

OPTIMAL TRANSPORT WITH DISCRETE LONG RANGE MEAN FIELD INTERACTIONS

JIAKUN LIU AND GRÉGOIRE LOEPER

ABSTRACT. We study an optimal transport problem where, at some intermediate time, the mass is accelerated by either an external force field, or self-interacting. We obtain regularity of the velocity potential, intermediate density, and optimal transport map, under conditions on the interaction potential that are related to the so-called Ma-Trudinger-Wang condition from optimal transport [19].

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivations.** The optimal transport problem goes back to a cost-minimisation problem in civil engineering proposed by Monge [21], later generalised to a class of optimisation problems by Kantorovich [12, 13], with an elegant economic interpretation. Later, through the contribution of Brenier [5], Benamou and Brenier [3], Frisch and co-authors [6, 10, 20], the second author [17], and Lee and McCann [15], the connection between optimal transport and classical mechanics has also appeared very naturally. Indeed, a natural approach in Hamiltonian mechanics is to look for critical points of the action of a Lagrangian in order to find the evolution equation of the system. In some cases where the Lagrangian has some form of coercivity (as in the natural action $\int_{[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \rho(t,x)|v|^2(t,x) dt dx$, cf. [3]), critical points of the action can be obtained by minimisation, which is a natural formulation as an optimal transport problem. The continuous time formulation of the problem in terms of curves on a space of probability measures is extensively addressed in the book by Ambrosio, Gigli and Savaré [2], and also in the books by Villani [26, 27].

One of the main differences between the *economic* point of view and the *mechanical* point of view, is the addition of the time variable. The original transport problem starts with a cost function $c(x,y)$ that depends only on the starting and arrival points. The action minimising problem looks for curves or vector fields (depending on whether one uses the Lagrangian or Eulerian point of view). We shall speak either of the *point to point* or *time continuous* problem to distinguish between the two situations.

Although in both cases the existence of optimisers rests now on a well established theory, the question of their regularity is relatively well understood for the point to point problems, while it is still largely unexplored in the time continuous case (see references below). In the

point to point problem, by regularity we mean the smoothness of the optimal map sending one distribution of mass to the other; in the time continuous case, such a map usually exists too, but is more difficult to characterise. The main reason for that difference is that the regularity of the point to point problem relies on the study of an associated Monge-Ampère equation, which is not always accessible in the time continuous case.

1.2. An example: The reconstruction problem in cosmology. As an illustrative example, we go back to a previous work by the second author [17], where he studies the motion of self-gravitating matter, classically described by the Euler-Poisson system, for an application in cosmology, known as the *reconstruction problem*, a problem that has received a lot of attention in cosmology (see also [6, 10, 20] and the references therein). Let us recall the model here: a continuous distribution of matter with density ρ , moves along a velocity field v , and is accelerated by a gravitational field, itself given as the gradient of a potential p , linked to ρ through the Poisson equation. The system is thus the following

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho v) + \nabla \cdot (\rho v \otimes v) = -\rho \nabla p, \\ \Delta p = \rho. \end{cases}$$

The first equation is the conservation of mass, the second equation states that the acceleration field is given by $-\nabla p$, and the third equation is the Poisson coupling between gravitation and matter.

The reconstruction problem is to find a solution to (1.1) satisfying

$$\rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0, \quad \rho|_{t=T} = \rho_T,$$

i.e. given initial and final densities, as opposed to the Cauchy (or initial value) problem, where one is given initial density and velocity. In [17] (see also [5] for the case of the incompressible Euler equations), the reconstruction problem was formulated into a minimisation problem, minimising the action of the Lagrangian which is a convex functional of properly chosen variables. Through this variational formulation, the reconstruction problem becomes very similar to the time continuous formulation of the optimal transport problem of Benamou and Brenier [3]. Moreover, through the study of a dual problem, reminiscent of Monge-Kantorovich duality, partial regularity results for the velocity and the density were obtained.

The optimal transport problem of [17] was formulated as finding minimisers of the action

$$(1.2) \quad I(\rho, v, p) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho(t, x) |v(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla p(t, x)|^2 dx dt,$$

over all ρ, p, v satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) &= 0, \\ \rho(0) &= \rho_0, \quad \rho(T) = \rho_T, \\ \Delta p &= \rho - 1,\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{T}^d denotes the d -dimensional torus, as the study in [17] was performed in the space-periodic case.

1.3. Goal of the paper. In this paper we address the problem of finding minimisers for the action

$$(1.3) \quad I(\rho, v, p) = \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \rho(t, x) |v(t, x)|^2 dx + \mathcal{F}(t, \rho(t)) \right) dt,$$

for more general \mathcal{F} , and we will obtain some partial results in that direction.

Apart from the application in cosmology, several authors have looked at continuous optimal transport with or without interaction, notably through their natural connections with mean-field games. We refer the reader to the chapter [4] and the references therein, where the connection is explored. Again, we also refer to the book [2] where the notion of gradient flows on space of measures and its relation to optimal transport is addressed.

The present work is about the study of regularity of minimisers to (1.3). In [15], Lee and McCann address the case where

$$\mathcal{F}(t, \rho) = \int \rho(t, x) Q(t, x) dx,$$

which is obviously linear in ρ . This Lagrangian corresponds to the case of a continuum of matter evolving in an external acceleration field given by $\nabla Q(t, x)$. We call this the non-interacting case for obvious reasons. This can be recast as an optimal transport problem where the cost function is given by

$$(1.4) \quad c(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma(0)=x, \gamma(T)=y} \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} |\dot{\gamma}(t)|^2 + Q(t, \gamma(t)) dt,$$

where γ is a smooth curve connecting x and y . By assuming that $Q(t, x) = \varepsilon V(x)$ for some V satisfying the structure condition

$$- \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau \langle u, (1-t) \partial_s^2 \text{Hess } V_{x+t(v+sw)} u \rangle \Big|_{s=0} dt d\tau \geq C$$

for a constant $C > 0$, for all (x, v) in the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{T}^d$ and for all unit tangent vectors u, w in the tangent space $T_x\mathbb{T}^d$ that are orthogonal to each other, Lee and McCann obtain that for a small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, the cost c satisfies the conditions found in [19] to ensure the regularity of the optimal map. (Note that in order to be consistent with our notations, here changed the sign of the potential actually considered in [15].)

1.3.1. *The non-interacting, discrete case, and the associated Monge-Ampère equation.* In the present paper we will restrict ourselves to the case where the force field only acts at a single discrete time between 0 and T :

$$Q(t, x) = \delta_{t=T/2}Q(x).$$

The minimisation problem therefore becomes

$$(1.5) \quad I(\rho, v, p) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \rho(t, x) |v(t, x)|^2 dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(T/2, x) Q(x) dx,$$

for some potential Q . This will allow to remove the smallness condition on Q .

1.3.2. *The mean-field case.* We will be able to extend our result to the non-linear situation where the force field is given by

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla Q(x) = \int \rho(t, y) \nabla \kappa(x - y) dy,$$

still acting at a single intermediate time. This corresponds to the case where a particle located at y accelerates another particle located at x with an acceleration equal to $\nabla \kappa(x - y)$.

In this case we will show that the action to minimise becomes

$$(1.7) \quad I(\rho, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho |v|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(T/2, x) \kappa(x - y) \rho(T/2, y) dx dy.$$

Reasoning formally, one sees straight away that on $[0, T/2]$ we are solving the usual optimal transport problem in its ‘‘Benamou-Brenier’’ formulation [3], as well as on $[T/2, T]$, and therefore particles will travel with constant velocity in those two intervals. At $t = T/2$, the velocity v will be discontinuous. We will give a sufficient condition on κ to ensure a smooth transport map and intermediate density. Unfortunately, the gravitational case, which corresponds to the Coulomb kernel

$$(1.8) \quad \kappa(x - y) = \frac{c_d}{|x - y|^{d-2}}$$

does not satisfy our condition.

1.4. **Statement of the problem.** We start by giving a three formulations of the problem that will turn out to be equivalent.

Problem 1 We consider $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (in short \mathcal{P}_2) the set of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d with finite second moment, and a functional $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup +\infty$. For a given curve $\rho(t) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ and a vector field $v(t) \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, dt \times d\rho(t))$ we consider the action

$$(1.9) \quad I(\rho, v) = \int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} d\rho(t, x) |v(t, x)|^2 dt + \mathcal{F}(\rho(T/2))$$

and the constraints

$$(1.10) \quad \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0,$$

$$(1.11) \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0, \quad \rho(T) = \rho_T.$$

The Problem 1 is to minimise I among all ρ, v satisfying (1.10)–(1.11).

Problem 2 Consider the space of continuously differentiable curves $\Gamma = C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$. To each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \cup \text{support}(\rho_0)$ we associate $\gamma(t, x) \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma(0, x) = x$. We consider

$$(1.12) \quad J(\gamma) = \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t \gamma(t, x)|^2 dt d\rho_0(x) + \mathcal{F}(\rho(T/2)),$$

where¹

$$(1.13) \quad \rho(T/2) = \gamma(T/2)_\# \rho_0,$$

and under the constraint

$$(1.14) \quad \rho(T) = \gamma(T)_\# \rho_0.$$

The Problem 2 is to minimise J among all γ satisfying (1.13)–(1.14).

Problem 3 For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2$, letting $\Gamma_{\mu, \nu}$ be the set of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with marginals μ and ν , we recall that the Wasserstein distance (of order 2) between μ and ν is given by

$$(1.15) \quad W_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Gamma_{\mu, \nu}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 d\pi(x, y).$$

We define

$$(1.16) \quad K(\rho) = \frac{2}{T} W_2^2(\rho_0, \rho) + \mathcal{F}(\rho) + \frac{2}{T} W_2^2(\rho, \rho_T).$$

The Problem 3 is to minimise K among all $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_2$. It coincides with the notion of Wasserstein Barycenters, see [1], [14], when

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho) = C W_2^2(\rho_I, \rho), \quad C > 0$$

for some intermediate measure $\rho_I \in \mathcal{P}_2$.

From classical results of optimal transport (see [26, 27, 2]), in the case where $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$ is convex and lower semi continuous (l.s.c.) in ρ there holds:

Proposition 1.1. *Let $\rho_0, \rho_T \in \mathcal{P}_2 \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$ is convex and lower semi continuous (l.s.c.) in ρ , and that Problem 1,2 or 3 has at least one admissible solution, then Problems 1,2,3 are equivalent, and moreover there holds*

$$(1.17) \quad v(0, x) = \partial_t \gamma(0, x) = \frac{2}{T} (\nabla \Phi^*(x) - x) =: \nabla \phi(x),$$

¹The subscript $\#$ classically means that $\gamma(T/2)$ pushes forward ρ_0 onto $\rho(T/2)$.

where v, γ are respectively from Problems 1,2 and Φ^* is a convex potential such that $\nabla\Phi^*\#\rho_0 = \rho$ and ρ is the optimiser in Problem 3.

The second proposition gives the Euler-Lagrange equation characterising the optimiser. It is based on the Riemannian metric induced on \mathcal{P}_2 by W_2 (see again the above references for a complete coverage).

Proposition 1.2. *Let ρ be the optimiser in Problem 3. There exists a vector field $w = \nabla\Xi \in L^2(d\rho)$ and two convex potentials Φ, Ψ such that²*

$$(1.18) \quad \nabla\Phi\#\rho = \rho_0,$$

$$(1.19) \quad \nabla\Psi\#\rho = \rho_T,$$

$$(1.20) \quad \nabla\Psi + \nabla\Phi = 2x + \frac{T}{2}\nabla\Xi,$$

moreover $\nabla\Xi$ can be identified to the gradient of \mathcal{F} with respect to the Wasserstein metric, i.e. for all curve $\rho_t \subset \mathcal{P}_2 \cup \text{Dom}(\mathcal{F})$ passing through ρ at $t = 0$, and such that

$$\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho_t v_t) = 0,$$

for some $v_t \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(d\rho_t))$ there holds

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(\rho_t) \right|_{t=0} = \int d\rho \nabla\Xi \cdot v_0.$$

We will denote $\nabla\Xi = \nabla_W \mathcal{F} \Big|_\rho$.

We next characterise Ξ in the two cases of interest for the present paper:

Proposition 1.3. i) *Assume that $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$ is as in (1.5). Then*

$$\Xi = \frac{Q}{T}.$$

ii) *If $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$ as in (1.7) then*

$$\Xi = \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \kappa(\cdot - y) d\rho(y) =: \frac{Q_\rho}{T}.$$

We can also completely characterise the optimal velocity: with ϕ as in Proposition 1.1,

- (i) when $t \in [0, \frac{T}{2}]$, $v(t, x) = \nabla\phi(x_0)$, where $x = x_0 + t\nabla\phi(x_0)$; $\rho(t) = (x + t\nabla\phi)\#\rho_0$.
- (ii) when $t \in (\frac{T}{2}, T]$, $v(t, x) = \nabla\phi(x_0) + \nabla Q(z)$, where $x = z + (t - \frac{T}{2})(\nabla\phi(x_0) + \nabla Q(z))$ and $z = x_0 + \frac{T}{2}\nabla\phi(x_0)$; $\rho(t) = (x + (t - T/2)(\nabla\phi + \nabla Q))\#\rho_{T/2}$.

Finally the optimal map: $\mathbf{m}(x)$ such that $\mathbf{m}(\gamma(0, x)) = \gamma(T, x)$ (for γ the optimiser in Problem 2) will be given by

$$(1.21) \quad \mathbf{m}(x) = x + T\nabla\phi + \frac{T}{2}\nabla Q \left(x + \frac{T}{2}\nabla\phi \right),$$

²Note that Φ^* above is the Legendre transform of Φ .

and there also holds

$$(1.22) \quad \mathbf{m}(x) = \nabla \Psi(\nabla \Phi^*).$$

1.5. Assumptions. From the previous observations, and in order to motivate our assumptions, let us derive formally the equation giving the initial velocity potential ϕ . Let the initial density ρ_0 be supported on a bounded domain $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and the final density ρ_T be supported on a bounded domain $\Omega_T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, satisfying the balance condition

$$(1.23) \quad M := \int_{\Omega_0} \rho_0(x) dx = \int_{\Omega_T} \rho_T(y) dy.$$

Starting from the definition (1.21), we introduce the modified potential functions

$$(1.24) \quad \tilde{\phi}(x) := \frac{T}{2}\phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Q}(z) := \frac{T}{2}Q(z) + |z|^2.$$

By computing the determinant of the Jacobian $D\mathbf{m}$ and noting that $\mathbf{m}_\# \rho_0 = \rho_T$, i.e. that \mathbf{m} pushes forward the measure ρ_0 onto the measure ρ_T , one can derive the Monge-Ampère type equation (see §3 for detailed computation)

$$(1.25) \quad \det \left[D^2 \tilde{\phi} - \left(D^2 \tilde{Q}(\nabla \tilde{\phi}) \right)^{-1} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{\det D^2 \tilde{Q}(\nabla \tilde{\phi})} \right) \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_T \circ \mathbf{m}},$$

with a natural boundary condition

$$(1.26) \quad \mathbf{m}(\Omega_0) = \Omega_T.$$

To ensure the regularity of the solution $\tilde{\phi}$ (equivalently that of ϕ) to the boundary value problem (1.25) and (1.26), it is necessary to impose certain conditions on the potential function \tilde{Q} (equivalently on Q) and the domains Ω_0, Ω_T . In this paper we assume \tilde{Q} satisfies the following conditions:

- (H0) The potential function \tilde{Q} belongs to $C^4(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- (H1) The potential function \tilde{Q} is uniformly strictly convex, namely $D^2 \tilde{Q} \geq \varepsilon_0 I$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$.
- (H2) The potential function \tilde{Q} satisfies for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\xi \perp \eta$,

$$(1.27) \quad \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q,r,s} \left(\tilde{Q}_{ijrs} - 2\tilde{Q}^{pq} \tilde{Q}_{ijp} \tilde{Q}_{qrs} \right) \tilde{Q}^{rk} \tilde{Q}^{sl} \xi_k \xi_l \eta_i \eta_j \leq -\delta_0 |\xi|^2 |\eta|^2,$$

where $\{\tilde{Q}^{ij}\}$ is the inverse of $\{\tilde{Q}_{ij}\}$, and δ_0 is a positive constant. When $\delta_0 = 0$, we call it **(H2w)**, a weak version of (H2).

Note that conditions (H0) and (H1) imply that the inverse matrix $(D^2 \tilde{Q})^{-1}$ exists, and ensure that equation (1.25) is well defined. Condition (H2) is an analogue of the Ma-Trudinger-Wang (MTW) condition in optimal transportation, which is necessary for regularity results (note the factor 2 however). We shall give more detailed interpretations and examples in §5.

2. RESULTS

Our first main result is the following

Theorem 2.1. *Under assumptions (H0) and (H1) Problem 1,2,3 are equivalent to solving an optimal transport problem with cost function $c(x, y) = \tilde{Q}^*(x+y)$, where \tilde{Q}^* is the Legendre transform of \tilde{Q} given in (1.24). There exists a potential $\tilde{\phi}$ such that the optimal transport map of ρ_0 onto ρ_T with cost c will be given by $T(x) = y$ such that $D_x Q^*(x+y) = D_x \tilde{\phi}(x)$. Then $\phi = \frac{2}{T}(\tilde{\phi} - |x|^2/2)$ will be the initial velocity potential as in Proposition 1.1.*

Our next result is a regularity result:

Theorem 2.2. *Let ϕ be the initial velocity potential as above. Assume the potential function \tilde{Q} satisfies conditions (H0), (H1) and (H2), Ω_T is q -convex with respect to Ω_0 (defined in §4). Assume that $\rho_T \geq c_0$ on Ω_T for some positive constant c_0 , $\rho_0 \in L^p(\Omega_0)$ for some $p > \frac{d+1}{2}$, and the balance condition (1.23) is satisfied. Then, the velocity potential ϕ is $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_0)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

If furthermore, Ω_0, Ω_T are C^4 smooth and uniformly q -convex with respect to each other, $\rho_0 \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}_0), \rho_T \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}_T)$, then $\phi \in C^3(\bar{\Omega}_0)$, and higher regularity follows from the theory of linear elliptic equations. In particular, if $\tilde{Q}, \Omega_0, \Omega_T, \rho_0, \rho_T$ are C^∞ , then the velocity potential ϕ is in $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}_0)$.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 2.1, and from the observation that condition (H2) is equivalent to \tilde{Q}^* satisfying the MTW condition. Under this formulation, the regularity results then follow from the results in [17, 16, 19, 24]. See also [8, 9] for $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity results under the condition (H2w) and some additional conditions on domains.

As a by-product of those two results we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.3. *Consider the optimal transport problem with cost $c(x, y) = R(x+y)$ for some $R : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex. Then this problem is equivalent to the minimisation problem (1.5) with potential $Q(z) = \frac{2}{T}(R^*(z) - |z|^2)$, for R^* the Legendre Fenchel transform of R .*

For the mean-field case, we have the following existence and uniqueness result:

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that $\kappa \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^+)$, is convex. There exists a unique minimiser to problem (1.7). Moreover, once $\rho(T/2)$ is known, letting $\bar{\rho} = \rho(T/2)$, the minimiser will be the same as the solution of non-interacting problem (1.5) where Q is given by*

$$(2.1) \quad Q(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{\rho}(y) \kappa(x-y) dy.$$

Under additional assumptions on the kernel κ and the domains, we have the following regularity result:

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\Omega_{T/2} := \nabla \tilde{\phi}(\Omega_0)$ with $\tilde{\phi}$ given in (1.24). Assume moreover that κ satisfies*

(H2c) *for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $x, y \in \Omega_{T/2}$,*

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q,r,s} (D_{ijrs}^4 \kappa(x-y)) \tilde{\kappa}^{rk} \tilde{\kappa}^{sl} \xi_k \xi_l \eta_i \eta_j \leq 0,$$

where $\{\tilde{\kappa}^{ij}\}$ is the inverse of $\{\kappa_{ij} + \frac{2}{T}I\}$.

Assume that Ω_0, Ω_T are smooth convex domains. Let $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_0})$, $\psi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_T})$ be convex defining functions of Ω_0, Ω_T , respectively. Suppose that for any $z, w \in \Omega_{T/2}$,

$$(2.2) \quad \left[\varphi_{ij}(x) + \frac{TM}{8} \kappa_{ijk}(z-w) \varphi_k(x) \right] \geq b_0 \delta_{ij} \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega_0,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \left[\psi_{ij}(y) + \frac{TM}{8} \kappa_{ijk}(z-w) \psi_k(y) \right] \geq b_1 \delta_{ij} \quad \forall y \in \partial\Omega_T,$$

where b_0, b_1 are two constants, and M is the total mass in (1.23).

If $b_1 \geq 0$, Ω_T is q -convex with respect to Ω_0 , where Q is given by (2.1), thus the first conclusion of Theorem 2.2 holds. If furthermore, $b_0, b_1 > 0$ are positive, Ω_0, Ω_T are uniformly q -convex with respect to each other, and thus the second conclusion of Theorem 2.2 holds, namely the initial velocity potential ϕ is smooth provided $\kappa, \Omega_0, \Omega_T, \rho_0, \rho_T$ are smooth, which in turn implies that the intermediate density $\rho(T/2)$ is smooth.

The proof of Theorem 2.5 relies on the observation that the q -convexity and the condition (H2c) is preserved under convex combinations, and therefore by convolution with the density $\rho(T/2)$, and on some a priori C^1 estimates on the potential.

The paper is organised as follows: In §3, we derive equation (1.25) formally by straightforward computations. In §4, we introduce the two-step optimal transport problem and prove Theorem 2.1. By assuming conditions (H0)–(H1) we have the existence and uniqueness of the velocity potential ϕ . Moreover, we provide an interpretation of the cost function from a natural mechanical point of view. In §5, we introduce the condition (H2), which is crucial in obtaining the regularity of ϕ . In §6, upon formulating our reconstruction problem into an optimal transport problem, we have the regularity of ϕ and conclude Theorem 2.2. In §7, we consider the mean-field case under the condition (H2c), which is preserved by convex combinations, and then prove Theorem 2.4 and 2.5.

3. FORMAL DERIVATION OF EQUATION (1.25)

Throughout the following context, unless mentioned otherwise, the function ϕ always denotes the initial velocity potential, namely at time $t = 0$, the velocity

$$(3.1) \quad v(0, x) = \nabla\phi(x), \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_0.$$

In order to derive the equation for ϕ , let's track a single point $x \in \Omega_0$.

Recalling that at $t = T/2$, the potential ∇Q affects the velocity $v = \nabla\phi$, the final point $y = \mathbf{m}(x)$ is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{m}(x) = x + T\nabla\phi + \frac{T}{2}\nabla Q \left(x + \frac{T}{2}\nabla\phi \right).$$

The Jacobian matrix of \mathbf{m} is

$$\begin{aligned} D\mathbf{m} &= I + TD^2\phi + \frac{T}{2}D^2Q \cdot \left[I + \frac{T}{2}D^2\phi \right] \\ &= \left[I + \frac{T}{2}D^2\phi \right] \cdot \left[I + \frac{T}{2}D^2Q \right] + \frac{T}{2}D^2\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where I is the $d \times d$ identity matrix, and the matrix (D^2Q) is taken at $(x + T/2\nabla\phi)$.

Define

$$A := \left[I + \frac{T}{2}D^2Q \right],$$

and assume that the matrix $(I + A)$ is invertible. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad D\mathbf{m} &= \left[I + \frac{T}{2}D^2\phi \right] \cdot A + \frac{T}{2}D^2\phi \\ &= \frac{T}{2}D^2\phi \cdot [I + A] + A \\ &= \frac{T}{2}D^2\phi \cdot [I + A] + (I + A) - I \\ &= [I + A] \left[\frac{T}{2}D^2\phi + I - (I + A)^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Computing the determinant of $D\mathbf{m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det D\mathbf{m} &= \det [I + A] \det \left[\frac{T}{2}D^2\phi + I - (I + A)^{-1} \right] \\ &= \det [I + A] \det \left[\frac{T}{2}D^2\phi + I - \left(2I + \frac{T}{2}D^2Q(x + \frac{T}{2}\nabla\phi(x)) \right)^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the modified velocity potential $\tilde{\phi}$ and potential function \tilde{Q} are given by

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = \frac{T}{2}\phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Q}(z) = \frac{T}{2}Q(z) + |z|^2,$$

we have $D^2\tilde{Q} = (I + A)$ and

$$\det D\mathbf{m} = \det D^2\tilde{Q} \det \left[D^2\tilde{\phi} - \left(D^2\tilde{Q}(\nabla\tilde{\phi}) \right)^{-1} \right].$$

Therefore, we obtain the Monge-Ampère equation

$$\det \left[D^2 \tilde{\phi} - \left(D^2 \tilde{Q}(\nabla \tilde{\phi}) \right)^{-1} \right] = \frac{\det D\mathbf{m}}{\det D^2 \tilde{Q}}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{m}_\# \rho_0 = \rho_T$ (defined in (3.6)), thus $|\det D\mathbf{m}(x)| = \rho_0(x)/\rho_T(\mathbf{m}(x))$. Then we obtain the equation (1.25),

$$(3.4) \quad \det \left[D^2 \tilde{\phi} - \left(D^2 \tilde{Q}(\nabla \tilde{\phi}) \right)^{-1} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{\det D^2 \tilde{Q}} \right) \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_T \circ \mathbf{m}},$$

with an associated natural boundary condition

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbf{m}(\Omega_0) = \Omega_T.$$

Remark 3.1. In the continuous case (1.1), the second author obtained in [17] partial regularity for ϕ , that hold only in the interior (with respect to time) of the domain. In particular, there was no result regarding the initial velocity. In this paper, we obtain the regularity of ϕ over the whole domain in the discrete case by using the regularity in optimal transportation.

We need to introduce a notion of weak solutions for equation (3.4).

Definition 3.1. A function $\tilde{\phi}$ is said to be a weak Brenier solution to (3.4) whenever \mathbf{m} defined from $\tilde{\phi}$ as in (3.2) is such that

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{m}_\# \rho_0 = \rho_T,$$

namely, for all $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ Borel, there holds $\rho_0(\mathbf{m}^{-1}(B)) = \rho_T(B)$ (this is also called that \mathbf{m} pushes forward ρ_0 onto ρ_T).

Remark 3.2. It is known that, depending on the geometry of the support of ρ_T , the notion of Brenier solution might be equivalent to the notion of Aleksandrov solution.

4. TWO-STEPS TRANSPORT

Problem (1.5) falls into the class of optimal transport problems with a general cost function

$$(4.1) \quad c_T(x, y) = \inf \int_0^T L(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over all smooth curves $\gamma(\cdot)$ satisfying $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(T) = y$, as considered in [15]. In our case the Lagrangian is defined by $L(x, v) = \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 + \delta_{t=T/2} Q(x)$.

Moreover, we can compute explicitly the optimal path γ by dividing the transport map $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_2 \circ \mathbf{m}_1$ such that at $t = \frac{T}{2}$,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} z &= \mathbf{m}_1(x) \\ &= x + \frac{T}{2} \nabla \phi(x) = \nabla \tilde{\phi}(x), \end{aligned}$$

and at $t = T$,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} y &= \mathbf{m}_2(z) \\ &= z + \frac{T}{2} \nabla Q(z) + \frac{T}{2} \nabla \phi(x) = 2z + \frac{T}{2} \nabla Q(z) - x \\ &= \nabla \tilde{Q}(z) - x. \end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} c_T(x, y) &= \inf_z \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{z-x}{T/2} \right|^2 \cdot \frac{T}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{y-z}{T/2} \right|^2 \cdot \frac{T}{2} + Q(z) \right\} \\ &= \inf_z \left\{ \frac{1}{T} (|z-x|^2 + |y-z|^2) + Q(z) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Formally at the minimizer by taking $0 = \frac{\partial c_T(x, y)}{\partial z}$ one can recover the equality (4.3), namely

$$\frac{y-z}{T/2} = \frac{z-x}{T/2} + \nabla Q(z).$$

Furthermore, through a straightforward computation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} c_T(x, y) &= \inf_z \left\{ \frac{2}{T} |z|^2 - \frac{2}{T} z \cdot (x+y) + Q(z) \right\} + \frac{1}{T} (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \\ &= \frac{2}{T} \inf_z \left\{ |z|^2 - z \cdot (x+y) + \frac{T}{2} Q(z) \right\} + \frac{1}{T} (|x|^2 + |y|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\tilde{\phi}^*, \tilde{Q}^*$ be Legendre transforms of convex functions $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{Q}$ respectively, that is

$$\begin{cases} (x, \nabla \tilde{\phi}(x)) &\sim (\nabla \tilde{\phi}^*(z), z), \\ (z, \nabla \tilde{Q}(z)) &\sim (\nabla \tilde{Q}^*(p), p), \end{cases}$$

where,

$$(4.5) \quad p := \nabla \tilde{Q}(z) = y + x.$$

Recall that $\tilde{Q}(z) = \frac{T}{2} Q(z) + |z|^2$ defined in (1.24), one has

$$\begin{aligned} c_T(x, y) &= \frac{2}{T} \inf_z \left\{ \tilde{Q}(z) - z \cdot p \right\} + \frac{1}{T} (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \\ &= -\frac{2}{T} \sup_z \left\{ z \cdot p - \tilde{Q}(z) \right\} + \frac{1}{T} (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \\ &= -\frac{2}{T} \tilde{Q}^*(p) + \frac{1}{T} (|x|^2 + |y|^2), \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{Q}^* is exactly the Legendre transform of \tilde{Q} as defined above (4.5). Note that \tilde{Q}^* is well defined and C^4 smooth under assumptions (H0) and (H1).

Note that the terms involving only x or y do not affect the optimal transport map, therefore, we will look at an optimal transport problem with cost

$$(4.6) \quad c(x, y) = \tilde{Q}^*(x + y),$$

and seek to maximise the cost functional

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{s}) = \int_{\Omega_0} \rho_0(x) \tilde{Q}^*(x + \mathbf{s}(x)) dx,$$

among all maps $\mathbf{s} : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Omega_T$ such that $\mathbf{s}_\# \rho_0 = \rho_T$. Note that here we consider the maximisation instead of the minimisation problem as in [18, 19].

When the cost function c is strictly convex as is the case for \tilde{Q}^* in (4.6) satisfying (H0)–(H1), it was proved [7, 11] that a unique optimal mapping can be determined by the potential functions, that leads to the Monge-Ampère equation

$$(4.7) \quad \det \left[D^2 \tilde{\phi}(x) - D^2 \tilde{Q}^*(p) \right] = \left(\det D^2 \tilde{Q}^*(p) \right) \frac{\rho_0(x)}{\rho_T \circ \mathbf{m}(x)},$$

with the natural boundary condition (3.5), where $p = x + y$. Note that the matrix $(D^2 \tilde{\phi} - D^2 \tilde{Q}^*)$ is nonnegative, and $D^2 \tilde{Q}^*$ is positive definite by condition (H1), which makes equation (4.7) elliptic. Note also that in the absence of regularity, one has to understand the solution to (4.7) in the weak “Brenier” sense in Definition 3.1 (see [18]).

In our case,

$$(4.8) \quad \nabla \tilde{Q}^*(x + y) = \nabla \tilde{\phi}(x),$$

and using the properties of the Legendre transform

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \nabla \tilde{Q}(\nabla \tilde{\phi}(x)) - x \\ &= \frac{T}{2} \nabla Q(\nabla \tilde{\phi}(x)) + 2 \nabla \tilde{\phi}(x) - x. \end{aligned}$$

From the above computations, the initial velocity is given by $\frac{2}{T}(z - x)$ where $z = \nabla \tilde{Q}^*(x + y)$, hence following (4.8) we recover that

$$(4.9) \quad v(0, x) = \nabla \phi(x) = \frac{2}{T}(\nabla \tilde{\phi}(x) - x),$$

while $\nabla \tilde{\phi}(x) = z$, where z is the mid-point of the trajectory at $t = T/2$.

Lemma 4.1 (C^1 -bound). *Let Ω_0, Ω_T be two bounded domains and $\tilde{\phi}$ be a solution of (4.7) and (3.5). Assume that the potential \tilde{Q} satisfies (H0) and (H1). Then*

$$(4.10) \quad |\nabla \tilde{\phi}(x)| \leq C,$$

for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}_0$, where the constant C depends on Ω_0, Ω_T and \tilde{Q} . Furthermore, at time $t = T/2$, the intermediate domain $\Omega_{T/2} := \mathbf{m}_1(\Omega_0) = \nabla \tilde{\phi}(\Omega_0)$ is bounded.

Proof. From (4.8) and $p = x + y$,

$$\nabla \tilde{\phi}(x) = \nabla \tilde{Q}^*(x + y),$$

where $\tilde{Q}^* = \tilde{Q}^*(p)$ is the Legendre transform of $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}(z)$, thus is smooth and strictly convex. As $x \in \Omega_0$, $y \in \Omega_T$, $x + y \in B_R(0)$ for a bounded constant R . Hence $|\nabla \tilde{Q}^*(x + y)| \leq C$, and (4.10) is obtained.

Recall that (4.2), at time $t = \frac{T}{2}$, $z = \mathbf{m}_1(x) = \nabla \tilde{\phi}(x)$. The inequality (4.10) implies that the intermediate domain $\Omega_{T/2} = \mathbf{m}_1(\Omega_0)$ is bounded. \square

We remark that from the uniqueness of v in [17], a solution of (4.7) is thus the velocity potential $\tilde{\phi}$. Therefore, we have

Proposition 4.1. *The two-steps gravitational transport problem is an optimal transportation associated with the cost function (4.6). By assuming conditions (H0) and (H1), we have the existence and uniqueness (up to a constant) of solution $\tilde{\phi}$ to the boundary value problem (4.7) and (3.5) in the weak “Brenier” sense.*

And in turn by (1.24), we have the existence and uniqueness (up to a constant) of the initial velocity potential ϕ .

Theorem 2.1 follows then directly from Proposition 4.1. Additionally, to prove Theorem 2.2 it is equivalent to obtain the regularity of solutions of (4.7) in optimal transportation, that requires appropriate convexity conditions on domains Ω_0, Ω_T , and more importantly some structure conditions on the potential function \tilde{Q} to be described in the following sections.

5. CONDITIONS ON THE POTENTIAL FUNCTION

From Proposition 4.1, in order to obtain the regularity of the velocity potential $\tilde{\phi}$, it suffices to consider the optimal transportation with the cost function (4.6). From the results of [18], it is now well understood that the so-called Ma-Trudinger-Wang (MTW) condition (introduced in [19]) is necessary (at least in its weak form) for the regularity of optimal mappings.

Firstly, let us recall the MTW condition in optimal transportation. For a general cost function $c(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, use the notation

$$c_{ij\dots,kl\dots} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} \cdots c,$$

and let $[c^{i,j}]$ denote the inverse of $[c_{i,j}]$. The MTW condition is that

$$(5.1) \quad MTW := (c_{ij,rs} - c^{p,q} c_{ij,p} c_{q,rs}) c^{r,k} c^{s,l} \xi_k \xi_l \eta_i \eta_j \geq c_0 |\xi|^2 |\eta|^2,$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \perp \eta$, where $c_0 > 0$ is a constant. When $c_0 = 0$, it is called the weak MTW condition.

In our case, the cost function is given by the potential function \tilde{Q}^* in (4.6). To introduce the analogous MTW condition, denote the matrix

$$(5.2) \quad D^2 \tilde{Q}^*(p) = \left(D^2 \tilde{Q}(\nabla \tilde{\phi}) \right)^{-1} =: \mathcal{A}(z),$$

where $p = x + y$ and $z = \nabla \tilde{\phi}$, as in (4.5) and (4.2), respectively. Since $c(x, y) = \tilde{Q}^*(x + y)$, by differentiation we have

$$(5.3) \quad MTW = \left(\tilde{Q}_{ijrs}^* - \tilde{Q}^{*pq} \tilde{Q}_{ijp}^* \tilde{Q}_{qrs}^* \right) \tilde{Q}^{*rk} \tilde{Q}^{*sl} \xi_k \xi_l \eta_i \eta_j.$$

From the Legendre transform and (5.2), one has

$$z = \nabla \tilde{Q}^*(p), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial p_j} = D_{ij}^2 \tilde{Q}^*(p) = \mathcal{A}_{ij}(z).$$

Hence, using Einstein summation one has

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{ijr}^3 \tilde{Q}^* &= \frac{dz_k}{dp_r} D_k \mathcal{A}_{ij} = \mathcal{A}_{kr} D_k \mathcal{A}_{ij}, \\ D_{ijrs}^4 \tilde{Q}^* &= D_l \mathcal{A}_{kr} \left(\frac{dz_l}{dp_s} \right) D_k \mathcal{A}_{ij} + \mathcal{A}_{kr} D_{kl}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij} \left(\frac{dz_l}{dp_s} \right) \\ &= (D_l \mathcal{A}_{kr}) \mathcal{A}_{ls} (D_k \mathcal{A}_{ij}) + \mathcal{A}_{kr} (D_{kl}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij}) \mathcal{A}_{ls}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} MTW &= \mathcal{A}^{rk} \left(\mathcal{A}_{kr} (D_{kl}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij}) \mathcal{A}_{ls} + (D_l \mathcal{A}_{kr}) \mathcal{A}_{ls} (D_k \mathcal{A}_{ij}) - \mathcal{A}^{pq} \mathcal{A}_{kp} (D_k \mathcal{A}_{ij}) \mathcal{A}_{ls} (D_l \mathcal{A}_{qr}) \right) \mathcal{A}^{sl} \\ &= D_{kl}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the MTW condition in our case is that:

$$(5.5) \quad D_{z_k z_l}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij}(z) \xi_i \xi_j \eta_k \eta_l \geq c_0 |\xi|^2 |\eta|^2$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\xi \perp \eta$, where $c_0 > 0$ is a constant.

Next, we shall formulate the condition (5.5) in terms of the original potential function \tilde{Q} . From (5.2), $\mathcal{A}^{ij} = D_{ij}^2 \tilde{Q}$. By differentiating $I = \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}^{-1}$, we have

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} D_k \mathcal{A}_{ij} &= -\mathcal{A}_{ir} (D_k \mathcal{A}^{rs}) \mathcal{A}_{sj}, \\ D_{kl}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij} &= -\mathcal{A}_{ir} (D_{kl}^2 \mathcal{A}^{rs}) \mathcal{A}_{sj} + 2 \mathcal{A}_{im} (D_l \mathcal{A}^{mn}) \mathcal{A}_{nr} (D_k \mathcal{A}^{rs}) \mathcal{A}_{sj} \\ &= -\mathcal{A}_{ir} (D_{kl}^2 \mathcal{A}^{rs}) \mathcal{A}_{sj} + 2 (D_l \mathcal{A}_{ir}) \mathcal{A}^{rs} (D_k \mathcal{A}_{sj}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the left hand side of (5.5) is

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{\eta\eta}^2 \mathcal{A}_{\xi\xi} \\ &= - (D_{\eta\eta}^2 \tilde{Q}_{ij}) (\mathcal{A}_{\xi})_i (\mathcal{A}_{\xi})_j + 2 (\mathcal{A}_{\xi})_i (D_{\eta} \tilde{Q}_{ir}) \tilde{Q}^{rs} (D_{\eta} \tilde{Q}_{sj}) (\mathcal{A}_{\xi})_j. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\tilde{\xi} := \mathcal{A}\xi$ and recalling that $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}(z)$ is a scalar function, one has

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\eta\eta}^2 \mathcal{A}\xi\xi &= -D_{\eta\eta}^2 \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}} + 2(D_\eta \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{\xi}r}) \tilde{Q}^{rs} (D_\eta \tilde{Q}_{s\tilde{\xi}}) \\ &= -D_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}\eta\eta}^4 \tilde{Q} + 2 \left\langle \nabla \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{\xi}\eta}, \nabla \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{\xi}\eta} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \xi_i \xi_j \tilde{Q}^{ij}$.

Combining (5.5) and (5.7), we obtain the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *The MTW condition in our case can be expressed directly in the terms of the potential function \tilde{Q} :*

(H2) *The potential function \tilde{Q} satisfies that for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\xi \perp \eta$,*

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q,r,s} \left(\tilde{Q}_{ijrs} - 2\tilde{Q}^{pq} \tilde{Q}_{ijp} \tilde{Q}_{qrs} \right) \tilde{Q}^{rk} \tilde{Q}^{sl} \xi_k \xi_l \eta_i \eta_j \leq -\delta_0 |\xi|^2 |\eta|^2,$$

where $\{\tilde{Q}^{ij}\}$ is the inverse matrix of $\{\tilde{Q}_{ij}\}$, and δ_0 is a positive constant. When $\delta_0 = 0$, we call it **(H2w)**, a weak version of (H2).

Comparing with (5.3), one can see that (5.8) is in a similar form in spite of the factor 2.

6. REGULARITY OF THE POTENTIAL

It is well known that in order to guarantee some regularity for equation (4.7), one needs some notion of convexity of domains. In optimal transport, it has been proved that if the target domain is not c -convex, there exist some smooth densities ρ_0, ρ_T such that the optimal mapping is not even continuous, see [19, §7.3]. For global regularity, one needs both the initial and the target domains to be uniformly c -convex in [24].

In our case, the cost function is $c(x, y) = \tilde{Q}^*(x + y)$. Similarly to the c -convexity in optimal transportation, we introduce the following q -convexity for domains.

Definition 6.1 (q -exponential map). *Assume the potential function \tilde{Q} satisfies conditions (H0) and (H1). For $x \in \Omega_0$ we define the q -exponential map at x , denoted by $\mathfrak{J}_x : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, such that*

$$\mathfrak{J}_x(z) = \nabla \tilde{Q}(z) - x.$$

Note that this is reminiscent of the mapping \mathbf{m}_2 in (4.3), namely for $z \in \Omega_{T/2} = \nabla \tilde{\phi}(\Omega_0)$, $\mathfrak{J}_x(z) = y \in \Omega_T$. We rename it in order to follow the lines of optimal transportation.

Definition 6.2. *The domain Ω_T is q -convex with respect to Ω_0 if the pre-image $\mathfrak{J}_x^{-1}(\Omega_T)$ is convex for all $x \in \Omega_0$, where \mathfrak{J}_x is the q -exponential map in Definition 6.1.*

If the pre-image $\mathfrak{J}_x^{-1}(\Omega_T)$ is uniformly convex for all $x \in \Omega_0$, then we call Ω_T uniformly q -convex with respect to Ω_0 .

By duality we can also define the (uniform) q -convexity for Ω_0 with respect to Ω_T .

Remark 6.1. (i) Similarly to [25], in the smooth case we have an analytic formulation of the q -convexity of Ω_0 with respect to Ω_T . Let $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}_0)$ be a defining function of Ω_0 . That is $\varphi = 0$, $|\nabla\varphi| \neq 0$ on $\partial\Omega_0$ and $\varphi < 0$ in Ω_0 . Ω_0 is q -convex with respect to Ω_T if

$$(6.1) \quad \left[\varphi_{ij}(x) - \tilde{Q}^{*kl} D_k \tilde{Q}_{ij}^*(x+y) \varphi_l(x) \right] \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega_0, y \in \Omega_T.$$

If the matrix in (6.1) is uniformly positive, Ω_0 is uniformly q -convex with respect to Ω_T . Note that this analytic formulation is independent of the choice of φ , and by exchanging x and y , we also have the analytic formulation of the q -convexity of Ω_T with respect to Ω_0 .

(ii) Even though Ω_0 and Ω_T are uniformly q -convex, the intermediate domain $\Omega_{T/2} = \nabla\tilde{\phi}(\Omega_0)$ may not be q -convex. See [23] for the counterexamples in the optimal transportation case.

Upon formulating our reconstruction problem to optimal transportation in §4 and assuming appropriate conditions on the potential function \tilde{Q} and the domains, the regularity of the velocity potential $\tilde{\phi}$ will follow from the established results in optimal transportation. In particular, we have the following results that together compose the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 6.1 (from [16, 18]). *Let ϕ be the velocity potential in the reconstruction problem (1.5). Assume that the potential function \tilde{Q} satisfies conditions (H0), (H1) and (H2), that Ω_T is q -convex with respect to Ω_0 . Assume that $\rho_T \geq c_0$ on Ω_T for some positive constant c_0 , $\rho_0 \in L^p(\Omega_0)$ for some $p > \frac{d+1}{2}$, and the balance condition (1.23) is satisfied. Then, we have*

$$\|\phi\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_0)} \leq C,$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, where C is a positive constant. When $p = \frac{d+1}{2}$, the velocity potential ϕ belongs to $C^1(\overline{\Omega}_0)$.

Theorem 6.2 (from [24]). *If furthermore, Ω_0, Ω_T are C^4 smooth and uniformly q -convex with respect to each other, $\rho_0 \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}_0), \rho_T \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}_T)$, then $\phi \in C^3(\overline{\Omega}_0)$, and higher regularity follows from the theory of linear elliptic equations. Particularly, if $\tilde{Q}, \Omega_0, \Omega_T, \rho_0, \rho_T$ are C^∞ , then the velocity potential $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}_0)$.*

Similarly to [24], we are able to reduce the condition (H2) to (H2w) in Theorem 6.2 by assuming an additional condition called c -boundedness on Ω_0 in [24]. Namely, there exists a global barrier function h on Ω_0 such that

$$\left[D_{ij}h - \tilde{Q}^{*lk} \tilde{Q}_{ij}^* D_k h \right] \xi_i \xi_j \geq \delta_1 |\xi|^2,$$

for some constant $\delta_1 > 0$. We refer the reader to [24] for more details.

7. THE MEAN-FIELD CASE

7.1. Convexity of the space of MTW potentials. The potential function \tilde{Q} in our two-steps gravitational transport problem is a scalar function defined on the intermediate domain $\Omega_{T/2} = \nabla\tilde{\phi}(\Omega_0)$, which only takes effect at time $t = T/2$. In the general reconstruction problem considered by the second author in [17], the gravitational function p actually solves the Poisson equation in the system (1.1), and takes effect for all $t \in (0, T)$. In fact, at each $t \in (0, T)$, p is a convolution of the density ρ and the Coulomb kernel (1.8). One can see the convolution as a continuous convex combination with weights $\rho(t, x)$, and a Kernel satisfying (H2) would lead, by convolution, to a potential also satisfying (H2). Therefore, in order to study the general case, a natural question one may ask is that:

Is the set of potential functions satisfying (H2) convex?

The answer is “No” in general as shown in the following examples.

Example 7.1. *Let the dimension $d = 3$ and*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}(z) &:= z_2^2 z_3^2 + z_1 z_3^2 + z_1 z_2^2 + A|z|^2; \\ \tilde{Q}'(z) &:= z_2^2 z_3^2 - z_1 z_3^2 - z_1 z_2^2 + A|z|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $z \in \Omega_{T/2}$ that is a bounded domain due to Lemma 4.1, and A is a positive constant.

By differentiating we have

$$D^2\tilde{Q} \quad (\text{or } D^2\tilde{Q}') = \begin{bmatrix} 2A, & \pm 2z_2, & \pm 2z_3 \\ \pm 2z_2, & 2A + 2z_3^2 \pm 2z_1, & 4z_2 z_3 \\ \pm 2z_3, & 4z_2 z_3, & 2A + 2z_2^2 \pm 2z_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Choosing A sufficiently large such that $A \gg \text{diam}(\Omega_{T/2})$, we have

$$D^2\tilde{Q} \quad (\text{and } D^2\tilde{Q}') \geq AI,$$

where I is the 3×3 identity matrix. Hence, \tilde{Q} and \tilde{Q}' satisfy conditions (H0) and (H1).

By further computations one can easily verify that both \tilde{Q} and \tilde{Q}' satisfy condition (H2w) as well. Choose $\xi = (0, 1, 0)$, $\eta = (0, 0, 1)$ and let $\text{MTW}(\tilde{Q})$ denote the left hand side of (5.8) for this choice of ξ, η . We have

$$\text{MTW}(\tilde{Q}) \quad (\text{and } \text{MTW}(\tilde{Q}')) \approx \left(D_{2233}^4 \tilde{Q} - 2D_{331}^3 \tilde{Q} D_{122}^3 \tilde{Q} \right) \leq -2.$$

However, let $\tilde{Q}'' := \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{Q} + \tilde{Q}') = z_2^2 z_3^2 + A|z|^2$. As the third-order terms vanish, one can see that

$$\text{MTW}(\tilde{Q}'') \approx D_{2233}^4 \tilde{Q}'' = 4,$$

which contradicts with (5.8), namely \tilde{Q}'' doesn't satisfy condition (H2).

One can further modify the above example to show that \tilde{Q} , \tilde{Q}' satisfy (H2) but their convex combination doesn't. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}(z) &:= F(z) + T(z) + A|z|^2; \\ \tilde{Q}'(z) &:= F(z) - T(z) + A|z|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $F(z)$ is the fourth-order terms satisfying $F_{ijkl}\xi_i\xi_j\eta_k\eta_l > 0$, and $T(z)$ is the third-order terms such that the quadratic product of $T_{ijs}T_{skl}\xi_i\xi_j\eta_k\eta_l$ is strictly larger than $F_{ijkl}\xi_i\xi_j\eta_k\eta_l$. Then by choosing $A > 0$ sufficiently large one has \tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}' satisfy (H0), (H1) and (H2). On the other hand, it's easily seen that $\tilde{Q}'' := \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{Q} + \tilde{Q}') = F(z) + A|z|^2$ doesn't satisfy (H2).

Inspired by the above example, we may consider the following variety of (H2) by removing the third-order terms and the orthogonality.

(H2c) The potential function \tilde{Q} satisfies that for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(7.1) \quad \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q,r,s} \left(D_{ijrs}^A \tilde{Q} \right) \tilde{Q}^{rk} \tilde{Q}^{sl} \xi_k \xi_l \eta_i \eta_j \leq 0.$$

where $\{\tilde{Q}^{ij}\}$ is the inverse of $\{\tilde{Q}_{ij}\}$.

Notice that the second term on the left hand side of (5.8) is always non-positive. Obviously, (H2c) implies (H2w), but not the other way around.

Lemma 7.1. *The set \mathcal{S} of potential functions \tilde{Q} satisfying (H0), (H1), and (H2c) is convex.*

Proof. For any $\tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{S}$, define the vector $\tilde{\xi}$ by $\tilde{\xi}_i = \tilde{Q}^{ik}\xi_k$. From (H0) and (H1), one has $|\tilde{\xi}| \approx |\xi|$, namely there exist two universal constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$C_1|\xi| \leq |\tilde{\xi}| \leq C_2|\xi|.$$

Hence, (7.1) is equivalent to

$$(7.2) \quad \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q,r,s} \left(D_{ijrs}^A \tilde{Q} \right) \xi_k \xi_l \eta_i \eta_j \leq 0.$$

Now the convexity of \mathcal{S} follows naturally from the linearity of (7.2). In fact, let $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}' \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy (7.2). One can easily check that $\tau\tilde{Q} + (1-\tau)\tilde{Q}'$ also satisfies the same inequality (7.2), for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, $\tau\tilde{Q} + (1-\tau)\tilde{Q}' \in \mathcal{S}$. \square

7.2. Proof of Theorem 2.4. Going back to the notations of [17], define $J = \rho v$ and then the functional we want to minimize is

$$I(\rho, J) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|J|^2}{2\rho} dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(T/2, x) \kappa(x-y) \rho(T/2, y) dx dy.$$

From the assumptions on κ , one can see that $I(\rho, J)$ is convex in ρ, J , and the existence of a unique minimizer follows [17]. It also falls easily that trajectories of minimizers will have

constant velocity on $[0, T/2^-)$ and on $(T/2^+, T]$, and that $v(T/2^+, x) - v(T/2^-, x) = \nabla Q(x)$ where Q is given by

$$Q(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(T/2, y) \kappa(x - y) dy.$$

Therefore, once the $\rho(T/2)$ has been found, the problem becomes equivalent to the non-interacting problem, with Q as above.

7.3. Proof of Theorem 2.5. In order to obtain the global regularity, we need to show the following q -convexity of domains.

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that κ is C^4 and convex. Assume Ω_0 is convex, and let $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}_0)$ be a convex defining function of Ω_0 . Suppose that*

$$(7.3) \quad \left[\varphi_{ij}(x) + \frac{TM}{8} \kappa_{ijk}(z - w) \varphi_k(x) \right] \geq c_0 \delta_{ij} \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega_0, \quad \forall z, w \in \Omega_{T/2},$$

for a constant $c_0 \geq 0$, where κ_{ijk} are partial derivatives in z , M is the total mass in (1.23). Then, Ω_0 is uniformly q -convex with respect to Ω_T if $c_0 > 0$; and Ω_0 is q -convex with respect to Ω_T if $c_0 = 0$.

Proof. It suffices to verify the inequality (6.1). In this case, the modified potential is

$$(7.4) \quad \tilde{Q}(z) = \frac{T}{2} \int_{\Omega_{T/2}} \bar{\rho}(w) \kappa(z - w) dw + |z|^2,$$

where $\bar{\rho}(w) = \rho(T/2, w)$. From the proof of Lemma 4.1, the intermediate domain $\Omega_{T/2}$ is bounded. From [18], the density $\bar{\rho}$ is bounded, namely $0 \leq \bar{\rho} \leq C$ for a constant $C > 0$. Since κ is convex in z , the potential \tilde{Q} is uniformly convex, namely the matrix

$$(7.5) \quad \tilde{Q}_{ij}(z) \geq 2\delta_{ij} \quad \forall z \in \Omega_{T/2}.$$

Now, we convert (6.1) in terms of \tilde{Q} . Note that since \tilde{Q}^* is the Legendre transform of \tilde{Q} , from (5.2), $\tilde{Q}^{*kl}(p) = \tilde{Q}_{kl}(z) = \mathcal{A}^{kl}(z)$, where $p = x + y$. Combining (5.4) and (5.6) one has

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ijk}^3 \tilde{Q}^*(z) &= \mathcal{A}_{kr} D_r \mathcal{A}_{ij}(z) \\ &= -\mathcal{A}_{kr} \mathcal{A}_{im} D_r \mathcal{A}^{mn} \mathcal{A}_{nj}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (6.1) is equivalent to that for any vector $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\left[\varphi_{ij}(x) + \tilde{Q}^{ir}(D_k \tilde{Q}_{rs}) \tilde{Q}^{sj}(z) \varphi_k(x) \right] \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega_0, z \in \Omega_{T/2}.$$

Similarly as for (5.7), letting $\tilde{\xi} := \mathcal{A}\xi$, namely $\tilde{\xi}_i = \tilde{Q}^{ir} \xi_r$, one has

$$(7.6) \quad \left[\tilde{Q}_{ir} \varphi_{rs}(x) \tilde{Q}_{sj} + (D_k \tilde{Q}_{ij}(z)) \varphi_k(x) \right] \tilde{\xi}_i \tilde{\xi}_j \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega_0, z \in \Omega_{T/2}.$$

Therefore, in order to show Ω_0 is q -convex with respect to Ω_T , it suffices to verify (7.6).

By differentiating (7.4),

$$D_k \tilde{Q}_{ij}(z) = \frac{T}{2} \int_{\Omega_{T/2}} \bar{\rho}(w) \kappa_{ijk}(z-w) dw.$$

From (1.23) and the conservation of mass, one has

$$\int_{\Omega_{T/2}} \bar{\rho}(w) dw = M.$$

Then, one can easily see that for any $z \in \Omega_{T/2}$,

$$(7.7) \quad \min_{w \in \Omega_{T/2}} \frac{TM}{2} \kappa_{ijk}(z-w) \leq D_k \tilde{Q}_{ij}(z) \leq \max_{w \in \Omega_{T/2}} \frac{TM}{2} \kappa_{ijk}(z-w).$$

Since φ is convex, combining (7.5) and (7.7) into (7.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\tilde{Q}_{ir} \varphi_{rs}(x) \tilde{Q}_{sj} + (D_k \tilde{Q}_{ij}(z)) \varphi_k(x) \right] &\geq \min_{w \in \Omega_{T/2}} 4 \left[\varphi_{ij}(x) + \frac{TM}{8} \kappa_{ijk}(z-w) \varphi_k(x) \right] \\ &\geq 4c_0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step was due to the assumption (7.3). Note that the matrix $(\tilde{Q}_{ij}(z))$ is positive definite and bounded for all $z \in \Omega_{T/2}$. So, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1}|\xi| \leq |\tilde{\xi}| \leq C|\xi|$ for any vector $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, the lemma is proved. \square

Remark 7.1. (i) Similarly to the above proof, by exchanging x and y , we can also obtain the q -convexity of Ω_T with respect to Ω_0 under the assumption (2.3).

(ii) Note that the convexity assumption on Ω_0 can be dropped by imposing a more involved condition on the kernel κ comparing to (7.3). Here, Lemma 7.2 only provides a sufficient condition for Ω_0 to be q -convex.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Thanks to Lemma 7.2, from the assumptions (2.2), (2.3) we know that Ω_T is q -convex with respect to Ω_0 if $b_1 \geq 0$, and Ω_0, Ω_T are uniformly q -convex with respect to each other if $b_0, b_1 > 0$. Therefore, it suffices to verify that the modified potential function

$$\tilde{Q}(z) = |z|^2 + \frac{T}{2} \int_{\Omega_{T/2}} \rho(T/2, y) \kappa(z-y) dy$$

satisfies the conditions (H0), (H1) and (H2c). The condition (H0) follows from the smoothness of the kernel κ ; the condition (H1) follows from the convexity of κ . From the assumption that κ satisfies the condition (H2c) and Lemma 7.1, the potential function \tilde{Q} satisfies (H2c). Hence, the proof follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Unfortunately, the Coulomb kernel (1.8) $\kappa(x-y) = \frac{c_d}{|x-y|^{d-2}}$ does not satisfy the condition (H2c). By direct computation we can verify that it satisfies the condition (H2). However, since the space of potential function satisfying (H2) is not convex, as shown in Example 7.1, Theorem 2.5 does not apply to that case.

Lemma 7.3. *The Coulomb kernel κ in (1.8) satisfies condition (H2), if $c_d > 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider $\kappa(z) = |z|^{2-d}$. By differentiation we have

$$\nabla \kappa(z) = (2-d)|z|^{-d}z =: p.$$

Let κ^* be the Legendre transform of κ , then $\kappa(z) + \kappa^*(p) = z \cdot p$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^*(p) &= z \cdot p - \kappa(z) \\ &= (1-d)|z|^{2-d} \\ &= \frac{1-d}{(d-2)^{(2-d)/(1-d)}} |p|^{\frac{2-d}{1-d}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the discrete case, from (4.6) we obtain that the cost function is

$$(7.8) \quad c(x, y) = \frac{d-1}{(d-2)^m} |x+y|^m, \quad m = \frac{2-d}{1-d} \in (0, 1).$$

By computation in optimal transportation (5.1), we have the LHS of (5.5) is

$$\begin{aligned} LHS(z, \xi, \eta) &= \frac{d-1}{(d-2)^m} \left(\frac{m-2}{m-1} \right) |z|^{-\frac{m}{m-1}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{m}{m-1} \left(\frac{z \cdot \eta}{|z|} \right)^2 - m \left(\frac{z \cdot \xi}{|z|} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{m(3m-2)}{m-1} \left(\frac{z \cdot \xi}{|z|} \right)^2 \left(\frac{z \cdot \eta}{|z|} \right)^2 + (m-1)(\xi \cdot \eta)^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for any ξ, η with $|\xi| = |\eta| = 1$.

Since $0 < m < 1$, the coefficient $\frac{d-1}{(d-2)^m} \left(\frac{m-2}{m-1} \right) > 0$. For terms in the bracket, we have

$$I := 1 - m \left(\frac{z \cdot \xi}{|z|} \right)^2 + (m-1)(\xi \cdot \eta)^2 \geq 0,$$

with the equality holds if and only if $\xi = \eta = z/|z|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} II &:= \frac{m}{1-m} \left(\frac{z \cdot \eta}{|z|} \right)^2 - \frac{m(3m-2)}{1-m} \left(\frac{z \cdot \xi}{|z|} \right)^2 \left(\frac{z \cdot \eta}{|z|} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{m}{1-m} \left(\frac{z \cdot \eta}{|z|} \right)^2 \left[1 - (3m-2) \left(\frac{z \cdot \xi}{|z|} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $m \in (0, \frac{2}{3}]$, then $(3m-2) \leq 0$, thus

$$II \geq \frac{m}{1-m} \left(\frac{z \cdot \eta}{|z|} \right)^2 \geq 0,$$

with the last equality holds if and only if $z \perp \eta$.

If $m \in (\frac{2}{3}, 1)$, then

$$1 - (3m-2) \left(\frac{z \cdot \xi}{|z|} \right)^2 > 1 - (3m-2) = 3 - 3m > 0.$$

We also have $II \geq 0$ with the last equality holds if and only if $z \perp \eta$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$LHS(z, \xi, \eta) > 0,$$

for all unit vectors ξ, η . □

REFERENCES

- [1] Agueh, M. and Carlier, G.: Barycenters in the Wasserstein space. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 43 (2011), 904–924.
- [2] Ambrosio, L.; Gigli, N. and Savaré, G.: *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, second edition, 2008.
- [3] Benamou, J.-D. and Brenier, Y.: A computational fluid mechanics solution to the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem. *Numer. Math.*, 84 (2000), 375–393.
- [4] Benamou, J.-D.; Carlier, G. and Santambrogio, F.: Variational mean field games. In *Active Particles, Volume 1*, pages 141–171. Springer, 2017.
- [5] Brenier, Y.: Minimal geodesics on groups of volume-preserving maps and generalized solutions of the Euler equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 52 (1999), 411–452.
- [6] Brenier, Y.; Frisch, U.; Hénon, M.; Loeper, G.; Matarrese, S.; Mohayaee, R. and Sobolevskii, A.: Reconstruction of the early universe as a convex optimization problem. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 346 (2003), 501–524.
- [7] Caffarelli, L.: Allocation maps with general cost functions, in *Partial Differential Equations and Applications* (P. Marcellini, G. Talenti, and E. Vesintini eds). Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 177 (1996), 29–35.
- [8] Chen, S. and Wang, X.-J.: Strict convexity and $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity of potential functions in optimal transportation under condition A3w. *J. Differential Equations*, 260 (2016), 1954–1974.
- [9] Figalli, A.; Kim, Y.-H. and McCann, R.: Hölder continuity and injectivity of optimal maps. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 209 (2013), 747–795.
- [10] Frisch, U.; Matarrese, S.; Mohayaee, R. and Sobolevski, A.: A reconstruction of the initial conditions of the universe by optimal mass transportation. *Nature*, 2002.
- [11] Gangbo, W. and McCann, R. J.: Optimal maps in Monge’s mass transport problem. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Series I, Math.*, 321 (1995), 1653–1658.
- [12] Kantorovich, L. V.: On mass transportation. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 37 (1942), 227–229.
- [13] Kantorovich, L. V.: On a problem of Monge. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.*, 3 (1948), 225–226.
- [14] Kim, Y.-H. and Pass, B.: Wasserstein barycenters over riemannian manifolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, 307 (2017), 640–683.
- [15] Lee, P. and McCann R. J.: The Ma-Trudinger-Wang curvature for natural mechanical actions. *Calc. Var. PDEs*, 41 (2011), 285–299.
- [16] Liu, J.: Hölder regularity of optimal mappings in optimal transportation. *Calc. Var. PDEs*, 34 (2009), 435–451.
- [17] Loeper, G.: The reconstruction problem for the Euler-Poisson system in cosmology. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 179 (2006), 153–216.
- [18] Loeper, G.: On the regularity of solutions of optimal transportation problems. *Acta Math.*, 202 (2009), 241–283.
- [19] Ma, X.-N.; Trudinger, N. S. and Wang, X.-J.: Regularity of potential functions of the optimal transportation problems. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 177 (2005), 151–183.
- [20] Mohayaee, R.; Tully, R.B. and Frisch, U.: Reconstruction of large-scale peculiar velocity fields. *Current Issues in Cosmology*, Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp123.
- [21] Monge, G.: Mémoire sur la theorie des deblais et des remblais. In *Histoire de l’Académie Royale des Sciences*. Paris, 1781.
- [22] Rockafellar, R. T.: *Convex analysis*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Reprint of the 1970 original, Princeton Paperbacks.
- [23] Santambrogio, F. and Wang, X.-J.: Convexity of the support of the displacement interpolation: Counterexamples. *Applied Math. Letters*, 58 (2016), 152–158.

- [24] Trudinger, N. S. and Wang, X.-J.: On the second boundary value problem for Monge-Ampère type equations and optimal transportation. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. Cl. Sci.*, 8 (2009), 143–174.
- [25] Trudinger, N. S. and Wang, X.-J.: On strict convexity and continuous differentiability of potential functions in optimal transportation. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 192 (2009), 403–418.
- [26] Villani, C.: *Topics in Optimal Transportation*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 58. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. 2003.
- [27] Villani, C.: *Optimal Transport. Old and New*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 338. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2009.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG, WOLLONGONG,
NSW 2522, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `jiakunl@uow.edu.au`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MONASH UNIVERSITY, MELBOURNE, VIC 3800, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `gregoire.loeper@monash.edu`