

Complete Differentiable Semiclassical Spectral Asymptotics^{*,†}

Victor Ivrii[‡]

September 20, 2018

Abstract

For an operator $A := A_h = A^0(hD) + V(x, hD)$, with a “potential” V decaying as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ we establish under certain assumptions the complete and differentiable with respect to τ asymptotics of $e_h(x, x, \tau)$ where $e_h(x, y, \tau)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the spectral projector.

1 Introduction

Consider a self-adjoint matrix operator

$$(1.1) \quad A := A_h = A^0(hD) + V(x, hD),$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad |D_\xi^\beta A^0(\xi)| \leq c_{\alpha\beta}(|\xi| + 1)^m \quad \forall \beta \quad \forall \xi$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad A^0(\xi) \geq c_0|\xi|^m - C_0 \quad \forall \xi.$$

^{*}2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35P20.

[†]*Key words and phrases*: Microlocal Analysis, differentiable complete spectral asymptotics.

[‡]This research was supported in part by National Science and Engineering Research Council (Canada) Discovery Grant RGPIN 13827

We assume that $A^0(\xi)$ is ξ -microhyperbolic at energy level λ , i.e. for each ξ there exists a direction $\ell(\xi)$ such that $|\ell(\xi)| \leq 1$ and

$$(1.4) \quad (\langle \ell(\xi), \nabla_\xi \rangle A^0(\xi) v, v) + |(A^0(\xi) - \lambda)v| \geq \epsilon_0 |v|^2 \quad \forall v.$$

Further, we assume that $V(x, \xi)$ is a real-valued function, satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad |D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta V(x, \xi)| \leq c_{\alpha\beta} (|\xi| + 1)^m (|x| + 1)^{-\delta - |\beta|} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \quad \forall x, \xi$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad |D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta V(x, \xi)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \alpha, \beta: |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 1 \quad \forall x, \xi.$$

Our main theorem is

Theorem 1.1. *Let conditions (1.2)–(1.4) and (1.6) with sufficiently small constant $\varepsilon > 0$ be fulfilled. Then*

(i) *The complete spectral asymptotics holds for $\tau: |\tau - \lambda| \leq \varepsilon$:*

$$(1.7) \quad e_h(x, x, \tau) \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} \kappa_n(x, \tau) h^{-d+n}$$

where $e_h(x, y, \tau)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the spectral projector $\theta(\tau - A_h)$ of A_h .

(ii) *This asymptotics is infinitely differentiable with respect to τ .*

Remark 1.2. (i) Statement (i) was sketched under much more restrictive assumptions in Theorem 3.2 of [Ivr3]; however we provide here more detailed exposition.

(ii) In Theorem 2.8 we provide the dependence of the remainder on $|x|$.

(iii) This asymptotics is also infinitely differentiable with respect to x but it is really easy.

Differentiability and completeness of the spectral asymptotics are really different. F.e. for operators with almost periodic with respect to x perturbation $V(x, hD)$ the spectral asymptotics are complete (see [Ivr3] and references there) but in dimension 1 it is not necessarily differentiable even once due to spectral gaps. Furthermore, if we perturb an operator we study in this paper by an appropriate “negligible” operator (i. e. with $O(h^\infty)$

norm), the absolutely continuous spectrum on the segment $[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]$ with $\lambda_{\mp} = \lambda + O(h^\infty)$ will be replaced by an eigenvalue of the infinite multiplicity and then the spectral asymptotics will complete albeit non-differentiable even once.

To establish spectral asymptotics we apply the ‘‘hyperbolic operator method’’; namely, let us consider the Schwartz kernel of the propagator $e^{ih^{-1}tA_h}$:

$$(1.8) \quad u := u_h(x, y, t) = \int e^{ih^{-1}t\tau} d_\tau e_h(x, y, \tau).$$

Then under ellipticity and microhyperbolicity conditions (1.3) and (1.4)

$$(1.9) \quad F_{t \rightarrow h^{-1}\tau} \bar{\chi}_T(t) u_h(x, x, t) \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} \kappa'_n(x, \tau) h^{1-d+n},$$

where here and below $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty([-1, -\frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1])$, $\bar{\chi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty([-1, 1])$, $\bar{\chi}(t) = 1$ on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\chi_T(t) = \chi(t/T)$ etc, $\kappa'_n(x, \tau) = \partial_\tau \kappa_n(x, \tau)$, and $T = T_* > 0$ is a small constant here.

Then, due to Tauberian theorem we arrive to the spectral asymptotics with the remainder estimate $O(h^{1-d})$. Next, under different assumptions one, using propagation of singularities technique, can prove that

$$(1.10) \quad |F_{t \rightarrow h^{-1}\tau} \chi_T(t) u_h(x, x, t)| = O(h^\infty)$$

for all $T \in [T_*, T^*]$. Then (1.9) holds with $T = T^*$ and again, due to Tauberian theorem, we arrive to the spectral asymptotics with the remainder estimate $O(T^{*-1}h^{1-d})$ (provided $T^* = O(h^{-K})$). In particular, if (1.10) holds provided $T^* = h^{-\infty}$, we arrive to complete spectral asymptotics. This happens f.e. in the framework of [Ivr3].

However we do not have Tauberian theorems for the derivatives (with respect to τ) and we need to use an inverse Fourier transform and its derivatives

$$(1.11) \quad \partial_\tau^n e_h(x, x, \tau) = (2\pi h)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ih^{-1}\tau t} (-ih^{-1}t)^{n-1} u_h(x, x, t) dt$$

for $n \geq 1$. If we insert a factor $\bar{\chi}_T(t)$ into integral, we will get exactly n -th derivative of the right-hand expression of (1.7). However we need to estimate the remainder

$$(1.12) \quad (2\pi h)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ih^{-1}\tau t} (-ih^{-1}t)^{n-1} (1 - \bar{\chi}_T(t)) u_h(x, x, t) dt$$

and to do this we need to properly estimate the left-hand expression of (1.10) for all $T \geq T_*$ (rather than for $T \in [T_*, T^*]$).

To achieve this we will use a more subtle propagation technique and prove that for $T \geq T_*(R)$ the left-hand expression of (1.10) is $O((h/T)^\infty)$, provided $|x| \leq R$.

2 Proofs

2.1 Preliminary remarks

Observe that, due to assumptions (1.6) and (1.5) a propagation speed with respect to ξ does not exceed $\min(\varepsilon, C(|x| + 1)^{-1-\delta})$ and one can prove easily, that for a generalized Hamiltonian trajectory¹⁾ $(x(t), \xi(t))$ on energy level $\tau \leq c$

$$(2.1) \quad \Sigma_\tau := \{(x, \xi) : \text{Ker}(A(x, \xi) - \tau) \neq \{0\}\}$$

with $A(x, \xi) = A^0(\xi) + V(x, \xi)$ we have $|\xi(t) - \xi(0)| \leq \varepsilon'$ for all t with $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and therefore

(2.2) Let conditions (1.2)–(1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\varepsilon') > 0$ with arbitrarily small ε' be fulfilled. Then for a generalized Hamiltonian trajectory $(x(t), \xi(t))$ on Σ_τ

$$(2.3) \quad |\xi(t) - \xi(0)| \leq \varepsilon' \quad \text{and} \quad |x(t) - x(0)| \geq \varepsilon_2 |t| \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we conclude immediately that inequality

$$(2.4) \quad |F_{t \rightarrow h^{-1}\tau} \chi_T(t) u_h(x, x, t)| \leq C'_s(T) h^s$$

holds for arbitrarily constant $T > 0$.

Combining with (1.9) for small constant T we conclude that

(2.5) Let conditions (1.2)–(1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) with sufficiently small constant $\varepsilon > 0$ be fulfilled. Then asymptotic decomposition (1.9) holds with an arbitrarily large constant T .

¹⁾ For a definition of the generalized Hamiltonian trajectory see Definition 2.2.8 of [Ivr1].

2.2 Propagation and local energy decay

First we have the finite speed with respect to x propagation:

Proposition 2.1. *For $\tau \leq c$ the following estimate holds*

$$(2.6) \quad |F_{t \rightarrow h^{-1}\tau}(\chi_\tau(t)u(x, y, t))| \leq C'_s h^s R^{-s} \\ \forall x, y: |x - y| \geq C_0 T, |x| + |y| \asymp R.$$

Proof. In the zone $\{x: |x| \asymp R\}$ we can apply scaling $x \mapsto xR^{-1}$, $t \mapsto tR^{-1}$, $h \mapsto hR^{-1}$ and apply the standard theory of Chapter 2 of [Ivr1]. The rest is trivial. \square

Next, we consider $R \leq \epsilon_1 T$ and apply energy estimate method to prove the local energy decay. Observe that one can select smooth $\ell(\xi)$ in condition (1.4). Consider operator $L^0(x, hF)$ with Weyl symbol $-\langle x, \ell(\xi) \rangle$ and $L(x, hD; t) = L^0 + \varepsilon t$.

Then

$$(2.7) \quad 2h^{-1} \operatorname{Re} i((hD_t - A)v, Lv)_{\Omega_T} = \\ (Lv, v) \Big|_{t=0}^{t=T} - \operatorname{Re} ih^{-1}([hD_t - A, L]v, v)_{\Omega_T} = \\ (Lv, v) \Big|_{t=0}^{t=T} - \varepsilon \|v\|_{\Omega_T}^2 + \operatorname{Re}(ih^{-1}[A, L]v, v)_{\Omega_T},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\Omega}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\Omega}$ are a norm and an inner product in $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ with $\Omega = \Omega_T = \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T] \ni (x, t)$. Indeed, writing the left-hand expression as

$$ih^{-1} [((hD_t - A)v, Lv)_{\Omega_T} - (L, (hD_t - A)v)_{\Omega_T}] = \\ ih^{-1} [(L(hD_t - A)v, v)_{\Omega_T} - ((hD_t - A)L, v)_{\Omega_T}] + (Lv, v) \Big|_{t=0}^{t=T}$$

because $L^* = L$, we arrive to (2.7).

In virtue of (1.5) and (1.6) for sufficiently small constant ε and for $h \leq h_0(\varepsilon_1)$ the operator norm of $h^{-1}[V, L]$ from $\mathcal{H}^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ does not exceed ε_1 with $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and then due to the microhyperbolicity assumption we conclude that

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{Re}(ih^{-1}[A, L]v, v) \geq (\epsilon_0 - 2\varepsilon_1)\|v\|^2 - C\|(A - \tau)v\|^2$$

for both \mathbb{R}^d and Ω_T .

Let us plug into (2.7) $v = \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \tau)e^{ihtA}w$ where $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty([-1, 1])$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$; then for sufficiently small constant $\varepsilon > 0$ we arrive to

$$(2.9) \quad \varepsilon \|v\|_{\Omega_T}^2 + (Lv, v)|_{t=T} \leq (Lv, v)|_{t=0}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(2.10) \quad \operatorname{Re}(Lv, v)|_{t=T} \geq \varepsilon T \|v\|^2 - C \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v \|^2$$

with

$$\| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v \|^2 = \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v \|_{B(0,R)}^2 + \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v \|_{B(0,R') \setminus B(0,R)}^2 + \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v \|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R')}^2$$

with $R' = C_0 T$ and therefore for $R \leq \varepsilon T$

$$(2.11) \quad \operatorname{Re}(Lv, v)|_{t=T} \geq \varepsilon T \|v\|^2 - CR' \|v\|_{B(0,R') \setminus B(0,R)}^2 - \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v \|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R')}^2.$$

Observe that

$$|(Lv, v)|_{t=0} \leq C \left(\|v_0\|^2 + \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v_0 \|^2 \right)$$

and then (2.9) and (2.10) imply that if $R \leq \varepsilon T$ then

$$(2.12) \quad \|v\|_{B(0,r)}^2 \leq \sigma \|v_0\|^2 + CT^{-1} \left(\| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v \|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R')}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v_0 \|^2 \right)$$

with $\sigma < 1$ and $v|_0 = v|_{t=0}$; recall that $\|v\| = \|v_0\|$.

Recall that $v = e^{ih^{-1}tA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \tau) \psi_R(x) w$ where we plugged $\psi_R w$ instead of w , $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(B(0, 1))$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $\psi = 1$ in $B(0, \frac{1}{2})$.

One can prove easily that $Q = \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \tau)$ is an operator with Weyl symbol $Q(x, \xi)$, satisfying

$$|D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta Q| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \varepsilon^{-|\alpha| - |\beta|} (|x| + 1)^{-|\beta|}.$$

Then $\|v_0\|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, 2R)} \leq C(h/R)^s \|w\|$ and therefore $\| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v_0 \|^2 \leq 2R \|w\|^2$. Further, then Proposition 2.1 implies that $\| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} v \|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R')}^2 \leq C(h/T)^s \|w\|^2$ provided or $R' \geq C_0 T$ with sufficiently large C_0 and we arrive to

Proposition 2.2. *In the framework of Theorem 1.1*

$$(2.13) \quad \|\psi_R e^{ih^{-1}TA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) \psi_R\| < 1$$

provided $\varepsilon T \geq R \geq 1$.

While this statement looks weak, it will lead to much stronger one:

Proposition 2.3. *In the framework of Theorem 1.1*

$$(2.14) \quad \|\psi_R e^{ih^{-1}TA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) \psi_R\| \leq C_s R^s T^{-s}$$

provided $T \geq C_0 R$, $R \geq 1$.

Proof. We want to prove by induction that

$$(2.15) \quad \|\psi_R e^{ih^{-1}TA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) \psi_R\| \leq C\nu^N + C_s n R^s T^{-s}$$

with $\nu < 1$.

Assuming that for n we have (2.15), we apply the previous arguments on the interval $[nt, (n+1)T]$ to $v = e^{ih^{-1}tA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) \psi_{R/2} e^{ih^{-1}nTA} \psi_R w$ and derive an estimate

$$(2.16) \quad \|\psi_R e^{ih^{-1}tA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) \psi_{R/2} e^{ih^{-1}nTA} \psi_R w\| \leq \\ \nu \|\varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) \psi_R e^{ih^{-1}nTA} \psi_R w\| + C'_K (h/R)^K \|w\|$$

with $\nu < 1$.

To make a step of induction we need to estimate the norm of

$$(2.17) \quad \psi_R e^{ih^{-1}tA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) (1 - \psi_{R/2}) e^{ih^{-1}nTA} \psi_R w = \\ \psi_R e^{ih^{-1}tA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) (1 - \psi_{R/2}) Q^+ e^{ih^{-1}nTA} \psi_{R+} \\ \psi_R e^{ih^{-1}tA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) (1 - \psi_{R/2}) Q^- e^{ih^{-1}nTA} \psi_R,$$

with $Q^\pm = Q^\pm(x, hD)$, $Q^+ + Q^- = I$ to be selected to ensure that

(2.18) Generalized Hamiltonian trajectories on Σ_τ , starting as $t = 0$ from $\text{supp}(Q^\pm) \cap \text{supp}(1 - \psi_{R/2})$ in the positive (negative) time direction, remain in the zone $\{|x| \geq \epsilon_1 R + \epsilon_2 |t|\}$.

Then we show that

$$(2.19) \quad \|\psi_R e^{ih^{-1}tA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) (1 - \psi_{R/2}) Q^+\| \leq C_s (h/R)^s$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad \|\varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) (1 - \psi_{R/2}) Q^- e^{ih^{-1}nTA} \psi_R\| \leq C_s (h/R)^s.$$

To achieve that consider $A^0(\xi)$ and for each ξ in the narrow vicinity \mathcal{W} of Σ_τ let $K^+(\xi) \subset \mathbb{R}_x^d \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}^+$ be a forward propagation cone and $K^-(\xi) = -K^+(\xi)$ be a backward propagation cone. Let

$$(2.21) \quad \Omega^\pm = \{(x, \xi) : x \notin \pi_x K^\pm(\xi)\}$$

where π_x is x -projection.

Then Ω^\pm are open sets and since $\pi_x K^+(\xi) \cap \pi_x K^-(\xi) = \{0\}$ we conclude that $\Omega^+ \cup \Omega^- \supset \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{W}$. We can then find smooth positively homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to x symbols $q^\pm(x, \xi)$ supported in Ω^\pm such that $q^+ + q^- = 1$ on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{W}$. Let $q^0 = 1 - (q^+ + q^-)$; then $(A^0 - \tau)$ is elliptic on $\text{supp}(q^0)$.

Finally, let Q^\pm and Q^0 be operators with the symbols $q^\pm(x, \xi)\phi_R(x)$ and $q^0(x, \xi)\phi_R(x)$ correspondingly, where $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0)$, equal 1 as $c^{-1} \leq |x| \leq c$ with large enough constant c . Then

$$(2.22) \quad Q^+ + Q^- + Q^0 = \phi_R(x),$$

where (2.18) holds and $(A - \tau)$ is elliptic on the support of the symbol of Q^0 .

Then Proposition 2.4 below implies that for $R \leq \varepsilon T$ with sufficiently small constant ε both (2.19) and (2.20) hold. On the other hand, ellipticity of $(A - \tau)$ on $\text{supp}(Q^0)$ implies that

$$(2.23) \quad \|\varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda)(1 - \psi_{R/2})Q^0\| \leq C_s(h/R)^s.$$

Then we can make an induction step by n and to prove (2.15). After this, let us replace in (2.15) R and T by r and t . Next, for given R, T such that $R \leq \varepsilon^3 T$ let us plug into (2.15) $n = (T/R)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $t = T^{\frac{2}{3}}R^{\frac{1}{3}} = T/n$ and $r = T^{\frac{1}{3}}R^{\frac{2}{3}} = nR$ (obviously $r \leq \varepsilon t$). We arrive to (2.14) with a different but still arbitrarily large exponent s . \square

As mentioned, we need the following proposition:

Proposition 2.4. *Let conditions of Theorem 1.1 be fulfilled. Let $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0$, $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{W}$ and assume that $0 \notin \bar{x} + \pi_x K^\mp(\bar{\xi})$. Let \mathcal{K}^\mp be a conical η -vicinity of $K^\mp(\bar{\xi})$ and \mathcal{V} be ηR -vicinity of \bar{x} , $R = |x|$. Then*

$$(2.24) \quad \|Q' e^{\pm ih^{-1}TA} Q\| \leq C_s(h/R)^s$$

provided $Q = Q(x, hD)$ and $Q' = Q'(x, hD)$ are operators with the symbols satisfying

$$(2.25) \quad |D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta Q| \leq c_{\alpha\beta} r^{-|\beta|}$$

with $r = T + R$ and $r = R$ respectively, $R \geq R(\eta)$ and support of symbol of Q does not intersect with $\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{K}^\mp|_{t=T}$, symbol of Q' is supported in the sufficiently small vicinity of $(\bar{x}, \bar{\xi})$.

Proof. Considering propagation in the zone $\{x: |x| \asymp r\}$, we see that the propagation speed with respect to ξ does not exceed $Cr^{-1-\delta}$. To prove this we scale $x \mapsto xr^{-1}$, $t \mapsto tr^{-1}$, $h \mapsto \hbar = hr^{-1}$ and apply the standard energy method (see Chapter 2 of [Ivr1]). We leave the easy details to the reader.

Therefore for time $t \asymp r$ variation of ξ does not exceed $Cr^{-\delta}$. Then, the propagation speed with respect to $\langle x, \ell(\bar{\xi}) \rangle$ (which increases) is of magnitude 1 (as long as ξ remains in the small vicinity of $\bar{\xi}$). Again, to prove it we scale and apply the energy method (see Chapter 2 of [Ivr1]).

But then the contribution of the time interval $t \asymp r$ to the variation of ξ does not exceed $Cr^{-\delta}$ and therefore the variation of ξ for a time interval $[0, T]$ with $T \geq 0$ does not exceed $CR^{-\delta} \leq \eta$ for $R \geq R(\eta)$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *In the framework of Theorem 1.1*

$$(2.26) \quad \|\psi_R e^{ih^{-1}TA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) \psi_R\| \leq C_s h^s,$$

provided $T \geq C_0 R$, $R \geq 1$.

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 2.4 with the semiclassical parameter hr^{-1} and with r set to its minimal value along the cone of propagation, which is 1. \square

Combining Propositions 2.3 and 2.5 we arrive to

Corollary 2.6. *In the framework of Theorem 1.1*

$$(2.27) \quad \|\psi_R e^{ih^{-1}TA} \varphi_\varepsilon(A - \lambda) \psi_R\| \leq C_s h^s R^s T^{-s}$$

provided $T \geq C_0 R$, $R \geq 1$.

2.3 Traces and the end of the proof

Proposition 2.7. *In the framework of Theorem 1.1 the following estimates hold for $T \geq 1$*

$$(2.28) \quad |F_{t \rightarrow \tau} \chi_T(t) u(x, x, t)| \leq C_s h^s (|x| + 1)^{s+1} ((|x| + 1) + T)^{-s},$$

$$(2.29) \quad |F_{t \rightarrow \tau} \chi_T(t) \int \psi_R(x) u(x, x, t) dx| \leq C_s h^s T^{-s},$$

provided $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(B(0, 1))$. Further,

$$(2.30) \quad |F_{t \rightarrow \tau} \chi_T(t) \int \psi_R(x) u(x, x, t) dx| \leq C_s h^s R^{-s} T^{-s},$$

provided $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(B(0, 1) \setminus B(0, \frac{1}{2}))$.

Proof. Estimate (2.28) follows immediately from (2.26). Estimate (2.30) follows from (2.26) and

$$|F_{t \rightarrow \tau} \chi_T(t) \int \psi_R(x) u(x, x, t) dx| \leq C_s h^s R^{-s} T,$$

which holds because we can chose the time direction on the partition element (see Chapter 4 of [Ivr1]) and we chose the one in which $|x| \gtrsim R$ (which is possible; see the part of proof of Proposition 2.3 dealing with Q^\pm and Q^0).

Finally, estimate (2.29) follows from (2.30). \square

Then we immediately arrive to the following theorem, which in turn implies Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 2.8. *In the framework of Theorem 1.1 the following estimates hold*

$$(2.31) \quad \left| \partial_\tau^k \left(e(x, x, \tau) - \sum_{n \leq N-1} \kappa_n(x, \tau) h^{-d+n} \right) \right| \leq C_N h^{-d+N} (|x| + 1)^{-N} + C_s h^s (|x| + 1)^k$$

and for $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(B(0, 1))$

$$(2.32) \quad \left| \partial_\tau^k \int \left(e(x, x, \tau) - \sum_{n \leq N-1} \kappa_n(x, \tau) h^{-d+n} \right) \psi_R(x) dx \right| \leq C_N h^{-d+N}.$$

2.4 Discussion

Corollary 2.9. *Let conditions of Theorem 1.1 be fulfilled. Assume that*

$$(2.33) \quad |D_x^\alpha V| \leq c_\alpha (|\xi| + 1)^m (|x| + 1)^{-d-|\alpha|-\delta}.$$

Then the asymptotics of the Birman-Schwinger spectral shift function

$$(2.34) \quad N_h(\tau) := \int \left(e_h(x, x, \tau) - e_h^0(x, x, \tau) \right) dx \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} \varkappa h^{-d+n}$$

is infinitely differentiable with respect to τ . Here $e_h^0(x, x, \tau) = \kappa_0^0 h^{-d}$ and $e_h^0(x, y, \tau)$ is the Schwartz kernel of spectral projector for $A^0(hD)$, and

$$(2.35) \quad \varkappa_n(\tau) = \int (\kappa_n(x, \tau) - \delta_{n0} \kappa^0) dx.$$

Indeed, condition (2.33) guarantees the absolute convergence of integrals in (2.35).

Remark 2.10. Our results could be easily generalized to non-semi-bounded elliptic A^0 (like in Subsection 3.1 of [Ivr3]). Then instead of $e(x, y, \lambda)$ one needs to consider $e(x, y, \lambda, \lambda')$ the Schwartz kernel of $\theta(\lambda - A) - \theta(\lambda' - A)$ and either impose conditions for both λ and λ' , or only for λ and mollify with respect to λ' .

It looks strange that the last term in the remainder estimate (2.31) increases as $|x|$ increases, but so far I can neither improve it to the uniform with respect to x in the general case, nor show by the counter-example that such improvement is impossible. However I hope to prove

Conjecture 2.11. Assume in addition that A^0 is a scalar operator and $\Sigma_\lambda^0 = \{\xi: A^0(\xi) = \lambda\}$ is a *strongly convex surface* i.e.

$$(2.36) \quad \pm \sum_{j,k} A_{\xi_j \xi_k}^0(\xi) \eta_j \eta_k \geq \epsilon |\eta|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \Sigma_\lambda^0 \quad \forall \eta: \sum_j A_{\xi_j}^0(\xi) \eta_j = 0,$$

where the sign depends on the connected component of Σ_λ , containing ξ .

Then the last term in the right-hand expression of (2.31) could be replaced by $C_s h^\delta (|x| + 1)^{k-d}$.

Rationale here is that only few Hamiltonian trajectories from x with $|x| = R \gg 1$ pass close to the origin and even they do not spend much time there.

Bibliography

- [DG] J. J. Duistermaat, V. W. Guillemin. *The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics*. Invent. Math., 29(1):39–79 (1975).
- [Ivr1] V. Ivrii, *Microlocal Analysis, Sharp Spectral, Asymptotics and Applications*.
- [Ivr2] V. Ivrii. *100 years of Weyl's law*, Bull. Math. Sci., 6(3):379–452 (2016).
- [Ivr3] V. Ivrii. *Complete semiclassical spectral asymptotics for periodic and almost periodic perturbations of constant operators*, arXiv:1808.01619, 24pp.
- [PP] V. Petkov, G. Popov. *Asymptotic behavior of the scattering phase for non-trapping obstacles*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 32:114–149 (1982).