

Finite unitary ring with minimal non-nilpotent group of units

Mohsen Amiri, Mostafa Amini

Departamento de Matemática-ICE-UFAM, 69080-900, Manaus-AM, Brazil

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran

Abstract. Let R be a finite unitary ring such that $R = R_0[R^*]$, where R_0 is the prime ring and R^* is not a nilpotent group. We show that if all proper subgroups of R^* are nilpotent groups, then the cardinality of R is a power of 2. In addition, if $(R/Jac(R))^*$ is not a p -group, then either $R \cong M_2(GF(2))$ or $R \cong M_2(GF(2)) \oplus A$, where $M_2(GF(2))$ is the ring of 2×2 matrices over the finite field $GF(2)$ and A is a direct sum of copies of the finite field $GF(2)$.

2010 MSC: 16P10, 16U60, 20D15.

Keywords: Finite ring, Group of units, Nilpotent groups.

1 Introduction

The relations between rings and their groups of units are an interesting research subject. In [4], Groza has shown that if R is a finite ring and at most one simple component of the semi-simple quotient ring R by its Jacobson radical $Jac(R)$ is the field of order 2, then the group of units R^* is a nilpotent group if and only if R is a direct sum of two-sided ideals that are homomorphic images of group algebras of the form SP , where S is a particular

E-mail Address: mohsen@ufam.edu.br; mamini1356@yahoo.com

commutative finite ring, P is a finite p -group, and p is a prime number. More recently, Dolzan improved some results of Groza and described the structure of an arbitrary finite ring with a nilpotent group of units, see [1].

Let X be a class of groups. We say that a group G is a minimal non- X -group, if $G \notin X$, and all proper subgroups of G belong to X . Minimal non- X -groups have been studied for various classes of groups X . For example, minimal non-abelian groups were studied by Miller and Moreno in [5], while Schmidt in [7] studied minimal non-nilpotent groups and he characterized such finite groups. The natural question is what we can say about a finite ring whose group of units is a minimal non- X -group. In this paper, we study finite rings with minimal non-nilpotent groups of units and we prove that the order of such rings is 2^n for some positive integer n . More precisely, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let R be a unitary ring of finite cardinality 2^am , m be an odd number and R^* a minimal non-nilpotent group. Then, $|R| = 2^a$. Also, if $(R/Jac(R))^*$ is not a p -group, then either $R \cong M_2(GF(2))$ or $R \cong M_2(GF(2)) \oplus A$, where A is a direct sum of copies of the finite field of order two.*

In this paper, from now on R denotes a ring with an identity $1 \neq 0$ and for an arbitrary finite set X , $|X|$ denotes the number of elements in X . We denote the group of units of R by R^* , the order of element x in R^* by $o(x)$, and the group generated by x by $\langle x \rangle$. The ring of $n \times n$ matrices over a ring R is denoted by $M_n(R)$, and the set of elements that commute with every element of R is denoted by $Z(R)$. The centralizer of the subset X of R is the set of all elements of R which commute with every element of X is denoted by $C_R(X)$. Also, for any pair $a, b \in R$, $[a, b] = ab - ba$ is the Lie product of a and b and $R_0[S]$ denotes the subring of R which is generated by $S \subseteq R$ over R_0 , where R_0 is the prime subring of R . The characteristic of R is denoted by $Char R$ and $GF(p^m)$ is the finite field of order p^m , where p is a prime number.

2 Results

We begin with the following useful lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let R be a unitary finite local ring with a nontrivial minimal ideal I and we assume that $Jac(R)$ is a commutative ideal. Then $Jac(R) \subseteq ann_R(I)$.*

Proof. We have $Jac(R)I \subseteq I$, and since I is minimal, we deduce that $Jac(R)I = 0$ or $Jac(R)I = I$. Since $I \neq 0$, by Nakayama's Lemma, we conclude that $Jac(R)I \neq I$. Therefore $Jac(R)I = 0$. \square

Remark 1. Let $R = A \oplus B$ be a finite ring where A and B are two ideals in R . Then $R^* = A^* \oplus B^*$ and $1 = 1_A + 1_B$ where 1_A and 1_B are the identity elements of A and B , respectively. It is clear that $A^* + 1_B \leq R^*$ and that $A^* + 1_B \cong A^*$.

Lemma 3. *Let R be a finite ring. If $|R|$ is an odd number, then $R = R_0[R^*]$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 from [4], the proof is clear. \square

Minimal non-nilpotent groups are characterized by Schmidt as follows:

Theorem 4.(see (9.1.1) of [6]) *Assume that every maximal subgroup of a finite group G is nilpotent but G itself is not nilpotent. Then:*

(i) G is soluble.

(ii) $|G| = p^m q^n$ where p and q are unequal prime numbers.

(iii) *There is a unique Sylow p -subgroup P and a Sylow q -subgroup Q is cyclic. Hence $G = QP$ and $P \trianglelefteq G$.*

Let R be a finite ring with $|R|$ an odd number. Then, in the following theorem, we show that R^* is not a minimal non-abelian group.

Theorem 5. *Let R be a finite ring of order m with m an odd number. If every proper subgroup of R^* is an abelian group, then R is a commutative ring.*

Proof. Consider the finite ring R which is minimal subject to hypothesis of the theorem. Since every maximal subgroup of R^* is abelian, R^* is a minimal non-abelian group. By Lemma of [2](p.512), every unitary ring of order p or p^2 for prime number p , is a commutative ring. So we may assume that $|R| \notin \{p, p^2\}$. Let S be a proper subring of R . From Lemma 3, it follows that $R = R_0[R^*]$, and hence $S^* \neq R^*$. By assumption, S^* is an abelian group and by Lemma 3, $S = S_0[S^*]$ is a commutative ring. So every proper subring of R is a commutative ring. Let $|R| = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ be the canonical decomposition of $|R|$ to the prime numbers p_i . Then we know that

$$R = R_1 \bigoplus R_2 \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus R_k,$$

where each ideal R_i is of order $p_i^{\alpha_i}$. Let H_i be a subgroup of R^* such that $H_i \cong R_i^*$ for all i . If $k > 1$, then H_i is an abelian subgroup of R^* . By minimality of R , R_i is a commutative ring for all i and then R is a commutative ring, which is a contradiction. So, suppose that $|R| = p^\beta$, where $p > 2$ is a prime number. We have the following two cases with respect to the Jacobson radical, either $Jac(R) = 0$ or $Jac(R) \neq 0$:

Case 1. Let $Jac(R) = 0$. By Wedderburn Structure Theorem, $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t M_{n_i}(D_i)$ where D_i is a finite field. If $t > 1$, then by minimality of R and Remark 1, every $M_{n_i}(D_i)$ is commutative, and so R is commutative, which is a contradiction. It follows that $t = 1$, and so $R \cong M_n(D)$, where D is a finite field and n is a positive integer. Since R is not a commutative ring, we have $n > 1$. So, $(M_n(D))^* \cong R^*$ implies that R^* is not a nilpotent group, hence R^* is a minimal non-nilpotent group. But any Sylow 2-subgroup of R^* is neither cyclic nor normal, which is a contradiction by Theorem 4(iii).

Case 2. Let $Jac(R) \neq 0$. First suppose that R^* is a nilpotent group. Since $-1 \in R^*$ and $o(-1) = 2$, we deduce that $2 \mid |R^*|$. Since $Jac(R) \neq 0$, by Lemma 1.2 of [4], $1 + Jac(R)$ is a p -group. Let $P \in Syl_p(R^*)$ and K be a subgroup of R^* such that $R^* = PK$ and $P \cap K = 1$. By assumption, P and K are abelian groups, and hence R^* is an abelian group. Thus by Lemma 3, $R = R_0[R^*]$ is commutative, which is a contradiction. It follows that R^* is not a nilpotent group, and it follows that R^* is a minimal non-nilpotent group.

By Theorem 4, $|R^*| = r^m q^n$ where r, q are prime numbers. Also, since $2 \mid |R^*|$ and by Lemma (1.2) of [4], $1 + Jac(R)$ is a p -group, we may assume that $r = p$ and $q = 2$. On the other hand, $(R/Jac(R))^* = R^* + Jac(R)/Jac(R)$, and so every proper subgroup of $(R/Jac(R))^*$ is an abelian group. By minimality of R , $R/Jac(R)$ is a commutative ring and hence, we deduce that $[R, R] \subseteq Jac(R)$. Let $P \in Syl_p(R^*)$ and $Q \in Syl_2(R^*)$. By Theorem 4(iii), either $P \triangleleft R^*$ or $Q \triangleleft R^*$. We claim that $P \triangleleft R^*$. Otherwise, by Theorem 4(iii), $P = \langle z \rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of R^* , where $z \in P$. Since $1 + Jac(R) \leq P$, there is a positive integer i such that $H = 1 + Jac(R) = \langle z^i \rangle$. Since $H \triangleleft R^*$ and R^* is a non-nilpotent group, $H \neq P$. Since HQ is an abelian subgroup of R^* and $R = \langle z, Q \rangle$, we have $H \leq Z(R^*)$, and so $H \leq Z(R)$. Consequently, $Jac(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. Since $[R, R] \subseteq Jac(R)$, we have $uv - vu \in Jac(R)$ for all $u, v \in R^*$. Thus, it follows that $uvu^{-1}v^{-1} - 1 \in Jac(R) \subseteq Z(R)$, and so $uvu^{-1}v^{-1} \in Z(R)$ for all $u, v \in R^*$. Hence R^* is a nilpotent group, which is a contradiction. Therefore $P \triangleleft R^*$, as claimed. By Theorem 4(iii), $Q = \langle x \rangle$ for some $x \in Q$. Now, we claim that R is a local ring. Let $\{M_1, \dots, M_k\}$ be the set of all maximal ideals of R , where $k > 1$. Since $R/Jac(R) = R/(M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_k) \cong R/M_1 \times \dots \times R/M_k$, we conclude that $(R/Jac(R))^* \cong (R/M_1)^* \times \dots \times (R/M_k)^*$. Let $\overline{Q} \in Syl_2((R/M_1 \times \dots \times R/M_k)^*)$. Then, since $2 \mid |(R/M_1)^*|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, we see that \overline{Q} is not a cyclic group. Also, we have $(R/Jac(R))^* = R^* + Jac(R)/Jac(R) = PQ + Jac(R)/Jac(R)$, and so Q is not a cyclic group, which is a contradiction. Hence $k = 1$, as claimed. Let $M = Jac(R)$. Since $1 + M$ is an abelian group, M is a commutative ideal. Since R/M is a finite field, M is not a central ideal. So, there exists $w \in M$ such that $wx \neq xw$. By minimality of R , we have $R = R_0[w, x]$. Let I be a minimal ideal of R . We follow the proof by separating two subcases, either $Z(R) \cap I \neq 0$ or $Z(R) \cap I = 0$:

Subcase 1. Let $0 \neq a \in Z(R) \cap I$. By Lemma 2, $M \subseteq ann_R(I) = \{r \in R : rs = 0 \text{ for all } s \in I\}$. Since R/M is a finite field, $M = ann_R(I)$. It follows from $a \in Z(R)$ that $I = Ra$ is two sided ideal. Since R/M is a finite field, $(R/M)^* = \langle x + M \rangle$ for some $x \in R$ with $\gcd(o(x + M), p) = 1$. Let $y \in R \setminus M$. Therefore $y + M = x^i + M$ for some integer $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Then $y = x^i + s$ for some $s \in M$ and this, implies that $ya = x^i a + sa = x^i a$. So, we have $I = \{0, xa, \dots, x^n a\} \subseteq M$. Since $xx^i a = x^i ax$, $w(x^i a) = (x^i a)w$ and $R = R_0[x, w]$, we conclude that $x^i a \in Z(R)$, and so $I \subseteq Z(R)$. The minimality of R implies that R/I is a commutative ring, and consequently $[R, R] \subseteq I \subseteq Z(R)$. Thus, we have

$uvu^{-1}v^{-1} - 1 \in I \subseteq Z(R)$ for all $u, v \in R^*$. It follows that $uvu^{-1}v^{-1} \in Z(R^*)$, and hence R^* is a nilpotent group, which is a contradiction.

Subcase 2. Let $Z(R) \cap I = 0$ and $0 \neq b \in I$. Since $R = R_0[w, x]$ and M is commutative, we have $bw = wb$, and so $[b, x] \neq 0$. Therefore $R = R_0[b, x]$. We may assume that $b = w \in I$ and $m_1, m_2 \in M$. Since M is a commutative ring and $xm_1, m_2x \in M$, we have

$$(xm_1)m_2 = m_2(xm_1) = (m_2x)m_1 = m_1m_2x.$$

Since $R = R_0[x, w]$ and $wm_1m_2 = m_1m_2w$, we conclude that $M^2 \subseteq Z(R)$. If $M^2 \neq 0$, then by minimality of R , R/M^2 is a commutative ring, and so $0 \neq [R, R] \subseteq M^2 \cap I$. Since I is a minimal ideal and M^2 is an ideal, $I \subseteq M^2 \subseteq Z(R)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $M^2 = 0$, and so by considering R as a local ring, for all $s \in M \setminus \{0\}$, we have $M \subseteq \text{ann}_R(s)$. We claim that $I = M$, otherwise consider $l \in M \setminus I$. Since $R = R_0[x, w]$, we have $l = \sum n_i x^i + c$, where $c \in I$ and $n_i \in R_0$. Therefore $l - c = \sum n_i x^i \in M$. Then $\sum n_i x^i \in Z(R)$. If $l - c \neq 0$, then by minimality of R , we have that $R/R(l - c)$ is a commutative ring. By a similar argument to the one subcase 1, we have $R(l - c) \subseteq Z(R)$. From $0 \neq [R, R] \subseteq R(l - c) \cap I$, where I is a minimal ideal, follows that $I \subseteq R(l - c) \subseteq Z(R)$, which is a contradiction. Then $l - c = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $M = I$. Since R/M is a finite field, we have $R = R^* \cup M$. Then $|R^*| = |R| - |M| = o(x)|P|$. Let $|R/M| = p^m$. Then $|R| = p^m|M|$, and so $|R| - |M| = (p^m - 1)|M|$, consequently, $1 + M = P$. Since $P\langle x^2 \rangle$ is an abelian subgroup of R^* and $R = R_0[w, x]$, we conclude that $x^2 \in Z(R)$. If $p \mid o(x + h)$ for some $h \in P$, then $e = (x + h)^{\frac{o(x+h)}{p}} \in P = 1 + M$, and so $e - 1 \in M$. Since $R = R_0[x + h, w]$ and M is commutative, $e - 1 \in Z(R)$. Therefore $M \cap Z(R) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. It follows that $\gcd(o(x + h), p) = 1$ for all $h \in M$. By a similar argument to the one above, $(x + h)^2 \in Z(R)$ for all $h \in M$. Then $x^2 + xh + hx + h^2 \in Z(R)$. Since $h^2 = 0$ and $x^2 \in Z(R)$, we have $xh + hx \in Z(R) \cap M = 0$. Therefore $xh = -hx$ for all $h \in M$. Let $0 \neq h \in M$. Since $(R/M)^* = \langle x + M \rangle$, we deduce that $x + 1 + M = x^t + M$ for some integer t , and then $q = x^t - x - 1 \in M$. Since M is a commutative ideal, $qw = wq$. It follows from $qx = xq$ and from $R = R_0[x, w]$ that $q = x^t - x - 1 \in M \cap Z(R) = 0$. So, $x + 1 = x^t$. Since $x + 1 = x^t \notin Z(R)$, we deduce that t is an odd number. Then, we have $x^t h = (-1)^t h x^t = -h x^t$. Therefore, $(x + 1)h = -h(x + 1)$. But $(x + 1)h = xh + h = -hx + h = -h(x + 1)$. Hence $2h = 0$,

and so $h = 0$ for all $h \in M$, which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 6. *Let R be a finite ring of order p^m where p is an odd prime number. If every proper subgroup of R^* is nilpotent, then R is a commutative ring.*

Proof. Consider the finite ring R which is minimal with respect to these conditions, but it is not commutative. Then R^* is a minimal non-nilpotent group. By a similar argument to the one in case 1 of the previous theorem, we may assume that $Jac(R) \neq 0$. By Theorem 4, $|R^*| = r^m q^n$ where r and q are prime numbers. By Lemma 1.2 from [4], $1 + Jac(R)$ is a p -group and then $r = p$. Since $-1 \in R^*$ and $o(-1) = 2$, we have $q = 2$. Let $P \in Syl_p(R^*)$ and $Q \in Syl_2(R^*)$. Let I be a minimal ideal of R that is contained in $Jac(R)$. Then $I^2 = 0$, and hence I is commutative. By minimality of R , we have that R/I is commutative, so $[R, R] \subseteq I$. We have two cases with respect to $I \cap Z(R)$, either $I \cap Z(R) \neq 0$ or $I \cap Z(R) = 0$

Case 1. Suppose that $I \cap Z(R) \neq 0$. If $0 \neq c \in I \cap Z(R)$, then by a similar argument to the one in the subcase 1 of case 2, in the above theorem, $I \subseteq Z(R)$, and then $1 + I \leq Z(R^*)$. Since $uvu^{-1}v^{-1} - 1 \in I$, we have $(R^*)' \leq Z(R^*)$, and so R^* is a nilpotent group, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $I \cap Z(R) = 0$. First suppose that $1 + I \neq P$. Since $1 + I \triangleleft P$, there exists $c \in I \setminus \{0\}$ such that $1 + c \in Z(P)$. Also, $(1 + I)Q$ is a proper nilpotent subgroup of R^* . So $1 + I \leq C_{R^*}(Q)$, and hence $c \in Z(R)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $1 + I = P$ is an abelian subgroup of R^* . By Theorem 4, either P is cyclic or Q is cyclic. Since $1 + I = P \triangleleft R^*$, by Theorem 4, Q is cyclic. Since P is an abelian group and Q is cyclic, every proper subgroup of R^* is an abelian group, which is a contradiction by Theorem 5. \square

If $2 \mid |R|$, then the above theorem is no longer valid. For example, let R be the set of all 2×2 matrices over the finite field $GF(2)$. Then $R^* \cong S_3$, where S_3 is the symmetric group of order 6 and clearly, S_3 is a minimal non-abelian group. For simplicity, let Δ be the set of all rings R in which either $R \cong M_2(GF(2))$ or $R \cong M_2(GF(2)) \oplus A$, where A is a direct sum of copies of the finite field of order two.

Remark 2. Let $Sl(2, GF(2^m))$ be the kernel of the homomorphism $det(M_n(GF(2^m))) \rightarrow GF(2^m)^*$. We recall that when $m > 1$, then $Sl(2, GF(2^m))^* = ((Sl(2, GF(2^m)))^*)'$, and hence for $n > 1$ and $m > 1$, we have that $(M_n(D))^*$ is not a minimal non-nilpotent group.

Theorem 7. *Let R be a unitary non-commutative ring of finite cardinality 2^β such that every proper subgroup of R^* is a nilpotent group.*

(a) *If $Jac(R) = 0$, then $R \in \Delta$.*

(b) *If $Jac(R) \neq 0$, then $(R/Jac(R))^*$ is a cyclic p -group for some odd prime number p .*

Proof. (a) We proceed by induction on β . Since R is a simple artinian ring, by the structure theorem of Artin-Wedderburn, we have $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t M_{n_i}(D_i)$, where every D_i is a finite field. If $t = 1$, then $R \cong M_{n_1}(D)$, and clearly, $n_1 = 2$ and $D \cong GF(2)$, so $R \in \Delta$. Let $t > 1$ and $n_i > 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Remark 2, if $M_{n_i}(D_i)$ is a minimal non-abelian group, then, $n_i = 2$ and $D_i = GF(2)$. If for some $j \neq i$, we have $n_j > 1$, then R^* is not a minimal non-abelian group, which is a contradiction. Therefore $M_{n_j}(D_j) \cong D_j$ for all $j \neq i$. Let $H \leq R^*$ such that $H \cong (M_{n_i}(GF(2)))^*$. If $|D_j^*| > 1$ for some $j \neq i$, then $R^* \neq H$, and hence H is a non-nilpotent proper subgroup of R^* , which is a contradiction. Consequently, $D_j \cong GF(2)$, and hence $R \in \Delta$.

(b) Suppose for a contradiction that $(R/Jac(R))^*$ is not a p -group. We may assume that $|R|$ is minimal such that $(R/Jac(R))^*$ is not a p -group. By Theorem 4, $R^* = PQ$, where $P \triangleleft G$ and Q is a cyclic Sylow subgroup. Let $I \subseteq Jac(R)$ be a minimal ideal of R . It is easy to see that $char(I) = 2$ and $I^2 = 0$. Therefore, $1 + I$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. Also, $(R/I)/(Jac(R/I)) \cong R/Jac(R)$ implies that $((R/I)/(Jac(R/I)))^*$ is not a p -group, so by minimality of R , $(R/I)^*$ is a nilpotent group. Let $p > 2$ be a prime number such that $p \mid |R^*|$. Clearly, $2p \mid |(R/Jac(R))^*$. Let $\{M_1, \dots, M_k\}$ be the set of all maximal ideals of R . Then, we have

$$R/Jac(R) \cong R/M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R/M_k.$$

Since R/M_i is a simple ring, $R/M_i \cong M_{n_i}(GF(2^{m_i}))$ for some positive integers n_i and m_i . If $n_i > 1$ for some i , then $R/M_i \cong M_{n_i}(GF(2^{m_i}))$. Let x and y be two arbitrary

elements of R^* such that $xy \neq yx$ and $\gcd(o(x), o(y)) = 1$. Since $(R/I)^*$ is a nilpotent group, we have $xy - yx \in I \subseteq \text{Jac}(R)$. Hence $n_i = 1$ for all i , and so R/M_i is a finite field. But $\gcd(|(R/M_i)^*|, 2) = \gcd(2^{m_i} - 1, 2) = 1$ for all i , so $2 \nmid |(R/\text{Jac}(R))^*|$, which is a contradiction.

□

Here we give an example for the statement of Theorem 7 part (b). Let $GF(2)[x, y]$ be the free ring generated with two elements x and y over finite field $GF(2)$. Let H be the ideal generated by $\{x^2, y^3 + y + 1, xy - y^2x\}$. Let $R = GF(2)[x, y]/H$, and let I be the ideal generated with $x + H$ in R . Since $xy - yx \notin H$, R is a non-commutative ring. Let L be the ideal generated by $t^3 + t + 1$ in $Z_2[t]$. Since $R/I \cong Z_2[t]/L$ and L is a maximal ideal, R/I is a finite field of order 8. Let $(R/I)^* = \langle u + I \rangle$. It is easy to check that $I = \{0, x + H, ux + H, \dots, u^7x + H\}$. Clearly, $1 + I$ is an elementary abelian 2-group and $R^* = (1 + I)\langle u \rangle$ is a minimal non-nilpotent group.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof. By Theorem 6, $\alpha_1 \geq 1$. Let $|R| = 2^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ be the canonical decomposition of $|R|$ to the prime numbers p_i . Then

$$R = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots \oplus R_k,$$

where each ideal R_i is of order $p_i^{\alpha_i}$. By Theorem 6, $R_2 \oplus \dots \oplus R_k$ is a commutative ring, and hence $(R_1)^*$ is a minimal non-nilpotent group. Consequently, $k = 1$. The rest of proof is clear by Theorem 7. □

References

- [1] D. Dolzan, Nilpotency of the group of units of a finite ring, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **79** (2009) 177-182.
- [2] K. E Eldridge, Orders for finite noncommutative rings with unity, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, **75**, 5, (1968) 512-514.

- [3] B. Farb, R. Keith Dennis, Noncommutative algebra, Graduate texts in mathematics, *Springer Verlag*, New York, **144**, (1993).
- [4] G. Groza, Artinian rings having a nilpotent group of units, *Journal of Algebra*, **121** (1989) 253-262.
- [5] G. A. Miller and H. C. Moreno. Nonabelian groups in which every subgroup is abelian, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **4**, no. 4 (1903) 398-404.
- [6] D.J.S. Robinson, A course in the theory of groups, Springer Verlag, New York, (1980).
- [7] O. J. Schmidt. ber Gruppen, deren smtliche Teiler spezielle Gruppen sind. *Mat. Sbornik*, **31**, (1924) 366-372.
- [8] J. H. M. Wedderburn, A theorem on finite algebra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1905) 349-352.