

STABILITY OF THE COSINE-SINE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION ON AMENABLE GROUPS

AJEBBAR OMAR AND ELQORACHI ELQORACHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish the stability of the functional equation

$$f(xy) = f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y) + h(x)h(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

where G is an amenable group.

1. INTRODUCTION

The stability problem of functional equations go back to 1940 when Ulam [14] proposed a question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Hyers [6] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers's Theorem was generalized by Aoki [3] for additive mappings and by Rassias [10] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. The stability problem of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors. An account on the further progress and developments in this field can be found in [5, 7, 8].

In this paper we investigate the stability of the trigonometric functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(xy) = f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y) + h(x)h(y), \quad x, y \in G$$

on amenable groups.

The continuous solutions of the trigonometric functional equations

$$(1.2) \quad f(xy) = f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in G$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad f(xy) = f(x)f(y) - g(x)g(y), \quad x, y \in G$$

are obtained by Poulsen and Stetkær [9], where G is a topological group that need not be abelian. Regular solutions of (1.2) and (1.3) were described by Aczél [1] on abelian groups. Chung et al. [4] solved the functional equation (1.1) on groups. Recently, Ajebbar and Elqorachi [2] obtained the solutions of the functional equation (1.1) on a semigroup generated by its squares. The stability properties of the functional equations (1.2) and (1.3) have been obtained by Székelyhidi [13] on amenable groups.

The aim of the present paper is to extend the Székelyhidi's results [13] to the functional equation (1.1).

Key words and phrases. Hyers-Ulam stability; Semigroup; Amenable group; Cosine equation; Sine equation; Additive function; Multiplicative function.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 39B82; Secondary 39B32.

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper G denotes a semigroup (a set with an associative composition) or a group. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(G)$ the linear space of all bounded complex-valued functions on G . We call $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ additive provided that $a(xy) = a(x) + a(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and call $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ multiplicative provided that $m(xy) = m(x)m(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Let \mathcal{V} be a linear space of complex-valued functions on G . We say that the functions $f_1, \dots, f_n : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} if $\lambda_1 f_1 + \dots + \lambda_n f_n \in \mathcal{V}$ implies that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$ for any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that the linear space \mathcal{V} is two-sided invariant if $f \in \mathcal{V}$ implies that the functions $x \mapsto f(xy)$ and $x \mapsto f(yx)$ belong to \mathcal{V} for any $y \in G$.

Notice that the linear space $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is two-sided invariant.

3. BASIC RESULTS

Throughout this section G denotes a semigroup and \mathcal{V} a two-sided invariant linear space of complex-valued functions on G .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f, g, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions. Suppose that f, g and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} . If the function*

$$x \mapsto f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - h(x)h(y)$$

belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$, then there exist two functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \psi(x, y) = \varphi_1(x)f(y) + \varphi_2(x)h(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where

$$(3.2) \quad \psi(x, y) := f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - h(x)h(y)$$

for $x, y \in G$.

Proof. We use a similar computation as the one of the proof of [13, Lemma 2.1]. Since the functions f, g and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} so are f and h , then f and h are linearly independent. Then there exist $y_0, z_0 \in G$ such that $f(y_0)h(z_0) - f(z_0)h(y_0) \neq 0$, which implies that $f(y_0)h(z_0) \neq 0$ or $f(z_0)h(y_0) \neq 0$. We can finally assume that $f(y_0) \neq 0$ and $h(z_0) \neq 0$. By applying (3.2) to the pair (x, y_0) we derive

$$(3.3) \quad g(x) = \alpha_0 f(x) + \alpha_1 h(x) + \alpha_2 f(xy_0) - \alpha_2 \psi(x, y_0)$$

for all $x \in G$, where $\alpha_0 := -f(y_0)^{-1}g(y_0) \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_1 := -f(y_0)^{-1}h(y_0) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha_2 := f(y_0)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants. Similarly, by applying (3.2) to pair (x, z_0) , we get that

$$(3.4) \quad h(x) = \beta_0 f(x) + \beta_1 g(x) + \beta_2 f(xz_0) - \beta_2 \psi(x, z_0)$$

for all $x \in G$, where $\beta_0 := -h(z_0)^{-1}g(z_0) \in \mathbb{C}$, $\beta_1 := -h(z_0)^{-1}f(z_0) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\beta_2 := h(z_0)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants.

Let $x \in G$ be arbitrary. Substituting (3.4) in (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \alpha_0 f(x) + \alpha_1 [\beta_0 f(x) + \beta_1 g(x) + \beta_2 f(xz_0) - \beta_2 \psi(x, z_0)] \\ &\quad + \alpha_2 f(xy_0) - \alpha_2 \psi(x, y_0) \\ &= (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \beta_0) f(x) + \alpha_1 \beta_1 g(x) + \alpha_1 \beta_2 f(xz_0) - \alpha_1 \beta_2 \psi(x, z_0) \\ &\quad + \alpha_2 f(xy_0) - \alpha_2 \psi(x, y_0). \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$(3.5) \quad (1 - \alpha_1\beta_1)g(x) = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1\beta_0)f(x) + \alpha_1\beta_2f(xz_0) - \alpha_1\beta_2\psi(x, z_0) \\ + \alpha_2f(xy_0) - \alpha_2\psi(x, y_0).$$

Since $f(y_0)h(z_0) - f(z_0)h(y_0) \neq 0$ and $f(y_0)h(z_0) \neq 0$ we get that $\alpha_1\beta_1 \neq 1$. So, x being arbitrary, we derive from (3.5) that there exist $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad g(x) = \gamma_0f(x) + \gamma_1f(xy_0) + \gamma_2f(xz_0) - \gamma_1\psi(x, y_0) - \gamma_2\psi(x, z_0)$$

for all $x \in G$. Similarly we prove that there exist $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad h(x) = \delta_0f(x) + \delta_1f(xy_0) + \delta_2f(xz_0) - \delta_1\psi(x, y_0) - \delta_2\psi(x, z_0)$$

for all $x \in G$. Let $x, y, z \in G$ be arbitrary. In the following we compute $f(xyz)$ first as $f((xy)z)$ and then as $f(x(yz))$. By applying (3.2) to the pair (xy, z) , and taking (3.6) and (3.7) into account, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f((xy)z) &= f(xy)g(z) + g(xy)f(z) + h(xy)h(z) + \psi(xy, z) \\ &= [f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y) + h(x)h(y) + \psi(x, y)]g(z) \\ &\quad + [\gamma_0f(xy) + \gamma_1f(xy_0) + \gamma_2f(xyz_0) - \gamma_1\psi(xy, y_0) - \gamma_2\psi(xy, z_0)]f(z) \\ &\quad + [\delta_0f(xy) + \delta_1f(xy_0) + \delta_2f(xyz_0) - \delta_1\psi(xy, y_0) - \delta_2\psi(xy, z_0)]h(z) \\ &\quad + \psi(xy, z) \\ &= [f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y) + h(x)h(y) + \psi(x, y)]g(z) \\ &\quad + \gamma_0[f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y) + h(x)h(y) + \psi(x, y)]f(z) \\ &\quad + \gamma_1[f(x)g(y_0) + g(x)f(y_0) + h(x)h(y_0) + \psi(x, y_0)]f(z) \\ &\quad + \gamma_2[f(x)g(yz_0) + g(x)f(yz_0) + h(x)h(yz_0) + \psi(x, yz_0)]f(z) \\ &\quad + \delta_0[f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y) + h(x)h(y) + \psi(x, y)]h(z) \\ &\quad + \delta_1[f(x)g(y_0) + g(x)f(y_0) + h(x)h(y_0) + \psi(x, y_0)]h(z) \\ &\quad + \delta_2[f(x)g(yz_0) + g(x)f(yz_0) + h(x)h(yz_0) + \psi(x, yz_0)]h(z) \\ &\quad - [\gamma_1\psi(xy, y_0) + \gamma_2\psi(xy, z_0)]f(z) - [\delta_1\psi(xy, y_0) + \delta_2\psi(xy, z_0)]h(z) \\ &\quad + \psi(xy, z). \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f((xy)z) &= f(x)[g(y)g(z) + \gamma_0g(y)f(z) + \gamma_1g(y_0)f(z) + \gamma_2g(yz_0)f(z) \\ &\quad + \delta_0g(y)h(z) + \delta_1g(y_0)h(z) + \delta_2g(yz_0)h(z)] \\ &\quad + g(x)[f(y)g(z) + \gamma_0f(y)f(z) + \gamma_1f(y_0)f(z) + \gamma_2f(yz_0)f(z) \\ &\quad + \delta_0f(y)h(z) + \delta_1f(y_0)h(z) + \delta_2f(yz_0)h(z)] \\ &\quad + h(x)[h(y)g(z) + \gamma_0h(y)f(z) + \gamma_1h(y_0)f(z) + \gamma_2h(yz_0)f(z) \\ &\quad + \delta_0h(y)h(z) + \delta_1h(y_0)h(z) + \delta_2h(yz_0)h(z)] \\ &\quad + [\gamma_0\psi(x, y) + \gamma_1\psi(x, y_0) + \gamma_2\psi(x, yz_0) - \gamma_1\psi(xy, y_0) \\ &\quad - \gamma_2\psi(xy, z_0)]f(z) + \psi(x, y)g(z) + [\delta_0\psi(x, y) + \delta_1\psi(x, y_0) \\ &\quad + \delta_2\psi(x, yz_0) - \delta_1\psi(xy, y_0) - \delta_2\psi(xy, z_0)]h(z) + \psi(xy, z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by applying (3.2) to the pair (x, yz) we get that

$$(3.9) \quad f(x(yz)) = f(x)g(yz) + g(x)f(yz) + h(x)h(yz) + \psi(x, yz).$$

Now, let $y, z \in G$ be arbitrary. By assumption the functions

$$x \mapsto \psi(x, y), x \mapsto \psi(x, yy_0), x \mapsto \psi(x, yz_0), x \mapsto \psi(x, yz)$$

belong to \mathcal{V} . Moreover, since the linear space \mathcal{V} is two sided invariant the functions

$$x \mapsto \psi(xy, y_0), x \mapsto \psi(xy, z_0), x \mapsto \psi(xy, z)$$

belong to \mathcal{V} . Hence, by using (3.8), (3.9) and the fact that f, g and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} , we get that

$$(3.10) \quad f(yz) = f(y)g(z) + [\gamma_0 f(y) + \gamma_1 f(yy_0) + \gamma_2 f(yz_0)]f(z) \\ + [\delta_0 f(y) + \delta_1 f(yy_0) + \delta_2 f(yz_0)]h(z).$$

From (3.6), (3.7) and (3.10) we get

$$f(yz) = f(y)g(z) + [g(y) + \gamma_1 \psi(y, y_0) + \gamma_2 \psi(y, z_0)]f(z) \\ + [h(y) + \delta_1 \psi(y, y_0) + \delta_2 \psi(y, z_0)]h(z) \\ = f(y)g(z) + g(y)f(z) + h(y)h(z) + [\gamma_1 \psi(y, y_0) + \gamma_2 \psi(y, z_0)]f(z) \\ + [\delta_1 \psi(y, y_0) + \delta_2 \psi(y, z_0)]h(z).$$

Hence, by using (3.2), we obtain

$$\psi(y, z) = [\gamma_1 \psi(y, y_0) + \gamma_2 \psi(y, z_0)]f(z) + [\delta_1 \psi(y, y_0) + \delta_2 \psi(y, z_0)]h(z).$$

So, y and z being arbitrary, we deduce (3.1) by putting

$$\varphi_1(x) := \gamma_1 \psi(x, y_0) + \gamma_2 \psi(x, z_0)$$

and

$$\varphi_2(x) := \delta_1 \psi(x, y_0) + \delta_2 \psi(x, z_0)$$

for all $x \in G$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f, g, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions. Suppose that f and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} and $g \in \mathcal{V}$. If the function*

$$x \mapsto f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - h(x)h(y)$$

belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$, then g is multiplicative.

Proof. Let $y, z \in G$ be arbitrary. By using the same computation as the one of the proof of Lemma 3.1 we obtain from (3.8) and (3.9), with the same notations, the following identity

$$f(x)g(yz) + g(x)f(yz) + h(x)h(yz) + \psi(x, yz) \\ = f(x)[g(y)g(z) + \gamma_0 g(y)f(z) + \gamma_1 g(yy_0)f(z) + \gamma_2 g(yz_0)f(z) + \delta_0 g(y)h(z) \\ + \delta_1 g(yy_0)h(z) + \delta_2 g(yz_0)h(z)] + g(x)[f(y)g(z) + \gamma_0 f(y)f(z) + \gamma_1 f(yy_0)f(z) \\ + \gamma_2 f(yz_0)f(z) + \delta_0 f(y)h(z) + \delta_1 f(yy_0)h(z) + \delta_2 f(yz_0)h(z)] + h(x)[h(y)g(z) \\ + \gamma_0 h(y)f(z) + \gamma_1 h(yy_0)f(z) + \gamma_2 h(yz_0)f(z) + \delta_0 h(y)h(z) + \delta_1 h(yy_0)h(z) \\ + \delta_2 h(yz_0)h(z)] + [\gamma_0 \psi(x, y) + \gamma_1 \psi(x, yy_0) + \gamma_2 \psi(x, yz_0) - \gamma_1 \psi(xy, y_0) \\ - \gamma_2 \psi(xy, z_0)]f(z) - \psi(x, y)g(z) + [\delta_0 \psi(x, y) + \delta_1 \psi(x, yy_0) + \delta_2 \psi(x, yz_0) \\ - \delta_1 \psi(xy, y_0) - \delta_2 \psi(xy, z_0)]h(z) + \psi(xy, z)$$

for all $x \in G$. So that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & f(x)[g(y)g(z) + \gamma_0 g(y)f(z) + \gamma_1 g(yy_0)f(z) + \gamma_2 g(yz_0)f(z) + \delta_0 g(y)h(z) \\
& + \delta_1 g(yy_0)h(z) + \delta_2 g(yz_0)h(z) - g(yz)] + h(x)[h(y)g(z) + \gamma_0 h(y)f(z) \\
& + \gamma_1 h(yy_0)f(z) + \gamma_2 h(yz_0)f(z) + \delta_0 h(y)h(z) + \delta_1 h(yy_0)h(z) \\
& + \delta_2 h(yz_0)h(z) - h(yz)] \\
& = -g(x)[f(y)g(z) + \gamma_0 f(y)f(z) + \gamma_1 f(yy_0)f(z) + \gamma_2 f(yz_0)f(z) + \delta_0 f(y)h(z) \\
& + \delta_1 f(yy_0)h(z) + \delta_2 f(yz_0)h(z) - f(yz)] - [\gamma_0 \psi(x, y) + \gamma_1 \psi(x, yy_0) \\
& + \gamma_2 \psi(x, yz_0) - \gamma_1 \psi(xy, y_0) - \gamma_2 \psi(xy, z_0)]f(z) \\
& - [\delta_0 \psi(x, y) + \delta_1 \psi(x, yy_0) + \delta_2 \psi(x, yz_0) - \delta_1 \psi(xy, y_0) - \delta_2 \psi(xy, z_0)]h(z) \\
& - \psi(xy, z) + \psi(x, yz)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. Since $g \in \mathcal{V}$, the function $x \mapsto \psi(x, t)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $t \in G$ and \mathcal{V} is a two sided-invariant linear space of complex-valued functions on G , we get that the right hand side of the identity (3.11) belongs to \mathcal{V} as a function in x , so does the left hand side of (3.11). Since f and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} , then we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & g(y)g(z) + \gamma_0 g(y)f(z) + \gamma_1 g(yy_0)f(z) + \gamma_2 g(yz_0)f(z) + \delta_0 g(y)h(z) \\
& + \delta_1 g(yy_0)h(z) + \delta_2 g(yz_0)h(z) - g(yz) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So, y and z being arbitrary, then we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & g(yz) - g(y)g(z) = [\gamma_0 g(y) + \gamma_1 g(yy_0) + \gamma_2 g(yz_0)]f(z) \\
& + [\delta_0 g(y) + \delta_1 g(yy_0) + \delta_2 g(yz_0)]h(z)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $y, z \in G$. Now, let $y \in G$ be arbitrary. Since $g \in \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{V} is a two sided-invariant linear space of complex-valued functions on G , we derive from (3.13) that the function

$$z \mapsto [\gamma_0 g(y) + \gamma_1 g(yy_0) + \gamma_2 g(yz_0)]f(z) + [\delta_0 g(y) + \delta_1 g(yy_0) + \delta_2 g(yz_0)]h(z)$$

belongs to \mathcal{V} . Hence, seeing that f and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} , we get that $\gamma_0 g(y) + \gamma_1 g(yy_0) + \gamma_2 g(yz_0) = 0$ and $\delta_0 g(y) + \delta_1 g(yy_0) + \delta_2 g(yz_0) = 0$. Substituting this back into (3.13) we obtain $g(yz) = g(y)g(z)$ for all $z \in G$. So, y being arbitrary, we deduce that g is multiplicative. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f, g, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions. Suppose that f and h are linearly dependent modulo \mathcal{V} . If the function*

$$x \mapsto f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - h(x)h(y)$$

belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$, then we have one of the following possibilities:

- (1) $f = 0$, g is arbitrary and $h \in \mathcal{V}$;
- (2) $f, g, h \in \mathcal{V}$;
- (3) $g + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}f = m - \lambda\varphi$, $h - \lambda f = \varphi$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant, $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ and $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplicative function such that $m \in \mathcal{V}$;
- (4) $f = \alpha m - \alpha b$, $g = \frac{1-\alpha\lambda^2}{2}m + \frac{1+\alpha\lambda^2}{2}b - \lambda\varphi$, $h = \alpha\lambda m - \alpha\lambda b + \varphi$, where $\alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants, $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplicative function and $b, \varphi \in \mathcal{V}$;

(5) $f = f_0$, $g = g_0 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} f_0 - \lambda \varphi$, $h = \lambda f_0 + \varphi$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant, $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f_0, g_0 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the sine functional equation

$$f_0(xy) = f_0(x)g_0(y) + g_0(x)f_0(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

Proof. Let ψ be the function defined in (3.2). If $f = 0$ then g is arbitrary and the function $x \mapsto h(x)h(y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all y in G . Hence $h \in \mathcal{V}$. The result occurs in (1) of Lemma 3.3. In what follows we assume that $f \neq 0$. We have the following cases

Case 1: $h \in \mathcal{V}$. Then the function $x \mapsto h(x)h(y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all y in G . So that the function $x \mapsto f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all y in G . So, according to [13, Lemma 2.2] and taking into account that $f \neq 0$, we get that one of the following possibilities holds

- (i) $f, g, h \in \mathcal{V}$ which occurs in (2) of Lemma 3.3.
- (ii) $g = m$ and $h = \varphi$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ and $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplicative function such that $m \in \mathcal{V}$. This is the result (3) of Lemma 3.3 for $\lambda = 0$.
- (iii) $f = \alpha m - \alpha b$, $g = \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{2}b$, $h = \varphi$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant, $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplicative function and $b, \varphi \in \mathcal{V}$. This is the result (4) of Lemma 3.3 for $\lambda = 0$.
- (iv) $f(xy) = f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $h = \varphi$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$, which is the result (5) of Lemma 3.3 for $\lambda = 0$.

Case 2: $h \notin \mathcal{V}$. If $f \in \mathcal{V}$ then the function $x \mapsto f(xy)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$, because the linear space \mathcal{V} is two-sided invariant. As the function $x \mapsto \psi(x, y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$ we get that the function $x \mapsto g(x)f(y) + h(x)h(y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$. Since $h \notin \mathcal{V}$ we have $h \neq 0$. We derive that there exist a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and a function $k \in \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad h = \alpha g + k,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) &= f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - (\alpha g(x) + k(x))(\alpha g(y) + k(y)) \\ &= f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - \alpha^2 g(x)g(y) - \alpha g(x)k(y) - \alpha k(x)g(y) \\ &\quad - k(x)k(y) \\ &= f(xy) - k(x)k(y) - g(x)[f(y) + \alpha^2 g(y) + \alpha k(y)] - g(y)[f(x) + \alpha k(x)] \\ &= f(xy) - k(x)k(y) - g(x)[f(y) + \alpha h(y)] - g(y)[f(x) + \alpha k(x)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Since the functions $x \mapsto f(xy)$, $x \mapsto k(x)k(y)$, $x \mapsto g(y)[f(x) + \alpha k(x)]$ and $x \mapsto \psi(x, y)$ belong to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$, we derive from the identity above that the function $x \mapsto g(x)[f(y) + \alpha h(y)]$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$, which implies that that $g \in \mathcal{V}$ or $f(y) + \alpha h(y) = 0$ for all $y \in G$. Hence, since $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we get that $g \in \mathcal{V}$ or $h = -\frac{1}{\alpha} f$. So, taking (3.14) into account, we get that $h \in \mathcal{V}$; which contradicts the assumption on h , hence $f \notin \mathcal{V}$. As f and h are linearly dependent modulo \mathcal{V} we infer that there exist a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$(3.15) \quad h = \lambda f + \varphi.$$

So we get from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(x, y) &= f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - (\lambda f(x) + \varphi(x))(\lambda f(y) + \varphi(y)) \\
&= f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - \lambda^2 f(x)f(y) - \lambda f(x)\varphi(y) - \lambda \varphi(x)f(y) \\
&\quad - \varphi(x)\varphi(y) \\
&= f(xy) - \varphi(x)\varphi(y) - f(x)[g(y) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} f(y) + \lambda \varphi(y)] \\
&\quad - [g(x) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} f(x) + \lambda \varphi(x)]f(y),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$, which implies that that

$$(3.16) \quad \psi(x, y) + \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = f(xy) - f(x)\phi(y) - \phi(x)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where

$$(3.17) \quad \phi := g + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} f + \lambda \varphi.$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ and the function $x \mapsto \psi(x, y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$ we get from (3.16) that the function

$$x \mapsto f(xy) - f(x)\phi(y) - \phi(x)f(y)$$

belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$. Moreover \mathcal{V} is a two-sided invariant linear space of complex-valued function. Hence, according to [13, Lemma 2.2] and taking into account that $f, h \notin \mathcal{V}$, we have one of the following possibilities:

(i) $\phi = m$ where $m \in \mathcal{V}$ is multiplicative. Then we get, from (3.17) and (3.15), that $g + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} f = m - \lambda \varphi$ and $h - \lambda f = \varphi$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$. The result occurs in (3) of Lemma 3.3.

(ii) $f = \alpha m - \alpha b$, $\phi = \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{2}b$, where $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is multiplicative, $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is in \mathcal{V} and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant. Taking (3.17) and (3.15) into account, we obtain respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{2}b - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(\alpha m - \alpha b) - \lambda \varphi \\
&= \frac{1 - \alpha \lambda^2}{2}m + \frac{1 + \alpha \lambda^2}{2}b - \lambda \varphi
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$h = \alpha \lambda m - \alpha \lambda b + \varphi.$$

So the result (4) of Lemma 3.3 holds.

(iii) $f(xy) = f(x)\phi(y) + \phi(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. The result (5) of Lemma 3.3 holds easily by using the identities (3.15) and (3.17). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f, g, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions. Suppose that f and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} . If the functions*

$$x \mapsto f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - h(x)h(y)$$

and

$$x \mapsto f(xy) - f(yx)$$

belong to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$, then we have one of the following possibilities:

(1) $f = -\lambda^2 f_0 + \lambda^2 \varphi$, $g = \frac{1+\rho^2}{2} f_0 + \rho g_0 + \frac{1-\rho^2}{2} \varphi$, $h = \lambda \rho f_0 + \lambda g_0 - \lambda \rho \varphi$, where

$\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \rho \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants, $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f_0, g_0 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the cosine functional equation

$$f_0(xy) = f_0(x)f_0(y) - g_0(x)g_0(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$;

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} f(xy) - \lambda^2 M(xy) &= (f(x) - \lambda^2 M(x))m(y) + m(x)(f(y) - \lambda^2 M(y)) \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 m(xy) + \psi(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$,

$$g = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + \beta h + m$$

and

$$\beta f + h = \lambda M - \lambda m,$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ are constants, $m, M : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are multiplicative functions such that $m \in \mathcal{V}, M \notin \mathcal{V}$ and ψ is the function defined in (3.2);

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} f(xy) &= f(x)m(y) + m(x)f(y) + H(x)H(y) + \psi(x, y), \\ g &= \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + \beta h + m \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H(xy) - m(x)H(y) - H(x)m(y) &= \eta_1 \psi(x, y) + \eta_2 m(x)L_1(y) + \eta_3 m(x)L_2(y) \\ &\quad + \eta_4 \psi(x, l_1(y)) + \eta_5 \psi(x, l_2(y)) + \eta_6 L_1(xy) + \eta_7 L_2(xy) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where $\beta, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_7 \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants, $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplicative function in $\mathcal{V}, L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{V}, l_1, l_2 : G \rightarrow G$ are mappings, $H = \beta f + h$ and ψ is the function defined in (3.2);

(4) $f(xy) = f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y) + h(x)h(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Proof. We split the discussion into the cases f, g, h are linearly dependent modulo \mathcal{V} and f, g, h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} .

Case A: f, g, h are linearly dependent modulo \mathcal{V} . Since f and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} we get that there exist a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ and two constants $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(3.18) \quad g = \alpha f + \beta h + \varphi.$$

By substituting (3.18) in (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) &= f(xy) - f(x)[\alpha f(y) + \beta h(y) + \varphi(y)] - [\alpha f(x) + \beta h(x) + \varphi(x)]f(y) \\ &\quad - h(x)h(y) \\ &= f(xy) - 2\alpha f(x)f(y) - f(x)\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)f(y) - \beta f(x)h(y) - \beta h(x)f(y) \\ &\quad - h(x)h(y), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$, which implies that

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) &= f(xy) - (2\alpha - \beta^2)f(x)f(y) - f(x)\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)f(y) \\ &\quad - [\beta f(x) + h(x)][\beta f(y) + h(y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$. We have the following subcases

Subcase A.1: $2\alpha \neq \beta^2$. Let $x, y \in G$ be arbitrary and let $\delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(3.20) \quad \delta^2 = -(2\alpha - \beta^2).$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.19) by $-\delta^2$ and then adding $\varphi(xy) - \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ to both sides of the identity obtained we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & -\delta^2 \psi(x, y) + \varphi(xy) - \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = -\delta^2 f(xy) + \varphi(xy) - [\delta^4 f(x)f(y) \\ & - \delta^2 f(x)\varphi(y) - \delta^2 \varphi(x)f(y) + \varphi(x)\varphi(y)] + \delta^2 [\beta f(x) + h(x)][\beta f(y) + h(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

So, x and y being arbitrary, we get from the identity above that

$$(3.21) \quad -\delta^2 \psi(x, y) + \varphi(xy) - \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = f_0(xy) - f_0(x)f_0(y) + g_0(x)g_0(y),$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where

$$(3.22) \quad f_0 := -\delta^2 f + \varphi$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad g_0 := \delta(\beta f + h).$$

Notice that f_0 and g_0 are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} because f and h are. Now, let y be arbitrary. As $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ the function $x \mapsto \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} , and since the linear space \mathcal{V} is two-sided invariant, we get that the function $x \mapsto \varphi(xy)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} . Moreover, by assumption the function $x \mapsto \psi(x, y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} . Hence the left hand side of the identity (3.21) belongs to \mathcal{V} as a function in x . So that the function

$$x \mapsto f_0(xy) - f_0(x)f_0(y) + g_0(x)g_0(y)$$

belongs to \mathcal{V} . On the other hand, by using (3.22), we have

$$f_0(xy) - f_0(yx) = -\delta^2 (f(xy) - f(yx)) + \varphi(xy) - \varphi(yx)$$

for all $x \in G$. So, y being arbitrary, the function $x \mapsto f_0(xy) - f_0(yx)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$ because the functions $x \mapsto f(xy) - f(yx)$ and $x \mapsto \varphi(xy) - \varphi(yx)$ do. Moreover f_0 and g_0 are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} . Hence we get, according to [13, Lemma 3.1], that

$$f_0(xy) = f_0(x)f_0(y) - g_0(x)g_0(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. By putting $\lambda = \frac{1}{\delta}$ we get, from (3.22), that

$$(3.24) \quad f = -\lambda^2 f_0 + \lambda^2 \varphi.$$

By putting $\rho = \beta\lambda$ we get, from (3.23), that $h = \lambda g_0 - \beta(-\lambda^2 f_0 + \lambda^2 \varphi)$, which implies that

$$(3.25) \quad h = \lambda\rho f_0 + \lambda g_0 - \lambda\rho \varphi.$$

So, we derive from (3.18), (3.24) and (3.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \alpha(-\lambda^2 f_0 + \lambda^2 \varphi) + \beta(\lambda\rho f_0 + \lambda g_0 - \lambda\rho \varphi) + \varphi \\ &= (-\alpha\lambda^2 + \beta\lambda\rho) f_0 + \beta\lambda g_0 + (\alpha\lambda^2 - \beta\lambda\rho + 1) \varphi \\ &= (-\alpha\lambda^2 + \rho^2) f_0 + \rho g_0 + (\alpha\lambda^2 - \rho^2 + 1) \varphi \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.20) we find, by elementary computations, that $\alpha\lambda^2 = \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 - \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, from the identity above, we get that

$$g = \frac{1+\rho^2}{2} f_0 + \rho g_0 + \frac{1-\rho^2}{2} \varphi.$$

The result obtained in this case occurs in (1) of Lemma 3.4.

Subcase A.2: $2\alpha = \beta^2$. In this case the identity (3.19) becomes

$$(3.26) \quad \psi(x, y) = f(xy) - f(x)\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)f(y) - H(x)H(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where

$$(3.27) \quad H := \beta f + h.$$

Since f and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} so are f and H . Moreover $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$. Hence, according to Lemma 3.2, there exists a multiplicative function $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in \mathcal{V} such that $\varphi = m$. So the identities (3.18) and (3.26) become respectively

$$(3.28) \quad g = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + \beta h + m.$$

and

$$(3.29) \quad \psi(x, y) = f(xy) - f(x)m(y) - m(x)f(y) - H(x)H(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. We use similar computations to the ones in the proof of [4, Theorem]. Let $x, y, z \in G$ be arbitrary. First we compute $f(xyz)$ as $f(x(yz))$ and then as $f((xy)z)$. From (3.29) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x(yz)) &= f(x)m(yz) + m(x)f(yz) + H(x)H(yz) + \psi(x, yz) \\ &= f(x)m(yz) + m(x)[f(y)m(z) + m(y)f(z) + H(y)H(z) + \psi(y, z)] \\ &\quad + H(x)H(yz) + \psi(x, yz), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x(yz)) &= f(x)m(yz) + m(xz)f(y) + m(xy)f(z) + m(x)H(y)H(z) \\ &\quad + m(x)\psi(y, z) + H(x)H(yz) + \psi(x, yz). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} f((xy)z) &= f(xy)m(z) + m(xy)f(z) + H(xy)H(z) + \psi(xy, z) \\ &= [f(x)m(y) + m(x)f(y) + H(x)H(y) + \psi(x, y)]m(z) + m(xy)f(z) \\ &\quad + H(xy)H(z) + \psi(xy, z), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} f((xy)z) &= f(x)m(yz) + m(xz)f(y) + m(xy)f(z) + H(x)H(y)m(z) \\ &\quad + m(z)\psi(x, y) + H(xy)H(z) + \psi(xy, z). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.30) and (3.31) we get that

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} &H(x)[H(yz) - H(y)m(z) - m(y)H(z)] - H(z)[H(xy) - m(x)H(y) - H(x)m(y)] \\ &= m(z)\psi(x, y) - m(x)\psi(y, z) + \psi(xy, z) - \psi(x, yz), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Since f and H are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} they are, in particular, linearly independent. So, there exist $z_1, z_2 \in G$ such that

$$(3.33) \quad f(z_1)H(z_2) - f(z_2)H(z_1) \neq 0.$$

Let $x, y \in G$ be arbitrary. By putting $z = z_1$ and then $z = z_2$ in (3.32) we get respectively

$$(3.34) \quad H(x)k_i(y) - H(z_i)[H(xy) - H(x)m(y) - m(x)H(y)] = \psi_i(x, y)$$

where

$$k_i(y) := H(yz_i) - H(y)m(z_i) - m(y)H(z_i)$$

and

$$(3.35) \quad \psi_i(x, y) := m(z_i)\psi(x, y) - m(x)\psi(y, z_i) - \psi(x, yz_i) + \psi(xy, z_i)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Multiplying both sides of (3.34) by $f(z_2)$ for $i = 1$ and by $f(z_1)$ for $i = 2$, and subtracting the identities obtained we get that

$$(3.36) \quad H(x)k_3(y) + [f(z_1)H(z_2) - f(z_2)H(z_1)][H(xy) - H(x)m(y) - m(x)H(y)] = \psi_3(x, y),$$

where

$$k_3(y) := f(z_2)k_1(y) - f(z_1)k_2(y)$$

and

$$(3.37) \quad \psi_3(x, y) := f(z_2)\psi_1(x, y) - f(z_1)\psi_2(x, y).$$

So, x and y being arbitrary, we get, taking (3.33) and (3.36) into account, that

$$(3.38) \quad H(xy) - H(x)m(y) - m(x)H(y) = H(x)k(y) + \Phi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where

$$k(x) := -[f(z_1)H(z_2) - f(z_2)H(z_1)]^{-1}k_3(x)$$

and

$$(3.39) \quad \Phi(x, y) := [f(z_1)H(z_2) - f(z_2)H(z_1)]^{-1}\psi_3(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Substituting (3.38) into (3.32) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & H(x)[H(y)k(z) + \Phi(y, z)] - H(z)[H(x)k(y) + \Phi(x, y)] \\ &= m(z)\psi(x, y) - m(x)\psi(y, z) + \psi(xy, z) - \psi(x, yz), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{aligned} H(x)[H(y)k(z) - H(z)k(y) + \Phi(y, z)] &= H(z)\Phi(x, y) + m(z)\psi(x, y) \\ &\quad - m(x)\psi(y, z) + \psi(xy, z) - \psi(x, yz) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Now let $y, z \in G$ be arbitrary. Since \mathcal{V} is a two-sided invariant linear space of complex-valued functions on G , and the functions $x \mapsto m(x)$ and $x \mapsto \psi(x, y)$ belong to \mathcal{V} , we deduce from (3.35), (3.37) and (3.39) that the functions $x \mapsto \Phi(x, y)$ and $x \mapsto \psi_i(x, y)$ belong to \mathcal{V} for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence the right hand side of (3.40) belongs to \mathcal{V} as a function in x . It follows that the left hand side of (3.40) belongs to \mathcal{V} as a function in x . As f and H are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} , we derive, from (3.40), that $H(y)k(z) - H(z)k(y) + \Phi(y, z) = 0$. So, y and z being arbitrary, we get that

$$(3.41) \quad H(z)k(x) = H(x)k(z) + \Phi(x, z)$$

for all $x, z \in G$.

On the other hand we deduce from (3.33) that $f(z_1)H(z_2) \neq 0$ or $f(z_2)H(z_1) \neq 0$, so we can assume, without loss of generality, that $H(z_1) \neq 0$. Replacing z by z_1 in the identity (3.41) we derive that

$$(3.42) \quad k(x) = \gamma H(x) + \Phi_1(x)$$

for all $x \in G$, where $\gamma := H(z_1)^{-1}k(z_1)$ and

$$(3.43) \quad \Phi_1(x) := H(z_1)^{-1}\Phi(x, z_1)$$

for all $x \in G$. From (3.38) and (3.42) we get that

$$(3.44) \quad H(xy) = H(x)m(y) + m(x)H(y) + \gamma H(x)H(y) + H(x)\Phi_1(y) + \Phi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Since the functions m and $x \mapsto \Phi(x, y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$ we get, from (3.44), that the function

$$(3.45) \quad x \mapsto H(xy) - H(x)[m(y) + \Phi_1(y) + \gamma H(y)]$$

belongs to \mathcal{V} for all $y \in G$. As $H \notin \mathcal{V}$ we get from (3.45), according to [12, Theorem], that there exists a multiplicative function $M : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(3.46) \quad m + \Phi_1 + \gamma H = M.$$

We have the following subcases

Case A.2.1: $\gamma \neq 0$. Putting $\lambda = \frac{1}{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we obtain from (3.46) the identity

$$(3.47) \quad H = \lambda M - \lambda m - \lambda \Phi_1.$$

Let $x, y \in G$ be arbitrary. Since m and M are multiplicative we get from the identity above that $H(xy) - H(yx) = \lambda \Phi_1(yx) - \lambda \Phi_1(xy)$. Taking (3.44) into account we get that $H(x)\Phi_1(y) - H(y)\Phi_1(x) + \Phi(x, y) - \Phi(y, x) = \lambda \Phi_1(yx) - \lambda \Phi_1(xy)$. So, x and y being arbitrary, we obtain

$$(3.48) \quad H(x)\Phi_1(y) = H(y)\Phi_1(x) + \Phi(y, x) - \Phi(x, y) + \lambda \Phi_1(yx) - \lambda \Phi_1(xy)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Now let y be arbitrary. As seen early the functions Φ_1 and $x \mapsto \Phi(x, y) - \Phi(y, x)$ belong to \mathcal{V} . So, \mathcal{V} being a tow-sided invariant linear space of complex-valued functions on G , we get from (3.48) that the function $x \mapsto H(x)\Phi_1(y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} . Taking into account that f and H are linearly independent, we get $\Phi_1(y) = 0$. So, y being arbitrary, we obtain $\Phi_1 = 0$. Hence, using (3.47), we get that

$$(3.49) \quad H = \lambda M - \lambda m.$$

Substituting this back into (3.29) we get, by an elementary computation, that

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{aligned} f(xy) - \lambda^2 M(xy) &= (f(x) - \lambda^2 M(x))m(y) + m(x)(f(y) - \lambda^2 M(y)) \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 m(xy) + \psi(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$. We conclude from (3.27), (3.28), (3.49) and (3.50) that the result (2) of Lemma 3.4 holds.

Case A.2.2: $\gamma = 0$. Let $y \in G$ be arbitrary. The identity (3.42) implies that $k = \Phi_1$. Hence we derive from (3.41) that

$$H(x)\Phi_1(y) = H(y)\Phi_1(x) - \Phi(x, y),$$

for all $x \in G$. Since the function $x \mapsto \Phi(x, y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} we get, taking the identity above and (3.43) into account, that the function $x \mapsto H(x)\Phi_1(y)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} . As f and H are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} we infer that $\Phi_1(y) = 0$. So, y being arbitrary, we get that $\Phi_1 = 0$. Hence the identity (3.44) becomes

$$(3.51) \quad H(xy) = m(x)H(y) + H(x)m(y) + \Phi(x, y).$$

On the other hand, by using (3.35), (3.37) and (3.39) we derive, using the same notations, that there exist $\eta_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $i = 1, \dots, 7$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, y) &= \eta_1 \psi(x, y) + \eta_2 m(x)\psi(y, z_1) + \eta_3 m(x)\psi(y, z_2) + \eta_4 \psi(x, yz_1) + \eta_5 \psi(x, yz_2) \\ &\quad + \eta_6 \psi(xy, z_1) + \eta_7 \psi(xy, z_2) \end{aligned}$$

$x, y \in G$. We get that

$$(3.52) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(x, y) &= \eta_1 \psi(x, y) + \eta_2 m(x)L_1(y) + \eta_3 m(x)L_2(y) + \eta_4 \psi(x, l_1(y)) \\ &\quad + \eta_5 \psi(x, l_2(y)) + \eta_6 L_1(xy) + \eta_7 L_2(xy) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where

$$L_i(x) := \psi(x, z_i)$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and for all $x \in G$, and $l_i : G \rightarrow G$ is defined for $i = 1, 2$ by $l_i(x) = xz_i$ for all $x \in G$. Hence we get from (3.51) and (3.48) the identity

$$(3.53) \quad \begin{aligned} H(xy) - m(x)H(y) - H(x)m(y) &= \eta_1 \psi(x, y) + \eta_2 m(x)L_1(y) + \eta_3 m(x)L_2(y) \\ &+ \eta_4 \psi(x, l_1(y)) + \eta_5 \psi(x, l_2(y)) + \eta_6 L_1(xy) + \eta_7 L_2(xy) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$.

We conclude from (3.27), (3.28), (3.29) and (3.53) that the result (3) of Lemma 3.4 holds.

Case B: f, g and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} . Then, according to Lemma 3.1, there exist two functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ satisfying (3.1), where ψ is the function defined in (3.2). Let $y \in G$ be arbitrary. Since the functions $x \mapsto \psi(x, y)$ and $x \mapsto f(xy) - f(yx)$ belong to \mathcal{V} by assumption, so does the function $x \mapsto \psi(y, x)$. Seeing that $\psi(y, x) = \varphi_1(y)f(x) + \varphi_2(y)h(x)$, and that f and h are linearly independent modulo \mathcal{V} , we get that $\varphi_1(y) = \varphi_2(y) = 0$. So, y being arbitrary, we deduce that $\psi(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in G$. Then the result (4) of Lemma 3.4 holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. \square

4. STABILITY OF EQUATION (1.1) ON AMENABLE GROUPS

Throughout this section G is an amenable group with an identity element that we denote e . We will extend the Székelyhidi's results [13, Theorem 2.3], about the stability of the functional equation (1.2), to the functional equation (1.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f, g, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions. The function*

$$(x, y) \mapsto f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - h(x)h(y)$$

is bounded if and only if one of the following assertions holds:

- (1) $f = 0$, g is arbitrary and $h \in \mathcal{B}(G)$;
- (2) $f, g, h \in \mathcal{B}(G)$;
- (3)

$$\begin{cases} f &= a m + \varphi, \\ g &= (1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} a)m - \lambda b - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \varphi, \\ h &= \lambda a m + b + \lambda \varphi, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant, $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function, $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded multiplicative function and $b, \varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are two bounded functions;

(4)

$$\begin{cases} f &= \alpha m - \alpha b, \\ g &= \frac{1-\alpha\lambda^2}{2} m + \frac{1+\alpha\lambda^2}{2} b - \lambda \varphi, \\ h &= \alpha\lambda m - \alpha\lambda b + \varphi, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are two constants, $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplicative function and $b, \varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are two bounded functions;

(5)

$$\begin{cases} f &= f_0, \\ g &= g_0 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} f_0 - \lambda b, \\ h &= \lambda f_0 + b, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant, $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded function and $f_0, g_0 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are functions satisfying the sine functional equation

$$f_0(xy) = f_0(x)g_0(y) + g_0(x)f_0(y), \quad x, y \in G;$$

(6)

$$\begin{cases} f &= -\lambda^2 f_0 + \lambda^2 b, \\ g &= \frac{1+\rho^2}{2} f_0 + \rho g_0 + \frac{1-\rho^2}{2} b, \\ h &= \lambda \rho f_0 + \lambda g_0 - \lambda \rho b, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ are two constants, $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded function and $f_0, g_0 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are functions satisfying the cosine functional equation

$$f_0(xy) = f_0(x)f_0(y) - g_0(x)g_0(y), \quad x, y \in G;$$

(7)

$$\begin{cases} f &= \lambda^2 M + am + b, \\ g &= \beta\lambda(1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta\lambda)M + (1 - \beta\lambda)m - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 am - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 b, \\ h &= \lambda(1 - \beta\lambda)M - \lambda m - \beta am - \beta b, \end{cases}$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ are two constants, $m, M : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ are two multiplicative functions such that m is bounded, $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function and $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded function;

(8)

$$\begin{cases} f &= \frac{1}{2}a^2 m + \frac{1}{2}a_1 m + b, \\ g &= -\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 a^2 m + \beta am - \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 a_1 m + m - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 b, \\ h &= -\frac{1}{2}\beta a^2 m + am - \frac{1}{2}\beta a_1 m - \beta b, \end{cases}$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant, $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a nonzero bounded multiplicative function, $a, a_1 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are two additive functions such that $a \neq 0$ and $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded function;

(9) $g = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + (1 + \beta a)m + \beta b$ and $h = -\beta f + am + b$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant and $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function, $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a nonzero bounded multiplicative function and $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded function such that the function

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \mapsto & f(xy)m((xy)^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(xy) - (f(x)m(x^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(x)) \\ & - (f(y)m(y^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(y)) - a(x)b(y)m(y^{-1}) - a(y)b(x)m(x^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

is bounded;

(10) $f(xy) = f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y) + h(x)h(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Proof. First we prove the necessity. Applying the Lemma 3.3(1), Lemma 3.3(2), Lemma 3.3(4), Lemma 3.3(5), Lemma 3.4(1) and Lemma 3.4(4) with $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{B}(G)$ we get that either one of the conditions (1), (2), (4), (5), (6), (10) in Theorem 4.1 is satisfied, or we have one of the following cases:

Case A:

$$g + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} f = m - \lambda b$$

and

$$h - \lambda f = b,$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant, $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded function and $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded multiplicative function. From (3.2) and the identities above we obtain, by an elementary computation,

$$(4.1) \quad g = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} f + m - \lambda b,$$

$$(4.2) \quad h = \lambda f + b$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad f(xy) - f(x)m(y) - m(x)f(y) = \psi(x, y) + b(x)b(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. If $m \neq 0$ then, by multiplying both sides of (4.3) by $m((xy)^{-1})$, and using the fact that m is a bounded multiplicative function, and that the functions b and ψ are bounded, we get that the function $(x, y) \mapsto f(xy)m((xy)^{-1}) - f(x)m(x^{-1}) - f(y)m(y^{-1})$ is bounded. Notice that we have the same result if $m = 0$. So, according to Hyers's theorem [11, Theorem 3.1], there exist an additive function $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a function $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ such that $f(x)m(x^{-1}) - a(x) = b_0(x)$ for all $x \in G$. Then, by putting $\varphi = m\varphi_0$, we get that $f = am + \varphi$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(G)$. Substituting this back into (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain, by an elementary computation, that $g = (1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}a)m - \lambda b - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\varphi$ and $h = \lambda am + b + \lambda\varphi$. So the result (3) of Theorem 4.1 holds.

Case B:

$$\begin{aligned} f(xy) - \lambda^2 M(xy) &= (f(x) - \lambda^2 M(x))m(y) + m(x)(f(y) - \lambda^2 M(y)) \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 m(xy) + \psi(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$,

$$g = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + \beta h + m$$

and

$$\beta f + h = \lambda M - \lambda m,$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ are constants, $m, M : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are multiplicative functions such that $m \in \mathcal{B}(G)$, $M \notin \mathcal{B}(G)$ and ψ is the function defined in (3.2). If $m \neq 0$ then, by multiplying both sides of the first identity above by $m((xy)^{-1})$ and using that m is multiplicative, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &(f(xy) - \lambda^2 M(xy))m((xy)^{-1}) \\ &= (f(x) - \lambda^2 M(x))m(x^{-1}) + (f(y) - \lambda^2 M(y))m(y^{-1}) + \lambda^2 + m((xy)^{-1})\psi(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Since the functions m and ψ are bounded, then we get from the identity above that the function

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \mapsto &(f(xy) - \lambda^2 M(xy))m((xy)^{-1}) - (f(x) - \lambda^2 M(x))m(x^{-1}) \\ &- (f(y) - \lambda^2 M(y))m(y^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Notice that we have the same result if $m = 0$. So, according to Hyers's theorem [11, Theorem 3.1], there exist an additive function $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a function $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ such that

$$(f(x) - \lambda^2 M(x))m(x^{-1}) - a(x) = b_0(x)$$

for all $x \in G$. Then, by putting $b = mb_0$. we derive that

$$f = \lambda^2 M + am + b$$

with $b \in \mathcal{B}(G)$. As $g = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + \beta h + m$ and $\beta f + h = \lambda M - \lambda m$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h &= -\beta(\lambda^2 M + a m + b) + \lambda M - \lambda m \\ &= \lambda(1 - \beta\lambda)M - \lambda m - \beta a m - \beta b \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{1}{2}\beta^2(\lambda^2 M + a m + b) + \beta(\lambda(1 - \beta\lambda)M - \lambda m - \beta a m - \beta b) + m \\ &= \beta\lambda(1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta\lambda)M + (1 - \beta\lambda)m - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 a m - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 b. \end{aligned}$$

The result occurs in (7) of Theorem 4.1.

Case C:

$$f(xy) = f(x)m(y) + m(x)f(y) + H(x)H(y) + \psi(x, y),$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(xy) - H(x)m(y) - m(x)H(y) &= \eta_1 \psi(x, y) + \eta_2 m(x)L_1(y) + \eta_3 m(x)L_2(y) \\ &\quad + \eta_4 \psi(x, l_1(y)) + \eta_5 \psi(x, l_2(y)) + \eta_6 L_1(xy) + \eta_7 L_2(xy) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$,

$$g = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + \beta h + m$$

and

$$H = \beta f + h$$

and where $\beta, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_7 \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants, $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded multiplicative function, $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{B}(G)$, $l_1, l_2 : G \rightarrow G$ are mappings, and ψ is the function defined in (3.2).

If $H \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ then f and h are linearly dependent modulo $\mathcal{B}(G)$. So, according to Lemma 3.3, on of the assertions (1)-(5) of Theorem 4.1 holds.

In what follows we assume that $H \notin \mathcal{B}(G)$. Since the functions m , L_1 , L_2 and ψ are bounded, we get from the above second identity that the function

$$(x, y) \mapsto H(xy) - H(x)m(y) - m(x)H(y)$$

is bounded. Hence $m \neq 0$ because $H \notin \mathcal{B}(G)$. Then, according to [13, Theorem 2.3] and taking the assumption on H into account, we have one of the following subcases:

Subcase C.1: $H = a m + b$, where $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is additive and $b \in \mathcal{B}(G)$. Then $\beta f + h = a m + b$, which implies that

$$h = -\beta f + a m + b.$$

Moreover, since $g = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + \beta h + m$ we get that

$$g = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + m + \beta a m + \beta b.$$

Let $x, y \in G$ be arbitrary. By using the first identity in the present case, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) &= f(xy) - f(x)m(y) - m(x)f(y) - (a(x)m(x) + b(x))(a(y)m(y) + b(y)) \\ &= f(xy) - f(x)m(y) - m(x)f(y) - a(x)a(y)m(xy) - m(x)a(x)b(y) \\ &\quad - m(y)a(y)b(x) - b(x)b(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since m is a nonzero multiplicative function on the group G we have $m(xy) = m(x)m(y) \neq 0$ and $m((xy)^{-1}) = m(x^{-1})m(y^{-1}) = (m(x))^{-1}(m(y))^{-1}$. Hence, by multiplying both sides of the identity above we get that

$$\begin{aligned} m((xy)^{-1})[\psi(x, y)b(x)b(y)] &= f(xy)m((xy)^{-1}) - f(x)m(x^{-1}) - f(y)m(y^{-1}) \\ &\quad - a(x)a(y) - a(x)b(y)m(y^{-1}) - a(y)b(x)m(x^{-1}) \\ &= (f(xy)m((xy)^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(xy)) - (f(x)m(x^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(x)) \\ &\quad - (f(y)m(y^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(y)) - a(x)b(y)m(y^{-1}) - a(y)b(x)m(x^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So, x and y being arbitrary, and the functions m , b and ψ are bounded, we deduce that the function

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \mapsto & f(xy)m((xy)^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(xy) - (f(x)m(x^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(x)) \\ & - (f(y)m(y^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(y)) - a(x)b(y)m(y^{-1}) - a(y)b(x)m(x^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. The result occurs in (9) of the list of Theorem 4.1.

Subcase C.2: $H(xy) = H(x)m(y) + H(y)m(x)$ for all $x, y \in G$. Since m is a nonzero multiplicative function on the group G we have $m(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in G$. Then, in view of $H \notin \mathcal{B}(G)$, we get from the last functional equation that there exists a nonzero additive function $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $H = am$. Substituting this back in the first identity in the present case and proceeding exactly as in Subcase C.1, we get that the function

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \mapsto & 2f(xy)m((xy)^{-1}) - a^2(xy) - (2f(x)m(x^{-1}) - a^2(x)) \\ & - (2f(y)m(y^{-1}) - a^2(y)) \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Hence, according to Hyers's theorem [11, Theorem 3.1], there exist an additive function $a_1 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a function $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ such that $2f(x)m(x^{-1}) - a^2(x) = a_1(x) + b_0(x)$ for all $x, y \in G$. So, by putting $b = \frac{1}{2}mb_0$ we deduce that $b \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ because $m, b_0 \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ and

$$(4.4) \quad f = \frac{1}{2}a^2 m + \frac{1}{2}a_1 m + b.$$

Since $H = \beta f + h$ and $g = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 f + \beta h + m$ we get, by using (4.4) and an elementary computation, that $g = -\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 a^2 m + \beta a m - \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 a_1 m + m - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 b$ and $h = -\frac{1}{2}\beta a^2 m + a m - \frac{1}{2}\beta a_1 m - \beta b$. The result occurs in (8) of the list of Theorem 4.1.

Conversely, we check by elementary computations that if one of the assertions (1)-(10) in Theorem 4.1 is satisfied then the function $(x, y) \mapsto f(xy) - f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) - h(x)h(y)$ is bounded. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to referees for the thorough review of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aczél, J.: Lectures on functional equations and their applications. In: Aczél, J. (ed.) Mathematics in Sciences and Engineering, vol. 19. Academic Press, New York (1966)
- [2] Ajebbbar, O. and Elqorachi, E.: The Cosine-Sine functional equation on a semigroup with an involutive automorphism. Aequ. Math. **91**(6), 1115-1146 (2017)

- [3] Aoki, T.: On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces. J. Math. Soc. Japan **2**, 64-66 (1950)
- [4] Chung, J.K., Kannappan, Pl. and Ng, C.T.: A generalization of the Cosine-Sine functional equation on groups. Linear Algebra and Appl. **66**, 259-277 (1985)
- [5] Czerwik, S.: Functional equations and inequalities in several variables. World Scientific. Hackensacks, New Jersey (2002)
- [6] Hyers, D.H.: On the stability of linear functional equation. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **27**, 222-224 (1941)
- [7] Hyers, D.H., Isac, G. and Rassias, Th.M.: Stability of functional equations in several variables. Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 34, Birkhäuser, Boston, (1998)
- [8] Jung, S.-M: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations in nonlinear analysis. Springer Optimization and its Applications 48 (2010)
- [9] Poulsen, T.A. and Stetkær, H.: On the trigonometric subtraction and addition formulas. Aequ. Math. **59**, (1-2), 84-92 (2000)
- [10] Rassias, Th.M.: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72**, 297-300 (1978)
- [11] Székelyhidi, L.: Fréchet's equation and Hyers's theorem on noncommutative semigroup. Ann. Polon. Math. **48**, 183-189 (1988)
- [12] Székelyhidi, L.: On a theorem of Baker, Lawrence and Zorzitto. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **84**(1), 95-96 (1982)
- [13] Székelyhidi, L.: The stability of the sine and cosine functional equations. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110**, 109-115 (1990)
- [14] S.M. Ulam: A collection of Mathematical Problems. Interscience Publ., New York (1960)

OMAR AJEBBAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IBN ZOHR UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, AGADIR, MOROCCO

E-mail address: `omar-ajb@hotmail.com`

ELHOUCIEN ELQORACHI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IBN ZOHR UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, AGADIR, MOROCCO

E-mail address: `elqorachi@hotmail.com`