

Third order differential subordination and superordination results for analytic functions involving the Srivastava-Attiya operator

H. M. Srivastava^{1,2,*}, A. Prajapati³ and P. Gochhayat⁴

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria,
Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3R4, Canada

²Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital,
China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan, Republic of China

E-Mail: harimsri@math.uvic.ca

***Corresponding Author**

^{3,4}Department of Mathematics ,
Sambalpur University, Jyoti vihar 768019, Burla ,
Sambalpur, Odisha, India

³ **E-Mail: anujaprajapati49@gmail.com**

⁴ **E-Mail: pgochhayat@gmail.com**

Abstract

In this article, by making use of the linear operator introduced and studied by Srivastava and Attiya [16], suitable classes of admissible functions are investigated and the dual properties of the third-order differential subordinations are presented. As a consequence, various sandwich-type theorems are established for a class of univalent analytic functions involving the celebrated Srivastava-Attiya transform. Relevant connections of the new results are pointed out.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C80.

Key Words and Phrases. Analytic functions; Univalent functions; Differential subordination; Differential superordination; Srivastava-Attiya operator; Sandwich-type theorems; Admissible functions.

1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of functions analytic in the *open* unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

Also let

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, a \in \mathbb{C})$$

be the subclass of the analytic function class \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(\subset \mathcal{H})$ be the class of functions which are analytic in \mathbb{U} and have the *normalized* Taylor-Maclaurin series of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.1)$$

Suppose that f and g are in \mathcal{H} . We say that f is *subordinate* to g , (or g is *superordinate* to f), written as

$$f \prec g \text{ in } \mathbb{U} \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

if there exists a function $\omega \in \mathcal{H}$, satisfying the conditions of the Schwarz lemma, namely

$$\omega(0) = 0 \text{ and } |\omega(z)| < 1$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It follows that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \implies f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

In particular, if g is *univalent* in \mathbb{U} , then the reverse implication also holds (see, for details, [10]).

The concept of differential subordination is a generalization of various inequalities involving complex variables. We recall here some more definitions and terminologies from the theory of differential subordination and superordination.

Definition 1. [1] Let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that the function $h(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} . If the function $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and satisfies the following third-order differential subordination

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) \prec h(z), \quad (1.2)$$

then $p(z)$ is called a *solution* of the differential subordination (1.2). Furthermore, a given univalent function $q(z)$ is called a *dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination* (1.2), or, more simply, a *dominant* if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (1.2). A dominant $\tilde{q}(z)$ that satisfies $\tilde{q}(z) \prec q(z)$ for all dominants $q(z)$ of (1.2) is said to be the *best dominant*.

Definition 2. [18] Let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the function $h(z)$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} . If the function $p(z)$ and

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z)$$

are univalent in \mathbb{U} and satisfies the following third-order differential superordination

$$h(z) \prec \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z), \quad (1.3)$$

then $p(z)$ is called a *solution* of the differential superordination. An analytic function $q(z)$ is called a *subordinant* of the solutions of the differential superordination, or more simply a *subordinant*, if $q(z) \prec p(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (1.3).

A univalent subordinant $\tilde{q}(z)$ that satisfies $q(z) \prec \tilde{q}(z)$ for all subordinant $q(z)$ of (1.3) is said to be the *best subordinant*. We note that both the best dominant and best subordinant are unique up to rotation of \mathbb{U} . The well known monograph of Miller and Mocanu [10] and the more recent book of Bulboacă [2] provide detailed expositions on the theory of differential subordination and superordination. With a view to define the Srivastava-Attiya transform we recall here the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function, which is defined in [15] by the following series:

$$\Upsilon(z, \mu, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(b+n)^\mu}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$(b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \mu \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } z \in \mathbb{U}; \Re(\mu) > 1 \text{ when } z \in \partial\mathbb{U}).$$

Special cases of the function $\Upsilon(z, \mu, b)$ include for example, the Riemann Zeta function $\zeta(\mu) = \Upsilon(1, \mu, 1)$; the Hurwitz Zeta function $\zeta(\mu, b) = \Upsilon(1, \mu, b)$, the Lerch Zeta function $l_\mu \zeta = \Upsilon(\exp 2\pi i \zeta, \mu, 1)$ ($\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Re(\mu) > 1$), the Poly logarithm function $L_{i\mu} = z\Upsilon(z, \mu, 1)$ and so on. For further details see [17] and the references therein. Srivastava and Attiya [16] considered the following normalized function:

$$R_{\mu,b}(z) = (1+b)^\mu [\Upsilon(z, \mu, b) - b^{-\mu}] = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b+1}{b+n} \right)^\mu z^n, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.5)$$

and by making use of $R_{\mu,b}(z)$, they have introduced the linear operator $J_{\mu,b} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which is defined in terms of convolution as follows:

$$J_{\mu,b}f(z) = R_{\mu,b}(z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b+1}{b+n} \right)^\mu a_n z^n, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.6)$$

The operator $J_{\mu,b}f(z)$ is now popularly known in the literature as the Srivastava-Attiya operator. Various applications of $J_{\mu,b}f(z)$ are found in [3, 5, 7, 6, 12, 21] and the references therein. From (1.6), it is clear that

$$zJ'_{\mu+1,b}f(z) = (b+1)J_{\mu,b}f(z) - bJ_{\mu+1,b}f(z). \quad (1.7)$$

Suitable choices of parameters, the above defined operator unifies various other linear operators which are introduced earlier. For examples

- (1) $J_{0,b}f(z) = f(z)$,
- (2) $J_{1,0}f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt := \mathfrak{A}f(z)$,
- (3) $J_{1,\eta}f(z) = \frac{1+\eta}{z^\eta} \int_0^z t^{\eta-1} f(t) dt := \mathfrak{J}_\eta f(z)$, $(\eta > -1)$,

$$(4) J_{\sigma,1}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n+1}\right)^{\sigma} a_n z^n := I^{\sigma}f(z) \quad (\sigma > 0).$$

Where $\mathfrak{A}(f)$ and \mathfrak{J}_{η} are the integral operators introduced by Alexander and Bernardi, respectively, and $I^{\sigma}(f)$ is the Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator closely related to multiplier transformation studied by Flett. For more detail unifications we refer [12].

Definition 3. [1] Let \mathbb{Q} be the set of all functions q that are analytic and univalent on $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \setminus E(q)$, where $E(q) = \{\xi : \xi \in \partial\mathbb{U} : \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} q(z) = \infty\}$, and are such that $\min |q'(\xi)| = \rho > 0$ for $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(q)$. Further, let the subclass of \mathbb{Q} for which $q(0) = a$ be denoted by $\mathbb{Q}(a)$, $\mathbb{Q}(0) = \mathbb{Q}_0$ and $\mathbb{Q}(1) = \mathbb{Q}_1$.

The subordination methodology is applied to an appropriate class of admissible functions. The following class of admissible functions is given by Antonino and Miller.

Definition 4. [1] Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. The class of admissible functions $\Psi_n[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ achieving the following admissibility conditions:

$$\psi(r, s, t, u; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$r = q(\zeta), s = k\zeta q'(\zeta), \Re\left(\frac{t}{s} + 1\right) \geq k\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} + 1\right),$$

and

$$\Re\left(\frac{u}{s}\right) \geq k^2\Re\left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(q)$, and $k \geq n$.

The next lemma is the foundation result in the theory of third-order differential subordination.

Lemma 1. [1] Let $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ with $n \geq 2$, and $q \in \mathbb{Q}(a)$ achieving the following conditions:

$$\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right) \geq 0, \quad \left|\frac{zq'(z)}{q'(\zeta)}\right| \leq k,$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(q)$, and $k \geq n$. If Ω is a set in $\mathbb{C}, \psi \in \Psi_n[\Omega, q]$ and

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) \subset \Omega,$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Definition 5. [18] Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} and $q \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ and $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Psi'_n[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \overline{\mathbb{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$\psi(r, s, t, u; \zeta) \in \Omega$$

whenever

$$r = q(z), s = \frac{zq'(z)}{m}, \Re\left(\frac{t}{s} + 1\right) \leq \frac{1}{m}\Re\left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1\right),$$

and

$$\Re\left(\frac{u}{s}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m^2}\Re\left(\frac{z^2q'''(z)}{q'(z)}\right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$, and $m \geq n \geq 2$.

Lemma 2. [18] Let $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ with $\psi \in \Psi'_n[\Omega, q]$. If

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z)$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} and $p \in \mathbb{Q}(a)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\Re\left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) \geq 0, \quad \left|\frac{zp'(z)}{q'(z)}\right| \leq m,$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$, and $m \geq n \geq 2$, then

$$\Omega \subset \{\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U}\},$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec p(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Though the notion of third order differential subordination have originally found in the work of Ponnusamy and Juneja [13]. The recent work due to Tang et al. [18, 20] on third order differential subordination attracted to many researchers in this field. For example see [4, 8, 9, 14, 18, 19, 20, 13, 11]. In the present paper we considered suitable classes of admissible functions associated with Srivastava-Attiya operator and obtained sufficient conditions on the normalized analytic function f such that *Sandwich-type subordination* of the following form holds:

$$h_1(z) \prec \Theta(f) \prec q_2(z), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where q_1, q_2 are univalent in \mathbb{U} and Θ is a suitable operator.

2. Results Related to Third Order Subordination

In this section, start with given set Ω and given function q and we determine a set of admissible operators ψ so that (1.2) holds true. Thus, the following new class of admissible function is introduced which will required to prove the main third-order differential subordination theorems for the operator $J_{\mu,b}f(z)$ defined by (1.5).

Definition 6. Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_0$. The class of admissible function $\Phi_J[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$\phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$\alpha = q(\zeta), \beta = \frac{k\zeta q'(\zeta) + bq(\zeta)}{b+1},$$

$$\Re \left(\frac{\gamma(b+1)^2 - b^2\alpha}{(\beta(b+1) - b\alpha)} - 2b \right) \geq k \Re \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} + 1 \right),$$

and

$$\Re \left(\frac{\delta(b+1)^3 - \gamma(b+1)^2(3b+3) + b^2\alpha(3+2b)}{(b(\beta - \alpha) + \beta)} + 3b^2 + 6b + 2 \right) \geq k^2 \Re \left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(q)$, and $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 1. Let $\phi \in \Phi_J[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\Re \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq k, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\{\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U}\} \subset \Omega, \quad (2.2)$$

then

$$J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in \mathbb{U} by

$$p(z) = J_{\mu+1,b}f(z). \quad (2.3)$$

From equation (1.7) and (2.3), we have

$$J_{\mu,b}f(z) = \frac{zp'(z) + bp(z)}{b+1}. \quad (2.4)$$

By similar argument, yields

$$J_{\mu-1,b}f(z) = \frac{z^2p''(z) + (2b+1)zp'(z) + b^2p(z)}{(b+1)^2} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$J_{\mu-2,b}f(z) = \frac{z^3p'''(z) + (3b+3)z^2p''(z) + (3b^2+3b+1)zp'(z) + b^3p(z)}{(b+1)^3}. \quad (2.6)$$

Define the transformation from \mathbb{C}^4 to \mathbb{C} by

$$\alpha(r, s, t, u) = r, \quad \beta(r, s, t, u) = \frac{s+br}{b+1},$$

$$\gamma(r, s, t, u) = \frac{t + (2b + 1)s + b^2r}{(b + 1)^2} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\delta(r, s, t, u) = \frac{u + (3b + 3)t + (3b^2 + 3b + 1)s + b^3r}{(b + 1)^3}. \tag{2.8}$$

Let

$$\psi(r, s, t, u) = \phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; z) = \phi\left(r, \frac{s + br}{b + 1}, \frac{t + (2b + 1)s + b^2r}{(b + 1)^2}, \frac{u + (3b + 3)t + (3b^2 + 3b + 1)s + b^3r}{(b + 1)^3}; z\right). \tag{2.9}$$

The proof will make use of Lemma 1. Using equations (2.3) to (2.6), and from (2.9), we have

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) = \phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z). \tag{2.10}$$

Hence, (2.2) becomes

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

Note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{\gamma(b + 1)^2 - b^2\alpha}{(\beta(b + 1) - b\alpha)} - 2b$$

and

$$\frac{u}{s} = \frac{\delta(b + 1)^3 - \gamma(b + 1)^2(3b + 3) + b^2\alpha(3 + 2b)}{(b(\beta - \alpha) + \beta)}.$$

Thus, the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_J[\Omega, q]$ in Definition 6 is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition 4 with $n = 2$. Therefore, by using (2.1) and Lemma 1, we have

$$J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \prec q(z).$$

This completes the proof of theorem. □

The next result is an extension of Theorem 1 to the case where the behavior of $q(z)$ on $\partial\mathbb{U}$ is not known.

Corollary 1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let the function q be univalent in \mathbb{U} with $q(0) = 0$. Let $\phi \in \Phi_J[\Omega, q_\rho]$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, where $q_\rho(z) = q(\rho z)$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and q_ρ satisfy the following conditions*

$$\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''_\rho(\zeta)}{q'_\rho(\zeta)}\right) \geq 0, \quad \left|\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{q'_\rho(\zeta)}\right| \leq k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, k \geq 2, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(q_\rho))$$

and

$$\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. From Theorem 1, then $J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \prec q_\rho(z)$. The result asserted by Corollary 1 is now deduced from the following subordination property $q_\rho(z) \prec q(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). □

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of \mathbb{U} onto Ω . In this case, the class $\Phi_J[h(\mathbb{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi_J[h, q]$. This follows immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_J[h, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ satisfy the following conditions:*

$$\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right) \geq 0, \quad \left|\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{q'(\zeta)}\right| \leq k, \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z) \prec h(z), \tag{2.12}$$

then

$$J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The next result is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.

Corollary 2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let the function q be univalent in \mathbb{U} with $q(0) = 0$. Let $\phi \in \Phi_J[h, q_\rho]$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, where $q_\rho(z) = q(\rho z)$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and q_ρ satisfy the following conditions*

$$\Re \left(\frac{\zeta q_\rho''(\zeta)}{q_\rho'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{q_\rho'(\zeta)} \right| \leq k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, k \geq 2, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(q_\rho)),$$

and

$$\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z) \prec h(z),$$

then

$$J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The following result yields the best dominant of the differential subordination (2.12).

Theorem 3. *Let the function h be univalent in \mathbb{U} and let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and ψ be given by (2.9). Suppose that the differential equation*

$$\psi(q(z), zq'(z), z^2q''(z), z^3q'''(z); z) = h(z), \quad (2.13)$$

has a solution $q(z)$ with $q(0) = 0$, which satisfy condition (2.1). If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies condition (2.12) and

$$\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z)$$

is analytic in \mathbb{U} , then

$$J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \prec q(z)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. From Theorem 1, we have q is a dominant of (2.12). Since q satisfies (2.13), it is also a solution of (2.12) and therefore q will be dominated by all dominants. Hence q is the best dominant. \square

In view of Definition 6, and in the special case $q(z) = Mz$, $M > 0$, the class of admissible functions $\Phi_J[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\Phi_J[\Omega, M]$, is expressed as follows.

Definition 7. Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} and $M > 0$. The class of admissible function $\Phi_J[\Omega, M]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\phi \left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{(k+b)Me^{i\theta}}{b+1}, \frac{L + [(2b+1)k + b^2]Me^{i\theta}}{(b+1)^2}, \frac{N + (3b+3)L + [(3b^2 + 3b + 1)k + b^3]Me^{i\theta}}{(b+1)^3}; z \right) \notin \Omega. \quad (2.14)$$

whenever $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (k-1)kM$, and $\Re(Ne^{-i\theta}) \geq 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 2$.

Corollary 3. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_J[\Omega, M]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$|J_{\mu,b}f(z)| \leq kM \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, k \geq 2; M > 0),$$

and

$$\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$|J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)| < M.$$

In this special case $\Omega = q(\mathbb{U}) = \{w : |w| < M\}$, the class $\Phi_J[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_J[M]$. Corollary 3 can now be written in the following form.

Corollary 4. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_J[M]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$|J_{\mu,b}f(z)| \leq kM \quad (k \geq 2; z \in \mathbb{U}, M > 0),$$

and

$$|J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z| < M,$$

then

$$|J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)| < M.$$

Corollary 5. Let $k \geq 2$, $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $M > 0$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$|J_{\mu,b}f(z)| \leq kM,$$

and

$$|J_{\mu,b}f(z) - J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)| < \frac{M}{|b+1|},$$

then

$$|J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)| < M.$$

Proof. Let $\phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; z) = \beta - \alpha$ and $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$, where $h(z) = \frac{Mz}{|b+1|}$ ($M > 0$). Use Corollary 3, we need to show that $\phi \in \Phi_J[\Omega, M]$, that is, the admissibility condition (2.14) is satisfied. This follows since

$$|\phi(v, w, x, y; z)| = \left| \frac{(k-1)Me^{i\theta}}{b+1} \right| \geq \frac{M}{|b+1|},$$

whenever $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 2$. The required result follows from Corollary 3. □

Definition 8. Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} , $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{J,1}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition

$$\phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$\alpha = q(\zeta), \beta = \frac{k\zeta q'(\zeta) + (b+1)q(\zeta)}{b+1},$$

$$\Re \left(\frac{(b+1)(\gamma - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} - 2(1+b) \right) \geq k \Re \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} + 1 \right),$$

and

$$\Re \left(\frac{\delta(1+b)^2 - 3\gamma(b+2)(b+1) + 3\alpha(b+2)(b+1) - (1+b)^2\alpha}{\beta - \alpha} + 3b^2 + 12b + 11 \right) \geq k^2 \Re \left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(q)$, and $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 4. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{J,1}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\Re \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0 \quad \left| \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq k, \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\left\{ \phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z \right) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} \subset \Omega, \tag{2.16}$$

then

$$\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in \mathbb{U} by

$$p(z) = \frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}. \tag{2.17}$$

From equation (1.7) and (2.17), we have

$$\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z} = \frac{z p'(z) + (b+1)p(z)}{b+1}. \tag{2.18}$$

By similar argument, yields

$$\frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z} = \frac{z^2 p''(z) + z p'(z)(3+2b) + p(z)(1+b)^2}{(b+1)^2}, \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z} = \frac{z^3 p'''(z) + 3(b+2)z^2 p''(z) + (3b^2 + 9b + 7)z p'(z) + p(z)(b+1)^3}{(b+1)^3}. \tag{2.20}$$

Define the transformation from \mathbb{C}^4 to \mathbb{C} by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(r, s, t, u) &= r, & \beta(r, s, t, u) &= \frac{s + (b+1)r}{(b+1)}, \\ \gamma(r, s, t, u) &= \frac{t + (3+2b)s + (b+1)^2r}{(b+1)^2},\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

and

$$\delta(r, s, t, u) = \frac{u + 3(b+2)t + (3b^2 + 9b + 7)s + (b+1)^3r}{(b+1)^3}.\tag{2.22}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(r, s, t, u) = \phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; z) &= \phi\left(r, \frac{s + (1+b)r}{(1+b)}, \frac{t + (3+2b)s + (b+1)^2r}{(b+1)^2}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{u + 3(b+2)t + (3b^2 + 9b + 7)s + (b+1)^3r}{(b+1)^3}; z\right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

The proof will make use of Lemma 1. Using equations (2.17) to (2.20), and from (2.23), we have

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) = \phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z\right).\tag{2.24}$$

Hence, (2.16) becomes

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

Note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{(b+1)(\gamma - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} - 2(1+b)$$

and

$$\frac{u}{s} = \frac{\delta(1+b)^2 - 3\gamma(b+2)(b+1) + 3\alpha(b+2)(b+1) - (1+b)^2\alpha}{\beta - \alpha} + 3b^2 + 12b + 11.$$

Thus, the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{J,1}[\Omega, q]$ in Definition 8 is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition 4 with $n = 2$. Therefore, by using (2.15) and Lemma 1, we have

$$\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z} \prec q(z).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of \mathbb{U} onto Ω . In this case, the class $\Phi_{J,1}[h(\mathbb{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{J,1}[h, q]$. This follows immediate consequence of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{J,1}[h, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ satisfy the following conditions:*

$$\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right) \geq 0, \quad \left|\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{zq'(\zeta)}\right| \leq k,\tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z\right) \prec h(z),\tag{2.26}$$

then

$$\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

In view of Definition 8 and in the special case $q(z) = Mz$, $M > 0$, the class of admissible functions $\Phi_{J,1}[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\Phi_{J,1}[\Omega, M]$, is expressed as follows.

Definition 9. Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} and $M > 0$. The class of admissible function $\Phi_{J,1}[\Omega, M]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{(k+1+b)Me^{i\theta}}{1+b}, \frac{L + [(3+2b)k + (b+1)^2]Me^{i\theta}}{(b+1)^2}, \frac{N + 3(b+2)L + [(3b^2 + 9b + 7)k + (b+1)^3]Me^{i\theta}}{(b+1)^3}; z\right) \notin \Omega. \quad (2.27)$$

whenever $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (k-1)kM$, and $\Re(Ne^{-i\theta}) \geq 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 2$.

Corollary 6. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{J,1}[\Omega, M]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z} \right| \leq kM \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, k \geq 2; M > 0),$$

and

$$\phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z\right) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$\left| \frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z} \right| < M.$$

In this special case $\Omega = q(\mathbb{U}) = \{w : |w| < M\}$, the class $\Phi_{J,1}[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_{J,1}[M]$. Corollary 6 can now be written in the following form.

Corollary 7. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{J,1}[M]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z} \right| \leq kM \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, k \geq 2; M > 0),$$

and

$$\left| \phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}\right); z \right| < M,$$

then

$$\left| \frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z} \right| < M.$$

Definition 10. Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{J,2}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition

$$\phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$\alpha = q(\zeta), \beta = \frac{1}{(b+1)} \left(\frac{k\zeta q'(\zeta)}{q(\zeta)} + (b+1)q(\zeta) \right),$$

$$\Re\left(\frac{(1+b)(\beta\gamma + 2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha\beta)}{(\beta - \alpha)}\right) \geq k\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} + 1\right),$$

and

$$\Re\left[(\delta - \gamma)(1+b)^2\beta\gamma - (1+b)^2(\gamma - \beta)\beta(1 - \beta - \gamma + 3\alpha) - 3(b+1)(\gamma - \beta)\beta + 2(\beta - \alpha) + 3(1+b)\alpha(\beta - \alpha) + (\beta - \alpha)^2(1+b)((\beta - \alpha)(1+b) - 3 - 4(1+b)\alpha) + \alpha^2(1+b)^2(\beta - \alpha)\right] \times (\beta - \alpha)^{-1} \geq k^2\Re\left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(q)$ and $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 6. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{J,2}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ satisfy the following conditions

$$\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu,b}f(z)q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq k, \quad (2.28)$$

$$\left\{ \phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-3,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}; z\right) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} \subset \Omega, \quad (2.29)$$

then

$$\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in \mathbb{U} by

$$p(z) = \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}. \quad (2.30)$$

From equation (1.7) and (2.30), we have

$$\frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu,b}f(z)} = \frac{1}{(b+1)} \left[\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + (b+1)p(z) \right] := \frac{A}{b+1}. \quad (2.31)$$

By similar argument yields,

$$\frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)} := \frac{B}{b+1} \quad (2.32)$$

and

$$\frac{J_{\mu-3,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)} = \frac{1}{b+1} [B + B^{-1}(C + A^{-1}D - A^{-2}C^2)]. \quad (2.33)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} B &:= (b+1)p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{\frac{z^2p''(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - \left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right)^2 + (b+1)zp'(z)}{\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + (b+1)p(z)} \\ C &:= \frac{z^2p''(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - \left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right)^2 + (b+1)zp'(z) \\ D &:= \frac{3z^2p''(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{z^3p'''(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 3\left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right)^2 - \frac{3z^3p'(z)p''(z)}{p^2(z)} + 2\left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right)^3 \\ &\quad + (b+1)zp'(z) + (b+1)z^2p''(z). \end{aligned}$$

Define the transformation from \mathbb{C}^4 to \mathbb{C} by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(r, s, t, u) &= r, \quad \beta(r, s, t, u) = \frac{1}{b+1} \left[\frac{s}{r} + (b+1)r \right] := \frac{E}{b+1}, \\ \gamma(r, s, t, u) &= \frac{1}{b+1} \left[\frac{s}{r} + (b+1)r + \frac{\frac{t}{r} + \frac{s}{r} - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^2 + (b+1)s}{\frac{s}{r} + (b+1)r} \right] := \frac{F}{b+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

and

$$\delta(r, s, t, u) = \frac{1}{b+1} [F + F^{-1}(L + E^{-1}H - E^{-2}L^2)]. \quad (2.35)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= (1+b)s + \frac{t}{r} + \frac{s}{r} - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^2 \\ H &:= \frac{3t}{r} + \frac{u}{r} + \frac{s}{r} - 3\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^2 - 3\left(\frac{st}{r^2}\right) + 2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^3 + (1+b)s + (1+b)t. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\psi(r, s, t, u) = \phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; z) = \phi\left(r, \frac{E}{b+1}, \frac{F}{b+1}, \frac{F + F^{-1}(L + E^{-1}H - E^{-2}L^2)}{b+1}\right). \quad (2.36)$$

The proof will make use of Lemma 1. Using equations (2.30) to (2.33), and from (2.36), we have

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) = \phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-3,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}; z\right). \quad (2.37)$$

Hence, (2.29) becomes

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

Note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \left(\frac{(1+b)(\beta\gamma + 2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha\beta)}{(\beta - \alpha)} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u}{s} = & \left[(\delta - \gamma)(1+b)^2\beta\gamma - (1+b)^2(\gamma - \beta)\beta(1 - \beta - \gamma + 3\alpha) - 3(b+1)(\gamma - \beta)\beta + 2(\beta - \alpha) + 3(1+b)\alpha(\beta - \alpha) \right. \\ & \left. + (\beta - \alpha)^2(1+b)((\beta - \alpha)(1+b) - 3 - 4(1+b)\alpha) + \alpha^2(1+b)^2(\beta - \alpha) \right] \times (\beta - \alpha)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{J,2}[\Omega, q]$ in Definition 10 is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition 4 with $n = 2$. Therefore, by using (2.28) and Lemma 1, we have

$$\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)} \prec q(z).$$

□

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of \mathbb{U} onto Ω . In this case, the class $\Phi_{J,1}[h(\mathbb{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{J,2}[h, q]$. This follows immediate consequence of Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{J,2}[h, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ satisfy the following conditions (2.28) and

$$\phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-3,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}; z \right) \prec h(z), \tag{2.38}$$

then

$$\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

3. Results Related to Third Order Superordination

In this section, the third-order differential superordination theorems for the operator $J_{\mu,b}f(z)$ defined in (1.6) is investigated. For the purpose, we considered the following admissible functions.

Definition 11. Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} and $q \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible function $\Phi'_J[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \overline{\mathbb{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$\phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; \zeta) \in \Omega$$

whenever

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = q(z), \beta = \frac{zq'(z) + mbq(z)}{m(b+1)}, \\ \Re \left(\frac{\gamma(b+1)^2 - b^2\alpha}{(\beta(b+1) - b\alpha)} - 2b \right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \Re \left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Re \left(\frac{\delta(b+1)^3 - \gamma(b+1)^2(3b+3) + b^2\alpha(3+2b)}{(b(\beta - \alpha) + \beta)} + 3b^2 + 6b + 2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \Re \left(\frac{z^2q'''(z)}{q'(z)} \right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$, and $m \geq 2$.

Theorem 8. Let $\phi \in \Phi'_J[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\Re \left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{q'(z)} \right| \leq m, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z)$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then

$$\Omega \subset \{ \phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U} \}, \tag{3.2}$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Let the function $p(z)$ be defined by (2.3) and ψ by (2.9). Since $\phi \in \Phi'_J[\Omega, q]$. From (2.10) and (3.2) yield

$$\Omega \subset \{\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U}\}.$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we see that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi'_J[\Omega, q]$ in Definition 11 is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition 5 with $n = 2$. Hence $\psi \in \Psi'_2[\Omega, q]$ and by using (3.2) and Lemma 2, we have

$$q(z) \prec J_{\mu+1,b}f(z).$$

□

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of \mathbb{U} onto Ω . In this case, the class $\Phi'_J[h(\mathbb{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi'_J[h, q]$. This follows an immediate consequence of Theorem 8.

Theorem 9. *Let $\phi \in \Phi'_J[h, q]$ and h be analytic in \mathbb{U} . If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$ satisfy the following conditions (3.1) and*

$$\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z),$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then

$$h(z) \prec \phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z), \quad (3.3)$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Theorems 8 and 9 can only be used to obtain subordination of the third-order differential superordination of the forms (3.2) or (3.3). The following theorem proves the existence of the best subordinant of (3.3) for a suitable ϕ .

Theorem 10. *Let the function h be univalent in \mathbb{U} and let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \overline{\mathbb{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and ψ be given by (2.9). Suppose that the differential equation*

$$\psi(q(z), zq'(z), z^2q''(z), z^3q'''(z); z) = h(z) \quad (3.4)$$

has a solution $q(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0$. If the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$, which satisfy the following condition (3.1) and

$$\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z)$$

is analytic in \mathbb{U} , then

$$h(z) \prec \phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z)$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. In view of Theorem 8 and Theorem 9, we deduce that q is a subordinant of (3.3). Since q satisfies (3.4), it is also a solution of (3.3) and therefore q will be subordinated by all subordinants. Hence q is the best subordinant. □

Definition 12. Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} , $q \in \mathcal{H}_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi'_{J,1}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \overline{\mathbb{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition

$$\phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; \zeta) \in \Omega$$

whenever

$$\alpha = q(z), \beta = \frac{zq'(z) + (1+b)mq(z)}{(1+b)m},$$

$$\Re \left(\frac{(b+1)(\gamma - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} - 2(1+b) \right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \Re \left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1 \right)$$

and

$$\Re \left(\frac{\delta(1+b)^2 - 3\gamma(b+2)(b+1) + 3\alpha(b+2)(b+1) - (1+b)^2\alpha}{\beta - \alpha} + 3b^2 + 12b + 11 \right) \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \Re \left(\frac{z^2 q'''(z)}{q'(z)} \right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$, and $m \geq 2$.

Theorem 11. Let $\phi \in \Phi'_{J,1}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z} \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\Re \left(\frac{z q''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) \geq 0, \left| \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z q'(z)} \right| \leq m, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z \right),$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z \right) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}, \quad (3.6)$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec \frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Let the function $p(z)$ be defined by (2.17) and ψ by (2.23). Since $\phi \in \Phi'_{J,1}[\Omega, q]$, (2.24) and (3.6) yield

$$\Omega \subset \{ \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U} \}.$$

From equations (2.21) and (2.22), we see that the admissible condition for $\phi \in \Phi'_{J,1}[\Omega, q]$ in Definition 12 is equivalent to the admissible condition for ψ as given in Definition 5 with $n = 2$. Hence $\psi \in \Psi'_2[\Omega, q]$ and by using (3.5) and Lemma 2, we have

$$q(z) \prec \frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}.$$

□

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of \mathbb{U} onto Ω . In this case, the class $\Phi'_{J,1}[h(\mathbb{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi'_{J,1}[h, q]$. This follows an immediate consequence of Theorem 11.

Theorem 12. Let $\phi \in \Phi'_{J,1}[h, q]$ and h be analytic in \mathbb{U} . If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$ satisfy the following conditions (3.5) and

$$\phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z \right),$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then

$$h(z) \prec \phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z \right),$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec \frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Definition 13. Let Ω be a set in \mathbb{C} and $q \in \mathcal{H}_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi'_{J,2}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \overline{\mathbb{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility conditions

$$\phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; \zeta) \in \Omega$$

whenever

$$\alpha = q(z), \beta = \frac{1}{b+1} \left(\frac{z q'(z)}{m q(z)} + (b+1)q(z) \right),$$

$$\Re \left(\frac{(1+b)(\beta\gamma + 2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha\beta)}{(\beta - \alpha)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \Re \left(\frac{z q''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1 \right),$$

and

$$\Re \left[(\delta - \gamma)(1+b)^2\beta\gamma - (1+b)^2(\gamma - \beta)\beta(1 - \beta - \gamma + 3\alpha) - 3(b+1)(\gamma - \beta)\beta + 2(\beta - \alpha) + 3(1+b)\alpha(\beta - \alpha) \right. \\ \left. + (\beta - \alpha)^2(1+b)((\beta - \alpha)(1+b) - 3 - 4(1+b)\alpha) + \alpha^2(1+b)^2(\beta - \alpha) \right] \times (\beta - \alpha)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \Re \left(\frac{z^2 q'''(z)}{q'(z)} \right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ and $m \geq 2$.

Theorem 13. Let $\phi \in \Phi'_{J,2}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\frac{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu+1,bf}(z)} \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$ satisfy the following conditions

$$\Re \left(\frac{z q''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{J_{\mu-1,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu,bf}(z) q'(z)} \right| \leq m, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu+1,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu-1,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-3,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu-2,bf}(z)}; z \right)$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu+1,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu-1,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-3,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu-2,bf}(z)}; z \right) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu+1,bf}(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Let the function $p(z)$ be defined by (2.30) and ψ by (2.36). Since $\phi \in \Phi'_{J,2}[\Omega, q]$, (2.37) and (3.8) yield

$$\Omega \subset \{ \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U} \}.$$

From equations (2.34) and (2.35), we see that the admissible condition for $\phi \in \Phi'_{J,2}[\Omega, q]$ in Definition 13 is equivalent to the admissible condition for ψ as given in Definition 5 with $n = 2$. Hence $\psi \in \Psi'_2[\Omega, q]$, and by using (3.7) and Lemma 2, we have

$$q(z) \prec \frac{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu+1,bf}(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

□

Theorem 14. Let $\phi \in \Phi'_{J,2}[h, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\frac{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu+1,bf}(z)} \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$ satisfy the following conditions (3.7) and

$$\phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu+1,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu-1,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-3,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu-2,bf}(z)}; z \right)$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then

$$h(z) \prec \phi \left(\frac{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu+1,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu-1,bf}(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-3,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu-2,bf}(z)}; z \right) \quad (3.9)$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec \frac{J_{\mu,bf}(z)}{J_{\mu+1,bf}(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

4. Sandwich-Type Results

Combining Theorems 2 and 9, we obtain the following sandwich-type theorem.

Corollary 8. Let h_1 and q_1 be analytic functions in \mathbb{U} , h_2 be univalent function in \mathbb{U} , $q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 0$ and $\phi \in \Phi_J[h_2, q_2] \cap \Phi'_J[h_1, q_1]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_0$, and

$$\phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z),$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} , and the condition (2.1) and (3.1) are satisfied, then

$$h_1(z) \prec \phi(J_{\mu+1,b}f(z), J_{\mu,b}f(z), J_{\mu-1,b}f(z), J_{\mu-2,b}f(z); z) \prec h_2(z)$$

implies that

$$q_1(z) \prec J_{\mu+1,b}f(z) \prec q_2(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Combining Theorems 5 and 12, we obtain the following sandwich-type theorem.

Corollary 9. Let h_1 and q_1 be analytic functions in \mathbb{U} , h_2 be univalent function in \mathbb{U} , $q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ and $\phi \in \Phi_{J,1}[h_2, q_2] \cap \Phi'_{J,1}[h_1, q_1]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z} \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$, and

$$\phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z\right),$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} , and the condition (2.15) and (3.5) are satisfied, then

$$h_1(z) \prec \phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{z}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{z}; z\right) \prec h_2(z)$$

implies that

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}{z} \prec q_2(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Combining Theorems 7 and 14, we obtain the following sandwich-type theorem.

Corollary 10. Let h_1 and q_1 be analytic functions in \mathbb{U} , h_2 be univalent functions in \mathbb{U} , $q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ and $\phi \in \Phi_{J,2}[h_2, q_2] \cap \Phi'_{J,2}[h_1, q_1]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)} \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$, and

$$\phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-3,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}; z\right),$$

is univalent in \mathbb{U} , and the condition (2.28) and (3.7) are satisfied, then

$$h(z) \prec \phi\left(\frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-1,b}f(z)}, \frac{J_{\mu-3,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu-2,b}f(z)}; z\right) \prec h_2(z)$$

implies that

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{J_{\mu,b}f(z)}{J_{\mu+1,b}f(z)} \prec q_2(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Acknowledgment

The present investigation of the second author is supported under the INSPIRE fellowship, Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, Government of India, Sanction letter No. REL1/2016/2.

References

- [1] J. A. Antonion and S. S. Miller, Third-order differential inequalities and subordinations in the complex plane, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **56** (5), (2011), 439–454.
- [2] T. Bulboacă, Differential subordinations and superordinations, Recent Results, *House of Scientific Book Publ. Cluj-Napoca* (2005).
- [3] N. E. Cho, I. H. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, Sandwich-type theorems for multivalent functions associated with the Srivastava-Attiya operator, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217**, (2010), 918–928.
- [4] H. A. Farzana, B. A. Stephen and M. P. Jeyaraman, Third-order differential subordination of analytic function defined by functional derivative operator, *Annals of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza University-Mathematics* (2014), 1–16.
- [5] P. Gochhayat, Sandwich-type theorems of some subclasses of multivalent functions involving Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Acta Univ. Apulensis* **32**, (2012), 31–47.

- [6] P. Gochhayat, Sandwich-type results for a class of functions defined by a generalized differential operator, *Mat. Vesnik* **65** (2), (2013), 178–186.
- [7] P. Gochhayat, Convolution properties of p -valent functions associated with a generalization of the Srivastava-Attiya operator, *J. Complex Anal.* (2013), 1–5.
- [8] R. W. Ibrahim, M. Z. Ahmad and H. Al. Janaby, Third-order differential subordination and superordination involving a fractional operator, *Open Math.* **13**, (2015), 706–728.
- [9] M. P. Jeyaraman and T. K. Suresh, Third-order differential subordination of analytic functions, *Acta Univ. Apulensis* **35**, (2013), 187–202.
- [10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations, Theory and Applications, *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **225**, Dekker, New York, (2000).
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinations of differential superordinations, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **48**, (2003), 815–826.
- [12] A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Differential sandwich theorems for functions of associated with a generalized the Srivastava-Attiya operator, *PanAmer. Math. J.* **23** (1), (2013), 25–43.
- [13] S. Ponnusamy and O. P. Juneja, Third-order differential inequalities in the complex plane, *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, London (1992).
- [14] D. Răducanu, Third order differential subordinations for analytic functions associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler functions, *Mediterr. J. Math.* (2017), 166–184.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, Series associated with the zeta and related functions, *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London* (2001).
- [16] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **18**, (2007), 207–216.
- [17] H. M. Srivastava, D. Jankov, T. K. Pogány and R. K. Saxena, Two sided inequalities for the extended Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62** (1), (2011), 516–522.
- [18] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava, S. Li and L. Ma, Third-order differential subordination and superordination results for meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the Lusi-Srivastava operator, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2014), 1–11.
- [19] H. Tang and E. Deniz, Third-order differential subordination results for analytic functions involving the generalized Bessel functions, *Acta Math. Sci.* **6**, (2014), 1707–1719.
- [20] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava, E. Deniz and S. Li, Third-order differential superordination involving the generalized Bessel functions, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2014), 1–22.
- [21] Z. -G. Wang, Z. -H. Liu and Y. Sun, Some properties of the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **23** (3), (2012), 223–236.