally factors as $H_{n,n-1} \rightarrow H_n \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow H_n$, where the first morphism is projective. That morphism factors as $H_{n,n-1} \rightarrow U_n \hookrightarrow H_n \times \mathbb{C}^2$. We take this arrow for $\bar{\alpha}_n$. Over V_n^0/S_n , the morphism $\bar{\alpha}_n$ is the isomorphism $V_n^0/S_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_n^0/S_{n-1}$. This proves (1).

Let us prove (2). By Example 2.11, $\bar{\alpha}_2$ is an isomorphism. In the notation of Lemma 2.3, $\tau_n^{-1}(\rho_n^{-1}(V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})$ admits the same description as $\alpha_n^{-1}(\rho_n^{-1}(V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})$, whose description was given Lemma 2.8. This proves (2).

To prove (3), we notice that, by (2), U_n is smooth outside of codimension 2. Since U_n is Cohen-Macaulay, it is normal. Hence $\alpha_n = \tau_n \circ \bar{\alpha}_n$ is the Stein factorization. \square

Lemma 2.13. *We have $\mathbb{C}[U_n] = \mathbb{C}[V_n]^{S_{n-1}}$, which gives rise to the morphism $\bar{\rho}_n : U_n \rightarrow V_n/S_{n-1}$. The morphism $\rho_n \circ \tau_n : U_n \rightarrow V_n/S_n$ factors as $U_n \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}_n} V_n/S_{n-1} \rightarrow V_n/S_n$.*

Proof. By (3) of Lemma 2.12, $\mathbb{C}[U_n] = \mathbb{C}[H_{n,n-1}]$. Now the claims of this lemma follow from Lemma 2.5. \square

Now we are going to describe the restriction of \mathcal{T}_n to $\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})$, where we use the notation of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.14. *We have*

$$\mathcal{T}_n|_{\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})}^{\oplus n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})}(1).$$

Proof. Lemma 2.8 together with (2) of Lemma 2.12 gives a description of

$$\tau_n : \tau_n^{-1}(\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})) \rightarrow \rho^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b}).$$

In particular, each of the $n - 1$ components of $\tau_n^{-1}(\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b}))$ contributes one copy of $\mathcal{O}_{\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})}$ to the restriction of \mathcal{T}_n . There is one more summand: the sign invariant part of the push-forward from the component (ii) in Lemma 2.8. This is a line bundle that is forced to be $\mathcal{O}_{\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})}(1)$ because of the isomorphism $\Lambda^n \mathcal{T}_n \cong \mathcal{O}_n(1)$. \square

Similarly to the previous section, the results of this one hold over \mathbb{R} and hence over \mathbb{F} .

3. PRELIMINARIES ON QUANTIZATIONS AND PROCESI BUNDLES

3.1. Quantizations. Let us start with a general situation. Let (X, ω) be a smooth symplectic variety over \mathbb{C} . In particular, the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X carries a Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ induced by ω . A *formal quantization* \mathcal{D}_\hbar of X is a sheaf of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on X (in the Zariski topology) together with an isomorphism $\kappa : \mathcal{D}_\hbar/(\hbar) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$ such that

- (a) \mathcal{D}_\hbar is flat over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ (i.e., there are no nonzero local sections annihilated by \hbar) and complete and separated in the \hbar -adic topology (meaning that $\mathcal{D}_\hbar \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{D}_\hbar/(\hbar^n)$, where the inverse limit is taken in the category of sheaves).
- (b) $\kappa(\frac{1}{\hbar}[a, b]) = \{\kappa(a), \kappa(b)\}$ for any local sections a, b of \mathcal{D}_\hbar .

Assume now that X carries an action of \mathbb{C}^\times such that $t.\omega = t^d\omega$. By a *grading* on \mathcal{D}_\hbar we mean an action of \mathbb{C}^\times on \mathcal{D}_\hbar by sheaf of algebras automorphisms such that $t.\hbar = t^d\hbar$ and ϖ is \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant. By a *graded formal quantization* we mean a formal quantization together with a grading. From here we can define a sheaf of filtered algebras in the conical topology (where “open” means Zariski open \mathbb{C}^\times -stable). Namely, for such a subset U , we set $\mathcal{D}(U) := \mathcal{D}_\hbar(U)_{fin}/(\hbar - 1)$, where we write $\mathcal{D}_\hbar(U)_{fin}$ for the subalgebra of \mathbb{C}^\times -finite elements in $\mathcal{D}_\hbar(U)$. It is easy to see that the algebras $\mathcal{D}(U)$ form a sheaf in the conical topology to be denoted by \mathcal{D} . This sheaf comes with

- a complete and separated filtration (induced by the \hbar -adic filtration on \mathcal{D}_\hbar)
- and an isomorphism $\text{gr } \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$ of sheaves of graded Poisson algebras in the conical topology (induced by κ).

By a *microlocal filtered quantization* of \mathcal{O}_X we mean a sheaf \mathcal{D} of algebras in the conical topology on X with the two additional structures above. Then we can recover a graded formal quantization from \mathcal{D} by taking the \hbar -adically completed Rees sheaf.

We will need a classification result for the formal graded (equivalently, microlocal filtered) quantizations under certain cohomology vanishing assumptions that was obtained in [L1, Section 2.3] as a ramification of a classification result for all formal quantizations from [BK1]. Namely, in [BK1, Section 4] Bezrukavnikov and Kaledin introduced the noncommutative period map Per from the set of isomorphism classes of formal quantizations to $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})[[\hbar]]$. Further, it was shown in [L1, Section 2.3] that for graded formal quantizations, Per takes values in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, the subspace of elements of $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})[[\hbar]]$ independent of \hbar .

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then Per is an isomorphism between the set of isomorphism classes of graded formal quantizations and $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$.*

Note that if \mathcal{D} is a filtered microlocal quantization of X , then \mathcal{D}^{opp} is naturally a filtered microlocal quantization of X^{opp} , the same variety as X but with symplectic form $-\omega$. By [L1, Section 2.3], we have

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Per}(\mathcal{D}^{opp}) = -\text{Per}(\mathcal{D}).$$

A microlocal quantization $\mathcal{D}(= \mathcal{D}_n)$ of H_n that we need is constructed in the following way. We first consider the microlocalization D_R of the algebra $D(R)$ of differential operators to T^*R . The sheaf D_R is a microlocal filtered quantization of T^*R . Consider the quantum comoment map $\Phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D(R)$ given by $\xi \mapsto \xi_R$. Then we form the quotient $D_R/D_R\Phi(\mathfrak{g})$, it is scheme-theoretically supported on $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Recall that φ denotes the quotient map $\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s} \rightarrow H_n$. We set

$$\mathcal{D} := (\varphi_* ([D_R/D_R\Phi(\mathfrak{g})]_{(T^*R)^{\theta-s}}))^G.$$

This is a filtered microlocal quantization on H_n .

Let us now discuss the global sections of \mathcal{D} . Let us write \mathcal{A}_n for $\mathbf{W}(V_n)^{S_n}$.

Lemma 3.2. *We have $R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{A}_n$, i.e., $H^i(H_n, \mathcal{D}) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{A}_n$.*

Proof. The cohomology vanishing part follows from $H^i(H_n, \mathcal{O}_n) = 0$, see Lemma 2.2. By [L1, Lemma 4.2.4], $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ coincides with the global Hamiltonian reduction $[D(R)/D(R)\Phi(\mathfrak{g})]^G$. The latter is isomorphic to \mathcal{A}_n by results of [GG, Section 6]. \square

Lemma 3.1 applies to $X = H_n$. Recall, Section 2.1, that $H^2(H_n, \mathbb{C})$ is identified with \mathbb{C} . Consider the symmetrized quantum comoment map $\Phi^{sym} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D(R)$ given by $\Phi^{sym}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_R + \xi_{R^*})$. Note that $\xi_{R^*} = \xi_R + \text{tr}(\xi)$ so $\Phi(x) = \Phi^{sym}(\xi) - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\xi)$. By [L1, Section 5], we have

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Per}(\mathcal{D}) = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Now we proceed to discussing quantizations in positive characteristic.

We can do the same construction of quantum Hamiltonian reduction in characteristic p getting a microlocal filtered sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{F}}$ on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. In fact, this can be done over a sufficiently large finite localization \mathbb{R} of \mathbb{Z} : we get a microlocal sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{R}}$ on $H_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{R}}$ (we take the completed tensor product with respect to the topology defined by the filtration). As was checked in [L4, Lemma 4.4], $R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence $R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$.

We can also construct a version of a quantization that is a genuine coherent sheaf on the Frobenius twist $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ following [BFG, Section 4]. Namely, $D(R^{\mathbb{F}})$ is an Azumaya algebra on $T^*R^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$. Consider the restriction $D(R^{\mathbb{F}})|_{(\mu^{(1)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s}}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{F}}$ acts on this Azumaya algebra by $\mathcal{O}_{(\mu^{(1)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s}}$ -linear derivations. It was shown in [BFG, Section 4] that the sheaf of invariants $[D(R^{\mathbb{F}})|_{(\mu^{(1)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s}}]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is also an Azumaya algebra, it is $G^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ -equivariant. Now we can define the sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}}$ by

$$\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}} := (\varphi_*^{(1)} ([D(R^{\mathbb{F}})|_{(\mu^{(1)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-s}}]^{\mathfrak{g}}))^G.$$

This is a sheaf of Azumaya algebras on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ of rank p^{2n} . Its restriction to the conical topology comes with a filtration such that $\text{gr } \underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}} = \text{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$, where we write Fr for the Frobenius morphism $H_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$. So it is a Frobenius-constant quantization in the terminology of [BK2, Section 3.3]. We have $R\Gamma(\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ by [BFG, Theorem 4.1.4].

Let us explain how to pass from $\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}}$ to $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{F}}$. By the construction, we have a homomorphism $\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{F}}$ of sheaves of filtered algebras on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$. The associated graded homomorphism is the identity. In particular, it is strictly compatible with filtrations. So we see that $\text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is the completion of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}}$.

3.2. Bezrukavnikov-Kaledin construction of Procesi bundles. In [BK2, Section 6], Bezrukavnikov and Kaledin used the Azumaya algebra $\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}}$ on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ to construct a Procesi bundle. The Azumaya algebra $\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}}$ does not split. However, its restriction $\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ to $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge} := \rho_n^{-1}((V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n)^\wedge)$, where $(V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n)^\wedge$ denotes the formal neighborhood of 0 in $V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n$, does. This was proved in [BK2, Section 6.3] (see also [BL, Section 6.2] for a different proof). Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathbb{F}}$ denote a splitting bundle. Note that the endomorphism algebra of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is identified with $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ (the completion at $0 \in V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n$). And there are no higher self-extensions of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathbb{F}}$, thanks to the formal function theorem and $R^i\Gamma(\underline{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{F}}) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

The Azumaya algebra $\mathbb{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^\wedge$ admits a standard S_n -equivariant splitting module leading to a Morita equivalence $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge} \cong \tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$. Here and below we write $\tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ for the algebra $\mathbb{F}[V_n]\#S_n$. Also $\mathbb{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})\#S_n$ and $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ are Morita equivalent via the bimodule $\mathbb{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})$. It follows that the algebras $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ and $\tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ are Morita equivalent. Let us write $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ for the Morita equivalence $\tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ - $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ -bimodule, and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{o,\mathbb{F}}$ for the inverse bimodule. In particular, we have

$$\tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge} = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{o,\mathbb{F}}.$$

We set (basically following [L5, Section 4.3])

$$(3.3) \quad \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}} := \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathbb{F}}.$$

Since $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is projective as a right $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ -module, we see that $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ has no higher self-extensions and

$$\text{End}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}) = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \text{End}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathbb{F}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{o,\mathbb{F}} = \tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}.$$

Also, since $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ has no higher self-extensions, it admits a $T_c^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ -equivariant structure, see [V]. Since the $T_c^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ -action on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ is contracting, the completion functor is an equivalence

$$\text{Coh}^{T_c^{\mathbb{F}(1)}}(H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}^{T_c^{\mathbb{F}(1)}}(H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ be the $T_c^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ -equivariant sheaf on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ corresponding to $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$. Note that as a sheaf $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is independent of the choice of an equivariant structure on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ has no higher self-extensions, and we have $\text{End}(\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}) \cong \tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$. We can twist $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ with a line bundle and achieve that $(\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{S_n} = \mathcal{O}_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$.

Let us also point out that, since $H_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is defined over \mathbb{F}_p , we have $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \cong H_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. So we can view $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ as a vector bundle over $H_n^{\mathbb{F}}$.

Then one can lift $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ to characteristic 0 as described in [BK2, Sections 2.3, 6.4] getting a Procesi bundle \mathcal{P}_n on H_n .

3.3. Procesi bundles, axiomatically. By a Procesi bundle on H_n we mean a T_c -equivariant vector bundle \mathcal{P} together with a graded $\mathbb{C}[V_n]^{S_n}$ -algebra isomorphism $\text{End}(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{A}_n^{opp}$ satisfying

- (i) $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$,

By an isomorphism of two Procesi bundles $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ we mean a \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant isomorphism $\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}'$ such that the corresponding isomorphism $\tilde{A}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{A}_n$ is inner (this algebra has a graded outer automorphism given by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha, \sigma \mapsto (-1)^\sigma \sigma$ for $\alpha \in V_n^*, \sigma \in S_n$). Thanks to the isomorphism $\text{End}(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{A}_n^{\text{opp}}$, every fiber of \mathcal{P} is the regular representation of S_n . It follows that \mathcal{P}^{S_n} (as well as the sign component \mathcal{P}^{sgn}) is a line bundle.

By a *normalized* Procesi bundle we mean a Procesi bundle satisfying the additional condition:

- (ii) $\mathcal{P}^{S_n} \cong \mathcal{O}_n$ (an isomorphism of T_c -equivariant vector bundles).

We can twist every Procesi bundle with a line bundle (and take the induced isomorphism $\text{End}(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{A}_n$) to achieve (ii).

In particular, the Bezrukavnikov-Kaledin bundle \mathcal{P}_n recalled in Section 3.2, is a normalized Procesi bundle.

The following proposition is a special case of [L2, Theorems 1.1,1.2]. Note that we have an anti-involution of \tilde{A}_n defined by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha, \sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$. So the dual of a (normalized) Procesi bundle is also a (normalized) Procesi bundle.

Proposition 3.3. *The following statements hold.*

- (1) *There are exactly two distinct normalized Procesi bundle on H_n .*
- (2) *They are dual to each other.*
- (3) *For one of these Procesi bundles we have $\mathcal{P}^{S_{n-1}} \cong \mathcal{T}_n$.*

The following lemma can be proved similarly to (1),(3) of Proposition 3.3, but we will deduce it from this proposition and another result of [L2].

Lemma 3.4. *If $\mathcal{P}^{S_{n-1}} \cong \mathcal{T}_n$, then $\mathcal{P}^{\text{sgn}} \cong \mathcal{O}_n(1)$.*

Proof. Let $b \in V_n/S_n$ be as in Lemma 2.3. By [L2, Proposition 4.1], the restriction of \mathcal{P} to $\rho_n^{-1}((V_n/S_n)^{\wedge b})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $n!/2$ copies of a Procesi bundle on $\rho_2^{-1}((V_2/S_2)^{\wedge 0})$. The latter is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_2^\wedge \oplus \mathcal{O}_2^\wedge(1)$ or $\mathcal{O}_2^\wedge \oplus \mathcal{O}_2^\wedge(-1)$. Since $\mathcal{P}^{S_{n-1}} \cong \mathcal{T}_n$, Lemma 2.14 implies that the restriction is $(\mathcal{O}_2^\wedge \oplus \mathcal{O}_2^\wedge(1))^{\oplus n!/2}$. But the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_n(k)$ is $\mathcal{O}_2^\wedge(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}^{\text{sgn}} \cong \mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Let us show that the former is impossible. Indeed, under the derived equivalence $R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{H_n}}(\mathcal{P}, \bullet)$, the bundles $\mathcal{P}^{S_n}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{sgn}}$ both map to $\mathbb{C}[V]$ but in the first case the action of S_n is the natural one, and in the second case it is twisted with the sign. It is easy to see that these two \tilde{A}_n -modules are not isomorphic, which implies $\mathcal{P}^{\text{sgn}} \not\cong \mathcal{P}^{S_n}$. So $\mathcal{P}^{\text{sgn}} \cong \mathcal{O}_n(1)$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let \mathcal{P} be a Procesi bundle satisfying $\mathcal{P}^{S_{n-1}} \cong \mathcal{T}_n$. Then $\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{P}^*(1)$, a $\mathbb{C}[V_n]$ -linear isomorphism of vector bundles on H_n .*

Proof. Twist the action of \tilde{A}_n on \mathcal{P}^* with the outer automorphism mentioned above. Then $\mathcal{P}^*(1)$ becomes normalized and also the sign components in $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^*(1)$ are isomorphic. A $\mathbb{C}[V_n]$ -linear isomorphism $\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{P}^*(1)$ now follows from Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. \square

Remark 3.6. We can define the notion of an abstract Procesi bundle over $\mathbb{F}(= \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ for $p \gg 0$. The arguments of the proofs of [L2, Theorem 1.1,1.2] carry over to this case without any significant modifications. Since \mathcal{P}^{sgn} is obtained by lifting its characteristic p counterpart to characteristic 0, Lemma 3.4 continues to hold over \mathbb{F} . So does Corollary 3.5.

3.4. Quantization of lagrangian subvarieties. In this section we will explain results from [BGKP] on quantizations of the structure sheaf of a smooth lagrangian subvariety Y in a smooth symplectic variety X over \mathbb{C} . Let \mathcal{D}_\hbar be a formal quantization of \mathcal{O}_X . We want to know when there is a coherent sheaf \mathcal{M}_\hbar of \mathcal{D}_\hbar -modules that is flat over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and comes with an identification $\mathcal{M}_\hbar/\hbar\mathcal{M}_\hbar \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Then we call \mathcal{M}_\hbar a formal quantization of Y .

Let ι denote the inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow X$ so that we have the pull-back map $\iota^* : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$. Now assume that $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Recall that we have the period $\text{Per}(\mathcal{D}_\hbar) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})[[\hbar]]$. The following is a special case of [BGKP, Theorem 1.1.4].

Proposition 3.7. *The \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{O}_Y admits a formal quantization \mathcal{M}_\hbar if and only if*

$$\iota^*(\text{Per}(\mathcal{D}_\hbar)) = -\frac{1}{2}c_1(K_Y).$$

Note that this is true over any characteristic 0 field, which is already the generality of [BGKP].

We will be interested in microlocal filtered quantizations of \mathcal{O}_Y . Let \mathcal{D} be a microlocal filtered quantization of X . Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{D} -modules. By a *good filtration* on \mathcal{M} we mean a \mathcal{D} -module filtration $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_{\leq i}$ by sheaves of vector spaces subject to the following two conditions:

- The filtration is complete and separated.
- The associated graded \mathcal{O}_X -module $\text{gr } \mathcal{M}$ is coherent.

For example, if \mathcal{M}_\hbar is a coherent \mathcal{D}_\hbar -module that comes with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action compatible with that on \mathcal{D}_\hbar , then we can produce a coherent \mathcal{D} -module $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}/(\hbar - 1)\mathcal{M}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}$. This module comes with a natural filtration, which is good.

A good filtration is far from being unique. However, any two good filtrations $(\mathcal{M}_{\leq i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{\leq i'})_{i' \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of \mathcal{M} are compatible in the following sense.

Lemma 3.8. *There are integers d_1, d_2 such that $\mathcal{M}_{\leq i-d_1} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\leq i} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\leq i+d_2}$ for all i .*

Proof. This can be checked locally, where we deal with good filtrations on modules over algebras. In this case, the claim is classical. \square

We have the following corollary of Proposition 3.7.

Corollary 3.9. *We assume that X comes with a $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -action, where a torus T preserves ω , and \mathbb{C}^\times rescales ω as before. Let \mathcal{D} be a microlocal filtered quantization of X with an action of a torus T by filtered algebra automorphisms. Assume that Y is T -stable and there is a formal quantization of Y . Then there is a filtered quantization of \mathcal{O}_Y to a coherent \mathcal{D} -module \mathcal{M} that carries an action of T that lifts the T -action on \mathcal{O}_Y and is compatible with the action of T on \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. Similarly to [L1, Section 2.3], one shows that the existence of a $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on \mathcal{M}_\hbar with required properties is equivalent to the claim that the isomorphism class of the formal quantization \mathcal{M}_\hbar is fixed by $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\text{Quant}(Y, \mathcal{D}_\hbar)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of formal quantizations of \mathcal{O}_Y .

According to (2) of [BGKP, Theorem 1.1.4], $\text{Quant}(Y, \mathcal{D}_\hbar)$ is a torsor over the group \mathfrak{F} of $\mathcal{O}_Y[[\hbar]]^\times$ -torsors with a flat connection that are isomorphic to the principal \mathbb{G}_m -bundle associated to \mathcal{O}_Y modulo \hbar . Note that \mathfrak{F} is a vector space that is realized as $\varprojlim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{F}_k$,

where \mathfrak{F}_k is the similarly defined space of flat $(\mathcal{O}_Y[[\hbar]]/(\hbar^k))^\times$ -torsors. Each of the spaces \mathfrak{F}_k comes with a rational action of $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Moreover, for any element $\delta \in \text{Quant}(Y, \mathcal{D}_\hbar)$, the map $t \mapsto \phi_k(t) : T \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_k$ defined by $\phi_k(t)\delta = t.\delta$ is algebraic. It follows that the cocycle $t \mapsto \phi(t)$ is actually a coboundary. Equivalently, there is a $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -fixed point in $\text{Quant}(Y, \mathcal{D}_\hbar)$. \square

4. QUANTIZATION OF THE NESTED HILBERT SCHEME

Recall that we write $H_{n-1, \diamond}$ for $H_{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^2$. In Section 3.1 we have constructed the filtered microlocal quantizations of H_n, H_{n-1} to be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$, both have period $-\frac{1}{2}$. We also write $\mathcal{D}_{n-1, \diamond}$ for $\mathcal{D}_{n-1} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{W}(V_2)$, this is a filtered microlocal quantization of $H_{n-1, \diamond}$.

In this section we study a quantization of the \mathcal{O}_n - $\mathcal{O}_{n-1, \diamond}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{O}_{n, n-1}$ to a filtered coherent $\mathcal{D}_{n-1, \diamond}$ - \mathcal{D}_n -bimodule to be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1}$.

In Section 4.1, we consider the quantizations over \mathbb{C} and over \mathbb{Q} using results recalled in Section 3.4. We will see that the filtered $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n-1, \diamond})$ - $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_n)$ -bimodule of global sections is equal to $\mathcal{A}_{n-1, \diamond}$ and also show how to recover $\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1}$ from its global sections.

In Section 4.2, we will produce a form of $\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1}$ over a finite localization \mathbb{R} of \mathbb{Z} and show that it is still a microlocal filtered quantization of $\mathcal{O}_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{R}}$.

In Section 4.3, we reduce the \mathbb{R} -form from Section 4.2 mod p for $p \gg 0$. We pass from the resulting microlocal quantization $\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ to a ‘‘Frobenius constant’’ quantization $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ that turns out to be a splitting bundle for the Azumaya algebra $(\underline{\mathcal{D}}_n^{\mathbb{F}, \text{opp}} \otimes \underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1, \diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}) |_{H_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}}$.

4.1. Quantization in characteristic 0. Let the base field be \mathbb{C} . From (3.1) and $\text{Per}(\mathcal{D}_{n-1}) = -\frac{1}{2}$, we get $\text{Per}(\mathcal{D}_{n-1}^{\diamond, \text{opp}}) = \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 2.10, for $n > 2$, we have

$$\iota^*(\text{Per}(\mathcal{D}_n^{\text{opp}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_{n-1, \diamond})) = -\frac{1}{2}c_1(K_Y),$$

where we write ι for the inclusion $H_{n, n-1} \hookrightarrow H_n \times H_{n-1, \diamond}$. It is lagrangian by Lemma 2.7.

The following lemma establishes the remaining assumption from Section 3.4.

Lemma 4.1. *We have $H^i(H_{n, n-1}, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $\mathbb{C}[H_{n, n-1}] = \mathbb{C}[V_n]^{S_{n-1}}$.*

Proof. Recall the morphism $\rho_{n, n-1} : H_{n, n-1} \rightarrow V_n/S_{n-1}$, see Lemma 2.5. It is a birational projective morphism. The variety V_n/S_{n-1} has rational singularities. It follows that $\rho_{n, n-1*} \mathcal{O}_{n, n-1} = \mathcal{O}_{V_n/S_{n-1}}$. \square

Now using Corollary 3.9 we see that there is a filtered coherent $\mathcal{D}_n^{\text{opp}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_{n-1, \diamond}$ -module $\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1}$ with a good filtration satisfying $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{n, n-1} = \mathcal{O}_{n, n-1}$. We can also assume that the T_\hbar -action lifts from $\mathcal{O}_{n, n-1}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1}$. Thanks to Lemma 4.1, we have a T_\hbar -equivariant filtered isomorphism $\text{gr } \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1}) = \mathbb{C}[V_n]^{S_{n-1}}$.

Proposition 4.2. *We have an isomorphism $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1}) \cong \mathcal{A}_{n-1, \diamond}$ of filtered $\mathcal{A}_{n-1, \diamond}$ - \mathcal{A}_n -bimodules.*

Proof. Note that \mathcal{A}_n embeds into $\mathcal{A}_{n-1, \diamond}$ so we can view $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1})$ as an \mathcal{A}_n -bimodule. The filtration 0 component of $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1})$ is one-dimensional thanks to the isomorphism $\text{gr } \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1}) \cong \mathbb{C}[V_n]^{S_{n-1}}$. We start by proving that this component is centralized by \mathcal{A}_n .

Let a denote a nonzero element in the filtration component of degree 0 in $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n, n-1})$. This element is T_\hbar -invariant. The algebra \mathcal{A}_n is generated by its subalgebras $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]^{S_n}, \mathbb{C}[\underline{y}]^{S_n}$, see, e.g., [W], so it is enough to show that a commutes with $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]^{S_n}$ and $\mathbb{C}[\underline{y}]^{S_n}$. We will do

this for the first subalgebra, the second is analogous. Let $F \in \mathbb{C}[x]^{S_n}$ be a homogeneous element of degree m . Then it lies in the filtration degree m component of \mathcal{A}_n and also in the degree m component for the grading induced by the T_h -action. It follows that $[F, a]$ lies in the filtration component $m - 2$ and in the grading component m . However, since $\text{gr } \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}) = \mathbb{C}[V_n]^{S_{n-1}}$, we see that the intersection of that filtration component and that grading component is zero. So $[F, a] = 0$.

Consider the map $\zeta : \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}), b \mapsto ab$. The associated graded morphism of ζ is an isomorphism of graded modules, so ζ is an isomorphism strictly compatible with the filtrations. Since a commutes with \mathcal{A}_n , we see that ι is an isomorphism of bimodules. \square

Now let us recover $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}$ (without the filtration) from the bimodule $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}$.

Proposition 4.3. *We have the following isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}$ - \mathcal{D}_n -bimodules*

$$(4.1) \quad (\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_n^{opp}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond} \otimes \mathcal{A}_n^{opp})} \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}.$$

Proof. We claim that the functors Γ and

$$\text{Loc}(\bullet) := (\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_n^{opp}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond} \otimes \mathcal{A}_n^{opp})} (\bullet)$$

are mutually inverse equivalences between the category of coherent $\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_n^{opp}$ -modules and the category of finitely generated $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond} \otimes \mathcal{A}_n^{opp}$ -modules. This claim is usually called an abelian localization theorem. This and Proposition 4.2 imply (4.3).

Our claim that Γ, Loc are mutually inverse equivalences is pretty classical and can be proved in several different ways. For example, it is a special case of the main result [GS] (which is based upon Haiman's work so we cannot use that) or of [L3] (which is independent from Haiman's work). Alternatively, it is a direct corollary of the main results of [MN1] and [MN2]. Namely, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond} \otimes \mathcal{A}_n^{opp}$ has finite homological dimension, so $R\Gamma$ and $L\text{Loc}$ are quasi-inverse derived equivalences by [MN1, Theorem 1.1]. By [MN2, Corollary 1.3, Section 8], the functor Γ is exact, so is an equivalence of abelian categories. Yet alternatively, this follows from results of [BEG] (that rational Cherednik algebras with integral parameters are simple) and the general results on the abelian localization theorem from [BPW, Section 5.3] and [BL, Section 4.2]. \square

Now recall, Section 3.4, that $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} , let us denote the corresponding \mathbb{Q} -form by $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}$. The proof of Proposition 4.2 shows that $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{Q}}$, an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ - $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{Q}}$ -bimodules. Proposition 4.3 also holds over \mathbb{Q} because (4.1) is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Remark 4.4. As we have seen, the varieties $H_n, H_{n-1,\diamond}$ as well as the quantizations $\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}$ can be constructed via (quantum) Hamiltonian reductions. The variety $H_{n,n-1}$ also has a ‘‘Hamiltonian reduction’’ description. It would be interesting to find such a description for $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}$.

4.2. R-form. As was mentioned in Section 2.1, the schemes H_n, H_{n-1} are defined over a finite localization \mathbb{R} of \mathbb{Z} . We assume that $n!$ is invertible in \mathbb{R} so taking the S_n -invariants behaves in the usual way. The same is true for $H_{n,n-1}$. Further localizing finitely many elements in \mathbb{R} , we can assume that the \mathbb{R} -schemes $H_n^{\mathbb{R}}, H_{n-1}^{\mathbb{R}}, H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are regular and $R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_i^{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbb{R}[V_i]^{S_i}$ for $i = n, n-1$, $R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbb{R}[V_n]^{S_{n-1}}$.

As was mentioned in Section 3.1, we can further assume that the microlocal filtered quantizations $\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ are defined over \mathbb{R} and that the corresponding \mathbb{R} -forms $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{D}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are

filtered microlocal quantizations of $\mathcal{O}_n^R, \mathcal{O}_{n-1}^R$. By an R-form (in the case of \mathcal{D}_n , for example), we mean a subsheaf $\mathcal{D}_n^R \subset \mathcal{D}_n^Q$ of R-algebras such that the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_n^R \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_n^Q$ gives an isomorphism of filtered sheaves of algebras $\mathbb{Q} \widehat{\otimes}_R \mathcal{D}_n^R \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_n^Q$.

Our goal in this section is to prove the following technical result.

Lemma 4.5. *After replacing R with a finite localization, there is an R-form $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^R$ of $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^Q$ such that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^R$ is a filtered $\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^R$ - \mathcal{D}_n^R -bimodule with respect to the filtration restricted from $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^Q$,
- (2) the filtration on $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^R$ is good,
- (3) and we have an isomorphism $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^R \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}^R$ of graded sheaves (in the conical topology) of bimodules.
- (4) $R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^R) = \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^R$, the equality of subbimodules of $R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^Q) = \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^Q$.

Proof. Consider the $\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^R$ - \mathcal{D}_n^R -bimodule

$$\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1} := (\mathcal{D}_n^R, \text{opp} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^R) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^R, \text{opp} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^R} \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^R.$$

The bimodule $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1}$ admits a natural homomorphism of sheaves of bimodules, say ι , to $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^Q$ and comes with the tensor product filtration, which is good. It follows from Proposition 4.3 that ι induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q} \widehat{\otimes}_R \mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^Q$ of sheaves of bimodules. Consider $\ker \iota \subset \mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1}$. We see that $\text{gr } \ker \iota$ is a coherent sheaf on $H_n^R \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^R$ that is R-torsion. So after replacing R with a finite localization, ι becomes injective. Hence $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1}$ is an R-form of $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^Q$.

Note that $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1}$ also satisfies (1). However, it does not need to satisfy (3): even the filtration on $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1}$ induced from $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1}$ does not need to coincide with the initial filtration on $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^Q$. But both filtrations on $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1}$ are good. We will show that after replacing R with a finite localization there is a good filtration on $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1}$ satisfying (3). For this, consider the completed Rees sheaf $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1,\hbar}$. Then the completed Rees sheaf $\mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1,\hbar}$ is obtained as $\mathbb{Q} \widehat{\otimes}_R \mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1,\hbar}$.

Recall, Lemma 3.8, that the good filtration on $\mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1}$ is squeezed between appropriate shifts of the good filtration of $\mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1}$. So $\mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1,\hbar}$ embeds into $\mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1,\hbar}$. The image contains $\hbar^N \mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1,\hbar}$ for a sufficiently large integer N and (after shifting the filtrations) we can assume that the embedding is T_c -equivariant. Note that $\mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1,\hbar} / \hbar^N \mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1,\hbar} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_R (\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1,\hbar} / \hbar^N \mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1,\hbar})$ (where we no longer need to complete the tensor product). After replacing R with a finite localization, the subbimodule $\mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1,\hbar} / \hbar^N \mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1,\hbar}$ becomes defined over R and so gives rise to a T_c -stable subbimodule $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1,\hbar} \subset \mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1,\hbar}$ containing $\hbar^N \mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1,\hbar}$. From $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1,\hbar}$ we produce a filtered coherent $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n-1,\diamond}$ - \mathcal{D}_n^R -bimodule $\mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1}$ with $\mathbb{Q} \widehat{\otimes}_R \mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}'^Q_{n,n-1}$. Hence $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_R \text{gr } \mathcal{D}'^R_{n,n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}^Q$. After replacing R with a finite localization again, we achieve that (3) holds. Similarly, we achieve that (4) holds. \square

4.3. Quantization in characteristic p . Set $\mathbb{F} := \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, where \mathbb{F}_p is a quotient of R (so that p is very large). Set $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} := \mathbb{F} \widehat{\otimes}_R \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^R$. This is a microlocal filtered $\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ - $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ -bimodule.

Lemma 4.6. *The bimodule $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is a microlocal filtered quantization of $\mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$. Further, we have $R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$, an isomorphism of filtered bimodules.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.5 because $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is flat over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$, while $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is flat over \mathbb{R} . \square

Now we are going to produce a coherent sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$. Let Fr denote the Frobenius morphism for $H_n \times H_{n-1,\diamond}$. Namely, consider the completed Rees sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}}$, this is a coherent $\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}}\text{-}\mathcal{D}_{n,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}}$ -bimodule (and a sheaf in the Zariski topology on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$). Note that $\text{Fr}_* \left(\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}[[\hbar]]} \mathcal{D}_{n,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F},opp} \right)$ is a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}}[[\hbar]]$ -modules. It follows that $\text{Fr}_*(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}})$ is also a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}}[[\hbar]]$ -modules.

Now note that, by the construction, $\text{Fr}_*(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}})$ is $T_c^{\mathbb{F}}$ -equivariant. Since the action of $T_c^{\mathbb{F}}$ -contracting, the functor of \hbar -adic completion is a category equivalence

$$\text{Coh}^{T_c}(H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times \mathbb{A}^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}^{T_c}(\mathcal{O}_{H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}}[[\hbar]]).$$

Let $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}}$ denote the $T_c^{\mathbb{F}}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ corresponding to $\text{Fr}_*(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}})$. Set $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} := \underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}}/(\hbar - 1)$. This is a coherent sheaf on $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$. Note that its restriction to the conical topology admits a natural embedding into $\text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$.

Let us record some basic properties of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}}$.

Lemma 4.7. *The following claims are true:*

- (1) *The sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is flat over \mathbb{A}^1 and the specialization to $\hbar = 0$ equals $\text{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$.*
- (2) *The sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ carries a natural structure of a $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}\text{-}\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ -bimodule.*
- (3) *We have $R\Gamma(\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}) \cong \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$, an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}\text{-}\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ -bimodules.*

Proof. (1) follows directly from the constructions of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1,\hbar}^{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$. To prove (2), notice that the construction that produces $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ from $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ also applies to $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ and produces $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}, \underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$. (3) follows from the construction of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ and the analogous properties of $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ in Lemma 4.6. \square

It turns out that $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is a ‘‘Frobenius constant’’ quantization of $\mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ in the sense of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *The action of $\mathcal{O}_{H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}}$ on $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$.*

Proof. Since $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ embeds into $\text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$, it is enough to prove our claim for the latter sheaf.

Let ι denote the inclusion $V^{0\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n \times V^{0\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_{n-1} \hookrightarrow H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$. Note that the pull-back $\iota^* \text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ coincides with the microlocalization of the bimodule $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ to $V_n^{0\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n \times V_{n-1}^{0\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_{n-1}$ (that can also be viewed as an open subset of $V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n \times V_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_{n-1}$). It is easy to see that the $\mathbb{F}[V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}]^{S_{n-1}}\text{-}\mathbb{F}[V_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}]^{S_n}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is scheme theoretically supported on the diagonal $V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_{n-1} \hookrightarrow V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n \times V_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_{n-1}$. Hence $\iota^* \text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is scheme theoretically supported on $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \cap (V_n^{0\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n \times V_{n-1}^{0\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_{n-1})$.

Now note that $\text{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_{H_n^{\mathbb{F}}, H_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}} \subset \iota_* \iota^* \text{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_{H_n^{\mathbb{F}}, H_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}}$. Since $\text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is a microlocal filtered quantization of $\text{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_{H_n^{\mathbb{F}}, H_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}}$, it follows that

$$\text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \subset \iota_* \iota^* \text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}.$$

Since $\iota^* \text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is scheme theoretically supported on $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \cap (V_n^{0\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_n \times V_n^{0\mathbb{F}(1)}/S_{n-1})$, we deduce that $\text{Fr}_* \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is scheme theoretically supported on $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$, which is what we need to prove. \square

Here is the final property of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ we need.

Lemma 4.9. *The sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ on $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ is a splitting bundle for the Azumaya algebra*

$$(4.2) \quad (\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes \underline{\mathcal{D}}_n^{\mathbb{F},opp}) |_{H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}}.$$

Proof. As was mentioned in Section 3.1, the rank of the Azumaya algebra $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is equal to p^{2n} . Similarly, the rank of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is p^{2n} . By (2) of Lemma 4.7, $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is a module over (4.2). So the rank of every fiber of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is $\geq p^{2n}$. What we need to prove is that the rank of every fiber is p^{2n} . It is enough to prove the analogous claim for $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1,h}^{\mathbb{F}}$ viewed as a coherent sheaf on $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Recall that this sheaf is $T_c^{\mathbb{F}}$ -equivariant and that the action is contracting. By (1) of Lemma 4.7, the rank of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{n,n-1,h}^{\mathbb{F}}$ on $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times \{0\}$ is p^{2n} . By the semi-continuity of rank, the rank at any other point of $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is $\leq p^{2n}$. This completes the proof. \square

5. PROOF OF (1.4) IN CHARACTERISTIC $p \gg 0$

The goal of this section is to establish an $\tilde{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ -linear isomorphism $\alpha_{n*} \beta_n^* \mathcal{P}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. The proof is in two steps. First, we use results of Section 4.3 together with the construction of the Procesi bundles from [BK2] recalled in Section 3.2 to prove a weaker version of (1.4), where we twist by some line bundles. Then we prove that no nontrivial twists can occur.

5.1. Weaker version of (1.4). Our goal in this section is to prove the following statement. We use the notation from Section 3.2.

Proposition 5.1. *There are integers k, ℓ such that there exists an $\tilde{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ -linear isomorphism*

$$(5.1) \quad \alpha_{n*} \beta_n^* \mathcal{P}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}(k) \cong \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell).$$

Proof. Step 1. First of all, we can replace H_n, V_n etc. with their Frobenius twists. We claim that it is also enough to prove the completed version of (5.1), where we replace $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ with $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge} := \rho^{-1}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}/S_n)$, etc.. So suppose we know $\alpha_{n*} \beta_n^* \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}(k) \cong \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell)$ and we want to deduce (5.1).

Recall from Section 3.2 that the bundle $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is rigid and admits a $T_c^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ -equivariant structure. As was mentioned in Section 3.2, each equivariant structure gives rise to an extension of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ to $H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ but the extension as a vector bundle is independent of the choice of an equivariant structure. Now take a $T_c^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$ -equivariant structure on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$. It gives rise to an equivariant structure on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}(k)$ and, since α_n, β_n are equivariant, also on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell)$. The latter comes from the isomorphism $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell) \cong \alpha_{n*} \circ \beta_n^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}(k))$. This equivariant structure on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell)$ will give rise to (5.1).

Step 2. Consider the following diagram.

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F},opp})) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n^{(1)*} \left(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F},opp}} \beta_n^{(1)*}(\bullet) \right)} & D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{F},opp})) \\ \downarrow R\Gamma & & \downarrow R\Gamma \\ D^b(\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F},opp}\text{-mod}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} & D^b(\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F},opp}\text{-mod}) \end{array}$$

Here Res is the restriction functor for the inclusion $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that the vertical arrows are equivalences by [BK2, Proposition 2.2]. Also note that the diagram is commutative. Indeed, the composition

$$D^b(\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F},opp}\text{-mod}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F},opp})) \rightarrow D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{F},opp})) \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F},opp}\text{-mod})$$

is the derived tensor product with $R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}})$. By (3) of Lemma 4.7, the latter object is $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$. The commutativity follows.

(5.2) remains commutative after we restrict it to $V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$. So we get the following commutative diagram.

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge,opp})) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge,opp})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^b(\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge,opp}\text{-mod}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D^b(\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge,opp}\text{-mod}) \end{array}$$

Step 3. Consider the vector bundle $\mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ on $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$. By Lemma 4.9, it is a splitting bundle for the Azumaya algebra $\alpha_n^{(1)*} \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge,opp} \otimes \beta_n^{(1)*} \mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$. But both Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge,opp}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ split with splitting bundles $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_n^{\mathbb{F}*}$, $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$, respectively. Since a splitting bundle is defined uniquely up to a twist with a line bundle, there is a line bundle \mathcal{L} on $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$ such that we have the following isomorphism:

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge} \cong \alpha_n^{(1)*} \left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_n^{\mathbb{F}*} \right) \otimes \beta_n^{(1)*} \left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}} \right) \otimes \mathcal{L}.$$

We claim that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(-k, \ell)^\wedge$ for some $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, the higher cohomology of $\mathcal{O}_{H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}}$ vanishes because the same holds for $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$. So every line bundle on $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$ is rigid. Therefore it extends to $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}(1)}$. Our claim that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(-k, \ell)^\wedge$ follows from Lemma 2.9. So we see that

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge} \cong \alpha_n^{(1)*} \left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_n(k)^{\mathbb{F}*} \right) \otimes \beta_n^{(1)*} \left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1,\diamond}(\ell)^{\mathbb{F}} \right).$$

Step 4. The following commutative diagram is a consequence of (5.5) and commutative diagram (5.3):

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge})) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n*} \circ \beta_n^*} & D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(H_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge})) \\ \downarrow R\mathrm{Hom}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}(k), \bullet) & & \downarrow R\mathrm{Hom}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell), \bullet) \\ D^b(\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge, opp} \text{-mod}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D^b(\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge, opp} \text{-mod}) \end{array}$$

Step 5. Let $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{o,\mathbb{F}}$ have the same meaning as in Section and let $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{o,\mathbb{F}}$ be defined similarly. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{o,\mathbb{F}} &= \tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{o,\mathbb{F}} = \tilde{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}} &= \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}} = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \mathcal{D}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{o,\mathbb{F}} &\cong \alpha_n^{(1)*}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n(k)^{\mathbb{F}})^* \otimes \beta_n^{(1)*}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1,\diamond}(\ell)^{\mathbb{F}}). \end{aligned}$$

The first two lines are from Section 3.2, while the third line follows from the first two and (5.5).

We claim that we have the following isomorphism of $\tilde{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge} \text{-}\tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$ -bimodules

$$(5.7) \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n^{o,\mathbb{F}} \cong \tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}.$$

Indeed, recall from Section 3.2 that the Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ and $\tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$ is composed of two Morita equivalences:

- (a) $\mathbf{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \bullet : \mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge} \text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge} \text{-mod}^{S_n}$,
- (b) the Morita equivalence $\mathbf{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge} \text{-mod}^{S_n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}[V_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)}]^{\wedge} \text{-mod}^{S_n}$ given by the standard splitting module $\mathbb{F}[\underline{x}^p][[\underline{y}]]$ for $\mathbf{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge}$, which is S_n -equivariant.

The Morita equivalence for $n-1$ is defined similarly, where in (b) we use the same standard splitting module. So applying the equivalences in (a) on the left and on the right to $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ we get

$$\mathbf{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \mathbf{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge} \cong \mathbf{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}} \mathbf{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge} \cong \mathbf{W}(V_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge} \# S_n$$

And then applying the equivalences in (b), we get $\tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$. This proves (5.7).

Step 6. Applying the Morita equivalences from Step 5 to (5.6)

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(H_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge})) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n*} \circ \beta_n^*} & D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge})) \\ \downarrow R\mathrm{Hom}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}(k), \bullet) & & \downarrow R\mathrm{Hom}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell), \bullet) \\ D^b(\tilde{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge} \text{-mod}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ind}} & D^b(\tilde{A}_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge} \text{-mod}) \end{array}$$

As in Step 2, the vertical arrows are equivalences. Computing the image of $\tilde{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$ in $D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(H_n^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}))$ using diagram (5.8) in two different ways we arrive at $\alpha_{n*} \beta_n^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell)) \cong \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(k)$, an $\tilde{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}(1)\wedge}$ -linear isomorphism. Thanks to Step 1, the proof of the proposition is complete. \square

5.2. **Absence of twists.** Here we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *In Proposition 5.1 we have $k = \ell = 0$.*

Proof. Recall that $(\mathcal{P}_{n-1, \diamond}^{\mathbb{F}})^{S_{n-1}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{n-1, \diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ by the construction. So (5.1) implies

$$(5.9) \quad \alpha_{n*}(\mathcal{O}_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell, 0)) \cong \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(k)^{S_{n-1}}.$$

Consider the restrictions of both sides of (5.9) to $\rho_n^{-1}((V_n^{\mathbb{F}}/S_n)^{\wedge b})$, where b is as in Lemma 2.3.

By Proposition 3.3, the right hand side of (5.9) is either $\mathcal{T}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(k)$ or $\mathcal{T}_n^{\mathbb{F}*}(k)$. So by Lemma 2.14, the restriction of the right hand side is

$$(5.10) \quad \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}(k)^{\oplus n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}(k \pm 1),$$

where the plus sign corresponds to $\mathcal{T}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ and the minus sign corresponds to $\mathcal{T}_n^{\mathbb{F}*}$.

The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell, 0)$ to $\alpha_n^{-1}(\rho^{-1}((V_n^{\mathbb{F}}/S_n)^{\wedge b}))$ is $\mathcal{O}_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}$ for the components of form (i) (in Lemma 2.8) and $\mathcal{O}_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}(\ell)$ for the component of form (ii). Let us compute $\alpha_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{2,1}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}(\ell))$. First, note that $\alpha_2^* \mathcal{O}_2^{\mathbb{F}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{2,1}^{\mathbb{F}}(2)$. Thanks to the projection formula, it is enough to compute $\alpha_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{2,1}^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}(\ell))$ for $\ell = 0, -1$. We claim that here $\alpha_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{2,1}^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell) \cong \mathcal{O}_2^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell) \oplus \mathcal{O}_2^{\mathbb{F}}(\ell + 1)$. Indeed, we already know this for $\ell = 0$, while the bundle $\mathcal{O}_{2,1}^{\mathbb{F}}(-1)$ is the canonical bundle of $H_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ so $\alpha_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{2,1}^{\mathbb{F}}(-1) = (\alpha_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{2,1}(0))^*$.

We conclude that, for $\ell = 2\ell_1 + \ell_0$ with $\ell_0 \in \{-1, 0\}$, the restriction of the left hand side of (5.9) is

$$(5.11) \quad (\mathcal{O}_2^{\mathbb{F}\wedge})^{\oplus n-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_2^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}(\ell_1 + \ell_0) + \mathcal{O}_2^{\mathbb{F}\wedge}(\ell_1 + \ell_0 + 1).$$

Comparing (5.10) and (5.11), we see that we have the following options:

- (I) $k = \ell = 0$.
- (II) $k = 0, \ell = -1$.
- (III) $n = 3, k = \ell = 1$.

In particular, $\mathcal{P}_2^{\mathbb{F}} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{F}} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{F}}(1)$ (here $\ell = 0$ by default).

Let us show that (III) is not possible. Here we have $(\mathcal{P}_3^{\mathbb{F}})^{S_2} \cong \mathcal{T}_3^{\mathbb{F}}$. From Lemma 3.4 it follows that $\mathcal{O}_3^{\mathbb{F}}(2) = (\mathcal{P}_3^{\mathbb{F}}(1))^{\text{sgn}}$. Note that

$$\alpha_{3*} \circ \beta_3^*(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{F}}(2)) = \alpha_{3*} \circ \beta_3^*(\mathcal{P}_2^{\mathbb{F}}(1))^{\text{sgn}} = (\mathcal{P}_3^{\mathbb{F}}(1))^{S_2, \text{sgn}}$$

By the computations above, the restriction of the left hand side to $\rho^{-1}((V_3^{\mathbb{F}}/S_3)^{\wedge b})$ is $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{F}}(0) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{F}}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{F}}(2)$. However, the restriction of $(\mathcal{P}_3^{\mathbb{F}}(1))^{S_2, \text{sgn}}$ may only have two pairwise nonisomorphic summands, compare to the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Let us show that (II) is not possible. We induct on n with the base of $n = 2$ done above. In particular, $(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}})^{S_{n-2}} = \mathcal{T}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ and hence, by Lemma 3.4, $(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}})^{\text{sgn}} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{F}}(1)$. On the other hand, $(\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{S_{n-1}} = \mathcal{T}_n^{\mathbb{F}*}$. From (5.1) we deduce that

$$(5.12) \quad (\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{S_{n-1}, \text{sgn}} = \alpha_{n*} \mathcal{O}_{n, n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}.$$

But $(\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{S_{n-1}, \text{sgn}} = (\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{\text{sgn}} \otimes ((\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}})^{S_{n-1}})^* = \mathcal{T}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(-1)$, the former equality follows from Corollary 3.5, while the latter holds thanks to Lemma 3.4. Restricting both sides of (5.12) to $\rho_n^{-1}((V_n^{\mathbb{F}}/S_n)^{\wedge b})$ we see that the restrictions differ by a twist with $\mathcal{O}(-1)$, which leads to a contradiction. \square

6. ON NORMALIZATION OF IH_n 6.1. Theorem 1.3 in characteristic p .

Proposition 6.1. *The following claims are true:*

- (1) *The sheaf $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ has a natural algebra structure, let $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ denote the relative spectrum of $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$.*
- (2) *The variety $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is normal, Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein. The canonical bundle is obtained by pulling back $\mathcal{O}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(-1)$ from $H_n^{\mathbb{F}}$.*
- (3) *The natural morphism $X_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow IH_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is bijective and is an isomorphism over $V_n^{1\mathbb{F}}/S_n$.*

Proof. We will prove this by induction on n starting with $n = 2$. Here $IH_2^{\mathbb{F}} = U_2^{\mathbb{F}} = H_{2,1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ are Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein and (1)-(3) hold. Now suppose that they hold for $n - 1$ and prove them for n .

Step 1. Let us construct a sheaf of algebras structure on $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. Set $X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} := H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \times_{H_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}} X_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$. This scheme is finite and flat over $H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ so it is Cohen-Macaulay. Over $V_{n-1,\diamond}^{0\mathbb{F}}/S_{n-1} \subset H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$, the scheme $X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ becomes $V_{n-1,\diamond}^{0,\mathbb{F}}$. Therefore $X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is generically reduced, hence reduced. We denote the natural morphism $X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ by $\pi_{n,n-1}$ and the morphism $X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow X_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ by $\tilde{\beta}_n$.

Consider the composition $\alpha_n \circ \pi_{n,n-1} : X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow H_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that $(\alpha_n \circ \pi_{n,n-1})_* \mathcal{O}_{X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}} = \alpha_n^* \beta_n^* \mathcal{P}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$. Hence, by Proposition 5.1 combined with Lemma 5.2,

$$(6.1) \quad (\alpha_n \circ \pi_{n,n-1})_* \mathcal{O}_{X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}} \cong \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}},$$

an $\tilde{A}_{n-1,\diamond}^{\mathbb{F}}$ -linear isomorphism. This isomorphism, in particular, equips $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ with the structure of a sheaf of algebras.

Step 2. Set $X_n^{\mathbb{F}} := \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_n^{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}})$. By the construction of $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ we have a natural morphism $\tilde{\alpha}_n : X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. It satisfies

$$(6.2) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_n^* \mathcal{O}_{X_n^{\mathbb{F}}} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}}.$$

So we have a degree $n!$ finite morphism $X_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow H_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ to be denoted by π_n , it satisfies $\alpha_n \circ \pi_{n,n-1} = \pi_n \circ \tilde{\alpha}_n$. In particular, $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Over $V_n^{0,\mathbb{F}}/S_n \subset H_n^{\mathbb{F}}$, the morphism $\alpha_n \circ \pi_{n,n-1}$ becomes the natural morphism $V_n^{0\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow V_n^{0\mathbb{F}}/S_n$. Therefore $X_n^{\mathbb{F}} \times_{H_n^{\mathbb{F}}}(V_n^{0\mathbb{F}}/S_n) = V_n^{0\mathbb{F}}$. It follows that $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is reduced.

Step 3. Let us construct a morphism $X_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow IH_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that $X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ comes with a natural morphism $\tilde{\rho}_{n,n-1} : X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow V_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. (6.2) shows that $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ identifies $\mathbb{F}[X_{n,n-1}]$ and $\mathbb{F}[X_n]$. This gives rise to $\tilde{\rho}_n : X_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow V_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ with $\tilde{\rho}_{n,n-1} = \tilde{\rho}_n \circ \tilde{\alpha}_n$. The compositions $X_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow V_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow V_n^{\mathbb{F}}/S_n$ and $X_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow H_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow V_n^{\mathbb{F}}/S_n$ coincide over $V_n^{0\mathbb{F}}/S_n$, hence coincide. Therefore we get a morphism $\iota_n : X_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow IH_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. This morphism is finite by construction and is an isomorphism over $V_n^{0\mathbb{F}} \subset IH_n^{\mathbb{F}}$.

Step 4. By [H1, Lemma 3.3.1] and the case of $n = 2$, $IH_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is smooth over $V_n^{1\mathbb{F}}/S_n$. It follows that ι_n is an isomorphism over $V_n^{1\mathbb{F}}/S_n$. In particular, $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is smooth outside a codimension 2 locus. Since $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, it is normal and ι_n is the normalization morphism.

Step 5. Let us show that $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is Gorenstein with canonical bundle $\pi_n^* \mathcal{O}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(-1)$. As in [H3, Section 6.1], we use the inductive assumption $-K_{X_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}} = \pi_{n-1}^*(\mathcal{O}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}(-1))$ to see that the canonical bundle of $X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is $\pi_{n,n-1}^*(\mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}(0, -1))$. Therefore $\pi_n^*(\mathcal{O}_n^{\mathbb{F}}(-1)) = \tilde{\alpha}_n^* \pi_{n,n-1}^*(\mathcal{O}_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}(0, -1))$ is the canonical sheaf of $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$.

Step 6. It remains to show that $\iota_n : X_n^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow IH_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ is bijective. By the inductive assumption, $\iota_{n-1} : X_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow IH_{n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is bijective. Therefore the natural morphism $\iota_{n,n-1} : X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow IH_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is bijective as well. The fibers of the natural morphism $\hat{\alpha}_n : IH_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow IH_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ (as algebraic varieties) coincide with the fibers of $\bar{\alpha}_n : H_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow U_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. The latter fibers are all connected. So we see that the fibers of $\iota_n \circ \tilde{\alpha}_n : X_{n,n-1}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow IH_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ are connected. It follows that the finite morphism ι_n is bijective. \square

6.2. Proof of main results. In this section we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.2.

Consider the morphism $IH_n^{\mathbb{R}} \times_{V_n^{\mathbb{R}}/S_n} V_n^{1\mathbb{R}}/S_n \rightarrow H_n^{\mathbb{R}}$. It is quasi-finite so canonically decomposes as $IH_n^{\mathbb{R}} \times_{V_n^{\mathbb{R}}/S_n} V_n^{1\mathbb{R}}/S_n \rightarrow X_n^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow H_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ for a normal scheme $X_n^{\mathbb{R}}$, where the first arrow is an open embedding and the second arrow is finite. Thanks to Proposition 6.1, for p sufficiently large, the base change of $X_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ to \mathbb{F} is the scheme $X_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ from that proposition. Using Proposition 6.1 again, we see that $X_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay after replacing \mathbb{R} with a finite localization. Then we can define the bundle $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ on $H_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ as $\pi_{n*}\mathcal{O}_{X_n^{\mathbb{R}}}$. By Proposition 6.1, it specializes to $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ over \mathbb{F} . It follows that the specialization \mathcal{P}_n to \mathbb{C} has no higher cohomology and coincides with

$$\pi_{n*}\mathcal{O}_{IH_n \times_{V_n/S_n} V_n^1/S_n}.$$

From the latter we see that it is a Procesi bundle. By the construction, it comes with a morphism $\mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \alpha_{n*}\beta_n^*\mathcal{P}_{n-1,\diamond}$ that must be an isomorphism. This proves Theorem 1.2. Then we can repeat the proof of the remaining parts of Proposition 6.1 over \mathbb{C} to prove Theorem 1.3.

REFERENCES

- [BGKP] V. Baranovsky, V. Ginzburg, D. Kaledin, J. Pecharich, *Quantization of line bundles on lagrangian subvarieties*. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 22 (2016), no. 1, 1-25.
- [BEG] Y. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, Cherednik algebras and differential operators on quasi-invariants. Duke Math. J. 118 (2003), no. 2, 279-337.
- [BF] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, *Wreath Macdonald polynomials and categorical McKay correspondence*. Camb. J. Math. 2 (2014), no. 2, 163-190.
- [BFG] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, V. Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes in characteristic p* . With an appendix by Pavel Etingof. Represent. Theory 10 (2006), 254-298.
- [BK1] R. Bezrukavnikov, D. Kaledin. *Fedosov quantization in the algebraic context*. Moscow Math. J. 4 (2004), 559-592.
- [BK2] R. Bezrukavnikov, D. Kaledin, *McKay equivalence for symplectic quotient singularities*. Proc. of the Steklov Inst. of Math. 246 (2004), 13-33. With erratum in [BF].
- [BL] R. Bezrukavnikov, I. Losev, *Etingof conjecture for quantized quiver varieties*. <https://web.northeastern.edu/iloseu/bezpaper.pdf>.
- [BMR] R. Bezrukavnikov, I. Mirkovic, D. Rumynin. *Localization of modules for a semisimple Lie algebra in prime characteristic* (with an appendix by R. Bezrukavnikov and S. Riche), Ann. of Math. (2) 167 (2008), no. 3, 945-991.
- [BPW] T. Braden, N. Proudfoot, B. Webster, *Quantizations of conical symplectic resolutions I: local and global structure*. Astérisque 384 (2016), 1-73.
- [C] J. Cheah, *Cellular decompositions for nested Hilbert schemes of points*, Pacific J. Math. 183 (1998), no. 1, 3990.
- [GG] W.L. Gan, V. Ginzburg, *Almost-commuting variety, D -modules, and Cherednik algebras*. IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap. 2006, 26439, 1-54.
- [Gi] V. Ginzburg, *Isospectral commuting variety, the Harish-Chandra D -module, and principal nilpotent pairs*. Duke Math. J. 161 (2012), no. 11, 2023-2111.
- [Go] I. Gordon, *Macdonald positivity via the Harish-Chandra D -module*, Invent. Math. 187 (2012), no. 3, 637-643.

- [GS] I. Gordon, T. Stafford, *Rational Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes*, Adv. Math. 198 (2005), no. 1, 222–274.
- [GH] I. Grojnowski, M. Haiman, *Affine Hecke algebras and positivity of LLT and Macdonald polynomials*. <https://math.berkeley.edu/~mhaiman/ftp/llt-positivity/new-version.pdf>.
- [H1] M. Haiman. *Hilbert schemes, polygraphs and the Macdonald positivity conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 4, 9411006.
- [H2] M. Haiman, *Vanishing theorems and character formulas for the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane*. Invent. Math. 149 (2002), no. 2, 371-407.
- [H3] M. Haiman. *Combinatorics, symmetric functions, and Hilbert schemes*. Current developments in mathematics, 2002, 39-111, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.
- [MN1] K. McGerty and T. Nevins, *Derived equivalence for quantum symplectic resolutions*. Selecta Math. 20(2014), 675-717.
- [MN2] K. McGerty, T. Nevins, *Compatibility of t -structures for quantum symplectic resolutions*. Duke Math J. 165(2016), 2529-2585.
- [L1] I. Losev, *Isomorphisms of quantizations via quantization of resolutions*. Adv. Math. 231(2012), 1216-1270.
- [L2] I. Losev, *On Procesi bundles*. Math. Ann. 359(2014), N3, 729-744.
- [L3] I. Losev, *Abelian localization for cyclotomic Cherednik algebras*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, no. 18, 8860-8873.
- [L4] I. Losev, *Procesi bundles and Symplectic reflection algebras*. arXiv:1501.00643.
- [L5] I. Losev, *Derived equivalences for Symplectic reflection algebras*. arXiv:1704.05144.
- [V] V. Vologodsky. An appendix to [BF].
- [W] N. Wallach, *Invariant differential operators on a reductive Lie algebra and Weyl group representations*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1993), 779-816.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, ON, CANADA & NATIONAL RESEARCH
UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIAN FEDERATION
E-mail address: ivan.loseu@gmail.com