

SECTIONAL MONODROMY GROUPS OF PROJECTIVE CURVES

BORYS KADETS

ABSTRACT. Fix a degree d projective curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ over an algebraically closed field K . Let $U \subset (\mathbb{P}^r)^*$ be a dense open subvariety such that every hyperplane $H \in U$ intersects X in d smooth points. Varying $H \in U$ produces the monodromy action $\varphi : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U) \rightarrow S_d$. Let $G_X := \text{im}(\varphi)$. The permutation group G_X is called the sectional monodromy group of X . In characteristic zero G_X is always the full symmetric group, but sectional monodromy groups in characteristic p can be smaller. For a large class of space curves ($r \geq 3$) we classify all possibilities for the sectional monodromy group G as well as the curves with $G_X = G$. We apply similar methods to study a particular family of rational curves in \mathbb{P}^2 , which enables us to answer an old question about Galois groups of generic trinomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an algebraically closed field. Consider an integral nondegenerate proper curve X in the projective space \mathbb{P}^r over K . Choose coordinates x_0, \dots, x_r on \mathbb{P}^r . Extend scalars from K to $L = K(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ and consider the subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}_L^r$ given by the intersection of X_L with the hyperplane $x_0 + t_1x_1 + \dots + t_r x_r = 0$. The scheme Z is the spectrum of a finite separable extension M of L (see Lemma 2.1). The Galois group G of the Galois closure of M over L is our main object of interest and will be referred to as the *sectional monodromy group*. One can think of G geometrically as the monodromy of the hyperplane section $H \cap X$ as the hyperplane H varies. A folklore result is the following.

Proposition 1.1 (see Remark 3.5). *Assume that the characteristic of K is zero. Let d be the degree of X . Then $G \cong S_d$.*

The result is important in the study of curves in projective space. For instance, it can be used to restrict the possibilities for Hilbert polynomials of space curves; for more details see [Har80], [EH82].

The $\text{char } K = 0$ assumption of Proposition 1.1 cannot be removed. For example, when $\text{char } K = p$, the sectional monodromy group of the rational plane curve $x^p = yz^{p-1}$ is $\text{AGL}_1(p)$.

Motivated by geometric applications, Rathmann [Rat87] proved a version of Proposition 1.1 valid in all characteristics.

Theorem 1.2 (Rathmann). *Assume that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a smooth nondegenerate curve of degree d . Assume $r \geq 4$. Then the sectional monodromy group of X contains the alternating group A_d .*

Remark 1.3. Gareth Jones noticed that Rathmann's paper [Rat87] contains a group-theoretic error: the list of triply transitive groups in [Rat87, Theorem 2.4] is incomplete. It is unclear

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H50, 14E20, 12F10.

This research was supported in part by Simons Foundation grant #402472 (to Bjorn Poonen).

if this gap is easy to fix. For instance, one of the omitted Mathieu groups $\text{Aut}(M_{22})$ is not contained in the alternating group, so the proof of [Rat87, Proposition 2.14] requires a separate analysis of this case.

Rathmann also gave an example of a smooth rational curve in \mathbb{P}^3 with $G = \text{PGL}_2(q)$. Rathmann's theorem is important for understanding statistics over function fields, for example the large characteristic version of the Bateman-Horn conjecture (see [Ent18, Section 6] for examples of such statistical problems). In Section 3 we prove an extension of Rathmann's theorem that applies to nonsmooth curves in \mathbb{P}^3 . Recall that a projective curve is called *strange* if all of its tangent lines pass through a common point. Strange curves are rare, for example the only smooth strange curves are lines in any characteristic and conics in characteristic 2. The tangent variety of X (also called the tangent developable surface) is the Zariski closure of the union of lines tangent to X .

Theorem 1.4. *Assume $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is an integral nondegenerate curve of degree d . Assume that $r \geq 3$ and X is not strange. Then exactly one of the following is true.*

- (1) *The sectional monodromy group of X contains A_d .*
- (2) *$r = 3$ and the curve X is projectively equivalent to the projective closure of the rational curve $\{(t, t^q, t^{q+1}), t \in K\} \subset \mathbb{A}_K^3$ for some power q of the characteristic. The sectional monodromy group of X is $\text{PGL}_2(q)$.*
- (3) *$r = 3$, $\text{char } K = 2$, the tangent variety of X is a smooth quadric and $G \subset \text{AGL}_n(2)$.*

Note that the curves that satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.4 and have small sectional monodromy groups are either rational or contained in a smooth quadric. Rational curves and curves lying on quadrics are not important for the applications to the degree-genus problem.

For plane curves, sectional monodromy groups are not known even in the following very simple case.

Example 1.5. Let $X_{n,m}$ be the rational curve $x^n = y^m z^{n-m}$ for some relatively prime integers $n > m > 0$. Then the sectional monodromy group of X is the Galois group of the trinomial $x^n + ax^m + b$ over $K(a, b)$. Classifying possible Galois groups of generic trinomials is an old problem; see [Coh80], [Smi77], [Uch70], [Smi84]. A closely related problem of classifying Galois groups of trinomials over the function field $K(t)$ when a and b are specialized to powers of t has also been widely studied; see [Abh92], [Abh93], [Abh93b], [Abh94], [ASP92], [CMT10].

The curves $X_{m,n}$ do not satisfy both of the assumptions of Theorem 1.4: they are evidently plane curves ($r = 2$) and many of them are strange. Nevertheless, we can use methods similar to those in the proof of Theorem 1.4 to study the curves $X_{m,n}$. In section 5 we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Let n, m be a pair of relatively prime integers with $m < n$. Let G be the Galois group of the polynomial $x^n + ax^m + b$ over $K(a, b)$.*

- (1) *If $n = p^d$ and $m = 1$ or $m = p^d - 1$, then $G = \text{AGL}_1(p^d)$.*
- (2) *If $n = 6$, $m = 1$ or $m = 5$, and $p = 2$, then $G = \text{PSL}_2(5)$.*
- (3) *If $n = 12$, $m = 1$ or $m = 11$, and $p = 3$, then $G = M_{11}$ (a Mathieu group, see [Con99]).*

- (4) If $n = 24$, $m = 1$ or $m = 23$, and $p = 2$, then $G = M_{24}$.
- (5) If $n = 11$, $m = 2$ or $m = 9$, and $p = 3$, then $G = M_{11}$.
- (6) If $n = 23$, $m = 3$ or $m = 20$, and $p = 2$, then $G = M_{23}$.
- (7) Let $q := p^k$ for some integer k . If $n = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$, $m = (q^s - 1)/(q - 1)$ or $m = n - (q^s - 1)/(q - 1)$ for some integers s, d , then $G = \mathrm{PGL}_d(q)$.
- (8) If none of the above holds, then $A_n \subset G$.

⚠ Warning. The aforementioned result of Rathmann as well as the results of this paper depend on the classification of finite simple groups.

2. TRANSITIVITY OF SECTIONAL MONODROMY GROUPS

From now on, let K denote a fixed algebraically closed field. We first give a more geometric definition of the sectional monodromy groups from the introduction. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a degree d projective nondegenerate integral curve. Consider the incidence scheme $I = I_X \subset X \times (\mathbb{P}^r)^*$ parameterizing pairs (P, H) of points $P \in X$ and hyperplanes $H \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ subject to the condition $P \in H$. The scheme Z from the introduction is the generic fiber of the projection $\pi = \pi_X: I_X \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^r)^*$. We call the covering π_X the sectional covering associated to X .

Lemma 2.1. *The incidence scheme I is irreducible. The morphism π is finite of degree d and generically étale.*

Proof. The fibers of the first coordinate projection $I \rightarrow X$ are projective spaces of dimension $r - 1$; hence I is irreducible. The morphism is generically étale by Bertini's theorem [Jou83, Proposition 6.4], and finite since it is quasi-finite and proper. \square

Lemma 2.1 implies that the sectional monodromy group G is well-defined and acts transitively on a set of d points. If $U \subset (\mathbb{P}^r)^*$ is a dense open subset over which π is étale and Ω is a fiber of π over a geometric point of U , then G can be identified with the image of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U)$ in $\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$. If $Z \subset U$ is a closed subset, then the monodromy group of $\pi^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow Z$ is a permutation subgroup of G . We now show that the action of G on Ω is always 2-transitive, and has even higher transitivity degree if the curve is not “strange”.

Lemma 2.2. *The sectional monodromy group acts doubly transitively.*

Proof. Choose a hyperplane H that intersects X at d distinct smooth points. Let P be one of the points in $H \cap X$. Choose an $(r - 2)$ -dimensional subspace V in \mathbb{P}^r such that $V \cap X = P$. Consider the line $l \subset (\mathbb{P}^r)^*$ corresponding to the family of hyperplanes containing V . Let $Z = \pi^{-1}(l)$. Projection of Z to X is an isomorphism over $X \setminus P$. The fiber above P is isomorphic to l (via π). Therefore Z decomposes into a union of two irreducible components $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$, where $\pi: Z_1 \rightarrow l$ is an isomorphism and Z_2 is isomorphic to X . Since the fiber of $\pi' = \pi|_{Z_2}: Z_2 \rightarrow l$ above the point of l corresponding to H is smooth, the covering π' is generically étale. Therefore, the monodromy group G contains a subgroup that acts via fixing one point and acting transitively on the others. Thus G is doubly transitive. \square

For every r there are examples of integral nondegenerate curves $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ for which G_X is not triply transitive (see [Rat87, Example 1.2]). However we will prove that G_X is r -transitive if X is not “strange”. We recall the definition of a strange curve.

Definition 2.3. An integral curve X in \mathbb{P}^r is called *strange* if the tangent lines to X at the smooth points pass through a common point.

Remark 2.4. Equivalently, an integral curve is strange if the tangent lines to X at all but finitely many smooth points pass through a common point.

A secant of a curve X is a line intersecting it in at least 2 points.

Proposition 2.5. *If every secant intersects X in at least three points, then X is strange.*

Proof. See [Har77, Proposition 3.3.8]. □

Most of the results that follow concern curves that are not strange. It is natural to expect higher transitivity for nonstrange curves. This is the case, as we show in Proposition 2.8. We precede the proof of Proposition 2.8 with two lemmas.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, $r \geq 3$ be a proper nondegenerate nonstrange integral curve. Let \mathcal{L} denote the set of lines in \mathbb{P}^r that have nonempty intersection with every tangent to X . Then either \mathcal{L} is finite or $r = 3$, X is contained in a smooth quadric, and \mathcal{L} is one of the quadric's rulings.*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{L} is infinite. Consider two distinct lines $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}$. If ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 intersect, then either every tangent to X passes through $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2$ or every tangent to X is in the plane containing ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . Since X is nondegenerate and nonstrange, neither of these is possible. Therefore any two distinct lines from \mathcal{L} are skew. Consider two skew lines $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}$. Since every tangent of X crosses both of them, the curve X lies in the dimension three projective subspace of \mathbb{P}^r that contains ℓ_1, ℓ_2 . Hence $r = 3$. A classical fact, which we prove below, is that the union of lines that intersect three pairwise skew lines is a smooth quadric. Thus there exists a smooth quadric Q containing every tangent line of X . Elements of \mathcal{L} are pairwise skew and lie on Q , therefore \mathcal{L} forms one of the rulings of Q .

We now show that the union of lines intersecting three fixed lines in \mathbb{P}^3 is a smooth quadric. Since $10 = \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2)) > 3 \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(2)) = 9$, there exists a quadric containing any triple of lines. If the lines are pairwise skew, the quadric cannot be a cone or a pair of planes; therefore it must be smooth. Every line intersecting a smooth quadric in at least three points has to lie on it. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, $r \geq 3$ be a nonstrange nondegenerate integral curve. If $r = 3$ assume additionally that X is not contained in a quadric. Then the projection from a general point P of X is birational onto its image. Moreover, the image of a general projection is nonstrange.*

Proof. Consider the set \mathcal{L} of Lemma 2.6. Since X is not contained in a quadric, \mathcal{L} is finite. Proposition 2.5 implies that a general point of X lies on finitely many multiseccants. Therefore projection from a general point is generically one-to-one on K points and is thus birational. Let $P \in X(K)$ be a point such that the projection $\pi_P: X \rightarrow Y$ from P is birational onto its image Y , and such that P does not lie on a line from \mathcal{L} . Suppose that Y is strange and all the tangents pass through $Q \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Then the line $\pi_P^{-1}(Q) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is an element of \mathcal{L} that contains P . Thus Y is nonstrange. □

Proposition 2.8. *If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, $r \geq 2$ is an integral nondegenerate nonstrange curve, then G is r -transitive.*

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on r . The case $r = 2$ is Lemma 2.2. Assume $r > 2$. Assume first that it is not the case that $r = 3$ and X lies on a quadric. Choose a point $P \in X(K)$ such that the conclusions of Lemma 2.7 hold. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be the projection of X from P . Consider the hyperplane $H \in (\mathbb{P}^r)^*$ corresponding to the family of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^r passing through P . Let Z denote $\pi^{-1}(H)$. The scheme Z has an irreducible component $Z' = P \times H \subset X \times (\mathbb{P}^r)^*$. The covering $Z \setminus Z' \rightarrow H$ is birational to the sectional covering of Y , since the preimages of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} are hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^r containing P . By the induction hypothesis the monodromy group of the covering $Z \setminus Z' \rightarrow H$ is $r - 1$ -transitive. The covering $Z' \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism. Therefore the monodromy group of $Z \rightarrow H$ acts by fixing one point and acting $(r - 1)$ -transitively on the rest. Since G acts transitively and contains a subgroup acting $(r - 1)$ -transitively on all but one (fixed) point, G is r -transitive.

We have to show that when $r = 3$ and X lies on a quadric Q the group is 3-transitive. Consider a generic plane H . We can assume that both $H \cap X$ and $H \cap Q$ are smooth. Take two points of $H \cap X$ and a line ℓ through them. The line ℓ contains exactly two points P_1, P_2 of the curve. Consider the line $\ell^* \in (\mathbb{P}^3)^*$ corresponding to the hyperplanes containing ℓ . The restriction of the sectional covering of X to ℓ^* is generically étale since $H \cap X$ is smooth. Let $Y \subset X \times \ell^*$ denote the preimage of ℓ under π_X . The projection of Y to X is an isomorphism over $X \setminus \{P_1, P_2\}$. The preimages of P_1, P_2 in Y are each isomorphic to ℓ^* . Therefore Y decomposes into a union of irreducible components $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_3$, where Y_1 is isomorphic to X and Y_2, Y_3 are isomorphic to ℓ^* . Hence the monodromy group contains a subgroup that acts by fixing two points and acting transitively on the rest. Therefore G is triply transitive. \square

Multiple transitivity is a very strong condition on the group. For example, the only 5-transitive groups are A_n and S_n in their natural actions and the Mathieu groups M_{12} and M_{24} acting on 12 and 24 points respectively. The classification of 2-transitive groups is known; see, for example, [DM96, §7.7] for the statement.

Remark 2.9. It is common to state classification results on permutation groups without specifying the action (or by referring to the “natural” action). This is relatively harmless except for the cases of M_{11} , which has two multiply-transitive actions on 11 and 12 points, and of M_{12} , which has two multiply transitive actions on 12 points exchanged by an outer automorphism.

The following proposition due to Rathmann describes the behavior of the sectional monodromy group with respect to projections from points outside of the curve.

Proposition 2.10 ([Rat87, Proposition 1.10.]). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, $r \geq 3$ be a projective nondegenerate integral curve. Let Y be the projection of X from a general point of \mathbb{P}^r . Then the sectional monodromy groups of X and Y are isomorphic.*

3. TANGENTS AND INERTIA GROUPS

We will compute sectional monodromy groups by restricting the sectional monodromy covering π_X to a line in $(\mathbb{P}^r)^*$ and computing inertia groups. A natural choice of a point in $(\mathbb{P}^r)^*$ above which the restricted covering ramifies is a point corresponding to a hyperplane containing a tangent line of X . Tangent hyperplanes can behave pathologically in characteristic p (even for nonstrange curves).

For the statements and precise definitions in this paragraph see [Hef89] and references therein. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be an integral projective curve that is not a line. The dual curve $X^* \subset \text{Gr}(2, r+1)$ is defined to be the closure of the set of tangent lines to X . The Gauss map is the rational map $\gamma : X \dashrightarrow X^*$ that sends a point to the tangent line at that point. In characteristic 0 the Gauss map is birational. In characteristic p both the separable degree s and the inseparable degree q of γ can be greater than one. A general tangent line of X has s points of tangency with multiplicity $\max(q, 2)$ at each one. It is a theorem of Kaji that Gauss maps can be arbitrary bad in the sense that any finite inseparable extension of function fields can be realized as the generic fiber of a Gauss map; see [Kaj89, Corollary 3.4].

We calculate inertia groups by computing Galois groups of certain extensions of $K((t))$ given by adjoining to $K((t))$ zeros of convergent power series of a specific shape. Our main tool is the theory of Newton polygons (see [Kob84, IV.4]) that determines the valuations of the roots of a power series from the valuations of its coefficients. We combine the information obtained from Newton polygons with basic ramification theory to determine the local Galois groups; for an introduction to ramification theory see [Ser79, Chapters I–III].

We will use the following group theoretic result due to Jones [Jon14]. Recall that a permutation group G acting on Ω is primitive if it preserves no nontrivial partition of Ω .

Theorem 3.1 (Jones). *Let G be a primitive permutation group of finite degree n , not containing A_n . Suppose that G contains a cycle fixing exactly k points, where $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $k = 0$ and either
 - (1) $C_p \subset G \subset \text{AGL}_1(p)$ with $n = p$ prime, or
 - (2) $\text{PGL}_d(q) \subset G \subset \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_d(q)$ with $n = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$ and $d \geq 2$ for some prime power q , or
 - (3) $G = \text{PSL}_2(11), M_{11}$ or M_{23} with $n = 11, 11, 23$ respectively.
- (2) $k = 1$ and either
 - (1) $\text{AGL}_d(q) \subset G \subset \text{A}\Gamma\text{L}_d(q)$ with $n = q^d$ and $d \geq 1$ for some prime power q , or
 - (2) $G = \text{PSL}_2(p)$ or $G = \text{PGL}_2(p)$ with $n = p + 1$ for some prime $p \geq 5$, or
 - (3) $G = M_{11}, M_{12}$ or M_{24} with $n = 12, 12, 24$ respectively. respectively.
- (3) $k = 2$ and $\text{PGL}_2(q) \subset G \subset \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)$ with $n = q + 1$ for some prime power q .

The inclusions in Theorem 3.1 are inclusions of permutation groups. We recall the definitions of some of the groups appearing in Theorem 3.1. The group $\text{AGL}_d(q)$ is the affine general linear group acting on $\mathbb{A}^d(\mathbb{F}_q)$; it is an extension of $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by the group of translations $(\mathbb{F}_q)^d$. The group $\text{A}\Gamma\text{L}_d(q)$ is generated by $\text{AGL}_d(q)$ and the Frobenius automorphism. The group $\text{PGL}_d(q) = \text{GL}_d(q)/\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is the projective general linear group acting on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The projective semilinear group $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_d(q)$ is generated by $\text{PGL}_d(q)$ and the Frobenius automorphism.

In Lemma 3.4 we use inertia groups to construct special subgroups of the sectional monodromy group; together Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 3.4 will reduce most of the computation of sectional monodromy groups to pure group theory. First we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p and let (F, v) denote the valued field $K((t))$. Let \mathcal{O} denote its ring of integers $\mathcal{O} = K[[t]]$. Let $P \in \mathcal{O}[[x]]$ be an arbitrary power series and let q be a power of p . Consider a power series $Q = x^{q+2}P(x) + a_{q+1}x^{q+1} +$*

$a_q x^q + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathcal{O}[[x]]$, where $v(a_0) = v(a_1) = 1$, $v(a_q) = 0$. Assume that $v(a_1 a_q - a_0 a_{q+1}) = 1$. Assume that all roots of Q in the open unit disk $D := \{x \in \overline{F} : v(x) > 0\}$ lie in F^{sep} , so Q has exactly q roots in D . The Galois action on these roots defines a homomorphism $\varphi: \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow S_q$. Let H denote the image of φ . Then H equals $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ embedded via its action on the affine line.

Proof. The Newton polygon of Q has a unique segment of positive slope, it connects $(0, 1)$ and $(q, 0)$. Therefore Q has q roots in the open unit disk each with valuation $1/q$. Let α be a root of Q . The field extension $L = F(\alpha)/F$ has ramification index at least q ; therefore $[L : F] = q$ and H acts transitively. Consider the roots of $Q(x + \alpha)$ over L . We have

$$Q(x + \alpha) = x^q(x + \alpha)^2 P(x + \alpha) + \alpha^q(x + \alpha)^2 P(x + \alpha) + a_{q+1} x^q(x + \alpha) + a_{q+1} \alpha^q(x + \alpha) + a_q x^q + a_1 x + a_1 \alpha + a_q \alpha^q + a_0.$$

The constant term must be zero since α is a root of Q . The constant term of $Q(x + \alpha)/x$ is

$$2\alpha^{q+1} P(\alpha) + \alpha^{q+2} P'(\alpha) + a_{q+1} \alpha^q + a_1.$$

Assume $v(a_{q+1} \alpha^q + a_1) > 1$. Then $a_{q+1} \alpha^q + a_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha^{q+1}}$. Reducing the equation $Q(\alpha) = 0$ modulo α^{q+1} , we conclude that $a_0 + a_q \alpha^q \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha^{q+1}}$. Hence $\alpha^q \equiv -a_0/a_q \pmod{\alpha^{q+1}}$ and $a_1 - a_0 a_{q+1}/a_q \equiv a_{q+1} \alpha^q + a_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha^{q+1}}$. But $v(a_{q+1} a_0/a_q - a_1) = 1$, contradiction. Thus $v(a_{q+1} \alpha^q + a_1) = 1$, and the constant term of $Q(x + \alpha)/x$ has valuation 1.

The power series $Q(x + \alpha)/x =: \sum_k b_k x^k$ has constant term b_0 of valuation 1. Modulo α^q we have $Q(x + \alpha)/x \equiv x^{q-1}(x + \alpha)^2 P(x) + a_{q+1} x^{q-1}(x + \alpha) + a_q x^{q-1} \pmod{\alpha^q}$. So for $1 \leq k \leq q-2$ the valuation of b_k is at least one. The valuation of b_{q-1} is zero. Therefore the Newton polygon of $Q(x + \alpha)/x$ has only one segment of positive slope, it connects $(0, 1)$ to $(q-1, 0)$. The field $L = K((\alpha))$ has a unique extension of degree $q-1$, namely $K((\alpha^{1/(q-1)}))$. We conclude that the stabilizer of a point in H is a cyclic group of order $(q-1)$. A cycle in an imprimitive group has to either belong to a block or contain the same number of elements in every block. Since $q-1$ and q are relatively prime, a $(q-1)$ -cycle belongs to a single block. So the group cannot be imprimitive. Since F is a nonarchimedean local field, H is solvable. Theorem 3.1 implies that in this case $\text{AGL}_1(q) \subset H \subset \text{A}\Gamma\text{L}_1(q)$. The group $\text{A}\Gamma\text{L}_1(q)$ is the group of semilinear transformation of the affine line, namely the group generated by $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ and the Frobenius automorphism. Since the stabilizer of a point in H is a cyclic group, $H = \text{AGL}_1(q)$. □

Remark 3.3. Lemma 3.2 can be proved without using Theorem 3.1 with a lengthier but elementary group theory argument. The main Theorems 1.4 and 1.6 rely on Theorem 3.1 in a more substantial way.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a nondegenerate nonstrange integral plane curve of degree d . Assume that the Gauss map of X has separable degree s and inseparable degree q . Choose a geometric point x above which the covering π_X is étale. Denote by Ω the fiber of π_X above $x \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$, so the group G_X acts on Ω by permutations and $\#\Omega = d$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If $q = 1$, then G_X contains a transposition.*

(2) If $q > 1$, then there is a decomposition $\Omega = A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_s \sqcup B$, $\#A_i = q$, $\#B = d - sq$, a subgroup $H \subset G_X$ and a collection of subgroups $H_i \subset G_X$, $i = 1, \dots, s$ such that the following properties hold.

- H fixes every point of B and preserves the decomposition $\Omega = A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_s \sqcup B$.
- The image of H in the symmetric group $\text{Sym}(A_i)$ equals $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ embedded via its action on the affine line.
- There exists an element $h \in H$ that acts on each A_i as a $(q-1)$ -cycle (fixing one point).
- H_i preserves the decomposition $\Omega = A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_s \sqcup B$, fixes $B \cup A_i$ pointwise, and acts transitively on each A_j for $j \neq i$.

Proof. Let $U \subset (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ be a dense open subset over which the covering π_X is étale. The complement of U contains finitely many lines. A line in $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ defines a point in $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{**} = \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the set of points corresponding to lines in the complement of U . Then for every point $P \notin S$ the restriction of π_X to the family of lines passing through P is generically étale. A general tangent to X is tangent at exactly s points with multiplicity $\max(2, q)$ at each one. Since X is not strange, a general tangent line does not intersect the set of singular points Sing_X of X and does not intersect S . Choose a tangent line ℓ to X that does not intersect $S \cup \text{Sing}_X$ and such that $\ell \cap X$ has s points with multiplicity $\max(2, q)$ and $d - qs$ points with multiplicity 1. In particular for every point P on ℓ the restriction of π_X to the family of lines passing through P is generically étale.

Let P_1, \dots, P_s be the points of tangency and Q_1, \dots, Q_{d-qs} be the rest of the intersection. Choose a line in \mathbb{P}^2 that is disjoint from both $\bigcup_i P_i$ and $\bigcup_j Q_j$. Consider the affine plane \mathbb{A}^2 obtained by removing the chosen line. Choose coordinates x, y in \mathbb{A}^2 so that ℓ is the line $y = 0$, and the coordinates of P_1, \dots, P_s are $(\alpha_1, 0), (\alpha_2, 0), \dots, (\alpha_s, 0)$. Choose an algebraic closure of the fraction field of the local ring at $[\ell] \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$; identify Ω with the fiber at the geometric point corresponding to this choice. Let $A_i \subset \Omega$ be the set that reduces to P_i , and let $B = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_i A_i$ be the rest of Ω . For an element $\alpha \in K$ consider the family of lines $\ell_t = \ell_t(\alpha)$ given by the equation $y = t(x - \alpha)$. The family $\ell_t(\alpha)$ is the family of lines passing through $(\alpha, 0)$, so the covering π_X is generically étale above the line corresponding to $\ell_t(\alpha)$ in $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. We want to analyze inertia groups at $t = 0$ of the restriction of π_X to these families.

(1) Assume $q = 1$. In this case $\text{char } K \neq 2$, see [Kat73, Proposition 3.3]. We need to describe the extension of $K((t))$ obtained by adjoining the coordinates of the intersection of X with $y = t(x - \alpha)$. Choose a uniformizer $x_i = x - \alpha_i$. For each point P_i consider the local uniformization $y = p_i(x_i)$ of X with respect to x_i , where $p_i(x_i)$ is a power series with coefficients in K . Since $q = 1$ the power series $p_i(x_i)$ vanishes at 0 with multiplicity $\max(q, 2) = 2$. When $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ the Newton polygon of $Q_i = p_i(x_i) - tx_i - t\alpha + t\alpha_i$ has a unique positive slope segment, it connects $(0, 1)$ and $(2, 0)$. Hence the positively valued roots of Q_i have valuation $1/2$. Therefore when $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ the extension obtained by adding the positively valued roots of Q_i to $K((t))$ is the (unique) ramified degree 2 extension. Similarly, if $\alpha = \alpha_i$ the equation $Q_i = 0$ has two positively valued roots, both lying in $K((t))$. Taking α to be distinct from each α_i gives an element $g \in G_X$ that fixes B and acts on each A_i as a transposition. Taking $\alpha = \alpha_1$ gives an element $g_1 \in G_X$ that acts by identity on $B \cup A_1$ and as a transposition on A_j for all $j \neq 1$. The product gg_1 is a transposition.

(2) Now assume $q > 1$ is a power of the characteristic. This statement is similar to part (1), except the degrees of the extensions are no longer 2 and some wild ramification appears. Take $x_i = x - \alpha_i$ as a uniformizer for X at P_i . Let $(x_i, p_i(x_i))$ be the uniformization and define c_i, d_i by $p_i(x_i) = d_i x_i^q + c_i x_i^{q+1} + O(x_i^{q+1})$. Choose $\alpha \in K$ so that both $d_i + (\alpha - \alpha_i)c_i \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ hold for every i . Consider the intersection of X with the line $y = t(x - \alpha)$ over the field $K((t))$. The x -coordinates of the intersection points that reduce to P_i are the positively valued roots of $Q_i(x) = p_i(x_i) - tx_i + t(\alpha - \alpha_i)$. Since $\alpha \notin S$, the intersection of X with $y = t(x - \alpha)$ over $K(t)$ defines a separable extension of $K(t)$. Therefore the positively valued roots of Q_i lie in a separable extension of $K((t))$. Lemma 3.2 applies to the power series Q_i (condition $v(a_1 a_q - a_0 a_{q+1}) = 1$ becomes $d_i + (\alpha - \alpha_i)c_i \neq 0$). Let $L_i/K((t))$ be the extension obtained by adjoining all positive valuation roots of Q_i to $K((t))$. Then the Galois group of $L_i/K((t))$ is $\text{AGL}_1(q)$. The ramification index of $L_i/K((t))$ is divisible by $q(q-1)$. In particular every field L_i is an overfield of $K((t^{1/(q-1)}))$. Let H be the Galois group of the compositum of $L_i, i = 1, \dots, s$. Take an element $h \in H$ that surjects onto a generator of $\text{Gal}(K((t^{1/(q-1)}))/K((t)))$. Every such element h will surject onto an element of order $q-1$ on each $\text{Gal}(L_i/K((t)))$. We now examine the possibilities for the action of h on the positive valued roots of Q_i . Assume that for some fixed i the element h has an orbit of length less than $q-1$ on the roots of Q_i . The extension $L_i/K((t))$ is generated by adjoining any two roots of Q_i . Assume that h has an orbit of length k with $1 < k < q-1$. Then h^k fixes at least two roots and therefore is the identity element in $\text{Gal}(L_i/K((t)))$. Contradiction. Therefore h acts on each A_i by fixing one point and moving the rest cyclically. □

Remark 3.5. When $\text{char } K = 0$ Lemmas 3.4 and 2.2 imply that G is 2-transitive and contains a transposition, so $G = S_d$.

4. SECTIONAL MONODROMY GROUPS OF NONSTRANGE CURVES

The main result of this section is Theorem 4.5 that describes sectional monodromy groups of nonstrange space curves. The sectional monodromy group of a nonstrange space curve is triply transitive by Proposition 2.8. Triply transitive permutation groups can be classified; for a statement and definitions of relevant groups see [Cam99, Sections 7.3, 7.4].

Proposition 4.1. *If G is a triply transitive permutation group of degree d then either $G \supset A_d$ or one of the following holds.*

- (1) $G = \text{AGL}_n(2)$, $d = 2^n$;
- (2) $G = G_1$, $d = 16$;
- (3) $\text{PSL}_2(r) \subset G \subset \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(r)$, $d = r + 1$, r is a power of a prime;
- (4) $G = M_{11}$, $d = 11$;
- (5) $G = M_{11}$, $d = 12$;
- (6) $G = M_{12}$, $d = 12$;
- (7) $G = M_{22}$, $d = 22$;
- (8) $G = \text{Aut}(M_{22})$, $d = 22$;
- (9) $G = M_{23}$, $d = 23$;
- (10) $G = M_{24}$, $d = 24$.

Remark 4.2. The group G_1 is a subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_4(2)$. It is a semidirect product of the translation group \mathbb{F}_2^4 and a specially embedded copy of $A_7 \subset \mathrm{GL}_4(2) \simeq A_8$.

We will also need two geometric statements before proving Theorem 4.5.

Proposition 4.3 (Kaji). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, $r \geq 3$ be a projective integral nonstrange curve, let $\gamma_X: X \rightarrow X^*$ be its Gauss map. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be the projection of X from a general point $P \in \mathbb{P}^r$. Identify $K(X)$ and $K(Y)$ via the projection from P . Let $\gamma_Y: Y \rightarrow Y^*$ denote the Gauss map of Y . Then the subfield $K(X^*)$ of $K(X)$ defined by γ_X and the subfield $K(Y^*)$ of $K(X) = K(Y)$ defined by $\gamma_Y: Y$ coincide.*

Proof. See [Kaj89, Theorem 2.1] and the remark following the theorem. \square

Proposition 4.4 ([BC00, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth curve of degree d . Assume that for a general point P of X there is no tangent line to X at a point $Q \neq P$ that intersects the tangent line at P . Then either $d = 3$ and X is a twisted cubic or $d = q + 1$ for some power q of the characteristic. In the latter case X is rational and isomorphic to the projective closure of the parametrized curve (t, t^q, t^{q+1}) .*

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$, $m \geq 3$ be a nondegenerate integral nonstrange curve of degree d . Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) *The sectional monodromy group G contains the alternating group A_d .*
- (2) *$m = 3$ and X is projectively equivalent to the rational curve given as the projective closure of the parametrized curve $(t, t^q, t^{q+1}) \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ for some power q of the characteristic. In this case $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$.*
- (3) *$m = 3$, $\mathrm{char} K = 2$, the tangent variety of X is a smooth quadric and G is contained in $\mathrm{AGL}_n(2)$.*

Proof. Let s, q be the separable and inseparable degrees of the Gauss map γ_X of X . Recall that for a general tangent line ℓ of X the degree of the scheme-theoretic intersection of X and ℓ satisfies $\deg X \cap \ell = \deg \gamma = sq$. Choose one such general tangent line ℓ and choose a point P of X that does not lie on ℓ . Let H be a hyperplane containing ℓ and P . The degree of the intersection of H with X satisfies $d = \deg X = \deg H \cap X \geq \deg \ell \cap X + 1 = sq + 1$. Therefore $sq < d$.

By Proposition 4.3 s and q are also the separable and inseparable degrees of a general projection of X . Let X' be a general projection of X to \mathbb{P}^2 . Proposition 2.10 implies that $G_X = G_{X'}$ as permutation groups. Since X' is a plane curve, Lemma 3.4 applies. We conclude that if $q = 1$ the group G contains a transposition, and therefore $G = S_n$. Assume $q > 1$ and fix a decomposition $\Omega = A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_s \sqcup B$, subgroups $H, H_i \subset G$, and an element $h \in H$ as in Lemma 3.4. We will use the properties of (A_i, B, H_i, H, h) stated in Lemma 3.4 throughout this proof. Since $sq < d$ the set B is nonempty.

The group G is triply transitive by Proposition 2.8. Therefore it is on the list of Proposition 4.1. We analyze each possibility.

Case (a): $G \subset \mathrm{AGL}_n(2)$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{F}_2^n$. This case also covers the G_1 group of Proposition 4.1, since G_1 is a permutation subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_4(2)$. Assume first that $q \neq 2$. The element $h \in G$ acts as a product of s $(q - 1)$ -cycles. The element h has a fixed point, which we may assume is the origin in \mathbb{F}_2^n , so $h \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Consider $\Omega = \mathbb{F}_2^n$ as an $\mathbb{F}_2[t]$

module, where t acts as h . Since h has fixed points and is not equal to the identity, one of the following cases holds.

- (i) Ω has a submodule W isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[t]/(t-1)^n$ for some $n > 1$. Let M be the restriction of h to W . Then $M^{q-1} - 1 = 0$. So $t^{q-1} - 1$ is divisible by $(t-1)^n$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[t]$, therefore $n \leq v_2(q-1)$. This implies that the number of points in W is $2^n \leq q-1$. But $q-1$ is the minimal size of a nontrivial orbit of h , contradiction.
- (ii) $\Omega = V_1 \oplus V_2$, where $V_1 \neq 0$ is indecomposable and V_2 contains all nonzero fixed points of h . Then for every fixed point v of h , $v + V_1$ is invariant under the action of h . Therefore, h has at least as many orbits of length greater than 1 as it has fixed points. On the other hand, since h acts on A_i via fixing one point and permuting the rest cyclically and also fixes $B \neq \emptyset$ pointwise, A has more fixed points than it has orbits of length greater than one. Contradiction.

Assume $q = 2$. The set B is the set of common fixed points of the elements of H . Therefore B forms an affine space, and $\#B$ is a power of 2. Similarly $B \cup A_1$ is the set of common fixed points of elements of H_1 . Therefore $\#B + \#A_1 = \#B + 2$ is a power of 2. Hence $\#B = 2$, and $2^n = \#\Omega = 2s + \#B$, so $s = 2^{n-1} - 1$. We can assume that the tangent variety of X is not a quadric, since otherwise we are in case (3). If $n = 2$, then a nonidentity element of H acts on Ω as a transposition, hence $G = S_d$. Suppose $n > 2$. Then X has degree $2^n > 4$ and therefore X lies on at most one quadric surface. For a general tangent line ℓ of X there does not exist a quadric Q containing X and ℓ . Consider a triple ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 of general tangents to X . There exists a smooth quadric Q containing ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 and not containing X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n+1} = \deg Q \cap X &\geq \deg \ell_1 \cap X + \deg \ell_2 \cap X + \deg \ell_3 \cap X \\ &= 3 \deg \gamma_X = 6s = 6 \cdot (2^{n-1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality is never satisfied for $n > 2$, contradiction.

Case (b): $\mathrm{PSL}_2(r) \subset G \subset \mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(r)$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_r)$. In this case $\deg X = \#\Omega = r + 1$. The group $\mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(r)$ is generated by $\mathrm{PGL}_2(r)$ and the Frobenius. In most of the cases analyzed below, the subgroups H, H_i contain elements that fix a triple of points. The group $\mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(r)$ is triply-transitive; the pointwise stabilizer of $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ in $\mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(r)$ is the cyclic group generated by the Frobenius, and so the pointwise stabilizer of any triple of points is cyclic. Suppose $q < r$. We consider several subcases.

- (i) $s = 1$. In this case the set B of Lemma 3.4 contains $r + 1 - q \geq 2$ elements. If $q = 2$, then a nontrivial element of H is a transposition, so $G = S_d$. Suppose $\#B = r + 1 - q = 2$ and $q > 2$. Then the element $h \in H$ acts as a $(q-1)$ -cycle and fixes 3 points. The stabilizer of a triple of points in $\mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(r)$ is a cyclic group generated by the Frobenius, and thus cannot have exactly 3 fixed points when $q > 2$. Therefore we can assume that $\#B = r + 1 - q \geq 3$ and $q > 2$. The group H stabilizes B pointwise; therefore H is abelian. On the other hand, Lemma 3.4 implies that H maps surjectively onto $\mathrm{AGL}_1(q)$, contradiction.
- (ii) $s \geq 2, q > 2$. The element $h \in \mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(r)$ fixes $s + \#B \geq 3$ points, and therefore h is a power of the Frobenius. Since h only has orbits of sizes 1 and $q-1$, the number $q-1$ must be prime. Thus $\mathbb{F}_r = \mathbb{F}_{\ell^{q-1}}$ for some prime power ℓ . The action of h on $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{q-1}}$ is such that the number of fixed points is $s + \#B - 1$ which is at least as large as the number of nontrivial orbits s . So $(\ell^{q-1} - \ell)/(q-1) =$

$\#\{\text{nontrivial orbits of } h\} \leq \#\{\text{fixed points of } h\} = \ell + 1$. The only pairs of prime powers (ℓ, q) with $q > 2$ that satisfy this inequality are $(2, 4)$, $(2, 3)$, and $(3, 3)$. These correspond to (r, q) being $(8, 4)$, $(4, 3)$, and $(9, 3)$. The case $(r, q) = (4, 3)$ is impossible since $s > 1$. Suppose $(r, q) = (9, 3)$. The element h must have exactly $3 + 1$ fixed points, and therefore $s = 3$. Any element $g \in H_1$, $g \neq \text{id}$ fixes $B \cup A_1$. Since $\#B + \#A_1 \geq 3$, the element g must equal to the Frobenius in a degree $q - 1 = 2$ extension, and therefore be a product of transpositions. However elements of H_1 preserve the decomposition $A_2 \cup A_3$ and act transitively on A_2 , contradiction. Similarly, in the case $(r, q) = (8, 4)$ the element h must have 3 fixed points, and hence $s = 2$. In this case any nontrivial element of H_1 is a power of the Frobenius, and hence H_1 cannot act transitively on A_2 , contradiction.

- (iii) $q = 2$, $s \neq 1$. If $s = 2$, then a nontrivial element of H_1 is a transposition, proving $G = S_d$. In particular we can assume $s \geq 3$, $r \geq 7$. Choose three elements of $B \cup A_i$ and identify them with $0, 1, \infty$. A nontrivial element of $g \in H_i$ fixes $0, 1, \infty$ and has order 2, therefore g is equal to the Frobenius of the quadratic extension $\mathbb{F}_r/\mathbb{F}_\ell$, $r = \ell^2$. Hence $H_i = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Since H_i acts transitively on A_j for $j \neq i$, the nontrivial element $g \in H_i$ acts as a transposition on A_j , $j \neq i$. In particular $\#B + 2 = \#\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell) = \ell + 1$. Suppose B has at least three points. Label them $0, 1, \infty$. Every element of H fixes $0, 1, \infty$ and has order at most 2, so $H = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Since H acts transitively on each A_i , the set of fixed points of H is B . Therefore $\#B = \ell + 1 = \#B + 2$. Contradiction. We proved that $\#B \leq 2$ and H_i is a group of order 2 fixing $B \cup A_i$ and acting as a transposition on A_j for $j \neq i$. Since $\ell + 1 = \#B + 2 \leq 4$, either $\ell = 2$ or $\ell = 3$. Since $\ell^2 = r \geq 7$, we have $\#B = 2$, $\ell = 3$, $s = 4$. Let h_i denote the nontrivial element of H_i . Then $h_1 h_2$ fixes $A_3 \cup A_4 \cup B$ and acts on A_1 and A_2 as a transposition. No element of $\text{PGL}_2(9)$ fixes exactly 6 points. Contradiction.

We have shown that q cannot be less than r . Suppose $q = r$. The group $\text{PGL}_2(q)$ is not quadruply transitive unless it contains A_{q+1} (i.e., unless $q = 2, 3, 4$). Therefore Proposition 2.8 implies that the curve X lies in \mathbb{P}^3 . Take a smooth point P of X and consider the family of hyperplanes passing through the tangent line to X at P . Since the multiplicity of the intersection of such a hyperplane with X at P is at least q and $\deg X = q + 1$, the curve X is smooth. If a general tangent to X is concurrent with another tangent line, then the plane containing both of them intersects X with multiplicity greater than $q + 2 > q + 1$ which is impossible. Therefore we can apply Proposition 4.4. We conclude that X is projectively equivalent to the projective closure of the rational curve (t, t^q, t^{q+1}) . The monodromy group in this case is $\text{PGL}_2(q)$, see [Rat87, Example 2.15].

- Case (c): G is one of the Mathieu groups and the action on Ω is in the list of Theorem 3.1. From Lemma 3.4 it follows that there is an element in G that acts via a product of s $(q-1)$ -cycles. Take an element $g \in G$ that maps onto an element of order p in $\text{AGL}_1(p)$. Replacing g by g^k is necessary we can assume that g acts as a nontrivial product of cycles of length p . Cycle types of the Mathieu groups (in different permutation representations) are listed in Appendix A (borrowing from [WWT⁺]). Together the

existence of cycle type $(q - 1)^s$, existence of a product of p -cycles, and the condition $sq < d$ leaves the following cases.

- (i) $G = M_{11}$, $d = 11$, $q = 2$, $s = 4$. Any nontrivial element of H_1 has cycle type 2^t , $1 \leq t \leq 3$. However there are no element with such cycle type in this representation.
- (ii) $G = M_{11}$, $d = 12$, $s = 5$, $q = 2$. All elements having cycle type 2^t , $t > 0$ have cycle type 2^4 . These cannot form the subgroup H , since a product of two distinct such elements in H is an element of type 2^2 .
- (iii) $G = M_{11}$, $d = 11$, $q = 5$, $s = 2$. Every element of H_1 fixes at least 6 points; M_{11} has no such elements.
- (iv) $G = M_{11}$, $d = 12$, $q = 2$, $s = 5$. Any element of type 2^t with $t > 0$ has $t = 4$. Therefore every element of H is a product of 4 transpositions. A product of two distinct elements acting as products of four 2-cycles is not a product of four 2-cycles.
- (v) $G = M_{12}$, $q = 2$, $s \leq 5$. Any element of H_1 acts as a product of at most $s - 1$ transpositions. Every element of M_{12} with cycle type 2^t has $t = 0, 4, 6$. Therefore $s = 5$. Every element of H acts as a product of at most 5 transposition, so every nonidentity element of H acts as a product of 4 transpositions. A product of two 2^4 elements of H cannot be a 2^4 element. Contradiction.
- (vi) $G = M_{12}$, $q = 5$, $s = 2$. The group H_1 contains a nonidentity element that fixes at least 7 points; M_{12} has no such elements.
- (vii) $G = M_{22}$, $q = 2$, $s \leq 10$. Any element of H_1 acts as a product of transpositions. An element of M_{22} that has cycle type 2^t has $t = 0, 8$. Therefore $s \geq 9$. Every element of H is a 2^8 element. A product of 2 distinct 2^8 elements of H cannot be a 2^8 element, contradiction.
- (viii) $G = \text{Aut}(M_{22})$, $q = 2$, $s \leq 10$. Any element of H_1 acts as a product of at most $s - 1 \leq 9$ transpositions. An element of $\text{Aut}(M_{22})$ that has cycle type 2^t has $t = 0, 7, 8$. Therefore $s \geq 8$. Suppose $s = 8$. Then H_1 acts transitively on A_i , for $i > 1$. Since the only possible cycle type of a nonidentity element of H_1 is 2^7 , $H_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acting as a transposition on every A_i , $i \neq 1$. Consider now the possible cycle types of elements of H . If H contains a 2^8 element g , then the product of g with the nonidentity element of H_1 is a transposition, contradiction. On the other hand, a product of two different 2^7 elements of H cannot be a 2^7 element. Therefore $s \neq 8$. Suppose $s > 8$. Then the group H contains two distinct elements g_1, g_2 with cycle types $2^{t_1}, 2^{t_2}$ for $t_1, t_2 \in \{7, 8\}$. Then $g_1 g_2$ is not the identity, and therefore fixes at most $2 * (s - 7)$ elements of $\cup_i A_i$. On the other hand, g_1 and g_2 both act as a transposition on A_i for at least $t_1 + t_2 - s \geq 14 - s$ different i . Therefore $t_1 + t_2 - s \leq s - 7$, which implies $s > 10$. Contradiction.
- (ix) $G = \text{Aut}(M_{22})$, $q = 3$, $s = 7$. Any element of the group generated by H_1, \dots, H_7 has cycle type $2^k 3^\ell$, with $k, \ell \leq 7$. The only cycle types of this form in $\text{Aut}(M_{22})$ are 3^6 and 2^7 . Since H_i fixes A_i , an element of H_i cannot have cycle type 2^7 , so every nonidentity element of H_i has cycle type 3^6 . Consider nonidentity elements $g_1 \in H_1, g_2 \in H_2$. The product $g_1 g_2$ acts as a 3-cycle on A_1 , therefore $g_1 g_2$ has cycle type 3^6 . This means that g_1 and g_2 restrict to the same 3-cycle on A_i for 4

different values of i . Then $g_1 g_2^{-1}$ fixes at least $3 \cdot 4 = 12$ points, however $\text{Aut}(M_{22})$ has no nonidentity elements fixing 12 points.

- (x) $G = M_{23}$, $d = 23$, $q = 2$. Every element with cycle type 2^t , $t > 0$ has $t = 8$. Since every element of H_1 is a product of at most $s - 1$ transpositions, $s \geq 9$. Also $sq < d$ implies $s \leq 11$. Since H acts transitively on each A_i , there exist two distinct nontrivial elements $h_1, h_2 \in H$ each having the cycle type 2^8 . But $h_1 h_2$ cannot have cycle type 2^8 , contradiction.
- (xi) $G = M_{24}$, $d = 24$, $q = 2$, $s \geq 8$. Since $sq < d$, we have $s \leq 11$. In this representation every 2^t element of H has $t = 0, 8$. A product of two 2^8 elements of H cannot be a 2^8 element. Contradiction.

□

Remark 4.6. Suppose $\text{char } K \neq 2$. Then the group G is contained in the alternating group if and only if the separability degree of the Gauss map is even [Rat87, Theorem 2.10]. When $\text{char } K = 2$ we do not know under what conditions G is equal to S_n .

5. GALOIS GROUPS OF TRINOMIALS

In this section we study the Galois group G of the polynomial $x^n + ax^m + b$ over the field $K(a, b)$. The following theorem of Cohen covers most of the previously known results about G .

Theorem 5.1 ([Coh80, Corollary 3]). *Assume that n and m are relatively prime, $p \nmid m(n-m)$ and if $m = 1$ or $m = n - 1$ assume additionally that $p \nmid n$. Then $A_n \subset G$. Moreover, if p is odd, then $G = S_n$.*

Some cases not covered by Theorem 5.1, such as a trinomial with $G = M_{11}$, are described in [Uch70]. Our goal is to compute all the possibilities for G .

We begin by fixing notation. Throughout this section, (n, m) is a pair of relatively prime positive integers, $X_{n,m}$ denotes the projective rational curve plane $x^n = y^m z^{n-m}$, and $G = G_{n,m}$ is the sectional monodromy group of $X_{n,m}$. An affine parametrization of $X_{n,m}$ is (t^m, t^n) . Therefore G is also the Galois group of the trinomial $x^n + ax^m + b$ over $K(a, b)$. Without loss of generality we assume that $m < n/2$ since $X_{n,m} \simeq X_{n,n-m}$.

We start by describing properties of tangent lines and Gauss maps of the curves $X_{n,m}$.

Proposition 5.2.

- (1) *If n, m and $(n - m)$ are prime to p , then $X_{n,m}$ is nonstrange. In this case the Gauss map has separability degree 1, and inseparability degree 1 when $p > 2$ and 2 when $p = 2$.*
- (2) *Assume that $k \in \{n, m, n - m\}$ is divisible by p . Write $k = qd$ where q is the largest power of p that divides k . Then $X_{n,m}$ is strange and the Gauss map has inseparability degree q and separability degree d .*

Proof. If $p|m$, then $p \nmid n$, so we can replace $X_{n,m}$ by the projectively isomorphic curve $X_{n,n-m}$, and $p \nmid n - m$. Thus we may assume $p \nmid m$. An affine equation of $X_{n,m}$ is $x^n = y^m$. The tangent line to $X_{n,m}$ at the point (α^m, α^n) is given by the equation

$$n\alpha^{m(n-1)}X - m\alpha^{n(m-1)}Y = (n - m)\alpha^{nm}.$$

Restricting the equation $x^n = y^m$ to the tangent line $Y = \frac{n}{m}\alpha^{n-m}X - (\frac{n}{m} - 1)\alpha^n$ gives the polynomial

$$p(X) = X^n - \left(\frac{n}{m}\alpha^{n-m}X - \left(\frac{n}{m} - 1\right)\alpha^n\right)^m$$

Recall that the inseparability degree r of the Gauss map is greater than 1 if and only if α^m is a root of $p(X)$ with multiplicity greater than 2; in this case r is equal to the multiplicity of the root α^m . Set $X = \alpha^m + Z$. Then

$$p(X) = (Z + \alpha^m)^n - \left(\frac{n}{m}\alpha^{n-m}Z + \alpha^n\right)^m.$$

The coefficient of Z^2 is

$$\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{n(m-1)}{2}\right)\alpha^{m(n-2)}.$$

This coefficient is identically zero if and only if either $p|n$ or $p|(n-m)$.

- (1) $p \nmid n, p \nmid n-m$. In this case the inseparability degree of the Gauss map is 1. We can recover the point (α^n, α^m) from its tangent line

$$n\alpha^{m(n-1)}X - m\alpha^{n(m-1)}Y = (n-m)\alpha^{nm}$$

using ratios of the coefficients of the equation. Therefore the separability degree of the Gauss map is also 1. Finally the dual curve $(n\alpha^{m(n-1)} : m\alpha^{n(m-1)} : (n-m)\alpha^{nm})$ is not a line; therefore the tangent lines do not pass through one common points and the curve is nonstrange.

- (2) $p|n$. Let q be the largest power of p dividing n , write $n = qd$. In this case the equation of the tangent line becomes $Y = \alpha^n$, and therefore the curve is strange. The Gauss map in affine coordinates is $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^n$; therefore it has separable degree d and inseparable degree q .
- (3) $p|(n-m)$. Let q be the largest power of p dividing $n-m$, and let $n = qd$. The equation of the tangent line becomes $Y = \alpha^{n-m}X$. Therefore the curve is strange. The Gauss map in affine coordinates is just $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^{n-m}$ therefore the separable degree of the Gauss map is d and the inseparable degree is q .

□

We now prove a stronger version of Lemma 3.4 for curves $X_{n,m}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $X = X_{n,m}$ be one of the trinomial curves. Choose a geometric point δ of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ above which the covering π_X is étale. Denote by Ω the fiber of π_X above δ , so the group $G = G_X$ acts on Ω by permutations. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *Assume $p|n$. Write $n = qd$ with q a power of p and d prime to p . Then there exists a decomposition $\Omega = A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_d$, a subgroup $H \subset G$, a collection of subgroups $H_i \subset G$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, and an element $h \in H$ with the following properties.*
- *For every i the set A_i is of cardinality q .*
 - *The image of H in $\text{Sym}(A_i)$ equals $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ embedded via its action on $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.*
 - *The element $h \in H$ acts on each A_i with one fixed point and one orbit of size $q-1$.*
 - *The group H_i acts on A_i with one fixed point and one orbit of size $q-1$. The image of H_i in $\text{Sym}(A_j)$ for $i \neq j$ contains $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ embedded via its action on $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.*

- (2) Assume $p|n - m$. Write $n - m = qd$ with q a power of p and d prime to p . Then there exists a decomposition $\Omega = A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_d \sqcup B$, a subgroup $H \subset G$, a collection of subgroups $H_i \subset G$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, and an element $h \in H$ with the following properties.
- For every i the set A_i is of cardinality q .
 - The image of H in $\text{Sym}(A_i)$ equals $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ embedded via its action on $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
 - The element $h \in H$ acts on each A_i with one fixed point and one orbit of size $q - 1$.
 - The image of H in $\text{Sym}(B)$ is $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ in its natural embedding; h maps to a generator of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.
 - The group H_i fixes $A_i \cup B$ and acts transitively on each A_j for all $j \neq i$.

Proof. Both parts of the statement are proved similarly to Lemma 3.4. We will pick a tangent line ℓ to $X_{n,m}$. We will then restrict the covering to a family of lines that includes ℓ and compute the inertia group at $[\ell] \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. To calculate the inertia groups we will use Lemma 3.2 and a computation of local uniformization.

- (1) Replacing K with a larger algebraically closed field if necessary, we can choose an element $u \in K$ that is not a root of unity. Consider the affine model $x^n = y^m$ of X . The line $y = u^n$ is tangent to X . Choose a root of unity $\zeta_d \in K$. The points of tangency are $P_i = (\alpha_i, u^n)$, where $\alpha_i = \zeta_d^i u^m$. Let $x_i = x - \alpha_i$. A local uniformization of X at P_i is given by $(\alpha_i + x_i, p_i(x_i))$, where $p_i(x_i) = u^n(1 + x/\alpha_i)^{n/m}$. The x^{q+1} coefficient of p_i is zero. Choose an element $\alpha \in K$ and identify Ω with the intersection of $X_{n,m}$ and the line ℓ given by the equation $y - u^n = t(x - \alpha)$ over the field $\overline{K}((t))$. Let $H(\alpha)$ be the Galois group of the field extension L_α obtained by adjoining to $K((t))$ the coordinates of the intersection. Then $H(\alpha)$ acts on Ω and is a subgroup of G . The field L_α is the field obtained by adding the roots of $x^n - tx^m + t\alpha - u^n$ to $K((t))$. In particular it is separable. Let $A_i \subset \Omega$ be the set of intersection points that reduce to P_i . The x -coordinates of the points in $\ell \cap X$ that reduce to P_i are the positively valued roots of the equation $p_i(x_i) - u^n = tx_i + t\alpha_i - t\alpha$. Lemma 3.2 applies with $a_0 = t(\alpha - \alpha_i)$, $a_1 = t$, $a_q = u^n d\alpha_i^{-q}/m$, $a_{q+1} = 0$. Hence if $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$, the Galois group of the field $L_{i,\alpha}$ obtained by adding these roots to $K((t))$ equals $\text{AGL}_1(q)$. When $\alpha = \alpha_i$ the equation has a rational root $x_i = 0$ and the rest of the roots have valuation $1/(q - 1)$. Therefore when $\alpha = \alpha_i$ the field $L_{i,\alpha}$ is the unique extension of $K((t))$ of degree $q - 1$. Let H_i be the group $H(\alpha_i)$. Fix $\alpha \in K$ distinct from each α_i and let H be the group $H(\alpha)$. We have shown that the actions of H and H_i 's on A_j 's are as claimed. Now we need to show the existence of $h \in H$ that acts on each A_i as a $(q - 1)$ -cycle. The extension $L_{i,\alpha}$ has ramification index $q(q - 1)$ and therefore contains the field $K((t^{1/(q-1)}))$. Let $h \in H$ be an element that surjects onto a generator of $\text{Gal}(K((t^{1/(q-1)}))/K((t)))$. Then the order of h acting on A_i is $q - 1$. Elements of $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ of order $(q - 1)$ act with two orbits of lengths 1 and $q - 1$.
- (2) Consider the affine model $x^n = y^m$ of X . Choose an element $u \in K$ that is not a root of unity. Let ℓ be the tangent line $y = u^{n-m}x$ to X . Choose a root of unity ζ_d . The points of tangency are $P_i := (\zeta_d^i u^m, \zeta_d^i u^n)$. The intersection $\ell \cap X$ consists of points P_i and the point $(0, 0)$. For an element $\alpha \in K$ let ℓ_α denote the line $y = (t + u^{n-m})x - \alpha t$ over the field $K((t))$. Identify Ω with the intersection $\ell_\alpha \cap X$ over $K((t))$. The absolute Galois group of $K((t))$ acts on Ω as a subgroup of G . Let $A_i \subset \Omega$ be the subset corresponding to points that reduce to P_i , and let B be the subset that corresponds to points reducing

to $(0, 0)$. Let L_α be the extension obtained by adding the coordinates of the intersection $\ell_\alpha \cap X$ to $K((t))$. Then L_α is the splitting field of the trinomial $x^n - (t + u^{n-m})x^m + \alpha t$. In particular L_α is separable. Consider the uniformizer $x_i = x - \zeta_d^i u^m$ at P_i . Let the local uniformization of X at P_i be given by $(\zeta_d^i u^m + x_i, p_i(x_i))$. The power series $p_i(x_i)$ equals $\zeta_d^i u^n (1 + x_i / (\zeta_d^i u^m))^{n/m} = \zeta_d^i u^n (1 + x_i / (\zeta_d^i u^m)) (1 + x_i / (\zeta_d^i u^m))^{dq/m}$. The x coordinates of the points in the intersection $\ell_\alpha \cap X$ that reduce to P_i are the positively valued roots of the power series $Q_i = p_i(x_i) - (t + u^{n-m})(x_i + \zeta_d^i u^m) + \alpha t$. We have

$$Q_i = a_0 + a_1 x_i + a_q x_i^q + a_{q+1} x_i^{q+1} + O(x_i^{2q}),$$

where $a_0 = (\alpha - \zeta_d^i u^m)$, $a_1 = -t$, $a_q = \frac{d}{m} \zeta_d^{-i(q-1)} u^{-mq+n}$, $a_{q+1} = \frac{d}{m} u^{n-(q+1)m} \zeta_d^{-iq}$. For a general α the expression $a_0 a_{q+1} - a_1 a_q$ has valuation 1. For each i let $L_{\alpha,i}$ be the field generated by the positively valued roots of Q_i , and let L_α be the field obtained by adjoining to $K((t))$ the coordinates of the intersection of X with ℓ_α . The field L_α is the compositum of $L_{\alpha,i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Let $H(\alpha)$ be the Galois group of the extension $L_\alpha/K((t))$. Lemma 3.2 implies that for a general $\beta \in K$ the image of $H(\beta)$ in $\text{Sym}(A_i)$ is $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ for all i . Fix one such $\beta \neq 0$ and let $H := H(\beta)$.

We now compute the action of $H(\alpha)$ on B for $\alpha \neq 0$. The elements of B are the roots of $Q(x) = x^n - (t + u^{n-m})x^m + \alpha t$ that reduce to 0 modulo t . The Newton polygon of $Q(x)$ contains only one segment of positive slope, it connects $(0, 1)$ and $(m, 0)$. Since the slope is $1/m$ and m is prime to p , the elements of B are permuted cyclically by the group $H(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \neq 0$. Let $h \in H$ be an element that subjects onto a generator of $\text{Gal}(K((t^{1/\text{LCM}(q-1, m)}))/K((t))))$. Since the field extension obtained by adjoining B to $K((t))$ is $K((t^{1/m}))$, the element h acts on B through a cyclic permutation. The field $L_{\alpha,i}$ has ramification index $q(q-1)$ and therefore contains $K((t^{1/q-1}))$. Hence the action of h on A_i has order $q-1$, so h acts on each A_i by fixing one point and permuting the rest cyclically.

Let L_i denote the field $L_{\zeta_d^i u^m}$. Let H_i be the Galois group of L_i over $K((t^{1/\text{LCM}(m, q-1)}))$. Then H_i fixes B . When $\alpha = \zeta_d^i u^m$ the power series Q_i has root 0. The positive slope part of the Newton polygon of Q_i/x_i is a single segment from $(0, 1)$ to $(q-1, 0)$. Therefore H_i fixes A_i . For $j \neq i$ the positive slope part of the Newton polygon of Q_j is a segment from $(0, 1)$ to $(q, 0)$; therefore H_i acts transitively on A_j for $j \neq i$.

□

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose m and n are relatively prime integers satisfying $m \leq n/2$. Let G be the Galois group of the polynomial $P(x) := x^n + ax^m + b$ over $K(a, b)$, and let $p = \text{char } K$.*

- (1) *If $m = 1$ and $n = p^d$, then $G = \text{AGL}_1(p^d)$.*
- (2) *If $m = 1$, $n = 6$, and $p = 2$, then $G = \text{PSL}_2(5)$.*
- (3) *If $m = 1$, $n = 12$, and $p = 3$, then $G = M_{11}$.*
- (4) *If $m = 1$, $n = 24$, and $p = 2$, then $G = M_{24}$.*
- (5) *If $m = 2$, $n = 11$, and $p = 3$, then $G = M_{11}$.*
- (6) *If $m = 3$, $n = 23$, and $p = 2$, then $G = M_{23}$.*
- (7) *Let $q := p^k$ for some k . If $n = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$, $m = (q^s - 1)/(q - 1)$ for some s, d , then $G = \text{PGL}_d(q)$.*
- (8) *If none of the above holds, then $A_n \subset G$.*

Proof. We break the proof into cases corresponding to the cases of Proposition 5.2. The case $n = 2$ is trivial. In what follows we assume $n > 2$ so that $m < n/2$ since m, n are relatively prime.

- (1) Assume $p \nmid nm(n - m)$. By Proposition 5.2 the curve $X_{m,n}$ is nonstrange and the Gauss map is either birational or purely inseparable of degree two. In any case Lemma 3.4 implies that G contains a transposition; hence $G = S_n$.
- (2) Assume $p|n$. Consider the specialization of $x^n + ax^m + b$ to the polynomial $P(x) := x^n + t^{-1}x^m + 1$ over the field $K((t))$. The Newton polygon of P consists of two segments: one from $(0, 0)$ to $(m, -1)$ and one from $(m, -1)$ to $(n, 0)$. Since $n - m$ and m are relatively prime and are both prime to p , the group G contains an m -cycle and an $(n - m)$ -cycle. If $m \neq 1$, then $A_n \subset G$ by Theorem 3.1. If $m = 1$ and $A_n \not\subset G$, then Theorem 3.1 implies that one of the following holds

- (1) $n = p^d$. In this case the Galois group is $\text{AGL}_1(p^d)$, see [Rat87, Example 2.17].
- (2) $n = \ell + 1$ for some prime ℓ and $G = \text{PSL}_2(\ell)$ or $G = \text{PGL}_2(\ell)$. Write $n = qd$ for d prime to p and a power q of p . Proposition 5.2 states that in this case the Gauss map has inseparable degree q and separable degree d . If $d = 1$, then n is a power of p , which is precisely the case (1) above. Assume $d > 1$. Lemma 5.3 applies in this case with $B = \emptyset$. The group H_1 surjects onto $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ and therefore has order divisible by p . Take an element $g_1 \in H_1$ of order p , so that it acts as a nontrivial product of cycles of length p and fixes A_1 . Since no element of $\text{PGL}_2(\ell)$ can fix three points, $\#A_1 = q = 2$. The element g_1 fixes two points and acts as a product of transpositions. There is a unique such element, namely multiplication by -1 . In particular g_1 acts as a transposition on A_i for $i > 1$. Take an element $g_2 \in H$ that acts on A_1 as a transposition. If $d \geq 4$, then either g_2 or g_1g_2 fixes at least four points, contradiction. If $d = 2$, then g_1 acts a transposition and so $G = S_n$. If $d = 3$, then $\ell = 5$ (and $q = 2$). Suppose $\ell = 5$, $q = 2$. There is a factorization

$$x^6 + ax + b = \left(x^3 + cx^2 + c^2\alpha x + \frac{a}{c^2} + \alpha c^3\right) \left(x^3 + cx^2 + (c^2\alpha + c^2)x + \frac{a}{c^2} + (\alpha + 1)c^3\right),$$

where $\alpha \in K$ satisfies $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ and c is a root of $y^{10} + ay^5 + a^2 + b$. So $P(x)$ decomposes into product of two cubic polynomials after a degree 10 extension. If $A_6 \subset G$, then the minimal degree of the extension over which $P(x)$ splits into a product of two cubic polynomials is

$$\frac{\#S_6}{\#(S_3 \times S_3)} = \frac{\#A_6}{\#((S_3 \times S_3) \cap A_6)} = 20.$$

Therefore $G \not\supset A_6$. The cubic polynomials appearing in the factorization are conjugate to each other over the field $K(a, b)(c)$ via an automorphism that fixes c and sends α to $1 + \alpha$. Therefore the total degree of the extension is at most $10\#S_3 = 60$. Thus $G = \text{PSL}_2(5)$.

- (3) $n = 12$. If $p = 3$, then $G = M_{11}$, see [Uch70, Example 3]. Assume $p = 2$. In this case either G is one of the Mathieu groups M_{11}, M_{12} or G contains A_n . Let \widehat{G} denote the Galois group of $P(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_2(a, b)$. Then G is a normal subgroup of \widehat{G} . Over \mathbb{F}_2 the polynomial $x^{12} + x + 1$ factorizes into a product of irreducibles of

degrees 3, 4 and 5. Therefore \widehat{G} is a 2-transitive group containing a 4-cycle and thus $\widehat{G} = S_{12}$. Since G is a normal subgroup of \widehat{G} , the group G contains A_{12} .

- (4) $n = 24$. In this case either $G = M_{24}$ or $G \supset A_{24}$. If $p = 2$, then we can apply Serre's linearization method [Abh93]. An almost identical computation, but for the trinomial $x^{24} + x + t$ over the field $K(t)$ is in [CMT10]. We will find an additive polynomial that is divisible by $x^{24} + ax + b$. Consider the following sequence of equalities in $K(a, b)[x]/(x^{24} + ax + b)$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x^{32} + ax^9 + bx^8 = x^{256} + a^8x^{72} + b^8x^{64} \\ &= x^{256} + a^8(ax + b)^3 + b^8x^{64} = x^{256} + b^8x^{64} + a^{11}x^3 + a^{10}bx^2 + a^9b^2x + a^8b^3 \\ &= x^{2048} + b^{64}x^{512} + a^{88}x^{24} + a^{80}b^8x^{16} + a^{72}b^{16}x^8 + a^{64}b^{24} \\ &= x^{2048} + b^{64}x^{512} + a^{80}b^8x^{16} + a^{72}b^{16}x^8 + a^{89}x + a^{88}b + a^{64}b^{24} \end{aligned}$$

The last polynomial in this chain of equalities is an additive polynomial up to a constant. Therefore the group G is a subquotient of $\text{AGL}_{11}(2)$, thus G cannot contain A_{24} since $23 \nmid \#\text{AGL}_{11}(2)$. Hence $G = M_{24}$.

Suppose $p = 3$. Let \widehat{G} denote the Galois group of $P(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_9(a, b)$. Then \widehat{G} contains G as a normal subgroups, and therefore \widehat{G} is also a primitive group containing an n -cycle. From Theorem 3.1 it follows that \widehat{G} is either one of the groups $\text{PSL}_2(23)$, $\text{PGL}_2(23)$, M_{24} or $\widehat{G} \supset A_{24}$. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_9$ be a root of the polynomial $y^2 - y - 1$. The polynomial $x^{24} - x + c$ factors over \mathbb{F}_9 into a product of irreducible polynomials of degrees 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. Therefore \widehat{G} contains an element g with cycle type 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8. Since g^8 fixes at least 15 points, $\widehat{G} \not\subset \text{PGL}_2(23)$. The group M_{24} has no elements with the cycle type of g (see Appendix A). Therefore $\widehat{G} \supset A_n$. Since G is a normal subgroup of \widehat{G} , the group G contains A_{24} .

- (3) Assume $p|m$. Consider the specialization of $x^n + ax^m + b$ to the polynomial $P(x) = x^n + t$ over $K((t))$. The Galois group of this polynomial is cyclic and we deduce that G contains an n -cycle. Theorem 3.1 gives a finite list of possibilities for G . Consider the specialization to the polynomial $Q(x) = x^n + tx^m + t^2$ over $K((t))$. The Newton polygon of this polynomials has two slopes $1/(n - m)$ and $1/m$. Since $p|m$ the roots of Q span a wildly ramified extension. Any element of the wild inertia will fix at least $n - m > n/2$ points. No group from the case (1) of Theorem 3.1 can have nontrivial elements fixing at least half of the points.
- (4) Assume $p|n - m$. Consider the specialization $x^n + t$ over $K((t))$. Since n, m are relatively prime, the specialized polynomial is separable with cyclic Galois group. Assume $A_n \not\subset G$. Since G contains an n -cycle, Theorem 3.1 produces a list of possibilities for G . We analyze each case separately.
- (1) $C_\ell \subset G \subset \text{AGL}_1(\ell)$, $n = \ell \geq 5$ is prime. Consider the specialization $x^n + t^{-1}x^m + 1$ over $K((t))$. The Newton polygon of this trinomial consists of two segments with slopes m and $m - n$. Since $p|(n - m)$ the extension is wildly ramified. Any element from the wild inertia subgroup fixes at least m roots. Therefore $m = 1$. In this case Lemma 5.3 applies with $\#B = 1$. The stabilizer of B in $G \subset \text{AGL}_1(\ell)$

is abelian and has $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ as a subquotient, thus $q = 2$. Since $\ell \geq 5$ the group H_1 has an element $g \neq id$. The element g fixes at least two points, contradiction.

(2) $\text{PGL}_r(\ell) \subset G \subset \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_r(\ell)$, $n = \#\mathbb{P}^{r-1}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) = (\ell^r - 1)/(\ell - 1)$. We will prove that ℓ is necessarily a power of p and that $m = \#\mathbb{P}^{r'}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ for some $r' < r$.

We can assume that $\text{PGL}_r(\ell) \not\subset A_n$. We call a point blue if it is in the set B and azure if it is in one of the A_i 's. Lemma 5.3 implies that for every pair of points $P, Q \in A_i$ there is an element of G that fixes all blue points and moves P to Q . Our goal is to show that $p|\ell$ and B forms a projective subspace of Ω . To this end we examine the Galois action on lines that contain blue points.

Consider a line l in $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ that contains at least 3 blue points; identify this line with $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Any element of G that fixes all blue points is a power of the Frobenius when restricted to l . Since any azure point can be moved by an element of G that fixes all blue points, the set of blue points of l is the set $l(\mathbb{F}_t)$ for some subfield $\mathbb{F}_t \subset \mathbb{F}_\ell$. There is a subgroup of H that fixes all blue points and acts transitively on A_i for every i . Thus if l contains a point from A_i , then it contains the whole set A_i . Assume $A_i, A_j \subset l$ for some $i \neq j$. The group H_i fixes all blue points and A_i , and acts transitively on the rest of the line. Therefore A_i equals $l(\mathbb{F}_{t^k}) \setminus l(\mathbb{F}_t)$, where $k = \log_t(q + t)$. Similarly A_j equals $l(\mathbb{F}_{t^k}) \setminus l(\mathbb{F}_t)$, contradiction. Thus l contains points only from one group A_i . Since the subgroup of G that fixes B acts transitively on A_i , the extension $\mathbb{F}_\ell/\mathbb{F}_t$ is such that the Frobenius acts with only one orbit. There is only one such extension $\mathbb{F}_4/\mathbb{F}_2$. So either $\ell = 4, q = 2$ or every line containing at least three blue points consists entirely of blue points. Suppose $\ell = 4, q = 2$. If $r = 2$, then $\text{PGL}_2(4) = A_5$, contradiction. Therefore $r > 2$. Suppose $m > 3$. Take a blue point Q not on l and let $P \in l$ be an azure point $P \in A_i$. Then $A_i \subset l$. Consider the line connecting Q and P . If this line contains a blue point $R \neq Q$, then an element of H that induces a transposition on A_i does not preserve the collinearity of P, Q and R . If this line contains an azure point $R \in A_j$, then an element of H_j that induces a transposition on A_i does not preserve the collinearity of P, Q and R , contradiction. Suppose $m = 3, \ell = 4, q = 2, r > 2$. Consider blue points $P, Q \in l$ and an azure point $R_1 \in A_i, R_1 \notin l$ (as in Figure 1). Let R_2 denote the point of $A_i \setminus \{R_1\}$. The line l' connecting P and R_1 does not contain any blue points except P ; therefore there is a point $S \in l', S \in A_j$ for some $j \neq i$. Let $g \in H_j$ be an element that maps R_1 to R_2 . Since g fixes S and P , g preserves l' and therefore $R_2 \in l'$ and P, R_1, R_2 are collinear. Similarly Q, R_1 and R_2 are collinear, contradiction. Therefore *every line containing at least three blue points consists entirely of blue points*.

Consider a line l containing exactly two blue points P and Q (if it exists). Consider a point $R \in A_i \cap l$. Since the pointwise stabilizer of B in G acts transitively on A_i , the whole set A_i lies on l . We conclude that $q|\ell - 1$. Suppose $m > 2$, so there is a blue point $S \notin l$ (as in Figure 2). Let l' denote the line connecting S and R . Since $A_i \subset l$, there is a point $T \in (A_j \cup B) \cap l'$ for some $j \neq i$. Take an element $g \in H_j$ that moves R to a different point on l . Then g fixes S and T , and therefore fixes l' but moves R to a point not on l' , contradiction. If $m = 2$ and $r > 2$, there exists a line containing exactly one blue point. Therefore,




FIGURE 1.




FIGURE 2.

assuming that l exists, $q|\ell - 1$ and either $r = 2$ or there exists a line containing exactly one blue point.

Assume that there exists a line l that passes through exactly one blue point. If all azure points on this line are from the same group A_i , take two azure points P, Q on the line and consider an element of G that maps P to Q and induces a translation on $\text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. This element preserves l and decomposes all azure points into cycles of length p , hence $p|\ell$. If there is a point $P \in l$, $P \in A_i$ and a point $Q \in l \cap A_j$, $j \neq i$, consider elements of H_i that fix P and maps Q to a different point of A_j . Such elements preserve l . We deduce that for every $j = 1, \dots, d$ if there is one point from A_j on the line, the whole set A_j is contained in the line. In this case we again get that $q|\ell$.

We have proved the following statements.

- Every line that contains at least three blue point is entirely blue.
- If a line containing exactly two blue points exists, then $q|\ell - 1$ and either $r = m = 2$ or a line containing exactly one blue point exists.
- If a line containing exactly one blue point exists, then $p|\ell$.

Assume that it is not the case that $r = m = 2$. Then if a line containing two blue points exists, then $q|\ell - 1$ and a different line passes through exactly one blue point, which in turn implies $p|\ell$, contradiction. Therefore there are no lines that contain exactly two blue points. So every line that contains two blue points, contains at least three, thus is entirely blue. Take a line through a blue and an azure point. It must have exactly one blue point. Therefore $p|\ell$ and the blue points form a projective subspace.

Now we show that the case $r = m = 2$ is impossible. Suppose $r = m = 2$. Then $n = \ell + 1$ must be odd, therefore $\ell = 2^k$. Since $n = qd + 2$, both q and d are odd. Suppose $d = 1$. If $q \neq 3$, then h^2 has exactly three fixed points, so h^2 is the degree 2 Frobenius. A nontrivial orbit of the degree 2 Frobenius on $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_{2^k})$ has size at most k . Since h^2 has two nontrivial orbits and two fixed points $2 + 2k \geq 2^k$. We conclude that $k \leq 3$. Since the Frobenius must have two nontrivial orbits, k is equal to 3. Then $q = 6$ which is absurd. If $d = 1$ and $q = 3$, then $G \subset \text{PGL}_2(4) = A_5$, contradiction. Thus we can assume that $d \neq 1$ and, since d is odd, $d \geq 3$. In this case the element h has exactly d fixed points, thus h is a power of the Frobenius, so $d - 1$ is a power of 2. Suppose $q \neq 3$. Since h has a unique orbit of length 2, h is the degree 2 Frobenius and the number

of fixed points of h is $3 = \#\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_2) = d$. The group H_1 fixes $q + 2 > 3$ points, therefore H_1 is generated by a power of the Frobenius and $q + 1$, which is the number of fixed points of H_1 on \mathbb{A}^1 , is a power of 2. Therefore $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$; hence $2^k = \ell = qd + 1 = 3q + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Thus $\ell = 2, n = 3$. But if $\ell = 2$, then $G \supset \mathrm{PGL}_2(2) = S_3$, contradiction. Finally we have to consider the case $q = 3$. Write $d = 2^g + 1$, then $3(2^g + 1) = qd = n - 2 = 2^k - 1$. The last equation can be satisfied modulo 8 only if $d = 5, n = 17$. The group H_1 has $q + m = 5$ fixed points, and is therefore generated by the degree 4 Frobenius. The degree 4 Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{16})$ acts with orbits of size 1 and 2, so it cannot act transitively on A_2 . Contradiction.

Thus we proved that ℓ is a power of p , $n = 1 + \ell + \dots + \ell^{r-1}$ and $m = 1 + \ell + \dots + \ell^{s-1}$.

- (3) Assume $n = 1 + \ell + \dots + \ell^{r-1}$ and $m = 1 + \ell + \dots + \ell^{s-1}$, for some power ℓ of the characteristic. We claim that for such n, m the group G is $\mathrm{PGL}_r(\ell)$. Consider the equation $x^n + ax^m + b$. Let $y = x^{\ell-1}$. The equation becomes $y^{\ell^r-1} + ay^{\ell^s-1} + b$. Multiplying by y gives $y^{\ell^r} + ay^{\ell^s} + by$. The roots of the latter form an \mathbb{F}_ℓ vector space, so its Galois group is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\ell)$. Since the roots of $x^n + ax^m + b$ correspond to lines in the space of roots of $y^{\ell^r} + ay^{\ell^s} + by$, G is contained in $\mathrm{PGL}_r(\ell)$. Since G contains an n -cycle and $n \neq 11, 23$, Theorem 3.1 implies that either $\mathrm{PGL}_s(t) \subset G \subset \mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_s(t)$ for some prime power t or n is prime and $C_n \subset G \subset \mathrm{AGL}_1(n)$. From the case (1) of this proof we deduce that $\mathrm{PGL}_s(t) \subset G \subset \mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_s(t)$. From the case (2) we deduce that t is the largest power of the characteristic that divides $n - 1$; hence $t = \ell$. Therefore $G = \mathrm{PGL}_d(\ell)$.
- (4) $n = 11$ and $G = M_{11}$ or $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$. In this case m can be any number from 1 to 5. Assume that \widehat{G} is the Galois group of P over some $F(a, b)$ for some finite field F . The group G is a normal subgroup of \widehat{G} . Since \widehat{G} contains an n -cycle, it is equal to one of $M_{11}, \mathrm{PSL}_2(11), A_{11}, S_{11}$. Hence to show, that $A_{11} \subset G$ it suffices to show that $\widehat{G} \neq M_{11}, \mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$. To show that $\widehat{G} \neq M_{11}, \mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$ it is enough to find a specialization of $P(x)$ over a finite field, whose factorization pattern contradicts the possible cycle types of M_{11} and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$. We do so in Table 2 of Appendix B (in every case the factorization shows that \widehat{G} has a cycle of length 2, 3 or 5 contradicting Theorem 3.1).

The only case remaining is that of the polynomial $x^{11} + ax^2 + b$ when $p = 3$. But in this case Uchida [Uch70, Example 4] proved that $G = M_{11}$.

- (5) $n = 23$ and $G = M_{23}$. Similarly to the previous case, for all but one possible pair (p, m) we can find a trinomial over a finite field with factorization pattern that is impossible for $G = M_{23}$. The results are summarized in Table 2 of Appendix B. The only case not covered in Table 2 is that of the polynomial $x^{23} + ax^3 + b$ for $p = 2$. The polynomial $x^{23} + tx^3 + 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ has Galois group M_{23} , as proved by Abhyankar using the linearization method [Abh93]. Consider the field $L = K(a, b)(b^{1/23})$. Let $\alpha := b^{1/23}$ and $\beta := a\alpha^{-20}$. Then L is isomorphic to the field of rational functions $K(\alpha, \beta)$. Over L the equation $x^{23} + ax^3 + b = 0$ can be simplified. Let $x = \alpha y$, then the equation becomes $y^{24} + \beta y^3 + 1 = 0$. Since $K(\alpha, \beta)/K(\alpha)$ is a purely transcendental extension, the Galois group of $y^{23} + \beta y^3 + 1$ over $K(\alpha, \beta)$ is equal to the Galois group of the same equation over

$K(\beta)$, which is equal to M_{23} . Since $L/K(a, b)$ is a cyclic extension, $G \not\subset A_{23}$, thus $G = M_{23}$. □

Remark 5.5. Theorem 5.4 does not distinguish between the cases $G = A_n$ and $G = S_n$, but it is possible to do so. When p is odd this is discussed in Remark 4.6. If $p = 2$ and n is even, then $G \subset A_n$ by [AOS94, Proposition 2.24]. If $p = 2$ and n is odd, then $G \subset A_n$ if and only if $m = 2$ by [AOS94, Proposition 2.23].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my advisor Bjorn Poonen for careful reading of the paper and insightful conversations. I am grateful to Gareth Jones and an anonymous referee for pointing out an omission in the list of triply transitive groups in an earlier version of the paper. I thank Dmitri Kubrak for many helpful suggestions.

APPENDIX A. CYCLE TYPES OF MATHIEU GROUPS

The following table describes cycle types of Mathieu groups in their standard multiply transitive actions; see [WWT⁺].

Group	Number of points	Cycle types
M_{11}	11	$2^4, 3^3, 4^2, 5^2, (2, 3, 6), (2, 8), 11$
M_{11}	12	$2^4, 3^3, (2^2, 4^2), 5^2, (2, 3, 6), (4, 8), 11$
M_{12}	12	$2^6, 2^4, 3^3, 3^4, (2^2, 4^2), 4^2, 5^2, 6^2, (2, 3, 6), (4, 8), (2, 8), (2, 10), 11$
M_{22}	22	$2^8, 3^6, (2^2, 4^4), 5^4, (2^2, 3^2, 6^2), 7^3, (2, 4, 8^2), 11^2$
$\text{Aut}(M_{22})$	22	$2^7, 2^8, 2^{11}, (2, 4^4), (2^3, 4^4), 3^6, (2^2, 4^4), 5^4, (2, 3^2, 6^2), (2^2, 3^2, 6^2), 7^3, (2, 4, 8^2), (4, 8^2), (2, 10^2), 11^2, (4, 6, 12), (7, 14)$
M_{23}	23	$2^8, 3^6, (2^2, 4^4), 5^4, (2^2, 3^2, 6^2), 7^3, (2, 4, 8^2), 11^2, (2, 7, 14), (3, 5, 15), 23$
M_{24}	24	$2^8, 2^{12}, 3^6, 3^8, (2^4, 4^4), (2^2, 4^4), 4^6, 5^4, (2^2, 3^2, 6^2), 6^4, 7^3, (2, 4, 8^2), 2^2, 10^2, 11^2, (2, 4, 6, 12), 12^2, (2, 7, 14), (3, 5, 15), (2, 21), 23$

TABLE 1. Cycle types of Mathieu groups

APPENDIX B. FACTORS OF TRINOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS

Polynomial	Field	Degrees of irreducible factors
$x^{11} + x + 1$	\mathbb{F}_2	2×9
$x^{11} - x - 1$	\mathbb{F}_5	$1 \times 3 \times 7$
$x^{11} + x^3 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_2	5×6
$x^{11} + x^4 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_7	$1 \times 1 \times 2 \times 7$
$x^{11} + x^5 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_3	$1 \times 3 \times 7$
$x^{11} + x^5 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_2	3×8
$x^{23} + x + 1$	\mathbb{F}_2	$2 \times 8 \times 13$
$x^{23} + x + 1$	\mathbb{F}_{11}	$1 \times 2 \times 5 \times 15$
$x^{23} + x^2 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_3	$1 \times 2 \times 20$
$x^{23} + x^2 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_7	7×16
$x^{23} + x^3 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_5	1×22
$x^{23} + x^4 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_{19}	$1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 4 \times 7 \times 9$
$x^{23} + x^5 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_3	$1 \times 2 \times 5 \times 7 \times 8$
$x^{23} + cx^5 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_4	$1 \times 9 \times 13$
$x^{23} + x^6 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_{17}	$2 \times 3 \times 9 \times 9$
$x^{23} + x^7 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_2	$2 \times 10 \times 11$
$x^{23} + x^8 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_3	$1 \times 3 \times 19$
$x^{23} + x^8 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_5	$1 \times 4 \times 5 \times 13$
$x^{23} + x^9 + c$	\mathbb{F}_4	$1 \times 2 \times 20$
$x^{23} + x^9 + 1$	\mathbb{F}_7	4×19
$x^{23} + x^{10} + 1$	\mathbb{F}_{13}	$1 \times 1 \times 4 \times 6 \times 11$
$x^{23} + x^{11} + 1$	\mathbb{F}_2	$5 \times 6 \times 12$
$x^{23} + x^{11} + 1$	\mathbb{F}_3	$1 \times 3 \times 19$

TABLE 2. Factorization of trinomials; $c^2 = c + 1$

REFERENCES

- [Abh92] Shreeram Shankar Abhyankar, *Galois theory on the line in nonzero characteristic*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1992), no. 1, 68 – 133. MR1118002 (94a:12004) ↑1.5
- [ASP92] Shreeram Shankar Abhyankar, Wolfgang K. Seiler, and Herbert Popp, *Mathieu group coverings of the affine line*, Duke Math. J. **68** (1992), no. 2, 301 – 311. MR1191563 (93j:14018) ↑1.5
- [Abh93a] Shreeram Shankar Abhyankar, *Mathieu group coverings in characteristic two*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **316** (1993), no. 3, 267–271. MR1205196 (94g:14013) ↑1.5, (4), (5)
- [Abh93b] ———, *Alternating group coverings of the affine line for characteristic greater than two*, Math. Ann. **296** (1993), no. 1, 63–68. MR1213371 (94e:14020) ↑1.5
- [Abh94] ———, *Nice equations for nice groups*, Israel J. Math. **88** (1994), no. 1-3, 1 – 23. MR1303488 (96f:12003) ↑1.5
- [AOS94] Shreeram Shankar Abhyankar, Jun Oun, and Avinash Sathaye, *Alternating group coverings of the affine line for characteristic two*, Discrete Math. **133** (1994), no. 1-3, 25 – 46. MR1298962 (95h:14018) ↑5.5
- [WWT⁺] Robert Wilson, Peter Walsh, Jonathan Tripp, Ibrahim Suleiman, Richard Parker, Simon Norton, Simon Nickerson, Steve Linton, John Bray, and Abbott Abbott, *ATLAS of finite group representations – version 3*. available at <http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3/>. ↑Case (c):, A
- [BC00] Edoardo Ballico and Antonio Cossidente, *Curves of the projective 3-space, tangent developables and partial spreads*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. **7** (2000), 387–394. MR1788144 (2001j:51022) ↑4.4
- [Cam99] Peter J. Cameron, *Permutation groups*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 45, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. MR1721031 (2001c:20008) ↑4
- [Coh80] Stephen Cohen, *The Galois group of a polynomial with two indeterminate coefficients*, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), no. 1, 63–76. Correction in *Pacific J. Math.* **97** (1981), no. 2, 483–486. MR0599320 (83j:12020a) ↑1.5, 5.1
- [Con99] J.H. Conway, *Three lectures on exceptional groups*, Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups, Springer, New York, 1999, pp. 267–298. ↑(3)
- [CMT10] John Conway, John McKay, and Allan Trojan, *Galois groups over function fields of positive characteristic*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society (2010), 1205–1212. ↑1.5, (4)
- [DM96] John D. Dixon and Brian Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, New York – Heidelberg – Berlin, 1996. MR1409812 (98m:20003) ↑2
- [EH82] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris, *Curves in projective space*, Les presses de l’Université de Montréal., 1982. notes du cours de Monsieur Joe Harris á la dix-neuvieme session du Seminaire de Mathematiques superieures. ↑1
- [Ent18] Alexei Entin, *Monodromy of Hyperplane Sections of Curves and Decomposition Statistics over Finite Fields*, August 20, 2018. Preprint, [arXiv:1805.05454v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.05454v2). ↑1
- [Har80] Joe Harris, *The genus of space curves*, Math. Annalen **249** (1980), no. 3, 191–204. MR0579101 (81i:14022) ↑1
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR0463157 (57 #3116) ↑2
- [Hef89] Abramo Hefez, *Non-reflexive curves*, Compositio Math **69** (1989), no. 1, 3–35. ↑3
- [Jon14] Gareth A. Jones, *Primitive permutation groups containing a cycle*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (2014), no. 1, 159–165. MR3163014 ↑3
- [Jou83] Jean-Pierre Jouanolou, *Théorèmes de Bertini et applications*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 42, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1983 (French). MR725671 (86b:13007) ↑2
- [Kaj89] Hajime Kaji, *On the Gauss maps of space curves in characteristic p* , Compositio Math. **70** (1989), no. 2, 177–197. MR0996326 (90e:14032) ↑3, 4
- [Kat73] Nicholas M. Katz, *Pinceaux de Lefschetz: théorème d’existence*, Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 II); Dirigé par P. Deligne et N. Katz, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 340, Exposé XVII, 1973, pp. 212–253. ↑(1)

- [Kob84] Neal Koblitz, *p-adic numbers, p-adic analysis, and zeta-functions*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 58, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. MR754003 (86c:11086) ↑3
- [Rat87] Jürgen Rathmann, *The uniform position principle for curves in characteristic p*, Mathematische Annalen **276** (1987), no. 4, 565–579. MR0879536 (89g:14026) ↑1, 1.3, 2, 2.10, Case (b);, 4.6, (1)
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. MR554237 (82e:12016) ↑3
- [Smi77] John Howard Smith, *General trinomials having symmetric Galois group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1977), no. 2, 208–212. MR0437508 (55 #10433) ↑1.5
- [Smi84] ———, *Sur le groupe de Galois d'un polynôme dont les coefficients sont indépendants*, Sem. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux (1984), 1-11. MR0848379 (87i:12010) ↑1.5
- [Uch70] Kôji Uchida, *Galois group of an equation $x^n - ax + b = 0$* , Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **22** (1970), no. 4, 670-678. MR0277505 (43 # 3238) ↑1.5, 5, (3), (4)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307, USA

Email address: bkadets@mit.edu

URL: <http://math.mit.edu/~bkadets/>