LOCAL BIQUANDLES AND NIEBRZYDOWSKI'S TRIBRACKET THEORY

SAM NELSON, KANAKO OSHIRO, AND NATSUMI OYAMAGUCHI

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new algebraic structure called *local biquandles* and show how colorings of oriented classical link diagrams and of broken surface diagrams are related to tribracket colorings. We define a (co)homology theory for local biquandles and show that it is isomorphic to Niebrzydowski's tribracket (co)homology. This implies that Niebrzydowski's (co)homology theory can be interpreted similary as biqandle (co)homology theory. Moreover through the isomorphism between two cohomology groups, we show that Niebrzydowski's cocycle invariants and local biquandle cocycle invariants are the same.

INTRODUCTION

Invariants of knots and knotted surfaces defined in terms of colorings by algebraic structures have a long history, including colorings by algebraic structures such as groups, quandles, biquandles and more [6]. Enhancements, called cocycle invariants, of these invariants using cocycles in cohomology theories associated to the coloring structures were popularized in the late 1990s in papers such as [2] and have been a topic of much study ever since.

In [17], colorings of the planar complement of a knot diagram by algebraic structures now known as *(knot-theoretic) ternary quasigroups* were introduced and used to define invariants of knots. In [18, 19], a (co)homology theory for these structures was introduced and used to enhance the coloring invariants.

In [15], an algebraic structure known as *biquasile* was introduced by the first author and used to define oriented link invariants via colorings of certain graphs obtained from oriented link diagrams. These invariants were enhanced with Boltzmann weights in [5] and used to distinguish orientable surface-links in [13]. Biquasile colorings can be understood in terms of ternary quasigroup colorings, and these Boltzmann weights can be understood as enhancement by cocycles in ternary quasigroup cohomology.

In more recent papers by the first author, knot-theoretic ternary quasigroup structures have been studied and generalized in terms of ternary operations called *Niebrzydowski tribrackets*. In [20], tribracket coloring invariants are extended to the case of oriented virtual links. In [10] tribracket colorings are extended to Y-oriented trivalent spatial graphs and handlebody-links, and in [16], enhancements of tribracket colorings by structures called *tribracket modules* are defined.

Date: February 19, 2019.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 57M27, 57M25.

Key words and phrases. Link, surface-link, tribracket, local biquandle, region coloring, semiarc coloring, (co)homology group, cocycle invariant.

In this paper, we introduce a new algebraic structure called *local biquandles* and show how colorings of oriented classical link diagrams and of broken surface diagrams are related to tribracket colorings. We define a (co)homology theory for local biquandles and show that it is isomorphic to Niebrzydowski's tribracket (co)homology. This implies that Niebrzydowski's (co)homology theory can be interpreted similary as biqandle (co)homology theory since our local biquandle (co)homology theory is analogous to biquandle (co)homology theory. Moreover through the isomorphism between two cohomology groups, we show that Niebrzydowski's cocycle invariants and local biquandle cocycle invariants are the same. We provide examples of cocycles and computation of these cocycle invariants.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1, we review the definitions of links, surface-links and tribrackets, and we introduce local biquandles. In Section 2, we define local biquandle (co)homology groups, colorings using local biquandles and cocycle invariants. In Section 3, we summarize Niebrzydowski's work shown in [17, 18, 19], that is, we review Niebrzydowski's (co)homology groups, colorings using tribrackets and cocycle invariants. In Section 4, our main results are stated and proved, that is, we show that local biquandle (co)homology groups are isomorphic to Niebrzydowski's ones, and local biquandle cocycle invariants are the same as Niebrzydowski's ones. We provide some examples in Section 5.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Knots, links, connected diagrams. Throughout this paper, a knot/link means an oriented classical knot/link.

A knot diagram is always connected. A link diagram with at least two components is said to be *connected* if every component intersects another component. It is known that between two connected diagrams D and D' that represent the same link, there exists a finite sequence of connected diagrams and oriented Reidemeister moves that transforms D to D', i.e., there exists

$$D = D_0 \xrightarrow{R_0} D_1 \xrightarrow{R_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{R_{i-1}} D_i \xrightarrow{R_i} \cdots \xrightarrow{R_{n-1}} D_n = D',$$

where for each $i \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$, R_i is an oriented Reidemeister move, and D_i is a connected diagram of a link.

For a diagram D, we remove a small neighborhood of each crossing, and then, we call each connected component a *semi-arc* of D. In this paper, for a link diagram D, SA(D) means the set of semi-arcs of D and $\mathcal{R}(D)$ means the set of connected regions of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$.

For each semi-arc x of a connected diagram D, we set two parallel copies $x^{(+)}$ and $x^{(-)}$ of x as depicted in Figure 1, where we note that $x^{(+)}$ (resp. $x^{(-)}$) is in the right-side (resp. left-side) of x. The 2-parallel \widetilde{D} of D is the gathering of the two copies for all semi-arcs of D, that is, $\widetilde{D} = \bigsqcup S\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{D})$, see Figure 1, where throughout this paper, $S\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{D})$ means the set $\{x^{(\varepsilon)} \mid x \in S\mathcal{A}(D), \varepsilon \in \{+, -\}\}$.



1.2. Surface-knots, surface-links, connected diagrams. A surface-knot is an oriented closed surface locally flatly embedded in \mathbb{R}^4 . A surface-link is a disjoint union of surface-knots. We note that every surface-knot is a surface-link. Two surface-links are said to be equivalent if they can be deformed into each other through an isotopy of \mathbb{R}^4 .

A diagram of a surface-link is its image by a regular projection, from \mathbb{R}^4 to \mathbb{R}^3 , equipped with the height information for each double point curve, where the height information is represented by removing small neighborhoods of lower double point curves. Then a diagram is composed of four kinds of local pictures depicted in Figure 2, and the indicated points are called a *regular point*, a *double point*, a *triple point* and a *branch point*, respectively. It is known that two surface-link diagrams represent the same surface-link if and only if they are related by a finite sequence of Roseman moves, see [21] for details. A surface-knot diagram is always connected. A surface-link diagram with at least two components is said to be *connected* if every component intersects another component. It is known that between two connected diagrams D and D' that represent the same surface-link, there exists a finite sequence of connected diagrams and oriented Roseman moves that transforms D to D'.



Figure 2

For a surface-link diagram D, we remove small neighborhoods of double point curves, and then, we call each connected component a *semi-sheet* of D. In this paper, for a surface-link diagram D, SS(D) means the set of semi-sheets of D and $\mathcal{R}(D)$ means the set of connected regions of $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus D$. For a semi-sheet x of a surfacelink diagram D, we assign a normal orientation n_x to x to satisfy that the triple (o_1, o_2, n_x) of the orientation (o_1, o_2) of D and n_x coincides with the right-handed orientation of \mathbb{R}^3 , and thus, we represent the orientation of D.

1.3. Tribrackets.

Definition 1.1. A knot-theoretic horizontal-ternary-quasigroup is a pair of a set X and a ternary operation $[]: X^3 \to X; (a, b, c) \mapsto [a, b, c]$ satisfying the following property:

 $(\mathcal{H}1)$ For any $a, b, c \in X$,

- (i) there exists a unique $d_1 \in X$ such that $[a, b, d_1] = c$,
- (ii) there exists a unique $d_2 \in X$ such that $[a, d_2, b] = c$,
- (iii) there exists a unique $d_3 \in X$ such that $[d_3, a, b] = c$.
- $(\mathcal{H}2)$ For any $a, b, c, d \in X$, it holds that

$$[b, [a, b, c], [a, b, d]] = [c, [a, b, c], [a, c, d]] = [d, [a, b, d], [a, c, d]].$$

We call the operation [] a *horizontal-tribracket*.

The axioms of a knot-theoretic horizontal-ternary-quasigroup (X, []) are obtained from the oriented Reidemeister moves of link diagrams, which is observed when we consider an assignment of an element of X to each region of a link diagram satisfying the condition depicted in Figure 3. See Figure 4 for the correspondence between the Reidemeister move of type III and the axiom $(\mathcal{H}2)$ of a knot-theoretic horizontal-ternary-quasigroup (X, []).



Figure 4

Definition 1.2. A knot-theoretic vertical-ternary-quasigroup is a pair of a set X and a ternary operation $\langle \rangle : X^3 \to X; (a, b, c) \mapsto \langle a, b, c \rangle$ satisfying the following property:

 $(\mathcal{V}1)$ For any $a, b, c \in X$,

- (i) there exists a unique $d_1 \in X$ such that $\langle a, b, d_1 \rangle = c$,
- (ii) there exists a unique $d_2 \in X$ such that $\langle a, d_2, b \rangle = c$,
- (iii) there exists a unique $d_3 \in X$ such that $\langle d_3, a, b \rangle = c$.
- $(\mathcal{V}2)$ For any $a, b, c, d \in X$, it holds that

(i) $\langle a, \langle a, b, c \rangle, \langle \langle a, b, c \rangle, c, d \rangle \rangle = \langle a, b, \langle b, c, d \rangle \rangle$ and (ii) $\langle \langle a, b, c \rangle, c, d \rangle = \langle \langle a, b, \langle b, c, d \rangle, \langle b, c, d \rangle, d \rangle$.

We call the operation $\langle \rangle$ a *vertical-tribracket*.

The axioms of a vertical-tribracket are obtained from the oriented Reidemeister moves of link diagrams, which is observed when we consider an assignment of an element of X to each region of a link diagram satisfying the condition depicted in Figure 5 (see Definition 3.5).



Remark 1.3. Horizontal- and vertical-tribrackets are induced from each other as follows: Each vertical-tribracket $\langle \rangle$ satisfies the condition

 $(\mathcal{V}1)$ -(i) For any $a, b, c \in X$, there exists a unique $d \in X$ such that $\langle a, b, d \rangle = c$, and hence, by defining a horizontal-tribracket $[] : X^3 \to X$ by $(a, b, c) \mapsto d(=: [a, b, c])$, [] is induced from $\langle \rangle$. We call [] the *corresponding horizontal-bracket* of $\langle \rangle$. On the other hand, each horizontal-tribracket [] satisfies the condition

 $(\mathcal{H}1)$ -(i) For any $a, b, c \in X$, there exists a unique $d \in X$ such that [a, b, d] = c, and hence, by defining a vertical-tribracket $\langle \rangle : X^3 \to X$ by $(a, b, c) \mapsto d(=:\langle a, b, c \rangle), \langle \rangle$ is induced from []. We call $\langle \rangle$ the corresponding vertical-bracket of [].

Next lemma follows from Remark 1.3, see also Figure 6.

Lemma 1.4. For a set X, let [] be a horizontal-tribracket on X and $\langle \rangle$ the corresponding vertical-tribracket of []. Then for any $a, b, c, d \in X$, we have

(1)
$$c = \langle a, b, [a, b, c] \rangle$$
 and (2) $d = [a, b, \langle a, b, d \rangle].$



Lemma 1.5. For a set X, let [] be a horizontal-tribracket on X and $\langle \rangle$ the corresponding vertical-tribracket of []. Then for any $a, b, c, d \in X$, we have (1)

$$\begin{bmatrix} b, d, [a, b, c] \end{bmatrix} = \left[\langle a, b, d \rangle, d, [a, \langle a, b, d \rangle, c] \right]$$
$$= \left[c, [a, b, c], [a, \langle a, b, d \rangle, c] \right],$$

(2)

$$\begin{bmatrix} a, \langle a, b, d \rangle, c \end{bmatrix} = \langle c, [a, b, c], [b, d, [a, b, c]] \rangle$$

= $\langle \langle a, b, d \rangle, d, [b, d, [a, b, c]] \rangle.$

Proof. (1) We have

$$\begin{split} & \left[c, [a, b, c], [a, \langle a, b, d \rangle, c]\right] \\ &= \left[\langle a, b, d \rangle, [a, b, \langle a, b, d \rangle], [a, \langle a, b, d \rangle, c] \right] \\ &= \left[\langle a, b, d \rangle, d, [a, \langle a, b, d \rangle, c]\right], \end{split}$$

where the first equality follows from the second equality of $(\mathcal{H}2)$ of Definition 1.1 and the second equality follows from (2) of Lemma 1.4. We leave the proof of the other equality of (1) to the reader, see also Figure 7.

(2) We have

$$\begin{split} &\langle \langle a, b, d \rangle, d, [b, d, [a, b, c]] \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle a, b, \langle b, d, [b, d, [a, b, c]] \rangle \rangle, \langle b, d, [b, d, [a, b, c]] \rangle, [b, d, [a, b, c]] \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle a, b, [a, b, c] \rangle, [a, b, c], [b, d, [a, b, c]] \rangle \\ &= \langle c, [a, b, c], [b, d, [a, b, c]] \rangle, \end{split}$$

where the first equality follows from (ii) of $(\mathcal{V}2)$ of Definition 1.2 and the second and third equalities follow from (1) of Lemma 1.4. We leave the proof of the other equality of (2) to the reader, see also Figure 7.



Figure 7

Remark 1.6. In [18], Niebrzydowski defined a knot-theoretic ternary quasigroup (X, T) with the left division \mathcal{L} , the middle division \mathcal{M} and the right devision \mathcal{R} . An horizontal-tribracket [] in this paper coincides with a right division \mathcal{R} in [18]. A vertical-tribracket $\langle \rangle$ in this paper coincides with his tribracket T in [18]. In [15, 5], binary operations related to vertical-tribrackets are defined.

1.4. Local biquandles.

Definition 1.7. A local biquandle is a triple $(X, \{\underline{\star}_a\}_{a \in X}, \{\overline{\star}_a\}_{a \in X})$ of a set X and two families of operations $\underline{\star}_a, \overline{\star}_a : (\{a\} \times X)^2 \to X^2$ satisfying the following property:

 $(\mathcal{L}1)$ For any $a, b, c \in X$,

 $\mathbf{6}$

- (i) the first component of the result of $(a, b) \star_a (a, c)$ is c,
- (ii) the first component of the result of $(a, b) \overline{\star}_a(a, c)$ is c,
- (iii) the second component of the result of $(a, b) \pm_a (a, c)$ coincides with that of the result of $(a, c) \overline{\star}_a (a, b)$.
- $(\mathcal{L}2)$
 - (i) For any $a, b \in X$, the map $\underline{\star}_a(a, b) : \{a\} \times X \to \{b\} \times X$ sending (a, c)to $(a,c) \star_a (a,b)$ is bijective.
 - (ii) For any $a, b \in X$, the map $\overline{\star}_a(a, b) : \{a\} \times X \to \{b\} \times X$ sending (a, c)to $(a,c) \overline{\star}_a (a,b)$ is bijective.
 - (iii) The map $S: \bigcup_{a \in X} (\{a\} \times X)^2 \to \bigcup_{d \in X} (X \times \{d\})^2$ defined by S((a, b), (a, c)) = $((a,c) \overline{\star}_a (a,b), (a,b) \underline{\star}_a (a,c))$ is bijective.
- $(\mathcal{L}3)$ For any $a, b, c \in X$, it holds that

(i)
$$((a,b) \star_a (a,c)) \star_c ((a,d) \overline{\star}_a (a,c)) = ((a,b) \star_a (a,d)) \star_d ((a,c) \star_a (a,d))$$

- (ii) $((a,b)\overline{\star}_a(a,c)) \underline{\star}_c((a,d)\overline{\star}_a(a,c)) = ((a,b)\underline{\star}_a(a,d))\overline{\star}_d((a,c)\underline{\star}_a(a,d)),$ (iii) $((a,b)\overline{\star}_a(a,c))\overline{\star}_c((a,d)\underline{\star}_a(a,c)) = ((a,b)\overline{\star}_a(a,d))\overline{\star}_d((a,c)\overline{\star}_a(a,d)),$

In this paper, for simplicity, we often omit the subscript by a as $\underline{\star} = \underline{\star}_a$, $\overline{\star} = \overline{\star}_a$, $\{\underline{\star}\} = \{\underline{\star}_a\}_{a \in X}$ and $\{\overline{\star}\} = \{\overline{\star}_a\}_{a \in X}$ unless it causes confusion.

We note that from $(\mathcal{L}1)$, we can obtain that

 (\mathcal{L}^{1}) for any $a, b \in X$, it holds that $(a, b) \star_{a} (a, b) = (a, b) \overline{\star}_{a} (a, b)$.

The property $(\mathcal{L}1')$ and the axioms $(\mathcal{L}2)$ and $(\mathcal{L}3)$ are analogous to the axioms of a biquandle, see [8, 12] for the definition of a biquandle.

- (1) Given a local biquandle $(X, \{\underline{\star}_a\}_{a \in X}, \{\overline{\star}_a\}_{a \in X})$, we have Proposition 1.8. the knot-theoretic horizontal-ternary-quasigroup (X, []) whose horizontaltribracket [] sends (a, b, c) to the second component of the result of $(a, b) \pm_a$ (a,c) (or (a,c) $\overline{\star}_a$ (a,b)).
 - (2) Given a knot-theoretic horizontal-ternary-quasigroup (X, []), we have the local biquandle $(X, \{\underline{\star}_a\}_{a \in X}, \{\overline{\star}_a\}_{a \in X})$ whose operations $\underline{\star}_a$ and $\overline{\star}_a$ are defined by

$$(a,b) \pm_a (a,c) = (c, [a,b,c]), and$$

 $(a,b) \overline{\star}_a (a,c) = (c, [a,c,b]).$

(3) The correspondences of (1) and (2) of this proposition are inverse of each other.

Proof. (1) Suppose that we have a local biquandle $(X, \{\pm_a\}_{a \in X}, \{\overline{\star}_a\}_{a \in X})$. We show that the map $[]: X^3 \to X$ sending (a, b, c) to the second component of the result of $(a, b) \star_a (a, c)$ (or $(a, c) \overline{\star}_a (a, b)$) satisfies the axioms of horizontal-tribrackets in Definition 1.1.

For $a, b, c \in X$, since the map $\underline{\star}_a(a, b) : \{a\} \times X \to \{b\} \times X$ is bijective by the axiom (L2)-(i) of Definition 1.7, there exists a unique $d \in X$ such that (b, c) = $(a,d) \star_a (a,b) = (b, [a,d,b])$, that is, [a,d,b] = c, which satisfies the axiom (H1)-(ii) of Definition 1.1. Similarly, the axioms (\mathcal{L}^2) -(ii) and (\mathcal{L}^2) -(iii) of Definition 1.7 lead to the axioms $(\mathcal{H}1)$ -(i) and $(\mathcal{H}1)$ -(iii) of Definition 1.1, respectively.

For $a, b, c, d \in X$, we have

by the axiom $(\mathcal{L}3)$ -(i) of Definition 1.7,

$$([a, b, d], [b, [a, b, c], [a, b, d]]) = ((a, c) \overline{\star}_a (a, b)) \underline{\star}_b ((a, d) \overline{\star}_a (a, b)) = ((a, c) \underline{\star}_a (a, d)) \overline{\star}_d ((a, b) \underline{\star}_a (a, d)) = ([a, b, d], [d, [a, b, d], [a, c, d]])$$

by the axiom ($\mathcal{L}3$)-(ii) of Definition 1.7, and

$$([a, b, c], [c, [a, b, c], [a, c, d]]) = ((a, d) \overline{\star}_a (a, c)) \overline{\star}_c ((a, b) \underline{\star}_a (a, c)) = ((a, d) \overline{\star}_a (a, b)) \overline{\star}_b ((a, c) \overline{\star}_a (a, b)) = ([a, b, c], [b, [a, b, c], [a, b, d]])$$

by the axiom $(\mathcal{L}3)$ -(iii) of Definition 1.7. Thus the axiom $(\mathcal{H}2)$ of Definition 1.1 can be obtained from the equality of the second components. We leave the proof of (2) and (3) to the reader.

For a local biquandle $(X, \{\pm\}, \{\overline{\star}\})$, we call (X, []) in (1) of Proposition 1.8 the corresponding knot-theoretic horizontal-ternary-quasigroup of $(X, \{\pm\}, \{\overline{\star}\})$. For a knot-theoretic horizontal-ternary-quasigroup (X, []), we call $(X, \{\pm\}, \{\overline{\star}\})$ in (2) of Proposition 1.8 the corresponding local biquandle of (X, []).

2. Local biquandle homology groups and cocycle invariants

2.1. Local biquandle homology groups. Let $(X, \{\pm\}, \{\bar{\star}\})$ be a local biquandle, and (X, []) the corresponding knot-theoretic horizontal-ternary-quasigroup of $(X, \{\pm\}, \{\bar{\star}\})$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C_n(X)$ be the free \mathbb{Z} -module generated by the elements of

$$\bigcup_{a \in X} (\{a\} \times X)^n = \left\{ ((a, b_1), (a, b_2), \dots, (a, b_n)) \mid a, b_1, \dots, b_n \in X \right\}$$

if $n\geq 1,$ and $C_n(X)=0$ otherwise. We define a homomorphism $\partial_n:C_n(X)\to C_{n-1}(X)$ by

$$\partial_n \Big(\big((a, b_1), \dots, (a, b_n) \big) \Big) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \Big\{ \big((a, b_1), \dots, (\widehat{a, b_i}), \dots, (a, b_n) \big) \\ - \big((a, b_1) \pm (a, b_i), \dots, (a, b_{i-1}) \pm (a, b_i), (a, b_{i+1}) \mp (a, b_i), \dots, (a, b_n) \mp (a, b_i) \big) \Big\} \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \Big\{ \big((a, b_1), \dots, (\widehat{a, b_i}), \dots, (a, b_n) \big) \\ - \big((b_i, [a, b_1, b_i]), \dots, (b_i, [a, b_{i-1}, b_i]), (b_i, [a, b_i, b_{i+1}]), \dots, (b_i, [a, b_i, b_n]) \big) \Big\}$$

if $n \ge 2$, and $\partial_n = 0$ otherwise, where \hat{x} means that the component x is removed.

Lemma 2.1. $C_*(X) = \{C_n(X), \partial_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a chain complex, i.e., for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1} = 0$ holds.

Proof. This is shown by a direct calculation as in the case of biquandle chain complexes, see [1, 4].

Let $D_n(X)$ be a submodule of $C_n(X)$ which is generated by the elements of

$$\left\{ \left((a, b_1), \dots, (a, b_n) \right) \in \bigcup_{a \in X} (\{a\} \times X)^n \mid b_i = b_{i+1} \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. $D_*(X) = \{D_n(X), \partial_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a subchain complex of $C_*(X)$, *i.e.*, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\partial_n(D_n(X)) \subset D_{n-1}(X)$ holds.

Proof. This is shown by a direct calculation as in the case of biquandle subchain complexes, see [1, 4].

Therefore the chain complex

$$C^{\mathrm{LB}}_*(X) = \{C^{\mathrm{LB}}_n(X) := C_n(X)/D_n(X), \partial^{\mathrm{LB}}_n := \partial_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is induced. We call the homology group $H_n^{\text{LB}}(X)$ of $C_*^{\text{LB}}(X)$ the *n*th local biquandle homology group of $(X, \{\underline{\star}\}, \{\overline{\star}\})$.

For an abelian group A, we define the chain and cochain complexes by

$$C_n^{\mathrm{LB}}(X;A) = C_n^{\mathrm{LB}}(X) \otimes A, \quad \partial_n^{\mathrm{LB}} \otimes \mathrm{id} \text{ and} \\ C_{\mathrm{LB}}^n(X;A) = \mathrm{Hom}(C_n^{\mathrm{LB}}(X);A), \quad \delta_{\mathrm{LB}}^n \text{ s.t. } \delta_{\mathrm{LB}}^n(f) = f \circ \partial_{n+1}^{\mathrm{LB}}.$$

Let $C^{\mathrm{LB}}_*(X; A) = \{C^{\mathrm{LB}}_n(X; A), \partial^{\mathrm{LB}}_n \otimes \mathrm{id}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ and } C^*_{\mathrm{LB}}(X; A) = \{C^n_{\mathrm{LB}}(X; A), \delta^n_{\mathrm{LB}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$ The *n*th homology group $H^{\mathrm{LB}}_n(X; A)$ and *n*th cohomology group $H^n_{\mathrm{LB}}(X; A)$ of $(X, \{\pm\}, \{\overline{\star}\})$ with coefficient group A are defined by

$$H_n^{\mathrm{LB}}(X;A) = H_n(C^{\mathrm{LB}}_*(X;A)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_{\mathrm{LB}}^n(X;A) = H^n(C^*_{\mathrm{LB}}(X;A)).$$

Note that we omit the coefficient group A if $A = \mathbb{Z}$ as usual.

We remark that these definitions are anologous to those in biquandle (co)homology theory.

2.2. Semi-arc colorings of link diagrams, cocycle invariants. Let $(X, \{\pm\}, \{\overline{\star}\})$ be a local biquandle, and (X, []) the corresponding knot-theoretic horizontal-ternaryquasigroup of $(X, \{\pm\}, \{\overline{\star}\})$. Let D be a connected diagram of a link.

Definition 2.3. A semi-arc X^2 -coloring of D is a map $C : \mathcal{SA}(D) \to X^2$ satisfying the following condition:

• For a crossing composed of under-semi-arcs u_1, u_2 and over-semi-arcs o_1, o_2 as depicted in Figure 8, let $C(u_1) = (a_1, b), C(o_1) = (a_2, c)$. Then

$$a_1 = a_2,$$

 $-C(u_2) = C(u_1) \pm C(o_1) = (a, b) \pm (a, c) = (c, [a, b, c]), \text{ and}$ $-C(o_2) = C(o_1) \pm C(u_1) = (a, c) \pm (a, b) = (b, [a, b, c])$

hold, where $a = a_1 = a_2$, see Figure 8.



We denote by $\operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{\operatorname{SA}}(D)$ the set of semi-arc X^2 -colorings of D. We call C(x) for a semi-arc x the color of x. We call a pair (D, C) of a diagram D and a semi-arc X^2 -coloring C of D a semi-arc X^2 -colored diagram.

Remark 2.4. As shown in Figure 9, a semi-arc X^2 -coloring C of a connected diagram D induces a semi-arc X-coloring \widetilde{C} of the 2-parallel \widetilde{D} , that is, a map \widetilde{C} : $S\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{D}) \to X$ is obtained from C by setting $\widetilde{C}(x^{(+)}) = a$ and $\widetilde{C}(x^{(-)}) = b$ for each semi-arc x of D such that C(x) = (a, b). Moreover, the semi-arc X-coloring \widetilde{C} of \widetilde{D} induces a region X-coloring \overline{C} of D, that is, a map $\overline{C} : \mathcal{R}(D) \to X$ is obtained from \widetilde{C} by setting $\overline{C}(r) = \widetilde{C}(x^{(\varepsilon)})$ for a region $r \in \mathcal{R}(D)$ and a semi-arc $x^{(\varepsilon)} \in S\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{D})$ that is on the boundary of r, where this construction is well-defined only when we use a connected diagram. We also note that the induced region coloring satisfies the condition depicted in Figures 3 and 5, see also Definition 3.5. Thus we have a map $T : \operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SA}(D) \to \operatorname{Col}_X^R(D); C \mapsto \overline{C}$, which we call the translation map from $\operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SA}(D)$ to $\operatorname{Col}_X^R(D)$, where $\operatorname{Col}_X^R(D)$ means the set of region X-colorings of D that satisfy the condition depicted in Figures 3 and 5. For a semi-arc X^2 -coloring C, we call $\overline{C} = T(C)$ the corresponding region X-coloring of C through T.

Since the translation map T is invertible, we have the inverse translation map $T^{-1} : \operatorname{Col}_X^R(D) \to \operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SA}(D)$, and for a region X-coloring C, we call $\overline{C} = T^{-1}(C)$ the corresponding semi-arc X^2 -coloring of C through T^{-1} .



Proposition 2.5. Let D and D' be connected diagrams of links. If D and D' represent the same link, then there exists a bijection between $\operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SA}(D)$ and $\operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SA}(D')$.

Proof. Let D and D' be connected diagrams such that D' is obtained from D by a single Reidemeister move. Let E be a 2-disk in which the move is applied. Let C be a semi-arc X^2 -coloring of D. We define a semi-arc X^2 -coloring C' of D', corresponding to C, by C'(e) = C(e) for a semi-arc e appearing in the outside of E. Then the colors of the semi-arcs appearing in E, by C', are uniquely determined, see Figure 10. Thus we have a bijection $\operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SA}(D) \to \operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SA}(D')$ that maps C to C'.



Figure 10

Next, we show how to obtain a cocycle invariant by using the semi-arc X^2 -colorings of a connected diagram.

Let C be a semi-arc X^2 -coloring of D. We define the local chain $w(D,C;\chi) \in C_2^{\text{LB}}(X)$ at each crossing χ by

$$w(D,C;\chi) = \operatorname{sign}(\chi)((a,b),(a,c))$$

when $C(u_1) = (a, b)$ and $C(o_1) = (a, c)$, where u_1 and o_1 are the under-semi-arc and the over-semi-arc as depicted in Figure 8. We define a chain by

$$W(D,C) = \sum_{\chi \in \{\text{crossings of } D\}} w(D,C;\chi) \in C_2^{\text{LB}}(X).$$

Lemma 2.6. The chain W(D,C) is a 2-cycle of $C_*^{\text{LB}}(X)$.

Proof. This can be shown similarly as in the case of biquandles. More precisely, for each positive crossing χ as depicted in the left of Figure 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2^{\text{LB}} \Big(w(D,C;\chi) \Big) &= \partial_2^{\text{LB}} \Big(\big((a,b), (a,c) \big) \Big) \\ &= -(a,c) + (a,c) \,\overline{\star} \, (a,b) + (a,b) - (a,b) \,\underline{\star} \, (a,c) \\ &= -(a,c) + (b, [a,b,c]) + (a,b) - (c, [a,b,c]), \end{aligned}$$

the positive (resp. negative) terms of which can be assigned to the incoming (resp. outgoing) semi-arcs around χ so as that for each semi-arc around χ the color of the semi-arc coincides with the assigned pair. For a negative crossing, the same thing holds. This ensures that the two ends of each semi-arc have the same pair of elements of X, but with opposite signs. Thus, we have $\partial_2^{\text{LB}}(W(D,C)) = 0$.

Let A be an abelian group. For a 2-cocycle $\theta \in C^2_{\operatorname{LB}}(X;A),$ we define

$$\mathcal{H}(D) = \{ [W(D,C)] \in H_2^{\mathrm{LB}}(X) \mid C \in \mathrm{Col}_{X^2}^{\mathrm{SA}}(D) \}, and$$
$$\Phi_{\theta}(D) = \{ \theta(W(D,C)) \in A \mid C \in \mathrm{Col}_{X^2}^{\mathrm{SA}}(D) \}$$

as multisets. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7. $\mathcal{H}(D)$ and $\Phi_{\theta}(D)$ are invariants of L.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $[W(D,C)] \in H_2^{\text{LB}}(X)$ is unchanged under each semi-arc X^2 -colored Reidemeister move. Here we show this property for the case of a Reidemeister move of type III. We leave the proof of the other cases to the reader.



Figure 11

Let (D, C) and (D', C') be semi-arc X^2 -colored, connected diagrams of L that differ by the Reidemeister move of type III shown in Figure 11. We then have

$$W(D, C) = ((a, b), (a, c)) + ((a, c), (a, d)) + ((c, [a, b, c]), (c, [a, c, d])) + C = ((a, b), (a, c)) + ((a, c), (a, d)) + ((a, b) \pm (a, c), (a, d) \mp (a, c)) + C,$$

$$\begin{split} W(D',C') \\ &= \left((a,b), (a,d) \right) + \left((b,[a,b,c]), (b,[a,b,d]) \right) + \left((d,[a,b,d]), (d,[a,c,d]) \right) + \mathcal{C} \\ &= \left((a,b), (a,d) \right) + \left((a,c) \,\overline{\star} \, (a,b), (a,d) \,\overline{\star} \, (a,b) \right) + \left((a,b) \,\underline{\star} \, (a,d), (a,c) \,\underline{\star} \, (a,d) \right) + \mathcal{C} \end{split}$$

for some chain \mathcal{C} in $C_2^{\text{LB}}(X)$. On the other hand,

$$\partial_{3}^{\text{LB}}\Big(\big((a,b),(a,c),(a,d)\big)\Big) = -\big((a,c),(a,d)\big) + \big((a,c)\,\overline{\star}\,(a,b),(a,d)\,\overline{\star}\,(a,b)\big) \\ + \big((a,b),(a,d)\big) - \big((a,b)\,\underline{\star}\,(a,c),(a,d)\,\overline{\star}\,(a,c)\big) \\ - \big((a,b),(a,c)\big) + \big((a,b)\,\underline{\star}\,(a,d),(a,c)\,\underline{\star}\,(a,d)\big),$$

which implies that

$$W(D',C') - W(D,C) = \partial_3^{\text{LB}} \Big(\big((a,b),(a,c),(a,d)\big) \Big) \in \text{Im}\,\partial_3^{\text{LB}}.$$

Therefore we have $[W(D,C)] = [W(D',C')] \in H_2^{\text{LB}}(X).$

Proposition 2.8. For cohomologous 2-cocycles $\theta, \theta' \in C^2_{LB}(X; A)$, we have $\Phi_{\theta}(D) = \Phi_{\theta'}(D)$.

Proof. Since θ and θ' are cohomologous, we have $\theta - \theta' \in \text{Im } \delta^1$, and hence, there exists a homomorphism $\phi : C_1^{\text{LB}}(X) \to A$ such that $\theta - \theta' = \delta_{\text{LB}}^1(\phi) = \phi \circ \partial_2^{\text{LB}}$. Then for each semi-arc X^2 -coloring C of D, we have

$$\theta(W(D,C)) - \theta'(W(D,C)) = (\theta - \theta')(W(D,C))$$
$$= (\phi \circ \partial_2^{\text{LB}})(W(D,C))$$
$$= \phi(\partial_2^{\text{LB}}(W(D,C))$$
$$= 0.$$

Thus we have $\Phi_{\theta}(D) = \Phi_{\theta'}(D)$.

2.3. Semi-sheet colorings of surface-link diagrams, cocycle invariants. For surface-links, we can also define semi-sheet X^2 -colorings for diagrams and cocycle invariants. In this subsection, we briefly show these definitions and similar properties.

Let $(X, \{\underline{\star}\}, \{\overline{\star}\})$ be a local biquandle, and (X, []) the corresponding knot-theoretic horizontal-ternary-quasigroup of $(X, \{\underline{\star}\}, \{\overline{\star}\})$. Let D be a connected diagram of a surface-link.

Definition 2.9. A semi-sheet X^2 -coloring of D is a map $C : SS(D) \to X^2$ satisfying the following condition:

• For a double point curve composed of under-semi-sheets u_1, u_2 and oversemi-sheets o_1, o_2 as depicted in Figure 12, let $C(u_1) = (a_1, b), C(o_1) = (a_2, c)$. Then

$$\begin{array}{l} -a_1 = a_2, \\ -C(u_2) = C(u_1) \star C(o_1) = (a,b) \star (a,c) = (c,[a,b,c]), \text{ and} \\ -C(o_2) = C(o_1) \overleftarrow{\star} C(u_1) = (a,c) \overleftarrow{\star} (a,b) = (b,[a,b,c]) \\ \text{hold, where } a = a_1 = a_2, \text{ see Figure 12.} \end{array}$$



Figure 12

We denote by $\operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SS}(D)$ the set of semi-sheet X^2 -colorings of D. We call C(x) for a semi-sheet x the color of x. We call a pair (D, C) of a diagram D and a semi-sheet X^2 -coloring C of D a semi-sheet X^2 -colored diagram.

Remark 2.10. As shown in Remark 2.4 and Figure 13, we have the bijective translation maps $T : \operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SS}(D) \to \operatorname{Col}_X^R(D); C \mapsto \overline{C}$ and $T^{-1} : \operatorname{Col}_X^R(D) \to \operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^R(D); \overline{C} \mapsto C$, where $\operatorname{Col}_X^R(D)$ means the set of region colorings of D that satisfy the condition depicted in the right of Figure 13 and Figure 15.

For a semi-sheet X^2 -coloring C, we call $\overline{C} = T(C)$ the corresponding region Xcoloring of C through T. For a region X-coloring C, we call $\overline{C} = T^{-1}(C)$ the corresponding semi-sheet X^2 -coloring of C through T^{-1} .



Figure 13

Proposition 2.11. Let D and D' be connected diagrams of surface-links. If D and D' represent the same surface-link, then there exists a bijection between $\operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SS}(D)$ and $\operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SS}(D')$.

Next, we show how to obtain a cocycle invariant by using semi-sheet X^2 -colorings of a connected diagram.

Let C be a semi-sheet X²-coloring of D. We define the local chain $w(D,C;\tau) \in C_3^{\text{LB}}(X)$ at each triple point τ by

$$w(D,C;\tau) = \operatorname{sign}(\tau)\big((a,b),(a,c),(a,d)\big)$$

when $C(b_1) = (a, b), C(m_1) = (a, c)$ and $C(t_1) = (a, d)$, where b_1, m_1 and t_1 are the bottom-, middle- and top-semi-sheet as depicted in Figure 14.



Figure 14

Let A be an abelian group. We define a chain by

$$W(D,C) = \sum_{\tau \in \{\text{triple points of } D\}} w(D,C;\tau) \in C_3^{\text{LB}}(X).$$

Lemma 2.12. The chain W(D,C) is a 3-cycle of $C_*^{\text{LB}}(X)$.

For a 3-cocycle $\theta \in C^3_{LB}(X; A)$, we define

 $\mathcal{H}(D) = \{ [W(D,C)] \in H_3^{\mathrm{LB}}(X) \mid C \in \mathrm{Col}_{X^2}^{\mathrm{SS}}(D) \}, and$

$$\Phi_{\theta}(D) = \{\theta(W(D,C)) \in A \mid C \in \operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{SS}(D)\}$$

as multisets. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.13. $\mathcal{H}(D)$ and $\Phi_{\theta}(D)$ are invariants of F.

Proposition 2.14. For cohomologous 3-cocycles $\theta, \theta' \in C^3_{LB}(X; A)$, we have $\Phi_{\theta}(D) = \Phi_{\theta'}(D)$.

3. Niebrzydowski's work

In [18] (see also [19]), Niebrzydowski studied knot-theoretic vertical-tribracket $\langle \rangle$ (with some conditions) to give a region coloring of a link diagram, and he gave a homology theory for knot-theoretic ternary quasigroups. In this section, we review his idea with our notation.

3.1. Homology groups. Let $(X, \langle \rangle)$ is a knot-theoretic vertical-ternary-quasigroup. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C_n^{\text{Nie}}(X)$ be the free \mathbb{Z} -module generated by the elements of X^{n+2} if $n \geq 0$, and $C_n^{\text{Nie}}(X) = 0$ otherwise. We define a homomorphism $\partial_n^{\text{Nie}} : C_n^{\text{Nie}}(X) \to C_{n-1}^{\text{Nie}}(X)$ by

$$\partial_n^{\text{Nie}} ((a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}))$$

= $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \left\{ (y_{(i,1)}, y_{(i,2)}, \dots, y_{(i,i)}, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{n+1}) - (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, y_{(i,i+1)}, y_{(i,i+2)}, \dots, y_{(i,n)}) \right\}$

if n > 0, and $\partial_n^{\text{Nie}} = 0$ otherwise, where

$$y_{(i,j)} = \begin{cases} \langle a_{j-1}, a_j, y_{(i,j+1)} \rangle & (j < i), \\ \langle a_{j-1}, a_j, a_{j+1} \rangle & (j = i, i+1), \\ \langle y_{(i,j-1)}, a_j, a_{j+1} \rangle & (j > i+1). \end{cases}$$

Example 3.1. For $a, b, c, d \in X$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{1}^{\text{Nie}} \big((a, b, c) \big) &= (-1)^{0} \{ (b, c) - (a, \langle a, b, c \rangle) \} + (-1)^{1} \{ (\langle a, b, c \rangle, c) - (a, b) \}, \\ &= (b, c) - (a, \langle a, b, c \rangle) - (\langle a, b, c \rangle, c) + (a, b), \\ \partial_{2}^{\text{Nie}} \big((a, b, c, d) \big) &= (-1)^{0} \{ (b, c, d) - (a, \langle a, b, c \rangle, \langle a, b, c \rangle, c, d \rangle) \} \\ &+ (-1)^{1} \{ (\langle a, b, c \rangle, c, d) - (a, b, \langle b, c, d \rangle) \} \\ &+ (-1)^{2} \{ (\langle a, b, \langle b, c, d \rangle \rangle, \langle b, c, d \rangle, d) - (a, b, c), c, d) \\ &= (b, c, d) - (a, \langle a, b, c \rangle, \langle \langle a, b, c \rangle, c, d \rangle) - (\langle a, b, c \rangle, c, d) \\ &+ (a, b, \langle b, c, d \rangle) + (\langle a, b, \langle b, c, d \rangle), \langle b, c, d \rangle, d) - (a, b, c). \end{split}$$

Remark 3.2. We modified the definitions of the chain group $C_{-1}^{\text{Nie}}(X)$ and the boundary map ∂_0^{Nie} , while Niebrzydowski defined $C_0^{\text{Nie}}(X)$ to be the free \mathbb{Z} -module generated by the elements of X, and ∂_0^{Nie} by $\partial_0^{\text{Nie}}((a_0, a_1)) = (a_1) - (a_0)$. This does not affect the 2- or 3-cocycle conditions and his cocycle invariants defined in Subsections 3.2 and 3.3.

Lemma 3.3. ([18]) $C^{\text{Nie}}_*(X) = \{C^{\text{Nie}}_n(X), \partial^{\text{Nie}}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a chain complex, i.e., for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\partial^{\text{Nie}}_n \circ \partial^{\text{Nie}}_{n+1} = 0$ holds.

Let $D_n^{\text{Nie}}(X)$ be the submodule of $C_n^{\text{Nie}}(X)$ that is generated by the elements of

$$\{(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in X^{n+2} \mid \langle a_{j-1}, a_j, a_{j+1} \rangle = a_j \text{ for some } j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \}.$$

Lemma 3.4. ([18]) $D^{\text{Nie}}_*(X) = \{D^{\text{Nie}}_n(X), \partial^{\text{Nie}}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a subchain complex of $C^{\text{Nie}}_*(X)$, *i.e.*, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\partial_n(D^{\text{Nie}}_n(X)) \subset D^{\text{Nie}}_{n-1}(X)$ holds.

Therefore the chain complex

$$C^{\mathrm{N}}_*(X) = \{C^{\mathrm{N}}_n(X) := C^{\mathrm{Nie}}_n(X) / D^{\mathrm{Nie}}_n(X), \partial^{\mathrm{N}}_n := \partial^{\mathrm{Nie}}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is induced. The homology group $H_n^N(X)$ of $C_*^N(X)$ is called the *n*th knot-theoretic ternary quasigroup homology of $(X, \langle \rangle)$.

For an abelian group A, we define the chain and cochain complexes by

$$\begin{split} C_n^{\mathrm{N}}(X;A) &= C_n^{\mathrm{N}}(X) \otimes A, \quad \partial_n^{\mathrm{N}} \otimes \operatorname{id} \text{ and} \\ C_{\mathrm{N}}^n(X;A) &= \operatorname{Hom}(C_n^{\mathrm{N}}(X);A), \quad \delta_{\mathrm{N}}^n \text{ s.t. } \delta_{\mathrm{N}}^n(f) = f \circ \partial_{n+1}^{\mathrm{N}}. \end{split}$$

Let $C^{\mathcal{N}}_{*}(X;A) = \{C^{\mathcal{N}}_{n}(X;A), \partial^{\mathcal{N}}_{n} \otimes \mathrm{id}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $C^{*}_{\mathcal{N}}(X;A) = \{C^{n}_{\mathcal{N}}(X;A), \delta^{n}_{\mathcal{N}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The *n*th homology group $H^{\mathcal{N}}_{n}(X;A)$ and *n*th cohomology group $H^{n}_{\mathcal{N}}(X;A)$ of $(X, \langle \rangle)$ with coefficient group A are defined by

$$H_n^N(X; A) = H_n(C_*^N(X; A))$$
 and $H_N^n(X; A) = H^n(C_N^*(X; A)).$

Note that we omit the coefficient group A if $A = \mathbb{Z}$ as usual.

3.2. Region colorings of link diagrams, cocycle invariants. Let $(X, \langle \rangle)$ be a knot-theoretic vertical-ternary-quasigroup. Let D be a diagram of a link.

Definition 3.5. A region X-coloring of D is a map $C : \mathcal{R}(D) \to X$ satisfying the following condition:

• For a crossing with regions r_1 , r_2 , r_3 and r_4 near it as depicted in Figure 5, let $C(r_1) = a$, $C(r_2) = b$ and $C(r_3) = c$. Then $C(r_4) = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ holds, see Figure 5.

We denote by $\operatorname{Col}_X^{\mathbb{R}}(D)$ the set of region X-colorings of D. We call C(r) for a region r the color of r. We call a pair (D, C) of a diagram D and a region X-coloring C of D a region X-colored diagram.

Proposition 3.6. ([18]) Let D and D' be diagrams of links. If D and D' represent the same links, then there exists a bijection between $\operatorname{Col}_X^R(D)$ and $\operatorname{Col}_X^R(D')$.

Next, we show how to obtain a cocycle invariant by using region X-colorings of a diagram.

Let C be a region X-coloring of D. We define the local chain $w^{\mathbb{N}}(D,C;\chi) \in C_1^{\mathbb{N}}(X)$ at each crossing χ by

$$w^{\mathbb{N}}(D,C;\chi) = \operatorname{sign}(\chi)(a,b,c)$$

when $C(r_1) = a, C(r_2) = b$ and $C(r_3) = c$, where r_1, r_2 and r_3 are the regions near χ as depicted in Figure 5. We define a chain by

$$W^{\mathcal{N}}(D,C) = \sum_{\chi \in \{\text{crossings of } D\}} w^{\mathcal{N}}(D,C;\chi) \in C_1^{\mathcal{N}}(X).$$

Lemma 3.7. ([18]) The chain $W^{\mathbb{N}}(D,C)$ is a 1-cycle of $C^{\mathbb{N}}_*(X)$.

Let A be an abelian group. For a 1-cocycle $\theta \in C^1_N(X; A)$, we define

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{N}}(D) = \{ [W^{\mathcal{N}}(D,C)] \in H_1^{\mathcal{N}}(X) \mid C \in \operatorname{Col}_X^{\mathcal{R}}(D) \}, and$$
$$\Phi_{\theta}^{\mathcal{N}}(D) = \{ \theta(W^{\mathcal{N}}(D,C)) \in A \mid C \in \operatorname{Col}_X^{\mathcal{R}}(D) \}$$

as multisets.

Theorem 3.8. ([18]) $\mathcal{H}^{N}(D)$ and $\Phi^{N}_{\theta}(D)$ are invariants of L.

3.3. Region colorings of surface-link diagrams, cocycle invariants. Let $(X, \langle \rangle)$ be a knot-theoretic vertical-ternary-quasigroup. Let D be a diagram of a surface-link.

Definition 3.9. A region X-coloring of D is a map $C : \mathcal{R}(D) \to X$ satisfying the following condition:

• For a double point curve with regions r_1 , r_2 , r_3 and r_4 near it as depicted in Figure 15, let $C(r_1) = a$, $C(r_2) = b$ and $C(r_3) = c$. Then $C(r_4) = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ holds, see Figure 15.



Figure 15

We denote by $\operatorname{Col}_X^{\mathbb{R}}(D)$ the set of region X-colorings of D. We call C(r) for a region r the color of r. We call a pair (D, C) of a diagram D and a region X-coloring C of D a region X-colored diagram.

Proposition 3.10. ([18]) Let D and D' be diagrams of surface-links. If D and D' represent the same surface-link, then there exists a bijection between $\operatorname{Col}_X^{\mathrm{R}}(D)$ and $\operatorname{Col}_X^{\mathrm{R}}(D')$.

Next, we show how to obtain a cocycle invariant by using region X-colorings of a diagram.

Let C be a region X-coloring of D. We define the local chain $w^{\mathbb{N}}(D,C;\tau) \in C_2^{\mathbb{N}}(X)$ at each triple point τ by

$$w^{\mathrm{N}}(D,C;\tau) = \operatorname{sign}(\tau)(a,b,c,d)$$

when $C(r_1) = a, C(r_2) = b, C(r_3) = c$ and $C(r_4) = d$, where r_1, r_2, r_3 and r_4 are the regions near τ as depicted in Figure 16.



Figure 16

We define a chain by

$$W^{\mathcal{N}}(D,C) = \sum_{\tau \in \{\text{triple points of } D\}} w^{\mathcal{N}}(D,C;\tau) \in C_2^{\mathcal{N}}(X).$$

Lemma 3.11. ([18]) The chain $W^{\mathbb{N}}(D, C)$ is a 2-cycle of $C^{\mathbb{N}}_*(X)$.

Let A be an abelian group. For a 2-cocycle $\theta \in C^2_{\rm N}(X;A),$ we define

$$\mathcal{H}^{N}(D) = \{ [W^{N}(D,C)] \in H_{2}^{N}(X) \mid C \in \operatorname{Col}_{X}^{R}(D) \}, and$$

$$\Phi^{\mathsf{N}}_{\theta}(D) = \{\theta(W^{\mathsf{N}}(D,C)) \in A \mid C \in \operatorname{Col}_{X}^{\mathsf{R}}(D)\}$$

as multisets.

Theorem 3.12. ([18]) $\mathcal{H}^{N}(D)$ and $\Phi^{N}_{\theta}(D)$ are invariants of F.

4. Correspondence between our work and Niebrzydowski's work

4.1. Correspondence between (co)homology groups. From now on, $x|_{a\mapsto b}$ means the element x after replacing a in x with b.

Let X be a set, [] a horizontal-tribracket on X, and $\langle \rangle$ the corresponding vertical-tribracket of [].

Define a homomorphism $\varphi_n : C_n^{\text{LB}}(X) \to C_{n-1}^{\text{N}}(X)$ by

$$\varphi_n\Big(\big((a,b_1),(a,b_2),\ldots,(a,b_n)\big)\Big) = (z_0,z_1,\ldots,z_n)$$

if $n \ge 1$, and $\varphi_n = 0$ otherwise, where

$$\begin{cases} z_0 = a, \\ z_1 = b_1, \\ z_i = [z_{i-2}, z_{i-1}, z_{i-1}|_{b_{i-1} \mapsto b_i}] \quad (i \in \{2, \dots, n\}). \end{cases}$$

Define a homomorphism $\psi_n: C^{\mathrm{N}}_{n-1}(X) \to C^{\mathrm{LB}}_n(X)$ by

$$\psi_n((a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) = ((a, w_1), (a, w_2), \dots, (a, w_n))$$

if $n \ge 1$, and $\psi_n = 0$ otherwise, where

$$\begin{cases} w_1 = a_1, \\ w_2 = \langle a, a_1, a_2 \rangle, \\ w_i = w_{i-1}|_{a_{i-1} \mapsto \langle a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, a_i \rangle} & (i \in \{3, \dots, n\}). \end{cases}$$

Example 4.1. For $a, b, c, d \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2\Big(\big((a,b),(a,c)\big)\Big) &= (a,b,[a,b,c]),\\ \varphi_3\Big(\big((a,b),(a,c),(a,d)\big)\Big) &= (a,b,[a,b,c],[b,[a,b,c],[a,b,d]]\big),\\ \psi_2\Big((a,b,c)\Big) &= ((a,b),(a,\langle a,b,c\rangle)),\\ \psi_3\Big((a,b,c,d)\Big) &= ((a,b),(a,\langle a,b,c\rangle),(a,\langle a,b,\langle b,c,d\rangle\rangle))\end{aligned}$$

We postpone the proof of the fact that φ_n and ψ_n are chain maps and the inverses of each other to Subsection 4.4. This implies that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the induced isomorphism $\varphi_n^* : H_n^{\text{LB}}(X) \to H_{n-1}^{\text{N}}(X)$ defined by

$$\varphi_n^* \Big(\Big[\big((a, b_1), (a, b_2), \dots, (a, b_n) \big) \Big] \Big) = \Big[\varphi_n \Big(\big((a, b_1), (a, b_2), \dots, (a, b_n) \big) \Big) \Big],$$

and the inverse isomorphism $\psi_n^*: H_{n-1}^{\rm N}(X) \to H_n^{\rm LB}(X)$ defined by

$$\psi_n^*\Big(\Big[(a,a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n)\Big]\Big) = \Big[\psi_n\Big((a,a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n)\Big)\Big]$$

Let A be an abelian group. The bijective chain map φ_n induces the bijective chain map $\varphi_n \otimes \operatorname{id} : C_n^{\operatorname{LB}}(X;A) \to C_{n-1}^{\operatorname{N}}(X;A)$, and hence, we have an isomorphism $\varphi_n^* \otimes \operatorname{id} : H_n^{\operatorname{LB}}(X;A) \to H_{n-1}^{\operatorname{N}}(X;A)$. The bijective chain map φ_n induces the bijective cochain map $\varphi^n : C_{\operatorname{N}}^{n-1}(X;A) \to C_{\operatorname{LB}}^n(X;A)$ defined by $\varphi^n(f) = f \circ \varphi_n$,

and hence, we have an isomorphism $\varphi_*^n : H^{n-1}_N(X; A) \to H^n_{LB}(X; A)$. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

 $H_n^{\mathrm{LB}}(X;A) \cong H_{n-1}^{\mathrm{N}}(X;A) \text{ and } H_{\mathrm{LB}}^n(X;A) \cong H_{\mathrm{N}}^{n-1}(X;A).$

4.2. Correspondence between cocycle invariants of links. Let $\varphi_2 : C_2^{\text{LB}}(X) \to C_1^{\text{N}}(X)$ be the chain map defined in Subsection 4.1, and ψ_2 the inverse chain map of φ_2 .

Suppose that we have exactly the same situation as in Subsection 2.2. Let $\langle \rangle : X^3 \to X$ be the corresponding vertical-tribracket of the horizontal-tribracket [], and \overline{C} the corresponding region X-coloring of the semi-arc X²-coloring C through the translation map T defined in Remark 2.4.



Figure 17

At a crossing χ of D as depicted in the upper of Figure 17, we have

$$w^{N}(D,\overline{C};\chi) = \operatorname{sign}(\chi)(a,b,[a,b,c])$$
$$= \varphi_{2}\left(\operatorname{sign}(\chi)((a,b),(a,c))\right)$$
$$= \varphi_{2}(w(D,C;\chi)),$$

which implies that $W^{\mathbb{N}}(D,\overline{C}) = \varphi_2(W(D,C))$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{N}}(D) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} W^{\mathrm{N}}(D,\overline{C}) \end{bmatrix} \middle| \overline{C} \in \mathrm{Col}_{X}^{\mathrm{R}}(D) \right\}$$

= $\left\{ \varphi_{2}^{*}([W(D,C)]) \middle| C \in \mathrm{Col}_{X^{2}}^{\mathrm{SA}}(D) \right\}$
= $\varphi_{2}^{*}(\mathcal{H}(D)).$

For the 2-cocycle $\theta: C_2^{\text{LB}}(X) \to A$, set $\overline{\theta}: C_1^{\text{N}}(X) \to A$ by $\overline{\theta} = \theta \circ \psi_2$, which is a 1-cocycle of $C_{\text{N}}^*(X)$. Then we have

$$\overline{\theta}(w^{\mathrm{N}}(D,\overline{C};\chi)) = \theta \circ \psi_2(\varphi_2(w(D,C;\chi)))$$
$$= \theta(w(D,C;\chi)),$$

which implies $\overline{\theta}(W^{N}(D,\overline{C})) = \theta(W(D,C))$. Thus we have $\Phi_{\overline{\theta}}^{N}(D) = \Phi_{\theta}(D)$. Therefore, the Niebrzydowski's invariants $\mathcal{H}^{N}(L)$ and $\Phi_{\overline{\theta}}^{N}(L)$ can be obtained from our invariants $\mathcal{H}(L)$ and $\Phi_{\theta}(L)$, respectively.

Conversely, suppose that we have exactly the same situation as in Subsection 3.2. We may assume that a diagram D is connected. Let $[]: X^3 \to X$ be the corresponding horizontal-tribracket of the vertical-tribracket $\langle \rangle$, and \overline{C} the corresponding semi-arc X^2 -coloring of the region X-coloring C through the translation map T^{-1} defined in Remark 2.4.

At a crossing χ of D depicted in the lower of Figure 17, we have

$$w(D,\overline{C};\chi) = \operatorname{sign}(\chi)((a,b),(a,\langle a,b,c\rangle))$$
$$= \psi_2(\operatorname{sign}(\chi)(a,b,c))$$
$$= \psi_2(w^{N}(D,C;\chi)),$$

which implies that $W(D, \overline{C}) = \psi_2(W^N(D, C))$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{H}(D) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} W(D,\overline{C}) \end{bmatrix} \middle| \overline{C} \in \operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{\mathrm{SA}}(D) \right\}$$

= $\left\{ \psi_2^*(\begin{bmatrix} W^{\mathrm{N}}(D,C) \end{bmatrix}) \middle| C \in \operatorname{Col}_X^{\mathrm{R}}(D) \right\}$
= $\psi_2^*(\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{N}}(D)).$

For the 1-cocycle $\theta : C_1^{\mathbb{N}}(X) \to A$, set $\overline{\theta} : C_2^{\mathbb{LB}}(X) \to A$ by $\overline{\theta} = \theta \circ \varphi_2$, which is a 2-cocycle of $C_{\mathbb{LB}}^*(X)$. Then we have

$$\overline{\theta} (w(D, \overline{C}; \chi)) = \theta \circ \varphi_2 (\psi_2 (w^{\mathbb{N}}(D, C; \chi))) = \theta (w^{\mathbb{N}}(D, C; \chi)),$$

which implies $\overline{\theta}(W(D,\overline{C})) = \theta(W^{N}(D,C))$. Thus we have $\Phi_{\overline{\theta}}(D) = \Phi_{\theta}^{N}(D)$. Therefore, our invariants $\mathcal{H}(L)$ and $\Phi_{\overline{\theta}}(L)$ can be obtained from the Niebrzydowski's invariants $\mathcal{H}^{N}(L)$ and $\Phi_{\theta}^{N}(L)$, respectively.

As a consequence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. Let X be a set, and let [] and $\langle \rangle$ be a horizontal- and a verticaltribracket on X, respectively, that are corresponding each other. Let θ and θ' be a 2-cocycle of $C^*_{\text{LB}}(X)$ and a 1-cocycle of $C^*_N(X)$, respectively, that are corresponding each other through the isomorphisms φ^2_* and ψ^2_* . Let L be a link. Then we have

$$\varphi_2^*(\mathcal{H}(L)) = \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{N}}(L) \text{ and } \psi_2^*(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{N}}(L)) = \mathcal{H}(L),$$

and

$$\Phi_{\theta}(L) = \Phi_{\theta'}^{\mathcal{N}}(L).$$

4.3. Correspondence between cocycle invariants of surface-links. Let φ_3 : $C_3^{\text{LB}}(X) \to C_2^{\text{N}}(X)$ be the chain map defined in Subsection 4.1, and ψ_3 the inverse chain map of φ_3 .

Suppose that we have exactly the same situation as in Subsection 2.3. Let $\langle \rangle : X^3 \to X$ be the corresponding vertical-tribracket of the horizontal-tribracket [], and \overline{C} the corresponding region X-coloring of the semi-sheet X^2 -coloring C through the translation map T defined in Remark 2.10.



Figure 18

At a triple point τ of D as depicted in the upper of Figure 18, we have

$$w^{N}(D,\overline{C};\tau) = \operatorname{sign}(\chi) \Big(a, b, [a, b, c], [b, [a, b, c], [a, b, d]] \Big)$$
$$= \varphi_{3} \Big(\operatorname{sign}(\chi) \big((a, b), (a, c), (a, d) \big) \Big)$$
$$= \varphi_{3} \big(w(D, C; \tau) \big),$$

which implies that $W^{\mathbb{N}}(D,\overline{C}) = \varphi_3(W(D,C))$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{N}}(D) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} W^{\mathrm{N}}(D,\overline{C}) \end{bmatrix} \middle| \overline{C} \in \mathrm{Col}_{X}^{\mathrm{R}}(D) \right\}$$

= $\left\{ \varphi_{3}^{*}([W(D,C)]) \middle| C \in \mathrm{Col}_{X^{2}}^{\mathrm{SS}}(D) \right\}$
= $\varphi_{3}^{*}(\mathcal{H}(D)).$

For the 3-cocycle $\theta: C_3^{LB}(X) \to A$, set $\overline{\theta}: C_2^N(X) \to A$ by $\overline{\theta} = \theta \circ \psi_3$, which is a 2-cocycle of $C_N^*(X)$. Then we have

$$\overline{\theta}(w^{N}(D,\overline{C};\tau)) = \theta \circ \psi_{3}(\varphi_{3}(w(D,C;\tau)))$$
$$= \theta(w(D,C;\tau)),$$

which implies $\overline{\theta}(W^{N}(D,\overline{C})) = \theta(W(D,C))$. Thus we have $\Phi_{\overline{\theta}}^{N}(D) = \Phi_{\theta}(D)$. Therefore, the Niebrzydowski's invariants $\mathcal{H}^{N}(F)$ and $\Phi_{\overline{\theta}}^{N}(F)$ can be obtained from our invariants $\mathcal{H}(F)$ and $\Phi_{\theta}(F)$, respectively. Conversely, suppose that we have exactly the same situation as in Subsection 3.3. We may assume that a diagram D is connected. Let $[]: X^3 \to X$ be the corresponding horizontal-tribracket of the vertical-tribracket $\langle \rangle$, and \overline{C} the corresponding semi-sheet X^2 -coloring of the region X-coloring C through the translation map T^{-1} defined in Remark 2.10.

At a triple point τ of D depicted in the lower of Figure 18, we have

$$w(D,\overline{C};\tau) = \operatorname{sign}(\tau) \Big((a,b), (a,\langle a,b,c\rangle), (a,\langle a,b,\langle b,c,d\rangle\rangle) \Big) = \psi_3 \big(\operatorname{sign}(\tau)(a,b,c,d) \big) = \psi_3 \big(w^{\mathrm{N}}(D,C;\tau) \big),$$

which implies that $W(D, \overline{C}) = \psi_3(W^N(D, C))$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(D) &= \left\{ \left[W(D,\overline{C}) \right] \mid \overline{C} \in \operatorname{Col}_{X^2}^{\mathrm{SS}}(D) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \psi_3^*(\left[W^{\mathrm{N}}(D,C) \right] \right) \mid C \in \operatorname{Col}_X^{\mathrm{R}}(D) \right\} \\ &= \psi_3^*(\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{N}}(D)). \end{aligned}$$

For the 2-cocycle $\theta : C_2^{\mathbb{N}}(X) \to A$, set $\overline{\theta} : C_3^{\mathbb{LB}}(X) \to A$ by $\overline{\theta} = \theta \circ \varphi_3$, which is a 3-cocycle of $C_{\mathbb{LB}}^*(X)$. Then we have

$$\overline{\theta} (w(D, \overline{C}; \tau)) = \theta \circ \varphi_3 (\psi_3 (w^{\mathsf{N}}(D, C; \tau))) = \theta (w^{\mathsf{N}}(D, C; \tau)),$$

which implies $\overline{\theta}(W(D,\overline{C})) = \theta(W^{N}(D,C))$. Thus we have $\Phi_{\overline{\theta}}(D) = \Phi_{\theta}^{N}(D)$. Therefore, our invariants $\mathcal{H}(L)$ and $\Phi_{\overline{\theta}}(L)$ can be obtained from the Niebrzydowski's invariants $\mathcal{H}^{N}(L)$ and $\Phi_{\theta}^{N}(L)$, respectively.

As a consequence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. Let X be a set, and let [] and $\langle \rangle$ be a horizontal- and a verticaltribracket on X, respectively, that are corresponding each other. Let θ and θ' be a 3-cocycle of $C^*_{\text{LB}}(X)$ and a 2-cocycle of $C^*_N(X)$, respectively, that are corresponding each other through the isomorphisms φ^3_* and ψ^3_* . Let F be a surface-link. Then we have

$$\varphi_3^*(\mathcal{H}(F)) = \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{N}}(F) \text{ and } \psi_3^*(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{N}}(F)) = \mathcal{H}(F),$$

and

$$\Phi_{\theta}(F) = \Phi_{\theta'}^{\mathcal{N}}(F).$$

4.4. Chain maps φ_n and ψ_n . In this subsection, we will discuss the homomorphisms φ_n and ψ_n defined in Subsection 4.1. In particular, we show that they are chain maps between the chain complexes $C_*^{\text{LB}}(X)$ and $C_*^{\text{N}}(X)$, and that they are the inverses of each other.

Notation 4.5. In this subsection, we use the following notations.

• The bold angle bracket $\langle a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ means

$$\left\langle a_0, a_1, \left\langle a_1, a_2, \left\langle a_2, a_3, \left\langle \cdots \left\langle a_{n-3}, a_{n-2}, \left\langle a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, a_n \right\rangle \right\rangle \cdots \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

• The augmented bold square bracket $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n; b$ means

$$\left[a_{n-1}, a_n, \left[a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, \left[\cdots \left[a_2, a_3, \left[a_1, a_2, \left[a_0, a_1, b\right]\right]\right] \cdots \right]\right]\right].$$

Let X be a set X, [] a horizontal-tribracket on X, and $\langle \rangle$ the corresponding vertical-tribracket of [].

Lemma 4.6. For nonnegative integers m, n and i, let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{\max\{m,n\}}, b, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_m, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_n \in X$.

(1) For $n \ge 2$ and $i \le n-2$, we have

$$\langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_i, \langle a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n \rangle \rangle.$$

(2) For $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_0, \langle a_0, a_1, a_2 \rangle, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n \rangle.$$

(3) For $n \ge 1$, we have

$$\left\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \left[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n; b \right] \right\rangle = b.$$

(4) For $m \ge 1$, $n \ge 2$ and $0 \le i \le \min\{m-1, n-2\}$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m; \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m; \langle a_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m; \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \langle a_m, a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n \rangle & (m < n - 1), \\ a_n & (m = n - 1), \\ [a_{n-1}, a_n, \dots, a_m; a_n] & (m > n - 1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) This follows easily from the definition of the bold angle bracket.(2) We have

$$\left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, \dots, a_{n} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, \left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \left\langle a_{2}, \dots, a_{n} \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle a_{0}, \left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2} \right\rangle, a_{2}, \left\langle a_{2}, \dots, a_{n} \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle a_{0}, \left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2} \right\rangle, a_{2}, \left\langle a_{2}, \dots, a_{n} \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle a_{0}, \left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2} \right\rangle, a_{2}, a_{3}, \dots, a_{n} \right\rangle,$$

where the first and third equalities follow from the definition of the bold angle bracket, the second equality follows from (i) of $(\mathcal{V}2)$ of Definition 1.2, and the last equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.6.

(3) We prove this formula by induction for $n \ge 1$. When n = 1, we have $\langle a_0, a_1, [a_0, a_1; b] \rangle = \langle a_0, a_1, [a_0, a_1, b] \rangle = b$ by (1) of Lemma 1.4. Assuming the formula holds for some $n \ge 1$, we have

$$\left\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}, \left[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}; b \right] \right\rangle$$

= $\left\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \left\langle a_n, a_{n+1}, \left[a_n, a_{n+1}, \left[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n; b \right] \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle$
= $\left\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \left[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n; b \right] \right\rangle$
= $b,$

where the first equality follows from the definitions, the second equality follows from (1) of Lemma 1.4, and the third equality follows from the assumption.

(4) We show the first equality only, and we leave the proof of the other formulas to the reader.

For k < i, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{i}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{m}; \langle a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{i}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{n} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_{m-1}, x_{m}, \left[\cdots \left[a_{k+1}, \bullet_{k+2}, \left[a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \langle a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{i}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{n} \rangle \right] \right] \cdots \right] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_{m-1}, x_{m}, \left[\cdots \left[a_{k+1}, \bullet_{k+2}, \left[a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \langle a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \langle a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{i}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{n} \rangle \right] \right] \cdots \right]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_{m-1}, x_{m}, \left[\cdots \left[a_{k+1}, \bullet_{k+2}, \langle a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{i}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{n} \rangle \right] \cdots \right] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{i}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{m}; \langle a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{i}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{n} \rangle \end{bmatrix},$$

where the first, second and fourth equalities follow from the definitions, and for the third equality, we applied (2) of Lemma 1.4 for the innermost square bracket and the outermost angle bracket, and where $\bullet = \begin{cases} a & (k+2 \leq i), \\ x & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$ By repeating the above operation, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m; \left\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n \right\rangle \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1, \dots, a_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m; \left\langle a_1, \dots, a_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n \right\rangle \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \dots = \begin{bmatrix} a_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m; \left\langle a_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n \right\rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

For a positive integer n and for $a(=a_0), a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in X$, we set z_i $(i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\})$ by

$$\begin{cases} z_0 = a, \\ z_1 = b_1, \\ z_i = [z_{i-2}, z_{i-1}, z_{i-1}|_{b_{i-1} \mapsto b_i}] & (i \in \{2, \dots, n\}), \end{cases}$$

we set w_i $(i \in \{1, \ldots, n\})$ by

$$\begin{cases} w_1 = a_1, \\ w_2 = \langle a, a_1, a_2 \rangle, \\ w_i = w_{i-1} |_{a_{i-1} \mapsto \langle a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, a_i \rangle} & (i \in \{3, \dots, n\}), \end{cases}$$

and we set $y_{(i,j)}$ $(i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\})$ by
$$\begin{cases} \langle a_{j-1}, a_j, y_{(i,j+1)} \rangle & (j < i), \end{cases}$$

$$y_{(i,j)} = \begin{cases} \langle a_{j-1}, a_j, a_{j+1} \rangle & (j = i, i+1), \\ \langle y_{(i,j-1)}, a_j, a_{j+1} \rangle & (j > i+1). \end{cases}$$

We then have the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.7. (1) When $i \ge 2$, $z_i = [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}; b_i]$. (2) When $j \ge 2$, $w_j = \langle a, a_1, \dots, a_j \rangle$.

Proof. (1) We show this formula by induction for $i \in \{2, ..., n\}$. When i = 2, we have $z_2 = [z_0, z_1, z_1|_{b_1 \mapsto b_2}] = [z_0, z_1, b_2] = [z_0, z_1; b_2]$. Assuming the formula holds for some $i \ge 2$, we have

$$z_{i+1} = [z_{i-1}, z_i, z_i|_{b_i \mapsto b_{i+1}}]$$

= $[z_{i-1}, z_i, [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}; b_i]|_{b_i \mapsto b_{i+1}}]$
= $[z_{i-1}, z_i, [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}; b_{i+1}]]$
= $[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_i; b_{i+1}],$

where the first and last equalities follow from the definitions, and the second quality follows from the assumption.

(2) This follows easily from the definition of w_j .

Lemma 4.8. (1) When
$$j \le i - 1$$
,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{j-1}, a_j, y_{(i-1,j)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} y_{(i-1,j+1)} & (j < i - 1), \\ a_i & (j = i - 1). \end{cases}$$
(2) When $j \le i - 1$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{j-1}, a_j, \dots, a_i \\ a_{j-1}, a_j, \dots, a_i \\ end therefore = y_{(i-1,j)}. \end{cases}$$
(3) When $j \ge i + 1$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_j \\ end therefore = x_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, y_{(i-1,i+1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j-1)} \\ end therefore = x_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i \\ (j < i - 1), \\ y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i \\ (j = i - 1). \end{cases}$$
(5) When $j \ge i + 1$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a, w_i, w_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, p_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,1)}, p_{(i-1,1)}, a_i, a_i, \dots, a_j \\ p_{(i-1$$

Proof. (1) This follows from (2) of Lemma 1.4 as

$$[a_{j-1}, a_j, y_{(i-1,j)}] = [a_{j-1}, a_j, \langle a_{j-1}, a_j, y_{(i-1,j+1)} \rangle] = y_{(i-1,j+1)}$$

- (2) This follows easily from the definition of y_(i,j).
 (3) For i ≤ k ≤ j − 3,
- $\left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,k)}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle$ $= \left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,k)}, \left\langle y_{(i-1,k)}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle \right\rangle$ $= \left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,k)}, \left\langle y_{(i-1,k)}, y_{(i-1,k)}, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2} \right\rangle, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle \right\rangle$ $= \left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,k)}, \left\langle y_{(i-1,k)}, y_{(i-1,k+1)}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle \right\rangle$ $= \left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,k+1)}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle,$

where the first and fourth equalities follow from (1) of Lemma 4.6, the second equality follows from (2) of Lemma 4.6, and the third equality follows from the definition of $y_{(i,j)}$.

By repeating the above operation, we have

$$\left\langle a_{i-1}, a_{i}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle a_{i-1}, \langle a_{i-1}, a_{i}, a_{i+1} \rangle, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \cdots = \left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, y_{(i-1,i+1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j-2)}, a_{j-1}, a_{j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, y_{(i-1,i+1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j-2)}, \langle y_{(i-1,j-2)}, a_{j-1}, a_{j} \rangle \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, y_{(i-1,i+1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j-2)}, y_{(i-1,j-1)} \right\rangle,$$

where the first equality follows from (2) of Lemma 4.6, the second and last equalities follow from the definition of $y_{(i,j)}$, and the second equality from the last follows from (1) of Lemma 4.6.

(4) For $k \leq j - 3$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left[a_k, \left\langle a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle, y_{(i-1,k+1)} \right] \\ &= \left[a_k, \left\langle a_k, a_{k+1}, \left\langle a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle \right\rangle, y_{(i-1,k+1)} \right] \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,k+1)}, \left[a_k, a_{k+1}, y_{(i-1,k+1)} \right], \left[a_{k+1}, \left\langle a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle, \left[a_k, a_{k+1}, y_{(i-1,k+1)} \right] \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,k+1)}, y_{(i-1,k+2)}, \left[a_{k+1}, \left\langle a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle, y_{(i-1,k+2)} \right] \right\rangle, \end{split}$$

where the first equality follows from the definition of the bold angle bracket, the second equality follows from (2) of Lemma 1.5, and the third equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.8.

Hence, by repeating the above operation, for j < i - 1, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a, w_j, w_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a, \langle a, a_1, \dots, a_j \rangle, y_{(i-1,1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \cdots = \langle y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j-1)}, [a_{j-2}, \langle a_{j-2}, a_{j-1}, a_j \rangle, y_{(i-1,j-1)}] \rangle$$

$$= \langle y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j)}, y_{(i-1,j+1)} \rangle,$$

where the first equality follows from (2) of Lemma 4.7 and (2) of Lemma 4.8, and the last equality follows from the definition of the bold angle bracket, (2) of Lemma 1.5, and (1) of Lemma 4.8 as

$$\begin{split} & \left[a_{j-2}, \left\langle a_{j-2}, a_{j-1}, a_{j} \right\rangle, y_{(i-1,j-1)} \right] \\ &= \left[a_{j-2}, \left\langle a_{j-2}, a_{j-1}, a_{j} \right\rangle, y_{(i-1,j-1)} \right] \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,j-1)}, \left[a_{j-2}, a_{j-1}, y_{(i-1,j-1)} \right], \left[a_{j-1}, a_{j}, \left[a_{j-2}, a_{j-1}, y_{(i-1,j-1)} \right] \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,j-1)}, y_{(i-1,j)}, \left[a_{j-1}, a_{j}, y_{(i-1,j)} \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,j-1)}, y_{(i-1,j)}, y_{(i-1,j+1)} \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Similarly, for j = i - 1, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a, w_{i-1}, w_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \cdots = \left\langle y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-2)}, \left[a_{i-3}, \left\langle a_{i-3}, a_{i-2}, a_{i-1} \right\rangle, y_{(i-1,i-2)} \right] \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-2)}, \left\langle y_{(i-1,i-2)}, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, \left[a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i-1)} \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i \right\rangle,$$

where the second equality from the last follows from (2) of Lemma 1.5, (1) of Lemma 4.8, and the last equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.8.

(5) For $k \leq i - 3$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left[a_{k}, y_{(i-1,k+1)}, \left\langle a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right] \\ &= \left[a_{k}, \left\langle a_{k}, a_{k+1}, y_{(i-1,k+2)} \right\rangle, \left\langle a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right] \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,k+1)}, y_{(i-1,k+2)}, \left[a_{k+1}, y_{(i-1,k+2)}, \left[a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \left\langle a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right] \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,k+1)}, y_{(i-1,k+2)}, \left[a_{k+1}, y_{(i-1,k+2)}, \left[a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \left\langle a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right] \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,k+1)}, y_{(i-1,k+2)}, \left[a_{k+1}, y_{(i-1,k+2)}, \left[a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right] \right\rangle , \end{split}$$

where the first and third equalities follow from the definitions, the second equality follows from (2) of Lemma 1.5, the definition of $y_{(i,j)}$ and the fourth equality follows from (2) of Lemma 1.4.

Hence, by repeating the above operation, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| a, w_{i}, w_{j} \right| \\ &= \left[a, y_{(i-1,1)}, \left\langle a, a_{1}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right] \\ &= \cdots = \left\langle y_{(i-1,1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, \left[a_{i-2}, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, \left\langle a_{i-2}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, \left[a_{i-2}, \left\langle a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, a_{i} \right\rangle, \left\langle a_{i-2}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, \left\langle y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_{i}, \left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}, \left[a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, \left\langle a_{i-2}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right] \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, \left\langle y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_{i}, \left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}, \left[a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, \left\langle a_{i-1}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right) \right] \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, \left\langle y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_{i}, \left\langle a_{i}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{(i-1,1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_{i}, \dots, a_{j} \right\rangle, \end{split}$$

where the first equality follows from (2) of Lemma 4.7 and (2) of Lemma 4.8, the fifth and third equalities from the last follow from the definitions, the fourth equality from the last follows from (2) of Lemma 1.5, the second equality from the last follows from (2) of Lemma 1.4 and the last equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.6.

We recall that in Subsection 4.1, the homomorphism $\varphi_n: C_n^{\text{LB}}(X) \to C_{n-1}^{\text{N}}(X)$ was defined by

$$\varphi_n\Big(\big((a,b_1),(a,b_2),\ldots,(a,b_n)\big)\Big)=(z_0,z_1,\ldots,z_n)$$

if $n \ge 1$, and $\varphi_n = 0$ otherwise. The homomorphism $\psi_n : C_{n-1}^N(X) \to C_n^{LB}(X)$ was defined by

$$\psi_n((a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) = ((a, w_1), (a, w_2), \dots, (a, w_n))$$

if $n \ge 1$, and $\psi_n = 0$ otherwise

Lemma 4.9. (1) φ_n is well-defined. (2) ψ_n is well-defined.

Proof. (1) We regard φ_n as a homomorphism from $C_n(X)$ to $C_{n-1}^{\text{Nie}}(X)$. It suffices to show that $\varphi_n(D_n(X)) \subset D_{n-1}^{\text{Nie}}(X)$.

For $((a, b_1), (a, b_2), \ldots, (a, b_n)) \in \bigcup_{a \in X} (\{a\} \times X)^n$, suppose $b_i = b_{i+1}$ for some $i \ (i \in \{1, 2, \cdots n\})$. We then have

$$\varphi_n\Big(\big((a,b_1),(a,b_2),\ldots,(a,b_n)\big)\Big) \\= \big(z_0,z_1,\cdots,z_{i-1},z_i,[z_{i-1},z_i,z_i|_{b_i\mapsto b_{i+1}}],z_{i+2},\cdots,z_n\big) \\= \big(z_0,z_1,\cdots,z_{i-1},z_i,[z_{i-1},z_i,z_i],\cdots,z_n\big).$$

Here we have $\langle z_{i-1}, z_i, [z_{i-1}, z_i, z_i] \rangle = z_i$ by (1) of Lemma 1.4, which implies that $\varphi_n\Big(\big((a, b_1), (a, b_2), \dots, (a, b_n)\big)\Big) \in D_{n-1}^{\text{Nie}}(X)$, i.e. $\varphi_n\big(D_n(X)\big) \subset D_{n-1}^{\text{Nie}}(X)$.

(2) We regard ψ_n as a homomorphism from $C_{n-1}^{\text{Nie}}(X)$ to $C_n(X)$. It suffices to show that $\psi_n(D_{n-1}^{\text{Nie}}(X)) \subset D_n(X)$.

For $(a, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in X^{n+1}$, suppose $\langle a_{j-1}, a_j, a_{j+1} \rangle = a_j$ for some $j \ (j \in \{2, 3, \cdots, n-1\})$. We then have

$$\psi_n((a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) = ((a, w_1), (a, w_2), \dots, (a, w_j), (a, w_{j+1} = w_j), (a, w_{j+2}), \dots, (a, w_n))$$

since $w_{j+1} = w_j|_{a_j \mapsto \langle a_{j-1}, a_j, a_{j+1} \rangle} = w_j|_{a_j \mapsto a_j} = w_j$. Hence there is a pair of neighboring compositions which are equal. It follows that $\psi_n((a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) \in D_n(X)$, i.e. $\psi_n(D_{n-1}^{\text{Nie}}(X)) \subset D_n(X)$.

Lemma 4.10. φ_n and ψ_n are the inverses of each other.

Proof. We first show that $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n = \text{id.}$ We have

$$\psi_n \circ \varphi_n \Big(\big((a, b_1), (a, b_2), \dots, (a, b_n) \big) \Big)$$

= $\psi_n \Big((a, z_1, \dots, z_n) \Big)$
= $\big((a, w'_1), (a, w'_2), \dots, (a, w'_n) \big),$

where $w'_1 = z_1 = b_1$, and for $i \ge 2$,

$$w'_{i} = \left\langle a, z_{1}, \dots, z_{i} \right\rangle$$

= $\left\langle a, z_{1}, \dots, z_{i-1}, \left[a, z_{1}, \dots, z_{i-1}; b_{i}\right] \right\rangle$
= b_{i} ,

where the first and second equalities respectively follow from (2) and (1) of Lemma 4.7, and the third equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.6.

We next show that $\varphi_n \circ \psi_n = \text{id.}$ We have

$$\varphi_n \circ \psi_n ((a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n))$$

= $\varphi_n (((a, w_1), (a, w_2), \dots, (a, w_n)))$
= $(a, z'_1, \dots, z'_n).$

Here we show that $z'_i = a_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ by induction. When $i = 1, z'_1 = w_1 = a_1$. Assuming that $z'_k = a_k$ for $k \le i - 1$, we have

$$z'_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} a, z'_{1}, \dots, z'_{i-1}; w_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} a, a_{1}, \dots, a_{i-1}; \langle a, a_{1}, \dots, a_{i} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= a_{i},$$

where the first equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.7, the second equality follows from the assumption and (2) of Lemma 4.7, and the third equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.6. \Box

Now we consider about the composition $\varphi_{n-1} \circ \partial_n^{\text{LB}} \circ \psi_n$. For an integer $n \ge 2$, we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{n-1} &\circ \partial_n^{\mathrm{LB}} \circ \psi_n \big((a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \big) \\ &= \varphi_{n-1} \circ \partial_n^{\mathrm{LB}} \Big(\big((a, w_1), (a, w_2), \dots, (a, w_n) \big) \Big) \\ &= \varphi_{n-1} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \big\{ \big((a, w_1), \dots, (a, w_{i-1}), (a, w_{i+1}), \dots, (a, w_n) \big) \\ &- \big((w_i, [a, w_1, w_i] =: b_{(i,1)}), \dots, (w_i, [a, w_{i-1}, w_i] =: b_{(i,i-1)}), \\ &\qquad (w_i, [a, w_i, w_{i+1}] =: b_{(i,i+1)}), \dots, (w_i, [a, w_i, w_n] =: b_{(i,n)}) \big) \big\} \Big) \\ n \end{split}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i} (u_{(i,0)}, u_{(i,1)}, \dots, u_{(i,i-1)}, u_{(i,i+1)}, \dots, u_{(i,n)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} (v_{(i,0)}, v_{(i,1)}, \dots, v_{(i,i-1)}, v_{(i,i+1)}, \dots, u_{(i,n)}),$$

where

$$u_{(1,j)} = \begin{cases} a & (j=0), \\ w_2 & (j=2), \\ \begin{bmatrix} u_{(1,0)}, u_{(1,2)}, u_{(1,2)} |_{w_2 \mapsto w_3} \end{bmatrix} & (j=3), \\ \begin{bmatrix} u_{(1,j-2)}, u_{(1,j-1)}, u_{(1,j-1)} |_{w_{j-1} \mapsto w_j} \end{bmatrix} & (j \ge 4), \end{cases}$$

and for $i \geq 2$,

$$u_{(i,j)} = \begin{cases} a & (j=0), \\ w_1 & (j=1), \\ \begin{bmatrix} u_{(i,i-2)}, u_{(i,i-1)}, u_{(i,i-1)} |_{w_{i-1} \mapsto w_{i+1}} \end{bmatrix} & (j=i+1), \\ \begin{bmatrix} u_{(i,i-1)}, u_{(i,i+1)}, u_{(i,i+1)} |_{w_{i+1} \mapsto w_{i+2}} \end{bmatrix} & (j=i+2), \\ \begin{bmatrix} u_{(i,j-2)}, u_{(i,j-1)}, u_{(i,j-1)} |_{w_{j-1} \mapsto w_{j}} \end{bmatrix} & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

and where

$$v_{(1,j)} = \begin{cases} w_i & (j=0), \\ b_{(1,2)} & (j=2), \\ [v_{(1,0)}, v_{(1,2)}, v_{(1,2)}|_{b_{(1,2)} \mapsto b_{(1,3)}}] & (j=3), \\ [v_{(1,j-2)}, v_{(1,j-1)}, v_{(1,j-1)}|_{b_{(1,j-1)} \mapsto b_{(1,j)}}] & (j \ge 4), \end{cases}$$

and for $i \geq 2$,

$$v_{(i,j)} = \begin{cases} w_i & (j=0), \\ b_{(i,1)} & (j=1), \\ [v_{(i,i-2)}, v_{(i,i-1)}, v_{(i,i-1)}|b_{(i,i-1)} \mapsto b_{(i,i+1)}] & (j=i+1), \\ [v_{(i,i-1)}, v_{(i,i+1)}, v_{(i,i+1)}|b_{(i,i+1)} \mapsto b_{(i,i+2)}] & (j=i+2), \\ [v_{(i,j-2)}, v_{(i,j-1)}, v_{(i,j-1)}|b_{(i,j-1)} \mapsto b_{(i,j)}] & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

We recall that in Subsection 3.1, a homomorphism $\partial_{n-1}^{N} : C_{n-1}^{N}(X) \to C_{n-2}^{N}(X)$ was defined by

$$\partial_{n-1}^{N} ((a, a_1, \dots, a_n))$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i (y_{(i,1)}, y_{(i,2)}, \dots, y_{(i,i)}, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} (a, a_1, \dots, a_i, y_{(i,i+1)}, y_{(i,i+2)}, \dots, y_{(i,n-1)})$$

if n > 0, and $\partial_n^{\mathbb{N}} = 0$ otherwise.

Lemma 4.11. φ_n and ψ_n are chain maps between the chain complexes $C^{\text{LB}}_*(X)$ and $C^{\text{N}}_*(X)$.

Proof. We may show that $\varphi_{n-1} \circ \partial_n^{\text{LB}} \circ \psi_n = \partial_{n-1}^{\text{N}}$ for $n \ge 2$. We will show that

$$(u_{(i,0)}, u_{(i,1)}, \dots, u_{(i,i-1)}, u_{(i,i+1)}, \dots, u_{(i,n)}) = (a, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, y_{(i-1,i+1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,n-1)})$$
(1a)

and

$$(v_{(i,0)}, v_{(i,1)}, \dots, v_{(i,i-1)}, v_{(i,i+1)}, \dots, v_{(i,n)}) = (y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$
(2a)

First we consider the above (1a) in the case of $i \ge 2$. For the first *i* components, we have

$$(u_{(i,0)}, u_{(i,1)}, \dots, u_{(i,i-1)}) = \varphi_{i-1} \circ \psi_{i-1} ((a, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}))$$

= id((a, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})) = (a, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}).

For $u_{(i,i+1)}$, we have

$$u_{(i,i+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{(i,0)}, u_{(i,1)}, \dots, u_{(i,i-1)}; w_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

= $\begin{bmatrix} a, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}; \langle a, a_1, \dots, a_{i+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$
= $\langle a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1} \rangle$
= $\langle a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1} \rangle$
= $y_{(i-1,i)},$

where the first and second equalities respectively follow from (1) and (2) of Lemma 4.7, the third equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.6, and the fourth and fifth equalities follow from the definitions.

For $j \ge i+2$, we show that $u_{(i,j)} = y_{(i-1,j-1)}$ by induction for j. Assuming that $u_{(i,k)} = y_{(i-1,k-1)}$ for $i+1 \le k \le j-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{(i,j)} &= \begin{bmatrix} u_{(i,0)}, u_{(i,1)}, \dots, u_{(i,i-1)}, u_{(i,i+1)}, \dots, u_{(i,j-1)}; w_j \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j-2)}; \left\langle a, a_1, \dots, a_j \right\rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j-2)}; \left\langle a_{i-1}, \dots, a_j \right\rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j-2)}; \left\langle a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j-2)}, y_{(i-1,j-1)} \right\rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= y_{(i-1,j-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.7, the second equality follows from the assumption, the third and fifth equalities follow from (4) of Lemma 4.6, and the fourth equality follows from (3) of Lemma 4.8.

Next we consider the equality (2a) in the case of $i \ge 2$. For $0 \le j \le i-2$, we show that $v_{(i,j)} = y_{(i-1,j+1)}$ by induction for j. When j = 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{(i,0)} &= w_i \\ &= \left\langle a, a_1, \dots, a_i \right\rangle \\ &= y_{(i-1,1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from the definition, the second equality follows from (2) of Lemma 4.7, and the third equality follows from (2) of Lemma 4.8. When j = 1, we have

$$v_{(i,1)} = b_{(i,1)}$$

= $[a, w_1, w_i]$
= $\left[a, a_1; \langle a, a_1, \dots, a_i \rangle \right]$
= $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i \rangle$
= $y_{(i-1,2)}$,

where the first and second equalities follow from the definitions, the third equality follows from (2) of Lemma 4.7 and the definition, the fourth equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.6, and the fifth equality follows from (2) of Lemma 4.8. Assuming that $v_{(i,k)} = y_{(i-1,k+1)}$ for $k \leq j-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{(i,j)} &= \begin{bmatrix} v_{(i,0)}, v_{(i,1)}, \dots, v_{(i,j-1)}; b_{(i,j)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j)}; [a, w_j, w_i] \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j)}; \begin{pmatrix} y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,j+1)} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= y_{(i-1,j+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.7, the second equality follows from the assumption and the definition, the third equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.8, and the fourth equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.6. When j = i - 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{(i,i-1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} v_{(i,0)}, v_{(i,1)}, \dots, v_{(i,i-2)}; b_{(i,i-1)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}; [a, w_{i-1}, w_i] \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}; \langle y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= a_i, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.7, the second equality follows from the assumption and the definition, the third equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.8, and the fourth equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.6.

For $j \ge i+1$, we show that $v_{(i,j)} = a_j$ by induction for j. When j = i+1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{(i,i+1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} v_{(i,0)}, \dots, v_{(i,i-2)}, v_{(i,i-1)}; b_{(i,i+1)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} y_{(i-1,1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i; [a, w_i, w_{i+1}] \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} y_{(i-1,1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i; \langle y_{(i-1,1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= a_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.7, the second equality follows from the assumption and the definition, the third equality follows from (5) of Lemma 4.8, and the fourth equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.6. Assuming that $v_{(i,k)} = a_k$ for $i + 1 \le k \le j - 1$, we have

where the first equality follows from (1) of Lemma 4.7, the second equality follows from the assumption and the definition, the third equality follows from (5) of Lemma 4.8, and the fourth equality follows from (4) of Lemma 4.6.

Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{n-1} &\circ \partial_n^{\text{LB}} \circ \psi_n \big((a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \big) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (u_{(i,0)}, u_{(i,1)}, \dots, u_{(i,i-1)}, u_{(i,i+1)}, \dots, u_{(i,n)}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} (v_{(i,0)}, v_{(i,1)}, \dots, v_{(i,i-1)}, v_{(i,i+1)}, \dots, u_{(i,n)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \big(a, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, y_{(i-1,i)}, y_{(i-1,i+1)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,n-1)} \big) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \big(y_{(i-1,1)}, y_{(i-1,2)}, \dots, y_{(i-1,i-1)}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \big) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} \big(a, a_1, \dots, a_i, y_{(i,i+1)}, y_{(i,i+2)}, \dots, y_{(i,n-1)} \big) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \big(y_{(i,1)}, y_{(i,2)}, \dots, y_{(i,i)}, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n \big) \\ &= \partial_{n-1}^N \big((a, a_1, \dots, a_n) \big). \end{split}$$

We leave the proof in the case of i = 1 to the reader.

The next theorem follows from Lemmas 4.9, 4.10 and 4.11.

Theorem 4.12. φ_n and ψ_n are chain maps, and they are the inverses of each other.

5. Examples

Example 5.1. (Alexander local biquandles) Let X = R be a commutative ring with identity. Then for any choice of two units $x, y \in R$, we have a horizontal-tribracket known as an *Alexander tribracket* defined by

$$[a, b, c] = xb + yc - xya$$

This determines a local biquandle structure on X^2 by the maps

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (a,b) \star (a,c) &=& (c,xb+yc-xya) \\ (a,b) \overline{\star} (a,c) &=& (c,xc+yb-xya). \end{array}$$

For example, in the Alexander local biquandle on $X = \mathbb{Z}_5$ with x = 3 and y = 2, we have

$$(1,3) \pm (1,4) = (4,3(3) + 2(4) - (3)(2)1) = (4,9+8-6) = (4,1)$$

and

$$(1,3) \neq (1,4) = (4,3(4) + 2(3) - (3)(2)1) = (4,12 + 6 - 6) = (4,2).$$

Example 5.2. Let X = G be a group. Then we have a horizontal-tribracket known as an *Dehn tribracket* defined by

$$[a, b, c] = ba^{-1}c.$$

This determines a local biquandle structure on X^2 by the maps

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (a,b) \pm (a,c) &=& (c,ba^{-1}c) \\ (a,b) \overline{\star} (a,c) &=& (c,ca^{-1}b). \end{array}$$

Example 5.3. More generally, we can represent a tribracket on a finite set $X = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ with an operation 3-tensor, i.e. a list of $n \ n \times n$ matrices, with the rule that the element [i, j, k] is the entry in the *i*th matrix's *j*th row and *k*th column. Then **python** calculations show that there are two horizontal-tribrackets with two elements, given by

$\left[\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2 \end{array} \right]$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1 \end{bmatrix},$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix},$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$

and

and twelve tribrackets on the set of three elements.

Theorem 4.2 says that there is an isomorphism between the (n-1)st Niebrzydowski's (co)homology of a vertical-tribracket on X and its corresponding nth local biquandle (co)homology. We can represent an element of $C_{\text{LB}}^n(X)$ with an (n+1)tensor whose entry in position (z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_n) is the coefficient of $\chi_{(z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_n)}$.

Example 5.4. In [15], an algebraic structure called *biquasile* was introduced, consisting of a pair of quasigroup operations $*, \cdot : X \times X \to X$ satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{array}{lll} a*(x\cdot(y*(a\cdot b))) & = & (a*(x\cdot y))*(x\cdot(y*((a*(x\cdot y))\cdot b))) \\ y*((a*(x\cdot y))\cdot b) & = & (y*(a\cdot b))*((a*(x\cdot(y*(a\cdot b))))\cdot b). \end{array}$$

A biquasile determines a vertical-tribracket by

$$\langle a, b, c \rangle = b * (a \cdot c).$$

For example, the biquasile structure on $X = \{1, 2\}$ given by

*	1	2	•	1	2
1	1	2	1	2	1
2	2	1	2	1	2

yields the tribracket with operation tensor

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{rrr} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right].$$

In [5], the notion of *Boltzmann enhancements* for biquasile colorings of oriented knots and links was considered and conditions for a function $f: X \times X \times X \to X$ to define a Boltzmann weight were identified. These correspond to local biquandle 2-cocycles in our present notation. For example, the Boltzmann weight ϕ with \mathbb{Z}_5 coefficients in Example 5 in [5] corresponds to the local biquandle 2-cocycle specified by the 3-tensor

$$\phi = \left[\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \right]$$

or alternatively

$$\phi = 2\chi_{((1,1),(1,2))} + 3\chi_{((1,2),(1,1))} + 4\chi_{((2,1),(2,2))} + 3\chi_{((2,2),(2,1))}$$

where

$$\chi_{\vec{x}}(\vec{y}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \vec{x} = \vec{y} \\ 0 & \vec{x} \neq \vec{y} \end{cases}$$

for $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \{((a, b), (a, c)) \mid a, b, c \in X\}.$

Remark 5.5. We have defined the cocycle invariant as a multiset of diagram weights over the set of colorings of a diagram. It is common in the literature (see [2, 6] etc.) to encode a multiset in a polynomial format by summing a formal variable u to the power of each element in the multset, effectively rewriting multiplicities as coefficients and elements as exponents. For example, the multiset $\{0, 0, 2, 2, 2, 4\}$ becomes

$$u^{0} + u^{0} + u^{2} + u^{2} + u^{2} + u^{4} = 2 + 3u^{3} + u^{4}.$$

This notation is convenient since it is easier to compare polynomials visually than to compare multisets visually, and evaluation of the polynomial at u = 1 yields the number of colorings.

Example 5.6. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and consider the horizontal-tribracket defined by the 3-tensor

ΓΓ	2	3	1		[1]	2	3		3	1	2	11
	3	1	2	,	2	3	1	,	1	2	3	.
	1	2	3 _		3	1	2		2	3	1	

Our python computations reveal 3-cocycles in $H^3_{LB}(X; \mathbb{Z}_5)$ including

Γ	0	0	0		0	0	0]	0	3	3	11	
$\theta = $	1	0	3	,	1	0	0	,	3	0	2		
L	1	3	0		1	1	0		3	4	0		

Let us illustrate the computation of the cocycle enhancement invariant for the Hopf link using this cocycle. There are 27 semi-arc X^2 - (and region X-)colorings of the Hopf link; for each, we must compute the diagram weight. Writing the colorings of the diagrams in terms of 2-parallels, we have the following weight contributions at each crossing:



Then for example the coloring below has diagram weight $\theta(1,1,1) + \theta(1,1,1) = 0 + 0 = 0$,



while the coloring



has diagram weight $\theta(1,2,1) + \theta(1,1,2) = 1 + 0 = 1$. Repeating for all 27 colorings, we obtain the multiset invariant value

or in polynomial form, $\Phi_{\theta}(L2a1) = 9 + 18u$.

The invariant takes the following values on links with up to seven crossings:

$\Phi_{ heta}(L)$	L
9	L6a4
27	L5a1, L7a1, L7a3, L7a4
9 + 18u	L2a1, L7a5, L7a6
$9 + 18u^2$	L4a1, L6a1, L7a2
$9 + 18u^3$	L6a2, L6a3, L7n1
$9 + 54u^2 + 18u^3$	L6a5, L6n1
$45 + 18u + 18u^2$	L7a7
$14 + 3u + 2u^2 + 4u^3 + 4u^4$	L7n2

Example 5.7. In Example 4.17 of [19], a coloring of the trefoil knot by the Alexander tribracket with [a, b, c] = a + b - c, i.e. given by the 3-tensor

Γ	1	3	2		2	1	3		3	2	1	11
	2	1	3	,	3	2	1	,	1	3	2	
	3	2	1		1	3	2		2	1	3	

was observed to have a nontrivial weight sum with respect to the cocycle which in our notation is

	0	1	0		0	0	1		0	2	0]	1
$\theta =$	0	0	0	,	0	0	0	,	1	0	0	.
	0	1	0		1	0	0		2	0	0	

Our python computations reveal that the right-handed trefoil 3_1 knot has $\Phi_{\theta}(3_1) = 9 + 18u$ while the left-handed trefoil $\overline{3_1}$ has $\Phi_{\theta}(3_1) = 9 + 18u^2$, distinguishing the two and showing that these invariants can distinguish mirror images. We note also that the square knot and granny knot are distinguished by this invariant with $\Phi_{\theta}(3_1\#3_1) = 45 + 18u + 18u^2$ and $\Phi_{\theta}(3_1\#\overline{3_1}) = 9 + 36u + 36u^2$. For prime knots with eight or fewer crossings, the nontrivial values are listed in the table.

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} \Phi_{\theta}(K) & K \\ \hline 27 & 6_1, 8_{10}, \\ 9+18u & 3_1, 7_4, 7_7, 8_{15}, 8_{21} \\ 9+18u^2 & 8_5, 8_{19} \\ 15+6u+6u^2 & 8_{11} \\ 18+6u+3u^2 & 8_{20} \\ 33+24u+24u^2 & 8_{18} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Example 5.8. Let X be the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ with horizontal-tribracket given by the 3-tensor

2	3	1		3	1	2		1	2	3	11	
1	2	3	,	2	3	1	,	3	1	2		
3	1	2		1	2	3		2	3	1		

With the cocycle with \mathbb{Z}_3 coefficients specified by

Γ	1	0	0	0		0	1	2		0	0	1	11	
$\theta = $		2	0	2	,	2	0	2	,	2	0	0		,
		2	2	0		1	0	0		1	1	0		

we compute the following invariant values for prime knots with up to 8 crossings and prime links with up to seven crossings. Note in particular that since the trivial invariant values for links of two and three components are 27 and 81 respectively, this example detects the non-triviality of every link on the list.

$\Phi_{\theta}(K)$	
9	$4_1, 5_1, 5_2, 6_2, 6_3, 7_1, 7_2, 7_3, 7_5, 7_6, 8_1, 8_2, 8_3, 8_4, 8_6, 8_7, 8_8, 8_9, 8_{12}, 8_{13}, 8_{14}, 8_{16}, 8_{17}$
27	$ 6_1, 8_{10} $
9 + 18u	$3_1, 7_4, 7_7, 8_{15}, 8_{21}$
$9 + 18u^2$	$ 8_5, 8_{19} $
$13 + 4u + 10u^2$	811
$16 + 4u + 7u^2$	820
$25 + 28u + 28u^2$	818
$\Phi_{\theta}(L)$	L
9	L2a1, L4a1, L5a1, L6a2, L6a4, L6n1, L7a2, L7a3, L7a4, L7a6, L7a7, L7n1, L7n2
9 + 18u	L6a1
$9 + 18u^2$	L6a3, L6a5, L7a1
$13 + 10u + 4u^2$	L7a5

Acknowledgments

The first author was supported by Simons Foundation collaboration grant 316709. The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K17600.

References

- S. Carter, M. Elhamdadi and M. Saito, Homology theory for the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation and knot invariants from generalizations of quandles, Fund. Math. 184 (2004), 31–54.
- [2] J. S. Carter, D. Jelsovsky, S. Kamada, L. Langford and M. Saito, Quandle cohomology and state-sum invariants of knotted curves and surfaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 3947–3989.
- [3] J. S. Carter, S. Kamada, and M. Saito, Geometric interpretations of quandle homology, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 10 (2001), 345–386.
- [4] J. Ceniceros, M. Elhamdadi, M. Green and S. Nelson Augmented biracks and their homology, Internat. J. Math. 25 (2014), 1450087, 19 pp.
- [5] W. Choi, D. Needell and S. Nelson, Boltzmann enhancements of biquasile counting invariants, arXiv:1704.02555.
- [6] M. Elhamdadi and S. Nelson. Quandles: An introduction to the algebra of knots, volume 74 of Student Mathematical Library. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [7] R. Fenn and C. Rourke, Racks and links in codimension two, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 1 (1992), 343–406.
- [8] R. Fenn, C. Rourke and B. Sanderson, An introduction to species and the rack space, Topics in knot theory (Erzurum, 1992), 33–55, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. 399, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993.
- [9] R. Fenn, C. Rourke and B. Sanderson, Trunks and classifying spaces, Appl. Categ. Structures 3 (1995), 321–356.
- [10] P. Graves, S. Nelson and S. Tamagawa Niebrzydowski Algebras and Trivalent Spatial Graphs, arXiv:1805.00104.
- [11] D. Joyce, A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 23 (1982), 37–65.
- [12] L. H. Kauffman and D. Radford, Bi-oriented quantum algebras, and generalized Alexander polynomial for virtual links, AMS. Contemp. Math. 318 (2002), 113–140.
- [13] J. Kim and S. Nelson. Biquasile colorings of oriented surface-links, Topology and its Applications, 236 (2018) 64–76.
- [14] S. V. Matveev, Distributive groupoids in knot theory, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 119(161) (1982), 78-88.
- [15] D. Needell and S. Nelson, *Biquasiles and dual graph diagrams*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 26(8) (2017), 1750048, 18 pp.
- [16] D. Needell, S. Nelson and Y. Shi, Tribracket Modules, arXiv:1808.04421.
- [17] M. Niebrzydowski, On some ternary operations in knot theory. Fund. Math. 225(1) (2014), 259–276.
- [18] M. Niebrzydowski, Ternary quasigroups in knot theory, arXiv:1708.05330.
- [19] M. Niebrzydowski, Homology of ternary quasigroups yielding invariants of knots and knotted surfaces, arXiv:1706.04307.
- [20] S. Pico and S. Nelson, Virtual Tribrackets, arXiv:1803.03210.
- [21] D. Roseman, Reidemeister-type moves for surfaces in four-dimensional space, Knot theory(Warsaw, 1995), 347–380, Banach Center Publ., 42, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw (1998).

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Claremont McKenna College, Claremont, CA 91711, USA

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{Sam.Nelson@cmc.edu}$

Department of Information and Communication Sciences, Sophia University, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{oshirok@sophia.ac.jp}$

DEPARTMENT OF TEACHER EDUCATION, SHUMEI UNIVERSITY, CHIBA 276-0003, JAPAN *E-mail address*: p-oyamaguchi@mailg.shumei-u.ac.jp