

A counterexample to a conjecture of Wang and Hou on signed graphs

Asghar Bahmani

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology,
P.O. Box: 15875-4413, Tehran, Iran*

Abstract

We give a counterexample to a conjecture of Wang and Hou related with the sum of the k largest Laplacian eigenvalues of signed graphs.

Keywords: signed graph, sum of the eigenvalues, Laplacian matrix

2010 MSC: 05C50

1. Introduction

Let G be a simple graph. A signed graph G_σ is obtained from G by a sign function $\sigma : E(G) \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$. The adjacency matrix $A(G_\sigma)$ is a $(0, \pm 1)$ -matrix where

$$A(G_\sigma)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sigma(ij) & ij \in E(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a positive integer n , we denote by $[n]$, the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. The Laplacian matrix of a simple graph G , denoted by L_G , is $D(G) - A(G)$, where $D(G)$ is a diagonal matrix of the degree sequence of G and $A(G)$ is the adjacency matrix of G . Similar to the L_G , the Laplacian matrix of a signed graph G_σ is defined as $D(G) - A(G_\sigma)$ and is denoted by L_{G_σ} . The following well-known conjecture states an upper bounds for the sum of the largest eigenvalues of L_G .

Email address: asghar.bahmani@gmail.com, asghar.bahmani@aut.ac.ir (Asghar Bahmani)

Conjecture 1.1 (Brouwer's Conjecture). [1] Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in [n]$. For any simple graph G of order n , if $\mu_1(L_G) \geq \dots \geq \mu_n(L_G)$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of G , then we have $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i(L_G) \leq e(G) + \binom{k+1}{2}$.

In the recent paper of Wang and Hou, "Wang D., Hou Y., On the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues of a signed graph, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 555(2018), 39-52", they conjectured an upper bound for the sum of the k largest Laplacian eigenvalues of signed graphs.

Conjecture 1.2. [2] Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in [n]$. For any signed graph G_σ , if $\mu_1(L_{G_\sigma}) \geq \dots \geq \mu_n(L_{G_\sigma})$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of G_σ , then we have $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i(L_{G_\sigma}) \leq e(G) + \binom{k+1}{2} + 1$.

We considered Conjecture 1.2 for graphs of order 7 and 8 and by using computer-assisted computations to signing the complete graphs of order 7 and 8, we found some counterexamples for $k = 4$ and $k = 5$.

Example 1.3. For the graph G_σ as shown below, Figure 1, we have

$$L_{G_\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$(\mu_1(L_{G_\sigma}), \dots, \mu_7(L_{G_\sigma})) \simeq (8.7015, 8.2360, 8.2360, 7., 3.7639, 3.7639, 2.2984)$.
So, $\sum_{i=1}^4 \mu_i(L_{G_\sigma}) \simeq 32.1735 \not\leq e(G) + \binom{5}{2} + 1 = 32$.




Figure 1: The graph G_σ in Example 1.3.

Example 1.4. For the graph H_σ as shown below, Figure 1, we have

$$L_{H_\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 7 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$(\mu_1(L_{H_\sigma}), \dots, \mu_8(L_{H_\sigma})) \simeq (10.6056, 10., 8., 8., 8., 4., 4., 3.3944).$$

So, $\sum_{i=1}^5 \mu_i(L_{H_\sigma}) \simeq 44.6056 \not\leq e(H) + \binom{6}{2} + 1 = 44$.




Figure 2: The graph H_σ in Example 1.4.

Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) for supporting this research under grant number 95005902.

References

- [1] Brouwer A. E., Haemers W. H., Spectra of graphs. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [2] Wang D., Hou Y., On the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues of a signed graph, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 555(2018), 39-52.